

Date: Tuesday 11 August 2015
Time: 1.30pm
Meeting Room: Level 26
Venue: 135 Albert Street
Auckland

Unitary Plan Committee

OPEN MINUTE ITEM ATTACHMENTS

ITEM	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
9.1	Extraordinary Business - Auckland Council's submission on the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan - Character Overlay and the Historic Heritage Overlay/Schedule	
A.	11 August 2015, Unitary Plan Committee, Item 9.1, Extraordinary Business - Character Overlay and the Historic Heritage Overlay/Schedule, Memo.	3

Memo

Date 7 August 2015

To: Unitary Plan Committee
From: John Duguid

Subject: Recommended partial withdrawal and withdrawal of Auckland Council submission points

Purpose: The purpose of this memo is to seek approval from the Unitary Plan Committee to withdraw and withdraw in part the following council submission points on the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan.

Rule or Section of Unitary Plan	Appendix 9 Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan
Submission(s) references	See below
Summary of decision sought	See reasons below
Other prime submissions on this site/topic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Generic only
Further submissions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generic only A range of specific and generic further submissions relate to the primary submission points set out below. Details are set out in the commentary relating to each submission point.

Submission #	UID #	Reason to withdraw submission
5716-86 (withdraw in part)	02557	<p>This submission point seeks to remove the building at 51-53 Albert Street, CBD from I4.10 Map 1 (Historic Character buildings within the CBD) and from the historic heritage schedule. This is included in the Historic Heritage schedule in the PAUP as a Category B place.</p> <p>The part of this submission point that relates to the historic heritage schedule was generated in error and the intent of the Council was not to remove the building at this address from the historic heritage schedule, but only to remove it from I4.10 Map 1.</p> <p>This submission point was coded to Topic 050 (City Centre) and the hearing for that Topic has already been held. The removal of the building at this site from I4.10 Map 10 was given effect to via Topic 050. There are only generic further submissions relating to this submission point.</p> <p>A submission coded to Topic 032 supports the retention of this building on the Historic Heritage schedule (Roman Catholic Bishop of the Diocese of Auckland (5256-173). Generic further submissions oppose in part this submission point. Council officers' position is that this building should be retained on the historic heritage schedule.</p> <p>Therefore the part of this submission point that seeks to remove this building from the schedule should be withdrawn.</p>
5716-87 (withdraw in part)	02474	<p>This submission point seeks to remove the building at 33 Wyndham Street, CBD from I4.10 Map 1 (Historic Character buildings within the CBD) and from the historic heritage schedule. This is included in the Historic Heritage schedule in the PAUP as a Category B place.</p>

Submission #	UID #	Reason to withdraw submission
		<p>The part of this submission point that relates to the historic heritage schedule was generated in error and the intent of the Council was not to remove the building at this address from the historic heritage schedule, but only to remove it from I4.10 Map 1.</p> <p>This submission point was coded to Topic 050 (City Centre) and the hearing for that Topic has already been held. The removal of the building at this site from I4.10 Map 10 was given effect to via Topic 050. There are generic further submissions relating to this submission point. In addition, FS 288 (Gold Star Corporation Limited) supports this submission point, in particular the aspect that seeks to remove the building from the historic heritage schedule.</p> <p>A submission coded to Topic 032 supports the retention of this building on the Historic Heritage schedule (Roman Catholic Bishop of the Diocese of Auckland (5256-174). In addition to generic further submissions opposing in part this submission point, FS 288 (Gold Star Corporation Limited) opposes this submission point.</p> <p>Council officers' position is that this building should be retained on the historic heritage schedule.</p> <p>Therefore the part of this submission point that seeks to remove this building from the schedule should be withdrawn.</p>
<p>5716-88 (withdraw in part)</p>	<p>02489</p>	<p>This submission point seeks to remove the building at 48-52 Wyndham Street, CBD from I4.10 Map 1 (Historic Character buildings within the CBD) and from the historic heritage schedule. This is included in the Historic Heritage schedule in the PAUP as a Category B place.</p> <p>The part of this submission point that relates to the historic heritage schedule was generated in error and the intent of the Council was not to remove the building at this address from the historic heritage schedule, but only to remove it from I4.10 Map 1.</p> <p>This submission point was coded to Topic 050 (City Centre) and the hearing for that Topic has already been held. The removal of the building at this site from I4.10 Map 10 was given effect to via Topic 050. There are only generic further submissions relating to this submission point.</p> <p>There are other primary submissions coded to Topic 032 that support the retention of this building on the Historic Heritage schedule (Roman Catholic Bishop of the Diocese of Auckland (5256-175). Generic further submissions oppose in part this submission point.</p> <p>The Department of Corrections seeks an amendment to the extent of this historic heritage place such that it does not apply to the site at 63 Albert Street. Council officers support this relief and propose to address this matter via Topic 032.</p> <p>Council officers' position is that this building should be retained on the historic heritage schedule.</p> <p>Therefore the part of this submission point that seeks to remove this building from the schedule should be withdrawn.</p>
<p>5716-89 (withdraw in part)</p>	<p>01948</p>	<p>This submission point seeks to remove part of the building at 2 Drake Street, CBD from I4.10 Map 1 (Historic Character buildings within the CBD) and from the historic heritage schedule. This is included in the Historic Heritage schedule in the PAUP as a Category B place.</p> <p>The part of this submission point that relates to the historic heritage schedule was generated in error and the intent of the Council was not to remove the building at this address from the historic heritage schedule,</p>

Submission #	UID #	Reason to withdraw submission
		<p>but only to remove it from I4.10 Map 1.</p> <p>This submission point was coded to Topic 050 (City Centre) and the hearing for that Topic has already been held. The removal of the building at this site from I4.10 Map 10 was given effect to via Topic 050. There are generic further submissions relating to this submission point. In addition, FS1329 (Morton Property Group) supports the aspect of this submission point that seeks to remove the building from I4.10 Map 10.</p> <p>No submissions have been coded to Topic 032 in relation to this historic heritage place. Council officers' position is that this building should be retained on the historic heritage schedule.</p> <p>Therefore the part of this submission point that seeks to remove this building from the schedule should be withdrawn.</p>
<p>5716-512 (withdraw)</p>		<p>Council Submission 5716-512 requested that the interiors of Brooks Homestead in Awhitu Regional Park be added to the "exclusions" column of the schedule. The reason this submission was made was that interiors were not managed in the Franklin District Plan, and so it was initially thought that the inclusion of the interior was an error. The Council experts overseeing the Council submission have reviewed the point and note that there is sufficient evidence to justify protection of the interior. There is a conservation plan that identifies important elements of the interior which should be protected. The Brooks Homestead is a Council-owned asset, so there are no private landowners that would be affected by changing the Council's position on the matter.</p> <p>It is the Council experts' recommendation to keep the interior protected and thus to no longer pursue submission point 5716-512. The decision to "not pursue" a point in Council's submission is a decision that the Unitary Plan Committee must make.</p>