

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board OPEN MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board held in the Council Chamber, Orewa Service Centre, 50 Centreway Road, Orewa on Wednesday, 16 August 2017 at 4.30pm.

PRESENT

Chairperson	Julia Parfitt, JP
Deputy Chairperson	Janet Fitzgerald, JP
Members	Chris Bettany David Cooper Gary Holmes Caitlin Watson Vicki Watson

ALSO PRESENT

Councillors	Wayne Walker	6.40pm (Item 9)
	John Watson	6.31pm (Item 9)

1 Welcome

The Chairperson opened the meeting and welcomed those in attendance.

2 Apologies

There were no apologies.

3 Declaration of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

Resolution number HB/2017/128

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Deputy Chairperson J Fitzgerald:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 19 July 2017, as a true and correct record.**

CARRIED

5 Leave of Absence

There were no leaves of absence.

6 Acknowledgements

There were no acknowledgements.

7 Petitions

There were no petitions.

Items were taken in the following order

10 Extraordinary Business

There was no extraordinary business.

11 Notices of Motion

There were no notices of motion.

8 Deputations

8.2 New Zealand Transport Agency Update

This item was deferred

14 Auckland Transport Update to the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board for August 2017

Ellen Barrett, Elected Member Relationship Manager North, Auckland Transport and Theunis Van Schalkwyk, Group Manager, Strategic Projects, Auckland Transport, were in attendance.

Mr Van Schalkwyk provided an update on the Penlink project and outlined the process before a construction date could be confirmed.

Mrs Barrett spoke to her report and responded to local board members' questions.

Resolution number HB/2017/129

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member D Cooper:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) receive in part, with the exception of Attachment C the Browns Bay Parking Study, the Auckland Transport Update to the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board for August 2017
- b) requests that as there has been no formal consideration of the Auckland Transport Browns Bay Parking Study by the local board, that officers report to the 20 September 2017 business meeting to provide the opportunity for the local board to consider the implications and provide formal feedback on matters within the study, eg carparking capacity
- c) requests that Auckland Council does not consider the disposal of the site at 19 Anzac Road, Browns Bay, until the local board has formally considered and provided feedback on the Browns Bay Parking Study report and resulting impacts from the possible removal of the car park
- d) acknowledge the Auckland Transport responses and feedback to the local board and residents' concerns regarding the Whangaparaoa Dynamic Laning trial
- e) re-iterates the remaining concerns and potential impacts of the Dynamic Laning trial on vulnerable road users in particular cyclists using the road and pedestrians crossing the road safely between Red Beach Road and Hibiscus Coast Highway.

CARRIED

8 Deputations

8.1 Regional Facilities Auckland

Judy Lawley, Manager Local Board Engagement, Regional Facilities Auckland was in attendance.

A copy of the powerpoint presentation has been placed on the file copy of the minutes and can be viewed on the Auckland Council website.

Resolution number HB/2017/130

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member G Holmes:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **Thank Ms Lawley for her presentation.**

CARRIED

Attachments

- A Copy powerpoint presentation

12 Envirofill and Weiti Developments sediment discharge enquiry

Kerry Flynn, Team Leader Northern Monitoring Compliance was in attendance for this item.

Resolution number HB/2017/131

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Deputy Chairperson J Fitzgerald:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **receive the Envirofill and Weiti Developments sediment discharge enquiry report.**

CARRIED

13 Landowner approval for offset mitigation planting of D'Oyly Reserve Stanmore Bay

George McMahon, Land Use Adviser, Mel Mullhaney, Project Manager and Esther Hjelmstrom, Landscape Architect were in attendance for this item.

Resolution number HB/2017/132

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Deputy Chairperson J Fitzgerald:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **approve the landowner approval request for mitigation planting in D'Oyly Reserve, Stanmore Bay**
- b) **delegate to the Manager Land Advisory Services, Community Facilities exercising of their delegation to execute the land owner approval for mitigation planting of the historical stream running through D'Oyly Reserve, Stanmore Bay.**

CARRIED

15 Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development Six Monthly Report to Hibiscus and Bays Local Board

Resolution number HB/2017/133

MOVED by Member C Watson, seconded by Member V Watson:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **receive the Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development six-monthly report for the period 1 January to 30 June 2017**
- b) **request that Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development provide an**

update on how the funding from the accommodation separate rate is to benefit the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Area.

CARRIED

16 Feedback on the proposed direction of the Auckland Regional Pest Management Plan Resolution number HB/2017/134

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member V Watson:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) provides the following feedback on the proposed direction of specific regional and local programmes being considered as part of the Auckland Regional Pest Management Plan review (as per Attachment C of the agenda report):
- i. supports the education of the public on identified new weeds, such as Chinese Knotweed and Asiatic Knotweed, within the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area and the provision of information of the reasons why they should not remove these weeds themselves and the effects on the natural environment if they continue to grow
 - ii. supports a process whereby the public are encouraged to contact Auckland Council to seek the removal of weeds like Chinese Knotweed and Asiatic Knotweed
 - iii. support working with the northern local boards in the Tahi Cluster to provide economic and efficient ways of dealing with pests including working with all the community volunteer groups across the wider local board areas
 - iv. supports cats being microchipped to identify the difference between pet, stray or feral animals
 - v. supports the use of community volunteer groups to assist with weed eradication and the need for volunteer groups to receive training and the provision of the right equipment for weed eradication
 - vi. request a copy of the proposed plants that are soon to be considered for exclusion from nurseries.

CARRIED

17 Input to the Review of Citizens Advice Bureaux services

Resolution number HB/2017/135

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Deputy Chairperson J Fitzgerald:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) provides the following feedback on the Review of Citizens Advice Bureaux services:

1. What is your relationship with your local Citizens Advice Bureaux?
 - i. the local board has a very good relationship with the two Citizen Advice Bureaux in the local board area, Orewa and Browns Bay and understands the role of the two local services and the additional outreach services to Rodney, Upper Harbour and Whangaparaoa
 - ii. the local board has assisted the local board area Citizens Advice Bureaux with funding to support operational equipment such as a display boards, shelving, computer equipment and a refit of their lease areas.
2. What is the value of your local bureaux service to your community?
 - i. the local Citizens Advice Bureaux are considered to be very valuable to the community as they provide a wide range of services that are not otherwise readily available and easy to source.
3. Is your local Citizens Advice Bureaux delivering outcomes that support the local area and local board objectives?

The local Citizens Advice Bureaux provide services that:

 - i. align with local board priorities as there is no local alternatives or easy access to the services provided
 - ii. locally provided legal advice is limited and the local Citizens Advice Bureaux can support people in the first instance and this is considered a helpful service
 - iii. local Citizens Advice Bureaux volunteers are well trained for their roles and knowledgeable in providing information and advice.
4. Is the current funding model effective in terms of delivering what is required for Auckland and locally?
 - i. the local board is satisfied with the locally provided Citizens Advice Bureaux services being provided and from a Justice of the Peace point of view the organisation is trying to move this particular service to be more public and centrally located rather than people having to visit Justices of the Peace in their own homes.
5. What kind of factors should be considered in the funding of local Citizens Advice Bureaux to ensure fair and equitable service distribution across the region?

Consider that the local Citizens Advice Bureaux should be able to:

 - i. offer budgeting programmes and services which can be effective particularly for families that are struggling on limited discretionary incomes
 - ii. consider more creative ways that the local Citizens Advice Bureaux could be working in a more meaningful way to address community needs.
6. What type of information does the local board wish to receive when local Citizens Advice Bureaux are reporting?
 - i. assurance that people are using the service and that the variety of services being catered for are meeting the community's needs
 - ii. reporting should not be overly prescriptive and either six monthly or annually reporting is sufficient.
7. Would the local board prefer that the local Citizens Advice Bureaux report quarterly or six monthly to the local board?

- i. six monthly or annual reporting in the form of a deputation would be sufficient.
8. Do you understand the role of Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux in relation to the local bureaux?
 - i. local Citizens Advice Bureaux should be centrally funded but report locally
 - ii. it is considered that the current funding approach seems inequitable
 - iii. it is understood that the Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux is a centralised unit however it is not clear how democratic decision making occurs.
9. What would you change if you could?
 - i. need to have visible relevance of local Citizen Advice Bureaux to illustrate how they are addressing the needs of the local community.

CARRIED

Dinner adjournment 6.00pm – 6.30pm

8 Deputations

8.3 Torbay Sailing Club

Michelle Bain, Torbay Sailing Club building committee chairperson and Geoff Harden, Vice-Commodore Torbay Sailing Club, were in attendance to thank the local board for their support with the building project.

A copy of the powerpoint presentation has been placed on the file copy of the minutes and can be viewed on the Auckland Council website.

Resolution number HB/2017/136

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member D Cooper:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **Thank Ms Bain for her presentation.**

CARRIED

Attachments

- A Copy powerpoint presentation

Councillor Watson present at 6.31pm

Councillor Walker present at 6.40pm

9 Public Forum

9.1 12 Riverhaven Drive, Wade Heads

Craig Davis from Davis Coastal Consultants and Gary George the applicant were in attendance to speak to this item.

Resolution number HB/2017/137

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member C Bettany:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **thank Mr Davis for his presentation.**

CARRIED

18 Ward Councillors Update

Councillors Wayne Walker and John Watson were in attendance.

Resolution number HB/2017/138

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member V Watson:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **thank Councillors Walker and Watson for their update.**

CARRIED

9 Public Forum

9.2 Whangaparaoa Road Dynamic Laning Project

Mr David Squirrel, representing Bike Auckland was in attendance to speak of Bike Aucklands concerns at the risk to pedestrians and cyclists with the dynamic laning project.

Resolution number HB/2017/139

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Deputy Chairperson J Fitzgerald:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **thank Mr Squirrel for his presentation.**

CARRIED

19 Land owner application for permanent access through an easement agreement on an unclassified plantation reserve at 12 Riverhaven Drive, Wade Heads, from 14 and 16 Riverhaven Drive, Wade Heads.

Raewyn Sendles, Land Use Advisor was in attendance for this item. Ms Sendles advised that as part of the process the previous owner would have to be contacted but it was thought very unlikely that they would be interested in purchasing the property.

Resolution number HB/2017/140

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Deputy Chairperson J Fitzgerald:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) decline to grant a vehicular right of way over 12 Riverhaven Drive, Wade Heads, to the owner of 14 and 16 Riverhaven Drive
- b) endorse the Manager Land Advisory Services, Stakeholder and Land Advisory, Community Facilities seeking approval from the Governing Body to revoke the land status for 12 Riverhaven Drive, Wade Heads and if approved to proceed with public notification, iwi engagement and any subsequent hearings in accordance with accepted Auckland Council practice and to carry out the usual disposal report(s) for obligations on Council to offer the land back to the former owners
- c) endorse approaching the neighbouring land owners at 10, 14 and 16 Riverhaven Drive regarding revoking the land status for 12 Riverhaven Drive, Wade Heads, under section 24 of the Reserves Act 1977 and then dispose of the land at 12 Riverhaven Drive in shares to the adjoining property owners subject to Auckland Council retaining a pedestrian right of way on the new title, assuming they are in favour of this solution
- d) before the revocation process commences that confirmation is obtained from the former owner or their descendants they did not intend to purchase the land back
- e) that all costs of establishing this linkage, including the revocation process, be at the cost of the applicant

CARRIED

Secretarial note: the local board requested that it be noted that the above resolution for Item 19 was carried without dissent

20 Draft Annual Report 2016/2017 – Hibiscus and Bays Local Board

Michele Going, Lead Financial Advisor, was in attendance for this item.

Resolution number HB/2017/141

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Deputy Chairperson J Fitzgerald:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) notes the monitoring and reporting requirements set out in the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 and the draft local board information proposed for the Auckland Council Annual Report 2016/2017
- b) receives the draft Hibiscus and Bays Local Board report to be included in the Auckland Council Annual Report 2016/2017
- c) approves the message from the chairperson, which provides the local board's comments on local board matters in the Annual Report 2016/2017
- d) gives authority to the chairperson and deputy chairperson to make minor typographical changes before submitting for final publication.

CARRIED

21 Auckland Council's Quarterly Performance Report: Hibiscus and Bays Local Board for Quarter Four, 1 April - 30 June 2017

Lesley Jenkins, Relationship Manager spoke to this item.

Resolution number HB/2017/142

MOVED by Member D Cooper, seconded by Member G Holmes:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **note the performance report for the financial quarter ending 30 June 2017.**

CARRIED

23 Feedback on Takaroa Investing in Play Discussion Document

Resolution number HB/2017/143

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member C Bettany:

a) **That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:**

- i. **Provide the following feedback on the questions presented in the *Tākaro – Investing in Play* discussion document by 21 August 2017:**
 1. **Should Council investment prioritise a particular segment of population?**
 - i. **Supports a broad spread of investment for all ages across the whole region**
 - ii. **Supports the provision of play equipment for children over the age of eight**
 - iii. **Supports a greater provision of bike tracks for youth (15-24 year old age bracket)**
 - iv. **Supports the increase of play spaces for the elderly.**
 2. **Should council prioritise investment in areas of high deprivation over other areas?**
 - i. **Agrees that funding should be spread more equitable over the region and if assets are unevenly distributed Auckland Council should be allocating growth funding to target imbalances in growth areas**
 - ii. **Does not support the potential reallocation of local board budgets to achieve the outcomes outlined in the proposed Investment in Play discussion document**
 - iii. **Supports the renewals budget being only used for renewals**
 - iv. **Does not support funding prioritisation**
 - v. **Does not support deprivation being used as a funding allocation tool**
 - vi. **Supports Auckland Council targeting areas with little play spaces.**
 3. **What is an acceptable level of risk in play?**
 - i. **Supports the need for challenging and adventurous play**
 - ii. **Supports diverse forms of play eg pontoons**
 - iii. **Supports the establishment of more dog parks.**
 4. **Where does the council's responsibility to manage risk end?**
 - i. **Supports play spaces that challenge children in a safe way**
 - ii. **Agrees that it is a parental duty to supervise their children at play.**
 5. **How can the council provide a play network that welcomes and accommodates all**
 - i. **Council should provide a piece of equipment in every playground that provides for all abilities play**
 - ii. **Supports sunsmart options for play spaces**
 - iii. **Supports the exploration of further play opportunities.**

6. What are the opportunities for partnerships between the council and private providers of play?
 - i. The opportunity to develop play spaces in partnership with private providers should be explored more thoroughly.
 7. Should the council encourage and provide for nature play?
 - i. Supports the provision of water based play including pontoons that can be used by all age groups
 - ii. Supports nature play and providing different play equipment that challenges children and adults at play.
- b) expresses concerns on the need for a regional policy on investing in play spaces and playgrounds that are clearly local board decision-making.

CARRIED

24 Submissions and Feedback on the draft Hibiscus and Bays Board Plan 2017

Resolution number HB/2017/144

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member C Watson:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) receive submissions and feedback on the draft Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Plan 2017.

CARRIED

25 Local board involvement in regional strategic priority workshops on the Long-term Plan 2018-2028

Resolution number HB/2017/145

MOVED by Member C Bettany, seconded by Member D Cooper:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) appoint the local board deputy chairperson Janet Fitzgerald as the lead local board member and the chairperson Julia Parfitt as alternate to take part in regional strategic priority workshops on the Long-term Plan 2018-2028.

CARRIED

26 Approval for new road names for the Long Bay Subdivision at Te Oneroa Way, Long Bay - SUB60033219

Resolution number HB/2017/146

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member C Bettany:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) approve the following names for the new public roads constructed within the subdivision being undertaken by, Todd Property, (the Applicant), at Te Oneroa

Way, Long Bay pursuant to section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974;

- i) Streamview Way,
 - ii) Tikati Rise,
 - iii) Ruku Street,
 - iv) Longshore Drive,
 - v) Nerite Place,
 - vi) Keel Street,
 - vii) Tawatawa Street,
 - viii) Tupa Street,
 - ix) Kina Place,
 - x) Kumukumu Road,
 - xi) Fender Place,
 - xii) Headsail Drive
 - xiii) Luff Place
 - xiv) Karehu Place
- b) notes the first eight names will be applied to the subdivision at Te Oneroa Way, Long Bay utilised immediately and the balance of the names being Kina Place, Kumukumu Road, Fender Place, Headsail Drive, Luff Place and Karehu Place will be proposed in future road naming applications by Todd Property (the applicant).

CARRIED

27 Governance Forward Work Calendar

Resolution number HB/2017/147

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member G Holmes:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) note the Governance Forward Work Calendar.

CARRIED

28 Record of Workshop Meetings

Resolution number HB/2017/148

MOVED by Member D Cooper, seconded by Member C Bettany:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) Endorse the record of the workshop meetings held on 29 June 2017 and 6 July 2017 and a joint workshop with Rodney Local Board on 6 July 2017.

CARRIED

22 Governance Framework Review

Lesley Jenkins, Relationship Manager introduced the item.

Resolution number HB/2017/149

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member G Holmes:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- a) **supports the following draft positions and recommendations of the Political Working Party, and provides other feedback as follows:**

Regional policy and decision-making

- i. **Agree that council should implement new mechanisms that ensure effective local board input to regional policy decisions, via a framework that sets out, at a minimum:**
 - a. **A process for involving local boards in the development of jointly agreed regional work programmes at the beginning of each term and in an annual refresh**
 - b. **Earlier and more joint engagement between local boards and the Governing Body in regional decision-making processes**
 - c. **Requirements for analysis of local impacts and local interest of regional decisions and options, and reporting of this to local boards and the Governing Body**
 - d. **Specified criteria for categorising the potential local impact and local board interest of regional decisions**
 - e. **Processes and methods for tailoring local board engagement in line with the local impact and local interest of regional decisions e.g. high categorisation requiring more specific local engagement and analysis, low categorisation requiring more cluster joint local board workshop sessions and less in depth analysis**
 - f. **Specified methods for engagement and communication with local boards at all stages of the decision-making process, noting that some timeframes e.g central government submissions, are outside council's control and the methods may not be able to be implemented in all cases**
- ii. **Direct that local boards will be consulted on the details of these mechanisms prior to implementation**
- iii. **Request sufficient capacity for development of policy that is a priority for multiple local boards**
- iv. **Note that the Quality Advice programme is continuing to be implemented in order to improve the quality of advice to elected members for decision-making, in line with the recommendations of the**

Governance Framework Review

- v. Agree not to implement any formal policies or procedures that control when and how local boards may procure external or contestable advice, but note that, in principle, the Auckland Council organisation should be the first provider of advice to local boards
- vi. Agree not to implement any policy or procedure that would limit local boards' ability to advocate to the governing body on regional issues

Local decisions that may have regional impacts (development of a 'call-in' right)

- vii. Note that an explicit call-in right is not possible under the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009
- viii. Agree not to implement any mechanism that would have the effect of 'calling in' or allocating decision-making to the Governing Body for otherwise local activities or decisions that have regional implications
- ix. Direct officers that, whenever a local board is to make a decision that has potential impacts beyond the immediate local board area e.g. a sub-regional impact or an impact on regional networks, advice on those potential impacts is to be provided to the local board as a matter of course (for example through a regional impact statement)

Allocations and delegations

- x. Agree that the Governing Body delegates, subject to the necessary statutory tests being met, the following Reserves Act 1977 decision-making functions for local reserves to local boards:
 - a. declaration
 - b. classification
 - c. reclassification
- xi. application for revocation of reserve status (limited to when there is a desire by a local board to manage open space under the LGA)
- xii. Note that officers' advice on decision-making for exchanges of reserve land was exchanges of reserve land should remain with the Governing Body, with the relevant local board consulted on these decisions
- xiii. Note that the political working party did not reach consensus on exchange of reserve land issue, and will consider the feedback of local boards before making a recommendation
- xiv. Agree that the Minister of Conservation's supervisory powers remain delegated to staff, but where there is likely to be significant public interest, an independent commissioner should be engaged

The role of Auckland Transport and local boards

- xv. Note the critical interface between the local place-shaping role of local boards and Auckland Transport's jurisdiction over the road corridor

and transport networks

- xvi. Note that the Governance Manual for Substantive Council Controlled Organisations requires Auckland Transport, amongst other things, to:
 - a. develop, with local boards, a shared understanding of local board views and Council Controlled Organisation priorities to inform the following year's business planning, including through an annual interactive workshop 'where local boards communicate their local board priorities and the Council Controlled Organisations' communicate how their current year work programme will contribute to local board priorities'
 - b. develop by 31 July each year an annual local board engagement plan, which includes a schedule 'clearly indicating for each board, the projects and activities that Auckland Transport expects to report on, and the projects and activities that it expects to consult on, for the following year. This should be updated annually or more frequently if required'
 - c. report against the Auckland Transport local board engagement plan in their quarterly performance reports to the Council Controlled Organisation Governance and Monitoring Committee
- xvii. Direct Auckland Transport to meet all requirements for local board engagement as set out in the Governance Manual for Substantive Council Controlled Organisations
- xviii. Direct Auckland Council officers to monitor Auckland Transport's compliance with the requirements for local board engagement, as set out in the Governance Manual for Substantive Council Controlled Organisations, and to report this monitoring to the Governing Body at least annually
- xix. Note that the Mayor's 2017 letter of expectation to Auckland Transport stipulated that council expects there to be:
 - a. better and earlier engagement and communication between Auckland Transport and local boards
 - b. active consideration by Auckland Transport of which of its decision-making powers it could delegate to local boards (within the constraints created by the regulatory environment, safety considerations, the needs of regional networks and the role played by the New Zealand Transport Agency in decision-making)
- xx. Note that the following activity statement has been included in Auckland Transport's 2017-2020 Statement of Intent:

'Participation in the governance review which is aimed at changing behaviours and processes across relevant Council family activities,

- including Auckland Transport, to enable local boards to give effect to their governance role, particularly around local place-shaping’
- xxi. Note that the Governance Framework Review has investigated the potential delegation of a range of Auckland Transport powers and the working party recommends that additional work be undertaken to identify delegation opportunities
- xxii. Direct Auckland Transport, in working with local boards, to:
- a. Ensure that local boards have a strong governance role in determining the ‘look and feel’ of town centres and streetscapes, in line with their allocation of non-regulatory decision-making
 - b. Improve co-ordination between local place-shaping projects, such as town centre upgrades, and Auckland Transport’s renewals programmes
 - c. Provide more opportunities for local board direction on the prioritisation of minor traffic safety projects, with the exception of those which Auckland Transport considers are of critical safety importance
 - d. Be more responsive to local place-shaping initiatives in non-transport parts of the road corridor, including reducing or removing barriers to community place-making initiatives e.g. looking at ways to reduce the costs of developing traffic management plans for community events
 - e. Take direction from local boards on how and where to implement community-focused programmes
- xxiii. Direct Auckland Transport to report to the Governing Body annually on how it is meeting the directions given under recommendation a) xxii
- xxiv. Note that the Quality Advice programme has been working with Auckland Transport to improve the quality of advice to local boards and will shortly begin regular six monthly surveys of local board members’ satisfaction with Auckland Transport advice, engagement and reporting to local boards
- xxv. Note that the Local Board Transport Capital Fund is valued by local boards but that the level of funding means that some local boards are not able to progress meaningful projects, and that improved local transport outcomes may be achieved if the size of the fund was significantly increased
- xxvi. Supports Local Board Transport Capital Fund Option 2 to increase the size of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund from \$11 million to \$20 million to provide local boards with a more systematic work programme approach to managing projects

- xxvii. Direct officers to report to the relevant council committee, through the Long-term Plan process, on options for significantly increasing the Local Board Transport Capital Fund and the method of allocation of the fund
- xxviii. Direct Auckland Transport to implement a more systematic work programme approach to assist local boards to identify potential projects and make decisions
- xxix. Direct Auckland Transport to actively engage with Governing Body members (ward councillors) on transport projects and issues within their ward areas

Waiheke Local Board pilot

- xxx. Agree that the Governing Body should endorse the Waiheke Local Board pilot project as set out in the Waiheke Local Board pilot project plan
- xxxi. Note that the Waiheke Local Board will maintain oversight of local implementation of the pilot
- xxxii. Request that evaluation of the Waiheke Local Board pilot is reported back to all local boards

Local boards funding and finance

Note; refer to clause xxxix which was voted on at the end of the item

The optimum number of local boards

- xxxiii. Agree that there is no need to change the current number of local boards as at this time as there is no overwhelming need to change
- xxxiv. Agree that any decision to review the numbers of local boards should not occur until after the Governance Framework Review is completed and implemented and the outcomes of the re-organisation proposals for North Rodney and Waiheke are known

Methods of electing governing body members

- xxxv. Agree that the election process for the number of governing body members and wards should remain unchanged as there is no identified need to change or undertake an in-depth review on the election of Governing Body members
- xxxvi. Note that the statutory review of representation arrangements for Auckland Council must be completed by September 2018
- xxxvii. Note that the Local Government Act Amendment Bill No. 2, which proposes a simplified process for local government-led reorganisation processes, is currently before Parliament
- xxxviii. Support Auckland Council advocating to central government for legislative amendments that would allow for future changes to the

number of governing body members in line with population change and to simplify the process for changes to the numbers and boundaries of local boards and the creation of Maori wards in Auckland

Note: Refer to clause xl which was voted on at the end of the item

- b) supports decision-making for exchanges of reserve land sitting with local boards
- c) supports the continuation of a joint local board/Governing Body political working party focusing on matters of governance impacting on both governance parts of council.

CARRIED

MOVED by Member G Holmes, seconded by Member C Bettany:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- xxxix. supports Local Decision Making with Parameters (Option 2) in preference to the Enhanced Status Quo as this enables local board to have; additional decision making and accountability for local activities and services being funded through a local rate, increased flexibility in determining levels of service, and will enable direct engagement with the local board's community on the costs and benefits of providing local services.

LOST

Resolution number HB/2017/150

MOVED by Member G Holmes, seconded by Member V Watson:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- xxxix. request that further work on the implications of the Local Decision Making model be undertaken for further consideration including modelling of rates implications following the revaluation, costs to the organisation of supporting more local decision making, before a final decision is made on the Local Decision Making with Parameters (Option 2).

CARRIED

Resolution number HB/2017/151

MOVED by Member C Watson, seconded by Member V Watson:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

- xl. Supports the following naming conventions for elected members of the Auckland Council as
Councillor and Local Councillor

CARRIED

29 Consideration of Extraordinary Items

There was no consideration of extraordinary items.

7.54 pm

The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT
RECORD AT A MEETING OF THE
HIBISCUS AND BAYS LOCAL BOARD
HELD ON

DATE:.....

CHAIRPERSON:.....