

Papakura Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on Wednesday, 5 July 2017, commencing at 12.30pm.

PRESENT

Papakura Local Board

Chairperson:

Brent Catchpole

Members:

Felicity Auva'a

George Hawkins (until 4.37pm)

Bill McEntee

Katrina Winn (from 1.13pm until 4.15pm)

Also Present:

Angela Dalton (Chairperson Manurewa Local Board) (until 3.15pm)

Deputy Mayor, Bill Cashmore (until 3.15pm)

Apologies:

Michael Turner

Staff present:

Sarah McGhee (Senior Local Board Advisor Manurewa)

Lee Manaia (Local Board Advisor)

Trish Wayper (Democracy Advisor)

Workshop Item	Governance Role	Summary of Discussions
1. Local Board General Business	Information dissemination	<p>The chair advised that the CAB Review will be coming to the board for workshopping.</p> <p>A meeting is planned with Watercare re Veolia water issues.</p> <p>Example: no water at the league grounds on Saturday 1 July 2017. No showers available. Veolia hadn't contacted the users.</p> <p>Hawkins Theatre stakeholder workshop next Wednesday 12 July at 7pm to 9pm.</p> <p>Aspiring Leaders Forum – the board would like the Youth Council to make a decision within their budget on who and how many members they want to send to the Aspiring Leaders Forum. Currently there is \$1,200 unallocated in the budget.</p> <p>Discussion ensued about holding management meetings for the whole board to attend.</p>
2. Governance Framework Review Bill Cashmore Deputy Mayor Angela Dalton	Input into regional decision making	<p>Staff gave a powerpoint presentation on the Governance Framework Review.</p> <p>The Papakura Local Board gave interim responses to the following questions:</p> <p>Workstream 1: Policy, covering:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regional policy and decision processes

Workshop Item	Governance Role	Summary of Discussions
<p>Chair – Manurewa Local Board</p> <p>Daniel Haines, Graduate</p> <p>Warwick McNaughton, Principal Advisor Democracy Services</p> <p>Sarah Holdem, Senior Policy Analyst</p> <p>Leigh Auton (Consultant)</p> <p>Victoria Villaraza Relationship Manager</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tension between local and regional priorities • Allocations and delegations • Local boards, place-making and Auckland Transport • Waiheke Pilot <p>1. Do you support a new policy framework to address procedural issues?</p> <p>Response:</p> <p>Papakura Local Board support the PWP rejection of the GFR recommendations to limit local board advocacy to the governing body and to limit their ability to seek contestable advice.</p> <p>If the board is getting accurate and unbiased advice there shouldn't be a need to go outside the organisation. However if the board do not believe that advice is accurate they should be able to go outside of the organisation.</p> <p>2. Do you support board reports assessing regional impact?</p> <p>Any regional decision should get the input from the local board right from the beginning. Local boards need to be listened to.</p> <p>Local boards need to have decision making on the dog access rules. The board agreed there needed to be a consistency across the region.</p> <p>3. Do you support Reserves Act decision-making at local level?</p> <p>The board supported the principle of delegation to local boards of Reserves Act decision-making.</p> <p>Lee Manaia to find out about the parking area in O'Shaughnessey Street.</p> <p>Do not support acquisitions, disposals and exchanges remaining with the governing body.</p> <p>A conversation is needed about an asset the local boards consider a service asset that should be kept.</p> <p>It was noted that nothing changes in the land owner approval process.</p> <p>4. What is your response to the proposals around Auckland Transport?</p> <p>There needs to be an improvement with Auckland Transport consulting with the local boards before they</p>

Workshop Item	Governance Role	Summary of Discussions
		<p>undertake any works.</p> <p>There should be accountability to the governing body from the CCOs. How do they report to GB and how do the GB monitor them. Example given was wastage in ATEED and Auckland Transport.</p> <p>Deputy Mayor advised that Mayor Goff is driving greater collaboration between the CCOs and Auckland Council and between themselves.</p> <p>There are governance discussions to be had. Local boards need to have opportunities to talk to the CCO boards.</p> <p>The boards need to be informed rather than read it in the media.</p> <p>There is also a relationship with Auckland Transport and NZTA.</p> <p>There is not a lot of communication about why decisions are being made. Example: Rail separation. The Papakura Local Boards has not been told why the decision has been made.</p> <p>5. Any questions about the Waiheke pilot and implications for other boards?</p> <p>General agreement for the pilot but concerned that it could be skewed and that Waiheke had been chosen because they wanted to cede from Auckland.</p> <p>The board questioned how the findings of the Waiheke pilot could be applied into other local board situations.</p> <p>Workstream 2: Funding and Finance</p> <p>How would you weight the importance of:</p> <p>1. Regional standard of service or local preferences?</p> <p>The local board believed they were currently sweating assets and they were receiving a reduced level of service. They like the option to have differences across local boards but they didn't like the idea of funding it from a targeted rate.</p>

Workshop Item	Governance Role	Summary of Discussions
		<p>2. Local decision making or savings in procurement and organisational efficiency?</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Not applicable.</p> <p>3. Strengthening local decision making and accountability through local rates</p> <p>The local board believed the turnover of local board members was too high and there were going to be variable levels of capability.</p> <p>4. Addressing uneven historical funding base?</p> <p>The local board were worried that Papakura with high levels of assets would have to fund areas that aren't as resource rich.</p> <p>The board have the sense that there has been a drop in service.</p> <p>Local rates only serve to keep the general rate that Auckland Council wants to set at a lower level. This is the best way to get Auckland Council broken up again.</p> <p>Learn from the mistakes of the past, eg: Papakura District Council having to increase rates by 17%.</p> <p>Deprivation and growth needs to be taken into account if considering local rates.</p> <p>What are the comparisons with other cities.</p> <p>Katrina liked the enhanced version of status quo.</p> <p>Is the working party looking at the assets council owns?</p> <p>Workstream 3: Governance and Representation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of local boards • Electing ward members at large • Naming conventions <p>The Papakura Local Board did not agree with any move to reduce the number of local boards.</p> <p>Feedback requested on naming conventions.</p> <p>Bill McEntee – fine with local board member title.</p> <p>The problem is the lack of recognition from council staff looking at local board members as community board members. Staff do not understand the power the local</p>

Workshop Item	Governance Role	Summary of Discussions
		<p>board has. CCOs treat local board members the same way. The community don't understand what a local board member is.</p> <p>Felicity preferred local councillor as considered the situation as a partnership with the governing body.</p> <p>There has to be a rational reason for pursuing change. If change occurs it cannot be at the expense of local communities.</p> <p>BC - The review hasn't addressed the way the boards are sometimes addressed by staff. When the board is faced with decisions they need to have fully researched information so they can make informed decisions.</p> <p>Staffing changes – no-one has spoken to local boards.</p> <p>Papakura Service Centre building – lease expired in five years, what was the plan going forward – no-one spoken to the board.</p>
<p>3. Papakura Station - Improvements to bus layaway</p> <p>Kenneth Tuai, Elected Member Relationship Manager</p> <p>Ben Stallworthy, Elected Member Relationship Manager</p> <p>Ella, Harrison Grierson ???</p> <p>??</p> <p>??</p>	Briefing	<p>Ella (Harrison Grierson) gave a powerpoint presentation on the improvements to the bus layovers at Papakura.</p> <p>What is the number of buses arriving into Papakura and how does that coincide with the train timetable?</p> <p>The board believed bus layovers in O'Shannessey Street were a good option. Central layover in O'Shannessey Street is not supported as it creates visibility issues for egress from the carpark.</p> <p>The board expressed concern about creating a wall of 5 buses outside businesses at Railway Street West and requested the buses be taken out of the area opposite Countdown.</p> <p>Vehicles existing the carpark area have to turn left. Cars have to pull out in front of the buses into the traffic flow before they can see. It is very dangerous.</p> <p>Agreed to reinstating the bollards and widening the access way for the buses using the bus stops.</p> <p>The board did not support the bus layovers on the other side of the Railway Street West road.</p> <p>People use the Ray White private carpark to wait for collecting children off the trains. There is nowhere to park your car and wait.</p> <p>Members were concerned about a wall of buses creating a sense of a bus station</p> <p>Front two bus layovers in Railway Street West could be</p>

Workshop Item	Governance Role	Summary of Discussions
		<p>converted to bus pick up drop off areas for buses.</p> <p>Suggestions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number 1 priority for bus layovers: south of the Massey Park and O'Shannessey Street. • use Ron Keat Drive for bus layovers. • Supply a central yard for layovers within a couple of minutes from the area. • Use the 26 - 30 O'Shannessey Street carpark for bus layovers. • Two bus layovers on the southern side of Railway Street West. <p>Kenneth to follow up on O'Shannessey carpark.</p> <p>The updated plans and options will be forwarded to the board week beginning 10 July.</p>
<p>4. Roads and Streets Framework</p> <p>Kenneth Tuai, Elected Member Relationship Manager</p> <p>Ben Stallworthy, Elected Member Relationship Manager</p>	<p>Input into regional decision making</p>	<p>Ben Stallworthy gave a presentation on the Roads and Streets Framework.</p> <p>Query how do you manage development that ensures roads are wide enough. In some developments cars parked on both sides of the road means a vehicle can't get through.</p> <p>This document will provide the legitimacy to help with town centre development.</p> <p>Kenneth will circulate the feedback to the board and will be seeking approval at the July board meeting.</p> <p>Feedback is required before the end of the month.</p> <p>New subdivision – roads too narrow – two cars parked on either side of the road – no vehicle can get down the road.</p> <p>How will this assist Papakura Local Board in developing a future metropolitan centre in Papakura.</p> <p>Won't advance anything but if the board are seeking change the document provides a mechanism and flexibility about how Auckland Transport can respond to the local board's desires while balancing the optimum for travel times.</p> <p>This document will support the board's desire for a shared space in the town centre.</p> <p>General consensus was that the board supported the Roads and Streets Framework Policy document.</p>

The workshop concluded at 4.42pm.