

## Governance Framework Review: summary of formal local board feedback on the draft recommendations of the political working party

Workstream	Issue	Local boards position
Workstream 1: Policy	Regional policy and decision-making processes	<p>Local boards unanimously supported the direction of the draft recommendations.</p> <p>Eleven local boards resolved that there should be sufficient capacity and resources for local boards to provide policy advice on issues that are a priority for them.</p> <p>One board commented that local boards should be allocated decision-making for submissions to central government on legislation, and the Governing Body and local boards should jointly represent Auckland Council at select committee hearings.</p>
Workstream 1: Policy	Local decisions that may have regional impacts ('call-in' right)	<p>Local boards universally supported the working party's position.</p> <p>Four local boards stated that in addition to the PWP's position the Governing Body should direct that, where a local board is to make a decision that affects local activities in any other local board area, it shall consult with any affected local board.</p> <p>One local board resolved that there should be a mechanism to trigger notification to the Governing Body and any other affected local board for their consultation and input.</p>
Workstream 1: Policy	Allocations and delegations – Reserves Act decision-making.	<p>All local boards supported the position that the governing body should delegate decisions on declaration, classification, reclassification and applications for revocation to local boards subject to the statutory tests being met.</p> <p>One board stated in its resolutions that these decisions should be allocated to local boards because, in their opinion, they are not regulatory decisions.</p> <p>Four local boards commented that budgets need to follow decision-making: a change from regional to local decision-making will require a reallocation from regional to local budgets (NB there is no specific budget allocated for these functions, so this is not possible)</p> <p>A clear majority of local boards (14) supported decisions on reserve exchanges being delegated to local boards. Six local boards supported the status quo of the governing body retaining these decisions.</p>

Workstream	Issue	Local boards position
Workstream 1: Policy	Auckland Transport in local place-shaping	<p>All local boards supported requiring Auckland Transport to meet all accountability requirements, particularly for local board engagement, as set out in the Governance Manual for Substantive CCOs, and that these requirements should be more consistently monitored and reported.</p> <p>Seven local boards stated that the recommendations should note the role of local boards in place-making and that Auckland Transport should be guided by and responsive to local boards to enable them to give effect to this role.</p> <p>Eight local boards stated that Auckland Transport should provide local boards with the opportunity to influence the prioritisation of projects in the local work programme.</p> <p>Six local boards stated that Auckland Transport should seek local board endorsement for projects where there are place-making outcomes, before proceeding to delivery</p>
Workstream 1: Policy	Auckland Transport and the transport capital fund	Seventeen local boards supported the PWP's position that the local board transport capital fund should be significantly increased with the quantum and allocation method determined through the LTP process.
Workstream 1: Policy	Auckland transport delegations	Two local boards explicitly stated that Auckland Transport should delegate some decision-making functions to them.
Workstream 1: Policy	Waiheke Local Board pilot	<p>All local boards supported the recommendation that the governing body should endorse the pilot.</p> <p>Eleven boards stated there should be an explicit commitment to report either the evaluation or regular update reports on the pilot to all local boards.</p> <p>Waiheke Local Board's resolutions in response to the proposal are included in Attachment A: Policy issues</p>
Workstream 2: Funding and finance	Funding and finance	<p>Eighteen (18) local boards either supported the position of the PWP that the enhanced status quo model be progressed now, or resolved something similar – that it should be moved to immediately, noting that further work can be progressed within this framework.</p> <p>Four local boards (Rodney, Waiheke, Manurewa, Franklin) supported a model of local rates for local activities, with sixteen boards supporting further work to be undertaken on this option before it is ruled out. Those four boards argued strongly in favour of an opt in pilot of local rates</p>

<b>Workstream</b>	<b>Issue</b>	<b>Local boards position</b>
Workstream 3: Governance and representation	The optimum number of local boards	Local boards unanimously supported the position that no steps should be taken to continue reviewing the number of local boards at this point
Workstream 3: Governance and representation	Methods of electing governing body members	<p>Twenty boards agreed with the working party's view that the method of electing governing body members should remain unchanged.</p> <p>One board stated that the boundaries of local boards and wards should not be the same, as this would help the public see that each arm of the governance structure has a different role</p>
Workstream 3: Governance and representation	Naming conventions of elected members	<p>Eighteen (18) local boards supported adopting some variation of the term councillor to describe local board members.</p> <p>Fifteen of those boards preferred the title "local councillor"</p> <p>Three boards did not express a view</p> <p>A majority (8) of local boards that responded did not have a position for governing body naming conventions.</p>
Workstream 3: Governance and representation	Future of the Political Working Party	Twenty local boards gave feedback on this issue. All supported some form of ongoing joint governing body / local board working party with a brief over governance matters continuing with refreshed Terms of Reference and mandate