

Local Board Resolutions and Feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan

Following workshops with all the local boards, the following feedback was received on the draft plan. At the time of finalising this report, feedback had not yet been received from all local boards. Any additional feedback received after the agenda closing date will be circulated as a separate attachment.

Local Board	Date	Resolution
Albert - Eden	25 October 2017	<p>That the Albert-Eden Local Board:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Supports the proposed approach taken in the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan. b) Supports continuing to follow the direction set in the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2012, but with reviewed targets for reduction in domestic kerbside waste and council waste. c) Supports the focus on advocating to central government for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. a higher waste levy ii. product stewardship. iii. other measures to address commercial waste noting that it constitutes up to 80 per cent of waste. d) Supports the focus on addressing three priority commercial waste streams: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. construction and demolition waste ii. organic waste iii. plastic waste. e) Supports addressing waste generated from council and council-controlled organisation's operational activities, particularly construction and demolition waste. f) Requests Infrastructure and Environmental Services staff to provide information on the reduction in rates for Albert-Eden Local Board residents resulting from the implementation of the 'pay-per-throw' approach to household rubbish collection. g) Requests Infrastructure and Environmental Services staff workshop a project with the

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		board around working with business associations and other local groups to minimise waste in the local board area.
Devonport – Takapuna	19 October 2017	<p>That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) supports the proposed approach and outcomes associated with the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan. b) provides the following feedback on the draft plan. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. supports the target to reduce council’s own in-house office waste by 60 percent by 2024: ii. supports measures to advocate to central government for a higher waste levy and for measure to implement product stewardship. iii. supports the transition to consistent kerbside services for refuse collection and the implementation of weekly kerbside food waste collection. iv. supports the goal of having 12 community recycling centres by 2024. v. supports the reduction for construction and demolition waste initiatives, across the region services and working with large developers be prioritised over the development of resource recovery plans. vi. recognise the ability of community-led programmes to educate and increase awareness of the benefits of food and green waste diversion and separating plastic waste. vii. recognises the community has an important role to support the plan’s implementation, and requests advice from staff on potential community-led initiatives to deliver the plan’s outcomes.
Henderson- Massey	17 October 2017	<p>That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) supports the proposed approach taken in the draft Waste Management and

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		<p data-bbox="958 252 1178 277">Minimisation Plan</p> <p data-bbox="864 304 1576 330">b) provides the following feedback on the focus areas:</p> <p data-bbox="909 357 1939 421">i) Advocating to central government for a higher waste levy to incentivise waste diversion and investment in resource recovery.</p> <p data-bbox="1055 427 1944 491">Supports a larger increase in the waste levy over time to allow for affected parties to plan for the change.</p> <ul data-bbox="1010 517 1984 580" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1010 517 1984 542">· Notes there is a risk of private contractors under-cutting higher council levies. <li data-bbox="1010 549 1460 580">· Supports more focus on recycling. <p data-bbox="909 603 1971 667">ii) Advocating for product stewardship, addressing three priority commercial waste streams of construction and demolition waste, organic waste and plastic waste.</p> <ul data-bbox="1010 676 1984 1082" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1010 676 1845 702">• Supports addressing the three priority commercial waste streams. <li data-bbox="1055 708 1984 772">Notes that beverage containers, tyres, e-waste and batteries are also an area of concern. <li data-bbox="1010 798 1693 823">• Supports introducing plastic bag reduction initiatives. <li data-bbox="1010 829 1939 893">• Notes that central government has a primary role in legislation controlling product stewardship <li data-bbox="1010 900 1984 995">• Notes that the focus needs to be much stronger on the producer of the waste product rather than the consumer e.g. returning plastic to the producer/packager as opposed to customers paying for supermarket bags. <li data-bbox="1010 1002 1912 1082">• Supports more public place recycling bins (particularly in town centres), recognising that it is costly to implement and maintain. <p data-bbox="909 1088 1944 1152">iii) Addressing waste generated from council and council-controlled organisations operational activities, particularly construction and demolition waste.</p> <p data-bbox="1055 1158 1948 1184">Supports addressing waste generated from council’s operational activities.</p> <p data-bbox="864 1190 1984 1334">c) notes that waste management and minimisation principles should be embedded within all council and council-controlled organisation refurbishment and relocation projects. This includes major infrastructure projects such as city centre development and stormwater projects</p>

Local Board	Date	Resolution
Hibiscus and Bays	26 October 2017	<p>That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) provides the following feedback on the proposed direction of the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. supports advocating to central government for a higher waste levy providing it is introduced gradually and for product stewardship ii. supports addressing three priority commercial waste streams of construction and demolition waste, organic waste and plastic waste iii. supports addressing waste generated from council and council-controlled organisation's operational activities, particularly construction and demolition waste iv. In addition the following feedback is provided: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the waste levy needs to be more cost effective b) the fund collected should be distributed to local authorities for further development of best practice waste minimisation work c) the waste levy needs to be kept at a reasonable amount or it will encourage dumping of rubbish and should be introduced gradually d) the waste levy could help fund product stewardship schemes for organisations such as tyre distributors are keen to see old tyres recycled for a legitimate use e) the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan needs to be realistic about what can be achieved f) incinerators, although requiring significant investment, may be required to achieve targets g) a deposit scheme offering 10c each for returned cans and bottles is likely to have good take up and reduce both littering and indiscriminate disposal h) increased and ongoing education of the public is required i) encourage investigation for development of more private recycling centres for a joint

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		recycling centre serving Upper Harbour, Rodney and Hibiscus and Bays Local Board areas.
Manurewa	12 October 2017	<p>Feedback:</p> <p>The Manurewa Local Board;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • supports option two to expand the focus of council activity to include the 80 per cent of waste to landfill that it does not directly control which it has the potential to significantly reduce total waste to landfill, and can be undertaken within the current funding envelope • supports a focus on addressing the 80 per cent of waste that council does not directly influence • supports advocating to central government for a higher waste levy (to incentivise diversion) • supports advocating to central government for product stewardship (for instance for beverage containers, tyres and electronic waste) • supports addressing construction and demolition waste (for instance through Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Plans, a waste brokering service and working with large developers such as Housing New Zealand) addressing commercial organic waste (food waste and green waste) • supports addressing plastics that are being sent to landfill • supports the proposed draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan to present a stronger mana whenua and mātāwaka perspective, recognising the close alignment between te ao Māori and zero waste • supports refuse being paid for through rates because it is an efficient way of charging for the service • supports recycling services being paid for through rates because it is an efficient way of charging for the service.
Kaipātiki	15 November 2017	That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		a) support option 2 as articulated in the agenda report (full implementation of the Waste and Minimisation Plan 2012 and a focus on the three commercial waste streams identified in the Waste Assessment). b) support increased advocacy for public and private funding to support the development of residual waste treatment facilities as articulated in option 3.
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu	15 November 2017	Minutes not available
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki	24 October 2017	<p>At the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Business Meeting held on 24 October 2017 the board agreed the following delegated decision under resolution <i>MT/2017/193</i> to:</p> <p><i>a) delegate to Members B Diver and C Makoare to provide the board's feedback on the Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan.</i></p> <p>The Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board provides the following feedback to the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:</p> <p>Advocating to central government for a higher waste levy and for product stewardship</p> <p>a) The board does not support advocating to central government for an increased waste levy, as this will just be passed on to the rate payer and an attempt to punish businesses for working in the industry.</p> <p>b) Prefer a focus on incentives. The Auckland Waste market (landfills) are a duopoly and therefore incentives need to be used and not punishments.</p> <p>c) Concerned about increased waste levy resulting in increased illegal dumping (as it can make landfills not a viable option for low income individuals/families).</p> <p>Priority commercial waste streams</p> <p>d) The board does not support solely focusing on Developers and businesses to change their practices.</p> <p>e) Council should look at levers it can use e.g planning rules but being careful not to impose requirements that will increase the cost of construction and therefore increase the cost of housing.</p> <p>f) Support the use of technology, but not to the extent that the Council becomes ideologically driven to black-list landfills. Landfill is not always bad</p>

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		<p>Waste generated from council and CCO's operational activities</p> <p>g) The council should take the lead in their practices.</p> <p>h) Include transformation/regeneration projects, not just council operations</p> <p>General views</p> <p>i) Support the use of technology, but not to the extent that the Council becomes ideologically driven to black-list landfills. Landfill is not always bad. There are technological advances now in landfill management eg technology that burns/converts waste to energy. These technology and businesses are part of the solution so the proposed approach should support and incentivise technology</p> <p>j) Community education needs to be part of Council's plan – we can't change the behaviour if you don't change the attitude of users. More support to local boards for community initiatives that complement the regional goals.</p> <p>k) Need local infrastructure – resource recovery/recycling centres – for all types of waste so that it doesn't become onerous for an individual/family trying to use the system to get rid of different types of waste efficiently.</p>
Ōtara-Papatoetoe	17 October 2017	<p>That the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board delegate to the Chair, after workshop discussion, to approve and send the board's feedback to the Environment and Community Committee.</p> <p>No feedback received on date of the report.</p>
Ōrākei	19 October 2017	<p>That the Ōrākei Local Board:</p> <p>a) supports advocacy to central government for the introduction of initiatives and incentives to reduce waste at source such as unnecessary plastic packaging from imported and New Zealand made products.</p> <p>b) acknowledges that the current waste levy of \$10 per tonne is inadequate to cover the environmental costs of waste management.</p> <p>c) supports advocating for an increased levy in order to achieve the desired outcome of behaviour change for the demolition and construction industry where they remove materials from their waste streams that can be re-used and recycled (the Board believes the separation will have a large impact on reducing waste to landfills).</p>

Local Board	Date	Resolution						
		<p>d) requests all steps are taken to ensure any increased costs on the construction industry does not have a too onerous an impact on the costs of construction for housing and infrastructure.</p> <p>e) supports increased product stewardship schemes to encourage lifecycle thinking and shared responsibility of both producer and consumer through the production and consumption process.</p> <p>f) supports the proposed three priority waste streams for the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan to be construction and demolition waste, organic waste and plastic waste.</p> <p>g) supports shifting to a more ‘pay as you throw’ approach in principle as long as there is a corresponding and directly proportionate decrease in general rates.</p> <p>h) supports more community recycling centres being provided for the Auckland Region to assist diverting waste from landfills.</p> <p>i) expects the Auckland Council family to lead by example and substantially minimise waste.</p>						
Papakura	25 October 2017	<p>Resolution: to delegate authority to the Infrastructure and Environmental Services workstream lead and members to develop the Papakura Local Board feedback on the Auckland Council Waste Management and Minimisation Plan review.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="864 1066 1984 1388"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="864 1066 1456 1101">Question</th> <th data-bbox="1456 1066 1984 1101">Board feedback</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="864 1101 1456 1248"> <p>Option 2 – continue full implementation of the 2012 plan and focus on priority waste streams that council does not directly influence.</p> </td> <td data-bbox="1456 1101 1984 1248"> <p>The Papakura Local Board supports the proposed option 2.</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="864 1248 1456 1388"> <p>Proposed targets</p> <p>1. Total regional waste: Reduce total waste (council and private sector influenced) to</p> </td> <td data-bbox="1456 1248 1984 1388"> <p>The board agrees with the proposed targets and was pleased to be advised that the growth and population projections had been included in the</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Question	Board feedback	<p>Option 2 – continue full implementation of the 2012 plan and focus on priority waste streams that council does not directly influence.</p>	<p>The Papakura Local Board supports the proposed option 2.</p>	<p>Proposed targets</p> <p>1. Total regional waste: Reduce total waste (council and private sector influenced) to</p>	<p>The board agrees with the proposed targets and was pleased to be advised that the growth and population projections had been included in the</p>
Question	Board feedback							
<p>Option 2 – continue full implementation of the 2012 plan and focus on priority waste streams that council does not directly influence.</p>	<p>The Papakura Local Board supports the proposed option 2.</p>							
<p>Proposed targets</p> <p>1. Total regional waste: Reduce total waste (council and private sector influenced) to</p>	<p>The board agrees with the proposed targets and was pleased to be advised that the growth and population projections had been included in the</p>							

Local Board	Date	Resolution	
		<p>landfill by 30 % by 2027 (baseline 0.8 tonnes per capita). No change.</p> <p>2. Domestic waste: Reduce domestic kerbside refuse by 30% (from 160kg to 110 kg per capita) by 2020/2021, then review and update. Extension to date. New target set once achieved.</p> <p>3. Council waste:</p> <p>a) Reduce council's own in-house office waste by 60% by 2024 (2012 baseline levels). Target doubled.</p> <p>b) Work across council to set a baseline for operational and, by 2019, put these in place. New target.</p>	<p>analysis.</p> <p>The board wants to ensure staff liaised with the retailers to ensure that the collection of plastic waste remained in place.</p>
Priority actions to reduce Domestic Waste (council managed)			
		<p>1. Continue transition to consistent kerbside services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Including pay as you throw weekly kerbside refuse collection (by 2020) 	<p>The board is supportive of the implementation of a pay as you throw weekly kerbside collection.</p> <p>However, believes the food waste collection service should be funded from rates and not a pay as throw service.</p>

Local Board	Date	Resolution	
		<p>2. Progressively introduce weekly kerbside food waste collection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Starting 2018 <p>3. Continue establishing the Resource Recovery Network</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12 community recycling centres by 2024 	<p>The board is supportive of progressively introducing kerbside food waste collections and is looking forward to the roll out in the Papakura area in April 2018.</p> <p>However, the board is concerned about multi-unit developments, with the introduction of three bins per unit and how this would work on the street frontages.</p> <p>Council needs to ensure there is adequate lead in for transition as some people by bags in bulk.</p> <p>The board believes the development of a resource recovery network should be given priority.</p> <p>There are potential social enterprise opportunities in establishing the resource recovery centres.</p> <p>Local resource recovery centres are needed now - make it easy for people to take unwanted furniture, equipment, building materials etc to a nearby location for re-use or repurposing.</p> <p>Papakura Local Board Plan has a key initiative regarding resource recovery and would like to be considered as a location for one of the earlier 12 community</p>

Local Board	Date	Resolution	
			recycling centres.
		Does the local board support the following priority actions?	
		<p>1. Advocate for a higher waste levy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To incentivise waste diversion and investment in resource recovery <p>2. Advocate for product stewardship</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - For beverage containers, tyres, e-waste, batteries etc <p>3. Address three priority commercial waste streams:</p> <p>Construction and demolition waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Plans - Waste brokering service - Work with large developers (e.g. Housing New Zealand) <p>Organic waste</p>	<p>The board supports incentivising waste diversion and investment in resource recovery with a higher waste levy although is mindful of impacting on those with limited incomes.</p> <p>The board supports advocacy for product stewardship and believes council should be lobbying central government to legislate in relation to product stewardship, particularly in relation to environmentally friendly or recyclable packaging.</p> <p>The board supports the three priority commercial waste streams:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction and demolition waste • Organic waste • Plastics <p>Education and incentives will be required as contactors only care about getting the job done as quickly as possible and not necessarily about separating out the different waste streams.</p> <p>This will need to be worked through with the contracting, business sector and</p>

Local Board	Date	Resolution	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Food and green waste diversion <p>Plastics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Research composition in landfill 	<p>those most affected because it potentially could impact on building costs.</p> <p>The Papakura Local Board supports the supermarkets' initiatives with doing away with plastics bags and want to see the plastic packaging and polystyrene substantially reduced.</p>
Does the local board support the following priority actions for council?			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Address waste generated from council's operational activities, particularly construction and demolition waste 	<p>The board supports this priority action.</p>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Target major infrastructure projects led by council and council-controlled organisations such as city centre development and stormwater projects 	<p>The board supports this priority action.</p>
Puketāpapa	16 November 2017	<p>That the Puketāpapa Local Board:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) note the draft feedback and delegate to Members Holm and Coury to make amendments on the proposed direction of the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan. <p>The Puketāpapa Local Board supports option 2: expanded focus, subject to the following comments.</p> <p>A. General comments</p> <p>The Board supports full implementation of the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2012 and a focus on addressing the three priority commercial waste streams identified in the Waste Assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • construction and demolition waste 	

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • organic waste • plastic waste <p>The Board supports advocating to central government for a higher waste levy and for product stewardship, particularly to achieve major reductions in the use of plastic shopping bags.</p> <p>The Board supports addressing waste generated from council and council controlled organisation's operational activities, particularly construction and demolition waste.</p> <p>B. Specific comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The Board notes a need for more accessible locations for the disposal of the following items <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Tyres b. e-waste c. batteries d. Mattresses e. Fluorescent lightbulbs <p>Households need regular reminders of opportunities for recycling. (Along the lines of Watercare's Tapped In newsletter on water use)</p> 2) Should a higher waste levy be introduced, the Board recommends that Auckland Council identify how a share of this can be used to enhance the education and enforcement needed to meet the target of zero waste by 2040. Particular emphasis should be on education and enforcement in the construction industry. 3) The Board requests greater publicity of inorganic collection programmes, including when and where they are available. 4) The Board sees a need for significant increase in the availability of public recycling bins alongside landfill ones, similar to those in Whitianga. 5) Alternative bins also need to be promoted at privately funded events. (ASB showgrounds is a venue in need of such bins)
Rodney	19 October 2017	<p>That the Rodney Local Board:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) provide the following feedback on the proposed direction of the draft Waste

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		<p>Management and Minimisation Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. support the proposed approach taken in the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan, and in particular the focus on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) advocating to central government for a higher waste levy, noting that analysis of the economic impact of the increased levy in terms of annual costs to business and households will be required. b) advocating to central government for product stewardship in principle. c) addressing three priority commercial waste streams, including construction and demolition waste, organic waste and plastic waste. d) addressing waste generated from council and council-controlled organisation's operational activities, particularly construction and demolition waste and as a part of this supports the development of a strategy for managing clean and managed fills, including their location, operation and waste management practices ii. broadly supports the aims and objectives of the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and, in particular, the proposed focus on the 80 per cent of waste to landfill that Auckland Council does not directly control iii. supports the implementation of a levy being imposed on clean and managed fill operators in order to incentivise the reduction of waste, noting that it will have the additional benefit of recouping the rapidly growing costs to repair the damage caused to the environment (eg streams and waterways) and to our infrastructure (eg roading) by the operation of these sites iv. suggests that council's waste management team work with the Development Programme Office to combine the planning and waste management elements of a clean and managed fill strategy into one piece of work v. requests that a focus be created in the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan on managing the contamination caused by waste (including contaminated fill) and that

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		<p>council support greater enforcement of compliance conditions as part of managing waste</p> <p>vi requests that a formal definition of the term “rural” be included in the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan, noting that there is no current definition and that many of Rodney’s major townships (including Warkworth, Wellsford, Helensville, Riverhead and Kumeu-Huapai) are more urban than rural and are excluded from “urban” waste management initiatives</p> <p>vii. supports the continued practice of allowing residents a choice between using rubbish bags or bins in rural areas for their waste collection with a move towards any bags used to be bio-degradable</p> <p>viii. supports the exploration of expanding the kerbside food waste collection to major rural townships in the Rodney Local Board area so that residents can opt in to this service if they want to</p> <p>ix. supports an additional focus in the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan being created on addressing the waste management needs of rural areas and, in particular, issues associated with illegal dumping and the effects of poor waste management practices on our environment.</p>
Upper Harbour	16 November 2017	<p>That the Upper Harbour Local Board:</p> <p>a) support Option 2, as this can be undertaken within the current funding envelope and meets the council’s responsibilities under the Waste Minimisation Act 2008, subject to further information regarding the outcome of the organic waste trial.</p>
Waiheke	23 November 2017	Minutes not available
Waitākere Ranges	26 October 2017	That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		<p>a) Support progression of Option 2: Expanded Focus.</p> <p>b) Provides the following feedback on the focus areas:</p> <p>Advocating to central government for a higher waste levy to incentivise waste diversion and investment in resource recovery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support a larger increase in the waste levy (to incentivise diversion) with a phased implementation to allow for affected parties to plan for the change. • Notes there is a risk of private contractors under-cutting higher council levies. • Support more focus on recycling. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Strongly advocating for product stewardship, addressing three priority commercial waste streams of construction and demolition waste, organic waste and plastic waste. • Support addressing the three priority commercial waste streams, while noting that beverage containers, tyres, e-waste and batteries are also an area of concern. • Support introducing and supporting plastic reduction and replacement initiatives. • Note that central government has a primary role in legislation controlling product stewardship. • Supports a focus on commercial waste, noting that the focus needs to be much stronger on product stewardship and the producer of the waste product rather than the consumer, e.g. return of beverage containers and tyres. • Support more public place recycling bins (particularly in town centres), recognising that it is costly to implement and maintain. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Addressing waste generated from council and council-controlled organisations operational activities, particularly construction and demolition waste. • Notes that Council already has a zero waste target and that it needs to provide leadership in its approach so as to model behaviours for industry, and to use contracts and other means to influence waste management where possible. • Support in-house focus on addressing waste generated from council's operational activities.

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure waste management and minimisation principles are embedded within all council and council-controlled organisation refurbishment and relocation projects. This includes major infrastructure projects such as city centre development and storm-water projects. • Require zero waste or waste minimisation plans for all Council and Council funded events.
Waitematā	21 November 2017	Minutes not available
Whau	25 October 2017	<p>That the Whau Local Board:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) support the proposed approach taken in the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan. b) provide the following feedback on the focus areas: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. advocating to central government for a higher waste levy to incentivise waste diversion and investment in resource recovery. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • support a larger increase in the waste levy with a phased implementation to allow for affected parties to plan for the change. • note there is a risk of private contractors under-cutting higher council levies. • support more focus on recycling. ii. advocating for product stewardship, addressing three priority commercial waste streams of construction and demolition waste, organic waste and plastic waste. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • support addressing the three priority commercial waste streams. • note that beverage containers, tyres, e-waste and batteries are also an area of concern. • support introducing plastic bag reduction initiatives. • note that central government has a primary role in legislation controlling product stewardship • note that the focus needs to be much stronger on the producer of the waste product rather than the consumer e.g. returning plastic to the producer/packager as opposed

Local Board	Date	Resolution
		<p>to customers paying for supermarket bags.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • support more public place recycling bins (particularly in town centres), recognising that it is costly to implement and maintain. <p>iii. addressing waste generated from council and council-controlled organisations operational activities, particularly construction and demolition waste.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • support addressing waste generated from council’s operational activities. • ensure waste management and minimisation principles are embedded within all council and council-controlled organisation refurbishment and relocation projects. This includes major infrastructure projects such as town centre development, facility, park and stormwater projects.