

I hereby give notice that an ordinary meeting of the Howick Local Board will be held on:

Date: Tuesday, 23 August 2016
Time: 10.00am
Meeting Room: Howick Local Board Meeting Room
Venue: Pakuranga Library Complex
7 Aylesbury Street
Pakuranga

Howick Local Board OPEN AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

Chairperson	David Collings
Deputy Chairperson	Adele White
Members	Garry Boles
	Katrina Bungard
	Jim Donald
	Lucy Schwaner
	John Spiller
	Steve Udy
	Bob Wichman

(Quorum 5 members)

Lynda Pearson
Local Board Democracy Advisor

17 August 2016

Contact Telephone: (09) 572 0151
Email: lynda.pearson@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

ITEM	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
1	Welcome	5
2	Apologies	5
3	Declaration of Interest	5
4	Hearing and deliberations report on proposed changes to local dog access rules in the Howick Local Board area	7

1 Welcome

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

Hearing and deliberations report on proposed changes to local dog access rules in the Howick Local Board area

File No.: CP2016/17168

Purpose

1. To support the Howick Local Board Dog Access Hearing Panel with a summary of submissions on the proposed changes to local dog access rules in the Howick Local Board area.

Executive summary

2. The Howick Local Board Dog Access Hearing Panel ('the Panel') has been appointed to hear, deliberate and make decisions on submissions received about proposed changes to local dog access rules in the Howick Local Board area (resolution HW/2016/233).
3. The Howick Local Board adopted the proposed changes at its business meeting on the 9 May 2016 (resolution HW/2016/233). The public was notified about proposed changes on 10 June 2016. The public submission period closed six weeks later on 17 July 2016. A total of 297 submissions were received and 26 submitters indicated that they wished to be heard.
4. The hearing and deliberation process requires the Panel to conduct public meetings to:
 - hear from the 26 submitters who wish to speak to their submission
 - deliberate all matters raised in written and oral submissions
 - decide on changes to local dog access rules (including a start date) by adopting a decision report containing amendments to the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2012
 - request that the governing body amend the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2012 and Dog Management Bylaw 2012 at its 29 September 2016 meeting.
5. This report provides a summary of the submissions received and other relevant information to assist the Panel with the hearing and deliberation process.

Recommendation/s

That the Howick Local Board:

- a) in relation to proposed changes to local dog access rules contained in the document titled 'Amendments to Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2012 – Howick Local Board May 2016':
 - i) receive the submissions in Attachment C
 - ii) hear the submitters who wish to be heard in support of their submission.

Comments

Proposed Changes to Local Dog Access Rules

6. In 2012, local boards were delegated responsibility to review dog access rules for local parks, beaches and foreshore areas (resolution GB/2012/157).
7. Reviewing dog access rules requires a special consultative procedure under the Local Government Act 2002. This process requires:
 - the adoption of proposed changes to dog access rules ('proposed changes')
 - public notification of the proposed changes for submissions
 - public hearing, deliberation and decisions on the proposed changes.
8. At its business meeting on 14 December 2015, the Howick Local Board resolved to review its local dog access rules in 2016 (resolution HW/2015/181).
9. Following a pre-consultation period to gather information and initial community views, the Howick Local Board adopted proposed changes on the 9 May 2016 for public consultation (resolution HW/2016/233).
10. The Howick Local Board Dog Access Hearing Panel ('the Panel') was also appointed to hear, deliberate and decide on submissions to the proposed changes (resolution HW/2016/233).
11. The proposed changes to dog access rules include to:
 - prohibit dogs on the foreshore (including intertidal mangrove) areas along the south western coastline of the local board area. This area extends from Wakaaranga Creek to Highbrook Creek and includes Pakuranga Creek
 - allow dogs under control on a leash on the reserve and walkway areas along the south western coastline of the local board area. This area includes the Rotary walkway
 - prohibit dogs on Mangemangeroa Reserve and Chisbury Terrace Reserve and associated foreshore
 - amend and apply the time and season rule on Bucklands Beach (including Little Bucklands Beach), Cockle Bay, Eastern Beach, Howick Beach, and Mellons Bay Beach
 - allow dogs under control off a leash to 103 dog friendly areas across the local board area, including to Musick Point Esplanade Reserve and quiet beach areas adjacent to Howick Beach, Bucklands Beach, Eastern Beach and Mellons Bay
 - allow dogs under control on a leash on Tainui Reserve
 - introduce a default under control on a leash rule
 - remove the under control on a leash on any 'farm paddock in a park occupied by stock' and replace it with location-specific rules.
12. A full copy of the proposed changes is contained in Attachment A – Statement of Proposal.

Public Notification for Submissions

13. The proposed changes were publicly notified for submissions on 10 June 2016. The submission period closed six weeks later on 17 July 2016. The proposed changes were notified through:
 - notices to all registered dog owners with their dog registration reminder letters
 - a public notice in the New Zealand Herald on 10 June 2016

- a public notice in the July edition of Our Auckland
 - a notice on the Auckland Council website
 - a notice in the June People's Panel e-update
 - updates to local board registered stakeholders
 - notices to previous submitters on the 2012 dog access review, residing in the Howick Local Board area
 - a notice in social media channels (i.e. on Facebook and in Neighbourly)
 - a notice in the Howick Times, Pakuranga Times, Howick and Botany Times and Eastern Courier
 - Howick Local Board 'e-bulletin', which is distributed to the Howick stakeholder database
 - flyers and posters in local board offices and libraries.
14. All relevant documents, including submission forms, were posted on the council's website and made available through local libraries and service centres.

Hearing and deliberations process

15. The process to consider and decide on proposed changes to local dog access rules is:
- to receive all submissions, including any late submissions
 - to conduct public hearings and hear from any submitter who wishes to speak in support of their submission
 - to publicly deliberate on the matters raised in written and oral submissions
 - to decide on changes to local dog access rules (including a start date) by adopting a decision report containing amendments to the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2012
 - to request that the governing body amend the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs 2012 and Dog Management Bylaw 2012 at its 29 September meeting.
16. The Panel needs to consider the following statutory and policy requirements in its hearing, deliberation and decision:
- public safety and comfort, the protection of wildlife, and the recreational needs of dogs and their owners
 - the region-wide standard for summer beach time and season (if a time and season rule is proposed)
 - making dog access rules that are easy to understand (i.e. practical, enforceable and clear)
 - the views and evidence raised by submitters
 - information used to develop the proposed changes (refer Attachment A).
17. The above statutory and policy requirements are detailed in Attachment A. A worksheet is provided in Attachment B – Deliberations worksheet to assist the Panel in its deliberations.

Summary of Submissions

18. A total of 297 submissions were received with 1261 submission points. Table 1 below summarises the submitter groups.

Table 1: Submitter groups to Henderson Massey local dog access review

Submitter group	Number of submissions	Percentage of submissions
Dog owners	234	79%
Non dog owners	63	21%
Local residents	252	85%
Non-local	45	15%
Local dog owners	203	68%
Local non dog owners	49	16%

Item 4

19. The submission points are summarised by topic areas aligned with proposed changes. Issues outside the scope of the statement of proposal are summarised in Submission Topic 7. For each topic, staff comments and other relevant information is provided where appropriate.
20. A full copy of the submissions is attached in Attachment C - Submissions.

Submission topic 1 – General Commentary

21. The Panel can consider the following general submission points about the proposal under any of the subsequent submission topics.
22. A total of 66 submission points addressed the overall proposal. Of these:
 - eight support the proposal in its entirety
 - 30 oppose the proposal in its entirety and 24 others want more dog access. Reasons include the need for areas where dogs can exercise freely off a leash for the well-being of their dogs
 - four local dog owners want no restrictions at all, if on a leash at all times.

Submission topic 2 – Highly sensitive areas

23. Highly sensitive areas are places where the presence of a dog can have a negative effect on its environment, examples of this include ecologically sensitive habitats where vulnerable species of birds roost, feed or breed.
24. To protect wildlife, the proposal is:
 - to prohibit dogs on the foreshore (including intertidal mangrove) areas along the south western coastline of the local board area. This area extends from Wakaaranga Creek to Highbrook Creek and includes Pakuranga Creek (refer Table 7 of Attachment A)
 - to prohibit dogs on Mangemangeroa Reserve and Chisbury Terrace Reserve and associated foreshore areas.
25. Currently:
 - the Department of Conservation administer and prohibit dogs from the Crown foreshore along parts of the south western coastline of the local board area (Wakaaranga Creek and foreshore adjacent to Curacao Place Esplanade Reserve and Bramley Drive Reserve)
 - dogs are allowed under control off a leash on all other foreshore areas along the south western coastline of the local board area
 - dogs are prohibited on the foreshore areas of Mangemangeroa Reserve but on the reserve they are allowed under control on a leash on all bush walks and stock paddocks
 - dogs are allowed under control off a leash on Chisbury Terrace Reserve and associated foreshore areas.
26. A total of 121 submission points were received on this topic. Of these:
 - 46 commented on south western coastline of the local board area

- 71 commented on Mangemangeroa Reserve and Chisbury Terrace Reserve
- two dog owners wanted Tiraumea Park to allow dogs under control off a leash.

South western coastline of the local board area

27. Of the 46 submission points that commented on the coastline:

- 19 (10 local non-dog owners and nine local dog owners) support the proposal. Reasons include:
 - prohibit dogs for the protection of bird species, it is inappropriate for dogs to be in these ecologically sensitive areas
 - some dog owners are unable to control their dogs from accessing these areas.
- 18 submission points (16 dog owners and two non-dog owners) want an under control on a leash rule for the coastline area. Reasons include:
 - dogs on a lead are unlikely to be a danger to the wildlife in the area
 - a prohibition of dogs to this coastline area is too restrictive and on a leash is more appropriate.
- Nine (eight local dog owners and one local non-dog owner) oppose the proposal. Reasons include:
 - insufficient evidence that dogs are a threat to wildlife
 - owners are able to keep their dogs under control
 - proposal is too restrictive and unfair to dog owners as large areas are prohibited.

Mangemangeroa Reserve and Chisbury Terrace

28. Of the 71 submission points to Mangemangeroa and Chisbury Terrace reserves:

- 12 (including five local dog owners and five local non-dog owners) support the proposal. Reasons include:
 - agree with the protection of wildlife
 - dogs interfere with other users, including the cattle
 - often dogs are off a leash in these areas and do not comply with the on a leash rule.
- 36 (all local dog owners) want dogs allowed under control on a leash. Reasons include:
 - the proposal is too restrictive to dog owners, as many dog owners use the area with their dogs
 - dogs on a leash are not a risk to wild life
 - the foreshore walkway from Shelley Beach to Mangemangeroa Reserve is well used, including by dog walkers.
- 21 (including 17 local dog owners and three local non-dog owners), oppose the proposal. Reasons include:
 - the proposal is too restrictive to dog owners, as many dog owners use the area with their dogs
 - insufficient evidence that dogs disturb wildlife
 - the area is part of a thoroughfare from the foreshore and coastline.

- two want better enforcement in Mangemangeroa Reserve instead of a ban because they consider that most dog owners are not adhering to the current rules.

Staff comments

29. The proposal along the south western coastline of the local board area was based on biodiversity advice that the saltmarsh and mangrove areas are significant habitat for wetland and saltmarsh birds (refer to 'Memo from Biodiversity' in Attachment A).

Submission topic 3 – High use areas

30. A high use area is a place that attracts a lot of people at certain times of the day or year. When there are a lot of people, dogs can affect public safety and comfort and it is appropriate that dogs be prohibited or under control on a leash. At low use times, it may be appropriate that dogs be allowed under control on or off a leash access to meet the recreational needs of dogs and their owners.
31. The proposal identifies six beaches in the Howick Local Board as high use areas, subject to the proposed time and season rule. The six beaches are:
- Cockle Bay Beach
 - Howick Beach (from westernmost boat ramp to Rangitoto View Road)
 - Mellons Bay Beach (southeast of water pipe)
 - Bucklands Beach (south of boat ramp opposite Devon Road)
 - Eastern Beach (south of northernmost water pipe)
 - Little Bucklands Beach.
32. These six beaches are currently subject to the current time and season rule. Table 3 below summarises the current and proposed time and season rule.

Table 3 Current and proposed time and season rules for high use areas

	Current rule	Proposed rule
Summer Morning	Before 9am	Before 10am
Summer Core hours	9am to 7pm	10am to 7pm
Summer evening	After 7pm	After 7pm
Summer Season start and end	Daylight savings	Labour weekend to 31 March
Winter mornings	All times	Before 10am
Winter core hours	All times	10am to 4pm
Winter evenings	All times	After 4pm

Prohibited
On a leash
Off a leash

Submissions on time and season rule

33. A total of 1211 submission points commented on the time and season options. Of these:
- 1063 submission points (88 per cent) were received from locals
 - 118 submission points (ten per cent) were received from non-locals

- 986 submission points (81 per cent) from dog owners
 - 195 submissions points (16 per cent) from non-dog owners.
34. Eight submission points, all from dog owners, oppose any time and season rule. Reasons include:
- work hours and shift work make walking the dog at restricted hours too difficult
 - registration fees for dogs are too high to warrant restriction
 - dangerous dogs and irresponsible owners should be specifically targeted, rather than enacting a time and season rule.
35. Table 4 below summarises the preferred time and season option based on number of submissions points. Attachment D – Submissions on time and season rule provides a summary of all time and season rule submission points.

Table 4 Preferred time and season rule by total number of submission points

	Preferred rule	Total Subs	Dog owners	Non-dog owners	Locals	Non-Locals
Summer morning	Before 10am	100	91	9	92	8
Summer core hours	10am to 7pm	9	7	2	8	1
Summer evening	After 7pm	79*	71	7	73	5
Season start and end	Daylight savings	30	28	2	30	0
Season start and end	Labour weekend to 31March	33	30	3	29	4
Winter mornings	Off a leash at all times	96**	87	7	89	5
Winter core hours	Off a leash at all times	105	97	6	99	4
Winter evenings	Off a leash at all times	97	88	7	90	5
Totals		549	499 (91%)	43 (8%)	510 (93%)	32 (6%)

Note: * one submitter did not state if local or owns a dog

** two submitters did not state if local or owns a dog

36. Submitters on the above time and season rules also commented that:
- weekday and weekend access should be different to reflect use
 - inclement weather in winter suggests that the beaches are not well used, therefore there shouldn't be time and season rules
 - the tidal nature of some of Howick's beaches make the time and season rule difficult to comply with.

Submissions on high use areas

37. A total of 12 submission points commented on the six proposed high use beaches to be subject to the proposed time and season rule. Of these:
- eight (all from local dog owners) oppose the identification of Mellons Bay Beach. Two of those want dogs allowed under control off a leash. Reasons include:
 - very few non-dog owners use Mellons Bay beach
 - do not support the change in dog access rules in this area
 - the off leash area at the north west of the water pipe of Mellons Bay beach is too slippery and dangerous for use.

- two dog owners want dogs allowed under control off a leash on Eastern Beach in winter
- in Cockle Bay, one dog owner wants the right hand corner of Cockle Bay (towards Shelley Beach) to be a dog friendly area. Another dog owner wanted less restrictive rules.

Staff comments

38. The views expressed in Table 4 reflect local dog owner views who were the majority of the submitters on this topic (91 per cent of time and season submission points).
39. The Panel may wish to consider the views of non-dog owners which were expressed in the Peoples Panel survey undertaken in March 2016. This survey had more responses from non-dog owners (36 per cent dog owners and 64 per cent non-dog owners).
40. Figure 1 below summarises the time and season preferences from the survey. The figure shows that the views of dog owners to the survey and proposal are the same, while non-dog owners seek more restrictive rules.

Figure 1: Time and season preferences for dog and non-dog owners

Time and season preferences for dog and non-dog owners:

Dog owners			Non-dog owners		
Summer (1 December to 1 March)			Summer (daylight savings)		
Before 10am	10am to 6pm	After 6pm	Before 10am	10am to 5pm	After 5pm
Under control off a leash	Under control On a leash	Under control off a leash	Under control on a leash	Prohibited	Under control on a leash
Winter			Winter		
Under control off a leash at all times			Under control on a leash at all times		

Submission topic 4 – Dog friendly areas

41. A dog friendly area is a place that is suitable for dogs to run and play off a leash. These places may have lower levels of public use by non-dog owners, or be of a size that allows for shared use without significant impact on other users of the place.
42. The proposal identifies 103 dog friendly areas where dogs are allowed under control off a leash. This includes Musick Point Esplanade Reserve and quiet beach areas adjacent to Howick Beach, Bucklands Beach, Eastern Beach and Mellons Bay.
43. Currently dogs are allowed under control off a leash on 102 of the above 103 areas. The exception is Musick Point Esplanade Reserve where dogs are currently allowed under control on a leash.
44. A total of 52 general submission points were received on this topic. Of these:
 - eight support the proposal. Of these:
 - two think some of the 103 parks are not suitable for dogs off a leash. Reasons include areas being too close to the road and alternatives should be found.
 - 33 comment on the dog friendly areas next to time and season beaches. Of these:
 - 21 support the proposal. This includes Howick Beach (n=7), Bucklands Beach (n=4), Eastern Beach (n=1). Reasons include it provides the flexibility to take dogs to these areas when not allowed on time and season beaches. Some commented that these beaches are tidal and not always accessible
 - three support and one opposes the proposed area to the north of Eastern Beach. The submitter in opposition wants to protect reef and shell fish beds in this area and instead wants the dog friendly area to be south of Eastern Beach

- three support and five oppose the proposed area adjacent to Mellons Bay. Reasons for opposition include the area is too slippery and unsafe for dog walkers. Those submitters instead want dog access to Mellons Bay.
- nine comment on Musick Point Esplanade Reserve. Seven in support with some already using it as an off a leash area, one opposes, and another wants a more restrictive rule
- one did not support the proposal for dog friendly areas, commenting that there should be more dog friendly areas, not less
- one submitter asked for more dog friendly areas in the Flat Bush area.

Staff comments

45. None.

Submission topic 5 – Standard area

46. A standard area is a place that does not fall into any of the other three categories. These places may include:
- high use areas where the proposed time and season rule would be less appropriate in terms of balancing the need to ensure public safety and comfort and the needs of dogs and their owners
 - areas that allow for dogs to be included within family activities without interfering with other users
 - places that are not suitable for dogs to run and play off a leash.
47. The proposal identifies the following standard areas where dogs are allowed under control on a leash (full list in Table 10 of Attachment A):
- the reserves and walkways adjacent to the south western coastline of the local board area. Currently, the area from Wakaaranga Creek to Highbrook Creek (Rotary walkway) is an under control on a leash area. Areas from Millen Avenue Esplanade to Pakuranga Creek (the Pakuranga Country Club Esplanade) are currently an under control off a leash area
 - Murphy's Bush and Point View Reserve. Currently dogs are allowed under control on a leash on bush walks and under control off a leash elsewhere on both reserves.
 - Tainui Reserve (adjacent to Cockle Bay). Currently this area has a time and season dog access rule (Table 3)
 - all other local park, beach and foreshore areas not specifically identified as a prohibited, time and season or under control off a leash area. This is known as a default dog access rule. Currently, the default dog access rule is under control off a leash.
48. A total 81 submission points were received about this topic. Of these:
- 24 are about Rotary walkway. Of these:
 - 11 support the proposal because the walkway is busy and dogs interfere with other users. Some of these submitters want under control on a leash access for the walkway because they incorrectly assumed the proposal prohibited dogs
 - 11 oppose the proposal, four of whom want dogs allowed under control off a leash. Reasons include that cyclists are a bigger nuisance and to enhance the experience for dog walkers
 - two comment that signage outlining dog access rules need to be clearer and that dangerous dogs along the walkway should be muzzled.

- 16 want dogs allowed under control on a leash on esplanades, walkways, and parks along the south western coastline
- one non-dog owner opposes the proposal on Bramley Drive Reserve
- one dog owner supports the proposal on Fisher Parade Esplanade Reserve
- two dogs owners comment on bushwalks (such as those on Murphy's Bush and Point View Reserve), one supports the proposal, the other wants less restrictive rules
- two dogs owners comment on Point View Reserve, one supports the proposal, the other wants under control off a leash dog access
- two dogs owners comment on Tainui Reserve, one supports the proposal, the other wants under control off a leash dog access
- one wants to retain the current under control off a leash rule on Tiramea Park because it is a good dog exercise area
- 32 are about the change to the default dog access rule. Of these:
 - 20 (seven dog owners, 13 non-dog owners, four non-locals) support the proposal. Reasons include dogs interfere with other users, some dog owners are unable to control dogs off a leash, don't want dogs coming up to them, and the irresponsible attitude of dog owners
 - 12 oppose the proposal. Of these five want under control off a leash, six oppose the proposal, and one wants dogs prohibited from all public places except in specific dog areas
 - reasons for opposition include that proposed dog friendly areas are not ideal for an off leash dog and restrict the ability to walk dogs at neighbourhood parks after work hours.

Staff comments

49. None.

Submission topic 6 - Ambiguous dog access rules

50. The proposal is to remove the current rule about dogs on park farm paddocks and rely on whichever dog access rule applies to the location, such as highly sensitive or standard area.
51. Currently dogs are required to be under control on a leash in any park farm paddock occupied by stock. Mangemangeroa Reserve is the only park with stock in the Howick Local Board area. Submissions on Mangemangeroa Reserve are summarised in Submission topic 1 – Highly sensitive areas.
52. Three submission points in support were received. Reasons include because it makes rules clearer and easier to understand.

Staff comments

53. None.

Submission topic 7 – Other matters

54. A total of 89 submission points were received in relation to matters that are outside the scope of the proposed changes. The Panel cannot make decisions on these matters but can make recommendations to the relevant authority. Table 8 below summarises the submission points made under this topic.

Table 8 Submission points on other matters

Matter raised	Total submission points
Dog owner education	7
Improvement to the process	16
More dog parks	6
More rubbish bins	5
More/better enforcement	11
More/better signage	8
Other suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the need to control pests such as cats, rats and possums complaints about council process complaints about irresponsible dog owners that highly sensitive areas should be closed to the public 	36

Staff comments

55. None.

Attachments

No.	Title	Page
A	Statement of Proposal (<i>Under Separate Cover</i>)	
B	Deliberations Worksheet	19
C	Submissions (<i>Under Separate Cover</i>)	
D	Time and season rule submissions	21

Signatories

Authors	Jasmin Kaur - Policy Analyst
Authorisers	Paul Wilson - Team Leader Bylaws Sue O'Gorman - Relationship Manager

Attachment B Deliberation worksheet

The worksheet below can be used to summarise the information in the Hearing and deliberation report on proposed changes to local dog access rules in the Howick Local Board area in table format to assist with deliberations. The last two columns is to be completed during deliberations and will be used to inform the drafting of the Panel decision report.

Submission Topic [insert number] – [insert name]

Matter to be considered	Current rule	Proposed change	Summary of submissions	Staff recommendation (including reasons and alternative options)	Panel decision	Additional notes

Submission Topic [insert number] – [insert name]

Matter to be considered	Current rule	Proposed change	Summary of submissions	Staff recommendation (including reasons and alternative options)	Panel decision	Additional notes

Submission Topic [insert number] – [insert name]

Matter to be considered	Current rule	Proposed change	Summary of submissions	Staff recommendation (including reasons and alternative options)	Panel decision	Additional notes

Attachment D Time and season rule submissions

The following table summarise the submission points received on the time and season proposal.

Table a. Do not support proposals

Topic	Decision sought	No. of Submissions
Do not support proposal time and season proposals	General	Total: 9 Dog Owners Local: 8 Dog Owners Visitor: 1

Table b. Summer season start and finish

Topic	Decision sought	No. of submissions
Summer season start	DAYLIGHT SAVINGS	Total: 15 Non-Dog Owners Local: 1 Dog Owners Local: 14
	Labour Weekend	Total: 17 Non-Dog Owners Local: 2 Dog Owners Local: 13 Dog Owners Visitor: 2
	1st December	Total: 4 Non-Dog Owners Local: 1 Dog Owners Local: 3

Topic	Decision sought	No. of submissions
Summer season finish	1st March	Total: 3 Non-Dog Owners Local: 1 Dog Owners Local: 2
	31st March	Total: 16 Non-Dog Owners Local: 1 Dog Owners Local: 13 Dog Owners Visitor: 2
	DAYLIGHT SAVINGS	Total: 15 Non-Dog Owners Local: 1 Dog Owners Local: 14

Table c. Summer core hours start and finish

Topic	Decision sought	No. of Submissions
Summer core hours start	9AM	Total: 14 Non-Dog Owners Local: 2 Dog Owners Local: 10
	10AM	Total: 55 Non-Dog Owners Local: 5 Non-Dog Owners Visitor: 1 Dog Owners Local: 45 Dog Owners Visitor: 4
	12PM	Total: 1 Dog Owners Local: 1
Summer core hours finish	4PM	Total: 2 Non-Dog Owners Local: 1 Dog Owners Local: 1
	5PM	Total: 10 Non-Dog Owners Local: 2

Topic	Decision sought	No. of Submissions
		Dog Owners Local: 5 Dog Owners Visitor: 3
	6PM	Total: 5 Non-Dog Owners Visitor: 1 Dog Owners Local: 3
	7PM	Total: 41 Non-Dog Owners Local: 4 Dog Owners Local: 34 Dog Owners Visitor: 2

Table d. Summer access rules

Topic	Decision sought	No. of submissions
	Prohibited	Total: 3 Non-Dog Owners Local: 1 Non-Dog Owners Visitor: 1 Dog Owners Local: 1
Summer morning rule	Off leash	Total: 45 Non-Dog Owners Local: 3 Dog Owners Local: 39 Dog Owners Visitor: 3
	On leash	Total: 5 Non-Dog Owners Local: 3 Dog Owners Local: 1 Dog Owners Visitor: 1
Summer core rule	Prohibited	Total: 1
	Off leash	Total: 2 Dog Owners Local: 2

Topic	Decision sought	No. of submissions
	On leash	Total: 9 Non-Dog Owners Local: 2 Dog Owners Local: 6 Dog Owners Visitor: 1
	Prohibited	Total: 3 Non-Dog Owners Local: 1 Non-Dog Owners Visitor: 1 Dog Owners Local: 1
Summer evening rule	Off leash	Total: 38 Non-Dog Owners Local: 3 Dog Owners Local: 32 Dog Owners Visitor: 3
	On leash	Total: 6 Non-Dog Owners Local: 3 Dog Owners Local: 2 Dog Owners Visitor: 1

Table e. Winter core hours start and finish

Topic	Decision sought	No. of submissions
	9AM	Total: 1 Non-Dog Owners Local: 1
Winter core hours start	10AM	Total: 20 Non-Dog Owners Local: 4 Dog Owners Local: 13 Dog Owners Visitor: 3

Topic	Decision sought	No. of submissions
Winter core hours finish	3PM	Total: 1 Dog Owners Local: 1
	4PM	Total: 19 Non-Dog Owners Local: 4 Dog Owners Local: 13 Dog Owners Visitor: 2
	5PM	Total: 3 Non-Dog Owners Local: 1 Dog Owners Visitor: 2

Table f. Winter access rules

Topic	Decision sought	No. of submissions
Winter morning rule	Off leash	Total: 96 Non-Dog Owners Local: 6 Non-Dog Owners Visitor: 1 Dog Owners Local: 83 Dog Owners Visitor: 4
	On leash	Total: 4 Non-Dog Owners Local: 3 Non-Dog Owners Visitor: 1
Winter core rule	Prohibited	Total: 1 Dog Owners Visitor: 1

Topic	Decision sought	No. of submissions
	Off leash	Total: 105 Non-Dog Owners Local: 5 Non-Dog Owners Visitor: 1 Dog Owners Local: 94 Dog Owners Visitor: 3
	On leash	Total: 11 Non-Dog Owners Local: 3 Non-Dog Owners Visitor: 1 Dog Owners Local: 6 Dog Owners Visitor: 1
Winter evening rule	Off leash	Total: 97 Non-Dog Owners Local: 6 Non-Dog Owners Visitor: 1 Dog Owners Local: 84 Dog Owners Visitor: 4
	On leash	Total: 5 Non-Dog Owners Local: 3 Non-Dog Owners Visitor: 1 Dog Owners Visitor: 1