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East & Bays Children’s Centre

Update report for Ōrakei Local Board

March 2018
Main Services

- Oscar every day and every holiday period (70) existing
- Bilingual Kindergarten/Day Care
- Café (Children's Café)
- Multifunctional hospitality/lounge Area for special events/celebrations with adjacent high standard meeting room.
- Language Centre & Training Centre (for parents of children in the kindergarten/daycare) plus migrants wanting suburban experience rather than city
- Health Care Centre focusing on children – pediatrician, child dentist, sports injuries, family counselling, therapy
• Public playground adjacent to the secure play area of the Kindergarten
• Art House/Peace Pavilion ( - this can be shared with Oscar area in most aspects)
• Toy Library existing
• Tuckshop
• Landscaping to enable outdoor performance and public events
• Offices/reception
• One Super kitchen for cafe and one small kitchen for the daily services
This does not exclude uses for adults. The whole Centre will be flexible in special design and in the services to enable catering for changing needs and not rely on any one type of service. Approximates 1500m² for the new Centre.
East & Bays Children’s Centre

- We have engaged a person to prepare a design concept and scale drawings.
- We have begun recruiting possible lease holders and partners in the services and offices as proposed
Points/requests to make to OLB

1) Please retain the funds set aside for the maintenance of the Glendowie Centre for use as part of the Redevelopment Project.

   We believe that to spend that on non urgent maintenance when this development Project is in our sights is a waste of funds. The small amount of urgent maintenance can be set down by the Manager of the Centre.

2) We request that no maintenance work begins without consultation with our Glendowie Centre Manager, Vee Samuel.

3) We request that an amount of $15,000 of the maintenance funds be allocated to develop this project for the purposes of:
• Produce a scale model.
• Develop and execute a funding plan that will ensure that this project is not a risk to any partners.
• Develop a detailed presentation of income/outgoing flow for the first two years of operation.
• Liaise with all neighbours and the public with the purpose of involving them and creating a sense of excitement and anticipation, for what will be a wonderful Centre for the community for generations to come.
Summary

- The commitment to this project for the Glendowie Centre and wider area by our organisation is 100%.
- We intend to seek financial support from multiple sources including lotteries, the Presbyterian church and local investors.
- We know that in its existing form the Glendowie Centre cannot continue to serve the community well.
- We want to make this Community Centre stand out as a great example of a community building that serves the needs of its users, is environmentally friendly, sustainable and future proofed.
- Money that has been put aside to patch up this building which is run down due to years of neglect should now be used to advance this project in any way that reflects Auckland City’s vision of well built community centres.
THE ŌKAHU LANDING (Eastern End) – Our Little Corner of Our World

An Ōrākei Water Sport Presentation to the Ōrākei Local Board

15 March 2018
Ko Rangitoto e tū nei i ngā wai pātaata o te Waitematā. Ka titiro iho mai a Tumutumuwhenua i te Marae o Ōrākei.
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Mission Statement:
Experiencing the pleasure, freedom and privilege to be guests of Tangaroa”

Our Vision:
To be respected as a club who transcends all prejudicia barriers, Inspires individual brilliance and epitomises excellence.
28. Multi-sport Paddling Centre
   a. Ferg's Kayaks
   b. Auckland Canoe Club
   c. Hauraki Water Sports
   d. Orakei Water Sports
   e. Ngati Whatua Orakei Waka Centre
Ko Rangitoto e tū nei i ngā wai piataata o te Waitemata.
Support for OWS to obtain the lease of ASC and R-Class Building

It is said that “Good things come to those who wait”.

So it is for the development of the Eastern end of the Landing, at Okahu Bay.

The present occupiers of the R-Class Building (Waitemata Rowing Club Building) have been actively involved with the development of the eastern end of the Landing since renovating the building in 2000. However discussions on the development of the eastern end of the Landing go back much further.

In the early 1990’s discussions were had with the Auckland Council about the development of the area after the R Class Yacht Squadron ceased to exist in 1995 and was subsequently struck off the Register of Incorporated Societies on 6th Sep 2000. When the Waitemata Rowing Club vacated this building (which they had built) the R Class Yacht Squadron obtained the lease and occupied the building in its original form from 1977 until the early 1990’s.

Meanwhile the Coastguard who had operated out of the Auckland Rowing Club Building, moved to new premises and Ian Ferguson took over the downstairs with clubs using the upstairs for meetings.

Ian Ferguson operated a kayak hire business from the ground floor of the Auckland Rowing Club building, but also was an avid supporter of promoting kayaking as a sport for all, including a very strong commitment to school programs (which continues until the present day). The problem was a lack of suitable premises for the schools, public etc to store kayak; for toilet facilities, and for changing rooms. *(Fig 1 - use of the Landing by School children)*

At a number of consultative meetings during the mid-1990’s between the Council and all users of the eastern end of the Landing resulting in an agreed plan which separated the two kinds of users of the Landing, Yachting at the Western end, and paddling at the Eastern end. *(Fig 2 - Landing 1997)*

Between 1995 and 2000 the Waitemata Rowing Club Building (R-Class Building) was abandoned and became an eyesore and health hazard as it was rat infested.

Ian Ferguson proposed to Council that he form the Okahu Club, dedicated to supporting all interested in kayaking and paddling. He also proposed that the Club sponsor the Waterwise organisation. *(Attached Okahu Club)*

The Council drew up a draft lease for the Okahu Club and forwarded this to Ian Ferguson on 9th April 1999.

In a combined effort, Ian Ferguson and the Auckland Canoe Club (who at that time were storing kayaks at the Ngati Whatua O Ora kei Marae) refurbished the Waitemata Rowing Club building, cleaning out in the inside, installing ladies and men’s toilets, building doors at the western end, and installing new entrances and roller doors on the seaward side. Racks were installed for Waka Ama. The Auckland Canoe Club has a member who is a roofer, and he repaired the roof and has continued to maintain it, as recently as last week.

**Stage 1 Development**

For the past few years everyone on the Landing has fully cooperated to allow the construction of the new building for the Royal Akarara Yacht Club, now incorporated into the Akarara Marine Sports Charitable Trust.

Everyone has been squeezed into the eastern end of the Landing whilst the construction was underway. Some activities have been curtailed but all for a good cause – the planning started in 1995 is finally a reality. Stage 1 for the Yachting and Sailing is nearing completion and the paddlers are eagerly awaiting the commencement of Stage 2.
Stage 2 Development

It is interesting to review the discussions that have taken place over the years and heart-warming to acknowledge that despite the discussions lasting over twenty years, the conclusion has been the same. This is why the Council decided that when the current plans for the Landing development (Stages 1 and 2) were finalised, that it was unnecessary to go out again to public consultation as public consultation had already occurred and nothing material had changed.

Indeed it is uncanny how similar the building outline proposed in 1997 for the eastern end is to the plans submitted in the Unitary Plan 2016.

The temporary leases for the existing buildings at the eastern end have now expired. This was planned as the yacht and sailing clubs are now about to move to the western end of the Landing, leaving the eastern end to the paddlers again.

Several years ago, the Auckland City Council offered the head lease of the buildings to the Auckland Canoe Club as being to oldest users of the Landing after the Royal Akarana Yacht Club. At that time the Canoe Club suggested that a better recipient of the head lease would be Orakei Water Sports Incorporated, as the Stage 2 building which will replace the existing buildings, incorporates a significant cultural element designed to assist in the restoration of Okahu Bay. (Okahu Bay Restoration, 2013, Tumanako Faau). We are still of the opinion that Orakei Water Sports Incorporated (OWS) is the best recipient of the head lease.

We have had discussions with OWS who has drawn up the following proposals:–

1. OWS has always promised that the current user groups will be the same or better off from us managing the lease.
2. Auckland Canoe Club, Fergs and Waterwise will retain their current premises.
3. Hauraki will acquire the shed that RAYC are currently using as a storage locker.
4. OWS will take over the ASC building and openly share the toilet facilities with all user groups and by arrangement the upstairs space.

The Auckland Canoe Club is fully supportive of these proposals.

We acknowledge that the Stage 2 development is going to be challenging for all, as the demolition of existing buildings will require very careful planning to ensure that all existing users of the eastern end can continue to operate.

We are confident however that our long experience of mutual cooperation will allow us to identify potential problems and resolve them.

Moving to Stage 2 development after over twenty years of discussion and planning is exciting, not just for the eastern end users, but for all Aucklanders.

Ian Calhaem
Patron
Auckland Canoe Club
ian@hunterville.org
Ph 021 936 785
Fig 1 – School children at Okahu Bay

Fig 2 – Plans approved in 1997
Phyl

Begin forwarded message:

From: phyl meti <phillis1m@gmail.com>
Date: 8 March 2018 at 7:49:50 PM NZDT
To: GMan <geman@xtra.co.nz>
Cc: Donna Tamaariki <ows@xtra.co.nz>, Hauraki Sports Club <haurakisportsclub@gmail.com>, leki <tuitongan2@yahoo.co.nz>, phillis1m@gmail.com
Subject: Re: OWS support

I can confirm that Hauraki Sports Club continues to agree to have OWS in all sealing regarding The Landing

Phyl

On 15/02/2017, at 9:05 PM, GMan <geman@xtra.co.nz> wrote:

To the Orakei Local Board

Hauraki Sports Club supports Orakei Water Sports to hold the leases on the buildings at the eastern end of the Landing.
In absent of no Hauraki Sports Club at the meeting Donna Tamaariki has the mandate to represent Hauraki Sports Club.

Regards
Graeme Godbehere
President
Hauraki Sports Club
To: Oraeki Local Board

From: Auckland Canoe Club Inc.

Firstly, I must apologise for not being able to present this note to you in person, but I am currently immobile. I therefore have asked Donna Tamaariki to present this to you on my behalf.

You are aware of the very long involvement of the Auckland Canoe Club on the Landing, being the 2nd oldest club, second only to the Royal Akarana Yacht Club.

The nature of kayaking has meant that our membership covers a very broad ethnic and cultural spectrum giving us an in depth understanding of the needs of the people of Auckland in terms of access to water activities. We have therefore been championing the needs of Aucklanders for over 22 years concerning the development of the Landing.

We have been patient as the needs of all users were discussed and gratified when the recent Auckland Unitary Plan was finalised as it confirmed the plans first agreed to over 20 years ago.

We fully supported the development of the Marine Sports Centre at the Western end of the landing, in the knowledge that this was phase 1, with the development of the Oraeki Paddling and Cultural Centre being built as phase 2 of the overall development.

The Auckland Canoe Club, along with other existing users of the Eastern end, made it very clear that although we fully supported the development of the Marine Sports Centre, we were not part of that development, and nor should we be. The extensive consultations that have taken place over the last 20 years clearly concluded that there were two distinct activities on the Landing – yachting and paddling, and all studies showed that there were good reasons, including one of safety, for keeping these activities separated.

We supported the formation of the Marine Sports Centre, but were alarmed to read in the report that was presented to the February Local Board meeting that Eastern end user groups such the Canoe Club and Waka Ama were included in the report as if we had been a party to it.

The Report by the Marine Sports Club was prepared using our name without our approval and without any consultation. Please ensure that the Auckland Canoe Club name is deleted from this Report. We remain positive and hope that this was just a misunderstanding by the author of the report. We are supportive of the Marine Sports Centre for yachting, but wish to reinforce the fact that this support was given with the firm knowledge that there was reciprocal support from the Marine Sports Centre for phase 2 of the project – the formation of the Oraeki Paddling and Cultural Centre at the Eastern end of the Landing.

The Oraeki Local Board worked extremely hard to understand the needs of all users of the Landing before formulating the information that was presented in the Unitary Plan. All users were in full agreement of this Plan and we ask that you remain steadfast in your belief in that Plan and honour the commitments of all user groups to implement it.

Phase 1, the Marine Sports Centre is nearing completion, and now the users of the Eastern end – the paddlers, are looking forward to working with the Local Board to implement phase 2, the Oraeki Paddling and Cultural Centre.

Sincerely,

Ian Calhaem
Patron
Auckland Canoe Club Inc.
BEFORE THE AUCKLAND UNITARY PLAN INDEPENDENT HEARINGS PANEL
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STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE OF DONNA TAMAARIKI

DATED 2 MARCH 2015
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INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Donna Marie Tamaariki and I provide this statement of evidence in support of the submissions by Ngati Whatua Orakei Whai Maia Limited ("Whai Maia") regarding the mooring area at Okahu Bay, Auckland.

2. I am a Co-founder and Head Coach for Orakei Water Sports Incorporated; an organisation that operates from the eastern end of The Okahu Landing, Tamaki Drive, Orakei. I am also a Director of Kaitiaki Enterprises Ltd, a company that provides cultural and heritage tours and training (using waka) on the Waitemata. Sharing the spirit of our land, our sea and our people is a key driver for me. And it must be done in a way that has each and every person experience ‘being safe’.

3. My statement of evidence pertains to the recreational use in Okahu Bay, by groups and sports clubs. For almost 20 years I have worked in the area of community and economic development, with a specific focus on health, education and the applied indigenous practice of waka. The first home I knew was 72 Kitemoana Street, Orakei. One of my earliest memories is of attending our church on Tamaki Drive with my grandmother. I don’t remember much about the church services but I do remember that the highlight was that my Nan would always take my twin sister and I across the road to the beach afterwards. I am one of the Kaitiaki of Okahu Bay and it is not my right, but my responsibility, no my mission, to do what I can to restore this taonga to as close to its natural form as I can. Fast forward to this weekend (gone), like most weekends, I was at Okahu Bay on Saturday and Sunday and spent approximately six hours in a waka. I am therefore qualified to speak on the recreational use of Okahu Bay.

4. Orakei Water Sports (OWS) was Co-founded by Tamaiti Tamaariki, Moana Tamaariki-Pohe and myself in 1999 and was incorporated in 2000. OWS is an Iwi/Marae based and community focused organisation, whose purpose is to provide waka ama (recreational waka), waka taua (ceremonial waka) and waka hourua (sailing waka) activities; and opportunities to experience cultural and customary practices. I have worked with the user groups at the Okahu Landing for many years. There is mutual respect understanding with all of the groups. I have been given the mandate to represent; Ferg’s Kayaks, Auckland Sailing Club, Waterwise, Auckland Canoe Club, Hauraki Sports and Orakei
Water Sports regarding the development of the Eastern (ugly) end of the Okahu Landing. The groups have been working on a project for over fifteen years that has been named The Okahu Moana Marine Activities and Education Centre. The most recent invitee to the group is Ngati Whatua. The groups worked closely with and are supportive of and supported by Royal Akarana Yacht Club and the Akarana Marine Sports Trust.

EVIDENCE

5. Okahu Bay has hosted recreational and cultural activities including Maori and European communities since Tamaki-Makaurau was colonised. Okahu Bay has also been developed which has in some cases been detrimental to the use of the Bay. Examples are set out below.

The recreational user and uses of Okahu Bay - PAST

(a) Historically Ngati Whatua took part in waka and European boat races on the Waitemata and at Okahu Bay. Waka and boats races as part of celebrations of the first gift of land from Ngati Whatua to Governor Hobson in 1841.

(b) In 1914, a sewer pipe was built that ran along the foreshore of Okahu Bay cutting off Ngati Whatua’s village off from access to the water, the Waitemata and one of its food sources; from the south.
In the 1930's, the moorings that were in Hobson Bay and Mechanics Bay were moved to Okahu Bay to accommodate the Seaplane, further reducing accessibility to the harbour, from the north. The Seaplanes last operated in Mechanics Bay in 1989, yet the moorings remained in Okahu Bay.

In the 1940s the Okahu (Landing) Boat Ramp was built; and in 2004, permission was granted to build a 172 berth marina. The marina covers 5.7ha of the sea by the Royal Akarana Yacht Club, extending about 250m west from the Okahu Landing seawall, encroaching further still, on the Bay.
(e) In 1990, a major waka event was staged at Okahu Bay as part of the 150 year celebration of the signing of the Treaty of Waitangi. Ngati Whatua hosted all the tribal groups who were present before they headed to Waitangi.

(f) December 31st 1999, crews of waka ama paddlers gathered at Okahu Bay to welcome in a new millennium. A flotilla of Waka ama flanked Mahuhu o te Rangi (the waka taua of Ngati Whatua Orakei) as the sun rose in Okahu Bay on the 1st of January 2000.

The recreational user and uses of Okahu Bay – PRESENT

6. As the nearest beach to the CBD, with good and prominent access along Tamaki Drive, Okahu Bay is a popular recreational area for Aucklanders and tourists. There are public toilets and showers available with a great playground and playing field across the road. The beach stretches all the way round from Ferg’s Kayaks to Kelly Tarlton’s Underwater World, so there are plenty of reasons for people to spend time at Okahu Bay Beach. The types of recreational activities that have occurred in the Bay are: wading, swimming, waka ama (outrigger canoes), waka taua (ceremonial waka), waka tangata (smaller ceremonial waka), kayaking, stand up paddle boarding, small sail boats, 12' and 18' Skiffs, and surf lifesaving boating. The moored boats in Okahu Bay make it difficult to access the harbour on a low tide, especially when a northerly wind is blowing.

7. Currently the ‘organised’ groups and clubs at the eastern end of the Okahu Landing, using Okahu Bay are:
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(a) Ferg’s Kayaks who is a commercial operator involved in renting and selling recreational water crafts (and bikes) which also provides a community service for schools.

(b) Art & Surf which is a Stand Up Paddle Board company which also provides free lessons and activities for community groups.

(c) Auckland Canoe Club which is a kayak club.

(d) Waterwise which is a collective of schools that teaches children to sail.

(e) Auckland Sailing Club which is a high performance sailing club. Its members sail 12’ and 18’ skiffs.

(f) Hauraki Sports which is a Waka Ama club which focuses mainly on training secondary school students.

(g) Team Bros is a multi-sport club which organises swims at Okahu Bay and uses Orakei Water Sports as their base club.

(h) Orakei Water Sports which provides Waka & cultural activities and its leaderships is Ngati Whatua o Orakei, as are some of its members.

(i) Royal Akarana Yacht Club which has a number of groups who share their facilities and access the Bay at the western end of the Okahu Landing, and they also run a number of sailing programmes for children and young people.

8. The photographs below show some of these recreational activities:

- [Image of people kayaking]
- [Image of people on a stand-up paddleboard]
- [Image of people on a sailing boat]
- [Image of people on a sailboard]
9. Okahu Bay has also hosted major sport and recreational activities such as triathlon events. Hundreds if not thousands of people from all ages turn out to participate in the annual triathlon. Corporate and sporting events are held frequently at Okahu Bay.

Regular triathlon events are started from Okahu Bay.

10. In December 2014, Ngati Whatua Orakei hosted a number of visiting Waka Hourua. These waka were from many different Pacific Islands, including Samoan, Fijian and Rarotongan waka. Visiting Waka Hourua must either beach or tie up on the outside of the Orakei marina in Hobson Bay, as there is no room for them to anchor in Okahu Bay, when the tide is low.
The recreational users and uses of Okahu Bay - FUTURE

11. I would estimate that there are about 90 – 100 boats moored at Okahu Bay (up to the line of the marina sea wall). If these were removed, the Bay would be opened to various current and potential recreational activities.

12. Removing the moorings from Okahu Bay has the potential to increase the future users and uses of Okahu Bay. Apart from gusting northerly winds, Okahu Bay is a relatively safe and sheltered bay. There is unlimited potential in Okahu Bay for recreational users and uses. For example, windsurfing is a sport that is rarely seen in Okahu Bay. The removal of the moorings could see this activity increase.

13. The biggest benefit of removing the moorings at Okahu Bay from a recreational perspective is safety. The main safety benefits would be:

(a) Clear line of sight from the land to the sea would make the bay a safer place for people, in particular children, to swim, paddle and sail. It would mean there would be more public surveillance of those using the Bay.

(b) Clear and unimpeded access to the Harbour.

(c) The ability to hold paddling and sailing regattas in the Bay, without concern of collisions.

(d) The ability to set up a 500m race course to hold national and international sprint regattas for paddle sports.

(e) Waka hourua will be able anchor in Okahu Bay on all tides.
(f) The ability to train people (including children and young people) to paddle and steer waka ama, waka taua and waka tangata without concern of colliding with boats moored in the Bay.

(g) The ability to train people (including children and young people) to sail without concern of colliding with boats moored in the Bay.

(h) People could learn to windsurf in Okahu Bay.

14. If the moorings are removed from Okahu Bay and relocated to the western side of the mooring zone, some boat owners may need to travel further (e.g. by dinghy) to access the moorings. However, there are plans to construct dinghy storage sheds and lockers and a dinghy boat ramp at the western end of The Landing (see attached letter from Royal Akarana Yacht Club, and attached Draft Concept Plan).

Community support for removing the moorings

15. Letters supporting the removal of the moorings have been received from: Orakei Water Sports, Ferg’s Kayaks, Auckland Sailing Club, Royal Akarana Yacht Club, Hauraki Sports and Nga Kaihoe o Aotearoa. These are attached to my evidence.

16. Not all of the moorings in Okahu Bay are occupied and approximately four boats a year sink and need to be salvaged. There are number of boats currently moored in Okahu Bay that are deemed to be ‘derelict’ and will need to be removed. According to Bruce Goff of the Harbour Master’s office, there are enough moorings in Hobson Bay to accommodate the boats that are currently moored in Okahu Bay.

17. If Okahu Bay was not full of boats on moorings there would be all tide access to deep water in Okahu Bay. People could swim outside the boating passage, a 500m all tide all wind race course could be set up for paddling sports, 12’ and 18’ skiffs could enter and exit the bay without fear of collision, parents could watch their children and always have sight of them.

18. Since 1914, Okahu Bay has shrunk. From the south, west, east and north Okahu Bay has been closed in on. The removal of the moorings will give back
a little of what has been taken; not just to Ngati Whatua, but to all the people of Auckland City.

Donna Tamaariki
2 March 2015
Donna Tamaarki
Ngati Whatua Orakei
Okahu Bay
Auckland

23rd February 2015

Kia ora Donna,

Letter of Support

Ngati Whatua has made a submission to the Unitary Plan to relocate the moored boats out of Okahu Bay. The opportunity to open the Bay to be used as a “playground” for all the water based users in the area will make an amazing asset to the clubs and the community.

The Royal Akarana Yacht Club has over 1,000 students a year from its membership and surrounding schools that are learning to sail and race. The current situation has these students from the age of 7 years and up sailing beyond the breakwater and into the greater harbor area. Clearing space for the children to sail inside the Bay would greatly increase the safety for the students and coaches, allow them to sail more often and within sight of their families on shore plus it will encourage more children to “have a go”. We are also home to Sailability Auckland and allowing these sailors access to a cleared Bay will also increase their safety, control and encourage more sailors onto the water.

The clearing of the Bay will also allow us to promote our sport. We are working hard with the Akarana Marine Sports Charitable Trust to construct a $13 million facility on site to replace our existing clubroom and create a marine based multi-sport facility for 4 other clubs and several groups. This facility will provide the Orakei, Auckland and NZ community with a world class event base and attract people from across Auckland, NZ and the globe to train and compete. Having the Okahu Bay clear of moored boats will allow competitors to race in the Bay and spectators will be able to see the sailors, paddlers and swimmers from the shore. This will allow us to promote the sports to a wider range of spectators and help encourage more people to participate in these activities.

All these efforts are focused on creating active spaces and active communities. Many of the vessels moored in the Bay do not follow this active policy.

The Royal Akarana Yacht Club actively supports the relocation of the moored boats in Okahu Bay to an acceptable alternative location, to swing moorings in Mechanics Bay. This would align with The Landing Concept Plan and the inclusion of an additional ramp to launch and retrieve dinghies.

Thanks and regards

Andrew Brookland
General Manager
24.2.2015

RE: Mooring Zone/ PAUP - Submission # 3085-105

To whom it may concern,

I, Ian Ferguson owner of Fergs Kayaks, am writing in support of submission lodged by Ngati Whatua Orakei Whai Maia Limited specifically pertaining to the removal of moorings at Okahu Bay.

Fergs Kayaks have for 24 years taken part and supported the use of Okahu Bay as a safe haven for waters sports. For all of Auckland’s water, there are very few safe areas for users of small craft to learn and train.

Ian Ferguson
MBE
23rd February 2015

Orakei Water Sports Incorporated Society
Okahu Landing, Tamaki Drive
Okahu Bay

RE: Mooring Zone/ PAUP - Submission # 3085-105

To whom it may concern,

I, Donna Tamaariki; Co-founder of Orakei Water Sports, am writing in support of submission lodged by Ngati Whatua Orakei Whai Maia Limited specifically pertaining to the removal of moorings at Okahu Bay.

Orakei Water Sports (OWS), are a community based waka ama organisation with a commitment to providing experiences that enhance the mauri of the bay. OWS have a diverse membership of whanau members from Orakei Marae and non-whanau from the wider Tamaki Makaurau community. Currently OWS share facilities with Auckland Sailing Club. OWS members access Okahu Bay on a daily bases, most days of the year.

Orakei Water Sports have contributed to the research and revitalisation of the bay through community projects; including assisting to lay mussels reefs and help with mapping the health of the bay.

Removing the moorings in Okahu Bay and relocating the boats to Hobson Bay will provide an open space for waka and other recreational crafts.

Nga mihi,

[Signature]

Donna Tamaariki
Co-founder
Orakei Water Sports Inc.
Auckland Canoe Club Inc.
PO Box 9271
New Market Auckland

RE: Submission #3085-105 – Mooring Zone Okahu Bay

I, Ian Calhaem, on behalf of the Auckland Canoe Club, am writing to support the submission lodged by Ngati Whatua Orakei Maia Ltd relating to the proposed removal of the moorings in Okahu Bay.

It is my understanding, (I provided some of the historical data), that the water Quality of Okahu Bay has deteriorated rapidly since the construction of the Orakei Marina rock wall. Initial design had a gap in the wall allowing the outgoing tide to continue to “sweep” the bay clean twice per day.

The lack of tidal flow in the bay means that any pollutants introduced to the Bay remain there. Boats inevitably bring pollutants as these are either marine growths, or if anti-fouled, then chemical pollutants to kill growth on the hulls, but consequently killing any other desirable marine growth in the bay.

Removal of the moorings from Okahu Bay, back into Hobson bay will be a desirable step in restoring the Bay to its original pristine condition and in establishing the Bay as a valued source of Kai Moana.

Secondary to the improvement in the water quality will be the opening up of the bay for all types of water Sport.

This area is in constant use by a wide range of water sports. Children mostly use the bay during the week through school activities, and the Bay is heavily used at weekends but all types of sporting activities.

Sincerely

Ian Calhaem
24 February 2015

RE: Mooring Zone/ PAUP - Submission # 3085-105

To whom it may concern,

Nga Kaihoe o Aotearoa (Waka Ama New Zealand) Inc. is the Sport New Zealand recognised national sports organisation for the sport of Waka Ama / Outrigger Canoe in New Zealand

I am writing in support of submission lodged by Ngati Whatua Orakei Whai Maia Limited specifically pertaining to the removal of moorings at Okahu Bay.

Waka Ama is a sport that has grown rapidly in popularity over the last 10 years. The Okahu Bay area provides an ideal location for Waka Ama and other small water craft to recreate in a safe environment.

In 2012 Orakei Water Sports and Waka Ama New Zealand hosted the largest Long Distance Waka Ama National Championships from Okahu Bay. The event was greatly successful and the location perfect for attracting national and overseas visitors to such events. The removal of the moorings would in our opinion provide for an even greater platform for such events in the future.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Lara Collins
Chief Executive
Nga Kaihoe o Aotearoa (Waka Ama New Zealand) Inc.
email: lara@wakaama.co.nz
Ph: 0275464612
Donna Tamaarki
Ngati Whatua Orakei
Okahu Bay
Auckland

24 February 2015

Hi Donna,

Letter of Support

Ngati Whatua has made a submission to the Unitary Plan to relocate the moored boats out of Okahu Bay. Auckland Sailing Club (ASC) support the notion to open Okahu Bay to be used as a water space for all the users in the area, the removal of these moored boats will create a safe area for all of the clubs and the community.

Built in the 50’s, The Auckland Sailing Club is the home of high performance skiff sailing. The predominant classes sailing from the ASC are the 12 foot skiffs and the 18 foot skiffs. Racing is held every Sunday over the summer period providing the public with some of the most spectacular sailing to be seen and the sailors with thrilling sailing conditions. The club regularly hosts international regattas namely the 12 foot skiff Interdominions and the 18 foot skiff ANZAC and Mark Foy regattas.

The Auckland Sailing Club rooms are used by many community groups during the week and weekend providing these groups with club rooms and facilities to base their activities. Such groups as Orakei water sports (waka ama) and water wise (sailing for school kids).

The removal of the moored boats will provide for a more aesthetic, safe and hazard free venue when holding international yachting regattas while better providing for the general public to use the bay.

Many of the moored boats pose a health and safety hazard given the condition they remain in. Often during periods of high winds one or more of the moored yachts will break from its mooring and wash up on the ramp creating a tangled mess of rigging and broken hulls. This alone is a safety hazard for the general public using this area.

Further, the location of these boats on moorings prevents a safe passage for navigation out of the bay, beyond the breakwater. The Sailing members of ASC require the sheltered launching ramp that the landing provides followed by a safe
channel to navigate out to the Waitamata Harbor. The moored boats currently prevent such a safe passage given their encroachment towards the shore.

The Auckland Sailing Club supports the relocation of the moored boats in Okahu Bay to an acceptable alternative location. Swing moorings in Mechanics Bay are a sufficient alternative location. This would align with The Landing Concept Plan and the inclusion of an additional ramp to launch and retrieve dinghies while providing a world class venue for hosting international sailing regattas.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards

Geoff England
Auckland Sailing Club Commodore
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Purpose
The purpose of this document is to present a proposal for the establishment of a community centre in the Stonefields suburb.

Background
About Stonefields
The Stonefields community is New Zealand's first planned medium to high-density development. The suburb covers 110 hectares, the old Winstone's Mt Wellington quarry site, and is a mix of five to six floor apartment blocks, free standing three and four bedroom houses, and a mix of terraced houses and duplex style accommodation.

Stonefields is still in its construction phase and there are at least 10 further apartment blocks to be built before Todd Properties Ltd, the developer, see the project completed in 2025.

Current population is about 4,000 with planned population to exceed 6,400.

The 2013 Census indicates that there were 747 households in Stonefields and the population was 2,241. An interesting note is that 45% of those people were born outside of New Zealand, this combined with the statistics that 35.6% of the population specifying Asian heritage (Auckland City 23.1%), shows that Stonefields is a more diverse community.

The Masterplan, approved by Auckland Council for Stonefields allowed for some interesting green spaces, and many pocket parks scattered through the residential development. The School is at the heart of the community, and there is a small, struggling commercial precinct.

It is noteworthy that no allowance was made for any community space, community centre or building.

Currently, four of the five apartment complexes built within the Stonefields suburb have no community meeting spaces for social activity or residents' meetings. The recent completion of Bellus (along College Road) has a community and central BBQ plus kitchen area. For the remaining Stonefield's residents there is no place for community groups to meet apart from the school hall, and Stonefields School has been very generous in making available, their hall, staff room, the school library, and other spaces for community groups.

As can be seen in the letter of support from the school, this creates issues for the school, and that the school regularly turns away groups who require space during the school day as the has a statutory requirement to meet its education obligations first. Currently and for a considerable period of time, outside of school hours there is a near full booking schedule for both the school hall and other spaces.

Tamaki Village Company
Of recent years, the Tamaki Village Company was established by a group of developers, which includes Chinese interests. Tamaki Village Company have purchased the former University of Auckland’s Tamaki Campus site which was originally built for the 1980 Commonwealth Games in Auckland as the competitors'
Village. With the University of Auckland acquiring land closer to the C80, the site became surplus. The Tamaki Village Company are developing a master plan which will see between 1,800 and 2,300 residential units from one bedroom to four bedroom being built on this site. The focus will be housing for young families, and the developer believes the final development will be much like Stonefields. Planned population will be in excess of 4,000 people. The facilities provided will include a library, café kindergarten and a park like environment with a pool. There are although, NO plans for a community centre.

Justifying a Community Centre
In a nutshell, this means that within a two kilometer radius from our desired site, a population of more than 10,000 people will live, work and relax in a location with very limited access to a community facility for religious services, clubs, group activities, ongoing education, playgroups for young children; in fact with very limited access to a centre for a broad range of community and social activities.

The school can meet that need for larger groups for evenings and weekends. Other facilities in and around Glen Innes will also experience increased utilisation, but in discussions with community centres in the area, particularly Meadowbank, utilisation is currently at 70% and there are users being turned away, because of time conflicts.

In canvassing the idea of a community centre in Stonefields, we have used social media to gauge the reaction to the idea, and the level of support. Many of us have talked to neighbours and residents on the street. There has been no negative comment about the concept of a Community Centre; and there have been great ideas and uses promoted for the centre. We are very confident of the high levels of support for this centre, and that once built, we will experience high utilisation in a very short timeframe.

Thanks to the generosity of Tim Endley (Rockfield Group) and Frank Xu of Shundi Group, we have been given a building suitable for relocation (110 sq.), in excellent condition, as the basic community facility. It is necessary to add to this building for toilet facilities, (including disabled) kitchen, smaller meeting room, and a storage/utilities and users locker space. The building and additions will need to be repainted to better blend in to the location once positioned.

The Community Centre steering group see this as a community centered project, with residents actively participating as their skills allow in the project, from cleaning, painting, landscaping through working bees, just as tight knit communities around New Zealand have done for many years. We see this project as not just providing a much-needed facility, but also bringing residents together in a sense of ownership of the centre.

Location
Two sites for the location of the community centre have been explored:

Two other sites; Colin Maiden Park and Waiatarua were highlighted by the Local Board as possible sites. Colin Maiden was discounted as it was well removed from the Stonefields community, and required people (children) to cross at least one major road to gain access to the area. With knowledge that the Stonefields
School is expanding, and discussions have commenced regarding extending the hall to accommodate school usage. While this project is still at its preliminary stages, it would clearly be helpful for the school to have a supplementary facility close at hand, and a Colin Maiden site does not help with this. The steering committee also believe that the community centre should be within walking distance to minimise the impact the centre would have on traffic and parking. It is believed that Colin Maiden fails on these three objectives. Waiaatarua was discussed and rejected for similar reasons. It is further distanced from Stonefields and the lack of footpaths along the eastern side of Abbotts Way, would mean children crossing Lunn Ave at traffic lights, and re-crossing Abbotts Way at a point where there are neither lights nor a pedestrian crossing. This would reduce foot traffic to the centre to zero.

While both locations are removed from residential buildings, reducing the risk of noise disturbance to immediate residents, there is a heightened security risk because of the remoteness of both sites. Both of these opportunities were discounted by the group, because they were seen to be too removed from the Stonefields community.

Site # 1 - Tauoma Cres
This site (highlighted in yellow in Figure 1) was discounted due to the following reasons:
- Location was very close to the surrounding houses, and already resulting in local residents objecting
- Limited parking, in an already congested area
- Land has an immense amount of water run-off from Mt Wellington, therefore increased cost for drainage
- More ground works required to relocate and connect the building
- The site wasn’t conducive to future expansion.
- We have already received complaints from immediate neighbours (and abuse!)

Site # 2 - Lot 903 DP450854 Barbarich Drive
Advice from the Orakei Local Board;
Lot 903 DP 440854 comprises of 2.0791 hectares (more or less) and is contained in computer freehold register 548382. It is owned in fee simple by Auckland Council subject to the Reserves Act 1977. It vested on the deposit of DP 440854 in Auckland Council for the purposes of being a recreation reserve subject to the Resource Management Act. Unfortunately, it is still an unclassified reserve, but this is to be expected when the vesting was so recent and from a private developer [Stonefields Communities Limited in 2011]. If you were to construct a community building on this parcel you would need to classify the land as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve, rather than as a recreation reserve, to ensure use of the reserve would be consistent with its classification. The classification could be done by way of resolution from the Orakei Local Board pursuant to section 16(2A) of the Reserves Act 1977, which means you would not need to publish the classification in the New Zealand Gazette.

The preferred location (highlighted in red in Figure 1) for the proposed community centre is the current vacant land overlooking the Maungarei Wetlands beside the pumping station. Its location has none of the negatives of site # 1:
- Fewer disturbances from the centre for neighbouring properties.
- Greater parking capacity within the confines of the pumping station and the street
- Free draining land
• Less ground work required
• Site is conducive to future expansion.
• Site is within easy walking distance of all of Stonefields.

While this site is close in proximity to future apartment blocks to be constructed in 2019/19, it is below a retaining wall which will deflect noise away from the apartments, and it is below the sight line, therefore not impinging on views of the wetlands. These apartments are being built to a modern standard with double-glazing and ‘hush glass’ plus high levels of insulation, minimising the risk of noise disturbance to near neighbours.

Figure 1 - Locations Investigated

The of position the community centre will be confirmed on acceptance of this proposal, approval for layout will be undertaken in consultation with the Orakei Local Board through the Auckland Council planning and permit process. This process will determine the minimum number of car parks to be added, and how existing paved areas are better used.

Initial investigation has highlighted the indicative positioning of the community centre as shown in

Figure 2 lends itself to future development and expansion of the community centre as we also are able to obtain, again at no cost an additional building to ‘bolt on’ from Tamaki Village Company.
Figure 2 - Concept Drawing
Users
A variety of users have already expressed an interest in holding their workshops, meetings etc., at the community centre. We also have had discussions with surrounding community centre operators to validate our occupancy utilisation.

Existing fitness groups, currently using open space or covered spaces at the school during winter, have signaled their interest in using the centre for boot camps. These operators already exist in the community, and therefore will not impact significantly on the new Fitness centre to be established in the commercial precinct, nor the existing Yoga Centre.

Recurring Bookings
A variety of users who offer recurring bookings have expressed an interest in utilising the community centre as outlined.

Early Morning 6.00 am - 9.00 am
- Women’s Fitness Group 4 hours
- Boot Camp 2 hours

Morning 9.00 am - 12.00 noon
- Play Groups 4 hours
- Language class 2 hours

Afternoon 12.00 noon - 6.00 pm
• Language class  2 hours  
• Keas/Cubs     4 hours  
• Brownies   2 hours  
• Craft Group  4 hours  

Evening  6.00 pm - 10.00 pm
• Scouts         3 hours  
• Guides         3 hours  
• Martial Arts   3 hours  

Saturday  Various times
• Children’s Birthday  3 hours  

Sunday  Various times
• Religious Group x 2  6 hours  

**Organisational Bookings**
Other organisations have also expressed their interest in using the community centre, should all these eventuate, this would draw an income of approx. $48k per annum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Per Annum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRA</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School over run</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scouts</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guides</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$2,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overruns other halls</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness 1</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$3,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo classes</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness 2</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$3,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playgroups</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church groups</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (English for beginners)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$47,800

**Support**
This proposal is supported by the Stonefields School who see this as a collaborate opportunity and will market the community centre through their communication updates to parents. Refer Appendix I for their letter of support. We have had preliminary discussions with the school in relation to having the school run the community centre booking system. It is believed that this will result in optimisation of bookings in relation to the space and time require by those booking a venue, thus we do not erode revenue for the school, and are able to take the better suited bookings for the centre. We would negotiate a fee with the school for this service.
Operation & Occupancy

The community Centre will be managed and operated by a democratically elected committee, which will take over from the steering committee once Auckland Council has considered this application, signaled it approval and agreed to lease the site. This committee will decide all aspects of the centres operation, establishing rules and procedures for venue hire, charges, and contracts associated with hireage.

We believe that the Centre will be able to be listed on the Auckland Council website, along with similar centre around Auckland. Although no formal discussions have been held to formalize this, however it has been raised that the Stonefields School, may, for a fee, manage a booking system for the centre, thereby maximizing the efficiency of space utilization, across the two venues.

There will be multiple key-holders for the venue and the committee will manage access and lock-up on a rostered basis.

The community centre will provide a venue for many Stonefields community based organisations and resident events and will be suitable for a wide range of activities and services from one-to-one consultants, small business meetings, recreational classes and private functions.

The community centre will operate in accordance with the hours of operation set by Auckland Council and will have wheelchair parking, accessible toilet and entrance ramp.

Calculations have been undertaken, discussions with neighbouring community centres and based on the feedback we have received from expressions of interest for use of the community centre, we have taken a conservative approach for years one, two and three. In discussions we’ve had with neighbouring community centres, their occupancy rate is in excess of 70%.

- Year one - occupancy rate = 20%
- Year two - occupancy rate = 30%
- Year three - occupancy rate = 35%

Occupancy, for the purpose of this proposal is calculated on utilisation across 48 weeks each year.

Parking

This is a concern for every resident of Stonefields is parking. We have in our group, a specialist from Downer, who has experience in managing all aspects of parking. Access to the Pump Station is currently restricted by two pipe posts and a gate. It is proposed that this be removed, giving access to paved area and a driveway to the Pump Station. With careful planning a number of carparks could be laid out in this area without restricting access to the Pump House. We would envisage adding additional parking spaces as require, and this is budgeted for in Construction Cost Consultants Ltd assessment.
Financial Analysis

Having had extensive discussions with a variety of community centre operators in surrounding areas, we have a solid understanding of the operating costs plus repairs and maintenance required to keep up the community centre.

It should be noted that the operation of this community centre initially would be run by a group of volunteers to enable to costs to be kept to a minimum.

Initial Funding and Fund Raising

As outlined previously, Tamaki Village Company has donated the building at no cost for the purpose of a establishing a community centre in Stonefields and also offered a second building if required.

Funding for the relocation, alterations and additions is proposed to come from a number of sources, including application for grants and donations from organisations such as ASB, Lotteries Commission and other organisations that offer community grants and funding.

We have also had positive discussions with Mount Wellington Licensing Trusts two charitable trusts, which has been looking for a community project to support in the Stonefields suburb.

Establishment costs, to establish a legal entity have been set at no greater than $2k to cover lawyer and accountant’s costs.

This proposal does not request from Auckland Council any funding, other than the providing of the site.

Ongoing Costs

In the first two years, we are forecasting to break-even, our costs below are anticipated to be $15k per annum. The running costs of the community centre have been developed based on the assumption that no ongoing funding is received, we although are planning to apply, annually for funding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Per annum</th>
<th>Per month</th>
<th>Details/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire alarm &amp; testing</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone &amp; internet</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>For Security monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning &amp; materials</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>Non recoverable cleaning costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs &amp; maintenance</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$125</td>
<td>R&amp;M over and above volunteer work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
<td>$375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground rent</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountant</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>Annual tax return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Companies Office Return</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>Annual Companies Office return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL COSTS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$14,950</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,279</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Long Term Maintenance

The relocated building is in excess of 20 years of age, and while in very good condition, it has been unused for several years. Inspections after heavy rain show no leaks. The roof is Colorsteel long run, and will need to be repainted, to keep it in good repair, and to have a colour that better integrates with the Stonefields environment. All joinery is aluminium, and is in good repair. The walls are clad in fibre cement board, and there are minor repairs to be completed after relocation, in preparation for painting.

It is envisaged that the exterior will require painting every seven to ten years, on condition, and this can be an excellent community project, subject to compliance with Health and Safety requirements, such as scaffolding, safety and protective equipment.

It is felt that the existing spouting will need replacement in the next five years, and that there will be more than adequate funds in the Repairs and Maintenance reserves.

Income

For the first two years while the community centre is established, our proposed rates for charge out are set at a minimal rate and forecast to break-even.

Based on hourly charge out rates for similar facilities in the Eastern Suburbs, we have used the figures of $20.00 per hour for residents and $25.00 per hour for commercial/non-resident users.

We intend having a separate smaller meeting room as part of the new addition to the centre. We have not included any revenue derived from this room in this report.

Initially, to establish the community centre, we are proposing that local recurring user groups would be given up to three month’s free use and only pay the cleaning fee.

To support the community of Stonefields, special rates will apply to Stonefields residents and Stonefields based commercial and not-for-profit organisations.

All hire rates will include a cleaning cost depending upon the type of hire and this will ensure that the community centre is at all times kept to a commercial clean standard ready for the next hire. This cleaning charge covers cost of cleaning the floor, toilets and kitchen, replenishment of toilet paper and kitchen consumables.

Cleaning rates

- Hire - one to three hours  $25
- Hire - three hours and above  $50

Hire Rates

Stonefields Residents & Organisations

- Hire  $20 per hour
Non-Stonefields Residents
- Hire $25 per hour

Revenue
As outlined in the Support section this proposal is supported by the Stonefields School who have provided a letter (refer Appendix I) where they will, as a gesture of collaboration, market the community centre through their communication updates to parents.

In our discussions with potential users, we have talked with two providers of differing fitness and exercise providers currently operating in Stonefields. Both use outside open space because there is no suitable venue in the suburb. They currently operate and therefore while competing with the many gyms and fitness centres close to Stonefields, the owners of the proposed fitness centre for Stonefields will have already considered this competition in their business planning. The type of service offering by these providers is not Yoga, and therefore will not impact on the Stonefields Yoga business. In fact, there is much synergy between the services provided and every likelihood that fitness/boot camp clients could be potential Yoga practitioners, rather than displace customers from this local business.

This proposal is based on conservative occupancy rates for the first three years, starting at 20%, increasing to 30% in year two, with 35% in year three. Cleaning is not included in the calculations below, as it is cost neutral to cover the costs incurred to pay a cleaner.

Table 1 - Proposed Opening Hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPENING HOURS</th>
<th>OPEN</th>
<th>CLOSE</th>
<th>HOURS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>6:00 AM</td>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>6:00 AM</td>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>6:00 AM</td>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>6:00 AM</td>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>6:00 AM</td>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

94

Table 2 - Financial Forecast Years 1 - 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th># Hours</th>
<th>Per Week</th>
<th>Per Annum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hours open per week</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy percentage</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy hours per week</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stonefield Residents &amp; Organisations</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Stonefield Residents</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$225</td>
<td>$10,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$425</td>
<td>$19,125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Per Week</th>
<th>Per Annum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hours open per week</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy percentage</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy hours per week</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Stonefield Residents & Organisations  $20  18  $360  $16,200
Non Stonefield Residents  $25  10  $250  $11,250

$610  $27,450

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Per Week</th>
<th>Per Annum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hours open per week</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy percentage</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupancy hours per week</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stonefield Residents &amp; Organisations</td>
<td>$20  23</td>
<td>$460  $20,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Stonefield Residents</td>
<td>$25  10</td>
<td>$250  $11,250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$710  $31,950

It is clear that even with a utilisation of 20% the community centre is self-funding. If utilization was lower than 20%, there would be deemed to be insufficient support for the project anyway. Surpluses will allow the committee to allow initial rent-free periods for community groups to become established.

**Project Overview**

There are three stages to this project once we have Auckland Council agreement and documentation for the use of the land.

**Stage One - Building Relocation**

Craig Walker Building Removals have provided a cost estimate to relocate the building to site within Stonefields; $35k GST inclusive would be more than sufficient for relocation. A detailed cost will be obtained on confirmation of the approval of this application.

Including permits and consents, their fully inclusive cost estimate includes lifting, transportation and repositioning of the building on approved foundations, and connection to services.

**Stage Two - Building Alterations & Additions**

This stage is for the addition of the kitchen, toilet, hot water cylinder, alarm, fire sprinklers and additional rooms for meetings and storage; this stage should follow immediately stage one to make the facility compliant and functional.

Construction Cost Consultants Ltd provided a cost estimate for the extension required, and to ensure “no surprises” this cost is on the high side. Their cost is $214k GST inclusive or $7,100/sqm - refer Appendix II

Approaches from Stonefields’ residents who are either tradesmen (builders, painters, plumbers and carpet layers) or home handymen have been received to offer their time at no charge. Local organisations have also expressed their support to provide product, services or resources at either no charge or cost price. A number of Stonefields’ residents have also offered to source materials and equipment through their
association with various key suppliers. All these offers will considerably reduce Construction Cost Consultants cost estimate.

Stage Three - Fit-out & Landscaping
The finishing touches outside will come with the landscaping; again offers from Stonefields’ residents (to provide their labour free) have been received. These offers range from keen gardeners through to landscape gardeners, keen to be part of this amazing project. Existing landscaping carried out (without authority) on this reserve, will be uplifted and stored for replanting by the community, with guidance from Auckland City’s in house specialists.

For both inside and outside, a range of items will need to be acquired for the fit-out:

- Collapsible and stacking trestle tables
- Stacking chairs
- BBQ
- Meeting room table & chairs
- Crockery
- Vacuum cleaner
- Dishwasher, stove and fridge
- Heaters
- Microwave
- Whiteboard.

A variety of local organisations are interested in providing either goods or funding as sponsors of the fit-out, therefore no costing has been calculated. In return, these organisations will be acknowledged on the community sponsorship board at the community centre.

Project Steering Group & Supporters
Having canvassed the local community, a number of community groups; The Stonefields Residents Association, The Stonefields Community Network, and representatives from other groups and potential users have resolved to establish a group to establish a formal project to proceed with establishing a much needed community centre within the Stonefields suburb. There is a great mix of ages on our steering group reflecting the nature of our community. It is pleasing to note the number of younger parents who have asked to be involved, and this augers well for the ongoing management of the facility, and demonstrates that this is not ‘just the dream of old men’.

The group consists of:
- David Eason (Chair)
- Fiona Eason
- Barry Goodey
- Ailsa Perkins
- Maureen Benson Rae
- Rob Whyte
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• Lesley Whyte
• Chris Baylis
• Mary Baylis
• Lara Baylis
• Andrew Bramwell
• Tabitha Parker
• Judy Johnson

Others who have committed to supporting the steering group as and when required;
• Paul Vester
• Mike Crook
• Nicola Talbot
• Lin Montero Soto
• Darrin Wong
• Kerry Laing
• Terry Moore
• Matt Maingay
• Elain Behere
• Chloe Lovell
• Chris Scrafton
Dear David,

In response to my conversation with a member of the Stonefields Community Network, we would be delighted to assist with the project you are undertaking. The establishment of a Stonefields Community Hall will be a wonderful addition to the area for the benefit of all.

Over the years we have been approached on a very regular basis by community groups and individuals seeking space to hold functions or regular gatherings both social and educational/activity based.

We have endeavoured to accommodate as many as possible, however we are at the point where our role growth is such, that the pressures on our own facilities are somewhat stretched and regrettably we have had to turn down a growing number of requests.

I can think of a couple of language groups, namely Mandarin and Japanese that we have been locating in the library which is not ideal as they are growing in numbers. These groups currently meet on a Tuesday / Thursday afternoon for a period of 1 and 1.5 hours respectively and possibly would welcome the additional space you are likely to be able to offer. We also allow Spanish lessons to be held in one of our learning hubs from 8am on Thursdays.

Our before and after school care commitment also means that hall hire is not available until post 6pm and therefore groups who wish to conduct activities in that post school period are unable to utilise our facility. The other issue for regular hirers is, that at times the school requires use of the hall for events, leaving them in a position of having to cancel a certain number of bookings throughout the year.

We note that you are in the early stages with this project so it may be useful to you that we collect contact information and pass this on in the interim. In the interests of not confusing groups in the area, we will hold off mentioning this project to those currently utilising the school.

Because we are a primary school we are constantly being asked if birthday parties could be held at the school. This is an area we have decided not to allow hire for due to the potential for damage and for the hall to be left in an undesirable manner. Equally with the usage we already have booked in regularly over the weekends, it would become difficult.
In a gesture of collaboration with our community groups, we would be happy to market the new Community facility through our communication update to parents. We have a community notice Board for items such as this which we generally charge for but in this instance we would waive this fee.

If you were able to offer lockable storage cupboards within the facility, any users would welcome this. Even though we have a very large Hall there is no space on-site built in to accommodate storage for hirers. It would be ideal for some of them if they could lock and leave regularly used items.

Over the years we have gained a reasonable amount of experience in hiring out the school hall and have a pretty good understanding of what groups are looking for in the way of facilities. I would be happy to pass all this on when you are further down the track.

All the best and please do keep in touch.

Kind regards

Ellie Bramwell
Office Wizard
Appendix II
### Stonefield Community Centre
#### Budget Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Per m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Excavation &amp; Alterations</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Excavation</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Concrete Work</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>$54,195.00</td>
<td>$1,656.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hardware</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Joinery</td>
<td>$5,200.00</td>
<td>$173.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Doors</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$158.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fencing</td>
<td>$4,540.00</td>
<td>$149.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Proprietary Cladding Systems</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Roof Coverings</td>
<td>$550.00</td>
<td>$18.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Painting</td>
<td>$93,200.00</td>
<td>$3,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Drainage</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td>$433.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Fire Protection</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Electrical Services</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td>$83.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Plasterboard Laying</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Resilient Flooring</td>
<td>$1,050.00</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Painting &amp; Specialist Finishes</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$66.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Glazing</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Floor Covering</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$66.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>External Works</td>
<td>$42,200.00</td>
<td>$1,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-total: $151,223.00 $ 5,044.10

Builder's Margin (9%): $13,045.84 $ 435.51

Construction Works Total (Excluding GST): $164,268.82 $ 5,209.27

GST: $27,939.33 $ 936.94

Construction Works Total (Including GST): $192,208.15 $ 6,146.21
## Elemental Cost Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Demolition &amp; Alterations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To Existing Building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Carefully prepare and cut-out opening in exterior wall for connection to amenities extension.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To New Building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Carefully prepare and cut-out opening in exterior wall for connection to existing building</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for Demolition &amp; Alterations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Excavation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To Existing Building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Strip vegetation and prepare for new building site</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Excavate building foundation system for new amenities extension sub floor framing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Excavate building foundation system for link between existing building and new proposed amenities extension</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for Excavation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Concrete Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Concrete to pile foundation system - Amenities Building</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>$280.00</td>
<td>$8,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Concrete to pile foundation system - Link</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>$280.00</td>
<td>$840.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Concrete to disabled access - Existing Building</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td>$280.00</td>
<td>$840.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for Concrete Work</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,880.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>Carpentry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Amenities Building - Allow for propriety 10 x 3 m extension structure. (New)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Allow for 2nd Hand propriety 10 x 3 m extension structure. option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Allow to deliver to site and erect in position</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>500 x 100 SED timber piles - Amenities Block</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>$350.00</td>
<td>$5,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Allowance for bracing system</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Perimeter foundation wall</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$1,690.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>500 x 75 bearer</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stonefield Community Centre
#### 4th December 2017

#### Elemental Cost Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>200 x 50 floor joists, as required, for new amenities block</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>m $30.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Secure Amenities Block to foundation system</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No $500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>100 x 75 bearer</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>m $30.00</td>
<td>$1,080.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>200 x 50 floor joists, as required, for new amenities block</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>m $30.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Secure Amenities Block to foundation system</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>No $220.00</td>
<td>$3,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>100 x 75 bearer</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>m $30.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>200 x 50 floor joists, as required, for new amenities block</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>m $30.00</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Timber decking</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>m2 $90.00</td>
<td>$540.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Timber Balustrade system</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>m $500.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Ramp Handrail</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>m $40.00</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Signage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sum $300.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Security</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sum $1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Carpentry-**

$54,193.00

### 5.00 Hardware

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Allow for the Provisional Sum for Hardware</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sum $1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Hardware-**

$1,000.00

### 6.00 Joinery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Allow to Install a public facility type kitchen with pantry</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No $5,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Allow to install equipment lockers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No $300.00</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Joinery-**

$5,300.00

### 7.00 Windows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Allow to remove existing window and create opening for new link to Amenities Block</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No $500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Windows-**

$500.00

### 8.00 Doors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Additional doors to annex</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No $1,200.00</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Doors-**

$3,600.00

### 9.00 Partitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Partitions in Amenities Extension to allow the formation of toilet cubicle/disabled cubicle/kitchen-foyer area</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Item $2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Attachment A

### Item 9.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>Toilet Partitioning, framing/gib-board/paint finish</td>
<td>m² 12</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C</strong></td>
<td>Foyer Partitioning, framing/gib-board/paint finish</td>
<td>m² 6</td>
<td>$130.00</td>
<td>$780.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for Partitions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,380.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10.00</strong> Proprietary Cladding Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>Allow for cladding to link between hall/amenities block</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$1,300.00</td>
<td>$1,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for Proprietary Cladding Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>11.00</strong> Roof Coverings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>Allow for roofing to link between hall/amenities block</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for Roof Coverings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>12.00</strong> Plumbing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>Allow to provide water supply to the facility</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>Plumbing to kitchen facility</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C</strong></td>
<td>Plumbing to Public Toilet</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>Plumbing to Disabled Toilet</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E</strong></td>
<td>Tea/Coffee Instant Hot Water Heater</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$1,800.00</td>
<td>$1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F</strong></td>
<td>Hot water Cylinder</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for Plumbing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13.00</strong> Drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>Allow Provisional Sum for Sewer Drainage system and connect to existing</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>Allow Provisional Sum for Stormwater Drainage system and connect to existing</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for Drainage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>14.00</strong> Fire Protection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>Allow Fire Protection system to comply with current regulations</td>
<td>1 Sum</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for Fire Protection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>15.00</strong> Electrical Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>Allow to modify existing switch board for new facilities</td>
<td>1 No</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>Alterations to Electrical services</td>
<td>40 m²</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for Electrical Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16.00</strong> Plasterboard Linings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>Allow to provide Gib Board wall linings to new Timber framed walls</td>
<td>40 m²</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>Allow to provide Gib Board ceiling linings to new timber framed ceilings</td>
<td>20 m²</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stonefield Community Centre
4th December 2017

**Elemental Cost Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>17.00 Resilient Flooring</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Allow for Floor Coverings to Extension</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>m²</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$1,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Resilient Flooring</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18.00 Painting &amp; Specialist Finishes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Painting to new works</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Painting &amp; Specialist Finishes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>19.00 Glazing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Mirrors to Toilets</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>$160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Glazing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20.00 Fire Proofing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Allow for extension to manual call point alarm system</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Allow to provide Hand held Fire extinguisher</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for Fire Proofing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>21.00 External Works</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Strip vegetation for 10 car park area</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>m²</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Bulk excavation</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>$5,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Construct 10 carpark facility (150mm basecourse with bituminous seal including kerbs etc)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>m²</td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Landscaped area</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>m²</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Allowance for paths etc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sum</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for External Works</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$42,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total carried forward to Summary</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$163,523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Orakei Local Board

Public Forum – 15 March 2018

From - Akarana Marine Sports Charitable Trust

Mark Taylor – Trustee
BACKGROUND

Events for the Olympic Sailing classes plus the youth classes have been held at Kohi Yacht Club and Royal Akarana Yacht Club over the last 10 years. This included the event known as Sail Auckland which was originally hosted at Kohi. When the event outgrew the infrastructure available at Kohi it was hosted from Royal Akarana Yacht Club and Auckland Sailing Club at The Landing Okahu Bay.

When it became imminent that the old RAYC building was going to be demolished, the event was moved to the North Shore to cover while the reconstruction process was taking place.

THE PROPOSED 49ER, 49ER FX AND NACRA WORLD CHAMPS IN DECEMBER 2019

These three classes represent some of the most exciting high-performance sailing in the current world circuit. The two-person 49er skiffs have been in every Olympics since 2000 - our own teams Peter Burling/Blair Tuke and Alex Maloney/Molley Meech winning Gold and Silver at Rio 2016 respectively. The Nacras are a fleet of foiling performance catamarans, and likewise an Olympic class sailed by a mixed Female/Male crew.

Royal Akarana Yacht Club has been shortlisted to help host the event, along with Torbay Sailing Club on the North Shore as the second option. We believe the unique site here in Okahu Bay, together with the (soon to be completed) Marine Sports Centre, offers an amazing opportunity to host this event. A Central Auckland location will be more attractive to international competitors and will provide greater exposure, audience engagement and overall optimal economic benefit to the Auckland region. Easy access central city site will attract maximum engagement from participants - sailors, coaches and support crew.

Due to the lack of infrastructure that exists on the North Shore (or anywhere else in New Zealand) The Landing would make the most appropriate venue to host an International Event of this size.

This event being a World Championship for the Olympic class in the run up to the 2020 Olympics is likely to attract 400 international sailors to New Zealand and require most of the space at The Landing over a 2 week period from the last week of November 2019 to approximately 12 December 2019.

WHY THIS IS IMPORTANT TO NEW ZEALAND SAILING AND OLYMPICS

This event will form one of the most important qualifying and competitive events for New Zealand sailors preparing for the Tokyo Olympics 2020.

Having this event hosted on our sailor’s native waters is a massive advantage for their Olympic preparation. Attracting the international competition into New Zealand over summer will bring both sporting and economic advantages to Orakei, Auckland and New Zealand.

This proposal has the support of all the Yacht Clubs in the Orakei area, plus Yachting New Zealand and ATEED. It also helps put Orakei, Auckland and New Zealand on the international stage for major events.

WHAT IS REQUIRED AT THIS TIME

We are mindful there is a lack of infrastructure in Auckland to host an event of this size so:

1) We are seeking Orakei Local Boards agreement in principal to support most of The Landing being made available to host the event November-December 2019.

2) Re-activating storage for 6 of these Olympic class boats being available so the New Zealand team can start preparing

3) Establish a working group to identify how this event can be used to attract international sports people and visitors to Orakei, both before and after the main event.