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Terms of Reference

Responsibilities
This committee deals with all strategy and policy decision-making that is not the responsibility of another committee or the Governing Body. Key responsibilities include:

- Development and monitoring of strategy, policy and action plans associated with environmental, social, economic and cultural activities
- Natural heritage
- Parks and reserves
- Economic development
- Protection and restoration of Auckland’s ecological health
- Climate change
- The Southern Initiative
- Waste minimisation
- Libraries
- Acquisition of property relating to the committee’s responsibilities and within approved annual budgets
  - Performing the delegations made by the Governing Body to the former Parks, Recreation and Heritage Forum and Regional Development and Operations Committee, under resolution GB/2012/157 in relation to dogs
- Activities of the following CCOs:
  - ATEED
  - RFA

Powers
(i) All powers necessary to perform the committee’s responsibilities, including:
   (a) approval of a submission to an external body
   (b) establishment of working parties or steering groups.
(ii) The committee has the powers to perform the responsibilities of another committee, where it is necessary to make a decision prior to the next meeting of that other committee.
(iii) The committee does not have:
   (a) the power to establish subcommittees
   (b) powers that the Governing Body cannot delegate or has retained to itself (section 2)
Exclusion of the public – who needs to leave the meeting

Members of the public
All members of the public must leave the meeting when the public are excluded unless a resolution is passed permitting a person to remain because their knowledge will assist the meeting.

Those who are not members of the public

General principles
- Access to confidential information is managed on a “need to know” basis where access to the information is required in order for a person to perform their role.
- Those who are not members of the meeting (see list below) must leave unless it is necessary for them to remain and hear the debate in order to perform their role.
- Those who need to be present for one confidential item can remain only for that item and must leave the room for any other confidential items.
- In any case of doubt, the ruling of the chairperson is final.

Members of the meeting
- The members of the meeting remain (all Governing Body members if the meeting is a Governing Body meeting; all members of the committee if the meeting is a committee meeting).
- However, standing orders require that a councillor who has a pecuniary conflict of interest leave the room.
- All councillors have the right to attend any meeting of a committee and councillors who are not members of a committee may remain, subject to any limitations in standing orders.

Independent Māori Statutory Board
- Members of the Independent Māori Statutory Board who are appointed members of the committee remain.
- Independent Māori Statutory Board members and staff remain if this is necessary in order for them to perform their role.

Staff
- All staff supporting the meeting (administrative, senior management) remain.
- Other staff who need to because of their role may remain.

Local Board members
- Local Board members who need to hear the matter being discussed in order to perform their role may remain. This will usually be if the matter affects, or is relevant to, a particular Local Board area.

Council Controlled Organisations
- Representatives of a Council Controlled Organisation can remain only if required to for discussion of a matter relevant to the Council Controlled Organisation
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1  **Apologies**

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2  **Declaration of Interest**

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

3  **Confirmation of Minutes**

That the Environment and Community Committee:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 20 February 2018, including the confidential section, as a true and correct record.

4  **Petitions**

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

5  **Public Input**

Standing Order 7.7 provides for Public Input. Applications to speak must be made to the Governance Advisor, in writing, no later than one (1) clear working day prior to the meeting and must include the subject matter. The meeting Chairperson has the discretion to decline any application that does not meet the requirements of Standing Orders. A maximum of thirty (30) minutes is allocated to the period for public input with five (5) minutes speaking time for each speaker.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public input had been received.

6  **Local Board Input**

Standing Order 6.2 provides for Local Board Input. The Chairperson (or nominee of that Chairperson) is entitled to speak for up to five (5) minutes during this time. The Chairperson of the Local Board (or nominee of that Chairperson) shall wherever practical, give one (1) day's notice of their wish to speak. The meeting Chairperson has the discretion to decline any application that does not meet the requirements of Standing Orders.

This right is in addition to the right under Standing Order 6.1 to speak to matters on the agenda.

At the close of the agenda no requests for local board input had been received.
7 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."

8 Notices of Motion

There were no notices of motion.
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Steve Armitage, General Manager Destination, ATEED (Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development) will provide a presentation on the Destination Strategy prior to its public launch.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. ATEED previously presented the Destination Strategy to the Environment and Community Committee workshop on 9 November 2017. At the time ATEED explained the engagement to date, provided a picture of Auckland in the context of other international destinations and prompted discussion as to how Auckland could develop as a destination.

3. ATEED captured the committee members’ comments and combined them with the input from a broader range of stakeholders from the public sector, tourism, events and international education industries. Since that time a team has been distilling all of the information received and preparing a formal strategy ready for launch.

4. An executive steering group representing all sectors has played an active role in reviewing and refining the document, reinforcing the collaborative nature of the strategic planning process, and ensuring commitment to it. Such commitment is exemplified in the decision by all parties involved to sign the completed strategy.

5. As the Destination Strategy has evolved significantly from what was previously presented to the committee ATEED wishes to share the latest version before launching it in the public with the co-signatories.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Environment and Community Committee:

a) receive the update on the Destination Strategy.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Michael Goudie – Senior Advisor External Relations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Steve Armitage - General Manager – Destination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dean Kimpton - Chief Operating Officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To approve a request to the Minister of Conservation to uplift the reserve status of 129R Bairds Road, Ōtara.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. 129R Bairds Road, Ōtara has been cleared for disposal by the Finance and Performance Committee [FIN/2017/50], subject to satisfactory conclusion of required Reserves Act processes. The property is an unclassified reserve under the Reserves Act 1977, held for the purpose of off street parking.

3. Panuku Development Auckland has undertaken iwi consultation and public notification under s.24 of the Reserve Act 1977 on the property.

4. Two objections were received for 129R Bairds Road. Both objections were concerned with parking provision and access to the service lane.

5. One of those objections was from the Ōtara Business Association, on behalf of the owners and tenants of the surrounding retail properties. Further to discussions, the Ōtara Business Association has resolved to withdraw its objection on the condition that Council retains ownership of the property. As this withdrawal is conditional, the objection continues to stand.

6. One other objection was received from Trustee Management Limited which owns and leases two of the adjoining properties to multiple tenants. The objection stated tenants' concerns regarding the loss of car parking, adverse effects on deliveries and refuse removal. The objection has not been withdrawn.

7. The concerns of the objectors relate to the potential risks to local businesses posed by revocation of the reserve status of the property and its subsequent sale, which could result in the loss of the current amenity. This concern could be partially or fully allayed by the terms and conditions attached to any disposal.

8. This report recommends to the committee to request the Minister of Conservation that the reserve status be uplifted on the basis that there is sufficient off street parking in the immediate vicinity.

9. Property descriptions, details of the notification process and the objections received are set out in Attachments A to D.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Environment and Community Committee:

a) having considered the objections agrees to submit a request to the Minister of Conservation to uplift the reserve status of 129R Bairds Road, Ōtara comprised of an estate in fee simple of 1,133 m² more or less being Lot 12 DP 55184 contained in certificate of title NA41D/929.

Horopaki / Context

10. 129R Bairds Road is a flat, polygonal parcel of approximately 1,133 square metres with an unclassified reserve status, held for the purpose of off street parking. It was approved for sale by the Finance and Performance Committee, subject to the satisfactory conclusion of any required statutory processes, as the property is no longer required by Auckland Council for reserve purposes (FIN/2017/50).
Environmental and Community Committee
13 March 2018

---

11. When the property was being considered for disposal, the Parks and Recreation Policy team reported that there is sufficient existing public land nearby (currently car park), that it does not improve existing open spaces, and that the site’s location and configuration at the back of the shops makes it unsuitable for public open space.

12. The property is zoned Business – Town Centre under the Auckland Unitary Plan (operative in part). It is situated in the middle of the Ōtara Town Centre service area (Kew Lane Grounds), and is currently used for car parking.

13. The property is surrounded by a service lane and 30 retail units back onto the service lane area. There are 24 owners of the retail units that use the service lane.

14. The service lane and the car park have in the past been the focus of illegal rubbish dumping and other antisocial behavior, such as vandalism.

15. The Ōtara Business Association currently has a licence to occupy the property (129R Bairds Road) and manages the car parking arrangements and security of the area for the benefit of the retail occupiers.

16. Letters were sent to nine Mana Whenua iwi authorities on 16 June 2017. No objections were received, but Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua suggested that 129R Bairds Road be returned to Ngāti Te Ata pursuant to the Crown’s right of first refusal obligations.

17. A public notice was placed in the Manukau Courier on 13 July 2017 and also on the council’s website, and the notification period ran through to 15 August 2017. The notice remained on the council’s website for the duration of the notification period.

18. Letters were sent to the 24 owners of the 30 adjoining properties on 11 July 2017.

19. Two objections to the proposal were received relating to the potential loss of car parking for the benefit of the centre’s retailers, and possible effects on service lane access. One objection was from the Ōtara Business Association on behalf of its members, and had a petition with 35 signatures attached. The other was from Trustee Management Limited, an owner of two retail units let to multiple tenants.

20. The submissions received for 129R Bairds Road are set out in Attachments A and B.

---

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

21. The objection from the Ōtara Business Association stated that the Association has a licence to occupy 129R Bairds Road and is managing the property, that it has invested time and money in improving the property (significantly reducing levels of antisocial behaviour), and that its disposal would have implications for deliveries to the shop units, and storage and disposal of refuse.

22. In subsequent correspondence the Association suggested that the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board was not aware of the implications for local retailers of disposal of the property (see Attachment A). This view is not supported by notes taken at the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board workshop on 31 May 2016, when disposal of the property was discussed.

23. The objection from Trustee Management Limited was concerned with the loss of the car park amenity, and potential adverse effects on deliveries and the removal of refuse.

24. Following receipt of the two objections, separate meetings were held with the Ōtara Business Association (with a local board member present), and with Trustee Management Limited (via its solicitor).

25. The Association subsequently resolved that it would conditionally withdraw its objection; however, it remains adamantly opposed to the disposal of the property, and wishes instead to enter into a longer-term lease. Trustee Management Limited has not withdrawn its objection.

26. Given that the Ōtara Business Association has made a conditional withdrawal, the objection continues to stand.
Item 10

27. The uplifting of reserve status would help to integrate the property into its commercial environment, enabling more effective management of the property. The concerns of the objectors relate to the potential risks to local businesses posed by revocation of the reserve status of the property and its subsequent sale, which could result in the loss of the current amenity. This concern could be partially or fully allayed by the terms and conditions attached to any disposal.

28. Panuku recommends that the Environment and Community Committee requests the Minister of Conservation to uplift the reserve status of the property on the basis that there is sufficient off street parking in the immediate vicinity.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

29. The Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board endorsed the proposed reserve revocation and disposal of 129R Bairds Road, Ōtara at its 15 August 2016 business meeting.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

30. Panuku consulted all the relevant Mana Whenua iwi authorities of the proposal to revoke the reserve status of the property and the responses to this engagement are contained in Attachment C of this report. In summary, no objections to the proposal were received from mana whenua.

31. Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua suggested that 129R Bairds Road be returned to Ngāti Te Ata or that redress or compensation be provided. Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua were advised that as the land was in the ownership of Auckland Council it was not subject to Right of First Refusal provisions.

32. Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua’s suggestion and the response are set out in Attachment D.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

33. If the reserve status of the property is not revoked, the current licence arrangement would remain in place, whereby the council receives $500 per annum from the Ōtara Business Association. It would not be possible to manage the land more effectively on a commercial basis.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

34. If the reserve status of the property is not revoked, the property would remain in council ownership and require ongoing maintenance of $1500 per annum and management as open space.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

35. If the committee resolves to recommend proceeding with the application to revoke the reserve status of the property, council officers will forward the resolution to the Department of Conservation together with the objections received.

36. If the Minister approves the request, a notice will be published in the New Zealand Gazette authorising the revocation, and this will be submitted to Land Information New Zealand for issue of title.

37. Panuku will then determine the best timeframe for the completion of the disposal process for the property.
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21 November 2017

Carl May
Panuku Development Auckland
PO Box 90343
Victoria St West
AUCKLAND 1142

Dear Carl

Re: Proposed Revocation of Reserve at 129R Bairds Road, Ōtara (Kew Lane)

I am writing to acknowledge receipt of your email dated 8 November 2017 asking OBA to remove their objection to the proposal listed above.

To this end we advise that this matter was discussed at our meeting dated 22 September 2017 and our Executive made the following decision.

Recommendation:

That the Ōtara Business Association withdraw their objection to the revocation of status of 129R Bairds Rd (Kew Lane) provided that Panuku agree to support their efforts to retain this land.
Moved: Kanti Vallabha
Seconded: Amandeep Parmar  Motion Carried

We look forward to hearing from you in the near future with regard to the decision from the Environment and Community meeting. Can you advise if we are able to attend that meeting to hear the discussion on this matter? Thanking you for your support on this matter.

Yours faithfully

Rana Judge
Centre Manager
6 September 2017

Carl May
Panuku Development Auckland
PO Box 90343
Victoria St West
AUCKLAND 1142

Dear Carl

Re: Proposed Revocation of Reserve at 129R Bairds Road, Ōtara (Kew Lane)

I am writing firstly to thank you for visiting Ōtara Town Centre giving me the opportunity of explaining why our Business Association is objecting to the proposed change of status of the above property.

As requested we are providing the attached summary of issues and also Financial Data relating to the management and sustainability of Kew Lane as discussed at our meeting.

I. We discussed our Rubbish Collection Project which is currently underway to deal with the over abundance of small skips in the area. Our proposal will remove 26 small skips and 12 cardboard cages, replacing them with 1 large skip and 1 large cardboard cage which will cope with the 22 tons of rubbish collected weekly currently. We are working alongside a Community Group (Roots) to look into a zero waste solution for our Town Centre also. This has been stalled for the moment as we have had difficulty in getting skips removed and will need to seek legal advice on the matter. This project hinges on the decision regarding the disposal of this land.

II. Another matter we discussed was regarding the future planning for the Town Centre. There is some gentrification happening already in Ōtara and we feel there needs to be some real improvement or re-investment into the area to bring the facility up to a reasonable standard in order to service that growth. Retaining Kew Lane would be very advantageous if we were able to interest an investor in doing a major upgrade in the Centre. It would both increase in value and also assist an easier transformation possibly into an enclosed Mall which is more desirable than the current open Town Centre which is harder to monitor for crime and safety.

III. The Ōtara Papatoetoe Local Board made the decision to dispose of this land because of the description of the property being 129R Bairds Rd, Ōtara. They did not understand that this was the ‘infamous’ Kew Lane and therefore didn’t understand their decision was crucial to the smooth running of this area which provides stock, rubbish collection and parking for 70% of the businesses in the Town Centre. Members have apologized to us for this oversight.

2/46 Fair mall Town Centre Ōtara
Ph: 09 274 6401
Mob: 027 279 6401
Email: info@obaotara.co.nz
IV. Carpark Income & Expenditure for 2016/17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paid Carparking 24  @ $250.00</td>
<td>6000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;M Gate</td>
<td>(4500.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License Fee</td>
<td>(500.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surplus</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surplus is for ongoing repairs on gate. Unfortunately not all damages can be claimed back from offenders who cause the damages.

V. As you pointed out, no matter what the result is the 'Carpark Reserve' status will need to be removed. We do understand your point but would need some assurances as to the future use of the property before we can give full agreement.

I hope I have adequately outlined our position on behalf of the Otara Town Centre and would ask once again for your support with the Governing Body in relation to NOT selling the property. The future of our Centre is dependent on the outcome of this decision.

Yours faithfully

[Signature]

Rana Judge
Centre Manager
2 August 2017

Chief Executive
Auckland Council
Private Bag 92-300
AUCKLAND 1142

Dear Mr Town

Re: Proposed Revocation of Reserve at 129R Bairds Road, Ōtara (Kew Lane)

I am writing on behalf of the Ōtara Town Centre Business Improvement District (BID) to raise our objection to the proposed revocation of the Reserve status on Kew Lane Ōtara.

I. Firstly our organization has signed a 3 year lease with Panuku on 24/8/2016 and the first time we heard about this happening was when we read the Public Notice in the Manukau Courier 13 July 2017.

II. The OBA has invested a lot of time and money into clearing this area up. Historically Councils have been unable to achieve this. We have maintained the gate at great expense and are now supplying a clean, safe space for Retailers to park and store their rubbish items in an acceptable manner. Things have never been better for us in this area.

III. The area is at the rear of 70% of the mostly Food Retail Outlets in the Ōtara Town Centre. They require access to their rear doors for delivery of their goods by vehicles of all sizes. The only other alternative is the frontage of their businesses in the Auckland Transport public Carpark and Footpath which would cause much disruption to the general Public. I believe there would also be serious health & safety issues caused by using the frontage of businesses because of the crates and other packaging items used to carry their goods. Also large vehicles would be very disruptive in the Public Carpark because of their size.

IV. Retailers currently have small rubbish skips and oil drums at the rear of their shops in Kew Lane. If the area is sold, they would have nowhere to store these essential resources needed to run their day to day business except within their small premises which is impossible for most because they are food outlets and produce more rubbish than most shops and this would be a health hazard also.

V. In relation to rubbish collection the OBA is currently setting up a new rubbish collection system which would entail installing 1 large skip and employing a rubbish collector to empty wheelie bins every day. We have already advised the owners of the small skips and the Retailers to have those small bins removed so that the new collection system can be put in place. We now have this on hold until further notice.

Our BID is very fortunate to be receiving an annual operational grant from the Ōtara Papatoetoe Local Board to assist us in making our Town Centre a Clean and Safe Environment for all. Unfortunately they have been indicating that we may not be able to rely on this funding in the future. With this in mind, we set up Kew Lane Carparks to generate some income to help both with the maintenance of the area and also to help our organization become sustainable. The loss of this income would be another disadvantage for our organization.
In discussions with Panuku and previously Auckland Transport who previously owned this land we understand that Auckland Council have no further use for this land and both organisations wanted to dispose of it. Currently we have this area under really good control and wonder whether there is any chance that if we are unsuccessful in our bid to stop this action that Auckland Council may look to work with our BID to purchase the land at a very favourable price thus solving all our potential issues. We have attached a petition from all the affected Retailers in the Kew Lane area.

If there is any opportunity for me to present our objection in person can you please advise me of the details. I look forward to your response and if you require any further information please contact the writer.

Yours faithfully

Rana Judge
CENTRE MANAGER
15 August 2017

Chief Executive
Auckland Council
Private Bay 92300
Auckland 1142

By email: carl.may@developmentauckland.co.nz

Attention: Carl May

Proposed Revocation of Reserve at 129R Bairds Road, Otara – Objection

We refer to your letter dated 11 July 2017 and the Notice of Proposed Revocation of the Reserve at 129R Baird Road, Otara that was published in the Manukau Courier on 13 July 2017.

We act for Trustee Management Limited, which owns a number of the shops at 120A East Tamaki Road and 123 Bairds Road, which back onto the carpark reserve. Our client has surveyed all its tenants and it and they all object to the proposed revocation.

The grounds for the objection generally are as follows:

1. All the shopkeepers have a chronic shortage of car parks for their cars, their employee’s cars, for service and delivery vehicles.

2. If the shopkeepers and employees cannot park their vehicles in the carpark reserve they will park and block the access road that surrounds the reserve. This will cause congestion and create risks and danger for the general public as well as stress and delay for shopkeepers/employees and customers.

3. Restricted or obstructed access to the rear of the shops by reclassifying the carpark would create serious hazards and risks in relation to rubbish removal and delivery vehicles.

4. The loss of the carpark would at the very best cause a severe inconvenience and in some cases, hardship. Some of the shops are struggling financially and this proposal may force their closure.

5. The reserve has always been an important amenity appurtenant to the shops and should remain so.

Please forward any reports the Council has in relation to the proposal.
Our client wishes to be heard in support of its objection.

If you would like to discuss any of the above please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours faithfully
DYER WHITECHURCH

M C Bhanabhai / L M Nicholson
Partner        Senior Associate
129R Bairds Road, Ōtara

Iwi Consultation Process and Responses

1. The following Mana Whenua iwi authorities were consulted over the proposed revocation of the reserve status.

   a) **Ngāti Whātua o Ōrākei**
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. No response was received.

   b) **Ngai Tai Ki Tāmaki**
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, iwi advised it had no concerns in relation to the proposed revocations.

   c) **Ngati Tamaoho**
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. Iwi advised on 2 August that as the proposed revocations were a governance issue, its governance roopu would be advised. No further response was received.

   d) **Te Akitai Waiohua**
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. No response was received.

   e) **Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua**
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, iwi advised there was immense cultural significance attached to the reserve proposed for revocation and suggested it be returned to Ngati Te Ata or that redress or compensation be provided. Ngati Te Ata Waiohua were advised that as the land was in the ownership of Auckland Council it was not subject to Right of First Refusal provisions; however Panuku would give Ngati Te Ata ample notice prior to the marketing of the properties and that such notification would assist Ngati Te Ata in considering cultural opportunities.

   f) **Ngāti Paoa**
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. Iwi advised on 2 August 2017 that Mahuika Rawiri is its new environment manager, however no further response was received.

   g) **Ngāti Maru**
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. No response was received.

   h) **Ngati Whanaunga**
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, iwi raised no concerns in relation to the proposed revocation.

   i) **Ngāti Tamaterā**
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 16 June 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. No response was received.
j) Te Kawerau a Maki
Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 18 July 2017 and again on 2 August 2017. Iwi advised on 8 August 2017 that the reserve at 129R Bairds Road, Ōtara is outside its core area of interest.
Tenna koe Roimata

Thank you for your response in this matter.

I have been advised by Panuku that Auckland Council owns the land; therefore it is not subject to Right of First Refusal provisions contained in the Nga Mana Whenua o Tamaki Makaurau Collective Redress Act 2014. Right of First Refusal provisions only apply to land in the ownership of the Crown. However, Panuku will give to Ngati Te Ata ample notice prior to the marketing of the properties. Panuku considers that such notification will assist Ngati Te Ata in considering cultural opportunities.

Regards Steven
PH 09 263 5285

Tena Korua

1. There is immense cultural significance to all 3 reserves (proposed for revocation) for Ngati Te Ata.

2. The solution - that council return them to Ngati Te Ata or provide redress or compensation for the loss (ruapateure at Waitangi) which includes the loss of land in breach of the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi.

3. The above suggestions consistent with the Ngati Te Ata Tribal Policy Document 1991; Parks and Reserves policy which states:

“The Crown, relevant regional council and relevant territorial authorities shall;

[a] Return to the ownership and management of Ngati Te Ata all crown, regional council or territorial authority reserves, estates, covenants, parks and recreational areas currently within their ownership and/or management and within Ngati Te Ata Tribal territories”

Look forward to your reply.

Nga mihi

Roimata Minhinnick
CEO
Ngati Te Ata

---
Tena koe Metiria

I am following up the e-mail below relating to the proposed revocation of three existing reserves pursuant to section 24 of the Reserves Act 1977 and their subsequent disposal.

Details of the proposal are contained in my letter of 16 June 2017 (attached).

I would appreciate it if Ngati Te Ata Waiohua could forward any comments it has on the proposed revocation of the reserves at 315A Glengarry Road, 51 Stamford Park Road and 129R Bairds Road.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further queries regarding this e-mail.

Steven Schwarz
Property Consultant
s.schwarz@xtra.co.nz

---

From: Terry Coltman [mailto:tcolman@xtra.co.nz]
Sent: Friday, 16 June 2017 4:14 p.m.
To: 'm.kaihau.12@gmail.com'
Subject: Reserve Revocations

Tena koe Metiria

Attached is a letter relating to the proposed revocation of three existing reserves pursuant to section 24 of the Reserves Act 1977 and their subsequent disposal.

Any comments on the proposed revocations will be appreciated.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further queries regarding this e-mail.

Steven Schwarz
Property Consultant
s.schwarz@xtra.co.nz

Terry Coltman
Schwarz Consultancy Limited
PO Box 276049
Manukau 2241
E-mail: tcolman@xtra.co.nz
Mobile: 027 2786427
Tena koe Metiria

Will you be providing a response to this e-mail?

Steven Schwarz
Property Consultant
s.schwarz@xtra.co.nz

---

From: Terry Coltman [mailto:tcoltman@xtra.co.nz]
Sent: Tuesday, 18 July 2017 11:48 a.m.
To: 'm.kaihau.12@gmail.com'
Subject: FW: Reserve Revocations

Tena koe Metiria

I am following up the e-mail below relating to the proposed revocation of three existing reserves pursuant to section 24 of the Reserves Act 1977 and their subsequent disposal.

Details of the proposal are contained in my letter of 16 June 2017 (attached).

I would appreciate it if Ngati Te Ata Waiohua could forward any comments it has on the proposed revocation of the reserves at 315A Glengarry Road, 51 Stamford Park Road and 129R Bairds Road.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further queries regarding this e-mail.

Steven Schwarz
Property Consultant
s.schwarz@xtra.co.nz

---

From: Terry Coltman [mailto:tcoltman@xtra.co.nz]
Sent: Friday, 16 June 2017 4:14 p.m.
To: 'm.kaihau.12@gmail.com'
Subject: Reserve Revocations

Tena koe Metiria

Attached is a letter relating to the proposed revocation of three existing reserves pursuant to section 24 of the Reserves Act 1977 and their subsequent disposal.

Any comments on the proposed revocations will be appreciated.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further queries regarding this e-mail.

Steven Schwarz
Property Consultant
s.schwarz@xtra.co.nz

Terry Coltman
Schwarz Consultancy Limited
PO Box 276049
Manukau 2241
E-mail: tcoltman@xtra.co.nz
Mobile: 027 2786427
16 June 2017

Te Ara Rangatū o Te Iwi o Ngāti Te Ata Whāhau
PO Box 459
Walnut 2041

Attention: Metiria Kairau

Tena koe Metiria

Proposed Reserve Revocations

Auckland Council, via Panuku Development Auckland, are considering the revocation of the reserve status of three existing reserves as listed below and their subsequent disposal. In each case the reserves in question are not currently being used as a park or serving an open space function, nor are they required for Council’s current or future service requirements. The reserves in question are as follows:

- Part 51 Stamford Park Road, Mt Roskill;
- 129R Bairds Road, Otara;
- 315A Glengarry Road, Glen Eden.

The details of each reserve are as follows:

**Part 51 Stamford Park Road, Mt Roskill:**
Two separate portions of the reserve at 51 Stamford Park Road are proposed to be revoked:

- Section 71 and Part Section 106 SO 419816 comprising 1012 m² (subject to survey) – Part CFR 538486;
- Sections 48, 103, 104 and Part Section 54 SO 419816 comprising 709 m² (subject to survey) – Part CFR 538486.

The portions of reserve land at 51 Stamford Park Road are currently owned by the Auckland Council for Recreation Reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977. The land was originally acquired by the Ministry of Works in the 1970's and 1980's for the extension of the South Western Motorway, and in 2005 was exchanged for part of Keith Hay Park which was required by Transit NZ for the Motorway. These two parcels of reserve land are no longer required as a reserve as they are clear of the existing cycleway and walkway.
129R Bairds Road, Ōtara

Lot 12 DP 55184 comprising 1133 m² – CFR NA41D/929

The portion of reserve land at 129R Bairds Road, Ōtara is currently owned by the Auckland Council as a Reserve for Off Street Parking, subject to the Reserves Act 1977. The reserve was created as a result of a subdivision undertaken by the Housing Division in 1965 which set apart Lot 12 DP 55184 as a Reserve for Off Street Parking vesting in the Manukau City Council. This reserve land is no longer required by Auckland Council as a reserve as it is not currently used for reserve purposes.

315A Glengarry Road, Glen Eden

Lot 41 DP 19309 comprising 4105 m² – CFR NA439/244

The reserve land at 315A Glengarry Road, Glen Eden is currently owned by the Auckland Council for a Road Reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977. The reserve was created as a result of a subdivision undertaken by Digby Felix McGary in 1926 which vested Lot 41 DP 19309 as a Road Reserve. This reserve land is no longer required by Auckland Council for reserve purposes, as Council has no plans to form this reserve as a road.

Panuku Development Auckland has requested me to act on their behalf in this matter.

As Tangata Whenua of the area, I would appreciate any comments that you may have regarding the proposed revocation of reserve as outlined above and in particular:

1. Any site specific issues of cultural significance in relation to the subject property that I would like Council to consider in relation to a reserve revocation process for this property;

2. Any preferred outcomes that I would like Council to consider in relation to a reserve revocation process.

I would appreciate it if you would provide your comments in writing within 20 working days upon receiving this letter.

Copies of relevant information for each property are attached for your information.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further queries regarding this letter.

Yours Sincerely

Steven Schwarz
Property Consultant
s.schwarz@xtra.co.nz
Reserve Revocation Report - 129R Bairds Road, Ōtara
### COMPUTER FREEHOLD REGISTER

**UNDER LAND TRANSFER ACT 1952**

**Search Copy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>NA41D/929</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Registration District</td>
<td>North Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Issued</td>
<td>03 November 1978</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prior References**

GN A282289

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estate</th>
<th>Fee Simple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>1133 square metres more or less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Description</td>
<td>Lot 12 Deposited Plan 55184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Reserve for off street parking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proprietors**

Auckland Council

**Interests**

SUBJECT TO THE RESERVES ACT 1977
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To approve a request to the Minister of Conservation to uplift the reserve status of 32 Harbour View Road.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. 32 Harbour View Road, Te Atatu has been cleared for disposal by the Finance and Performance Committee [FIN/2017/97], subject to satisfactory conclusion of required Reserves Act processes. The property is a recreation reserve under the Reserves Act 1977.

3. The reason that this reserve was not required for reserve purposes is that the area is already adequately served with open space, as advised by the Parks and Recreation Policy team in the rationalisation process.

4. Panuku Development Auckland has undertaken iwi consultation and public notification on the property under s.24 of the Reserve Act 1977. The details of the iwi consultation and responses are set out in Attachment A.

5. No objections were received from the iwi consultation, nor from the notification of the proposal to the public and to adjoining owners.

6. This report recommends to the committee to request the Minister of Conservation that the reserve status be uplifted to allow the disposal process of the property to be progressed.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation
That the Environment and Community Committee:

a) agree to submit a request to the Minister of Conservation to uplift the reserve status of 32 Harbour View Road, Te Atatu comprised of an estate in fee simple of 600 m² more or less, being Lot 286 DP 84406 contained in certificate of title CT-102D/200.

b) agree, on approval from the Minister of Conservation to uplift the reserve status, that staff prepare a report for Planning Committee approval to change the open space zone to an appropriate residential zone.

Horopaki / Context
7. 32 Harbour View Road, Te Atatu is a rectangular, vacant corner section of approximately 600 sq m. It is bordered to the east and north by residential properties and to the south and west by road.

8. The property is zoned Public Open Space – Informal Recreation under the Auckland Unitary Plan (operative in part).

9. In July 2016, when the property was being considered for disposal, the Parks and Recreation Policy team reported that there are other open spaces in the near vicinity (such as Harbourview-Orangihina Park). The property does not meet the criteria for retention when assessed against the council’s parks and open space acquisitions policy.

10. The Finance and Performance Committee approved the disposal of this site on 26 July 2017, subject to the satisfactory conclusion of any required statutory processes, as the property is no longer required by Auckland Council for reserve purposes [FIN/2017/97].
Item 11

11. Letters were sent to nine Mana Whenua iwi authorities on 20 October 2017. No objections were received.

12. A public notice was published in the Western Leader 9 November 2017 and also on the council’s website, and the notification period ran through to 13 December 2017. The notice remained on the council’s website for the duration of the notification period. No objections were received.

13. Letters were sent to the three adjoining owners on 7 November 2017. No objections were received.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

14. Panuku recommends that the Environment and Community Committee requests the Minister of Conservation to uplift the reserve status of the property on the basis that the property is not required for reserve purposes. The revocation of reserve status is required if the disposal process is to be continued.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

15. The Henderson-Massey Local Board endorsed the proposed reserve revocation and disposal of 32 Harbour View Road at its 21 March 2017 business meeting.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

16. Panuku consulted all the relevant Mana Whenua iwi authorities of the proposal to revoke the reserve status of the property and the responses to this engagement are contained in Attachment A of this report. In summary, no objections to the proposal were received from mana whenua.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

17. If the status of reserve is lifted from 32 Harbour View Road, a plan change will be necessary to change the open space zoning to an appropriate residential zoning in order to allow the highest and best value of the land to be realised. Staff will prepare a report for approval by the Planning Committee to rezone the land.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

18. If the reserve status of the property is not revoked, the property would remain in council ownership and require ongoing maintenance of $300 per annum and management as open space.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

19. If the committee resolves to recommend proceeding with the application to revoke the reserve status of the property, council officers will forward the resolution to the Department of Conservation.

20. If the Minster approves the request, a notice will be published in the New Zealand Gazette authorising the revocation, and this will be submitted to Land Information New Zealand for issue of title.

21. Panuku will then determine the best timeframe for the completion of the disposal process for the property.
### Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
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</thead>
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32 Harbour View Road, Te Atatu

Iwi Consultation Process and Responses

1. The following Mana Whenua iwi authorities were consulted over the proposed revocation of the reserve status.

   a) Ngāti Whātu o Kaipara
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, iwi advised it would defer to Te Kawerau a Maki for comments.

   b) Ngāti Whātu o Ōrākei
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, iwi advised it was content to defer to Te Kawerau a Maki in this instance.

   c) Ngai Tai Ki Tāmaki
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 21 November 2017 and again on 7 December 2017. No response was received.

   d) Te Kawerau a Maki
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 21 November 2017 and again on 7 December 2017. No response was received.

   e) Te Akitai Waiohua
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 21 November 2017 and again on 7 December 2017. No response was received.

   f) Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, iwi advised on 7 December 2017 that follow up e-mail had been forwarded to the kaitiaki who deal with this request. No further response was received.

   g) Ngāti Paoa
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, iwi advised it would like to defer this project to other iwi mana whenua who have lead cultural interest.

   h) Ngāti Maru
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, iwi requested to be removed as a contact for this proposal.

   i) Ngāti Tamaterā
      Detailed letter sent to iwi on 20 October 2017, follow up e-mails sent on 21 November 2017 and again on 7 December 2017. No response was received.
Summary of Environment and Community Committee information - updates, memos and briefings - 13 March 2018

File No.: CP2018/02565

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To note progress on the forward work programme - Attachment A.
2. To provide a public record of memos, workshop or briefing papers that have been distributed for the Committee’s information since 20 February 2018.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
3. This is regular information-only report which aims to provide public visibility of information circulated to committee members via memo or other means, where no decisions are required.
4. The following papers/memos were circulated to members:
   • 20180301_Global Activity Memo
   • 20180306_Kaipara Harbour Sedimentation Mitigation Study Memo
   • 20180307_Enterprise Booking System memo

Note that staff will not be present to answer questions about the items referred to in this summary. Committee members should direct any questions to the authors.
5. This document can be found on the Auckland Council website, at the following link: http://infocouncil.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/
   • at the top of the page, select meeting “Planning Committee” from the drop-down tab and click ‘View’;
   • under ‘Attachments’, select either the HTML or PDF version of the document entitled ‘Extra Attachments’.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Environment and Community Committee:
 a) receive the information report.
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</tr>
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<td>D</td>
<td>20180306_Kaipara Harbour Sedimentation Mitigation Study Memo <em>(Under Separate Cover)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>20180307_Enterprise Booking System memo <em>(Under Separate Cover)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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</tbody>
</table>
### Environment and Community Committee Forward Work Programme 2017

This committee deals with strategy and policy decision-making that relates to the environmental, social, economic and cultural activities of Auckland as well as matters that are not the responsibility of another committee or the Governing Body.

#### Priorities for 2018 will be on initiatives which:

1. Clearly demonstrate that Auckland is making progress with climate change adaptation and mitigation and taking action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
2. Enable green growth with a focus on improved water quality, pest eradication and ecological restoration.
3. Strengthen communities and enable Aucklanders to be active and connected.
4. Make measurable progress towards the social and community aspects of housing all Aucklanders in secure, healthy homes they can afford.
5. Grow skills and a local workforce to support economic growth in Auckland.

#### The work of the committee will:

- Deliver on the outcomes in the Auckland Plan.
- Be focused on initiatives that have a high impact.
- Meet the Council’s statutory obligations, including funding allocation decisions.
- Increase the public’s trust and confidence in the organisation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority #</th>
<th>Area of work</th>
<th>Reason for work</th>
<th>Environment and Community Committee role (decision or direction)</th>
<th>Expected timeframes Quarter (month if known)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strategic approach to Climate Change</td>
<td>To demonstrate that Auckland is making progress with climate change adaptation and mitigation and taking action to reduce emissions.</td>
<td>Strategic direction will be provided in the coming months.</td>
<td>FY17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progress to date:</td>
<td>Jan-Mar 20 Feb 13 March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A summary of activities to prepare for climate change was given</td>
<td>Apr-Jun 10 April 8 May 12 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in the presentation on 8 August meeting.</td>
<td>Jul-Sep 10 July 14 Aug 11 Sept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Report was considered on 20 Feb, resolution ENV/2018/11</td>
<td>Oct-Dec 17 Oct 14 Nov 5 Dec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low carbon living</td>
<td>To deliver on Low Carbon Auckland Plan commitments by the design and implementation of awareness raising and incentives programmes to reduce household, community, business and schools carbon emissions by approximately 50% of current levels.</td>
<td>Strategic direction and endorse programmes as part of the Low Carbon Auckland Plan implementation.</td>
<td>Q3 (Feb) Q4 Q1 (Sept) Q2 (Dec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progress to date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Report was considered at 20 Feb meeting. Res ENV/2018/11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>report back in Dec/18 for a decision. Independent Advisory Group was approved.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low Carbon Auckland / Climate Change Mitigation</td>
<td>Four-yearly review of strategic action plan due in 2018; increased engagement with and commitments via C40 Cities membership; development of proactive policy agenda to central government emerging</td>
<td>Decision and endorsement of strategic direction</td>
<td>Q3 (Feb) Q4 Q1 Q2 (Dec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progress to date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Report was considered at 20 Feb meeting. Res ENV/2018/11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>report back in Dec/18 for a decision. Independent Advisory Group was approved.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Climate Plan Workshop</td>
<td>Risks and vulnerabilities</td>
<td>• Committee workshop on risks and vulnerabilities</td>
<td>Q4 (April)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Communication strategy for broader public engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Local Board workshops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Mana whenua engagement (integrated throughout)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Stakeholder workshops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 12</td>
<td>Climate Plan Workshop</td>
<td>Prioritisation criteria and identified actions</td>
<td>Q1 (Aug)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Cost benefit and total value analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Agree prioritisation criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Review all actions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Draft plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Draft Climate Plan to Committee</td>
<td>Draft plan to committee</td>
<td>Q2 (Dec)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Consultation (linking to other plans, approach tbc)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Updates to action plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Adoption of updated plan by council (Proposed December 2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Final Adoption of Climate Plan</td>
<td>Decision adopt Climate plan</td>
<td>Mar 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 and 2</td>
<td>Urban Forest Strategy</td>
<td>Strategic approach to delivering on the wider social, economic and environmental benefits of a growing urban forest in the context of rapid population growth and intensification.</td>
<td>Q3 (Feb) Q4 Q1 (Aug) Q2 (Dec)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision on strategic direction and endorsement of strategy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progress to date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Report was considered on 12 Sept ENV/2017/116 a full draft of the strategy was considered in Feb 2018, res ENV/2018/12 with a report back on the results of the LIDAR and an implementation plan on costs and benefits in Aug 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Waste Management and Minimisation Plan Review</td>
<td>To adopt a new Waste Management and Minimisation Plan.</td>
<td>Q3 (Jun) Q4 Q1 Q2 (Dec)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision on the key issues that arise through consultation on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and the waste assessment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adopt the final plan, after hearings have been held on the draft plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Allocation of the Waste Minimisation and Innovation Fund</td>
<td>Decision making over medium and large funds from the Waste Minimisation and Innovation fund in line with the fund’s adopted policy. Funds to contribute towards council’s aspirational goal of zero waste to landfill by 2040.</td>
<td>Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 (Dec)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progress to date:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision: Approval of allocation of September 2016 funding round Resolution ENV/2016/19 Item C5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Approval of grants in Dec 17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>Approve action plan to help achieve compliance with the National Environment Strategy on Air Quality</td>
<td>Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 (Apr)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision on air quality action.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 and 2</td>
<td>Kauri Dieback</td>
<td>To protect Auckland’s Kauri forests</td>
<td>Q3 (Feb) Q4 Q1 Q2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Progress to date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Regional Pest Management Plan review</td>
<td>Statutory obligations under the Biosecurity Act to control weeds and animal pests. To ensure that the plan is consistent with the national policy direction and up to date.</td>
<td>Dec draft plan was approved for consultation in Nov 2017</td>
<td>Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 (Nov/Dec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 and 2</td>
<td>Allocation of the Regional Natural Heritage Grant</td>
<td>Decision-making over regional environment fund as per the grants funding policy and fund guidelines</td>
<td>Decision on the annual allocation of the Waste Minimisation and Innovation Fund for the 2018-2019 financial year.</td>
<td>Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 (Dec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Safeswim</td>
<td>To consider best future options for the delivery of Safeswim</td>
<td>Decision on options for expansion of the Safeswim programme.</td>
<td>Q3 (Mar) Q4 (Apr) Q1 Q2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management</td>
<td>Progress to date: Council submission was approved on Central Govt. Clean Water Consultation 2017 process: Minutes of 4 April ENV/2017/54 Item 12. Follow up is required for resolution b) – a workshop held on 14 June. A supplementary submission on the Clean Water Consultation package was made on 25 May 2017. Item 14 13 June 2017 Decision ENV/2018/14 on engagement approach for consultation on the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management in Feb 2018. In December 2018 further decisions will be sought under the national policy statement, including: • approve final targets for swim-ability of major rivers in the Auckland region • approve the updated Progressive Implementation Plan for the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>To consider food policy alliance</td>
<td>Decision on food policy alliance</td>
<td>Q3 (Mar)</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 and 2</td>
<td>Auckland Growing Greener</td>
<td>Strategic direction and oversight into council’s role to improve the natural environment, and to endorse proposed incentives. This may include endorsing: • a framework to ensure planning and growth decisions are underpinned by relevant environmental data • proposed incentives for green growth • recommendations arising from a current state statutory obligations review.</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Weed Management Policy</td>
<td>Provide political direction to the Environment and Community Committee and staff in regards to weed management decisions. Report back to the Environment and Community Committee on progress within six months of establishment. An update report was presented in October, next report is due April 2018</td>
<td>Q4 (Apr)</td>
<td>Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hunua Aerial 1080 Operation</td>
<td>Provide information on outcomes of the Hunua 1080 aerial pest control operation. To note outcomes of the Hunua 1080 aerial pest control operation.</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Parks, Sport and Recreation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Te Motu a Hiaora (Puketutu Island)</th>
<th>Status update on the Te Motu a Hiaora Governance Trust</th>
<th>To note further update on progress of the governance trust</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Q1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan</td>
<td>Status report on implementation plan</td>
<td>Direction on future options for sport and recreation.</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sports Investment Plan</td>
<td>Council’s strategic approach to outcomes, priorities and investment in sports</td>
<td>Decision on issues papers Draft Plan approval Finalise and adopt investment plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progress to date: Evaluation of current sports facilities investments and proposed changes was adopted on 14 March, resolution ENV/2017/39 Item 13 with the final draft investment plan to be adopted prior to consultation. An outcome measurement tool to support the Sports Facilities Investment Plan was considered and agreed at the 4 April meeting. Resolution ENV/2017/50 Item 9 The findings of the pilot will be reported in mid-2019 seeking a decision on the roll-out model.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q3 Q4 (May) Q1 Q2 (Nov)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Golf Investment Plan</td>
<td>Council’s strategic approach to outcomes, priorities and investment in golf.</td>
<td>Decision on issues papers Draft Plan approval Finalise and adopt investment plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progress to date: Confidential workshop held 13 June 2017 (what future for golf).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q3 Q4 (Apr) Q1 Q2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indoor Courts</td>
<td>Strategic business case for indoor courts investment</td>
<td>Decision on investment approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q3 Q4 Q1 (Aug) Q2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Western Springs Community School Partnership</td>
<td>Improve Community Access to school facilities</td>
<td>Decision on Business and Investment in indoor court facility at Western Springs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progress to date: The report was considered in May. Resolution ENV/2017/71 A business case will be prepared to outline the opportunity to fully invest in the indoor court development and can consider as part of the LTP 2018-2028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Growth Programme</td>
<td>Update on proposed growth funding allocation for 2018-2020</td>
<td>Decision on growth funding allocation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Sports Grants</td>
<td>Improving monitoring and evaluation of sports grants</td>
<td>Decision on monitoring and evaluation framework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q3 Q4 (May) Q1 Q2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regional Sport and Recreation grants programme 2018/2020</td>
<td>Review of previous grants allocation and recommendation for next round</td>
<td>Decision on sport and recreation grants programme objectives and approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progress to date: Approved on 12 Sept the 2018/2019 grants programme to proceed in accordance with the Community Grants Policy suggested outcomes and assessment matrix. Applications open 30/10/17 close 8/12/17 ENV/2017/118 Workshop in April 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Q3 Q4 (May) Q1 (Sep)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **1** | d | Review of the Community Occupancy Guidelines 2012: TOR | The review will assess the efficacy of the guidelines in for the council to deliver the best possible outcomes for Auckland through community leases | Decision on the terms of reference for the review of the Community Occupancy Guidelines 2012  
Progress to date:  
The TOR was approved for the review to commence and will report back in May 2018.  
An update memo was circulated in August in response to feedback from the July meeting.|
| **3** |  | Active Recreation Investment and Visitor Experience | Council’s strategic approach to outcome, priorities and investment for active walking, cycling, waterways and visitor experience on open space, parks and regional parks | Decision on scope and phasing |
| **3** |  | Takaro – Investing in Play discussion document | Development of a play investment plan | Decision on approval for public release  
Progress to date:  
Approved on 16 May 2017 for public release the discussion document and will report to E&C for approval in late 2017  
Takaro was approved for release on 20 Feb 2018  
A report back by August 18 for approval to initiate public consultation |
| **1** |  | The Southern Initiative (TSI) | Provide an update on the TSI approach, priorities and achievements. | Strategic direction of the TSI approach to social and community innovation in south Auckland |
| **5** |  | Global Engagement Strategy | Provide an update and direction of Auckland Council’s global engagement strategy and priorities. It has been three years since a new strategic direction was introduced, progress on this strategy will presented.  
Funded | Strategic direction of Auckland Council’s global engagement strategy and priorities  
Progress to date:  
Monthly global engagement updates are published on each agenda |
| **2** |  | Destination Auckland Strategy | Provide strategic direction to ATEED through its consideration of options for any new strategies relating to international visitors. | Strategic direction relating to tourism strategies. ATEED has responsibility for the provision of initiatives in the tourism sector in Auckland.  
workshop held in Nov 2017, strategy to be endorsed in March |
| **5** |  | International Education Framework | Provide strategic direction to ATEED through its consideration of options for growing the international education sector | Strategic direction relating to international education. ATEED has responsibility for growing the international education market in Auckland.  
workshop to be scheduled |
<p>| <strong>5</strong> |  | Local Economic Development Framework | Provide strategic direction to ATEED through its consideration of options for delivering local economic development | Strategic direction relating to Local Economic Development. ATEED has responsibility for leading Local Economic development. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Progress</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Auckland Trade Programme</td>
<td>Provide strategic direction to ATEED through its consideration of options for growing Auckland’s trade and exports</td>
<td>Strategic direction relating to trade and exports. ATEED has responsibility for supporting the increase of Auckland’s trade competitiveness.</td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Options to expand revenue streams for sport facilities investment</td>
<td>Provide strategic direction to expand revenue streams to fund future sports facilities investment in the draft Sports Facilities Investment Plan</td>
<td>Strategic direction to expand revenue streams to fund future sports facilities investment in the draft Sports Facilities Investment Plan</td>
<td>Progress to date: A report was considered in August. Res ENV/2017/121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Community Facilities Network Plan</td>
<td>Update on progress and report back on strategic business case for central west.</td>
<td>Decision on indicative business case for central west</td>
<td>Progress to date: A progress report was considered on 14 March. Resolution ENV/2017/36 Item 11 to report back on an indicative business case for investment in the central-west area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Auckland Sport Sector: Facility Priorities Plan</td>
<td>Develop and endorse the Sports Facilities Investment Plan to enable Auckland Council to take a more co-ordinated approach to its sports facilities investment.</td>
<td>Decision on the Auckland Sport Sector: Facility Priorities Plan. Decision on sector’s investment priorities and investigate potential funding options.</td>
<td>Progress to date: The plan was endorsed on 12 September ENV/2017/118. Staff to report back on priorities and potential funding options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Homelessness</td>
<td>Implementing Regional Policy and Strategy resolution to progress work around Council’s strategic position on addressing homelessness. (Note: this work will be informed by discussions at the Community Development and Safety Committee)</td>
<td>Decision on scope</td>
<td>Progress to date: Approved the scope policy 14 February ENV/2017/119. Auckland council’s position and role was considered at the August meeting report item 12. Staff to report back with an implementation plan. Resolution ENV/2017/118 of preferred position and role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Facilities Partnerships</td>
<td>Identify the range of current council approaches to facility partnerships, issues, opportunities and agree next steps</td>
<td>Decision on facility partnership approach</td>
<td>Decision to adopt Facility Partnership Framework in December 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Citizens Advice Bureaux Services</td>
<td>Review of the Citizens Advice Bureaux Services RSP decision in April 2016 (REG/2016/22)</td>
<td>Decision on review results</td>
<td>Progress to date: Report was considered at 20 February meeting. Decision: lies on the table.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Social and Community Housing Strategy and initiatives</td>
<td>Strategic overview of social and community housing initiatives. Wider housing portfolio and spatial outcomes of council’s role in housing is led by the Planning Committee.</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Affordable Housing Intervention</td>
<td>Understanding NZ and international interventions to address affordable housing</td>
<td>Decision on future Auckland Council approaches to affordable housing interventions</td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Te Kauroa – Library Strategy</td>
<td>Libraries and Information is carrying out a change programme (Fit for the future) to accelerate the implementation of this 2013-2023 strategy (approved by the Governing Body)</td>
<td>Direction relating to priorities and to receive update on strategic direction and implementation progress</td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Approve an expanded and improved regional mobile library service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Central library strategic review</td>
<td>A strategic review of the Central Library has been commissioned to understand how the current building can meet future need and demand for services, assess the Central Library’s current and potential future role in the region, and guide decision making about future investment and development opportunities</td>
<td>Decide direction and receive the strategic review</td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3 | Libraries | Work around the integration with customer services | Decision on matters relating to regional aspects of the proposed integration (local boards will decide on local outcomes)  
* workshop to be scheduled March/April with local board chairs | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |
| 3 | Intercultural Cities Network | Consideration of a proposal to join the Intercultural Cities Network to support implementation and monitoring of progress on ‘inclusive Auckland’ actions. | Decide whether Auckland should be a member of the network | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |
| 3 | Friendly City | Identify issues and opportunities for an inclusive friendly city (Regional Policy and Strategy resolution REG/2016/92) | Strategic direction on the approach to a friendly, inclusive, diverse city.  
Progress to date:  
Memo was circulated to member in Dec 2017. Included in the information report for February meeting.  
Workshop tbc. in April/May prior a report in Jun/Jul | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |
| 3 and 5 | Social Enterprise approaches for youth and long term unemployed | Improved understanding of social enterprise reach, impacts, costs and benefits | Strategic direction on councils approach to social enterprise. | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |
| 3 and 5 | Youth volunteer programmes | Intervention assessment of youth volunteer programmes on long term education and employment – understanding impacts, costs and benefits | Strategic direction on interventions approach | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |
| 3 | Events Policy | A review of what is working well and what isn’t |   | Q3 | Q4 | Q1(Sep) | Q2 |
### Updated 01/03/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Policy Monitoring</th>
<th>Audit of the application of the Grants Policy</th>
<th>Decision on audit results</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong> Thriving Communities Action Plan</td>
<td>A review of the Action Plan to understand what we are doing well and where there are areas for improvement</td>
<td>Decision on current state results</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2 (Nov)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong> Tei Whitiki Strategy</td>
<td>Targeted analysis of social return on investment on specific art and culture investment</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2 (Dec)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong> Public Art</td>
<td>Review of the Public Arts Policy: what’s working what’s not. Decisions relating to major public arts</td>
<td>Decision on review results</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2 (Dec)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LEGISLATION/CENTRAL GOVERNMENT

| National Environmental Standards | Council response on the National Direction for aquaculture expected following scheduled release of consultation document in April 2017. The National Direction is likely to address matters relating to re-consenting, bay-wide management, innovation and research, and biosecurity. | Direction Committee agreement to a council submission on the National Direction for Aquaculture | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |

### LAND ACQUISITIONS

| Strategic acquisition issues and opportunities | Understanding current acquisition issues and options. | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |
| **3** Land acquisition for stormwater purposes | Delegated responsibility of the committee. To acquire land for stormwater management and development purposes, to either support a structure plan or ad-hoc development. **Decision to acquire land. Reports will come to committee as required. Next report will be in Feb 2018 seeking authority to carry out compulsory acquisition of land in the Henderson area for a flood prevention project.** | Q3 (Feb) | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |

### OTHER

| Long-term Plan | Informing the development of the 2018-2028 Auckland Council Long-term Plan | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |

### COMPLETED

<p>| I am Auckland - Youth Strategy | Implementation of strategy. Identify areas of success and improvement opportunity <strong>Decision: Approval for the development and an implementation plan was considered on 14 Feb 2017 Resolution ENV/2017/10 Item 15</strong> | Q3 |
| <strong>3</strong> UNESCO Auckland | Decide whether to commit to being a UNESCO City of Music (from Regional and Strategy and Policy resolution) <strong>Decision: Endorsement was given at 14 March Resolution</strong> | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attachment A</th>
<th>Item 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Updated 01/03/2018</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City of Music</strong></td>
<td><strong>REG(2016/70)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Weed Management Policy Implementation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td><strong>One Voice Auckland Sport and Recreation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Regional Sport and Recreation Grant Programme</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Community Access Scheme</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Community School Partnerships</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Waste assessment endorsement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pest Free Auckland</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Marine Biosecurity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td><strong>Implementation of Housing Taskforce</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1, 2 and 5</strong></td>
<td><strong>Coastal Erosion Management Strategy</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary of Environment and Community Committee information - updates, memos and briefings - 13 March 2018**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Productivity Commission – inquiry into a low carbon economy</td>
<td>Council’s submission to the Productivity Commission’s low emissions economy issues paper</td>
<td>Decision to proposed key themes for submission to the Productivity Commission’s low emissions economy issues paper. Progress to date: A report was considered on 12 Sept meeting. Endorsed the key themes. Resolution ENV/2017/117. Auckland Council submission lodged in Oct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hauraki Gulf Forum</td>
<td>Possible consideration of recommendations from the Hauraki Gulf Forum arising from the completion of Seachange Tai Timu Tai Pari.</td>
<td>Decisions on governance and/or resourcing arrangements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Smoke-free Policy</td>
<td>Improve policy and implementation</td>
<td>Decision on updated Smokefree policy. Progress to date: considered the report for adoption at the August meeting. Resolution ENV/2017/113. Approval of the policy on 17 Oct Resolution ENV/2017/142.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Seachange – Tai Timu Tai Pari</td>
<td>The marine spatial plan for the Hauraki Gulf – Seachange Tai Timu Tai Pari – was completed by the independent stakeholder working group in November 2016. Staff will report on implications of the plan and options for Auckland Council implementation to the Planning Committee. The Environment and Community Committee will likely provide direction and oversee aspects of implementation.</td>
<td>Strategic direction on the spatial plan and implementation actions. Progress to date: The initial assessment was considered at the 2 May Planning Committee meeting, resolution PLA/2017/50 Item 9. Political Ref Group was established. Approval of TOR and work programme was considered in Sept ENV/2017/115.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tripartite Economic Alliance</td>
<td>Provide direction on preparation for Guangzhou 2017 Tripartite summit and seek approval for the renewal of the Tripartite Economic Alliance 2017 Tripartite Summit funded out of Global Partnerships and Strategy budget, COO’s budget and through sponsorship.</td>
<td>Direction and approval of the extension of the Tripartite Economic Alliance beyond its initial three year period. Progress to date: Update was provided at 14 March 2017 meeting – summit in Sept 2017 Item 16.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Updated 01/03/2018

Attachment A Item 12
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Allocation of the Waste Minimisation and Innovation Fund</td>
<td>Decision making over medium and large funds from the Waste Minimisation and Innovation fund in line with the fund’s adopted policy. Funds to contribute towards council’s aspirational goal of zero waste to landfill by 2040.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Open Space management: issues paper</td>
<td>Understanding open space management, issues and options</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exclusion of the Public: Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987

That the Environment and Community Committee:

a) exclude the public from the following part(s) of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution follows.

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C1</th>
<th>Acquisition of land for open space - Flat Bush</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</td>
<td>The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particular interest(s) protected (where applicable)</td>
<td>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities. s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations). In particular, the report identifies land the council seeks to acquire for open space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</td>
<td>s48(1)(a) The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C2</th>
<th>Acquisition of land for open space at Swanson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</td>
<td>The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particular interest(s) protected (where applicable)</td>
<td>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities. s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations). In particular, the report identifies land the council seeks to acquire for open space purposes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</td>
<td>s48(1)(a) The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>