

	Submitter (Alphabetical Order)	Summary of Submissions	Presenting
1	Auckland Netball Centre Inc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Offers qualified support for the requested variations to the lease. • Auckland Netball supports the variations in general. • Auckland Netball opposes Auckland Council taking 50% of the sublease revenue. • Supports OFC retaining 100% of revenue from subleases to be directed back to operation and development of the OFC facility. • No feedback regards the requested earlier community finishing times. 	Yes
2	June Brookes and Bill Carlin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposes commercial activities being carried out on the leased area. • Notes that Reserves Act 1977 s54 (1)(d) requires that business must be necessary to enable the public to obtain the benefit and enjoyment of the reserve or for the convenience of persons using the reserve. • Notes that OFC have not established that any trade, business or occupation are necessary to enable the public to enjoy or benefit from the reserve or the convenience of the public using the reserve • Notes that OFC have been explicit that the motivation for subleases and commercial activities is to generate revenue to recover costs associated with the development of the reserve. • It is silent on the public benefit and convenience requirements. • No evidence has been presented (by OFC / council) that commercial activities are needed or wanted by the public. • Notes that all the activities OFC seek to undertake are already available at the nearby Stonefields Shopping Centre or can be provided at close locations. • Does not support reducing the hours available to the Council/community – as future population growth will invariably necessitate increased community use. 	No
3	Bruce Chambers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the request by OFC to undertake commercial activities. • Recognises that users expect these facilities (café, gym) to be available. • Will encourage use by younger players. 	No

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports OFC being permitted to sublease the commercial activities to third parties to undertake. • Supports reducing the hours for the community from a 9PM to 8:30PM finishing time. 	
4	Eastern Suburbs AFC Inc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports greater commercial use of OFC buildings through subleasing arrangements. • Opposes reducing the finishing time for community hours from 9PM to 8:30PM. 	Yes
5	Ellerslie AFC Inc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports greater commercial use of OFC buildings through subleasing arrangements. • Considers agreement regards community access hours is a matter for Council to negotiate / agree with OFC. 	No
6	Oceania Football Confederation Inc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports greater commercial use of OFC buildings through subleasing arrangements. • Revenue received will partially recover operating and development costs. • States that OFC is <i>'not in the business'</i> of running cafes, or gyms and wishes to sublease these functions to appropriately skilled operators. • Contends that if Council takes 50% of the rent received from any subleases, that this defeats the object of the exercise of allowing it to derive an income to offset its considerable operational costs. • Submits that Council should consider reducing the portion paid to Council from 50% down to 10 or 25%. • Supports the earlier finishing time for community use from 9PM to 8:30PM because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ It enables OFC to allocate times to OFC elite teams (NZ based), as well as overseas teams. ○ OFC can hire out the fields to derived further income to offset costs. 	Yes
7	Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki Tribal Trust	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confirms that Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki <i>'...have no concerns with this proposal'</i>. 	No