

## Papakura Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the local board chambers on Wednesday, 3 May 2017 commencing at 1pm.

### PRESENT

**Chairperson:** Brent Catchpole

**Members:** Felicity Auva'a  
 George Hawkins  
 Bill McEntee (until 1.59pm, from  
 Michael Turner (from 1.10pm, until 1.51pm, from 1.55pm)  
 Katrina Winn (from 1.05pm)

### Apologies:

**Also present:** Name(s)

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
New Zealand Transport Agency – Southern Corridor Update  <b>David Hooker, Design Manager, Aurecon</b>  <b>James Eyre, Highway Engineer, Aurecon</b>  <b>Abhijeet Parrikh, Graduate Engineer, NZTA</b>  <b>Lucy Timmers, Communications and Stakeholder Manager, NZTA</b>  <b>Kenneth Tuai, Elected Member Relationship Manager</b>	Information dissemination	The Aurecon and NZTA representatives gave an update on the southern corridor upgrade milestones and upcoming works.  The board felt the motorway should be futureproofed for future urban growth.  The board was advised that any motorway widening from Drury would not be considered until after the Manukau to Pahurehure upgrade project has been completed.
Local Board Capital Transport Fund  <b>Kenneth Tuai -</b>	Setting direction/priorities/budget	Kenneth Tuai gave an update and put forward ideas for the board to consider in terms of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Advocacy to Auckland Transport</li> </ul>

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
<p><b>Elected Member Relationship Manager</b></p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local Board Transport Capital Fund considerations</li> </ul> <p>The board discussed the need for the Local Board Auckland Transport Capital Fund to be increased and the need for the funding formula to be changed to a straight split between all 21 boards.</p> <p><b>Actions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Kenneth to organise rough order of costs for the May business meeting for the following projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shared pathway along Great South Road – Takanini</li> <li>• Greenways priority route, in particular: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a cycleway that meets up with the motorway (Pahurehure) through to the township</li> <li>• cycleway that links into Conifer Grove or Longford Park</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Pedestrian crossing on East Street</li> <li>• Pedestrian crossing on Wood Street – a raised pedestrian crossing to slow traffic</li> <li>• Directional signage (plinth) from rail station.</li> </ul> </li> <li>ii) Kenneth to investigate an education campaign about the public transport options and how the integrated ticketing and zone fares work.</li> <li>iii) Kenneth to arrange for a communication to go out to people with regards to Beach Road to give some certainty about what is proposed. The spotter needs to be moved forward.</li> <li>iv) Kenneth to enquire about when the ??</li> <li>v) Signage renewals to have the translation of Maori names if a sign is being replaced.</li> <li>vi) Sign at??</li> </ul>
<p>Elections – Highlights and Issues</p> <p><b>Lee Manaia Local Board Advisor</b></p>	<p>Setting direction/priorities/budget</p>	<p>The board provided the following input into the submissions to the Justice and Electoral Select Committee’s “Inquiry into the 2016 local authority elections”:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) <b>Matai names</b> - Do you agree that to avoid doubt, the legislation should give guidance?</li> </ul> <p><i>The board agrees that the legislation should give guidance to avoid doubt.</i></p>

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
		<p>ii) <b>Vacancies occurring within six months of an election</b> – Do you agree that the law should change to allow a local authority using the first past the post electoral system to appoint the highest polling unsuccessful candidate to a vacancy occurring within six months of an election?</p> <p><i>The board supports a law change to allow a local authority, using the first past the post electoral system, to appoint the highest polling unsuccessful candidate to a vacancy occurring within <b>three</b> months from the date of the member are being sworn in.</i></p> <p>iii) <b>Legal requirement for candidate to state whether residing in area</b> - Do you think a candidate should reside in the area?</p> <p><i>The board could not reach a consensus, however, a majority of the board was of the view that:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>the candidate residing in the area should not be a requirement and</i></li> <li>• <i>that the legislation should be aligned with the central government requirements.</i></li> </ul> <p>iv) <b>Timing of school holidays</b> – Do you agree with moving the election day to the first Saturday In October?</p> <p><i>The timing of the election should not overlap with school holidays.</i></p> <p>v) <b>Electronic transmission of voting documents to and from voters overseas</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Do you support electronic transmission?</li> <li>- Do you support online voting?</li> </ul> <p><i>The board expressed concern about the potential for issues with technology compromising an electronic transmission process.</i></p> <p><i>The board members are of the view that online voting is the future but acknowledge issues with technology at present.</i></p> <p>vi) <b>Electoral Officer (EO) to have access to the supplementary roll</b> - Does the board support the Electoral Officer having access to the supplementary roll to speed up the counting of special votes?</p>

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
		<p><i>The board supports the Electoral Officer having access to the supplementary roll to speed up the counting of special votes.</i></p> <p>vii) <b>Access to data associated with electoral roll</b> - Does the board support councils having access to statistical data associated with the electoral roll to assist with planning election awareness campaigns etc?</p> <p><i>The board did not support councils having access to statistical data associated with the electoral roll to assist with planning election awareness campaigns as they did not have enough confidence in the processes.</i></p> <p>viii) <b>Electronic nominations and candidate profile statements</b> - Does the board support the option for candidates to submit nominations and candidate profile statements electronically?</p> <p><i>The board is supportive of the option for candidates to submit nominations and candidate profile statements electronically.</i></p> <p>ix) <b>Legislative confirmation that local authorities may promote elections</b> - Does the board support legislative confirmation that local authorities may promote elections?</p> <p><i>The board supported legislative confirmation that local authorities may promote elections and felt this was already happening.</i></p> <p>x) <b>Time period for printing electoral rolls</b> - Does the board support extending the legislated time period for printing the electoral rolls in time for the start of candidate nominations?</p> <p><i>The board supports extending the legislated time period for printing the electoral rolls in time for the start of candidate nominations.</i></p> <p>xi) <b>Electronic access to electoral rolls for election staff</b> - Does the board support election staff having electronic access to the current roll?</p> <p><i>The board supports election staff having electronic access to the current roll.</i></p> <p>xii) <b>Close of voting time</b> - Does the board support extending voting later into the last day to create a full 'voting day'?</p>

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
		<p>The board supports extending voting later into the last day to create a full 'voting day'.</p> <p><b>xiii) Discourage inappropriate use of elections</b> - Does the board think this is an issue that needs to be addressed?</p> <p><i>The board raised a concern about who would make the decision about "inappropriate use of elections". They felt everyone had a right to stand – that is democracy.</i></p> <p><b>xiv) Separation of DHB elections</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Does the board support the DHB elections being held at the same time as the local authority elections?</li> </ul> <p>Or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Does the board support DHB elections being held at a separate time or with the parliamentary elections?</li> </ul> <p><i>The board felt the cost of two elections could not be justified. However, the board would like to see the DHB and Liquor Trust information and voting papers being clearly defined and separated off from the local authority information.</i></p> <p><b>xv) Candidate information in different languages</b> - Does the board think there should be other options for candidates to provide information in languages relevant to their constituents?</p> <p><i>The board supported 150 words in English and 150 words in the translation to a different language. The two statements would have to say the same thing.</i></p> <p><b>xvi) Consistency between Electoral Regulations and Local Electoral Regulations</b> - Does the board agree with these options?</p> <p><i>The board agreed that there needed to be consistency between the Electoral Regulations and Local Electoral Regulations.</i></p> <p><b>xvii) Relationship with Electoral Commission</b> - Does the board support the idea of utilising Local Government New Zealand to implement the promotion of awareness about who enquirers should contact with queries about local elections?</p> <p><i>The board supports utilising Local Government New Zealand to implement the promotion of awareness about who</i></p>

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
<p><b>Manukau Golf Course Development</b></p> <p><b>Sophie Bell,</b> Service and Asset Planning Specialist</p>	<p>Setting direction/priorities/budget</p>	<p><i>enquirers should contact with queries about local elections.</i></p> <p>xviii) <b>Electoral system</b> - Does the board want to see a change in the electoral system from first past the post to single transferable vote?</p> <p><i>The board supports the first past the post electoral system and did not want to see a change to a single transferable vote system.</i></p> <p>The purpose was to seek the local board's input on the proposed development of a recreation reserve within the Manukau Golf Course residential development.</p> <p>Two recreation reserves could be vested in council these being:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lot 500 approximately 5852 m<sup>2</sup></li> <li>- Lot 501 approximately 5257 m<sup>2</sup></li> </ul> <p>The board supported the proposal with the following provisos:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- That the developer ensure that adequate drainage is provided in the parks.</li> <li>- That Fletcher's marketing make sure that purchaser's next to Lot 500 are informed that a children's playground will be built on this park.</li> </ul>

The workshop concluded at 3.24 pm.