

d) Te Akitai Waiohua

Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. No response was received.

e) Te Ahiwaru Waiohua

Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. No response was received.

f) Ngati Te Ata Waiohua

Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017. Iwi advised there was immense cultural significance attached to the reserve land proposed for revocation and suggested the land be returned to Ngati Te Ata, or that the iwi be provided redress or compensation. Panuku Development Auckland responded by advising that as the land was in the ownership of Auckland Council it was not subject to Right of First Refusal provisions. However Panuku would give Ngati Te Ata ample notice prior to the marketing of the property and that such notification would assist Ngati Te Ata in securing the land to release cultural opportunities.

g) Ngati Paoa

Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. Iwi advised on 2 August 2017 that a new environment manager had been appointed, however no further response was received in respect of the revocation.

h) Ngati Maru

Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. No response was received.

i) Ngati Whanaunga

Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017.

j) Ngati Tamatera

Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. No response was received.

k) Te Kawerau a Maki

Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017. Iwi raised the following issues:

- a. Why aren't these sections of land currently being utilised as park, if that's their formal titles? (I'm assuming this has to do with size?)
- b. Does the title revocation mean the land will be changed to fee simple?
- c. If not fee simple, then what?
- d. Does 'subsequent disposal' mean selling off to developers, or other parts of Council?
- e. If not, what is the future intended purpose for the sites?
- f. Does this land ever fall under RFR?

On 9 August 2017 Te Kawerau a Maki were provided with the following response:

- a. "In regard to 51 Stamford Road, this land was acquired by the Ministry of Works in the 1970's and 1980's for the extension of the South Western Motorway from a private landowner and as such was never used as a reserve. A cycleway and walkway has been constructed along the southern boundary of the South-western motorway which is being retained by Council. The portion of 51 Stamford Road that is proposed to be revoked is the last remnant of the former privately owned land and has never been developed or used as a reserve.

- b. Yes, upon revocation the land will change to fee-simple.
- d. 'Subsequent disposal' means that it may go to market. It is possible that it could be sold to a developer, equally it could be sold to adjoining owner or a private person. As other parts of Council have not expressed any interest in the land, as such Council does not need to retain ownership of this particular piece of land.
- f. This does not fall under RFR as the land is owned by Auckland Council. It is only Crown owned land that is subject to RFR. However, as part of Panuku Māori Commercial Relationships Action Plan 29 June 2016, Panuku will give notice to Te Kawerau in advance of the marketing and disposal process."

Public notification

- 5. A public notice was placed in the Central Leader on 12 July 2017 and the notification period ran through to the 15 August 2017. A notice was also placed on the council's website and remained on the website until the closure of the notification period. No submission was received.

Adjoining Owners

- 6. Letters were sent to the adjoining owners on 11 July 2017. Both adjoining owners have expressed interest in purchasing the parcels adjoining their land and neither objected to the proposal to revoke the reserve status.