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1 Welcome

2 Apologies
At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
That the Howick Local Board:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Monday, 19 February 2018, including the confidential section, as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations
Standing Order 3.20 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Howick Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 Deputation - Cockle Bay residents, Coastal Erosion

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
Cockle Bay residents to speak to the local board on the issue of the erosion problems.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Howick Local Board:
   a) receive the deputation from the Cockle Bay residents and thank them for the presentation.
9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

   (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

   (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."

11 Notices of Motion

Under Standing Order 2.5.1 Notices of Motion have been received from Member Turinsky and Member White for consideration under items 12 and 13 respectively.
Notice of Motion - Member Turinsky - Auckland Transport Eastern New Network Bus Stops

File No.: CP2018/03171

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
1. Member Turinsky has given notice of a motion that they wish to propose.
2. The notice, signed by Member Turinsky and Member Donald as seconder, is appended as Attachment A.
3. Supporting information is appended as Attachment A.

Motion
That the Howick Local Board:
   a) request Auckland Transport to review the bus stop changes flagged by the board at the workshops on 18 and 25 October 2017.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>Notice of Motion - Member Turinsky - Auckland Transport Eastern New Network Bus Stops</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Carol McGarry - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notice of Motion - Member Turinsky – Auckland Transport Eastern New Network Bus Stops.

In accordance with Standing Order 2.5.1, I hereby give notice that I intend to move the following motion at the Howick Local Board meeting being held on 19 March 2018.

Recommendation

That the Howick Local Board:

a) request Auckland Transport to review the bus stop changes flagged by the board at the workshops on 18 and 25 October 2017.

Background

1) The board was consulted on the proposed changes and made recommendations to Auckland Transport, primarily in regards to public safety, at workshops held on 18 and 25 October 2017.

2) Some of the board’s recommendations were taken in account and some changes were made to proposed bus stops based on those recommendations following the workshops.

3) The board’s opposition to some of the proposed bus stops were not taken in account by Auckland Transport.

4) The Howick Local Board is concerned that the recent advertising by Auckland Transport communicates that the board approved all of the recent changes to bus stops.

5) It is noted the board does not have the delegation to approve bus stops and therefore can only provide feedback to Auckland Transport.

6) The board had safety concerns over some bus stops and would like to have further discussions with Auckland Transport Engineers.

7) The board now requests to have further discussions with Auckland Transport on the bus stops flagged at the workshops on 18 and 25 October 2017.

Signed:

Mike Turinsky - Local Board Member, Howick Local Board

Jim Donald – Local Board Member, Howick Local Board
Notice of Motion - Member White - 43 Cook Street Bus Stop

File No.: CP2018/03169

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
1. Member White has given notice of a motion that they wish to propose.
2. The notice, signed by Member White and Member Donald as seconder, is appended as Attachment A.
3. Supporting information is appended as Attachment A.

Motion
That the Howick Local Board:
a) request Auckland Transport to remove the bus stop from 43 Cook Street, Howick.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>Notice of Motion - Member White - 43 Cook Street, Bus Stop</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Carol McGarry - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notice of Motion - Member White– 43 Cook Street Bus Stop

In accordance with Standing Order 2.5.1, I hereby give notice that I intend to move the following motion at the Howick Local Board meeting being held on 19 March 2018.

Recommendation

That the Howick Local Board:

a) request Auckland Transport to remove the bus stop from 43 Cook Street, Howick.

Background

1) The Howick Local Board was consulted by Auckland Transport on the proposed new bus stops; the Board made recommendations to Auckland Transport at workshops held on 18 and 25 October 2017.

2) It is noted that Auckland Transport sought the view of the Howick Local Board regarding the proposed bus stops.

3) Proposed bus stop at 43 Cook Street was opposed by the Howick Local Board based on safety concerns.

4) The Howick Local Board recommended that consultation be undertaken with the tenants of 43 Cook street.

5) Auckland Transport stated the installation of the bus stop at 43 Cook Street would be suspended until consultation and review of the site was complete, and findings presented to the Board for consultation.

6) The installation of the bus stop at 43 Cook Street was completed without Auckland Transport reporting back to the Howick Local Board.

7) It is noted that the Howick Local Board does not have the delegation to approve bus stops and therefore can only provide feedback to Auckland Transport.

8) The board has declared it’s safety concerns over the bus stop at 43 Moore Street and recommend that the bus stop be removed per the board’s previous advice to Auckland Transport.

9) Auckland Transport advised the board by email on 15 February that the Board’s concerns around the bus stop at 43 Cook Street, Howick, were noted, and another round of consultation was completed.

Signed:

Adele White - Local Board Member, Howick Local Board

Jim Donald – Local Board Member, Howick Local Board
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This item gives the Chairperson an opportunity to update the Board on any announcements and note the Chairperson’s written report.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Howick Local Board:
a) note the Chairperson’s verbal update and written report.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Nichola Painter - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Councillor Update

File No.: CP2018/00042

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. An opportunity for the Ward Councillor’s to update the Board on regional matters of interest.
2. A period of time (10 minutes) has been set aside for the Ward Councillor’s to update the Board on regional matters.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Howick Local Board:
   a) note the verbal and written report from Councillor Dick Quax and Councillor Sharon Stewart.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Nichola Painter - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approval of funding to address the recent effects of coastal erosion

File No.: CP2018/01344

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To allocate funding of $250,000 from the Howick Local Board’s 2017/2018 locally driven initiatives capex budget to repair and address coastal erosion at Cockle Bay.
2. To inform the Howick Local Board (the board) on suggested approaches to manage erosion at Mellons Bay, Eastern Beach, and Bucklands beach.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
3. A coastal storm, which coincided with higher than average ‘king’ tides on 4 and 5 January 2018, caused significant erosion at beaches in the Howick Local Board area. This report updates the board on council’s responses to the erosion at Cockle Bay, Mellons Bay, Eastern Beach, and Bucklands Beach specifically.
4. Erosion at both Cockle Bay and Mellons Bay has removed sections of esplanade reserve. This now means infrastructure immediately landward (road and car parking) is at risk of failure should these sites experience extreme storm events in the immediate future. The placement of geotextile fabric and a rock revetment\(^1\) at both sites, immediately post the storm, was considered necessary as emergency works. This is a temporary measure to reduce the risk of further erosion at both sites.
5. This report subsequently requests allocation of $250,000 (which has been estimated via consideration of preliminary design and unit rates) of local capital funding to better address the longer-term erosion issues at Cockle Bay. The recommended option is to fund necessary repairs or enhancements to the seawall, a transfer of sand to the western end of the beach to counter the effect of erosion, and to address wave reflection (waves bouncing off the seawall) from the seawall, via the placement of a rock revetment.
6. This report does not present detailed design options, the scope of which will be discussed with the board once funding is approved, and all investigations are complete.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendations
That the Howick Local Board:

a) allocate $250,000 from its locally driven initiatives capital budget to undertake necessary repairs or enhancements to the Cockle Bay seawall, a transfer of sand to the western end of the beach to counter the effect of erosion, and to address wave reflection from the seawall, via the placement of a rock revetment.

b) note that detailed design for the seawall at Cockle Bay will be presented to the board prior to implementation.

c) note that coastal erosion works at Mellons Bay will be funded through a regional community facilities budget.

---

\(^1\) Revetments are engineered sloping structures constructed by an arrangement of stacked rocks. They dissipate the energy of waves and prevent further erosion. The function of permeable revetments is to reduce the erosive power of the waves by dissipating wave energy.
Horopaki / Context

7. The board has recognised in its local board plan the need to continue investigating measures to manage coastal erosion in the Howick area. Advice to the board in December 2017 on where to prioritise management responses, recommended focusing on Eastern Beach, Little Bucklands Beach, Cockle Bay and Bucklands Beach.

8. Since that advice was provided, a significant storm has induced erosion at Cockle and Mellons Bays placing esplanade reserve and critical infrastructure at risk. Consequently, these beaches are now considered to be the highest priority for management.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

Cockle Bay

9. A temporary rock revetment was placed along Cockle Bay immediately following the January 2018 storm as a temporary measure for managing erosion. Because of the site exposure, a robust methodology was used to supervise and influence the emergency work construction. A recent engineering assessment by an external specialist consultant has concluded that the revetment, as constructed, is suitable as a medium-term management response, and a masonry seawall extension, as previously promoted, is not yet required. This confirmation has subsequently influenced the recommended approach to managing coastal erosion, in the longer-term at Cockle Bay, and enabled consideration of options to address wave reflection, noted as a key driver for the longer-term erosion as observed.

10. Instead of extending the masonry seawall by 35 metres as previously proposed, the preferred longer-term option to manage erosion at Cockle Bay is now to repair the seawall, transfer sand from the eastern end of the beach, and to attempt to address wave reflection. As part of this option, the rock revetment as constructed in January 2018 will remain in situ with sand transferred to the area immediately adjacent (under the provisions of an existing resource consent) to restore public access and improve beach amenity.

11. The initial option to repair and extend the seawall is also not supported by Cockle Bay residents. Residents expressed concern with respect to wave reflection from the seawall, driving sand migration and loss. The revised proposal (option one) has been developed to address the underlying issue of wave reflection off the current seawall and will reduce the risk to adjacent infrastructure by incorporating the rock revetment already in place into this revised proposal (option one).

12. Option one is the preferred option. Repair and extension of the seawall is option two. Options one and two are outlined in table one below. Table one also presents alternative options as raised by the community which are not recommended.

13. All options will be affected by severe storms, which will affect design life of sand placement, rock placement and other structures.

Table one: Options for mitigating coastal erosion at Cockle Bay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>Sand transfer, repair seawall and address wave reflection issues (Preferred option)</td>
<td>• Transfer sand adjacent to the recently constructed rock revetment to restore access and improve amenity - current resource consent for sand transfer&lt;br&gt;• Addresses the wave reflection issues – via placement of wave energy-absorbing material (rock with voids between) along the edge</td>
<td>• Additional rock revetment in front of existing seawall will require a resource consent&lt;br&gt;• Sand transfers may be required more regularly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option</td>
<td>Pros</td>
<td>Cons</td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pros**
- Incorporates a monitoring programme to assess effectiveness of each stage, prior to any additional physical works being considered.
- Public and resident support for this management approach.
- Reduces future erosion risk.
- Seawall extension can be completed under emergency works provisions of the Resource Management Act
- Current resource consent for sand transfer
- Holistic management response Will address wave reflection and restore access and amenity
- Very expensive extensive coastal structures that will occupy the intertidal area and may have adverse visual effects.
- Detailed consent process and associated public

**Cons**
- Does not address wave reflection issues
- Strong community opposition as they favour addressing wave reflection as the primary driver for observed erosion.
- Sand transfers may be required more regularly
- Will potentially transfer erosion point (end effects) further down the beach.
- Costs are ~40% higher than option two
- Occupies more beach area (as a sloping structure) compared to option two
- Does not address wave reflection issues
- Sand transfer potentially required more regularly
- Public opposition to extension of the seawall (both vertical or sloped)
- Will potentially transfer erosion point (end effects) further down the beach

**Cost**
- $250,000
- $350,000 to $400,000
- $1 million to $1.5 million
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Five</td>
<td>Grouted rock groyne with integrated public access, beach sand nourishment, extend southern outlet (training)</td>
<td>• As above for option four</td>
<td>• As above for option four</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mellons Bay**

14. The January 2018 storm also resulted in erosion at Mellons Bay, placing the carpark and supporting infrastructure, including a wastewater pipeline, at immediate risk. Due to the influence of the existing sea wall, the long-term approach to manage coastal erosion at this location is to extend the terraced timber wall further along the beach to the existing stormwater outfall. The area past the outfall will be reshaped to improve pedestrian access onto the beach. This work will be funded through a Community Facilities regional budget.

15. The new timber seawall will be slightly realigned from the current alignment of the foreshore, to work with local coastal processes. This will provide for an increased beach width (above the high tide line) in front of part of the newly constructed seawall. The majority of rock revetment placed on the beach in this location, as an emergency works response, will be removed from Mellons Bay to allow for the unimpeded construction of the timber seawall.

16. Future opportunities for improving the amenity at this beach include re-aligning the stormwater outfall and improving access down to the beach in this location, but it is suggested that this be considered once urgent works have been completed.

**Eastern Beach**

17. Engineers have been engaged to undertake a profile survey of this beach to identify whether sand transfers are required. A resource consent is in place for this work, and if required will be funded through community facilities as an operational response.

18. Long-term options for managing erosion at this location may include consideration of infrastructure relocation and road realignment at the northern end, but this will require consultation with Auckland Transport, with an update back to the local board once this has been completed.

**Bucklands Beach**

19. Consultation with Auckland Transport has been initiated with respect to long term management options for Bucklands Beach. This may include a requirement to complete regular sand transfers, similar to Eastern Beach, or extension of the seawall in locations where erosion is in close proximity to the road. An update to the board will be provided once this consultation has been completed.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

20. A workshop with the board was held in February 2018 to discuss the erosion at Cockle Bay and explain why extending the seawall was the then preferred option (this is now option two) for managing future erosion risk. While board members were cognisant of the need for urgent works at Cockle Bay, they expressed interest in seeing the detailed design options for the seawall prior to commencing physical works.

21. Since that workshop, Cockle Bay residents presented their concerns about the proposed seawall extension to the board at its February 2018 meeting. As a result, community concerns about possible wave reflection from the existing seawall have been incorporated.
into the preferred staged response option recommended for funding (option one). It is expected that detailed design options, once completed, will be presented to residents prior to any physical works implementation.

22. The local board plan notes that the board will continue to advocate for a regional approach, including regional funding, for coastal erosion. While regional funding for coastal erosion mitigation will be considered as part of the consultation on the Long-term Plan 2018-2028, the required work at Cockle Bay cannot wait for the governing body to decide on any proposed regional fund.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement
23. No consultation with Māori was undertaken for this report due to the urgent nature of these coastal erosion management works at Cockle Bay and Mellons Bay.

24. Mana whenua have indicated a high interest in coastal management during consultation on the regional Coastal Management Framework.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications
25. This report seeks locally driven initiatives funding of $250,000 for repairs to the Cockle Bay seawall, sand transfer and placement of rock revetment along the current seawall to address the issue of wave reflection. No regional funding is available for this work. This figure is based on recent further physical works, and input from council’s quantitative surveyor.

26. Enduring funding may be required for future asset maintenance and renewals.

27. Community facilities funding is available for required works at Mellons Bay.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
28. If this work is not undertaken, there is a significant risk to infrastructure, at both locations, from future erosion.

29. The sand transfer and temporary rock revetments may fail in large and extreme weather events occurring across multiple days. Staff will monitor any impacts of such events on the Cockle Bay project, and at Mellons Bay, prior to the timber seawall being extended.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps
30. Detailed investigation and design for Cockle Bay will be completed once funding has been approved. A supporting workshop in March 2018, is requested to discuss and agree the recommended design options.

31. Works on the timber seawall extension at Mellon’s Bay will commence in March 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Authorisers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr Jarrod Walker – Principal Coastal Scientist</td>
<td>Barry Potter - Director Infrastructure and Environmental Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Pickering - Area Manager Operational Management &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to: respond to requests on transport-related matters, provide an update on the current status of Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF), request approval for new LBTCF projects, provide a summary of consultation material sent to the Board, and provide transport related information on matters of specific application and interest to the Howick Local Board (HLB) and its community.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. A decision is not required this month but the report contains information about the following matters:
   - The wider ‘context’ or a summary of strategic projects or issues effecting the HLB’s Area
   - An update on Auckland Transport projects that are being delivered in Howick including a Local Board Transport Capital Fund update.
   - A summary of consultation for future Auckland Transport activities.
   - Discussion of associated risks and engagement issues.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Howick Local Board:

a) Notes or Receives Auckland Transport’s February 2017 update report.

Horopaki / Context
3. Auckland Transport continues to deliver a number of strategic projects in the HLB area. Three major regional projects are being delivered at this time. Each project is discussed below along with the Regional Land Transport Programme (RLTP).

New Network
4. The Eastern New Network is a public transport plan that simplifies bus routes across Howick. This simplification increases reliability and the number of buses servicing each individual route. The Eastern New Network is designed to let people use regular, reliable bus services either to get to their final destination or to access trains or ferries with which to complete their journeys.
5. On 10 December 2017, the New Network became operational. Delivery was completed and initial reports indicate that the transfer was successful.
6. The most significant issues related to modifications that were made to school bus routes. Schools started for the year in February and a number of schools reported scheduling issues but these have now been addressed.
7. Services are being monitored and reviewed closely so changes can be made if required. Generally Auckland Transport is able to give more feedback after about three months of operation.
AMETI

8. The project is a very large project that will build New Zealand’s first urban busway providing congestion free ‘bus only’ lanes for commuters running from Panmure Station to Botany. The aim is that people will be able to commute easily and quickly from Botany to Panmure and vice versa.

9. The HLB was briefed by the AMETI project team on 22 February 2018. The HLB heard that the project is moving quickly. The first stage of the project from Pakuranga to Panmure has completed ‘Notice of Requirement’ proceedings and a decision from the independent commissioners reviewing the project is expected in soon.

Demolition of properties started this month and contractors are being identified ready to start work later this year. A major communications programme has been planned and will start from about mid-April 2018 and will tell the community about the project and the effects it is likely to have on people during construction. The second and third stages, bus lanes from Pakuranga to Botany and a flyover that will remove the Reeve Road / Ti Rakau Drive intersection, are also progressing. The project team has been speaking to affected properties owners and will start more public discussions soon.

Ti Rakau Drive Buslanes

10. This year buslanes are being put on Ti Rakau Drive between Botany and Pakuranga. A number of intersections are also being modified to improve traffic flow. (See Fig 1) Overall the aim is to improve the reliability of services on this stretch of road.

11. It will not remove lanes of traffic (i.e. there will still be two lanes of normal traffic in each direction) but will provide a clear, congestion-free lane for buses. A small amount of parking will be lost and Auckland Transport has been contacting the people who will be effected.

Figure 1 - Schematic of the planned Ti Rakau Road Bus Lanes

RLTP

12. The RLTP is a plan for how transport delivery agencies (Auckland Transport, New Zealand Transport Agency, Kiwi Rail) intend to respond to growth and other challenges facing Auckland over the next 10 years. It includes a 10-year prioritised delivery programme of transport services and activities. Essentially, it is a budget for Auckland’s transport expenditure.
13. Auckland Transport prepares the draft RLTP jointly with the New Zealand Transport Agency and Kiwi Rail. It is a statutory plan describing how these agencies intend to respond to growth and other challenges facing Auckland over the next ten years. It will include a ten-year, prioritised, delivery programme of transport services and activities.

14. The original intention was to consult on the plan alongside Auckland Council’s Long Term Plan. However, the consultation has been “decoupled” and moved into April to ensure it is informed by, and strongly aligned with, the Government’s soon to be released Policy Statement on Transport and a refreshed Auckland Transport Alignment Project.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

15. A number of transport related issues are discussed in this part of the report. Analysis and advice about Local Board Transport Capital Fund Projects is followed by discussion of the other local Auckland Transport projects.

Local Board Transport Capital Fund

16. The Local Board Transport Capital Fund is a capital budget provided to all Local Boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local Boards can use this fund to deliver projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme. The limitations being that the project must:
   - Be safe.
   - Not impede network efficiency.
   - Be in the road corridor. Although projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome.

17. HLB’s funding in this term is approx. $2.9 million.

18. On 15 February 2018, Auckland Transport reviewed the HLB’s current work programme for its Local Board Transport Capital Fund. Auckland Transport staffed provided information, updates about projects, and provided ‘quality advice’ to the HLB about delivery of projects.

19. Auckland Transport encourages all Local Board’s to identify potential projects as early as possible to ensure delivery within the current electoral term. This is because the fund is intended to allow local boards to build transport focused local improvements in their areas. Ideally, projects would be completed during the term of the local board that initiated them. However, for those boards who have yet to commit funding to projects this is increasingly unlikely. Projects take time to investigate, design and build and are allocated to project managers and contractors on a first come, first served basis. Local boards that delay allocating their Local Board Transport Capital Fund may not see their projects built during this term.

20. The current projects that the HLB are working on are summarised in the table below:

Table 1: Local Board Transport Capital Fund Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
<th>Problem or Opportunity Being Addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Half Moon Bay Ferry</td>
<td></td>
<td>Providing better facilities for ferry passengers at Half Moon Bay. Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pier and Bus</td>
<td></td>
<td>were assigned in the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Turnaround</strong></td>
<td>previous electoral term.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Buckland's Beach Walkway Improvements** | Providing safer pedestrian facilities at Bucklands Beach. The following **Rough Order of Costs** were provided in October 2017:  
  - Improved pedestrian facilities and one-way options on The Parade – **Approx. $80,000**  
  - A roundabout at the intersection of Buckland Beach and Whitcombe Roads – **Approx. $450,000** |
| **Howick Village Centre Plan** | Supporting improvements to Howick Village that will make it nicer, safer and more pedestrian friendly. The following **Rough Order of Costs** were provided in February 2018:  
  - Improved pedestrian linkages between the Village and Stockade Hill. **Approx. $320,000**  
  - Improve footpaths on Uxbridge and Selwyn Roads leading people to Howick Beach. **Approx. $371-920,000**  
  - Make Picton Street more pedestrian friendly **Approx. $1.5 – 2.7 million.** |
| **Cascades Walkway** | Building a footpath on Cascade Road that will provide access under the bridge on the western edge of the golf course to the walking track that follows the stream.  
  The Rough Order of Cost is **$298,000.** |

**Notes:** A ‘traffic light’ code is used to summarize the status of projects. The colours are used as follows:

- **Green** – Project progressing ‘on time’ and on budget.
- **Orange** – An issue has been identified that may need to be resolved.
- **Red** - An major issue has been identified that needs to be resolved
- **Black** – The project has been investigated and the HLB has decided not to pursue it at this time.

**Detailed Project Progress Report**

**Half Moon Bay Ferry Terminal**

The pier is complete and was opened on 7 April 2017.
The next step is building a bus turn around area. This part of the project is now underway and progressing quickly. The consent for the bus turnaround is issued and tender negotiations are underway. The planned completion date is the end of June 2018.

**Bucklands Beach Update**

Auckland Transport is currently conducting detailed design work for two projects in Bucklands Beach:

- Improved pedestrian facilities and one-way options on The Parade
- A roundabout at the intersection of Buckland Beach and Whitcombe Roads

A Rough Order of Cost for each of these projects was provided in August 2017 and design work continues. The

- Improved pedestrian facilities and one-way options on The Parade – **Approx. $80,000**
- A roundabout at the intersection of Buckland Beach and Whitcombe Roads – **Approx. $450,000**

Since the last report, these projects have been workshopped with the HLB.

It was reported to the HLB that an independent contractor has reviewed building a roundabout at the intersection of Buckland’s Beach and Whitcombe Road and concluded that this is not practical.

Advice provided is that converting ‘The Parade’ to a one-way system without a roundabout at the intersection of Buckland’s Beach and Whitcombe Road is not practical.

The HLB has disputed the report and a meeting is being organized with the contractor to discuss the report.

The project manager is still working on detailed costings for improving pedestrian facilities on ‘The Parade’.
Howick Village Centre Plan

Rough Orders of Cost were requested for four projects to support the Howick Village Centre Plan in October 2017. Three of the four projects have been provided:

- Improving the pedestrian linkages between Howick Village and Stockade Hill: Approx. $320,000
- Footpath Upgrade on Uxbridge and Selwyn Road: Approx. $370-920,000 depending on specifications
- Picton Street Improvements: Approx. $1.5-2.7 million depending on options.

Auckland Transport has investigated the reviewed HLB’s request and provided ‘Rough Orders of Cost’. Auckland Transport suggested that by initiating ‘detailed design’ the HLB would provide the resourcing to run a comprehensive and inclusive planning process involving Council, local shop owners and other stakeholders. The result of the process would be to move the Howick Village Plan from concept to a deliverable, detailed plan.

The HLB did not support authorizing Auckland Transport to initiate ‘detailed design’.

Cascades Walkway

The design work for the Cascades Walkway project was workshopped with the HLB and design options that have been investigated were reported and discussed.

The Howick Local Board continue to support this project and the project manager is continuing with the design process.

Figure 2: Preferred Route of Cascades Walkway.

The Rough Order of Cost has been updated to $298,000 and the cost of design work to date is $7,221.25.
Chapel Road

21. Building new bridge near Ormiston and straightening Chapel Road is a safety project that will look at the area between Stancombe Road and Ormiston Road. The overall aim being to bring it to an ‘urban’ standard for an arterial road. This is required because the road used to be a rural road and as development has occurred, it now needs to be upgraded.

22. Geo-technical investigation is still going on and the implications of this information are that original plans for the area are being reassessed and that this project will be under scrutiny during the RLTP process.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

23. Local impacts and local board views have been included in ‘Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice’. Further information about consultations and information Auckland Transport decision–making is included below.

Consultations

24. Auckland Transport provides the HLB with the opportunity to comment on transport projects being delivered in this Local Board Area.

25. Traffic Control Committee (TCC) decisions from February 2018 are included in the table below.

Table 2: Traffic Control Committee Decisions December 2017 and January 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caldwells Road, Griggs Road</td>
<td>Whitford</td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

26. In this reporting period one project has required iwi liaison. The Cascades Walkway Project.

27. Auckland Transport has identified and contacted relevant iwi and will involve iwi in the design process if the HLB decides to continue with this project.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

28. With regards to transport the HLB’s only budget implications are related to the Local Board Transport Capital Fund. The current financial status of the HLB’s Local Transport Capital Fund is recorded below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Howick Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Funds Available in current political term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Budget left</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29. The table above includes money from the previous electoral term that is ‘still in the system’ but is committed to finishing Half Moon Bay. The current electoral term’s allocation is approx. $2.9 million. Approx. $520,000 is currently held against possible projects (Cascades Walkway, Bucklands Beach / Whitmore Road Roundabout etc). This leaves the HLB with approx. $2,381,871 that is able to be allocated to projects.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
30. No significant risks have been identified.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps
31. Auckland Transport provides the HLB with the opportunity to comment on transport projects being delivered in this Local Board Area.
32. In this reporting period, two were put forward for comment by the HLB and details are included in Attachment A.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Transport projects being delivered in the Local Board Area</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Ben Stallworthy – Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon - Elected Member Relationship Team Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Summary of Consultation Information Sent to the Howick Local Board in February and early March 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of Proposal</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern New Network Bus Layover at Botany Te Irirangi Drive</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Map" /></td>
<td>Circulated to Transport Representatives 5 March 2018 Response received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elim School</td>
<td>Road works associated with a ‘Safety Around Schools’ project. Re-circulated to double check that the Members had seen the plans and were familiar with them.</td>
<td>Circulated to Transport Representatives 5 March 2018 Response received</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 66 Flatbush Road Traffic Controls | The parking and traffic controls to be resolved include the following:  
- Bus Stop on Brookview Drive.  
- No Stopping At All Times Parking Restrictions.  
- Traffic Lanes.  
- Give Way Controls.  
- Flush Medians. | Circulated to Transport Representatives 8 March 2018 |
| Reeves Road Pedestrian Crossing (near the intersections of Reeves Road and Grassways Ave) | ![Map](image2.png) | Circulated to Transport Representatives 8 March 2018 |
Land owner approval to replace signage at Lloyd Elsmore Park, Howick

File No.: CP2018/02163

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To request the Howick Local Board grant land owner approval for the application to replace existing signage within Lloyd Elsmore Park with new designs.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The applicant, Howick and Districts Historical Society (Inc.), is seeking land owner approval to replace their existing signage within Lloyd Elsmore Park with new designs. The signs are located at multiple locations within Lloyd Elsmore Park as marked by the purple circles in figure 1 of Attachment A.
3. The new signs are proposed to be all one size and design, which will mean that there will be an increase in size from the existing signage at two locations.
4. The signage does not need resource consent and the Auckland Council Signage Bylaw 2015 does not apply.
5. Council’s parks and places specialist and main maintenance delivery co-ordinator have been consulted and did not raise any concerns with the proposal.
6. The signage will only have a minor effect on the reserve as the signs are in the same locations as previously and will not affect access to the reserve.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Howick Local Board:

a) grant land owner approval to Howick and Districts Historical Society (Inc.) to replace their signage within Lloyd Elsmore Park.

Horopaki / Context
7. The applicant, Howick and Districts Historical Society (Inc.), is seeking land owner approval to replace their existing signage within Lloyd Elsmore Park with new designs. The signs are located at five different locations within Lloyd Elsmore Park as marked by the purple circles in Attachment A.
8. The applicant wants to replace its current entrance signage as it is considered old and the information on the signs is out of date. The signs will follow the format shown in Attachment A but the applicant will finalise the wording and design with their management, consultant and the sign company prior to installation. The applicant has decided not to include any picture, such as settlers on the signs, as they need the sign to be simple and clean.
9. Each sign will be made from 4 millimetre aluminium and will be screwed to wooden posts. All signs will be graffiti guarded and, to improve maintenance, will be installed on concrete foundation strips. They will include provision for promoting individual events on each sign.
10. All signs are proposed to be the same dimensions as the current Pakuranga Highway sign which is 3000 millimetres high x 2410 millimetres wide. This will mean the signs on Aviemore Drive and Cascades Road will increase in size (Cascades Road is currently 2800
millimetres high x 1750 millimetres wide and Aviemore Drive 2750 millimetres high x 1500 millimetres wide).

11. The applicants reasoning for having the signage all the same size is:
   - consistency of image will reinforce the impact for marketing purposes
   - one off design, installation and production costs will be minimised
   - in the event of damage or maintenance required, the signs can be interchangeable or they can keep a spare in stock.

12. The applicants initial proposal for the replacement signage included the addition of fencible soldiers in the design. The image of a fencible soldier was included to reflect the local history of the area. After consultation with Ngāi Tai Ki Tāmaki the applicant decided not to include the fencible soldier at this stage. Following the consultation the applicant is planning to collaborate with Ngāi Tai Ki Tāmaki to develop the villages museum further to reflect both the early settlers life and Māori life in pre and post European settlement. Once this collaboration is completed they will look to revisit the use of the marketing icons to represent both Māori and early European settlers life in the fencible period.

13. Each parcel of land that the signs are located on has a different land classification:
   - The first parcel adjacent to Pakuranga Road is held in fee simple by Auckland Council and subject to the Local Government Act 2002.
   - The second parcel adjacent to Cascades Road is held in fee simple by Auckland Council as a classified recreation reserve.
   - The third parcel is inside the park and closest to the buildings of the historical village and is held in fee simple by Auckland Council subject to the Reserves Act 1977 as a classified local purpose (historical village) reserve.
   - The fourth parcel adjacent to Aviemore Drive is held in fee simple by Auckland Council subject to the Reserves Act 1977. It has not been classified but is held for the purposes of a recreation reserve.

14. Section 94(1)(k) of the Reserves Act requires that written approval is provided by the administering body for signs on reserves administered by the Reserves Act.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

15. It is considered that the proposed signage will only cause a minor effect on the use of the park. The signs will be in the same locations as they are currently and there will be no change to the access or use of the reserve. The inclusion of concrete mowing strips will make it easier for Councils contractors to maintain. The applicant will also be responsible for all maintenance and repairs of the signage.

16. The applicant has consulted with the councils Resource Consents Department who have confirmed the signage does not need resource consent and the Auckland Council’s Signage Bylaw 2015 does not apply.

17. Council’s parks and places specialist and maintenance delivery co-ordinator have been consulted and did not raise any concerns with the proposal.

18. Given the above, the applicant’s proposal is considered to be acceptable to the Community Facilities Department.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

19. The Howick Local Board raised no objections to the proposal during a workshop on 8 February 2018 where this proposal was presented to them.
20. Howick Local Board Plan 2017 outcome six “A prosperous local economy” is met, in part, by providing the Historical Village with a way to promote itself which will attract tourism to the area.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

21. Following consultation with Ngāi Tai Ki Tāmaki, the applicant decided not to include the image of the fencible soldier in the design. The applicant is planning to collaborate with Ngāi Tai Ki Tāmaki to develop the museum to reflect the life of both Māori and early settlers during the fencible period.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

22. Land owner approval will not have any financial implications for the Howick Local Board. The applicant had applied to the Howick Local Board for a grant to complete funding of the signage installation when it included the marketing figures. With the simplification of the sign installation the cost of the installation will be covered within an existing grant provided by the Mount Wellington Trust.

**Ngā ruru tūpono / Risks**

23. The main risk to council from the installation of signs is that it may encourage other users of the park to request signage within the park. The introduction of further signs may detract from the visual amenity of the reserve. Further risks to council can be managed by the conditions of the land owner approval letter such as health and safety during installation, reinstatement of any damage to the reserve and the applicant being responsible for continued maintenance.

24. The signs will not cause a greater traffic safety risk as they are in the same locations and the increase in size of the signs on Aviemore Drive and Cascades Road will not obstruct the line of sight of any corner, bend or intersection.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

25. If the Howick Local Board approves this application, Auckland Council staff will issue a land owner approval letter to the applicant with relevant conditions.

26. If the application is declined, the applicant will be made aware of the decision and will not be able to replace the signage.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Signage location and proposed design</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā kaihaina / Signatories**
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<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Glenn Riddell - Land Use Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Rod Sheridan - General Manager Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Land owner approval to replace signage at Lloyd Elsmore Park, Howick

Figure 1: Design format for all signs (final wording will change)
Figure 2: GIS screenshot of Lloyd Elsmere Park with the signage locations indicated by the purple circles.

Figure 3. The signs will be installed with concrete mowing strips.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Signage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location 1. Corner of Pakuranga Road and Bells Road. This proposed sign location is described as Pts Allot 6 Sec 3 SFN Howick. This is not considered reserve land and is held in fee simple by Auckland Council and subject to the Local Government Act 2002.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location 2. Corner of Cascades Road and Lady Marie Drive. This proposed sign location is described as Part Allot 420 Pakuranga Psh. This parcel is held in fee simple by Auckland Council as a classified recreation reserve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location 3. Aviemore Drive (adjacent to 103 Aviemore Drive). This proposed sign location is described as Lot 117 DP 94609. This parcel is held in fee simple by Auckland Council subject to the Reserves Act 1977. It has not been classified but is held for the purposes of a recreation reserve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location 4. Entrance to the Howick Historic Village (On Bells Road, within Lloyd Elsmore Park)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location 5. Roundabout on Bells Road/Lady Marie Drive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed sign locations 4 are 5 described as Pt Allot 15 Sec 3 SFN Howick. This parcel is held in fee simple by Auckland Council subject to the Reserves Act 1977. It has not been classified but is held for the purposes of a recreation reserve.
New Road Name Approval for the residential subdivision by Jingyao International Limited at 170 Chapel Road, Flat Bush

File No.: CP2018/02338

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Howick Local Board, for new road names for new lanes created by way of subdivision at 170 Chapel Road, Flat Bush.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming for the Auckland Council.
3. Following assessment against the road naming criteria, the road names ‘Te Whitinga Lane,’ ‘Manahau Lane,’ (applicant’s preferred road names) ‘Koropiko Lane’ and ‘Totara Haeata Lane’ were determined to meet the road naming guidelines criteria.
4. Local iwi groups were consulted and responses were received from Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki, Ngaati Te Ata and Ngati Paoa. Ngati Paoa and Ngaati Te Ata deferred interest to the lead iwi mana whenua, in this case Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki. Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki recommended three names which have been adopted by the applicant for approval. No objections were received.
5. The names ‘Te Whitinga Lane’ and ‘Manahau Lane,’ proposed by the applicant and the alternative names ‘Totara Haeata Lane’ and ‘Koropiko Lane’ are considered for approval by the Howick Local Board.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Howick Local Board, pursuant to section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974, considers for approval, the road names ‘Te Whitinga Lane’ and ‘Manahau Lane’ proposed by the applicant, and the names ‘Koropiko Lane’ and ‘Totara Haeata Lane’ for the new lanes created by way of subdivision at 170 Chapel Road, Flat Bush as they meet the road naming criteria.

Horopaki / Context
6. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road names for the Local Board’s approval.
8. The residential subdivision is located to the south of Ormiston Road and directly to the west of Chapel Road. The subdivision will be accessed by a new private lane off Triumph Road, with three smaller lanes branching off it. The applicant seeks to name the four lanes.
The applicant has proposed the following names for consideration for the roads created as part of the development at 170 Chapel Road, Flat Bush.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name (Lane 1)</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Songbird Lane</td>
<td>Self-explanatory; a songbird. The applicant gave no reasoning for this recommendation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Koropiko Lane</td>
<td>To bow, kneel, bend or stoop. Recommended by Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Tiuka Lane</td>
<td>Duke- a monarch or male ruler.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name (Lane 2)</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Ranea Lane</td>
<td>Meaning to be abundant or in full supply. Recommended by Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Totara Haeata Lane</td>
<td>“Kings”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Sunrise Lane</td>
<td>Self-explanatory. The applicant gave no reasoning for this recommendation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name (Lane 3)</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Te Whitinga Lane</td>
<td>“The crossing”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Duke Lane</td>
<td>A monarch. Given that some existing road names include ‘Triumph Road,’ ‘Chapel Road’ and ‘Fusion Road,’ the applicant suggested this and considered it would be in keeping with the theme of road names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Tui Lane</td>
<td>Native bird.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name (Lane 4)</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Manahau Lane</td>
<td>To be cheerful, elated, exultant, triumphant or delight. Recommended by Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Petrel Lane</td>
<td>A seabird.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Waiata Lane</td>
<td>“Song”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure One: Layout of new roads.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice**

9. The applicant’s proposed road names have been assessed against the criteria set out in the Auckland Council road naming guidelines;

10. A number of the proposed names are not considered to meet the assessment criteria of the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines, as discussed below.

11. The relevant iwi groups were consulted and responses were received from Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki, Ngaati Te Ata and Ngati Paoa. Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki did not object to the proposed names, but offered three additional names which have been adopted by the applicant. Ngaati Te Ata also had no objections to the proposed names but deferred interest to Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki. Ngati Paoa also deferred interest to other mana whenua with lead cultural interest.

12. The proposed names were sent to LINZ and NZ Post for review, who advised that the following names are not acceptable to use:

- ‘Tui Lane,’ ‘Duke Lane,’ ‘Sunrise Lane,’ ‘Waiata Lane,’ ‘Regency Lane’ and ‘Kings Lane,’ as these names are already in use within the Auckland region; many within close proximity to the subject site.

- ‘Petrel Lane’ and ‘Songbird Lane,’ as there is already a Petrel Place and Songbird Close in the Auckland Region.*
‘Tiuka Lane’ and ‘Ranea Lane,’ as Tiaka Place is within 6km of this location, and Ranier Street is within 11km; both names were accepted by NZ Post, however LINZ advised that these names were not acceptable due to the location, proximity, spelling and similar pronunciation to existing road names.

*It is noted that Petrel Place is in Massey and Songbird Close is in Sandspit, which are areas both well-removed from the Flat Bush area.

The names above are therefore not considered to meet the road naming criteria, as one criterion states that “Where there is an existing similar/same road name within Auckland Council’s boundary or an adjoining territorial authority’s boundary, a new road name must not be:

a) The same as an existing road name
b) Similar in spelling to an existing road name; or
c) Similar in sound to an existing road name.

Both LINZ and NZ Post confirmed that ‘Te Whitinga Lane,’ ‘Manahau Lane,’ ‘Koropiko Lane’ and ‘Totara Haeata Lane’ are acceptable and are considered to meet the road naming criteria.

13. As the applicant’s preferred names (‘Te Whitinga Lane’ and ‘Manahau Lane’) meet the criteria, they are recommended for consideration for approval, while noting that the alternative names (‘Koropiko Lane’ and ‘Totara Haeata Lane’) are also appropriate as they comply with the criteria of the road naming guidelines.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

14. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate impact on the community.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

15. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome, “A Maori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Maori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Maori identity.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

16. The cost of processing the approval of the proposed new road name and any installation of road name signage is recoverable in accordance with Council’s Administrative Charges.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

17. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board for this report is not considered to have any legal or legislative implications.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

18. The Resource Consenting Team is involved in ensuring that appropriate road name signage will be installed accordingly once an approval is obtained for the new road name.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.
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New Road Name Approval for the residential subdivision by Xinhao Developments Limited at 242 Flat Bush School Road, Flat Bush.

File No.: CP2018/02342

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Howick Local Board, for new road names for two new roads created by way of subdivision at 242 Flat Bush School Road, Flat Bush, as well as name extensions to approved road names.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming for the Auckland Council.

3. Following assessment against the road naming criteria, the road names ‘Obelus Road’ and ‘Solidus Lane’ (applicant’s preferred road names), ‘Ohaoha Road’ and ‘Kaitaki Lane’ were determined to meet the road naming guideline criteria.

4. Local iwi groups were consulted and responses were received from Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki, Ngaati Tamaoho and Ngaati Te Ata. Ngaati Te Ata advised that they objected to the first preference names offered but did not object to the names in Te Reo Maori. On the other hand, Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki advised that they would prefer that Maori names were not used as they considered that little consultation had been undertaken with them. No alternative road names were offered. Ngaati Tamaoho advised that they would support names agreed to by Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki.

5. The names ‘Obelus Road’ and ‘Solidus Lane’ proposed by the applicant and the names ‘Ohaoha Road’ and ‘Kaitaki Lane’ are considered for approval by the Local Board.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
a) That the Howick Local Board, pursuant to section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974, considers for approval, the road names ‘Obelus Road’ and ‘Solidus Lane,’ proposed by the applicant, for the new roads created by way of subdivision at 242 Flat Bush School Road, Flat Bush while noting that ‘Ohaoha Road’ and ‘Kaitaki Lane’ also meet the road naming criteria.

b) That the road names ‘Rashni Road,’ ‘Riwai Street’ and ‘Piringa Street’ be applied to the extensions of the relevant roads through 242 Flat Bush School Road (refer to resolution number HW/2018/10 for previous road name approval).

Horopaki / Context
6. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allowed that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name for the Local Board’s approval.

8. The residential subdivision will be serviced by a new loop road off Flat Bush School Road with a number of smaller roads branching off it. The applicant seeks to name one road and one accessway of the development, and to name extensions of three existing roads.

The applicant has proposed the following names for consideration for the roads created as part of the development at 242 Flat Bush School Road, Flat Bush.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name (Road 5)</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Obelus Road</td>
<td>A division sign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Paripari Road</td>
<td>Means &quot;steep&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Ohaoha Road</td>
<td>To be generous</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name (Accessway 1)</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Solidus Lane</td>
<td>A slash (/)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Kaitaki Lane</td>
<td>Leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Manaaki Lane</td>
<td>To support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Number</th>
<th>Name of extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road 1</td>
<td>Rashni Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 2</td>
<td>Riwai Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road 3</td>
<td>Piringa Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure One: Location and layout of new roads (shaded area is 218 Flat Bush School Road with previously approved road names).
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

9. The applicant’s proposed road names have been assessed against the criteria set out in the Auckland Council road naming guidelines;

10. All proposed road names are considered to meet the assessment criteria of the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines, with the exception of ‘Paripari Road’ and ‘Manaaki Lane’ as these names are already in use.

11. The proposed suffixes ‘Road’ and ‘Lane’ are considered appropriate as they accurately describe the characteristics of the roads.

12. As the applicant’s preferred names (‘Obelus Road’ and ‘Solidus Lane’) meet the criteria, they are recommended for consideration for approval while noting that the alternative names (‘Ohaoha Road’ and ‘Kaitaki Lane’) are also appropriate as they comply with all the criteria of the road naming guidelines.

13. The relevant iwi groups were consulted and responses were received from Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki, Ngaati Te Ata and Ngaati Tamaoho. Ngaati Te Ata advised that they objected to the applicant’s first preference names but supported the Te Reo Maori names. However, Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki did not support the use of Te Reo Maori names as they considered that little consultation had been undertaken with them, however no alternative road names were offered. Ngaati Tamaoho advised that they would support names agreed to by Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki.

Given the conflicting responses from iwi, and lack of alternative names offered by iwi, the applicant’s proposed names are considered to be acceptable.

14. The proposed names were sent to NZ Post for feedback, whose Address Specialist advised that the names ‘Paripari’ and ‘Manaaki’ are already in use, but confirmed that the remaining names were acceptable.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

15. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate impact on the community.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

16. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome, “A Maori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Maori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Maori identity.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

17. The cost of processing the approval of the proposed new road name and any installation of road name signage is recoverable in accordance with Council’s Administrative Charges.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

18. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board for this report is not considered to have any legal or legislative implications.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

19. The Resource Consenting Team is involved in ensuring that appropriate road name signage will be installed accordingly once an approval is obtained for the new road name.
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New Road Name Approval for the residential subdivision by 292 Flat Bush Limited (Li Hua Wu) at 292 Flat Bush School Road, Flat Bush.

File No.: CP2018/02344

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Howick Local Board, for new road names for two new roads created by way of subdivision at 292 Flat Bush School Road, Flat Bush.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming for the Auckland Council.

3. Following assessment against the road naming criteria, the road names ‘Kapara Street’ and ‘Hanjun Street’ (applicant’s preferred road names), ‘White Pine Street,’ ‘Hawai Street’, ‘Stream Street,’ ‘Koawa Street,’ ‘Manga Street’ and ‘Morepork Street’ were determined to meet the road naming guideline criteria.

4. Local iwi groups were consulted and responses were received from Ngati Te Ata and Ngati Paoa. Ngati Paoa deferred interest to other mana whenua with a lead cultural interest. Ngati Te Ata supported the proposed Maori names, and offered three alternatives which have not been adopted by the applicant.

5. The names ‘Kapara Street’ and ‘Hanjun Street’ proposed by the applicant and the names ‘White Pine Street,’ ‘Hawai Street’, ‘Stream Street,’ ‘Koawa Street,’ ‘Manga Street’ and ‘Morepork Street,’ are considered for approval by the Local Board.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Howick Local Board, pursuant to section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974, considers for approval, the road names ‘Kapara Street’ and ‘Hanjun Street’, proposed by the Applicant, for the new roads created by way of subdivision at 292 Flat Bush School Road, while noting that ‘White Pine Street,’ ‘Hawai Street’, ‘Stream Street,’ ‘Koawa Street,’ ‘Manga Street’ and ‘Morepork Street,’ also meet the road naming criteria.

Horopaki / Context
6. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allowed that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name for the Local Board’s approval.

7. The residential subdivision is located to the north of the eastern end of Flat Bush School Road.

The Applicant has proposed the following names for consideration for the roads created as part of the development at 292 Flat Bush School Road, Flat Bush.
## New Road Name Approval for the residential subdivision by 292 Flat Bush Limited (Li Hua Wu) at 292 Flat Bush School Road, Flat Bush.

### Item 21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Kapara Street</td>
<td>Kapara is a hard-gum wood which usually belongs to the Rimu and kahikatea trees. These trees can be found in Murphy’s Bush, which is near the subdivision. The name will therefore promote the Maori and the environmental aspect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>White Pine Street</td>
<td>Murphys Bush nearby is comprised of mature white pine trees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Hawai Street</td>
<td>Means ‘watercourse.’ There are signs that a watercourse was located in the subdivision, and there are watercourses nearby in Murphys Bush.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Alternative</td>
<td>Stream Street</td>
<td>As above.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Hanjun Street</td>
<td>Suggested by the developer. A simple name which will provide diversity to the road names in Auckland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Koawa Street</td>
<td>Also a Maori name for ‘watercourse.’ As above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Manga Street</td>
<td>A Maori name for a branch of a river and a watercourse, as above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Alternative</td>
<td>Morepork Street</td>
<td>A spotted bird native to New Zealand, which can be found in Murphys Bush.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New Road Name Approval for the residential subdivision by 292 Flat Bush Limited (Li Hua Wu) at 292 Flat Bush School Road, Flat Bush.

Figure One: Layout of new roads.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice


9. The applicant's proposed road names have been assessed against the criteria set out in the Auckland Council road naming guidelines, and all names are considered to meet the assessment criteria.

10. The proposed suffix of ‘Street’ is considered to be acceptable as it accurately describes the nature of the new roads.

11. As the applicant’s preferred names (‘Kapara Street’ and ‘Hanjun Street’) meet the criteria, they are recommended for consideration for approval while noting that the alternative names (‘White Pine Street,’ ‘Hawai Street’, ‘Stream Street,’ ‘Koawa Street,’ ‘Manga Street’ and ‘Morepork Street’) are also appropriate as they comply with all the criteria of the road naming guidelines.

12. The proposed road names were sent to all relevant iwi groups for consideration and responses were received from Ngati Te Ata and Ngati Paoa. Ngati Paoa deferred interest to other mana whenua with a lead cultural interest. Ngati Te Ata supported the proposed Maori names, and offered three alternatives which have not been adopted by the applicant.

13. Consultation was undertaken with LINZ and NZ Post; both confirmed that the proposed road names are acceptable for use.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

14. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate impact on the community.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

15. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome, “A Maori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Maori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Maori identity.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

16. The cost of processing the approval of the proposed new road name and any installation of road name signage is recoverable in accordance with Council’s Administrative Charges.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

17. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board for this report is not considered to have any legal or legislative implications.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

18. The Resource Consenting Team is involved in ensuring that appropriate road name signage will be installed accordingly once an approval is obtained for the new road name.
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Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Howick Local Board to revoke the previously approved road name ‘Kilcadden Drive’ for the road created by way of subdivision at 245, 265 & 289 Flat Bush School Road and 16 McQuoids Road, Flat Bush, and rename it with a more appropriate name.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming for the Auckland Council.

3. The name ‘Kilcadden Drive’ was approved at the local board meeting on 12th September 2016 (resolution number: HW/2016/320) and applied to the extension of ‘Kilcadden Road’ (approved by the local board on 15th July 2016, resolution number: HW/2016/276). As the suffixes do not match, and house numbering begins at the eastern end of Kilcadden Road, increasing westward (i.e. away from Kilcadden Drive), rather than renumbering the existing sites, it would be more practical to rename Kilcadden Drive altogether.

4. Following assessment against the road naming criteria, the road names ‘Prometheus Road,’ ‘Eros Road’ and ‘Thallo Road’ were determined to meet the road naming guideline criteria.

5. The relevant iwi groups were previously consulted in the initial road naming process, and Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki confirmed they did not object to the proposed names. The name ‘Prometheus Road’ proposed by the applicant and the alternatives names ‘Eros Road’ and ‘Thallo Road’ are therefore recommended to the local board to rename the previously approved ‘Kilcadden Drive’.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the:

a) Howick Local Board, pursuant to section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974, partially rescinds resolution number HW/2016/320 as it applies to the road name ‘Kilcadden Drive,’ whilst keeping the approved names ‘Whakatupu Road,’ ‘Hera Street,’ ‘Demeter Street,’ ‘Matiki Road,’ ‘Hermes Road,’ ‘Donnybrook Road,’ ‘Artemis Way’ and ‘Routhmore Street.’

b) Howick Local Board considers for approval, the name ‘Prometheus Road’ to replace ‘Kilcadden Drive’.
Horopaki / Context

6. The applicant has proposed the following names for consideration for the road created as part of the development at 245, 265 and 289 Flat Bush School Road and 16 McQuoids Road, Flat Bush, currently named ‘Kilcadden Drive.’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Proposed Road Name</th>
<th>New Road Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Prometheus Road</td>
<td>Prometheus</td>
<td>Titan of forethought and crafty counsel, and creator of mankind.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Eros Road</td>
<td>Eros</td>
<td>The god of love and attraction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Thallo Road</td>
<td>Thallo Road</td>
<td>Greek goddess of spring buds and green shoots.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure one: Approved road names for the residential subdivision at 23 and 45 McQuoids Road and 64 Thomas Road, Flat Bush.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

7. The applicant’s proposed road names have been assessed against the criteria set out in the Auckland Council road naming guidelines;

8. The new proposed road names are considered to meet the assessment criteria of the Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines.

9. The proposed suffix of ‘Road’ is considered appropriate as it accurately describes the characteristics of the road.

10. As the applicant’s preferred road name ‘Prometheus Road’ meets the criteria, it is recommended for approval, while noting that the alternative road names (‘Eros Road’ and ‘Thallo Road’) are also appropriate as they comply with the criteria of the road naming guidelines.
11. The proposed names were sent to NZ Post for feedback as part of the initial road naming proposal, and their Address Specialist advised that the names were acceptable.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views
12. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate impact on the community.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement
13. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board on this report is linked to the Auckland Plan Outcome, “A Maori identity that is Auckland’s point of difference in the world”. The use of Maori names for roads, buildings and other public places is an opportunity to publicly demonstrate Maori identity.
14. The proposed road names were previously sent to the relevant iwi groups for the original road naming consultation process, and a response was received from Ngai Tai Ki Tamaki confirming they had no objection to the names.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications
15. The cost of processing the approval of the proposed new road name and any installation of road name signage is recoverable in accordance with Council’s Administrative Charges.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
16. The decision sought from the Howick Local Board for this report is not considered to have any legal or legislative implications.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps
17. The Resource Consenting Team is involved in ensuring that appropriate road name signage will be installed accordingly once an approval is obtained for the new road name.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
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ATEED six-monthly report to the Howick Local Board

File No.: CP2018/00586

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To provide the six-monthly report from ATEED on their activities in the local board area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. This report provides the Howick Local Board with highlights of ATEED’s activities in the local board area for the six months from 1 July to 31 December 2017.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Howick Local Board:
   a) receive the six-monthly report period 1 July to 31 December 2017.
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Item 23
Six-monthly report to Howick Local Board

1 July – 31 December 2017
1.0 Introduction

This report provides the Howick Local Board with highlights of ATEED’s activities in the Howick Local Board area for the six months 1 July to 31 December 2017.

This report should be read in conjunction with ATEED’s Quarter 1 report to Auckland Council (available at www.aucklandnz.com) and the forthcoming Quarter 2 report to the Auckland Council CCO Finance and Performance Committee (available 12 March). Although these reports focus primarily on the breadth of ATEED’s work at a regional level, much of the work highlighted has significant local impact.

ATEED’s Strategic Framework

ATEED’s Strategic Framework (Figure 1 below), clearly articulates ATEED’s role in enabling Auckland to be a world-class city where talent wants to live, by focussing on five key priorities:

1. Grow the visitor economy
2. Build a culture of innovation and entrepreneurship
3. Attract business and investment
4. Grow and attract skilled talent
5. Build Auckland’s global identity.

Through these objectives, we can connect Auckland-wide strategies (the Auckland Plan and Economic Development Strategy) and ATEED’s ongoing strategic interventions, growth programmes and projects. The framework below provides the organisation with focus on those areas of our role that will make a difference to Auckland both regionally and locally. The strategic objectives are supported by more detailed action plans, investment proposals and delivery partnerships.

Figure 1 – ATEED’s Strategic Framework

1 As per ATEED’s Statement of Intent 2017-20
ATEED works with local boards, Council and CCOs to support decision-making on local economic growth and facilitates or coordinates the delivery of local economic development activity. ATEED ensures that the regional activities that ATEED leads or delivers are fully leveraged to support local economic growth and employment. This includes ATEED’s support for sub-regional tourism promotion activity and sponsorship, facilitation or delivery of a range of events that although regional in their reach, have local level impacts.

In addition, ATEED’s dedicated Local Economic Growth (LEG) team works with local boards who allocate Local Development Initiative (LDI) budget to economic development activities. The LEG team delivers a range of services such as the development of proposals, including feasibility studies that enable local boards to directly fund or otherwise advocate for the implementation of local initiatives.

ATEED delivers its services at the local level through business hubs based in the north, west and south of the region, as well as its central office at 139 Quay Street.

ATEED’s hub in south Auckland is located at 31-33 Manukau Station Road, Manukau, Auckland.

Additional information about ATEED’s role and activities can be found at www.aucklandnz.com/ateed

---

2 This activity is subject to local boards prioritising local economic development, and subsequently allocating funding to local economic development through their local board agreements.
2.0 Howick Local Board priority economic growth initiatives

ATEED’s Local Economic Development team is responsible for managing the delivery of the Local Board’s locally-driven initiatives (LDI) budget allocation.

The approved work programme for the Howick Local Board includes the following activities:

1. Howick Tourism Development

During the previous reporting period, ATEED addressed a number of issues regarding the establishment of a Howick ward-focused tourism agreement with Howick Tourism Incorporated (HTI), supported by a grant of $50,000 from the Howick Local Board. A funding agreement was signed by HTI and approved by the Local Board; HTI received the grant in October 2017.

The Howick Local Board funding has facilitated opportunities for HTI to undertake a planned work programme to increase visitor numbers and spend in the region. This funding has been used to employ a co-ordinator who started in January 2018. ATEED has offered administration support to HTI in addition to the newly created co-ordinator role. ATEED’s Destination Development Co-ordinator will attend meetings and undertake administration tasks for group to allow more time for the co-ordinator to carry other planned activities.

- Lion Foundation Young Enterprise Scheme (YES) - funding contribution

Kick Start days (formerly known as E-days) are being delivered by ATEED with support from the Auckland Chamber of Commerce. ATEED’s role includes management of the funds provided by Local Boards for the 2018 Kick Start days. As in previous years, there will be five sub-regional events delivered across the region. The new Auckland Chamber of Commerce team will be inviting Local Board members to participate either as student mentors or as observers on the day. More detail about the YES programme is listed under section 5.1 of this report.

Progress on the delivery of local initiatives is also reported via the quarterly local board work programme report, generated by Local Board Services.

2.1 ATEED engagement with Howick Local Board

During the period, ATEED provided a range of advice and information to local boards covering local economic development and tourism initiatives to support their preparations and planning for the Local Board Plan.

As noted above, ATEED focused on delivering tourism capability in the Howick Local Board area, and more directly on the appointment and grant processes for the Howick Tourism Trust. This was a significant step for the Board and ATEED thanks the Howick Local Board for their determination to see the project progress to the benefit of the greater community and businesses.
Chris Lock, Senior Strategic Advisor – Local Boards, is the primary point of contact for the Howick Local Board. Chris can be contacted on chris.lock@aucklandnz.com.

3.0 Building a culture of innovation and entrepreneurship

3.1 Supporting business growth by facilitating connections to experts, resources and co-funding

ATEED’s business support teams are based in each of the local business hubs to support the growth of Auckland’s key internationally-competitive sectors and the city’s infrastructure, by assisting local small to medium companies to grow and innovate.

A key programme in achieving this is the Regional Business Partnership Network (RBPN) delivered by ATEED’s Business and Innovation Advisors, whose role is to connect local businesses to experts and mentors in innovation, R&D and business growth and management. The RBPN allows ATEED to facilitate government funding to qualifying businesses, in the form of Callaghan Innovation R&D grants and RPB business capability vouchers on behalf of NZTE. Every year, these advisors meet approximately 1100 local Auckland businesses to understand the opportunities and challenges faced by each business. Advisors connect businesses to opportunities and support available from the business support ecosystem across Auckland.

3.2 Business capability building

ATEED focuses on supporting the development of the capability of small businesses by improving business management skills. This is done by carrying out a discovery meeting, assessing the areas of need, and referring the business owner to experts to build their knowledge of business growth. If applicable, the business may be issued a RBPN training/capability development voucher of up to $5,000 per annum to co-fund eligible business training via registered service providers. Priority is given to businesses accessing this expert advice for the first time.

There were 30 vouchers issued to businesses in the Howick Local Board area to assist with business capability training.

3.3 Innovation and research and development (R&D) advice and assistance to access co-funding

Ten businesses met to discuss their innovation and/or R&D plans with one of the ATEED team and were connected to
10 businesses in the Howick Local Board area met to discuss innovation and research and development requirements. Experts, programmes and/or assisted to apply for Callaghan Innovation project or student grants.

Three companies received Callaghan Innovation grants, and 12 companies were referred to Callaghan Innovation services during the reporting period.

### 3.4 Connecting businesses

154 Howick businesses were connected with other opportunities or organisations that may assist their growth and development.

During this period, ATEED’s Business and Innovation Advisors connected businesses in the Howick Local Board area to the following opportunities or organisations to assist their growth and development:

- Business Mentors New Zealand - 9
- NZTE service providers – 67
- NZTE - 6
- ATEED staff or initiatives - 12
- Callaghan Innovation services - 2
- Other - 58

### 3.5 Other support for new businesses

During this period, two new business owners from the Howick Local Board area attended a Starting off Right workshop. These workshops are part of ATEED’s programme of business support, and offers free expert advice on how to establish a new business.

Business clinics offering advice on business challenges and/or ideas are available for those who don’t initially wish to register for RBPN services. Two businesses from the Howick Local Board area attended a business clinic.

*Running Your Business Workshop Series* is an ATEED and NZTE initiative. The programme is designed to support building capability development in SME’s by providing a pathway to transition from running their business to the growth phase. Tools and methods taught through this programme support business owners to run their business sustainably by identifying the key challenges faced, and providing support in the form of capability development workshops. During the period, one business from the Howick Local Board area attended a workshop.
3.6 Business and networking events

ATEED supports and runs a number of business and networking events to support and grow businesses. During the period 1 July to 31 December 2017, approximately 1260 attendees from across the Auckland region attended networking events.

3.7 Business awards

Following a full review of the Local Economic Growth, and Business and Enterprise teams’ engagement in the Westpac Auckland Business Awards programme, ATEED has formally agreed a revised agreement for the 2018 programme with the Auckland Chamber of Commerce. ATEED will continue to partner with the Chamber to deliver the programme, however under the new arrangements, the chamber will deliver the awards using its own resource (not ATEED resources), including all workshops, business coaching, marketing material and all associated administrative support. ATEED will nominate companies that are considered to be suitable for the programme. ATEED will continue to be recognised as a delivery partner, maintain an equal branding presence, nominate a guest speaker at the event dinners, and will continue to receive an allocation of two tables at the regional gala dinners and four tables at the ‘Best of the Best’ dinner each year.

A full schedule of upcoming events can be found on www.aucklandnz.com/business (search keyword: business events).

4.0 Attracting business and investment

Filming activity in the Howick Local Board area

ATEED’s Screen Auckland team provides film facilitation services as part of ATEED’s support for the screen and digital sector of Auckland’s economy. Screen Auckland facilitates, processes and issues film permits for filming activity in public open space. The team follows robust operational processes, managing filming requests and facilitating liaison with key stakeholders and local boards.

Between 1 July and 31 December 2017, a total of five film permits3 were issued in the Howick Local Board area.

At a regional level during the same period, 319 film permits were issued in the Auckland region.

---

3 A film permit may be issued for filming at multiple locations.
5.0 Growing a skilled workforce

5.1 Growing youth entrepreneurship

*Lion Foundation Young Enterprise Scheme (YES)*

There are seven schools in the Howick Local Board area currently participating in the YES programme.

The schools include: Macleans College,Ormiston Senior College, Pakuranga College, Sancta Maria College, St Kentigern College (Pakuranga), Botany Downs Secondary College, and Howick College.

Non-participating schools are: Tyndale Park Christian School, Edgewater College, Sacred Heart College (Auckland), and Elim Christian College.

ATEED has delivered the Lion Foundation Young Enterprise Scheme (YES) across Auckland on behalf of the Young Enterprise Trust. The scheme encourages year 12 and 13 students to embrace innovation and business by forming a legal company to produce and sell real-life goods and services.

There were 55 schools participating in the YES programme for 2017, with 1299 students completing the programme. Six Auckland YES companies were recognised at the national awards held in Wellington on 6 December. First place overall went to Olelei from Henderson High School, followed by Clear Free from Rangitoto College in second place. Four other YES Auckland teams were also rewarded with National Excellence awards.

On 1 January 2018 the Auckland Chamber of Commerce became the new delivery partner for the YES. ATEED will maintain a strategic role.

5.2 Youth Employment

*Youth Employer Pledge*

There are 69 leading Auckland employers who have formally committed to the Youth Employer Pledge.

Through the Youth Employer Pledge, leading Auckland employers formally commit to increasing youth employment and developing their future workforce.

By 30 October, 69 employers formally committed to the Youth Employer Pledge. The Statement of Intent target requires ATEED to maintain a pool of 50 signatories. These commitments
represent approximately 265 youth employment and employability opportunities across key Auckland industries including hospitality, construction / infrastructure, digital / ICT, and services industries.

#BuildAKL campaign

In the six months to 31 December, 265 youth had been helped into employment by ATEED-supported programmes, including 140 youth recruited by the 70 employers who participated in JobFest (West) held in October 2017.

#BuildAKL campaign results showed that an estimated 1500 young Aucklanders gained employment, and 3000 into direct study/training pathways as a result of campaign exposure. These results were well-received by campaign partners during a presentation to them on 6 December.

JobFest – 11 October 2017

JobFest (www.jobfest.co.nz) brings together young Auckland job seekers aged 18-24 years and potential employers and job opportunities.

The event is developed and managed by the ATEED Skills team, and delivered by ATEED’s Major Events team in partnership with Auckland Council Youth Connections, the Ministry of Social Development (MSD), Careers New Zealand, The Tindall Foundation, and Auckland employers.

On 11 October, approximately 845 young people from across Auckland attended JobFest at The Trusts Arena in West Auckland. Although the number of attendees is lower than previous JobFest events, this JobFest had a specific focus on NEET (not in employment, education or training) youth and attracting youth attendees. About 69 per cent of attendees were aged 16-24, and 65 per cent of those were NEET. This is the highest percentage of NEET youth to have ever attended JobFest (about twice as many as the May event).

The top three ethnicity selections of attendees were:
1. New Zealand European

---

4 Note, this data is only available at a regional level.
2. Māori and Pacific Peoples communities
3. Indian.

About 70 employers exhibited at the October JobFest event. Employers were divided into zones of construction (#Build AKL), west and local job zone, hospitality zone, health sector zone, recruitment agencies, ICT job zone, MSD zone, and a miscellaneous zone.

Based on feedback received from employers to date, the following outcomes can be estimated:\(^5\):

- About 4550 CVs were received in total. This is an average of 65 CVs received per JobFest employer.
- Approximately 1,260 CVs were short-listed for jobs and followed up by employers. This is an average of 18 short-listed candidates per employer.
- There were 420 in-person interviews in total either on the day or in person following JobFest. This is an average of six in-person interviews per employer.
- A total of 280 job offers were made following the event. This is an average of three job offers per employer.
- Approximately 140 people were employed directly as a result of this event. This is an average of two employed people per employer.

Of the 845 JobFest attendees, approximately 198 were in receipt of a Work and Income benefit prior to the event. About 30 of these are no longer receiving a benefit six weeks after JobFest\(^6\).

\(^5\) Please note that many of the attendees who handed in CVs were short-listed and interviewed with more than one employer from the event, hence the numbers appear higher than the number of attendees on the day.

\(^6\) It is yet to be determined if this result is directly due to JobFest, or whether these young people are reflected in the statistics provided by the employers above.
6.0 Grow the Visitor Economy

Visitor spend $8b – up 6.5 per cent compared to the previous year
Satisfaction with ATEED delivered events - 89 per cent for the 2016/17 year
Business event bid win/loss ratio (based on results received in financial year) – 53 per cent (target is 60 per cent)
83,609 international students in Auckland annually, worth $2.2b to economy – 63 per cent of New Zealand’s market share

6.1 Delivering on the Auckland Visitor Plan

The Auckland Visitor Plan 2021 is one of ATEED’s key strategic pillars. The visitor economy continues to grow as the Auckland Visitor Plan, launched by ATEED in 2011, and other related strategies, continue to deliver great results. ATEED’s Tourism, Major Events, Auckland Convention Bureau, and Study Auckland teams all contribute to Auckland’s visitor economy through the work that the teams deliver.

In the year to October 2017, total tourism spend in Auckland was $8b, up 6.5 per cent on the previous year. International spend was $4.3b, up 8.1 per cent for the year. Domestic spend was $3.7b and had increased by 4.7 per cent. The year to October 2017 saw 2.64m international visitor arrivals, an increase of 8.6 per cent compared to the previous year. There were 196,604 international visitors in October, up 4.3 per cent compared to October 2016. Holiday visitor arrivals contributed the most to the annual growth, numbers were up 9.5 per cent on the previous 12 months. 7The latest visitor statistics are due at the end of February.

The 2017 Spring campaign, ‘Love Your Weekend’ featuring proud Aucklander, Sir John Kirwan was in market from 1 September until 31 November 2017. The campaign targeted local Aucklanders with the objective of growing awareness, local knowledge, pride and the propensity to recommend Auckland as a holiday destination to visiting friends and relatives (VFR). VFR makes up a large portion of visitation to Auckland, with 30 per cent of domestic visitors 8 and over 43 per cent Australian visitors here for the purpose of visiting friends and family 9. As hosts, this makes Aucklanders huge influencers on what their guests choose to do and how much they spend while here, in turn shaping the impression these visiting friends and family leave with and the Auckland story they have to tell.

The campaign used the following media channels: TVC, online videos (OnDemand, pre-roll, social), online banners and ads. There were six regional videos featuring Sir John Kirwan, each one showcasing the region and highlighting some of the locations and attractions in the region as well as one TVC which ran on TV1.

7 Source: ITM data, Statistics NZ
8 Source: VFR Programme
9 Source: Statistics NZ

Aucklanders comped
Campaign evaluation research results\(^{10}\) show that perceptions of the Auckland region amongst the target audience are favourable overall, and local residents see it as being a place that offers lots to see and do, and that they can be proud of. Intended message outreach came through strongly with respondents not only having a better understanding of what Auckland offers, but many also stated that they felt proud of the region and motivating 27 per cent of the audience who were aware of the campaign to get out to the regions as a result – a positive result given that this was only three weeks into the campaign period, and September was a very wet month for Auckland.

When promoting the Auckland region, the key strengths to continue to leverage (due to their high motivation factors) include the food and beverage scene, beaches, scenery and range of experiences and attractions available.

ATEED also administers Auckland’s official visitor information website. The website contains pages focused on destinations in the Howick Local Board area, and provides visitors with information about where to go, what to do, and where to stay.

### 6.2 Delivering on Auckland’s Major Events Strategy

ATEED is responsible for the attraction and delivery of major events that align with Auckland’s Major Events Strategy (MES). The MES identifies four key outcomes which are sought from major events - the ability to expand Auckland’s economy, increase visitor nights, enhance Auckland’s liveability, and increase Auckland’s international profile. ATEED’s role in major events differs for each event, however can include attraction, delivery, facilitation and business leverage activities.

\(^{10}\) Source: TNS Research
Delivered, sponsored and facilitated events

ATEED delivered the Auckland Diwali Festival during Q2. Total unique attendance across the whole weekend of Diwali was 54,700 and a gross attendance of 68,100 (some people attended both event days), which was higher than estimates from previous years. A new and more advanced counting methodology was used, and aligns with the methodology applied to other ATEED delivered events (Tāmaki Herenga Waka Festival, Auckland Lantern Festival and Pasifika Festival). Prior to this, counts were based on photos taken at regular intervals.

Residents in the Howick Local Board area were also able to enjoy events sponsored by ATEED, including the New Zealand International Film Festival, Matilda the Musical, Rugby League World Cup, the ASB Auckland Marathon, ITM Auckland SuperSprint, and the Farmers Santa Parade to name a few.

A full schedule of major events is available on ATEED’s website, aucklandnz.com

6.3 Delivering on Auckland’s Business Events Plan

Auckland’s Business Events Plan sets a target of growing the business events sector’s contribution to the Auckland economy from $236m in 2013 to $430m in 2023, and increasing the total number of delegate days to Auckland from 1.98m to 2.55m over the same 10-year period. The Business Events Plan works in tandem with the Auckland Visitor Plan and Major Events Strategy to develop our city as a global events destination.

There were 23 international business event bids submitted or supported as at 31 December (target being 35). Some key highlights include:

- Convention of the International Confederation of Principals 2021 – 1000 delegates, 4000 visitor nights and estimated spend of $1.4m.
- International Society for Microbial Ecology Symposium 2022 – 1800 delegates, 12,000 visitor nights and estimated tourism spend of $4.2m.
- AAID Global Conference 2018 – 400 delegates, 1550 visitor nights and estimated tourism spend of $554,590.

The value of business event bids won in financial year stood at $8.9m as at 31 December. The three conferences above bring ATEED closer to achieving the annual SOI target of $22m.
6.4 Delivering on Study Auckland’s initiatives

International student enrolments in New Zealand increased by 6 per cent, to 131,609 enrolments in the 2016 academic year. The economic value of New Zealand’s international education industry in 2016 is now estimated to be $4.5b (including $4.2b onshore and $242m offshore), an increase of $200m compared to the 2015 student numbers. Auckland’s contribution of this figure is estimated at $2.25b\(^1\), with more than 83,000 international students in Auckland, contributing to 63 per cent market share of New Zealand. The 2016/17 results are expected in August 2018.

The Study Auckland team is partnering with tertiary partners and Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei to pilot a ‘Global Citizen’ youth leadership programme for tertiary students. The purpose of the pilot is to increase the cultural understanding, social inclusion and leadership potential of international students living in Auckland. This work will build on the innovative values-based international leadership programmes developed by Ngāti Whātua Ōrākei with leading companies including Air New Zealand, Fonterra, Auckland Airport, Westpac and Microsoft. The vision of the leadership programme is that Auckland will be regarded as a progressive education city that appeals to globally connected youth and emerging talent. ATEED’s Study Auckland team has received ministerial confirmation from the Ministry of Education regarding a funding application to deliver this project. Planning for the project will commence in early 2018, to attract international students arriving for the semester one intake.

The Study Auckland team is also currently in discussion with the Centre Manager of the Ellen Melville Community Centre to co-design a specialised student engagement programme targeted at international students living and studying in the CBD. This programme design will commence during Q3 of the financial year.

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To inform local boards about the Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) Annual conference and General meeting (AGM) in Christchurch Sunday 15 July to Tuesday 17 July 2018 and to invite local boards to nominate elected members to attend.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. The Local Government New Zealand Annual conference and General meeting take place at Christ’s College from 12 noon on Sunday 15 July to 1pm on Tuesday 17 July 2018.

3. Local board members are invited to attend the conference. In 2018, with the venue in Christchurch and given the cost of elected member attendance, staff recommend that one member per local board attend.

4. In addition to the official delegates, LGNZ requires prior notice of which local board members plan to attend the AGM. Members wishing to attend are asked to register their intention with the Kura Kāwana programme by Friday 13 April so that this information can be provided to LGNZ.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Howick Local Board:

a) nominate one elected member to attend the Local Government New Zealand 2018 Conference and Annual General Meeting from Sunday 15 July to Tuesday 17 July 2018.

b) confirm that conference attendance including travel and accommodation will be paid for in accordance with the current Auckland Council Elected Member Expense Policy.

c) note that any members who wish to attend the AGM must provide their names to the Kura Kāwana project team by Friday 13 April to ensure that they are registered with Local Government New Zealand.

Horopaki / Context

5. This year the LGNZ conference and AGM will be held at the Christ’s College, Christchurch, from Sunday 15 July to Tuesday 17 July 2018. The AGM will commence at 12.00pm on Sunday 15 July 2018 with the conference programme commencing at 4.15pm on Sunday 15 July and concluding at 1.00pm on Tuesday 17 July.

6. The conference programme has the theme “We are firmly focused on the future: Future-proofing for a prosperous and vibrant New Zealand”. The full programme is attached as Attachment A.

7. The AGM takes place on the first day of the conference. The LGNZ constitution permits the Auckland Council to appoint four delegates to represent it at the AGM, with one of the delegates being appointed as presiding delegate.
8. Elected members who hold LGNZ roles are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elected Member</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Phil Goff</td>
<td>Metro Sector representative on the National Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Penny Hulse</td>
<td>Chair of Zone One and Zone One representative on National Council, Member Conference Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore</td>
<td>Auckland Council representative on Regional Sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Wayne Walker</td>
<td>Auckland Council representative on Zone One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Alf Filipaina</td>
<td>LGNZ Te Maruata Roopu Whakahaere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Richard Hills</td>
<td>Member Policy Advisory Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitemata Local Board Chair Pippa Coom</td>
<td>Member Governance and Strategy Advisory Group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Traditionally the four AGM delegates have been the Mayor, the Chief Executive and two Governing Body members who hold LGNZ roles.

10. The Governing Body will consider an item on AGM attendance at its meeting on 23 March 2018 which includes the recommendation that Mayor Phil Goff be the presiding delegate and the other three delegates be comprised of either:
    - two members of the Governing Body who hold a formal representation role with LGNZ and the Chief Executive; or
    - one member of the Governing Body who holds a formal representation role with LGNZ and the Chief Executive, and a local board member.

11. Delegates in 2017 were:
    - Mayor Phil Goff
    - Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore
    - Councillor Penny Hulse
    - Local board chair Pippa Coom

12. The Governing Body will also consider an item on conference attendance at its meeting on 23 March 2018 which includes the recommendation that Mayor Phil Goff and the other Governing Body members chosen to be the delegates to the AGM be approved to attend the conference, and that other councillors be chosen to attend so that up to a total of six Governing Body members can attend the conference.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

13. Local board members are invited to attend the conference. In 2018, with the venue in Christchurch and given the cost of elected member attendance, it is recommended that one member per local board attend.

14. This means that a maximum of 27 Auckland Council elected members would attend the conference.

15. Delegates who attend are encouraged to report back to their local boards.
16. In addition, local board members can attend the AGM as observers, or as a delegate (depending on the Governing Body decision), provided their names are included on the AGM registration form, which will be signed by the Mayor.

17. LGNZ requires prior notice of which local board members plan to attend the AGM. Members wishing to attend are asked to register their intention with the Kura Kāwana programme by Friday 13 April so that this information can be collated and provided to LGNZ.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

18. The LGNZ Annual conference has relevance to local board members and their specific roles and responsibilities.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

19. The LGNZ National Council has a sub-committee, Te Maruata, which has the role of promoting increased representation of Māori as elected members of local government, and of enhancing Māori participation in local government processes. It also provides support for councils in building relationships with iwi, hapu and Māori groups. Te Maruata provides Māori input on development of future policies or legislation relating to local government. Councillor Alf Filipaina is a member of the sub-committee. Te Maruata will hold a hui on 14 July 2018.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

20. The normal registration rate is $1,410 (early bird) or $1,510 (standard).

21. Costs of attendance for one member from each local board are to be met from the elected members' development budget as contained in the Kura Kawana Programme.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

22. The key risk is of delayed decision making impacting costs – the sooner the registration for the nominated local board member can be made, the more likely it is that Auckland Council can take advantage of early bird pricing for the conference and flights.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

23. Once members are confirmed to attend, the Kura Kāwana programme will co-ordinate and book all conference registrations, as well as requests to attend the AGM.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Conference Programme</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā kaihaina / Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Linda Gifford, Kura Kāwana Programme Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Kerri Foote, Local Board Services Improvements Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We are firmly focused on the future.

Programme

Saturday 14 July

Te Maruata hui
Time TBC  Members only

Sunday 15 July

Registration desk open
9.00am - 6.00pm  Christ's College, Auditorium foyer, 33 Rolleston Ave
Barista coffee available in the Dining Hall from 2pm
Kindly sponsored by Fonterra

Council hosted tours
9.30am-11.30am
• Connected communities at the heart of our resilient city
• City smarts in an emerging creative city
Ticketed event. Departing from Christ's College, 33 Rolleston Ave. For further information click here

National Council meeting
9.30am
followed by lunch
Rydges Latimer, Clarendon Room, 33 Latimer Square
Members only

Young Elected Members catch up
9.30am-11.30am
Bunsen Cafe, The Arts Centre
Members only

Local Government New Zealand AGM
12.00pm
Rydges Latimer, Ballroom, 33 Latimer Square
Followed by a photo of all Mayors and Chairs
Coach transfer to Christ's College available immediately following AGM
From 2.00pm  
Afternoon tea  
Christ's College Dining Hall, 33 Rolleston Ave  

Member only meetings  
- Mayors Taskforce for Jobs AGM  
- Regional Sector meeting  

2.45pm  
Young Elected Members meeting  
Christ's College, 33 Rolleston Ave  
Members only  

4.15pm  
Mihi Whakatau and opening ceremony  
Christ's College Auditorium, 33 Rolleston Ave  
Dave Cull, President, LGNZ  
Lianne Dalziel, Mayor, Christchurch City Council  

4.45pm  
Government's address  
Christ's College Auditorium  

5.00pm  
LGNZ President's address  
Christ's College Auditorium  
Dave Cull, President, LGNZ  

5.15pm  
Opening keynote address: Building resilience for a vibrant and prosperous future  
Christ's College Auditorium, 33 Rolleston Ave  

5.55pm  
Welcome from Simpson Grierson  
Jonathan Salter, Partner, Simpson Grierson  

7.30pm  
Followed by Simpson Grierson welcome reception  
Christchurch Art Gallery  
For more information click here

Monday 16 July

All conference sessions on Monday 16 July take place at Christ's College, 33 Rolleston Ave.

7.30am  
Registration desk open  
Barista coffee available  
Kindly sponsored by Fonterra

Transpower breakfast session with Robett Hollis  
Ticketed event. For further information click here

7.00am  
Rydges Latimer  
Kindly sponsored by Transpower

8.30am  
Master of ceremonies
8.40am Creating resilient, sustainable and liveable places
Place-making for resilient communities.
Kindly sponsored by Chorus

**Building a strong community - a tale of a new region**
The Canterbury regions’s collaboration and vision for a new life, new identity and new opportunities.

**9.25am**
**Chair:** Joanna Norris, Chief Executive, ChristchurchNZ
Malcolm Johns, Chief Executive, Christchurch Airport (CIAL)
Josiah Tualamali, Chair, PYLAT Council - Pacific Youth Leadership and Transformation
Arihia Bennett, CEO, Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu

**10.05am Morning tea**

**Building strong local economic prosperity**
Social, cultural, economic and environmental policy settings can place New Zealanders among the most prosperous and happiest people in the world.

**10.45am**
Michael Dunlop, Acumen Republic
Oliver Hartwich, NZ Initiative
Martine Udahemuka, NZ Initiative
Margaret Jefferies, Chair, Project Lyttleton

**Inspiring Maori connections to grow thriving, prosperous communities**
How to interact and engage appropriately with Maori as a strategic partner.
**Associate Professor Te Maire Tau,** Director, Ngai Tahu Research Centre

**11.25am Lunch**

**Responding to climate change: pathways to a low emissions economy**
Climate change adaption and mitigation.
Minister for Climate Change, Hon James Shaw
LGNZ representative
Kindly sponsored by Ministry for the Environment

**Working together to protect and improve New Zealand's water and environment**
Healthy and resilient water sources.
Simon Upton, Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment
LGNZ representative
Kindly sponsored by DairyNZ

**1.45pm Presentation of Minister of Local Government EXCELLENCE Award for Outstanding Contribution to Local Government**
Hon Nanaia Mahuta, Minister of Local Government
Kindly sponsored by Te Tari Taiwhenua Internal Affairs

**2.25pm Afternoon tea**

**3.00 pm Interactive sessions** (delegates select an interactive workshop or the city walking tour)
5.00pm

**Interactive workshops (at the venue)**
1. Building excellence in locally delivered infrastructure and services
2. The challenges of climate change decision making and opportunities for adaptation
3. Working together to protect and improve New Zealand’s water and environment

**City walking tour (offsite - tour departs from Christ’s College)**
Christchurch resilience tour

*For further information on interactive sessions please click here*

6.45pm

**Fulton Hogan conference dinner and LGNZ EXCELLENCE Awards**
Wigram Air Force Museum

*For more information including coach transport please click here*

---

**Tuesday 17 July**

*All conference sessions on Monday 16 July take place at Christ’s College, 33 Rolleston Ave.*

8.00am

Registration desk open

*Kindly sponsored by Fonterra*

9.00am

Minister of Local Government address

**Hon Nanaia Mahuta**, Minister of Local Government

**Inspiring health and wellbeing of our communities**

Healthy communities lead to prosperous, resilient and vibrant communities.

**Deidre Otene**, CEO, The Moko Foundation

9.20am

**Morning tea**

**Harnessing localism and empowering communities to succeed**

Social groups making positive change in their communities.

11.00am

**Angela O’Leary**, Hamilton City Council and **Julie Nelson**, Joint Chief Executive, Wise Group

**Michelle Sharp**, Trustee, Akina Foundation

**Closing keynote: Shaping the future of our communities**

Leadership, infrastructure, environment, sustainability, localism, arts and culture, economies and future proofing our communities.

**Daniel Flynn**, founder and managing director of Thankyou

*Kindly sponsored by GHD*

12.00pm

**Early bird registration prize draw**

*(you must be in the audience to win)*

12.50pm

**Conference closing address**

1.00pm

**Lunch**
Additions to the 2016-2019 Howick Local Board meeting schedule

File No.: CP2018/02796

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Seeking approval for two meeting dates to be added to the 2016-2019 Howick Local Board meeting schedule in order to accommodate the Long-term Plan timeframes.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Howick Local Board adopted the 2016-2019 meeting schedule on 12 December 2016.
3. At that time the specific times and dates for meetings for local board decision making in relation to the local board agreement as part of the 2018-28 Long-term Plan were unknown.
4. The board is being asked to approve two meeting dates as additions to the Howick Local Board meeting schedule so that the Long-term Plan timeframes can be met.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Howick Local Board:

a) approve two meeting dates to be added to the 2016-2019 Howick Local Board meeting schedule to accommodate the 2018-28 Long-term Plan timeframes as follows:
   • Thursday, 10 May 2018, 5.30pm
   • Thursday, 7 June 2018, 5.30pm.

b) note the venue for both meetings will be the Howick Local Board meeting room Pakuranga Library Complex, 7 Aylesbury Street, Pakuranga.

Horopaki / Context
5. The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) and the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA) have requirements regarding local board meeting schedules.
6. In summary, adopting a meeting schedule helps meet the requirements of:
   • clause 19, Schedule 7 of the LGA on general provisions for meetings, which requires the chief executive to give notice in writing to each local board member of the time and place of meetings. Such notification may be provided by the adoption of a schedule of business meetings.
   • sections 46, 46(A) and 47 in Part 7 of the LGOIMA, which requires that meetings are publicly notified, agendas and reports are available at least two working days before a meeting and that local board meetings are open to the public.
7. The Howick Local Board adopted its business meeting schedule at its 12 December 2016 business meeting.
8. The timeframes for local board decision making in relation to the local board agreement which is part of the 2018-28 Long-term Plan were unavailable when the meeting schedule was originally adopted.
9. The board is being asked to make decisions in early May and early June to feed into the Long-term Plan process. These timeframes are outside the board’s normal meeting cycle.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice**

10. The board has two choices:

   i) Add the two meetings as extraordinary meetings. This would mean only the specific topic may be considered for which the meeting is being scheduled for.

   or

   ii) Add the meetings as additions to the meeting schedule. This would mean other topics may be considered as per any other ordinary meeting.

11. In terms of statutory requirements there is enough time to allow these meetings to be scheduled as additions to the meeting schedule.

12. Staff advice is that since there is enough time to meet statutory requirements, the best approach would be to approve these meetings as additions to the meeting schedule as it allows more flexibility for the board to consider a range of issues.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

13. This report requests the board’s decision to schedule two additional meetings and consider whether to approve them as extraordinary meetings or additions to the meeting schedule.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

14. There is no specific impact for Māori arising from this report.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

15. There are no financial implications in relation to this report apart from the normal costs associated with servicing a business meeting.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

16. There are no significant risks associated with this report.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

17. The next steps would be to implement the processes associated with preparing for business meetings.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina / Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Carol McGarry - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop Records

File No.: CP2018/00059

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This report attaches the workshop records taken for the period stated below.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Under Standing Order 1.4.2 and 2.15 workshops convened by the Board shall be closed to
the public, however, the proceedings of a workshop shall record the names of members
attending and a statement summarising the nature of the information received and nature of
matters discussed. No resolutions are passed or decisions reached, but are solely for the
provision of information and discussion. This report attaches the workshop records for the
period stated below.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Howick Local Board:

a) note the workshop records for workshops held on 8th, 14th, 15th, 21st, 22nd February,
and 1st March 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>8th February Workshop Record</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>14th February Workshop Record</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>15th February Workshop Record</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>21st February Workshop Record</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>22nd February Workshop Record</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1st March Workshop Record</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Denise Gunn - Relationship Manager PA / Office Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Howick Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Howick Local Board held in the Howick Local Board Meeting Room, Pakuranga Library on 8 February 2018, commencing at 3pm.

PRESENT
Members: Jim Donald (from 3.17pm), Bob Wichman, Adele White, Peter Young (from 4.48pm), Katrina Bungard, Mike Turinsky, Garry Boles & John Spiller (from 3.25pm)

Apologies: David Collings, Peter Young (for lateness)

Also present: Ian Milnes (Senior Local Board Advisor), Carol McGarry (Advisor), Lucy Stallworthy (Engagement Advisor) from 4.50pm, Denise Gunn (Office Manager)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item/ Presenters</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaiya Irvine, Uaita Sialii, Barry Hung</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>Board members received an update for both the Chinese New Year and Tamaki River Festival events. Special thanks were expressed to Barry Hung for his assistance. ACTION: Debriefing in early March, consider future work programme details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese New Year and Tamaki River Festival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenn Riddell</td>
<td>Local Initiatives and specific directions</td>
<td>The Board discussed a Landowner approval application from the Howick Historical Village, for replacement signage within Lloyd Elsmore Park. ACTION: A formal report to the 19 March 2018 business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowner approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nina Rattray &amp; Claire Liesching Greenways</td>
<td>Local Initiatives and specific directions</td>
<td>The Board agreed the name of the project to be “Howick Walking and Cycling Network”. Officers provided an overview of the project and the proposed engagement and Board members provided some ideas for consultation events, and input to the proposed pathways. ACTION: Officers to finalise engagement events, and contact relevant stakeholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steering Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 5.50pm.
Howick Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Howick Local Board held in the Howick Local Board Meeting Room, Pakuranga Library on Wednesday 14th February 2018, commencing at 3pm.

PRESENT

Members: David Collings, Jim Donald, Bob Wichman, Adele White, Peter Young, Katrina Bungard (from 3.20pm), Mike Turinsky (from 3.17pm- 6.15pm), Garry Boles

Apologies: John Spiller, Mike Turinsky (for lateness)

Also present: Ian Milnes (Senior Local Board Advisor), Carol McGarry (Advisor), Denise Gunn (Office Manager), Nina Siers (Relationship Manager) 4-5pm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item/ Presenters</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Collings</td>
<td>Local Initiatives and specific directions</td>
<td>The board discussed Maori naming of parks and facilities in the Howick Local Board area, as a follow up to the workshop on 29 November 2017. Officers noted the board’s response and will provide a memo to Parks. The board were briefed on plans and materials for the 10 year Budget consultation. More information will be provided at future briefings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy Stallworthy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Clark</td>
<td>Input into regional decision-making policies, plans and strategies</td>
<td>The board discussed an acquisition of land in Flat Bush prior to a confidential formal report at the 19 February 2018 business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarrod Walker</td>
<td>Local Initiatives and specific directions</td>
<td>The board discussed the coastal erosion issues prior to a formal report to the 19 March business 2018 business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Joyce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Isaia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Pickering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Davies</td>
<td>Local Initiatives and specific directions</td>
<td>The board discussed the grants programme for the 2018/2019 financial year. Priorities were reviewed to ensure alignment and consistency. ACTION: A formal report will be brought to a future business meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fran Hayton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makenzie Hirz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asma Bashir</td>
<td>For information</td>
<td>The board was updated on the Howick and Pakuranga Community House.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 6.25 pm
Howick Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Howick Local Board held in the Howick Local Board Meeting Room, Pakuranga Library on Thursday 15 February 2018, commencing at 3pm.

PRESENT
Members: David Collings, Jim Donald, Bob Wichman, Adele White, Peter Young, Katrina Bungard, Mike Turinsky, Garry Boles, John Spiller (from 3.15pm)

Apologies: Bob Wichman (lateness)

Also present: Ian Milnes (Senior Local Board Advisor), Carol McGarry (Advisor), Denise Gunn (Office Manager)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item/ Presenters</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ian Milnes Pramod Nair</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>The board reviewed the quarterly report prior to the 19 February business meeting. ACTIONS: • Encourage interested parties to apply for grants – round closes 23 March 2018. • Forward details of proposed community garden at Emilia Maude to members. • Increase frequency of work programme updates to the board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Ward &amp; Chris Wilson</td>
<td>Local Initiatives and specific directions</td>
<td>The board received an update on the Pakuranga Athletics Charitable Trust project, prior to a formal report to the 19 February Business Meeting. ACTIONS: • A formal report to 19 February Business Meeting. • Schedule a further workshop in April for the next update.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Bywater Ken Holmes (Pakuranga Athletics Charitable Trust)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Update on the HowickSport and Active Recreation Plan The Sports Plan review was discussed. Scope includes engaging with all clubs, reassessing projects, creating a 10 year timeline, and update individual project costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Ward &amp; Chris Wilson</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>The workshop reviewed the status of all transport capital fund projects. No project commitment at present. <strong>Half Moon Bay Landside works</strong>: to be completed by the end of the financial year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Buckland Beach - Roundabout**  
ACTION: Further workshop discussion required with traffic engineer. |
| **Howick Village Plan recommendations**  
Safety and removal of hazards important.  
ACTION: Further discussions to be carried out with Plans and Places at a workshop in March. |
| **Cascades Walkway**  
Four options indicated. Project is progressing.  
ACTION: Engineers advise option four is preferred. Board direction is to support this. |
| **Cascades Rd car sales**  
ACTION: Auckland Transport to follow up. |

The workshop concluded at 6.20pm
Howick Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Howick Local Board held at Studio 4, te tuhi, 13 Reeves Road, Pakuranga Wednesday February 21st, 2018, commencing at 3pm.

**PRESENT**

Members: David Collings, Jim Donald (to 5 pm), Bob Wichman, Adele White, Peter Young (to 5 pm), Katrina Bungard, Garry Boles

Apologies: John Spiller, Mike Turinsky

Also present: Nina Siers (Relationship Manager) Ian Milnes (Senior Local Board Advisor), Carol McGarry (Advisor), Lucy Stallworthy (Engagement Advisor), Denise Gunn (Office Manager)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item/ Presenters</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Auckland Emergency Management | Keeping informed | The board were introduced (in absentia) to their Civil Defence ‘buddy’, who will be the key point of contact for civil defence & Business Continuity matters. ACTIONS:  
- Civil Defence will return to another workshop before the end of July 2018. The intention is to meet 3-4 times per year.  
- Confirm the regional alert system delivery mechanism. |
| Wayne Brown  
Chiana Sherwood  
Apologies – Jennifer Rose, ‘buddy’ | Keeping informed | The board were provided with an overview of the FY19 fees and charges, and how they determine priority groups in their local board area. ACTIONS:  
- Legacy subsidy to be discussed again at a workshop on 12 April 2018 |
| Venue Hire, Community Places  
Melody Sei | Input into regional decision-making, policies, plans and strategies | Three options for distributing the Local Transport Capital Fund (under the Governance Framework Review programme) method of were discussed, for local board input. ACTIONS:  
- The board will provide formal feedback on their preferred option at the April 2018 business meeting. The Governing Body will determine the outcome at their May 2018 meeting. |
### Attachment D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Libraries</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
<th>The board received an update on Botany Town Centre plans, prior to a formal report to a future business meeting.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim Taunga, Nicola Terry &amp; Justine Hayes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Workshop concluded at 5.30 pm
**Howick Local Board Workshop Record**

Workshop record of the Howick Local Board held at Studio 4, te tuhi, 13 Reeves Road, Pakuranga Library on 22 February 2018, commencing at 3pm.

**PRESENT**

**Members:** David Collings, Jim Donald, Bob Wichman, Adele White, Peter Young, Katrina Bungard, Garry Boles & John Spiller (from 3.15)

**Apologies:** Mike Turinsky

**Also present:** Ian Milnes (Senior Local Board Advisor), Denise Gunn (Office Manager), Lucy Stallworthy (Engagement Advisor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item/ Presenters</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Auckland Transport – AMETI update  
Ben Stallworthy, Matt Poland, Marcus Williams | Oversight and Monitoring | The board were updated on various components of the AMETI project. Public information sessions are scheduled for March and April, which the Board are welcome to attend. The Board expressed concern regarding traffic congestion during construction.  
**ACTION**  
• Advise when information sessions can be published  
• Consider using express buses from Howick to provide swifter transport to AMETI. |
| Communications Update  
Lisah Henry | Local Initiatives and specific directions | The Board were updated on communications coming up around the 10 year budget consultation.  
**ACTIONS:**  
• Promote positive outcomes for board-supported issues  
• The system for booking space on the community noticeboards needs streamlining. |
| Arts, Community & Events  
Mark Osborne | Local Initiatives and specific directions | The board received an update on two public art projects — a potential regional public art project and the Howick Village Centre plan arts update.  
**ACTION**  
• Check that the Ormiston cultural accessway is still on plans  
• The board expressed support for both |
| Open Space Management Planning      | Input into regional decision-making, policies, plans and strategies | Position papers developed for key open space issues and the local board omnibus open space management plan template were discussed, to inform a report to the Environment and Community Committee. Input and feedback were requested. ACTION • The draft policy will be brought to a workshop in May • A report will be put to the July Business meeting |

The workshop concluded at 5.50 pm
Howick Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Howick Local Board held at the Howick Local Board meeting room, 7 Aylesbury St, Pakuranga March 1st, 2018, commencing at 3pm.

PRESENT
Members: David Collings, Jim Donald, Bob Wichman, Adele White, Peter Young, Katrina Bungard, Garry Boles & John Spiller

Apologies: Nil

Also present: Ian Milnes (Senior Local Board Advisor), Carol McGarry (Advisor), Denise Gunn (Office Manager), Lucy Stallworthy (Engagement Advisor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item/ Presenters</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greater East Tamaki Association Inc. (GETBA) Jane Tongatule</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>The Greater East Tamaki Business Association Inc. presented their annual reporting requirements to the board.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Greater East Tamaki Association Inc. Jane Tongatule and Karen Hadley (GETBA) Wendy Spiller and Nick Collay (Police) Asma Bashir | Keeping informed | The board discussed Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) cameras as an aid to reducing crime in the East Auckland Area. Information was presented on behalf of the Crime Prevention Partners Group. ACTION  
* GETBA advised to apply for a grant in the current round closing 24 March 2018  
* Monthly update on ANPR hits and apprehensions to be provided to the board |
| Engagement Lucy Stallworthy | Engagement | The board were taken through the 10 Year Budget Have Your Say activities planned for March. |
| Arts, Community and Events – Uxbridge Arts Centre Richard McWha Jo Wiggins, Facilitator Cliff Halsey, Uxbridge Board Chair, Jan Holway, Deputy Chair and Philip Clarke, Tracey Fox and Leora Hirsch board members | Oversight and Monitoring | The board discussed the Uxbridge Arts Centre Strategic Review Report from the 13 October 2017 workshop with the Uxbridge Board. ACTION  
* A further meeting to be organised to follow up potential actions |

The workshop concluded at 6.05pm