



Auckland Development Committee

OPEN MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the Auckland Development Committee held in the Reception Lounge, Auckland Town Hall, 301-305 Queen Street, Auckland on Wednesday, 6 July 2016 at 9.41 am.

PRESENT

Chairperson
Deputy Chairperson
Members

Deputy Mayor Penny Hulse
Cr Chris Darby
Cr Anae Arthur Anae
Cr Cameron Brewer
From 9.55 am, Item 13 until 2.10 pm, Item C1.
From 11.05 am, Item 15

Mayor Len Brown, JP
Cr Dr Cathy Casey
Cr Bill Cashmore
Cr Ross Clow
Cr Alf Filipaina
Cr Hon. Christine Fletcher, QSO
Cr Denise Krum
Cr Mike Lee
Member Liane Ngamane
Cr Calum Penrose
Cr Dick Quax
Cr Sharon Stewart, QSM
Member David Taipari
Cr Sir John Walker, KNZM, CBE
Cr Wayne Walker
Cr John Watson
Cr Penny Webster
Cr George Wood, CNZM
Until 10.12 am, Item 13.
From 9.46 am, item 11.
From 9.54 am, Item 13.
From 9.44 am, Item 7.

ABSENT

Cr Linda Cooper

ALSO PRESENT

Shale Chambers
Simon Randall
Mark Thomas

Waitemata Local Board Chair
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Chair
Orakei Local Board Member

1 Apologies

Resolution number AUC/2016/95

MOVED by Chairperson PA Hulse, seconded by Cr R Clow:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) **accept the apologies from Cr LA Cooper for absence, Cr C Brewer, Cr JG Walker and Cr WD Walker for lateness and Cr R Clow for early departure.**

CARRIED

2 Declaration of Interest

Cr MP Webster declared an interest in Item 11 – Approval of Plan Change 148 (Matakana Country Park) to the Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011.

3 Confirmation of Minutes

Resolution number AUC/2016/96

MOVED by Chairperson PA Hulse, seconded by Cr CM Penrose:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) **confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Monday, 4 July 2016, as a true and correct record.**

CARRIED

4 Petitions

There were no petitions.

5 Public Input

There was no public input.

6 Local Board Input

6.1 Puketapapa Local Board Member M Wood - Liston Village

The Puketapapa Local Board has withdrawn its request from the agenda.

6.2 Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Chair, Simon Randall - Tāmaki Regeneration Project and East West Link Project

Simon Randall spoke under Item 14 – East West Link Project – Notice of Requirement, Resource Consents and Environmental Protection Authority process.

7 Extraordinary Business

Cr WD Walker entered the meeting at 9.44 am.

There was no extraordinary business.

8 Notices of Motion

There were no notices of motion.

9 Reports Pending Status Update

Resolution number AUC/2016/97

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson C Darby, seconded by Chairperson PA Hulse:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) receive the reports pending status update.

CARRIED

10 Summary of information memos and briefings - 6 July 2016

Resolution number AUC/2016/98

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson C Darby, seconded by Chairperson PA Hulse:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) receive the summary of information memos and briefings – 6 July 2016.

CARRIED

11 Approval of Plan Change 148 (Matakana Country Park) to the Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011

Cr MP Webster declared an interest in this item and took no part in the discussion or voting on the matter.

Cr CE Fletcher entered the meeting at 9.46 am.

Resolution number AUC/2016/99

MOVED by Cr CM Penrose, seconded by Cr AM Filipaina:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) approve Plan Change 148 (Matakana Country Park) to the Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011, as set out in Attachment B to this report, pursuant to Clause 17 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- b) authorise the Manager Planning North/West to complete the statutory processes under Clause 20 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991 to make Plan Change 148 to the Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) 2011 operative in the District Plan.

CARRIED

12 Approval of Proposed (Private) Plan Change 179 (Rezoning 47 and 61 Dawson Road, Snells Beach) to the Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section)

Resolution number AUC/2016/100

MOVED by Cr MP Webster, seconded by Cr CM Penrose:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) approve Plan Change 179 to the Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) as set out in (Attachment A) to this report pursuant to Clause 17 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991;

- b) **authorise the Manager Planning North West to complete the statutory processes required under Clause 20 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991, to make the Proposed Plan Change 179 to the Auckland Council District Plan (Rodney Section) operative, in the District Plan.**

CARRIED

13 Northern Corridor Improvement Project - Notice of Requirement / Resource Consent applications and Environmental Protection Authority process

A PowerPoint presentation was provided by staff in support of the items 13 and 14. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Cr JG Walker entered the meeting at 9.54 am.

Cr C Brewer entered the meeting at 9.55 am.

Cr R Clow left the meeting at 10.12 am.

Resolution number AUC/2016/101

MOVED by Cr J Watson, seconded by Cr MP Webster:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) **agree that the council's response to the Environmental Protection Authority in relation to the Notices of Requirement and applications for resource consent for the Northern Corridor Improvements Project:**
- i) **confirms that the Northern Corridor Improvements project is part of a proposal of national significance;**
 - ii) **recommends that the proposal should be referred to a Board of Inquiry;**
 - iii) **confirms the Auckland Council's capacity to process the matter if required.**
- b) **agree to delegate to the committee Chair, Deputy Chair and a member of the Independent Māori Statutory Board, working with the Manager North West Planning and Team Manager Major Infrastructure Projects, the task of preparing a list of potential Board of Inquiry members with expertise in engineering, planning, urban design, landscape architecture and tikanga Māori, should the Minister refer the Northern Corridor Improvements Project to a Board of Inquiry.**

CARRIED

Attachments

- A 6 July 2016, Auckland Development Committee, Item 13 - Northern Corridor Improvement Project - Notice of Requirement / Resource Consent applications and Environmental Protection Authority process - items 13 and 14 presentation

14 East West Link Project - Notice of Requirement, Resource Consents and Environmental Protection Authority Process

A PowerPoint presentation was provided by staff in support of items 13 and 14. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Simon Randall, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Chair was in attendance to speak on this item.

Note: Changes to recommendation b) were made with the agreement of the meeting.

Resolution number AUC/2016/102

MOVED by Cr DA Krum, seconded by Cr MP Webster:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) **agree that the council's response to the Environmental Protection Authority in relation to the notices of requirement and applications for resource consent for the East West Link project:**
- i) **confirms that the East West Link project is a proposal of national significance**
 - ii) **recommends that the East West Link should be referred to a Board of Inquiry**
 - iii) **confirms that Auckland Council has the capacity to process the matter if required.**
- b) **delegate to the Chair and Deputy Chair of the Auckland Development Committee, the Chair of the Infrastructure committee, a member of the Independent Māori Statutory Board and the local councillor and local board Chairs of Maungakiekie-Tāmaki and Mangere Ōtāhuhu working with General Manager Plans and Places and Team Manager Major Infrastructure Assessments, the task of preparing a list of potential Board of Inquiry members with expertise in the areas of ecology/coastal processes, engineering, landscape architecture/urban design, resource management planning, transport planning and tikanga Māori, should the Ministers refer the East West Link project to a Board of Inquiry.**

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstained</u>
Cr AJ Anae	Cr C Casey	
Cr C Brewer	Cr ME Lee	
Cr W Cashmore	Cr WD Walker	
Deputy Chairperson C Darby		
Cr AM Filipaina		
Cr CE Fletcher		
Chairperson PA Hulse		
Cr DA Krum		
Member L Ngamane		
Cr CM Penrose		
Cr D Quax		
Cr SL Stewart		
Member DE Taipari		
Cr JG Walker		
Cr J Watson		
Cr MP Webster		
Cr GS Wood		

The motion was declared CARRIED by 17 votes to 3.

CARRIED

Attachments

- A 6 July 2016, Auckland Development Committee, Item 14 - East West Link Project - Notice of Requirement, Resource Consents and Environmental Protection Authority Process presentation

15 Submission on proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity

Staff were present in support of the item.

The Orakei Local Board, Waiheke Local Board and Whau Local Board tabled their feedback at the meeting. A copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Mayor LCM Brown entered the meeting at 11.05 am.

Note: The additional recommendation c) was added with the agreement of the meeting.

Resolution number AUC/2016/103

MOVED by Member DE Taipari, seconded by Cr CM Penrose:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) **endorse the content of Auckland Council's draft submission to the Ministry for the Environment and Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment on the proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity, as set out in the report.**
- b) **authorise the Auckland Development Committee Chair, Deputy Chair and a member of the Independent Māori Statutory Board to finalise and approve Auckland Council's submission on the proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity.**
- c) **recommend to Watercare Services that their submission is merged with Auckland Council's submission.**

CARRIED

Attachments

- A 6 July 2016, Auckland Development Committee, Orakei Local Board Feedback on Item 15 - Submission on proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity.
- B 6 July 2016, Auckland Development Committee, Waiheke Local Board Feedback on Item 15 - Submission on proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity.
- C 6 July 2016, Auckland Development Committee, Whau Local Board Feedback on Item 15 - Submission on proposed National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity.

The meeting adjourned at 11.13 am, and reconvened at 11.25 am. When the meeting reconvened members Member L Ngamane and Member DE Taipari were not present.

16 Port Future Study

Member L Ngamane returned to the meeting at 11.26 am.

Member DE Taipari returned to the meeting at 11.26 am.

Cr AM Filipaina left the meeting at 1.00 pm.

Cr AM Filipaina returned to the meeting at 1.10 pm.

Note: Changes to the original recommendations were made with the agreement of the meeting.

Note: The motion was taken in two parts.

Resolution number AUC/2016/104

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson C Darby, seconded by Mayor LCM Brown:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) receive a verbal report from Dr. Rick Boven, Independent Chair for the Port Future Study;
- b) thank the members of the Consensus Working Group, Reference Group and Independent Chair for their time and valued contribution to the Study;
- c) receive the Consensus Working Group report and the supporting consultants' report to the Port Future Study and;
- d) receive the following recommendations and accompanying notes from the Consensus Working Group, offered as an integrated package:
 - i. ***A port relocation option is established for freight, noting:***
 - ***If the port is moved, then cruise ships should continue to be accommodated near the CBD***
 - ii. ***Comprehensive investigation of the identified location area options - Manukau Harbour and the Firth of Thames - is undertaken to decide which specific option is chosen, noting:***
 1. ***Investigation to identify the specific relocation option should include consideration of at least:***
 - ***The long term engineering requirements, navigability, safety and availability of the Manukau and Firth of Thames options***
 - ***The effect of a west coast versus east coast location on shipping and the competitiveness of the Auckland port and the national supply chain***
 - ***The wider and long term implications of west coast versus east coast locations including on Auckland's long term transport strategy, land use development, land-side freight routes and the potential for a super-port***
 - ***Mana whenua values, views and opportunities for each of the potential sites identified***
 - ***The environmental impacts of the new site and analysis of consenting pathways***
 - ***How and when any new port could be funded.***
 - iii. ***Regular monitoring of relocation triggers is undertaken to identify the time at which the port relocation option should be exercised, noting:***
 - ***The port may move when the social, environmental, cultural, economic, urban development or other conditions indicate that moving the port is beneficial for the city centre, or Auckland or New Zealand***
 - ***The port may move when expected demand growth, expected capacity growth and the time required to complete the move indicate that moving the port has become necessary***
 - ***It is possible that Auckland's future unfolds in a way that neither of the triggers for the beneficial or necessary cases will be "pulled", which would mean that the port would accommodate long term demand at the current site.***
 - iv. ***Subject to confirmed and credible commitment to establishing a port relocation option and to establishing sufficient additional berth length to accommodate expected growth in large cruise and multi-cargo vessels, the port should not expand beyond its current footprint, noting:***

- ***The work done so far for the Central Wharves Strategy implies the need for additional cruise berths and the Consultant's report endorses POAL's case that additional long berths are required to accommodate expected short and medium term growth in cruise and multi-cargo operations***
- ***The Consultant has recommended a northern east-west berth at Bledisloe Wharf and the CWG is in agreement that a northern berth presents a viable short term option. Exact specifications to meet future berth demand will be worked through***
- ***The CWG recognises mana whenua and community opposition to any further extension of port operations into the harbour and that deciding the plan to provide the required berth capacity will require rigorous identification and evaluation of alternative options***
- ***The Port Future Study is a study to provide a long term strategy for the location of the port and there are established processes for short term berth provision decisions.***

The Consensus Working Group notes that, adopting some recommendations while not implementing others, could result in adverse unintended consequences.

- e) notes that the Consensus Working Group has identified the need for sufficient berth length for cruise and multi-cargo, but does not specifically recommend a wharf extension at Bledisloe as the solution and that the plan to provide the required berth capacity will require rigorous identification and evaluation of alternative options.
- f) refer the Consensus Working Group recommendations and report, and the supporting consultants' report, to the incoming council.

CARRIED

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson C Darby, seconded by Mayor LCM Brown:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- g) request the Chief Executive to consider the Consensus Working Group's recommendations and report, as well as the supporting consultants' report, and to refer to the incoming council:
 - i) a process to investigate location options identified in the Consensus Working Group and consultants' reports in the Manukau Harbour and Firth of Thames, and to determine an alternative port location, and
 - ii) a scope, based on the matters included in the Consensus Working Group and consultants' reports, for identifying and monitoring 'relocation triggers' on an on-going basis to inform the execution of the relocation option including a full economic, environmental, social and cultural impact assessment for each option.
 - iii) a short list of independent third parties that could lead a process of rigorous identification and evaluation of alternative options to provide sufficient berth length to accommodate both large cruise and multi-cargo vessels, such provision taking into account economic, social, cultural and environmental factors and competing uses for city centre waterfront space and the various impacts of those options.

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

<u>For</u>	<u>Against</u>	<u>Abstained</u>
Cr AJ Anae	Cr C Brewer	
Mayor LCM Brown	Cr C Casey	
Cr W Cashmore	Cr CE Fletcher	
Deputy Chairperson C Darby	Cr ME Lee	
Cr AM Filipaina	Cr CM Penrose	
Chairperson PA Hulse	Cr D Quax	
Cr DA Krum	Cr SL Stewart	
Member L Ngamane	Cr WD Walker	
Member DE Taipari	Cr J Watson	
Cr JG Walker	Cr MP Webster	
	Cr GS Wood	

The motion was declared LOST by 10 votes to 11.

LOST

17 Consideration of Extraordinary Items

There was no consideration of extraordinary items.

18 Procedural motion to exclude the public

Resolution number AUC/2016/105

MOVED by Chairperson PA Hulse, seconded by Cr GS Wood:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

a) **exclude the public from the following part(s) of the proceedings of this meeting.**

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution follows.

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public, as follows:

C1 Tāmaki Regeneration Project and Governance Report

Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Particular interest(s) protected (where applicable)	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.	s7(2)(b)(ii) - The withholding of the information is necessary to protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information. In particular, the report contains information of a procurement process. Releasing this information would prejudice the commercial position of Panuku	s48(1)(a) The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.

	<p>Development Auckland or Auckland Council.</p> <p>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities.</p> <p>In particular, the report contains information of a procurement process. Releasing this information would prejudice the commercial position of Panuku Development Auckland or Auckland Council.</p>	
--	---	--

The text of these resolutions was made available to the public who were present at the meeting and formed part of the minutes of the meeting.

CARRIED

1.26 pm The public was excluded.

Resolutions in relation to the confidential items are recorded in the confidential section of these minutes and are not publicly available.

2.29pm The public was re-admitted.

RESTATEMENTS

It was resolved while the public was excluded:

C1 Tāmaki Regeneration Project and Governance Report

Resolution number AUC/2016/106

MOVED by Cr DA Krum, seconded by Cr MP Webster:

That the Auckland Development Committee:

- a) delegate to the Tāmaki Co-ordination Group authority to approve the Tāmaki Reference Plan, the Infrastructure Framework Funding Agreement land exchange process, and the Outcomes and Evaluation Framework and to exercise all shareholder powers of Auckland Council and reported back to Auckland Council
- b) delegate to the Chief Executive of Auckland Council authority to approve the Infrastructure Funding Agreements
- c) delegate to the Chief Executive of Auckland Council authority to approve the proposed land exchange process once approval is obtained from the Tamaki Co-ordination Group to the land exchange process which is subject to all statutory requirements

Restatement

- d) agree that resolutions a) to c) be released into the open minutes of the meeting, with the exception of the confidential report which will remain confidential until the Tamaki Redevelopment Company Limited release its relevant documents on the Tamaki Regeneration project.

2.29 pm

The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD
AT A MEETING OF THE AUCKLAND
DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE HELD ON

DATE:.....

CHAIRPERSON:.....