

I hereby give notice that an ordinary meeting of the Central Facility Partnerships Committee will be held on:

**Date:** Monday, 16 June 2014  
**Time:** 1.00 pm  
**Meeting Room:** Civic Building  
**Venue:** Level 15 Committee Room  
1 Greys Avenue  
Auckland

---

## Central Facility Partnerships Committee

### OPEN AGENDA

---

#### MEMBERSHIP

**Chairperson** Shale Chambers  
**Deputy Chairperson** Desley Simpson, JP  
**Members** Harry Doig  
Peter Haynes  
Chris Makoare  
John Meeuwsen  
Christina Spence

**Alternates** Greg Moyle  
Mark Thomas  
Julie Fairey  
Glender Fryer  
Brett Clark  
Shirin Brown  
Judy Gilbert

(Quorum 3 members)

**Desiree Tukutama**  
**Local Board Democracy Advisor**

**11 June 2014**

Contact Telephone: (09) 307 6071  
Email: [desiree.tukutama@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz](mailto:desiree.tukutama@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz)  
Website: [www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz](http://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz)



<b>ITEM</b>	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1	Welcome	5
2	Apologies	5
3	Declaration of Interest	5
4	Confirmation of Minutes	5
5	Leave of Absence	5
6	Acknowledgements	5
7	Petitions	5
8	Deputations	5
9	Public Forum	5
10	Extraordinary Business	5
11	Notices of Motion	6
12	Central Facility Partnership Stage Two applications and Feasibility Study requests for 2013/14	7
13	Consideration of Extraordinary Items	



**1 Welcome**

**2 Apologies**

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

**3 Declaration of Interest**

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

**4 Confirmation of Minutes**

That the Central Facility Partnerships Committee:

- a) Confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 11 December 2013, including the confidential section, as a true and correct record.

**5 Leave of Absence**

That an apology from Member JP Meeuwsen for leave of absence, be received.

**6 Acknowledgements**

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

**7 Petitions**

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

**8 Deputations**

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

**9 Public Forum**

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

**10 Extraordinary Business**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

- (a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and
- (b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

- (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and
- (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

- (a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-
  - (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and
  - (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but
- (b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”

## 11 Notices of Motion

At the close of the agenda no requests for notices of motion had been received.

## Central Facility Partnership Stage Two applications and Feasibility Study requests for 2013/14

File No.: CP2014/09834

### Purpose

1. This report provides recommendations for the distribution of the Central Facility Partnership Fund 2013/2014. The report also provides an update on projects previously funded.

### Executive summary

2. The Facility Partnership fund is available to organisations to support facility development projects that assist the council in meeting its identified strategic community outcomes.
3. At its May 2013 meeting the Central Facility Partnership Committee resolved to adopt a two-stage process for 2013/2014 funding round.
4. Stage one required groups to submit an Expression of Interest (EOI). 23 proposals were received, nine were recommended to progress to Stage Two, three were advised to develop an application for feasibility funding.
5. This report provides:
  - a summary of requests for feasibility funding;
  - a summary of the Stage 2 projects and recommendations for funding;
  - recommendations for extended draw down dates for previously funded projects; and
  - an update on projects previously funded.

### Recommendations

That the Central Facility Partnerships Committee:

- a) Approve the following legacy projects for extended draw down dates until June 2015:
  - St Heliers Community Centre and Church project;
  - Nga Hau Mauangi project;
  - Akarana Marine Sports Trusts; and
  - Tri Star Gymnastics club project.
- b) Withdraw the \$650,000 legacy funding allocated to the Mt Albert Grammar Aquatic project and reallocate into the Central Facilities Partnership fund for 2014/2015.
- c) Withdraw the \$853,854 legacy funding allocated to the Avondale Primary Swimming Pool project and reallocate into the Central Facilities Partnership Fund for 2014/2015.
- d) Acknowledges the entity change for the legacy funding allocated to Royal Akarana Yacht Club to Akarana Marine Sports Trust (AMST).
- e) Reallocate the balance of \$5528 from the Barrier Social Club roofing project in 2012/2013 to Central Facilities Partnership fund for 2013/2014.
- f) Reallocate the balance of \$6000 from the Maungarei Community Christian Trust project in 2012/2013 to Central Facilities Partnership fund for 2013/2014.
- g) That the Central Facility Partnerships Funding Committee approve/decline the following projects applications for 2013/2014.

Feasibility Study Applications:

Project	LB Area	Project Description	Project Cost	Requested	Recommend
Auckland Basketball Services Ltd (ABSL)	Sub-Regional	To identify opportunities and determine options to remedy basketball court capacity shortage within central Auckland.	\$25,000	\$20,000	\$20,000
Ellerslie Eagles Rugby League Club	Orakei	To identify options to upgrade and redesign the Ellerslie Eagles club facilities for extended use by members/users/community groups.	\$30,000	\$25,000	\$0
Marist Rugby League Club	Albert-Eden	A feasibility study to determine the shape and scope of a redesign and rebuild of club facilities in co-operation with other sports codes and community groups.	\$30,000	\$25,000	\$0
Remuera Bowling Club Inc	Orakei	To scope the potential for a "Centre for Bowls" to be developed in central Auckland that would incorporate both indoor and outdoor greens to create year round access for playing bowls, as well as central headquarters, office space and clubroom facilities.	\$30,000	\$25,000	\$25,000
YMCA Auckland	Waitemata	To identify options or opportunities for the YMCA around the potential to increase the capacity and the footprint of the YMCA City Stadium in Pitt St.	\$40,000	\$35,000	\$25,000
			\$155,000	\$130,000	\$70,000



h) That the Central Facility Partnerships Funding Committee approve/decline the following Stage Two project applications for 2013/2014:

Project	LB Area	Project Description	Project Cost	Request	Recommend
Aotea Boardriders Club	Great Barrier	To build a small multi use clubrooms for the club and other affiliated water based and water safety groups on Great Barrier Island.	\$84,242	\$71,992	\$0
Auckland Grammar School	Albert-Eden	To develop an artificial turf with lights to release pressure on the existing grass fields	\$1,600,000	\$500,000	\$361,528
Auckland Rowing Association Incorporated	Regional	Stage 1 of a regional water sports centre to create water access for rowing and other aquatic sports, through the installation of hardstands, a jetty and a floating pontoon.	\$1,800,000 stage 1	\$800,000 over 2 years	\$400,000
Eastern Suburbs Gymnastics Club	Manungakieke-Tamaki	To expand and improve current facilities based on options reported through a feasibility study in order to meet the demand for gymnastics.	\$2,500,000	\$400,000	\$0
Ellerslie Sports Club	Orakei	To build a club rooms for both cricket and football on Michaels Ave Reserve as part of the Stage 3 of the Michael Ave Reserve Concept Plan.	\$2,300,000	\$1,037,000	\$340,000
Great Barrier Island Community Heritage and Arts Village Trust	Great Barrier	To complete renovation and refurbishment of the Gray Homestead as a heritage museum.	\$87,854	\$87,854	\$0
The Auckland Performing Arts Centre of Western Springs (TAPAC)	Waitemata	To install a disability access ramp at the entrance to TAPAC and to install an accessible and safe theatre seating block.	\$138,000	\$85,000	\$0
Three Kings United Football Club	Puketapapa	To build a new clubhouse in accordance with the council developed Keith Hay Park plan.	\$3,920,000	\$1,500,000	\$340,000
Waiheke Island Rudolf Steiner Education Trust	Waiheke	To establish a family support and education centre alongside the Rudolf Steiner Fossil Bay Kindergarten in Oneroa.	\$248,000	\$150,000	\$0
			\$ 10,878,096	\$ 3,831,846	\$ 1,441,528

## Comments

### Facility Partnership Fund Purpose

6. The Facility Partnership fund is available to organisations to assist with facility development projects. Provision of council funding enables organisations to leverage additional grants from other donors and develop a facility that can offer community access.
7. Facility partnerships provide benefit to Aucklanders through the provision of quality, publicly accessible facilities without the council having to provide all the funding.
8. When considering whether to support a project to the Committee should consider the projects alignment with the principles outlined in the Facility Partnership Guidelines as summarised in Attachment 1.

### Update on Legacy Central Facility Partnerships projects

9. Below is a summary table of the six legacy Auckland City Council Facility Partnership projects. The projects are at varying stages of progress and this table summarises their progress to date.
10. As a number of these grants expire on 30 June 2014, the Committee is asked to make decisions regarding extending or withdrawing these grants.

#### Legacy Projects - Table 1 Summary of Legacy Grants

Legacy Projects						
Project	LB Area	Project Description	Expiry date	Grant Amount	Project Status/ Updates	Recommendation
St Heliers Community Centre and Church	Orakei	Large scale redevelopment of the existing site	30-Jun-14	\$1,250,000	There has been a delay in resource consent which was approved May 2014. The group have requested an extension in drawdown funds and submitted an updated timeframe for project	Extension of St Heliers Community Centre and Church project be granted for 1 year - expiry date 30 June 2015
Nga Hau Maiangi	Maungakheke-Tamaki	Pontoon development & new club redevelopment at Ian Shaw Reserve	30-Jun-14	\$1,250,000	Project is proceeding. Tender has been awarded. LOA have been granted. The group have requested extension in drawdown funds and have submitted an updated timeline for project	Extension of Nga Hau Mauangi project be granted for 1 year - expiry date 30 June 2015
Royal Akarana Yacht Club	Orakei	Redevelopment of the existing site at The Landing	30-Jun-14	\$1,000,000	Have signed FP agreement. The group have requested an extension in drawdown funds and they are still seeking balance of funds for project.	Recommend to accept the entity change from Royal Akarana Yacht Club to Akarana Marine Sports Trust (AMST). Extension of Akarana Marine Sports Trusts drawdown be granted for 1 year – expiry date 30 June 2015
Mt Albert Aquatic Centre	Albert-Eden	Learn to swim pool	30-Jun-14	\$650,000	Mt Albert Aquatics Centre Trust have confirmed in writing that the project will not proceed	Withdraw the \$650,000 grant and reallocate funds into 2014/15 Central Facilities Partnership fund

Tri Star Gymnastics club	Puketapapa	Stage two developments and fit out of the mezzanine section.	30-Jun-14	\$650,000	All funding now in place for project. Resource consents have been received. Due to delays in Building Consent the club now requests an extension in drawdown funds.	Extension of Tri Star Gymnastics club project be granted for 1 year - expiry date 30 June 2015
Avondale School Swimming Pool	Whau	Learn to swim pool	30-Jun-14	1,000,000 total grant = \$853,854 Balance (\$146,146.00 spent on project management and design)	Project is not in a position to commence construction as the required funding is not secured. A request for an extension from the school has not been received. Council staff are working with the school to investigate alternative options to meet the outcomes outside of the current project scope	Withdraw the \$853,854 grant balance and reallocate funds into 2014/15 Central Facilities Partnership fund.

### Update on 2012/2013 Central Facility Partnerships projects

A number of projects allocated funds in 2012/2013 have been completed and others are progressing as planned, two have been completed under the budget allocated and so it is recommended these small balances (\$11,528 total) are included for reallocation in this round.

Attachment 2 provides an update on the progress of these projects.

### Funding Applications for 2013/2014

11. A funding round for the Facility Partnerships scheme was advertised between July and September 2013.
12. A total of 23 applications were received, three of which were asked to develop up a feasibility study application and nine were progressed to a stage two application.
13. Total funding support requested is \$3,831,845. The total project cost submitted is \$10,878,095. Total funding available is \$1,511,528
14. Grant recommendations are based on the Central Facility Partnership Fund guidelines. (Attachment 1)

### 2013/14 Feasibility Applications

15. Below is a summary of each of the five feasibility study applications, including background, analysis and recommendations.

### Auckland Basketball Services Limited (ABSL) (Sub regional application)

16. Project: To identify opportunities and determine options to remedy basketball court capacity shortage within central Auckland

ABSL have requested an investment of \$20,000 for a total project cost of \$25,000.

17. Background:

Auckland Basketball Services Limited administers basketball services on behalf on the Auckland Basketball Association and the Counties Manukau Basketball Association.

ABSL's "Strategic Plan 2014-2016" links directly to Basketball NZ's plan and outlines their key strategic pillars and KPI's which show how they will service their members.

ABSL indicate that there approximately 39,000 participants within their catchment area and that large growth is being experienced in the 6 to 15 age group with basketball being one of the fastest growing in secondary schools.

Auckland has the fourth highest ratio of people per court (29,000) in New Zealand, which is significantly higher than the national average (23,000).

18. Analysis

The National Facilities Strategy for Indoor Sports (Sport NZ, 2013) indicates that there is currently a shortage of indoor court space of approximately 24 courts for basketball, rising to a projected 41 courts by 2031.

The proposal supports the strategic outcomes of the Auckland Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan (SARSAP) and the Auckland Plan, and is supported by both the Regional Sport Trust (Sport Auckland) and Basketball New Zealand.

According to Sport NZ 2012 participation data, basketball is 17<sup>th</sup> highest ranked sport and recreation activity. Basketball is also the largest indoor sport in New Zealand.

Staff support the feasibility study application as it shows strategic alignment and addresses a clear demand for indoor court space.

Recommendation

19. That the Committee fund ABSL \$20,000 towards a feasibility study.

**Ellerslie Eagles Rugby League Club (Orakei Local Board)**

20. Project: To identify options to upgrade and redesign the Ellerslie Eagles club facilities for extended use by members/users/community groups

The club has requested an investment of \$25,000 for a total project cost of \$30,000.

21. Background

Ellerslie Eagles Rugby League Club was founded in 1912 and is based at Ellerslie Domain. The club indicate that they had approximately 320 members in 2013. In addition to use by club members and supporters, the club also host an annual tag football tournament that attracts 500 people and is also the home of the Ellerslie Aikido club which has a membership of approximately 35.

The club lodged an Expression of Interest to the Central Facility Partnership Fund for a building upgrade project in September 2013 but were advised by the Committee to develop up an application for a feasibility study to widen the scope of the project beyond the club's initial identification and assessment of building issues.

The club refers to a Ground Condition Report undertaken in 2013 in their application which highlighted that the club room building was in a state of disrepair and in need of a major upgrade.

The club's board is in the process of developing a strategic plan and several board members are undertaking training with Sport Auckland around governance, operational management and strategic planning.

22. Analysis

Although the building condition appears to be a priority, the club needs to formally identify partners who are likely to utilise an upgraded facility, to make a stronger case for how a partnered project would meet the needs of other sports groups and the community. No user information has been provided for the adjoining netball and tennis courts.

Feedback indicates that the club's feasibility scope would need to be wider than currently proposed and would need to identify operational structures and models for the sustainability of a future multi-use club.

A lack of an Auckland Rugby League facilities plan has made it difficult to assess the needs of Ellerslie Eagles Club against those of other rugby league clubs. There is no evidence of support from Auckland Rugby League as to the priority of this project as against other clubs' needs.

This feasibility study application as submitted is not supported. Further work needs to be completed on partnership opportunities with additional groups and support gained from Auckland Rugby League.

It is recommended that Council staff work with the club, Sport Auckland and Auckland Rugby League to redevelop the application with a view to re-submitting it in a future funding round.

#### Recommendation

23. That the Committee decline this application

#### **Marist Rugby Club Incorporated (Albert-Eden Local Board)**

24. Project: To scope a redesign and rebuild of club facilities.

The club has requested an investment of \$25,000 for a total project cost of \$30,000.

25. Background

The club was formed in 1919 and has a long history of success. It has been based at Murray Halberg Park in Mt Albert since 1974. Currently the club has 440 members and fields 20 teams.

The club has been working with Sport Auckland and has recently undertaken a strategic planning process. Obtaining a feasibility study for options to rebuild the clubrooms is identified in the club's strategic plan.

A Marist Rugby League Ground and Building Condition report (Selwyn Pearson 2009) showed that the club room buildings were in very poor condition and recommended that the building be demolished and replaced.

26. Analysis

Although the building condition report recommended a rebuild of the clubrooms, the club has not gained formal support from other potential users of a new facility, to make a stronger case for how a new clubrooms facility would meet the needs of the wider community.

There is no evidence of support from Auckland Rugby League as to the priority of this project against other clubs' needs. A lack of an Auckland Rugby League facilities plan has made it difficult to assess the needs of Marist Rugby League Club's needs against those of other rugby league clubs.

Feedback indicates that the club's feasibility scope would need to be wider than currently proposed and would need to identify operational structure and models for the sustainability of a future multi use club.

This feasibility study application as submitted is not supported. Further work needs to be done to identify needs and partnership opportunities in Marist's catchment area.

It is recommended that Council staff work with the club, Sport Auckland and Auckland Rugby League to redevelop the application with a view to re-submitting it in a future funding round.

#### Recommendation

27. That the Committee decline this application.

**Remuera Bowling Club Incorporated (Orakei Local Board / Sub Regional)**

28. Project : To scope the potential for a “Centre for Bowls” to be developed in central Auckland that would incorporate both indoor and outdoor greens to create year round access for playing bowls, as a well as central headquarters, office space and clubroom facilities

Remuera Bowls have requested an investment of \$25,000 for a total project cost of \$30,000.

29. Background

The Auckland Regional Bowls Facility plan indicates a need to create a “home for bowls” within Auckland. The plan originally identified Mt Eden as a potential site for an all-weather facility and headquarters for the sport.

However, due to issues arising from the complexity of the Mt Eden site, an alternative proposal from Remuera Bowling Club has become the preference due to its proximity to transport links and the size of the land owned by the club.

Auckland Bowls have indicated their support of the Remuera site. Auckland Bowls have agreed to financially contribute \$5000 towards this project.

30. Analysis

A feasibility study to evaluate the proposed Remuera site against the Mt Eden site would investigate the requirements for a bowls high performance centre, include a survey of attributes that would be required to host international bowls events, and would consider the potential impact of the proposed facility on existing bowling clubs within Auckland.

The proposed project would contribute to the goals of both the Auckland Plan and the Auckland Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan (SARSAP) with a particular emphasis on providing fit for purpose facilities.

Council staff supports the concept and endorse the strategic development of an enhanced indoor or covered facility for bowls in Central Auckland. The recommendation is that Auckland Bowls be the driver of the project rather than Remuera Bowling Club.

Recommendation

31. That the committee fund Auckland Bowls \$25,000 towards this feasibility study.

**YMCA of Auckland Inc. (Waitemata Local Board)**

32. Project: to identify options or opportunities for the YMCA around the potential to increase the capacity and the footprint of the YMCA City Stadium in Pitt St.

YMCA has requested an investment of \$35,000 for a total project cost of \$40,000.

33. Background:

The YMCA Pitt St stadium is over 40 years old and currently attracts around 60,000 visits per year.

The proposal aims to develop a feasibility study that will identify reconfiguration options for the facility with a view to increasing capacity by addressing future needs of a changing population and changing patterns of use.

34. Analysis

The National Facilities Strategy for Indoor Sports (Sport NZ, 2013) indicates that there is a shortage of indoor court space throughout Auckland. The strategy recommends that an additional 24 courts are required to meet current demand. Previous Auckland City Council recreation centre plans indicate a shortfall in provision in the central city.

The Auckland City Centre Master Plan signals that the resident population of central Auckland is growing and will continue to grow significantly and in 18 years the population will have almost doubled. An upgrade of the YMCA Pitt St facility would support the council in meeting Outcome 3 of the plan: “A city centre meeting the needs of a growing and changing residential population”.

The feasibility study proposal supports the strategic outcomes of the Auckland Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan (SARSAP) as well as the current Waitemata local board plan by examining options to increase use of the facility by children and young people.

Council staff support the feasibility study application as it shows strategic alignment and addresses a clear demand for indoor court space and growth in the inner city.

#### Recommendation

35. That the Committee fund YMCA of Auckland Inc. \$25,000 towards a feasibility study.

### **Stage Two Applications**

Below is a summary of each of the nine Stage Two applications, including project description, background information, analysis against the criteria and recommendations.

#### **Aotea Boardriders Club (Great Barrier Local Board)**

36. Project: To build a small multi use clubrooms for the club and other affiliated water based and water safety groups on Great Barrier Island.

Aotea Boardriders Club have requested an investment of \$71,991 for a total project cost of \$84,241.

37. Background

The Aotea Boardriders Club is a small (approximately 80 members), long-established surf club operating out of an old stationary bus located on private land on Great Barrier Island. The club hosts a number of competitions and events for local surfers encouraging locals to participate in national surfing circuits.

The club's intention is that a clubroom building would provide a home for long boarders as well as a place for other like-minded organisations to use. The club has developed up an informal understanding with other key user groups such as Sea Education Aotea and Aotea Family Support Group, but need to provide evidence of an increased level of support.

In March 2014, the Great Barrier Island local board proposed that the Aotea Board Riders project take place on council land, instead of the land where the stationary bus is now housed. If this proposal goes ahead there may be a time delay where land owner approval is prepared and approved.

38. Analysis

Quotes and plans for the project of three kitset buildings around a communal deck area have been provided but are not supported by QS estimates.

While the scale of the project is relatively small it is recognised that the needs and fundraising ability of the island are different from those of the central isthmus. It is understood that many of the gaming charitable trusts do not fund projects on Great Barrier Island thus reducing the number of funding agencies clubs can apply to.

Council staff also understand that the club is not yet a legal entity and therefore not able to request or receive any other funding as yet.

Council staff support the concept and the merit of the project. However, it is recommended that the club re-apply to the fund once they have become a legal entity; have some funding to contribute to the project and have confirmed a site for the club rooms.

#### Recommendation

39. That the Committee decline this application

**Auckland Grammar School (Albert-Eden Local Board)**

40. Project: To develop an artificial turf with lights to release pressure on the existing grass fields at Auckland Grammar School

Auckland Grammar School has requested an investment of \$500,000 for a total project cost of \$1.6 million.

41. Background

Auckland Grammar School's number 3 field is situated at the intersection of Clive Road and Normanby Road in Mount Eden. It is proposed that the artificial turf could host a number of recreational sports including rugby, football, touch rugby, lacrosse, cricket fielding practice.

Auckland Grammar School has indicated that they will contribute \$150,000 to the project and secure an additional \$600,000 loan. The school appear to have the ability to service the loan. The school has also recently received \$150,000 towards the project from the New Zealand Community Trust.

42. Analysis

Auckland Council's sports field demand model calculates and matches field demand with field capacity. The model indicates a significant shortfall in the central area for playing field time even taking into account council's proposed significant investment into artificial turf as part of the Sports Ground Capacity Development programme.

The Auckland Regional Football Facility Plan 2011–2021 identifies maximising the use of existing field resources as a priority and highlights the need for an additional 19 artificial turfs (or equivalent capacity hours) to meet demand by 2021. The Albert-Eden local board area has been identified as the area with greatest shortfall of playing field capacity in central Auckland.

The proposal contributes to the outcomes of the Auckland Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan with direct alignment with the priority area of infrastructure. The proposal is endorsed by both the Auckland Rugby Union and the Auckland Football Federation.

The application states that community use of the proposed artificial turf would be between 30 and 35 hours per week with Auckland Grammar seeking exclusive use between 7am to 8-30am and 3pm to 4-30pm on week days. Council would have oversight of the community use managed via Council's field booking system. Community access hours available would be outlined in the funding agreement.

Council staff support the project as it helps address the shortfall of playing fields in the Albert-Eden local board area and represents a good return on investment for council. If further funding was available, the Committee, may consider further investment in this project.

Recommendation

43. That the Committee fund Auckland Grammar School \$361,528 (includes \$350,000 plus \$11,528 reallocated from 12/13) towards construction of the artificial turf.



**Auckland Rowing Association Incorporated. (Regional)**

44. Project: Stage 1 of a regional water sports centre to create water access for rowing and other aquatic sports, through the installation of hardstands, a jetty and a floating pontoon.

Auckland Rowing Association has requested an investment of \$800,000 over two years (\$400,000 in 2013/14 and \$400,000 in 2014/15). The total project cost of the first stage is \$1.8m. This would form part of a larger \$5.5m project.

45. Background

A regional facility for rowing was identified in the Auckland Regional Physical Activity and Sport Strategy (2009) as a priority for Auckland.

Auckland Rowing Association Incorporated has been in discussions with Council representatives over several years regarding the development of a new water sports facility (accommodating rowing, waka ama, canoe, kayak and other clubs) at Highbrook, East Tamaki in the Howick local board area.

The proposed facility will be built on land currently owned by the Highbrook Park Trust. The land will be vested to council in 2015. This site would be the base for regional high performance crews as well as school based crews.

The proposed development includes the provision of a 2000m rowing training course along the Tamaki River (on which a number of central clubs are based). The Proposed Auckland Draft Unitary Plan has identified the Tamaki River as a water sports precinct.

46. Analysis

The proposal contributes to the outcomes of the Auckland Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan with direct alignment with the priority areas of infrastructure, participation and excellence in sport and recreation. The project is endorsed by Rowing New Zealand.

Strategic plans and business plans for both the organisation and the facility have been developed, presented and articulated at a high level and highlight the increase in capacity and capability that will follow the development of a regional facility in this setting.

Based on current user figures presented by the Auckland Rowing, it is anticipated that 55% of the users of the facility will be from the central local board areas. This is followed by 26% from north local board areas, 16% from south board areas, 2% from west board areas.

Auckland Rowing has signalled their intention to seek additional funding for the project from other Auckland Council local board areas in particular the Howick local board.

Assessment of the proposal ranks it a high priority against the criteria of the fund: it is aligned to high level strategic outcomes; it helps address the issues of growth in the sport and addresses the lack of regional water sport facilities within Auckland.

Although the request from Auckland Rowing is for \$800,000 spread over two years, it is recognised that funds cannot be committed beyond the 2013/14 financial year.

Recommendation

47. That the Committee fund the Auckland Rowing Association \$400,000 in the 2013/14 financial year towards Stage 1 of the project

**Eastern Suburbs Gymnastics Club (Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board)**

48. Project: To expand and improve current facilities based on options reported through the recent feasibility study in order to meet the demand for gymnastics.

Eastern Suburbs Gymnastics Club has requested an investment of \$400,000 of a total project cost of \$2.5million.

49. Background

The club own a property on Apirana Avenue, Glen Innes. Auckland City Council approved a Facility Partnership grant of \$600,000 towards the \$1.8 million purchase and fit out of the new premises.

During the 2013/2014 round the Committee approved a feasibility study grant for \$25,000 for the club to investigate how best to cater for growth. The feasibility study has now been completed.

50. Analysis

The club has worked with Sport Auckland to develop its strategic planning and organisational capability in order to cope with the increase in club members, new programmes and increasing demand from new residents within their catchment area. The club has been identified by Gymsports NZ as a pivotal facility for gymnastics in Auckland.

The feasibility study outlined the following options for the club: a) expand its current premises or b) to look further afield to scope a development opportunity, either aligned to another sport with co-location possibilities or c) to sell their current premises and relocate to a nominated site.

The club have not indicated in their application which option in the feasibility study that they wish to pursue and therefore assessment is challenging.

It is understood that if the club were to sell their current premises, then they would bring a considerable amount of investment to their next building project.

It is recommended Council staff work with Eastern Suburbs Gymnastics Club to re-submit another application in a future funding round once it is clear which option the club prefers.

Recommendation

51. That the Committee decline this application.

**Ellerslie Sports Club Inc. (Orakei Local Board)**

52. Project: To build club rooms for both cricket and football on Michaels Ave Reserve as part of the Stage 3 of the Michael Ave Reserve Concept Plan.

Ellerslie Sports Club has requested an investment of \$1.037million with a total project cost of \$2.3million.

53. Background

The Ellerslie Sports Club is the umbrella organisation for the Ellerslie Association Football Club Incorporated and Ellerslie Cricket Club Incorporated and is currently leasing the top floor of the Ellerslie Recreation Centre to use as the sport clubs club rooms. The current lease expires with Council in December 2015.

Council adopted a master plan for Michaels Ave in 2008. The implementation of the concept plan is a staged approach to provide quality playing surfaces, greater field capacity and improved amenities. Stages 1 & 2 have been completed. A new club room space is Stage 3 of the concept plan.

Council budget allowed for the development of the designs for Stage 3 (the new building) with the intention that council would fund the public amenities on the lower level and the club

would raise funds for the top level. There is currently no budget available for the public amenities renewals.

Council has led the design process for the sportsfields and club rooms upgrade in partnership with the club, to tie in with the total re-development plan of the Michaels Ave Reserve. While the Orakei local board has confirmed its support in principle for the project and for funding the construction of the lower half of the building, it does not, at this stage, have budget allocated for this.

54. Analysis

The construction of a shared club rooms is identified in the Ellerslie AFC's Strategic Plan 2013-2015. The project also contributes to the outcomes of the Auckland Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan. (SARSAP).

It is proposed under the 2015-2025 Long Term Plan that some renewals funding will be available and/or new capital works expenditure (yet to be determined) for the construction of the amenities block/ground floor of the proposed building.

Council staff support the project but the request for \$1.037m funding from this facility partnership budget is unrealistic given the amount of funding available. The club have currently raised \$125,000 towards the project and are yet to lodge additional funding applications with other community funders.

It is recommended that this project be seed funded to allow the club to continue to fund raise towards its total target and to complete the redevelopment project.

Recommendation

55. That the Committee fund the Ellerslie Sports Club Inc. \$340,000 as a contribution to the project.

**Great Barrier Island Community Heritage and Arts Village Trust (Great Barrier Local Board)**

56. Project: To complete the renovation and refurbishment of the Gray Homestead as a heritage museum.

Great Barrier Island Community Heritage and Arts Village Trust have requested an investment of \$87,854. This is the total project cost.

57. Background

The Great Barrier Island Community Heritage and Arts Village Trust were established in 2002. The trust's role is to promote and showcase the art and heritage of the island. The trust focuses on the promotion of art, education, lectures, classes and seminars through the gallery and the preservation of three heritage buildings.

The building project is part of a wider development for a heritage village that includes Mabey house as an art gallery, an old school master's house that is set up as workshop space and a multi-use venue, and a third building that is currently used for storage. The trust has undertaken renovation of the Gray Homestead and now requires a larger space for display of heritage items, within the museum part of the heritage village.

The museum building layout has been designed to have a research room in order to allow for future facilities such as computers and printers for individuals or community groups to do their own historical research. It is anticipated that the interactive parts of the museum project will increase numbers to the village by encouraging visitors to record oral history and to research genealogy.

Figures provided by the trust indicate that the facilities attract around 8000 visitors per annum. Membership of the trust is currently 200, with 126 of the island's 900 residents (or 14%) being members.

58. Analysis

This application for Stage 4 of the wider development (repairs to internal walls, ceilings and insulation) is estimated to cost \$87,854, with the trust seeking full costs from the fund for this part of the upgrade project.

The overall Gray Homestead project has taken three years of consistent work and progress by volunteers and members, and has so far cost \$132,048. Council has previously invested in earlier stages of the project: (Dec. 2012 - \$47,894 to weatherproof the house and Dec 2013- \$37,504 to insulate the exterior and first fix wiring) while other funding partners (including Sky City \$5000 and ASB Community Trust \$37,650) have contributed \$84,154.

Further evidence has been sought from the trust and this supporting evidence indicates that volunteers contribute approximately 2,500 hours of volunteer work per annum to running the Heritage Village. While there is no anticipated volunteer involvement in the next stage of construction or renovation, volunteers do help in steering committees, cataloguing, liaising with old farming families on the island, sourcing display and arranging trips.

The supporting information from the trust shows that the plan for the museum is to collaborate with community members and groups to create a building and events which can showcase the diversity of the island's art, history and heritage.

59. Recommendation

Whilst a worthy project, it does not show significant increases in participation numbers and wide community access and therefore within the existing budget available Council staff do not recommend supporting this project at this time.

The Committee may give special consideration due to the unique nature of Great Barrier and low population and isolation. In this case Council staff would recommend funding up to \$50,000 (the Trust has indicated it will seek other funding from others that have supported them in the past).

The committee could consider allocation from the 2013/2014 budget or the additional funding available in the 2014/15 to allow for this.

**The Auckland Performing Arts Centre of Western Springs (TAPAC)  
(Waitemata Local Board)**

60. Project: To install a disability access ramp at the entrance to TAPAC and to install an accessible and safe theatre seating block.

TAPAC has requested an investment of \$85,000 with a total project cost of \$138,000.

61. Background

TAPAC is a performing arts centre that opened in 2003, as the result of a partnership between Western Springs College, the Performing Arts School of NZ, and a facility partnership grant of \$1,150,000 from Auckland City Council.

The centre currently provides four dance and drama studios, and a flexible theatre space for community performing arts education, secondary school students and members of Auckland's professional performing arts and dance communities. The facility is open for 95 hours a week and use has grown to 135,500 visits per annum.

TAPAC's proposal to install a disability ramp at the entrance and to install an accessible and safe theatre seating block (164 seats) is seen as a way to increase both the audience capacity and the usability of the venue. The trust refers to specifically increased use of the centre by young people.

62. Analysis

In 2010, TAPAC were granted \$100,000 from the facility partnership to upgrade the disabled access to the building and carry out alterations to the theatre. However, this funding was subsequently used on a foyer upgrade project in June 2012.

Further evidence needs to be submitted to support the view that the proposed project would increase the patronage and would allow a more diverse range of young people to be involved in projects and performances.

Council staff recognise the impact of TAPAC on the arts community and its strong track record of active engagement. The building currently meets the building code for disabled access although it is not ideal as the access ramp is at the side of the building.

It is recommended that this project not be supported at this stage: it is not signaled as being a high priority in the Trust's own Strategic Plan for asset maintenance, and there is limited evidence as to this project's ability to increase community participation outcomes.

Recommendation

63. That the Committee decline this application

**Three Kings United Football Club (Puketapapa Local Board)**

64. Project: To build a new clubhouse in accordance with the council developed Keith Hay Park plan.

Total project cost is \$3.9 million and the club is requesting \$1.5 million.

65. Background

The Three Kings United Football Club is New Zealand's largest football club with 7,300 members and players. Their clubrooms are located at Keith Hay Park, a significant local and regional sports park covering 22.7 hectares.

The club has been planning a re-development of the club rooms over a number of years: The redevelopment was first contemplated in the original Keith Hay Park Concept plan 2003. Due to the club's growing membership and its level of use of the park the club is looking to replace their clubrooms on a new and larger footprint. The current facilities are inadequate given the growth of the club and the number of members.

In 2010, the club was declined Auckland City Council Facility Partnership scheme funds for a new clubroom development because the proposal was not sufficiently progressed. The club has further developed its plans and has a new location for the clubrooms, aligned to the new artificial playing surfaces within the overall concept plan for the park.

Council adopted a Keith Hay Park Concept Plan in 2012 which includes new car parking, an upgrade of the fields, a new playground, a proposed new Three Kings United clubrooms and other associated works.

66. Analysis

The Auckland Regional Football Facility Plan 2011-2021, identifies Keith Hay Park as a potential site to address the lack of playing fields in Mt Albert, Morningside and Mt Eden

The project was initially estimated to cost between \$2.5million and \$3million, but now due to increasing costs and increasing compliance issues, it is estimated it will total \$3.924 million. The club has secured \$230,000 towards the project. Staff have signalled that a Facility Partnership grant of \$1.5 million is unlikely. The shortfall of approximately \$2 million is planned to be raised from applications to other sport and community funding agencies.

The club's current plans include the building of an amenities block which would provide public toilet facilities and changing rooms. This would make up the lower level of the building with the clubrooms to be built on the top floor.

It is proposed that under the 2015-2025 Long Term Plan some renewals funding could be available and/or new capital works expenditure (amount yet to be determined) for the construction of the amenities block on the ground floor of the proposed building.

This project shows high alignment with the criteria. It is in-line with Council's re-development plans and the programme of works for Keith Hay Park. If this project is funded (even in part), it would signal to other funding partners that this is a well-developed, long term strategic partnership with Council, to increase provision and participation in sport and recreation.

#### Recommendation

67. That the committee part fund \$340,000 as seed funding to allow the Three Kings United Football Club to continue to fund raise towards its total target.

#### **Waiheke Island Rudolf Steiner Education Trust (Waiheke Local Board)**

68. Project: To establish a family support and education centre alongside the Rudolf Steiner Fossil Bay Kindergarten in Oneroa.

Total project cost is \$236,845 and the trust is requesting \$150,000.

69. Background

The Trust operates a small licensed kindergarten, on private land in Fossil Bay near Oneroa. The Trust provides support and education to parents through classes and education sessions.

The Trust intends to expand its facility and operations to serve as a community resource and a hub for child focused education and parenting. The Trust seeks to partner with other like-minded groups who need meeting spaces for healthy living, sustainability and environmental matters.

The key aim of the project is to establish a family support centre where parents can access a wide range of activities for parents, caregivers and children. Through partnering with other community groups the centre will aim to offer a range of classes and seminars that promote sustainable and healthy living.

70. Analysis

Under the eligibility criteria for the Central Facility Partnership Fund guidelines, projects that are primarily health, education, welfare facilities or places of worship, are not eligible. The nature of this organisation and this project appear primarily for educational purposes.

In November 2012, a community facility survey was undertaken to assess use of community facilities on Waiheke Island. Although responses were low, the results indicated generally that the current facilities on Waiheke met the activity needs of the submitters. The results did not support a case for further facilities or the need for a community centre.

Community occupancy figures from July 2013 to March 2014 for the existing council owned facilities on Waiheke are low: Old Blackpool Hall 18%; Old Surfdale Post Office 12.1%; Ostend War Memorial Hall 21.8% and Surfdale Hall at 29.1%. This indicates that there is significant spare capacity at existing facilities.

The survey did however indicate that there is a need for improved networking amongst groups and better communication and coordination between facilities. The trust has indicated that they would like to have a role in facilitating increased cohesion.

The facility proposed is located on private land, whilst the land owner supports the development, the Trust currently only have a 2 year lease with right of renewals until 2019. This signals a potential risk to Council's investment.

The Trust has raised \$22,300, is anticipating a donation for \$10,000 and is seeking \$55,000 from the Lotteries Facility Fund (Nov 2014). If the trust were not to receive the full amount they are requesting from council, they would need to change the amount they are seeking from other funders.

Recommendation

71. The facility is on private land, there is a lack of evidence of need for community space and given the primarily educational purpose of the project, Council staff do not recommend supporting this project within the existing budget available.

Should the Committee wish to support this application, given the projects strong family focus and ability to support community space for environmental and sustainability focused groups on Waiheke, Council staff would recommend funding up to \$75,000 and ensuring a longer term lease is secured.

The committee could consider allocation from the 2013/2014 budget or the additional funding available in the 2014/15 to allow for this.

**Consideration**

**Local board views and implications**

72. The Central Facility Partnerships Committee is represented by a member of each of the seven central local boards. The projects were discussed at a workshop of the committee on 12 May 2014.

Views of the locals boards have been sought regarding support for extension of project funding drawdown dates.

**Maori impact statement**

73. The Facility Partnership scheme is a general programme of interest that is accessible to a wide range of groups, including Maori. No particular implications for the Maori community or Maori stakeholders have been identified as arising from this report.

**Implementation**

74. All Facility Partnership grants are subject to the development of a Facility Partnership Agreement. All the terms and conditions must be jointly agreed upon before the sign off by both parties.

**Attachments**

No.	Title	Page
A	Central Facility Partnership Fund Guidelines 2013/14	25
B	2012-13 Round project updates	29
C	Great Barrier Island Supporting Information	31

**Signatories**

Authors	Suzanne Dennehy - Sport and Recreation Advisor Sharon Rimmer - Manager Recreation Partnerships Programmes and Funding
Authorisers	Ian Maxwell - Manager Parks, Sports & Recreation Judith Webster - Relationship Manager





## Central Facility Partnership Guidelines

### Background

The Facility Partnership fund is a grant scheme available to organisations to assist with facility development projects. Auckland Council has inherited several different approaches for managing and providing community facility partnerships from the former Auckland, North Shore, Manukau and Waitakere City Councils. A new regional policy is being developed to consolidate these inherited approaches and create a consistent, integrated approach. This policy is not yet completed.

In the absence of an integrated regional policy, and to enable facility partnership funding to be allocated, the Regional Development and Operations Committee (RDOC) resolved on 24 May 2012 that an interim approach be implemented for 2012/2013. In February 2013 the Regional Development and Operations Committee resolved that the interim community funding arrangements in place be continued for 2013/2014.

### Introduction

These guidelines have been developed to assist local boards and /or funding committees in making decisions regarding the allocation of facility partnership funding. The guidelines are based on legacy council policies with some operational improvements.

The guidelines are also intended to provide community groups wishing to apply to the Facility Partnership Fund with an overview of:

- eligibility criteria
- the application process

### Fund scope

The purpose of facility partnerships is to support not-for-profit community groups develop community accessible facilities that deliver on council outcomes.

The provision of council funding assists organisations to leverage additional grants from other donors and develop a better facility than may otherwise have been possible.

Where a proposal fits with the council's identified strategic priorities and other relevant criteria, the council may contribute, by way of a grant, to the capital cost of the project. The level of council assistance is informed significantly by the level of benefit and access the wider public will have to the completed facility.

Facility Partnership projects benefit the council by supporting it to meet strategic outcomes through the provision of quality, publicly accessible facilities without the council having to provide all the funding.

### Principles

The Facility Partnerships Fund is underpinned by a number of principles. Projects should demonstrate the following:

- partnership approach
- evidence based demand
- maximum community use of facilities
- increase participation
- easy accessibility by the wider community
- partner organisations have the capability to deliver the project and community outcomes
- project viability (funding plan, feasibility, business plan)
- multiuse projects

- improved levels of service
- facility sustainability.

### Eligibility criteria

To be eligible for facility partnership funding, projects must:

- be applied for by legal entities that have not-for-profit status (e.g. be registered as an incorporated society or charitable trust), or are a school or educational institution
- be for a facility development
- be in the Central area or if in a neighbouring area be of proven regional significance with high use by Central area residents
- be a partnership project with multiple funders, including self-funding from the applicant
- have a total project value of over \$50,000 (GST exclusive)
- align with and have support from regional/national bodies (as applicable/where relevant) and
- the project could also be a feasibility study for a facility.

### *Not eligible*

- proposals from individuals, commercial and/or profit orientated organisations
- projects that are primarily health, education, welfare facilities or places of worship
- retrospective applications/projects that have already started
- debt funding
- on-going operational cost
- maintenance/asset renewal
- design, architectural or technical reports as part of a feasibility study.

### Prioritisation

In addition to alignment with the Principles the following criteria should be used to prioritise projects:

- the extent to which the proposal will increase community participation
- the degree to which the proposal caters for council's priority targeted communities and activities
- whether existing facilities meet local and regional needs whether the proposed facility will meet proven local and regional and needs
- potential partners and their involvement in the project
- allocation of the potential partners own resources, including a financial contribution and the level of access the partner has to other sources of funding/resources
- the financial sustainability of the new facility to ensure that the ongoing operational costs of the facility can be met without council assistance
- who is likely to benefit from the facility
- the status of any resource and/or building consent applications already lodged
- a track record that shows an ability to undertake and complete the proposal and operate the facility as put forward
- capacity building of the community (grows skills within the community)
- the amount of community support for, and involvement in, the project
- acceptable community access to the facility
- positive spin-offs for the community, with minimal negative effects
- potential for funding from other providers
- the overall cost of the project is credible and funding is achievable
- the proposed start and finish dates for the project and whether they span more than one financial year
- how advanced the project planning is
- how advanced the funding plans are
- whether the proposal covers prospects and timing for funding from other agencies
- the appropriateness of the scale of the proposed project including the amount of funding sought from council
- the willingness of the potential partner to develop and engage in a shared vision for the facility.

**Parameters and minimum requirements for facility partnerships**

- Successful applicants will be required to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding and/or Facility Partnership Agreement.
- The Memorandum of Understanding and/or Facility Partnership Agreement will clearly state the intentions of each party, shared objectives and the outcomes each party wishes to achieve.
- Council’s contribution to the facility is to be acknowledged on publicity material and signs commensurate with the level of council’s funding.
- The facility must be promoted for community use.
- Affordable hire charges should be comparable to, and sometimes approved by council.
- Regular reports are to be provided to council on community use, hire fees, promotions, income and expenditure of the facility.
- If requested, council access to the facility for customer surveys or community research is to be provided.
- If requested, the facility is to be made available as a welfare centre in the event of an emergency.
- Evidence must be provided of an agreed minimum level of funding raised by the organisation.
- The funding mix will be discussed and agreed by both parties. Council needs to know as soon as possible if there are any proposed changes to this mix.
- The start and finish dates of the project need to be within the timeframes agreed to at the time of executing the Memorandum of Understanding and/or Facility Partnership Agreement.
- If funds are not uplifted by the agreed dates, approved funding may lapse at council’s discretion.
- Appropriate business processes for tendering and all aspects of work associated with developing the facility are to be used.
- That “NZS 3910:2003 Conditions of Contract for Building and Civil Engineering Construction”, or a variation thereof, will be the basis of any construction contract, given the conditions of contract contained therein are well known and widely accepted by contractors as industry standard
- Facilities are to be insured to full replacement value.
- Council will monitor the terms of the agreement in line with good management practices.
- Council will have input into the sale/lease of the facility’s naming rights.
- Council will recover some of its financial contribution if the use of the facility changes significantly within the terms of agreement or agreed timeframe.
- Council takes no liability for ongoing operational or maintenance funding, nor responsibility for long-term asset replacement. It may, at its discretion, agree to contribute to operational funding based on a maximum level of council assistance. The future operational model will be agreed prior to construction commencing.
- No council funding will be released until all funding is in place for the project to be completed.
- Payment will be in instalments based on work completed.

In addition, the term of community access will be commensurate with the grant approved as per the table below:

grants for \$25,000 - \$100,000	• The partners will negotiate and agree to a minimum level of community access of at least 5 years
grants for \$100,001 - \$250,000	• The partners will negotiate and agree to a minimum level of community access of at least 10 years
grants for \$250,001 - \$750,000	• The partners will negotiate and agree to a minimum level of community access of at least 15 year
grants for \$750,001+	• The partners will negotiate and agree to a minimum level of community access of at least 20 years

**Process**

There will be one funding round per financial year consisting of a two stage approach.

**Stage 1 Expressions of Interest**

- groups submit a high-level proposal (expression of interest)

- proposals are screened to ensure they meet the guidelines, align with the council's strategic needs and that they will benefit the community
- proposals are summarised and presented in a workshop and to the Central Facility Partnership Committee
- some proposals will be declined at this point, while others will progress to stage 2
- officers will work with groups identified by the Committee to develop a full proposal
- no funding decisions are required at this stage
- unsuccessful proposals can be re-submitted for consideration in subsequent years.

**Stage 2 Further assessment and funding decisions**

- council officers will work with the successful organisations to gather further information in respect of the proposal
- selected proposals are assessed against criteria
- full proposals are discussed in a workshop and formally considered by the Committee who will make a funding decision

If a proposal is unlikely to be progressed through the Facility Partnership Fund, a clear signal should be provided to the project proponents including whether a project may be of interest to council in subsequent years. This allows those projects to be progressed independent of council and/or revised where possible and re-presented at a later stage.

2012/13 Round – CAPITAL GRANTS						
Project	LB Area	Project Description	Expiry date	Funding Allocated	Project Status/ update	Recommendations
Barrier Social Club	Great Barrier Island	To replace the leaking roof	30-Jun-15	\$20,000	Project completion report received 28 <sup>th</sup> March 2014. Payment made April 2014. Total cost of project came in under budget by \$5,528.00	1. Balance of \$5,528.00 be re allocated into 2013/14 Central Facilities Partnership fund
East City BMX Club	Orakei	Funding to upgrade and improve the track and facilities at Merton Road Reserve	30-Jun-15	\$150,000	Project Completion report due June 2014.	N/A
Epsom Girls Grammar School - Indoor Sports Gym	Albert-Eden	Funding towards indoor court space	30-Jun-15	\$200,000	Project under way, first payment made April 2014, second payment made May 2014. Project on track.	N/A
Mt Roskill Grammar School - Artificial Playing Surface Trust	Puketepapa	To fix damage to the underlay of the existing turf and replace the existing turf with a water turf	30-Jun-15	\$400,000	FP Agreement signed. Fundraising underway. Resource Consent Lodged and project to commence October 2014.	N/A
Friends of Onehunga Community House	Manugakekei-Tamaki	Restoration of Room 7,	30-Jun-14	\$45,000	Project completed Feb 2014. Fully paid out April 2014. Civic opening ceremony in May 2014	N/A
Ponsonby Cruising Club	Waiemata	Installation of lift for physically impaired and blind sailors	30-Jun-15	\$25,000	Project Completion report due June 2014. Paid out \$20,000 to date	N/A
Te Papapa Onehunga Rugby, Football and Sports Club	Manugakekei-Tamaki	Redevelopment of clubrooms to improve access	30-Jun-15	\$300,000	Project progressing	N/A
West End Lawn Tennis Club	Waiemata	Clubhouse upgrade	30-Jun-15	\$250,000	Update report received and resource consent grant. Project on track to meet the project timeline	N/A
<b>2012/13 round – FEASIBILITY STUDY GRANTS</b>						

Project	LB Area	Project Description	Expiry date	Funding Allocated	Project Status/ update	Recommendations
Auckland Badminton Association and Auckland Table Tennis Association	Albert-Eden	Feasibility study for Auckland Table tennis and Auckland Badminton Association to scope a shared space on the existing site.	NA	\$30,000	Scope and additions approved and signed off First payment towards FS paid March 2014	N/A
Auckland Deaf Society	Albert-Eden	Feasibility study to investigate the development of a community centre on the Balmoral site	NA	\$35,000	Draft report has now been received. April 2014	N/A
Eastern Suburbs Gym Club	Manugakeikei-Tamaki	Feasibility study to asses purchasing a new building vs. developing the current building	NA	\$25,000	Final report received.	N/A
Maungarei Community Christian Trust	Manugakeikei-Tamaki	Feasibility study to extend the use of the existing land and buildings to operate a community centre	NA	\$25,000	Final copy received. Fully paid. Project came in under budget by \$6,000.00.	1. Balance of \$6,000 be re allocated into 2013/14 Central Facilities Partnership fund

**Supporting information for the Stage Two Central Facility Partnership  
Funding Round: Great Barrier Island Community Heritage and Arts Village  
Trust**

Visitor numbers currently average 8000 a year. When we relocated to the new site we had over 10,000 visitors in the first year, and it is anticipated that we will experience a similar surge when the museum opens.

Memberships are currently at 200, including three brand new members this year. Of these 126 live on island, out of a resident population of 900.

The plan for the museum is to create a building which can showcase the diversity of the island history and heritage. The Trust envisages an evolving process with interaction and collaborations with the island schools, visitors and members. This is currently the case with the Art Gallery, with our member's exhibitions and challenges, events and celebrations taking place throughout the year.

We are planning a collaborative project of oral recordings of island history with the older island families and local school children, to create an archive of interesting snippets and facts that can be activated through buttons next to relevant displays.

Additionally we have Heritage days planned where community members will bring their artefacts to the Village to talk to visitors about. We have volunteers and community members who will talk about the history of honey, timber milling and whaling on the island lined up already.

There will be a collection of heritage dress up clothing for children, and events such as making traditional old fashioned toys throughout the year.

Our Heritage garden will show how a settler's garden would have appeared, as an alternative to just displays on a wall.

Genealogy research space and facilities are planned in the office room, with computers, printers and archive system planned.

(It should be noted that we are limited in our use of more interactive equipment such as touch screens, due to power restrictions imposed by relying on a solar panel system.)

**Breakdown of funding received so far**

August 2012	\$5000 received from Sky City towards decking on the Gray House
	\$4390.70 remainder of the decking cost was paid for by fundraising by the Trust.
December 2012	\$47,894.09 received from Auckland Council Local Board, SLIPS funding to reroof and weatherproof the majority of the Gray House.

September 2013	\$37,650 received from ASB Community Trust to weatherproof the back of the Gray House and install a water tank.
December 2013	\$37504.04, received from Local Board to insulate the exterior walls of the Gray House, and for the first fix wiring.

If we receive part funding only, the Trust will seek other funding through organisations which have supported us in the past.

#### Volunteer hours

The Art Gallery is manned by volunteers. Generally we are open from 10 – 4 every day. We have just begun closing on Wednesdays in the winter to give some of our regular volunteers a break. Overall about 2500 volunteer hours are contributed in a year.

These also include attendance at meetings, morning teas, Matariki celebrations, supporting Kids Club, market day events, maintenance of the grounds, working bees to paint walls in the Gallery or clean out the Gray House building.

It is anticipated that there will be volunteers on hand in the museum over the peak times – summer, Easter weekend and Labour weekend, to talk to visitors and guide them round, but the Trust does not expect to be able to maintain that level of volunteer hours throughout the year.

Currently about 2 – 3 hours a week volunteer time goes into grounds maintenance, and this is expected to increase once the Heritage garden is completed.

Before the work on the Heritage garden starts the renovations on the building need to be complete as currently materials are stored by the builders where the garden will be. Also access to the outside of the building will become more limited when the garden is built.

We will be fundraising for garden materials ourselves through sale of plants we have propagated. As we are on sand the gardens will be raised and the Trust plans to fill the beds with homemade compost and soil. The actual construction of the garden - making the raised beds, filling them and planting is anticipated to take around 40 hours.



It is not anticipated that many volunteers will be involved in the next manual construction/ renovation stage as most are elderly. However we are currently organising a steering committee who will coordinate with islanders re their donations and artefacts, source display cases , finalise layouts etc.

Part of their role will also be to liaise with old farming families on the island, to ensure as much as possible we include all items that are felt to be relevant. This is a Community museum and we hope to involve as many of our community as possible.

We have a trip planned to Whitianga museum to liaise with Ron Morgan who has links to the island, and is keen to help us as much as possible. These all involve the contribution of large numbers of volunteer hours.

Volunteers have helped catalogue items we already have been donated, and a number of island residents have been propagating plants and even fruit trees that have historic value for us to use in our garden. This is very much a project that has been supported by the island community.