
Planning Committee Auckland Plan Refresh Workshop 19A

MINUTES

Minutes of a workshop held in Room 1, Level 26, 135 Albert Street at 11.19am on Monday 9 October 2017.

PRESENT

Chairperson Cr Chris Darby	
Cr Cathy Casey	
Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore	
Cr Ross Clow	From 11.28am, until 12.13pm
Cr Efeso Collins	From 11.25am, until 12.58pm
Cr Linda Cooper	From 11.21am
Cr Alf Filipaina	From 11.29am (on council business)
Cr Chris Fletcher	From 11.32am
Cr Richard Hills	From 11.31am
Cr Penny Hulse	From 12.08pm (on council business)
IMSB Member Liane Ngamane	
Cr Greg Sayers	From 11.21am
Cr Desley Simpson	From 11.20am
Cr Sharon Stewart	From 11.32am, until 11.54am (on council business)
Cr Wayne Walker	From 11.33am (on council business)
Cr John Watson	From 11.25am

APOLOGIES

Mayor Phil Goff	On council business
IMSB Member Tau Henare	
Deputy Chair Cr Denise Lee	
Cr Mike Lee	
Cr Daniel Newman	
Cr Dick Quax	On council business
Cr Sir John Walker	

ALSO PRESENT

Andrew Baker	Franklin Local Board Deputy Chair
Richard Northey	Waitematā Local Board

Note: No decisions or resolutions may be made by a Workshop or Working Party, unless the Governing Body or Committee resolution establishing the working party, specifically instructs such action.

Purpose of workshop

To outline the proposed Auckland Plan monitoring framework and discuss draft measures

Part one – Auckland Plan monitoring framework design

Part two – Consider measures, data source, frequency and secondary measures for the following outcome areas:

- Homes and Places
- Belonging and Participation
- Māori Identity and Wellbeing
- Environment and Cultural Heritage
- Access and Connectivity
- Opportunity and Prosperity

Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

Workshop notes

Part one: Auckland Plan monitoring framework design

- Recap on Auckland Plan monitoring framework design and potential timeframes and data sources
- Some general comments made:
- Concern raised about the measures that have not been carried over from the current Auckland Plan (e.g. where is the smoke free measure?) If these measures will appear in the three yearly report as secondary measures then clearly add all of them in the “secondary measures” section
 - Mana Whenua need an opportunity to input on the measures

Part two: Measures

General questions and comments:

- How do the measures relate to the Long-term Plan (LTP)? They need to be linked to the LTP
- Strategic directions need to have words that reflect the six demographic panels
- There needs to be a balance in the measures between cold academic robustness and a reflection of the rich diversity of Aucklanders’ experiences
- Not seeing the Māori story in Auckland story through-out the measures

Homes and Places

Suggested new measures to investigate:

- Housing tenure or security of tenure
- Cheap or modest innovative housing
- Housing affordability as percentage of income – to be measured every year
- Māori urban design incorporated into urban form
- Occupation of dwellings measure

Other considerations for forming measures:

- Older Aucklanders’ housing
- Boarding houses
- Quality of home measure (Building WOF in future)
- Retention of heritage and taonga in built environment to retain the values of this place
- There are no clear measures for ‘places’. The idea of ‘place’ needs to be extended in the measures

- There needs to be more about reflecting the expense of owning a house and buying a house
- 'Rough sleeping' to be used as a measurement instead of homelessness
- Papakainga and Marae development need separate measures

Belonging and Participation

Suggested new measures to investigate:

- Engagement of the community through volunteering or civic engagement, e.g. in local government elections
- Measure 10 is too simple and too low level. Consider reframing as a larger health measure
- Attendance at a free or low cost event in Auckland over the last year

Other considerations for forming measures:

- Need to look after our vulnerable people
- Unclear where the inclusion measure is
- How are we going to measure - refugees, migrants, disability, Pacifica, LGBT?
- There is a socio-linguistic argument about the power of naming groups. At the Ministry of Education 'vulnerable' groups were named as 'priority groups' and 'underserved communities'. We need to name these groups in an empowering way
- How do we include workplace safety and safety at school?
- We need to measure how we feel a sense of place. There needs to be some visibility of the things that create sense of place including libraries, museums, cultural event, art work and sport. No sense that these aspects reside in the measures
- Too much focus on safety. Safety is a negative perspective. Need to be more focused on the positive
- Concern about focussing too much on what is measurable vs what is important

Māori Identity and Wellbeing

General comments:

- Independent Māori Statutory Board (IMSB) is concerned that the Plan is being watered down into blandness. The 'outcome' statement is a particular example of this. IMSB will respond formally through a report on all the various aspects of the draft plan to-date including measures by, 13 October 2017
- The committee will wait to make further comment on this section until they receive IMSB's report

Suggested new measures to investigate:

- Retention of taonga – not only mapping (as in measure 14)
- Need to explicitly include mataawaka
- Strength-based measures

Environment and Cultural Heritage

Suggested new measures to investigate:

- Measure 17 – The strategic direction 2 suggests that we need growth to protect our environment. We do not. For this composite measure use international benchmarks particularly things we measure already e.g. carbon emissions
- Loss of species and/or loss of habitat
- There needs to be a specific measure about heritage. There does not seem to be any cultural heritage reflected in this current set of measures. One example - Number of cultural structures protected in structure plans
- Include air pollutants 'dust' measure
- UNESCO world heritage status for Auckland's maunga

Other considerations for forming measures:

- There were questions around how the ‘composite measure’ will work. It was explained that they will be composed of several individual measures
- Zero waste to landfill
- Where are Māori here?
- Reduce air pollution

Access and Connectivity

Suggested new measures to investigate:

- Proportion of travellers car sharing
- Electric vehicles
- Use of personalised journey apps
- Number of kilometres of separated cycle ways. [Staff reflected that this is a more useful measure for the LTP or Auckland Transport’s Statement of Intent that is focused on output, unlike the Auckland Plan that is focused on outcomes]
- ‘Sense of place’ while using the transport system (e.g. in the station, at the bus stop). The overall experience of connecting with the transport system/ enjoy-ability
- Public transport frequency

Other considerations for forming measures:

- Develop congestion/delay measures to ensure pedestrian or cycling delays are not ignored
- Access to education facilities needs to be highlighted more (as in the measures and Chapter 12 of current Auckland Plan)
- Proportion of children walking or cycling to school should be a primary measure rather than a secondary one
- Ensure sufficient focus on the movement of freight
- Timeliness and innovation in the public transport system need to be measured
- Our measures should be consistent with key measures in other cities to enable comparisons, e.g. number of cars per capita

Considerations for the narrative:

- Access and connectivity strategic direction 2 – add the word ‘accessible’ – meaning transport that is accessible for all abilities of people
- Need to mention the group of people in wheelchairs, walkers, people who are disabled, people with several children or with prams

Opportunity and Prosperity

Suggested new measures to investigate:

- Number of 16-17 year olds who are Not in education, employment or training (NEET)
- Number of 18 year olds on a benefit
- Measure 25 should include the number of people with Masters or PhD to show the attraction and growth of people in advanced industries
- Horticultural measure is useful e.g. retention of high quality soils
- Volunteer hours

Other considerations for forming measures:

- Measure 26 regarding zoned industrial land. The council is allowing churches and childcare and storage units in ‘industrial zones’. If this is the measure, it needs to be in conjunction with how many people are employed in those zones
- Where does the rural productive land sit?
- Is #29 too limited – this measure should support the rural fast broadband coverage too
- Consider a measure for access to investment capital

- Business innovation and sophistication is poor

Considerations for the narrative:

- There should be a strategic direction for The Southern Initiative

The workshop closed at 1.38pm

Planning Committee Auckland Plan Refresh Workshop 19B

MINUTES

Minutes of a workshop held in Room 1, Level 26, 135 Albert Street at 2.04pm on Monday 9 October 2017.

PRESENT

Chairperson Cr Chris Darby	
Cr Cathy Casey	
Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore	From 3.11pm (on council business)
Cr Efeso Collins	Until 2.31pm
Cr Linda Cooper	
Cr Alf Filipaina	
Cr Chris Fletcher	Until 3.37pm
Cr Richard Hills	(comings and goings on council business)
Cr Penny Hulse	Until 3.09pm
Cr Mike Lee	From 2.11pm (apology for lateness)
IMSB Member Liane Ngamane	
Cr Greg Sayers	From 2.06pm
Cr Desley Simpson	
Cr Wayne Walker	From 2.12pm
Cr John Watson	From 2.11pm

APOLOGIES

Mayor Phil Goff	On council business
Cr Ross Clow	
IMSB Member Tau Henare	
Deputy Chair Cr Denise Lee	
Cr Daniel Newman	
Cr Dick Quax	On council business
Cr Sharon Stewart	On council business
Cr Sir John Walker	

ALSO PRESENT

Graeme Easte	Albert-Eden Local Board (from 2.06pm)
Angela Fulljames	Franklin Local Board Chair (from 3.10pm)
Beth Houlbrooke	Rodney Local Board Chair (from 3.11pm)
Richard Northey	Waitematā Local Board (until 3.09pm)

Note: No decisions or resolutions may be made by a Workshop or Working Party, unless the Governing Body or Committee resolution establishing the working party, specifically instructs such action.

Purpose of workshop
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Part one - Implementation • Part two - Development Strategy – feedback + content
Declarations of Interest
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There were no declarations of interest.
Workshop notes
Part one: Implementation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussed the proposed approach to implementation and the scope of implementation content within the plan. • To achieve the direction set by the Auckland Plan, collaborative working relationships, partnerships and joint planning are essential. • The refreshed Auckland Plan will provide strategic direction rather than prescribing projects in detail. Content can link to the up to date action plans and work programmes of council and other agencies. The digital plan will enable us to evolve content over time. • Implementation is connected with measurement and monitoring of the plan – reporting will show progress and where adjustments are needed in activity. • Discussion that funding through the Long-term plan (LTP) is key to council's implementation. The relationship between the Auckland Plan and LTP is fundamental. Some members wanted to see a fully costed plan. • Discussion that the 'why' and 'what' of activity are driven by the Auckland Plan and identifying what elements to dial up and down would come through the monitoring and reporting regime. • Highlighted the importance of monitoring the aspirations set out in the Development Strategy against actual development occurring.
Part two: Development Strategy – feedback + content
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussed the profile of open space within the Auckland Plan. Officers stated that open space is integrated throughout many sections of the Plan. • The open space map is preliminary and needs to be reworked. Discussion around a southern node was revisited. Officers highlighted the different catchments surrounding both Drury and Manukau. Significant investment and long-term development in Manukau has resulted in a wide range of functions. • Discussion around the future of the port and implications of different locations. The narrative should better reflect issues around the location of the port in the future. • ACTION: Rewrite text related to the Port. • Discussion around landfills reinforced that at the Auckland Plan level, the mapping and narrative will be restricted to regional landfills which is consistent with the Unitary Plan. • ACTION: Officers to come back at a future date with a discussion about cleanfills. • The provision of quarries and maintaining an accessible supply within the Auckland Region was recognised as their locality affects construction costs. Members raised that the terminology of 'minerals' could be reconsidered and suggested 'construction materials' may be more appropriate. Glenbrook was previously missed as business land however this has been rectified. • ACTION: Officers to consider the use of the term 'minerals' in relation to quarries. • The feedback from stakeholders has been collated and grouped into topic areas. Cross boundary issues were recognised along with Auckland's role in the upper

north island. The approach to sub-regional differences and industrial and business land is to be flexible and not prescriptive due to the uncertainty of the future. Food production and the future location of the port has been picked up on.

- Officers presented on the overall approach of the Development Strategy.
- The quality compact approach towards growth has been continued over from the first Auckland Plan. The three subregional hubs of Albany, Westgate and Manukau were identified to support the primacy of the city centre.
- The digital nature of the Plan was raised. A forthcoming prototype will assist in understanding how this will function.
- The timing of the Development Areas was discussed. Development Areas are market driven and a number of criteria were used to determine the sequencing. Monitoring will be key.
- Questioned where interregional infrastructure and connections would be shown, including telecommunications and satellite transmission.
- ***ACTION:*** Officers to report back to the Chair with the content of the critical infrastructure map.

The workshop closed at 4.12pm