



I hereby give notice that an ordinary meeting of the Heritage Advisory Panel will be held on:

Date: Tuesday, 22 July 2014
Time: 6.00pm
Meeting Room: 1 Greys Avenue
Venue: Auckland Council Building
Level 15 meeting room

Heritage Advisory Panel OPEN AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

**Chairperson
Members**

Cr Mike Lee	Dr Ann McEwan
Elizabeth Aitken-Rose	Graeme Murdoch
David Beamish	Bill Rayner
Graeme Burgess	Sherry Reynolds
Rob Enright	Lorraine Wilson
Michael Geale	Sally Hughes
John La Roche	Jim Mathers
Allan Matson	Leyton Chan
Jane Matthews	

(Quorum 10 members)

**Suad Allie
Democracy Advisor**

18 July 2014

Contact Telephone: (09) 367 3078
Email: suad.allie@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

TERMS OF REFERENCE

Purpose

- Provide advice to the council in relation to:
 - the direction and content of strategies, policies and initiatives
 - any matter that the panel considers to be of particular interest or concern to the historic heritage of Auckland
- To deliver advice for improving the promotion and management of historic heritage of the Auckland region
- Advise Auckland Council on council processes and mechanisms for engagement with the historic heritage community, including owners of heritage buildings in Auckland.
- To recommend historic heritage expert membership and provide advice to the Auckland Urban Design Panel.

Scope

To provide a forum for the consideration of issues affecting historic heritage of the Auckland region and provide advice to the council.

Priorities

The priorities for the panel include:

- Historic Heritage Plan
- Issues relating to the Unitary Plan
- Council processes
- Input into the Auckland Urban Design Panel.

Membership

The panel's spokesperson is its chairperson.

ITEM	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
1	Apologies	5
2	Declaration of Interest	5
3	Confirmation of Minutes	5
4	Extraordinary Business	5
5	Kestrel Presevation Society Inc	7
6	Presentation on pre1944 Survey work-methodology and priorities	9
7	Presentation on Heritage Asset Management Tools	11
8	General Business	

Avoiding minor damage to historic buildings during footpath works

To discuss how to avoid and manage minor damage to historical buildings during footpath works for installation of high speed.

9 Consideration of Extraordinary Items

1 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

3 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Heritage Advisory Panel:

- a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 20 May 2014, as a true and correct record.

4 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

- (a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and
- (b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-
 - (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and
 - (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

- (a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-
 - (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and
 - (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but
- (b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”

Kestrel Presevation Society Inc

File No.: CP2014/15722

Mike Alston, Chair Kestrel Preservation Society Inc, Hugh Gladwell and Kurt Marguart will be in attendance to update the panel on the progress of restoring the Kestrel.

Presentation on pre1944 Survey work-methodology and priorities

File No.: CP2014/16112

Council have recently appointed a team to undertake the evidence preparation for the Pre 1944 layer in the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan.

Tony Barnes, Team Leader Pre 1944 Survey Heritage will provide a brief update on a proposed methodology as well as discussing how the work will be prioritised.

Presentation on Heritage Asset Management Tools

File No.: CP2014/16176

Purpose

1. To provide a short presentation on a forum that was attended in Wellington on "Understanding the public value of heritage hosted by Ministry of Culture and Heritage.

Executive summary

2. Nicola Short, Manager Strategic Planning and Partnership, Strategy and Asset Planning and John Brown, Team Leader, Built Heritage Implementation will be in attendance to present on the asset management tool developed by the Property Department, and its application to heritage values.

Recommendation/s

That the Heritage Advisory Panel:

- a) receive the presentation.

Comments

3. An asset management tool developed by the Property Department, and its application to heritage values. The tool is described below.

'Whole of Life Value Tool' (WoLV)

The Auckland Council Property department have developed a tool to help assess the holistic values that council assets provide over their whole lifetime, in order to fully understand their costs and benefits to the communities they serve.

The tool uses the principles of 'social return on investment' to develop proxy economic values for non-monetary social returns such as wellbeing, amenity and cultural capital, including heritage.

Specifically it analyses an 'intervention' (e.g. the restoration of a heritage building), by defining the desired outcomes, identifying those stakeholders who will benefit from the intervention over its lifetime, and measures this against the cost of the intervention. The net result of this is expressed as a ratio in terms of an economic return (e.g. for every \$1 invested, \$3 may be returned over the lifetime of the asset). The key points are:

- Whole of life value means end-to-end, total asset lifetime analysis
- Includes all significant capital and operational costs
- Compares tangible (\$\$\$) and intangible (value)
- Focused on outcomes for stakeholders & requires clear articulation of outcomes up front
- Standardised tool used within Council for evaluating major property investments, both new builds and renewals.
- Increased ability to compare different development options in terms of their impact on outcomes.

- Increased understanding of how the investments deliver the Auckland Plan.

Item 7

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Signatories

Author	John Brown, Team Leader, Built Heritage Implementation
--------	--