Ōrakēi Basin Advisory Board

Feedback to Ōrakēi Local Board proposal to upgrade the southern walkway and surrounding land and basin edge, based on document "Ōrakēi Basin Walkway, Draft Concept Plan, September 2017" received via email from Godfrey Topham 5th October 2017.

AYM = Akarana Young Mariners

OYC = Ōrakēi Yacht Club

AWSC = Auckland Water Ski Club

YT = Youth Town

OSS = Ōrakēi Sea Scouts

IWI = Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei

Note: Youth Town have returned that they have no feedback on the proposal, No Response has been received from OSS.

Area	Raised By	OBAG Feedback	Council Comments
General	AYM	Concerned that the proposal is to split the work into phases, with the	
		path way being first and shoreline works later. This later work could	
		end up damaging the new pathway and therefore add to the overall	
		cost, I not that vehicle access for the ski club stirs work has caused	
		significant damage to the existing pathway. It will also mean two lots of	
		disruption to basin users.	

General	OYC	The OYC (Orakei Yacht Club) members are in acceptance of the	
		Draft Walkway Plan. It was requested at the meeting that we	
		detail our designated sailing areas. These are as per the sketched	
		hatched areas on the aerial photo attached, the actual areas are	
		shown in Council plans from the review in 2007.	
		shown in council plans from the review in 2007.	
Page 5, Note 5	AYM	The wood walkway at this end may limit vehicle access for future	
		works.	
Page 5, Note 4	AYM	Some remediation work of the existing basin wall will be needed	
		here to allow this work to continue, there is significant erosion in	
		this location already.	
Page 6, Note 1	AYM	Some remediation works should be looked at for the existing	
		store jetty, it is in need to attention	
Page 6	AYM	Store Jetty doesn't really allow for a boat to be tied up due to	
		damage to boats, could somewhere be incorporated to allow this	
		in this area, as with the beach and tables their is could be a load	
		and unload location for boaties?	
Page 6, Note 4	AYM	How does this boardwalk fit with vehicle access going forward?	
Page 6, Note 3	AYM	Rock here could simply become a tripping hazard?	
Page 9	AYM	Storm water outlets need to carefully considered and ideas about	
		how to contain runoff from properties and ground above, the	
		existing drain outflows are poorly implemented and an eyesore.	
Page 10,	AYM	Concerned that the Novaflow will be too low, as the height	
STANDARD PATH &		between high water and path is not significant, this area could	
SWALE		simply become a pond if done on low lying areas	
Page 10, RAISED	AYM	This raise path will create a pooling area for water to sit.	
PATH WITHIN TREE		Concerned that it will end up as a mud pit next to the path.	
DRIPLINES	A) (D 4		
Page 10, Boardwalks	AYM	How does this facilitate Vehicle access, needs to be carefully	
DUdi UWdIKS		thought about?	

Page 10, Standard	AYM	We need to attempt to get this wider where possible to allow	
Gravel path		equipment to be brought around also.	
Page 4, Area B:	AWSC	Beach area looks fine however we have concerns that it will be overcome by mud etc over time from the basin. Also, potentially	
		promotes swimming (which is not permitted) in an area generally	
		fairly full of oysters and sharp shells in the mud and sand. This is	
		a potential H&S issue. Despite what engineer said, will any wave	
		action which changes the beach be blamed on power boat users?	
Page 4, Area D:	AWSC	AWSC boat path is close to this area for jumping and the Upland	
		Road slalom course. Again, if it promotes swimming by beaching	
		the area, all users we will have a problem. This will be a H&S	
Daga 4 Araa F.	AVACC	issue	
Page 4, Area E:	AWSC	AWSC believes that this will be good for us as a basin user as it will provide some protection from the dogs off leads etc running	
		through the area in front of the clubhouse.	
General	AWSC	AWSC is concerned that 'soft edge' works will lead to greater	
J	755	siltation of the Basin. Are the consultants and Council in a	
		position to confirm that this will not happen?	
General	IWI	Generally, Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei are comfortable with the proposals in	
		the draft concept plan. We particularly welcome the ecological	
		sensitivity which is evident, notably in the use of planted swales,	
		enviro-bag slope stabilisation, and the use of naturalised water edge	
		stabilisation techniques	
General	IWI	we would encourge if possible is the use of a semi-permiable block	
		construction in place of concrete for path construction (outside tree	
		lines).	
General	AYM	Some level of lighting around the path area would be good for public	
		access. Doesn't need to be overly bright, just enough to make it a safe	
		place to walk in the evening.	