

## Attachment B – Auckland Plan Refresh - feedback from local boards

Local Board	Formal Business Meeting Resolutions –September 2017
<p>Albert-Eden Local Board Resolution number AE/2017/142</p>	<p>a) <i>Outcome: Belonging and Participation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. The Board supports the high-level direction of the outcome 'Belonging and Participation'.</li> <li>ii. The Board would like to see the inclusion of 'security of housing' as a strategic direction under this outcome. Without the security of a roof over their heads, people cannot fully participate in society nor do they feel a sense of belonging. Without this minimal requisite, the outcome becomes irrelevant.</li> <li>iii. The Board does not agree with the assumption that Auckland's population will always continue to grow. The Local Board supports the inclusion of a focus area to look at slowing growth in Auckland to benefit other regions.</li> <li>iv. The Local Board would like to see, 'It is essential that we plan and design services and infrastructure that is flexible to adapt to different usage, demand and changing demographic needs over time', moved to sit under the outcome 'Opportunities and Prosperity'.</li> <li>v. The Board would like, 'Percentage of <u>Aucklanders</u> who can hold conversational level te reo Māori conversations and the proportion of Aucklanders who have either taught, shared or engaged in an activity that involves te reo Māori', to be added as a Measure.</li> </ul> <p>b) <i>Outcome: Māori Identity and Wellbeing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) The Board supports the high-level direction of the outcome 'Māori Identity and Wellbeing'.</li> <li>ii) The Board would like to see the measure, 'Percentage of Māori in Tāmaki Makaurau who are studying at tertiary level in STEM courses and percentage of Year 11, 12 &amp; 13 Māori students that are engaged in Māori-focused courses', widened to include all primary, secondary and tertiary education. The Local Board supports strengthening the measure to see Māori students achieving the same rates in education as other ethnic groups.</li> <li>iii) The Board wants to see use of te reo by all Aucklanders supported and encouraged.</li> </ul> <p>c) <i>Outcome: Environment and Cultural Heritage</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) The Local Board supports the high-level direction of the outcome 'Environment and Cultural Heritage'.</li> <li>ii) The Board believes it is very important that Auckland Council has a Strategic Direction for climate change, not just as a focus area. It should also include the impact from the unsustainable use of energy.</li> <li>iii) The Local Board would like to see the inclusion of Maunga protection as a focus area. This should include the protection of view-shafts as it adds to the unique cultural heritage and environment of Auckland. Are we not applying for World Heritage Status for our Maunga?</li> <li>iv) The Local Board is concerned there is no obvious mention of air quality in the plan and supports a definitive reference should be included in the focus area 'Ensure development accounts for key impacts and emerging threats such as water quality and climate change'.</li> <li>v) The Local Board is concerned that the huge growth and increased density in our city are having undue impacts on the local environment. Of particular concern are housing developments that</li> </ul>

	<p>discharge run-off into local creeks and streams. Resource consenting needs to reflect the changing nature of development and its effects on our environment. While addressing infrastructure needs is critical, major issues around network discharges of water along with consents that are in place for decades should be dealt with now.</p> <p>vi) The Local Board supports strengthening and better defining of the Measure, 'Number of wastewater overflows to marine environment and associated suitability for swimming'. The Local Board would also like the inclusion of fresh water environments.</p> <p>d) <i>Outcome: Homes and Places</i></p> <p>i) The Local Board supports the high-level direction of the outcome 'Homes and Places'.</p> <p>ii) The Local Board strongly supports the inclusion of 'Long-term security of tenure' for renters and those sleeping rough, in temporary accommodation and people living in uninhabitable dwellings.</p> <p>e) <i>Outcome: Access and Connectivity</i></p> <p>i) The Local Board supports the high-level direction of the outcome 'Access and Connectivity'.</p> <p>ii) The Local Board would like to see further engagement with the Ministry of Education and Auckland Transport, to better understand student travel patterns and the impact on the local roading network. There is a need for a focus on an integrated transport system that helps support students to use public transport.</p> <p>iii) The Local Board would like to see mention of encouraging ride-share transport and services like city hop that support households becoming carless.</p> <p>f) <i>Outcome: Opportunities and Prosperity</i></p> <p>i) The Local Board supports the high-level direction of the outcome 'Opportunities and Prosperity'.</p> <p>ii) The Local Board would like to see mention of Auckland supporting the development of other regions in New Zealand. By supporting regional development, the population growth of Auckland may slow and be beneficial for all of New Zealand.</p> <p>g) <i>The Development Strategy</i></p> <p>i) The Local Board would like the sentence, 'Timing of growth and infrastructure investment needs to be coordinated', changed to, 'Infrastructure development must precede growth'.</p> <p>ii) The Local Board supports moving all funded development projects to the 'first 3 years' category.</p>
<p>Devonport-Takapuna Local Board Resolution number DT/2017/210</p>	<p>That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:</p> <p>a) provides the following feedback on the Auckland Plan Refresh:</p> <p>i. the local board agrees with the purpose and intent of the six outcome areas, and their related focus areas;</p> <p>ii. the local board supports the framework as a tool to complement the local board's strategic outcomes as detailed in the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board Plan;</p> <p>iii. the local board notes that economic development is detailed in the 'Maori Wellbeing' outcome, and suggests it also be included in the 'Skills and Jobs' outcome;</p> <p>iv. the local board suggests the that sport, recreation, and health /</p>

	<p>active lifestyles be included in the 'Belonging' outcome area;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>v. the local board suggests that built heritage be included in the 'Protect and Enhance' outcome area; and</li> <li>vi. the local board notes concern that infrastructure development continues have a focus on central Auckland, while new housing developments are being built in outlying areas of the region. The local board believes that a fair and proportionate level of infrastructure investment is required in other metropolitan areas (e.g. Takapuna, Albany and Manukau), so delivery of Auckland Plan focus areas, such as more access to local jobs, resilient economy and workforce and access, can be achieved.</li> </ul> <p>b) notes that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Planning Committee for consideration in the drafting of the refreshed Auckland Plan.</p>
<p>Franklin Local Board Resolution number FR/2017/164</p>	<p><u>Document content</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) The plan needs to make reference to the protection of elite and frost-free productive soils (Environment and Cultural Heritage and the Development Strategy);</li> <li>(ii) Linked to (i) above, the plan should highlight the significant role of productive soils in ensuring a sustainable food supply for Auckland (Environment and Cultural Heritage, Opportunities and Prosperity and Development Strategy);</li> <li>(iii) References to climate change need more focus on the implications for coastal erosion, in terms of its social, economic and environmental impacts (Environment and Cultural Heritage);</li> <li>(iv) The plan needs to be more explicit about the health benefits of parks and open spaces, including support for community sports hubs in locations accessible by a choice of transport modes (Belonging and Participation, Homes and Places);</li> <li>(v) A greater diversity of business uses and support for smaller business units should be enabled by the plan (Opportunities and Prosperity);</li> <li>(vi) When identifying 'communities most in need', the plan should be clear on how 'need' will be defined (Belonging and Participation);</li> <li>(vii) Belonging and participation is about more than increasing engagement and supporting groups to participate, it should be about how council and partner organisations empower communities to actually <u>do</u> things for themselves in partnership with council, without undue regulation or obstacles;</li> <li>(viii) The plan should include an objective to remove heavy haulage freight movements from town centre roads and safeguard freight routes</li> <li>(ix) Drury should be added as a key centre for urban growth (Development Strategy);</li> <li>(x) There is still scant consideration of the growth pressures on smaller towns and the development strategy is vague on how infrastructure to support growth in these settlements will be provided – it should be recognised that the 'market appeal' of these areas is increasing and is unlikely to abate over the plan period.</li> </ul> <p><u>Document Format and Engagement</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(xi) The Auckland Plan Refresh material for public engagement should be succinct, set the context in relation to other council plans, use plain language and minimal acronyms, use a short case study story to explain, show how the different areas link together and use</li> </ul>

	<p>diagrams to quickly explain points.</p> <p>(xii) It would be good to see governing body member participation at local consultation events;</p> <p>b) Notes that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Planning Committee for consideration in the drafting of the refreshed Auckland Plan.</p>
<p>Henderson-Massey Resolution number HM/2017/160</p>	<p>That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:</p> <p>a) note that the board has previously provided feedback on the Auckland Plan refresh approach and its early content, and that this feedback has been considered</p> <p>b) support the presented package of materials of the six outcome areas of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Belonging and Participation</li> <li>• Maori Identity and Wellbeing</li> <li>• Environment and Cultural Heritage</li> <li>• Homes and Places</li> <li>• Access and Connectivity</li> <li>• Opportunities and Prosperity</li> </ul> <p>and the Development Strategy (attachment A) as forming the basis of consultation with residents and stakeholders.</p> <p>c) particularly endorse the additional clarity within the Belonging and Participation outcome, and the intent of the strategic direction of Improving living standards for all Aucklanders by reducing disparities in opportunities.</p> <p>d) Note the strong alignment of the six Auckland Plan outcome areas with the local boards own proposed draft local board plan outcomes of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A network of vibrant and loved urban neighbourhoods</li> <li>• A thriving local economy that supports quality of life</li> <li>• Communities know each other and work together on common interests</li> <li>• Community facilities are vibrant and welcoming places at the heart of our communities</li> <li>• It is easy to get around without a car</li> <li>• Natural spaces are valued and restored</li> </ul> <p>e) identify their desire for Council, through the Auckland Plan and Long-term Plan process, to establish an integrated and more simple regional and local measures framework.</p>
<p>Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Resolution number HB/2017/160</p>	<p><b>Auckland Plan Refresh</b> The local board feels many of the views provided earlier were well captured.</p> <p>Given the importance of this Auckland Plan refresh the local board requests that the Auckland Plan Refresh team allows ample time in their timeline to discuss fully with local boards. Implications are wide ranging which influence future long-term plans and ultimately delivery.</p> <p>The framework appears complementary to the strategic goals of Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Plan.</p> <p><b>Observations:</b></p>

- Not progressive enough
- Performance measures are unambitious, based on the last version which weren't measurable. Need better performance measures that are Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Time bound (SMART).
- Growth does not seem to be well covered
  - o Seems to look out for the poor, low socio-economic areas and ignore the others
  - o Need more emphasis on partnerships
  - o Need to also be mindful of other areas to embrace diversity and inclusiveness

• Needs to be plain english – currently contains too much 'planning speak'

**Development Strategy:**

Open space protection needs to be included. Corridors and parks seem to be missing.

Coastal protection needs to be included as a priority.

Environmental protection needs to be included as a priority.

Business land needs to be in the right locations to support and match residential growth (and employment).

There is a lack of focus on the Silverdale area for business land.

Relocation of the port needs to be included/ considered as it will have wide-ranging implications.

Talks about a quality compact model but no view of this.

Concerns that the process is too rushed.

Seems more exclusive than inclusive as growth is still happening outside the areas identified so how will funding/effort be distributed to these areas as well?

Needs to say (and show) that it's flexible to change when indicated/required.

Needs to be far more of a living document to keep up and adapt more easily to change.

The Unitary Plan and seven priority areas have kept being promoted even though they weren't the areas where a lot of growth was underway. Many aspects are now out of step.

Decisions made by CCOs such as Auckland Transport and Panuku should be consistent with council's strategic plan. This doesn't seem to have been achieved to date. All agencies of council need to be bound by and deliver the strategic directions in the Auckland Plan.

---

**Outcomes:**

**Belonging and Participation**

'What we heard' seems to be well captured in general.

'How we propose the Auckland Plan will achieve this' – not easy to see where this is reflected in the updated material

**Strategic directions:** seem to focus on low income rather than engaging all communities. What about the working class and getting them involved and activated?

**BP\_SD1:** It is acknowledged that belonging is linked with well-being but wellness seems to have been overlooked.

**BP\_SD2:** This will need intervention with central government.

Difficult if not impossible for council to deliver this on its own. There are issues within affluent areas as well. Massive immigration is not dealt with. New migrants need to be able to integrate into their chosen areas. Needs to be far broader. Need to provide programmes that celebrate diversity and inclusion.

**Focus areas:**

**BP\_FA6** 'Focus investment to address disparities and serve communities of greatest need'

Does not seem to acknowledge growth. '... target investment towards areas where it will have greatest impact' appears to focus on poorer areas but also needs to accommodate growth needs in other areas.

Also, needs to include wellness as a key element.

**Performance measures:** Too much reliance on perception measures. Need some data-based measures as well which can be from sources outside council. E.g. MoH/regional health boards, regional sporting bodies.

---

**Maori Identity and Wellbeing:**

**Strategic Direction:** Seems to have captured it well

**Focus Areas:** Seems to have captured it well

---

**Environment and Cultural Heritage:**

**Strategic Direction:**

Doesn't provide enough about protection of environment and coastal.

**EC\_SD1:** Feel this is deficient in halting the decline. Needs to go further to rectify, enhance and improve.

Needs to acknowledge and address the tensions between EC\_SD1 Value, protect and enhance our environment for current and future Aucklanders, with EC\_SD2 Promote te ao Māori as foundational to protecting and restoring taonga tuku iho of Tamkai Makarau, EC\_SC3 Future-proof infrastructure for a changing and future Auckland and SD\_SD4 Utilise growth and development to restore degraded environments and create new resources.

Must explore how to balance these contradictory effects.

Cultural values shouldn't just embrace te ao Māori values, they should also recognise that people come from different cultures – need to respect those cultures and learn about them too.

---

**Homes and Places:**

**Strategic Direction:**

**HP\_SD2** Affordable homes must be included and also be achievable. Safe and healthy homes are important as many existing homes are not built for the environment. E.g. leaky homes, insufficient insulation.

**HP\_FA2** Needs to be strengthened to include quality, affordable homes.

**HP\_FA3** Protection for tenants needs to be taken up with central government.

**HP\_FA4** Requires collaboration between all parties.

**HP\_FA6** Needs further information to understand is this existing spaces or new,

	<p>and provide examples of uses.</p> <p><b>Focus areas</b> need to include access to schools and jobs.</p> <hr/> <p><b>Access and Connectivity:</b>  <b>Strategic Direction:</b>  Support the strategic directions. Need to include recognition of current growth that has surpassed expectations.</p> <p><b>Focus Areas:</b>  <b>AC_FA2:</b> Add a second harbour crossing to enable light rail for North Shore given that we are already meeting patronage numbers now that were anticipated to be met a decade later.  <b>AC_FA3:</b> Include using new and innovative funding mechanisms to achieve new infrastructure builds.</p> <p><b>Performance Measures:</b> Use some of the relevant measures from Low Carbon Auckland Action Plan. Could also include number of EV and Hybrid vehicles in use.</p> <hr/> <p><b>Opportunities and Prosperity:</b>  <b>Strategic Direction:</b>  Living and working locally is an important factor to include.</p> <p>Need protection of industrial areas to allow for growth and employment opportunities. E.g. Silverdale area.</p> <p>Aucklanders need the security of finding fulltime quality employment.</p> <p><b>Focus areas:</b>  <b>OP_FA5:</b> Need to add developing young people who can adapt to change and be resilient.</p> <p>Need to cover manual trade skills.</p> <p>Having more technology will eventually reduce jobs for many. People need alternatives.</p>
<p>Howick Local Board  Resolution number  HW/2017/171</p>	<p>a) commend the Auckland Pan Refresh team for incorporating the majority of its early feedback (resolution number HW/2017/132) to the development of the content of the strategic framework; and</p> <p>b) provide further feedback as follows:  To put more emphasis on community safety initiatives, replace the third bullet point of Focus Area HP_FA7, Outcome: Homes and Places which states:  “Neighbourhoods and public places support social networks and provide for resilience.”  With:  “Neighbourhoods and public places support social networks, enhanced placemaking, provide for greater community resilience and ensure people feel safe”.</p> <p>c) delegate to the Chair DA Collings and Deputy Chair KL Bungard to consider the contents of the tabled document from Grey Power Howick Pakuranga and Districts on issues for elderly and seniors and the aged Auckland population.</p> <p>d) Provide explicit mention of the importance for an age-friendly city providing appropriate housing for seniors in the Homes and Places</p>

	<p>outcome as a new focus area.</p> <p>f) Provide explicit mention of the importance of recognizing isolation and loneliness among the senior community in the Belonging and Participation focus area.</p>
<p>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Resolution number MO/2017/179</p>	<p>That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:</p> <p>a) Notes that the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board feedback as well as comments from other local boards and stakeholders informed the further development of the strategic framework and makes these further comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. That in principle, the proposed measures for the six themes are a useful means of tracking progress and change and these are broadly aligned to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan 2017, to be adopted.</li> <li>ii. That local board level data for drawing analysis for measures will establish realistic assessment of progress on change set out in the focus areas and outcomes of the plan.</li> <li>iii. That local board plans are used to bridge the analysis on local priorities and objectives with those set out in the Auckland Plan focus areas and measures.</li> <li>iv. That there is need for clarity for the proposed measure 'perceptions of environment' under 'Homes and Places'.</li> <li>v. That reference to the role of substantive council controlled organisations such as Auckland Transport, Auckland Tourism Events and Economic Development and Panuku Development Auckland be included as they are critical players in achieving the outcomes. That the links or alignment between their performance measures and those of the Auckland Plan would be pertinent in assessing progress towards outcomes.</li> <li>vi. That the board is also interested to know how council's key performance measures will relate to or be used to inform the proposed measures for the Auckland Plan.</li> </ul>
<p>Manurewa Local Board Resolution number MR/2017/207</p>	<p>That the Manurewa Local Board:</p> <p>a) provides feedback, including any specific feedback on the package of materials across the six outcome areas and the Development Strategy (attachment A).</p> <p>b) notes that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Planning Committee for consideration in the drafting of the refreshed Auckland Plan.</p> <p>c) thanks the Auckland Plan refresh team for the work undertaken to date.</p> <p>d) requests further consideration to be given under the following Auckland Plan refresh headings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Opportunity and Prosperity - that priority be given not only to future proofing youth education and training to align with a digital future but also that recognition be given to the need for youth training that addresses current housing development needs and future proofing our workforce for further housing development demands over the next 30 years</li> <li>ii. Homes and Places - consider the feasibility of building more homes whereby the sole purpose is to provide long term rental options wherein the same home may be rented over the entirety of a person's lifetime</li> <li>iii. Environment and Cultural Heritage - as there does not appear to be</li> </ul>

	<p>a direct mention of recycling, resource recovery or waste management; to include a statement regarding Auckland Council's aspirational goal towards 'zero waste'.</p>
<p>Maungakiekie- Tāmaki Local Board Resolution number MT/2017/171</p>	<p>That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) agree with the purpose and intent of the six outcome areas, their related focus areas and measures as a package of information for consultation on the Auckland Plan Refresh and looks forward to engaging in the process;</li> <li>b) note that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Planning Committee for consideration in the drafting of the refreshed Auckland Plan.</li> </ol>
<p>Ōrākei Local Board Resolution number OR/2017/176</p>	<p>In general, the Ōrākei Local Board supports the work to date in developing the strategic directions and focus areas to achieve the six Auckland Plan outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Belonging and Participation for All Aucklanders</li> <li>• Opportunities and Prosperity for All</li> <li>• Homes and Places for People</li> <li>• Environment and Cultural Heritage Valued by All</li> <li>• Access and Connectivity for Everyone</li> <li>• Māori Identity and Well-being</li> </ul> <p>These align well with the aspirations and themes of the 2017 Local Board Plan for Ōrākei. In the Local Board Plan there are five outcomes:  Outcome 1: Our local parks and open space areas are valued and enjoyed  Outcome 2: Our residents are proud of their community facilities and public places  Outcome 3: People can move around our area easily and safely  Outcome 4: The natural environment is valued, protected and enhanced by our communities  Outcome 5: A thriving economy which supports local businesses and town centres.</p> <p>From the Auckland Plan refresh material presented at a workshop on 14 September the Ōrākei Local Board is mainly concerned with two outcomes: <i>Homes and Places for People &amp; Environment and Cultural Heritage Valued by All</i>, and would like to provide the following feedback:</p> <p><b><u>Homes and Places for People</u></b></p> <p><b><i>Develop a quality compact city to accommodate Auckland's growth</i></b></p> <p>The Board considers that the most important word in this strategic direction is "quality", especially if the city is to become more compact. None of the focus areas seek to deliver this, apart from "quality" being applied to "existing and rental housing". It should be an outcome for ALL types of development. Communities are more likely to embrace intensification if they have confidence that the built environment is attractive and enduring. There are significant negative economic, social and visual effects if low-quality buildings continue to be allowed to be built.</p> <p><b><u>Environment and Cultural Heritage Valued by All</u></b></p> <p>The <i>Environment and Cultural Heritage Valued by All</i> outcome is the outcome of most interest to the Ōrākei Local Board. The Board is concerned that "cultural heritage" seems to only be focussed on Māori cultural heritage, when there is a wealth of European heritage that makes Auckland special. There is no other logical place in the Outcomes and Focus Areas to ensure it is also protected.</p> <p>Presently there is conflict between the need to accommodate growth and the protection of these values. The accommodation of growth through the</p>

	<p>redevelopment of existing urban areas and the development of greenfield areas is occurring at the expense of our environment and our heritage. This needs to be better managed by more precise (as opposed to simply more) regulatory controls, including Unitary Plan provisions, and the concerted enforcement of consent conditions on new developments.</p> <p>Excessive earth-working, poor silt control, reconfiguring waterways and overland flow paths, loss of habitat and the felling of significant trees to support more intensive residential developments seems to take priority. Current urban development practices are still in direct conflict with the quality and health of Auckland's natural environment, which continues to degrade.</p> <p>While the strategic directions and focus areas are worthy and necessary, the Board is not convinced that Council has the tools to achieve the agreed strategic directions and focus areas. If the tools cannot be strengthened or new tools put in place, the outcome should be scrapped as it is unachievable.</p> <p><b>Utilise growth and redevelopment to restore degraded environments and create new resources.</b> How? Where are the examples of how this has been achieved? We suggest that in communicating this to the Public you give examples of where this has occurred in Auckland. What does "create new resources" mean? What kinds of "resources"?</p> <p><b>Support and enable Aucklanders to be stewards of our natural and cultural heritage.</b> Again, the Board asks how? Under past and current district plan provisions it is very difficult for the community to feel empowered to influence decisions and feel like stewards, particularly on the current development responses to growth. The RMA is becoming more restrictive in the need for consultation whereby residents can express concerns about and influence new development.</p> <p><b>Ensure development accounts for key impacts and emerging threats, such as declining water quality and climate change.</b> This needs to be more carefully worded. Are "water quality" and "climate change" both impacts and threats? Does this mean declining water quality? If so, say so. It would be good to know what actions/regulatory measures/programmes/policies the Council has at hand or can put in place to achieve this</p> <p><b>Use green infrastructure and low impact design to deliver greater resilience, long-term cost savings and quality environmental outcomes.</b> Once again, need examples of green infrastructure and low impact design to explain what these mean and how commonly they are used. The Board has not witnessed any low-impact design examples in its Local Board area to date. As mentioned above, there are plenty of examples of sites with excessive earthworks to create driveways, building platforms, space for more units. If the aspiration was current practice water quality should already be improving. "Greater resilience" of what? "Long- term cost savings" for whom?</p>
<p>Ōtara -Papatoetoe Local Board Resolution number OP/2017/161</p>	<p>That the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) provides feedback on the package of materials across the six outcome areas and the Development Strategy (attachment A) and requests that the Auckland Plan Refresh include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. the importance of rail (preferably heavy) from the airport to Manukau to address congestion, accessibility and future growth in the Access and Connectivity outcome under Focus Area: Target new transport investment to the most significant challenges to support key long-term outcomes.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ii. explicit mention of the importance for accessibility for disabled communities in the Access and Connectivity outcome as a new focus area</li> <li>iii. historical importance of Pacifica and Asian contribution to the identity of Auckland</li> <li>iv. importance of Civil Defence in Belonging and Participation</li> <li>v. recognition that Manukau is fast becoming a prominent education destination in the Opportunities and Prosperity Outcome, under Focus Area: the Increase ongoing learning and training in new and emerging areas, with a focus on those most in need</li> </ul> <p>b) notes that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Planning Committee for consideration in the drafting of the refreshed Auckland Plan.</p>
<p>Puketāpapa Local Board Resolution number PKTPP/2017/168</p>	<p>The Puketāpapa Local Board supports the content of the package of engagement material, subject to the following comments:</p> <p><b>A. General comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Auckland Plan Refresh should be aspirational. The plan should set out a desire for Auckland to be a place with good homes and places that supports people's freedom to live, learn, grow, work, relax, play, participate and be healthy across the region</li> <li>2. The Auckland Plan Draft Content package does not adequately highlight the need for Auckland to respond to a rapidly aging population</li> <li>3. Quality health vocabulary is being excluded from the narrative of the material and should be included to ensure that social capital and wellbeing is a clear focus area of the plan</li> </ol> <p><b>B. Specific comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Homes and Place Outcome: under focus areas 'parks' and 'open spaces' should be explicitly mentioned rather than being placed under the umbrella term: 'public spaces'</li> <li>2. The Local Board does not accept the statement that "the current housing stock caters well for the stand-alone markets" under Homes and Place Outcome: HP_SD2</li> </ol>
<p>Rodney Local Board Resolution number RD/2017/153</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Provide the following Overarching Comments on the draft Auckland Plan Refresh document: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The six outcome areas and the general intent of the development strategy, particularly its focus on growth areas are supported.</li> <li>(b) It is considered that the focus of the draft plan remains 'city' orientated and that this focus should be broader to encompass the large rural landmass of Auckland and the role that these areas will play over the next 30 years in accommodating growth.</li> <li>(c) The importance of reflecting the reality of the Unitary Plan is emphasized, being a move from a solely a compact city to the incorporation of an urban/rural growth split and the need to resource and support rural transformation in our country towns.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(ii) Provide the following feedback on the Belonging and Participation Outcome of the draft Auckland Plan Refresh document: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) References to population growth and demographic change putting</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<p>pressure on existing services and facilities and the need to plan and design services and infrastructure to adapt is supported (refer BP_FA2).</p> <p>(b) Our earlier feedback is reiterated that references to celebrating Auckland's diversity are supported, however, this should recognise both demographically and geographically diverse communities in particular coastal and rural communities, not just social/ethnic diversity.</p> <p>(c) Support references to the Empowered Communities Approach (BP_FA3) and consider that this should be expanded to explicitly support 'community-led' outcomes, in particular the desire of communities to participate in the delivery and creation of assets for their local community including the vesting of these assets in council in a seamless way.</p> <p>(d) Request that the plan refers to 'partnering with communities' and provides clear examples of how communities can partner with council.</p> <p>(iii) Provide the following feedback on the Maori Identity and Wellbeing Outcome of the draft Auckland Plan Refresh document:</p> <p>(a) The general direction and intent of this outcome is supported.</p> <p>(iv) Provide the following feedback on the Environment and Cultural Heritage Outcome of the draft Auckland Plan Refresh document:</p> <p>(a) Reference to the impacts of growth threatening our environment and the need to embed better environmental outcomes in all our decisions (EC_FA1) is supported as is the intent to utilise growth to restore degraded environments (EC_SD4).</p> <p>(b) It is requested that there be more specific reference to the impacts of cleanfills as an example of growth impacts on our environment and our communities.</p> <p>(c) References to considering water quality and putting measures in place to manage and mitigate impacts are supported. It is considered that this should be expanded to specifically include improving the health of our harbours and waterways given the unique geography of the Auckland region with its multiple harbours as a key point of difference.</p> <p>(d) Utilising improvements to "both rural and urban water quality" as a measure for this outcome is supported.</p> <p>(v) Provide the following feedback on the Homes and Places Outcome of the draft Auckland Plan Refresh document:</p> <p>(a) Recognition of the need for public spaces in urban areas and more efficient use of these spaces is supported, however, it is considered that this should be expanded to specifically include the provision of open spaces and recreation areas in tandem with growth.</p> <p>(b) The need for secure affordable homes for all Aucklanders is acknowledged, however, council's role in the delivery of these houses, other than consenting matters and encouraging other agencies, is questioned as it is limited with central government being the key decision maker in this area.</p> <p>(c) It is noted that previous feedback from Rodney communities has not supported council's involvement in the delivery of housing and has noted that council should be focusing on core council services such as roading.</p>
--	--

	<p>(d) Reiterates its earlier feedback that it considers that the draft plan maintains a narrow focus on the city centre and dense urban living and will not resonate with communities that do not meet that description, including those that live within the 46% of Auckland's land mass that falls within the Rodney ward.</p> <p>(vi) Provide the following feedback on the Access and Connectivity Outcome of the draft Auckland Plan Refresh document:</p> <p>(a) Request that this outcome be moved to the front of the document as transport is a matter of importance to all Aucklanders and the Rodney Local Board has consistently received feedback that this area, including public transport and other infrastructure, is their highest priority to address.</p> <p>(b) The outcome statement that “people from all parts of Auckland must have good access to the employment, education and other opportunities that growth creates” is supported.</p> <p>(c) References to upgrading rural roads are supported, however, the board's earlier request is reiterated that the plan be drafted in a way that ensures it clearly applies to all Aucklanders, acknowledging that some rural areas will be reliant on private vehicles.</p> <p>(vii) Provide the following feedback on the Opportunities and Prosperity Outcome of the draft Auckland Plan Refresh document:</p> <p>(a) It is requested that this theme include reference to the rural economy and the role of rural settlements within the region.</p> <p>(b) Supports the measure with regard to NCEA levels or equivalents.</p> <p>(c) Reiterates its suggestion that the draft plan be expanded to explicitly address the issue of the location of employment given its capacity to have positive benefits in terms of growing local communities and relieving pressure on transport infrastructure</p> <p>(viii) Provide the following feedback on the Development Strategy within the draft Auckland Plan Refresh document:</p> <p>(a) The specific section on 'Rural areas' is supported and in particular the statement that “rural communities will be supported by appropriately targeted infrastructure and facilities through long term planning processes.”</p> <p>(b) Support to growth areas including Warkworth is supported and it is requested that this be expanded to include other rural settlements given the growth in towns like Huapai, Riverhead and Wainui.</p> <p>(c) Support the inclusion of the Future Urban Zoned Land sequencing in the development strategy.</p> <p>(d) Suggest that greater emphasis needs to be placed throughout the document on the impact felt in outlying rural areas subject to growth.</p> <p>(ix) Provide the following miscellaneous feedback on the draft Auckland Plan Refresh document:</p> <p>(a) The language in the document is particularly formal and at times technical resulting in a document that may be difficult for our diverse communities to understand easily.</p> <p>(b) A response to each of the previous feedback points of the local board would have been appreciated in order to determine how the board's feedback has been taken into account.</p> <p>(e) An opportunity to view and comment on the full final draft and text is</p>
--	---

	requested
<p>Upper Harbour Local Board Resolution number UH/2017/140</p>	<p>That the Upper Harbour Local Board:</p> <p>a) provide the following feedback in relation to the Auckland Plan Refresh:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. agree with the purpose and intent of the six outcome areas, as well as their respective focus areas;</li> <li>ii. support the framework as a tool to complement the local board’s strategic outcomes, as detailed in the Upper Harbour Local Board Plan;</li> <li>iii. Homes and Places, Focus Area HP_FA2 – propose that immigration, and the subsequent impacts on the Auckland region, be a key consideration of this focus area;</li> <li>iv. Homes and Places, Focus Area HP_FA4 – propose that a ‘Warrant of Fitness’ should be required for rental properties, to ensure a minimum quality standard of housing provision, is achieved;</li> <li>v. Homes and Places – propose that regional growth should be encouraged through the provision of housing incentives outside of the Auckland region, to less well populated areas of New Zealand;</li> <li>vi. Homes and Places – propose that central and local government should gather detailed data, in addition to the census data, utilising all agency resources (where legally appropriate) in order to understand what is driving growth and to be able to make informed decisions;</li> <li>vii. propose the inclusion of percentage of Aucklanders who feel engaged and empowered by Auckland Council, as a measure for the Belonging and Participation Outcome;</li> <li>viii. propose the inclusion of a measure to assess the success of youth transitioning from university to work, with particular focus on ethnic diversity, as a measure for the Opportunities and Prosperity Outcome; and</li> <li>ix. note concern that infrastructure development continues to have a focus on central Auckland, while new housing developments are being built in outlying areas of the region. A fair and proportionate level of infrastructure investment is required in other metropolitan areas (e.g. Takapuna, Albany and Manukau), so the focus areas, such as more access to local jobs, resilient economy and workforce, can be achieved.</li> </ul> <p>b) note that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Planning Committee for consideration in the drafting of the refreshed Auckland Plan.</p>
<p>Waitematā Local Board Resolution number WTM/2017/185</p>	<p>The Waitematā Local Board supports a bold and ambitious 30-year plan, which responds to the challenges facing Auckland.</p> <p>It is noted that the agreed approach for the refresh is to streamline the plan to focus on the three major challenges; scale of growth, greater environmental pressures and uneven distribution of growth benefits. It is important however that the plan also continues to fully contribute to Auckland’s social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being.</p> <p>Whilst the Long-Term Plan is principally a financial and resourcing document that sets the budget for 10-years, the Auckland Plan provides the only real opportunity to develop a more comprehensive strategy, which lasts a generation.</p> <p><b>Do the strategic directions and focus areas address the issues that are important in achieving each outcome?</b></p>

The role of social infrastructure is critical in helping to mitigate the growth challenges and enable Auckland to be a world class city where talent wants to live. It is vital that social infrastructure be given the same priority in resourcing and timeliness as physical infrastructure if we are to create communities and town centres that are liveable and successful for everyone. The Board supports the retention of the four wellbeing's in the plan; social, economic, environmental and cultural and endorses the inclusion of measures that reflect all four areas.

Community infrastructure and events play an important part in creating a sense of belonging for Aucklanders. We wish to see an additional point in the focus area that supports taking a proactive approach to planning for and developing social infrastructure in tandem with the physical infrastructure.

With regards to the strategic direction "Support an environment that retains and attracts skills, talent and investment", Richard Florida and others have demonstrated that an urban environment with an active arts and cultural scene, great leisure opportunities in a clean environment and a positive and tolerant atmosphere encourages innovation from diverse communities. This environment also retains and attracts people who are crucial to achieving the outcome of prosperity. Therefore, the Board supports adding a new focus area to achieve this strategic direction covering arts, culture, leisure and diversity.

It is important that the Auckland Plan is ambitious and steers the multiple stakeholders to realise the Homes and Places outcome and take action to increase affordable housing. An advocacy position of the board is to ensure council actively builds and enables others to provide affordable housing through appropriate mechanisms and tools. For instance, Panuku Development Auckland's remit is to utilise urban development at scale and capture value from assets to deal with the demands of our rapidly growing city. This land that is managed by Panuku presents great opportunity to start addressing the important issues of provision of affordable housing. We need to look to start tackling the housing crisis by leveraging from our knowledge and resources e.g. in Waitemata we will have significant brown field sites for development resulting from the removal of the Dominion Road flyover and the CRL project.

In recognition of the diversity of our city the previous Auckland Plan included a tailored approach e.g. Southern Initiative and City Centre. The plan's strategic directions and supporting focus areas needs to acknowledge that at times a tailored approach is required to achieve the outcomes for our communities across Auckland. For instance, Transport and Connected emphasises roading and public transport provision when in the City Centre active transport has already become a predominant mode.

The strategic directions and focus areas of the Auckland Plan rightly reflects the critical role of mana whenua under the outcome "Maori Identity and Wellbeing". However, it is also important that the plan considers how mataawaka are also enabled to thrive and develop their sense of identity and mana in Tamaki Makaurau.

Lastly it is unclear what the term "cultural heritage" means under the Outcome "Environment and Cultural Heritage" and it would be helpful to articulate it's meaning to assist future consultation and engagement.

**Is this framework complementary to the outcomes of the Waitemata Local Board Plan?**

An outcome of the Waitemata Local Board Plan is that our communities are inclusive, vibrant, healthy and connected. The refreshed Auckland Plan complements this outcome with its focus on inclusiveness and the importance of communities feeling connected. The plan however does not reflect the critical role of health and wellbeing. We would support greater emphasis in the plan on public health, including combating alcohol harm, obesity and gambling and the role that sports, art and leisure (informal and formal) play in people's health and

wellbeing. For example, the proportion of children walking or cycling to school needs to be a measure. We see council's role as influencing and collaborating in these areas. Sports, arts and culture organisations and institutions are highly important and need to be strong and effective.

The board supports the inclusion of the focus area "to move towards a safe transport network free from death and serious injury" under the Access and Connectivity outcome. Waitemata Local Board continues to advocate for street designs, which deliver slower traffic speeds, better and safer intersections and footpaths and cycle lanes designed and built to worldwide best practice. A comprehensive approach to safety is required and this should include a target in the Auckland Plan of zero serious injuries or deaths on our roads (vision zero).

Nearly 80,000 commuters, students, shoppers and visitors travel to the city centre every weekday morning between 7am and 9am. This movement has a significant impact on the existing transport network, with a third of Auckland's congestion in the city centre. We would like to see the inclusion of a mode share target for increasing active transport in Auckland included in the plan and a target of reducing single occupancy vehicles coming into the city centre to support the outcome of Transport and Connectivity

We want to see the health of our environment enhanced, our waterways healthy and the urban forest cover increased. The plan identifies the loss of valuable green assets as a result of urban sprawl. The Board would like to see the focus area "protect the significant environments, cultural heritage and taonga tuku iho of Tāmaki Makaurau from further loss" strengthened to state that the focus is about increasing our significant environments, including the urban forest cover. In regards to climate change, the plan needs a bold target of net zero emissions by 2050.

Built heritage is currently absent in the plan's strategic framework. As Auckland grows, it is crucial to preserve the distinctive character of our neighbourhoods. We want to see the refreshed Auckland Plan embrace the past and value our public and private heritage assets.

#### **Feedback Summary**

The Board supports:

- the refresh being bold, comprehensive and ambitious and a plan that responds to the challenges facing Auckland and contributes to the four well beings.
- a plan which reflects the importance of planning for and developing social infrastructure at the beginning of a development to support belonging and participation in our communities
- adding a new focus area covering arts, culture, leisure and diversity to attract and retain skills, talent and investment.
- the refresh being ambitious with regards to housing to steer the multiple stakeholders to increase affordable housing by leveraging off existing knowledge and resources. For example, brownfield sites created as a result of the CRL development
- consideration on how the plan reflects the need to take a tailored approach at times to achieve the outcomes for our communities across Auckland e.g. the Southern Initiative and City Centre
- the addition of the Maori Identity and Wellbeing outcome and seeks for the plan to also consider how mataawaka are enabled to thrive and develop their sense of identity and mana in Tāmaki Makaurau
- the meaning of "Cultural Heritage" being clarified
- greater emphasis on public health, including combating alcohol harm,

	<p>obesity and gambling and the role that sports, art and leisure (informal and formal) play in people’s health and wellbeing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the “move towards a safe transport network free from death and serious injury” focus area and seeks the inclusion of a zero serious injuries or deaths on our roads target</li> <li>- the inclusion of a mode share target for increasing active transport and a target of reducing single occupancy vehicles entering the city centre</li> <li>- the inclusion of a bold target of net zero emissions by 2050</li> <li>- the inclusion of built heritage in the plan and recognition of the need to preserve our neighbourhoods distinctive character</li> <li>- a bolder approach towards <u>increasing</u> our significant environments and urban forest cover</li> </ul>
<p>Whau Local Board Resolution number WH/2017/116</p>	<p>That the Whau Local Board:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) note that the board has previously provided feedback on the Auckland Plan refresh approach and its early content, and that this feedback has been considered</li> <li>b) support the presented package of materials of the six outcome areas of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Belong and Participation</li> <li>• Maori Identity and Wellbeing</li> <li>• Environment and Cultural Heritage</li> <li>• Homes and Places</li> <li>• Access and Connectivity</li> <li>• Opportunities and Prosperity;</li> </ul> <p>and the Development Strategy (attachment A) as forming the basis of consultation with residents and stakeholders.</p> </li> <li>c) particularly endorse the establishment of a clear Development Strategy, noting the requirement for renewal or upgrading of infrastructure within brown field areas such as the Whau if Auckland is to have any ability to meet compact city intensification targets.</li> <li>d) note the strong alignment of the six Auckland Plan outcome areas with the local boards own proposed draft local board plan outcomes of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Well-planned towns, facilities and housing</li> <li>• Great neighbourhoods with strong community connections, capacity and voices</li> <li>• It’s 20 minutes to all we need by walking, cycling and public transport</li> <li>• Enhanced natural environment</li> <li>• Strong local businesses and more quality local jobs</li> <li>• Celebrating our creative edge in our streets, neighbourhoods and communities</li> <li>• Our heritage is known, protected and our stories are shared.</li> </ul> </li> <li>e) identify the local boards desire for Council, through the Auckland Plan and Long-term Plan process, to establish an integrated and more simple regional and local measures framework.</li> <li>f) note that the resolutions of this meeting will be reported back to the Planning Committee for consideration in the drafting of the refreshed</li> </ul>

	Auckland Plan.
--	----------------