

Papakura Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Papakura Local Board held in the Papakura Local Board Chambers, Papakura Service Centre, 35 Coles Crescent on Wednesday, 4 October 2017, commencing at 1pm.

PRESENT

Chairperson: Felicity Auva'a (Deputy Chairperson)

Members: George Hawkins
Bill McEntee
Michael Turner (from 2.12pm)
Katrina Winn

Apologies: Brent Catchpole
Michael Turner (for lateness)

Also present: Madelon De Jongh (Senior Local Board Advisor)
Lee Manaia (Local Board Advisor)

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
Local Board General Business	Engagement	<p>Members updated each other on activities and issues they have been involved in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • chair and deputy chair one local initiative presentation to the governing body • 26-32 O'Shannessey Street, Papakura • Auckland Transport issues at: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <p>No signs on Elliot Street / Ray Small Park about what is happening at the park. Should be two signs.</p> <p>\$29k for talking to builders ?? opposed by Bill.</p>
<p>Review of Citizens Advice Bureaux Services – Future Options</p> <p>Carole Blacklock Strategic Advisor Partnering and Social Investment – Community, Arts and Culture</p>	Input into regional decision-making	<p>Carole Blacklock gave a presentation summarising local board input into the council led review of the Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB) services.</p> <p>The board gave the following feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested an investigation whether there was a need for a CAB at Takanini and whether it could be accommodated in the new community hub/library area.

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
<p>Sarah Bramston Strategic Broker</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The CAB does a great job to support new migrants in the area. • The Papakura CAB supports people from the Franklin local board area. • Is the \$20k funded by Migrant Services passed on to the Papakura and Manurewa CAB. • Option 2: would leave too much in the hands of local politicians. • The service would become too localised • Option 3: there is no “one size fits” all solution. • Is there a fourth option – a hybrid of option 1 and option 3. • Would like to see the funding reviewed to ensure Papakura received funding for the wider catchment including the Franklin area. • The board needs to talk to the Papakura CAB to check what they would like to happen. • 25% of volunteers come from the Franklin area. PPK – 15k pieces of info MR 10k pieces of info • Combine bullet point one and three in with option one. • The Papakura Local Board’s preferred option was option one: enhanced status quo with bullet points one and three add from option 3. • The board requested the Strategic Broker approach the local CAB to gauge their input into the review.
<p>National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management Cliff Wilton Senior Healthy Water Specialist –</p>	<p>Engagement</p>	<p>Coral Grant provided an overview of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Auckland Council's integrated watershed planning process • introduce the Story Map tool for communicating the current state of water on a watershed scale.

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
<p>IES</p> <p>Coral Grant Principal Integrated Catchment Healthy Water – IES</p> <p>Emma Joyce Relationship Advisor - IES</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • discuss ongoing engagement and the role of the local board throughout the integrated watershed planning process. <p>The board gave the following input:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which Auckland Council decision making committee does this work sit under • Where does the Manukau Harbour Forum fit in relation to the integrated watershed planning process. • Otara and Waterway Lake Trust – Trust established and funded by Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board • Has the \$10k the board invested into improving the Papakura Stream. What happened to this money? • Manukau Harbour Forum could be a useful forum to help develop this process. • Currently the Manukau Harbour Forum does not have enough budget to do anything meaningful. • Where are the practical steps to make the Papakura Stream have better quality. • What’s the budget – currently it is coming out of the departments’ internal budgets. Through the long-term plan process there will be • How much allocated for modelling scenarios - \$1.5million. • The impact of new subdivisions will be managed through the structure planning process. This policy will be included in structure planning process. It will be delivered across multiple departments. • How do mangroves and pacific oysters fit in with this work? How do you make the link between fresh water and marine receiving environment. • Papakura’s catchment is changing – it was more rural in the past yet now it is more residential and industrial. • <p>How does the Papakura Local Board want to be</p>

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
		<p>engaged in this process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Workshop reporting – three times a year – Want a joined up approach - everyone involved in clean waterways should be present at the same time. Veolia to attend.
<p>Karaka Harbourside Coastal Erosion</p> <p>Jo Morriss Coastal Scientist, IES</p> <p>Paul Klinac Coastal Management Services – IES</p> <p>Ross Roberts Geotechnical and Geological Practice Lead – IES</p> <p>Greg Lowe Parts and Places Specialist – Parks, Sports and Recreation</p>	<p>Briefing</p>	<p>Jo Morriss gave a presentation regarding erosion management actions in Papakura Local Board Manukau Harbour receiving environment.</p> <p>The local board gave the following input:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff need to be educated about the correct processes for mitigating erosion, ie: under planting with coastal species before cutting down the existing vegetation. • The developer has offered erosion mitigation which should be taken on board as there is no cost to council. Staff advised that there could be erosion mitigation methods that are different to building a wall. • The board were supportive of the developer offering to futureproof before the development. • The board asked how would the erosion mitigation be funded. • Staff advised they are looking at options going forward as you move further around the coast. The board asked how do they reassure the community going forward. • Staff will be investigating the costs of drainage and moving the footpath. • Much of the current erosion is driven by the overland water flow. Staff hope to mitigate this with putting in drainage. • When do the board find out where the money will come from to fund the remedial works.
<p>Trade Waste Bylaw</p> <p>Peter Rogers Watercare</p>	<p>Input into regional decision-making</p>	<p>Peter Rogers gave a presentation on the review of the Trade Waste Bylaw.</p> <p>Local board feedback:</p> <p>Business know that they have to comply with</p>

Workshop Item	Governance role	Summary of Discussions
<p>Roger Dunn Veolia</p> <p>Merle Smith</p>		<p>the trade waste bylaw through the resource consent process.</p> <p>Does the Bylaw framework and approach cause any concerns?</p> <p>Are you aware of any trade waste issues that have arisen due to the Bylaw since its implementation (1 July 2014)?</p> <p>Do you have any concerns with the effectiveness of the Bylaw?</p> <p>Do you believe the Bylaw in its current form is fit for purpose?</p> <p>Larger sites are monitored monthly as well as asked to self monitor.</p> <p>High risk sites are monitored on a monthly basis.</p> <p>If there are issues with smaller sites it is done more on a reactive basis.</p> <p>The Watercare Board has the power to change the controlled substance standards.</p> <p>Timeframes – would like feedback within a month before Christmas. The review has to be completed by</p> <p>Suggestion that the food inspection form has a question about how they dispose of their waste. Watercare are working with the Environmental Health Officers when they annually inspect food premises.</p> <p>The board were happy with the bylaw.</p> <p>Madelon to check with the central team as to whether there is a generic report coming.</p>

The workshop concluded at 4.15 pm.