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27 Consideration of Extraordinary Items
1 Welcome

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 21 March 2018, including the confidential section, as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

- The passing of Mama Vainemoroa Titaenua who was tragically stuck by a vehicle on Idlewild Road.
- La'aulo Lopesoliai Joseph Parker son of Mangere, recent heavyweight belt holder but recently defeated by English Anthony Joshua last Sunday. We acknowledge his determination and stamina to compete in the Boxing ring in Cardiff Wales representing Samoa and New Zealand. A huge achievement.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 3.20 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.
8.1 Deputation - STRIVE (Nga Tapuwae Community Centre)

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Phil Davis, Chairperson and Sharon Wilson-Davis, Chief Executive Officer, from STRIVE would like to present to the board their annual presentation as required in their funding agreement.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
   a) thanks Phil Davis and Sharon Wilson-Davis for their attendance and update.

8.2 Deputation - Ōtahuhu Town Hall Community Centre

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Bella Tamotu from the Ōtahuhu Town Hall Community Centre would like to present the centre’s annual report.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
   a) thanks Bella Tamotu for her attendance and update.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

9.1 Public Forum - Mangere East Family Services

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Justine Skilling, Regeneration Facilitator and John Belford-Lelaulu, Project Manager from Mangere East Family Services would like to present to the board on plans for the area at the back of the Mangere East Community Centre. This is for a community/sculpture garden/makerspace in collaboration with design students from De La Salle College.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
   a) thanks Justine Skilling and John Belford-Lelaulu for their attendance and update.
9.2 Public Forum - Signage on George Bolt Memorial Drive, Mangere

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Bev Knowles wishes to discuss with the board “district finder signs” assisting to locate historic places and also picnic areas once leaving the Auckland International Airport.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) thanks Bev Knowles for her attendance and presentation.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”

11 Notices of Motion

There were no notices of motion.
Manukau Ward Councillors Update

File No.: CP2018/04093

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. A period of time (10 Minutes) has been set aside for the Manukau Ward Councillors to have an opportunity to update the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board on regional matters.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) receive the verbal reports from Cr Alf Filipaina and Cr Efeso Collins.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This item allows the local board members an opportunity to present verbal and written updates on their leads and appointments meetings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Impact Forum for Kohuora Corrections Facility</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Bridge BID</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Town Centre BID</td>
<td>Tafafuna‘i Tasi Lauese</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere East Village BID</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otahuhu Business Association</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Harbour Business Association BID</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group</td>
<td>Tafafuna‘i Tasi Lauese</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Connections South Local Governance Group (3 members)</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien, Makalita Kolo, Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich (appointed 15 March 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori input into local board decision-making political steering group (1 lead, 1 alternate)</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Pukaki Tapu O Poutukeka Historic Reserve &amp; Associated Lands Co-Management Committee</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambury Park Centre</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Mountain Education Trust</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government New Zealand Zone One Committee</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Board Leads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lead Service</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure and Environmental Services lead</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, Community and Events lead</td>
<td>Tafafuna‘i Tasi Lauese</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua/ Christine O’Brien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Sport and Recreation lead and Community Facilities</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua/ Tafafuna‘i Tasi Lauese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries and Information Services lead</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua/ Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local planning and heritage lead – includes responding to resource consent applications on behalf of board</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua (Planning) Carrol Elliott (Heritage)</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport lead</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott/ Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic development lead</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Southern Initiative Joint Steering Group</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua (appointed 17 May 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquor Licence Hearings – Delegation to represent</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich (appointed 17 May 2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott (appointed 19 April 2017)</td>
<td>Togiatolu Water Togiamua (appointed 19 April 2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receive the verbal and written updates from the local board members.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chairpersons Report and Announcements

File No.: CP2018/04095

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This item gives the Chairperson an opportunity to update the local board on any announcements and for the local board to receive the Chairperson’s written report.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receive the verbal update and written report.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Youth Connections Local Governance Group (LGG) 2017/2018 work programme bi-annual update report.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Youth Connections Local Governance Group (LGG) terms of reference outlines that progress on work programme initiatives are presented to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu and Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Boards every six months.
3. The LGG has representatives from both local boards and has oversight of the delivery and spend for Youth Connections activity across the two local board areas.
4. In 2017/2018, the total operating budget for youth employment activities across both local boards was $140,196. This report covers activity for the 2017/2018 financial year to date.
5. Initiatives during 2017/2018 include driver licensing programmes, JobFest and supporting local youth to receive technical training on a broad range of multimedia skills.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) receive the Youth Connections Local Governance Group (LGG) work programme 2017/2018 bi-annual update report.

Horopaki / Context
6. In 2012, the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board and Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board jointly established the LGG, comprising of eight elected members from both local boards.
7. The LGG has oversight to ensure that local youth employment programmes are delivered to meet local needs. They collaborate on opportunities and pathways for youth employment to improve social and economic outcomes for local young people and their families.
8. This initiative builds on the work of the Mayors’ Taskforce for Jobs: supporting Auckland’s young people into local jobs by improving the links between school leavers and local employers.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and adviceLocal Governance Group (LGG) Work Programme 2017/2018
9. The LGG work programme 2017/2018 (Attachment A) comprises of four strategic focus areas:
   - Extending Horizons – improving the transition journey from school to employment
   - Skills Exchange – Auckland Council family
   - Investing in Futures – changing the career conversations
Youth Connections Regional Strategies – leverage and impact.

10. Highlights from the LGG work programme 2017/2018 include:

- Driver licence programme
  - 560 young people have obtained their learners licence in the 2017/2018 year to date. This is an increase from 450 in 2016/2017. Over 70 per cent of entry level employment roles require a driver’s licence and not obtaining a driver’s licence is a known barrier to youth employment. A number of these young people have become the first members in their family to gain a licence.
  - A joint initiative with Manukau Institute of Technology (MIT) will also assist 30 young people to obtain their restricted license.
  - The following article appeared online highlighting this initiative: [https://www.stuff.co.nz/auckland/local-news/manukau-courier/100002663/drivers-licence-helping-south-auckland-youth-into-employment](https://www.stuff.co.nz/auckland/local-news/manukau-courier/100002663/drivers-licence-helping-south-auckland-youth-into-employment)

- JobFest – 11 October 2017
  - JobFest was held at Trust Stadium in Henderson. Over 70 businesses and 800 young people attended. Free buses were provided to transport to the venue for around 50 young people from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu and Ōtara-Papatoetoe local board areas.
  - Young people from the local Passion to Profession programme performed at the chill out zone and acted as the event MC. Youth Connections and #WorkGoals co-designed a work readiness programme for Pasifika youth in Māngere-Ōtāhuhu and Ōtara-Papatoetoe.
  - Twelve local young people participated in the 12 week work readiness pilot; feedback received indicated they gained valuable insights and learnings. Outcomes included participants gaining employment in warehousing, hospitality, retail and roofing.

- Supporting local creativity and entrepreneurships
  - Through the Passion to Profession courses young people have received technical training on a broad range of multimedia skills including music and radio production. Using skills learnt students have:
    - Activated at a number of events, including the Miami Park Family Fun Day
    - Showcased their work at Volume South at the MIT Gallery
    - Videoed political party representatives for the 2017 general election.

Financial accountability

11. The operating budget for 2017/2018 is $140,196 including the underspend of $196 from 2016/2017. All income sources for 2017/2018 are detailed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu &amp; Ōtara-Papatoetoe LGG Local Board Youth Connections Income Summary 2017/2018</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening Balance Sheet as at 1 July 2017 (carried over from 2016/2017)</td>
<td>$ 196.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board contribution</td>
<td>$ 60,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board contribution</td>
<td>$ 60,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Tindall Foundation funding</td>
<td>$ 40,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 140,196.61</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. The majority of spending for 2017/2018 has been the investment in pathways for youth into employment. Enabling young people to obtain a driver’s licence provides positive social or
economic outcomes. For example, where young people have become the first members of 
their families to gain a licence, lowering the possibility of fines from driving unlicensed 
and able to gain employment enabling contribution to the family income.

13. A detailed breakdown of spend for 2017/2018 is supplied as Attachment B.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

14. The LGG has representatives from both Māngere-Ōtāhuhu and Ōtara-Papatoetoe local 
boards.

15. The group has delegated authority and oversees delivery and spend for Youth Connections 
activity across the two local boards.

16. Youth Connections aligns with the following outcomes from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local 
Board Plan 2017:
   - a place where everyone thrives and belongs
   - a strong local economy

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

17. Local rangatahi aged 16-24 years in both local board areas are over represented in statistics 
of young people who are not in employment, education or training (NEET).

18. Sixty three per cent of Auckland’s NEET are Māori or Pasifika. One in four young Māori 
women are NEET.

19. Youth Connections works with multiple sectors to support youth from secondary education 
through pathways to employment and or entrepreneurships and creative endeavours. This 
includes working with rangatahi to enable their work readiness.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

20. There are no financial implications with the submitting of this report.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

21. There are no risks with the submitting of this report.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

22. No next steps are to be considered with this report.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>LGG Work Programme 2017/2018</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>Financial Status for LGG 2017/2018</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā kaihaina / Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Tracey Hainsworth-Faafo - Youth Connections Specialist Broker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Graham Bodman - General Manager Arts, Community and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
YOUTH CONNECTIONS
Leveraging off regional investment for local board benefit & outcomes in Youth Employment

LGG Work Programme 2017/2018

Collaborate with multiple sectors to support youth from secondary education through pathways to employment and or entrepreneurship and creative endeavours.

CLOSING THE GAP BETWEEN YOUTH AND BUSINESS, THROUGH WORK READINESS WITH LOCAL RANGITAHU AND SHARING LEARNINGS AND INSIGHTS TO ENABLE YOUTH READY BUSINESS.

Providing local opportunities to improve social and economic outcomes for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu and Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board areas. Aiming for all youth to be meaningfully engaged in education, employment or training and have clear and viable employment pathways.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Area of Focus</th>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YOUTH FULL</strong></td>
<td>An online platform enabling youth to land, learn and earn. YouthFULL (<a href="http://www.youthfull.co.nz">www.youthfull.co.nz</a>) is primarily a work readiness tool. Attitudinal and aptitude course, co-designed with employers assist youth to become work ready and connect to youth ready business with entry level roles.</td>
<td>Local youth have access to FREE online work readiness courses. Local business has access to local youth for entry level roles. Allowing youth to work and live locally.</td>
<td>IMPROVING SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES FOR LOCAL YOUTH AND THEIR FAMILIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YOUTH ENGAGEMENT</strong></td>
<td>Promoting pathways and careers to local youth. Assist youth to become work ready and connect to youth ready business with entry level roles.</td>
<td>Having greater accessibility to the local youth / Rangitahi for career options and pathways to create and achieve career goals. Providing valuable comprehension of employment opportunities</td>
<td>IMPROVING SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES FOR LOCAL YOUTH AND THEIR FAMILIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUSINESS ENGAGEMENT</strong></td>
<td>Bringing youth ready local business closer to work ready youth. Utilising YouthFull as a digital spine with pledge partners, BID’s, business associations and SME engagement to augment and leverage off line relationships.</td>
<td>Closing the gap between youth ready local business and work ready youth to provide employment outcomes.</td>
<td>IMPROVING SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES FOR LOCAL YOUTH AND THEIR FAMILIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADDITIONAL WORK READY TOOLBOX REQUIREMENTS</strong></td>
<td>Promoting and supporting of skills required to become work ready, i.e computer skills / CV workshops, local cost effective drivers licence initiatives aiming for sustainability, site safety course, soft skills etc.</td>
<td>Youth become aware for need of personalised cover letters and CVs. Youth become aware of their soft skills and the value of promoting these. Youth understand the value of a drivers licence for youth employment. IMPROVING SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES FOR LOCAL YOUTH AND THEIR FAMILIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Area of Focus</td>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEADERSHIP &amp; INFLUENCE</td>
<td>LEADING COUNCIL'S ASSETS AND RESOURCES</td>
<td>Identify opportunities across the Council Family to integrate youth employment tactics so local youth can access a range of activities that increases their exposure to, and engagement with employers and job opportunities, with a specific focus on the Southern Initiative (includes Māori Pasifika Trades Training), Procurement, and Council community assets.</td>
<td>Activates the Council Pledge and increases youth employment, collaborating with internal departments to assist with promotion of Youth Connections initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKILLS EXCHANGE/ COLLABORATION</td>
<td>YOUTH EMPLOYER PLEDGE PARTNERS</td>
<td>Partner with ATEED and YC Regional to identify Youth Employer Pledge partnership opportunities for engaging local youth, school students, whanau/families, and school clusters</td>
<td>Programme implemented to leverage value from employers to build youth capability and employment opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Council Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COLLAPSES SPACE BETWEEN EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYMENT READY YOUTH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INVESTING IN FUTURES</td>
<td>COMMUNICATION AND CASSE STUDIES</td>
<td>Sharing the success stories and delivering consistent communications so youth employment remains top of mind and the ecosystem course corrects</td>
<td>Call to action increases partnerships and collective impact to generate greater empathy between employers and young people and providing insights and evidence of successful outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing the Career Conversation</td>
<td>ENTREPRENEURIAL INITIATIVES</td>
<td>Seed Fund - validate and grow creativity, entrepreneurship and start-ups, for youth to pathway into</td>
<td>Youth Entrepreneurial skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IMPROVING SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES FOR LOCAL YOUTH AND THEIR FAMILIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YC REGIONAL STRATEGIES</td>
<td>YC REGIONAL STRATEGIES</td>
<td>Regional initiatives and strategies that benefit local communities</td>
<td>Unemployed/under employed youth gain access to employment opportunities and engagement with employers, Youth are mobilized to create change within their own lives, Employers gain access to talent pool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage and Impact</td>
<td>JOBFEST – promote, market and engage local young people and employers to access and use the JOBFEST platform</td>
<td>IMPROVING SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES FOR LOCAL YOUTH AND THEIR FAMILIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Youth Connections Income, Actuals and Forecasting July 2017 - June 2018

*This budget combines both Local Boards for Ōtara-Papatoetoe and Māngere-Ōtāhuhu*

### Ōtara-Papatoetoe & Māngere-Ōtāhuhu LGG Local Board Youth Connections Budget Summary 2016/17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening Balance Sheet as at 1 July 2017 (Carried over)</td>
<td>$106,61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board contribution 2017/18</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board contribution 2017/18</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tindall Funds 2017/18 $20k for full year ($10K per local board)</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$140,196.61</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Actuals 1 July 2017 - 30 June 2018 Ōtara-Papatoetoe & Māngere-Ōtāhuhu LGG Local Board Youth Connections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Details/Notes</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Quarter Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ctr. 1 Jul-17</td>
<td>Passion to Profession - June - Dec Māngere &amp; Ōtara</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$37,920.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-17</td>
<td>Passion to Profession - School Anthem &amp; YouthFull Promotion</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
<td><strong>$45,920.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctr. 2 Oct-17</td>
<td>#WorkGoal - Work readiness</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$8,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>De La Salle College Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>Mangere College Learner Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>Sir Edmund Hillary Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>Tangaroa College Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>Southern Cross Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>Acere College Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>ASDA College Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>Kia Aroha College Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-17</td>
<td>Te Aro Potama Restricted Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td><strong>$33,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctr. 3 Jan-18</td>
<td>Papatoetoe High School Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan-18</td>
<td>Pacific Advance Senior School Driver Licencing Programme 17/18</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
<td><strong>$5,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$83,920.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Financial Commitments Ōtara-Papatoetoe & Māngere-Ōtāhuhu LGG Local Board Youth Connections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Details/Notes</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar-18</td>
<td>MIT Accelerator Scholarships</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$12,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-18</td>
<td>Passion to Profession - June 2018</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>$16,420.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$29,170.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Unallocated Funds by Ōtara-Papatoetoe & Māngere-Ōtāhuhu LGG Local Board Youth Connections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td><strong>$140,196.61</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less actual expenditure</td>
<td><strong>$83,920.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less committed expenditure</td>
<td><strong>$20,170.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds available to allocate</td>
<td><strong>$27,106.81</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment B

Item 15
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy was implemented on 1 July 2015. The policy guides the allocation of local, multi-board and regional grant programmes to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders.
3. The Community Grants Policy supports each local board to review and adopt their own local grants programme for the next financial year.
4. This report presents the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Community Grants Programme 2018/2019 for adoption (see attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
  a) adopt the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Community Grants Programme 2018/2019.

Horopaki / Context
5. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy was implemented on 1 July 2015. The policy guides the allocation of local, multi-board and regional grant programmes to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders.
6. The Community Grants Policy supports each local board to review and adopt their own local grants programme for the next financial year. The local board grants programme guides community groups and individuals when making applications to the local board.
7. The local board community grants programme includes:
   • outcomes as identified in the local board plan
   • specific local board grant priorities
   • which grant types will operate, the number of grant rounds and opening and closing dates
   • any additional criteria or exclusions that will apply
   • other factors the local board consider to be significant to their decision-making.
8. Once the local board community grants programme for the 2018/2019 financial year has been adopted, the types of grants, grant rounds, criteria and eligibility with be advertised through an integrated communication and marketing approach which includes utilising the local board channels.
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Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice
9. The new Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Community Grants Programme has been workshopped with the local board and feedback incorporated into the grants programme for 2018/2019.

10. The new grant programme includes:
   - new outcomes and priorities from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan 2017
   - the same number of grant rounds for 2018/2019, as are available in 2017/2018.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views
11. The new Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Community Grants Programme has been workshopped with the local board and feedback incorporated into the grants programme for 2018/2019.

10. The new grant programme includes:
   - new outcomes and priorities from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan 2017
   - the same number of grant rounds for 2018/2019, as are available in 2017/2018.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views
11. The Community Grants Programme has been developed by the local board to set the direction of their grants programme. This programme is reviewed on an annual basis.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement
12. All grant programmes respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to improving Māori wellbeing by providing grants to organisations delivering positive outcomes for Māori. Applicants are asked how their project aims to increase Māori outcomes in the application process.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications
13. The Community Grants Programme has been developed by the local board to set the direction of their grants programme. This programme is reviewed on an annual basis.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
14. The allocation of grants occurs within the guidelines and criteria of the Community Grants Policy and the local board grants programme. Therefore, there is minimal risk associated with the adoption of the grants programme.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps
15. An implementation plan is underway and the local board grants programme will be locally advertised through the local board and council channels. Targeted advertising and promotion will be developed for target populations, including migrant and refugee groups, disability groups, Māori and iwi organisations.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Grants Programme 2018/2019</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Marion Davies - Community Grants Operations Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Shane King - Operations Support Manager  Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Community Grants Programme 2018/2019

Our Community Grants Programme aims to provide contestable community grants to local communities.

Outcomes sought from the local grants programme

Our grants programme will be targeted towards supporting the following outcomes, as outlined in our local board plan:

A strong local economy
- Improve skills training, increase employment opportunities for the local workforce especially Maori and Pacific youth

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu is the heart of Maori and Pasifika arts and culture
- Our diverse communities enjoy arts and cultural facilities that are vibrant, creative hubs for connecting, engaging and learning, and expressing identity
- Increase opportunities for Maori and Pasifika arts and cultural expression

A place where environment and heritage are protected, enhanced and preserved
- Manukau Harbour and its coastline is clean, improved and protected.
- Local heritage is protected, enhanced and recognised.
- Reduce waste by improving waste management practices in the local area

A well - connected area
- Attractive, accessible and safe cycleways and walkways
- Safe, attractive and well-maintained streets for all

Facilities to meet diverse needs
- Collaboration and best use of local community assets and resources is encouraged.

A place where communities thrive and belong
- Increase the sense of safety in neighbourhoods and reduce harm from gambling, alcohol and synthetic drugs
- Increase opportunities for active living and community involvement and connectedness
- Young people are engaged and have a voice and contribute positively in local matters

Higher priorities for grants

Projects which demonstrate:
- Smoke free messages
- Zero waste practices

Lower Priorities:
We will also consider applications for other services, projects, events and activities. However, these may be considered a lower priority.
- Commercial entities and promotion of commercial entities
- Ticketed events
- Activities that primarily benefit communities outside the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area
• Activities that primarily benefit a third party (eg. activity to gain money for an organisation)
• Grants to support the purchase of, or maintenance associated with, motor vehicles
• Wages or operational costs
• Grants to support equipment or items for one-off events

The local board will not fund:
• Exclusion one: Applications for Liquor licenses
• Exclusion two: Core activities and tasks of business entities
• Exclusion three: Hiring of facilities for religious purposes
• Exclusion four: Applicants who have already had two successful grants applications within the current financial year. However these applicants may be eligible to receive funding if they are:
  o collaborating with other groups
  o contributing significantly to the project

Investment approach
The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has allocated budgets to support the local grants programme as follows:

**Quick Response Grants**
- $ 2000 maximum amount per grant

**Local Grants:**
- Above $ 2000 per grant, two contestable rounds per annum

**Event Grants**
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board will, at their discretion, fund a few community events, projects or initiatives as part of regular calendar of events that align with the priorities of the local grants programme. Recipients are required to put in an application and provide accountability for the funding they have received in that financial year, report on the progress they have made and demonstrate they are meeting any key performance indicators before funds will be released for the following year. e.g., applicants are to provide information on expected number of participants and final numbers who attended.
These grants will be allocated through the events work programme and will be administered by the relevant departments:

• Ōtāhuhu Family Fun Day
• Māngere East Cultural Festival
• St. Patrick’s Day
• World Diabetes Day
• Māngere Town Centre Arts Festival
• Māngere Bridge Food and Wine Festival
• Counties Manukau Sporting Excellence Awards
• Eye on Nature
• Ōtāhuhu Ethnic Food Festival
**Application dates**

Grant rounds for 1 July 2018 to 30 June 2019 will be as follows:

**Quick Response**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 rounds</th>
<th>Grant Opens</th>
<th>Grant Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>20 August 2018</td>
<td>14 September 2018</td>
<td>17 October 2018</td>
<td>1 November 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>9 October 2018</td>
<td>2 November 2018</td>
<td>12 December 2018</td>
<td>17 December 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round three</td>
<td>15 April 2019</td>
<td>10 May 2019</td>
<td>19 June 2019</td>
<td>1 July 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Local Grants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 rounds</th>
<th>Grant Opens</th>
<th>Grant Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>25 June 2018</td>
<td>3 August 2018</td>
<td>19 September 2018</td>
<td>1 October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>11 February 2019</td>
<td>22 March 2019</td>
<td>15 May 2019</td>
<td>1 June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Multi-board funding**

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board will also consider funding multi-board grant applications in collaboration with other local boards. Applicants will need to clearly demonstrate how their intended project, event and/or activities will specifically benefit people and communities in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 Multi-board Grant rounds</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>16 June 2018</td>
<td>17 August 2018</td>
<td>17 October 2018</td>
<td>1 October 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Accountability measures**

The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board requires that all successful applicants to provide:

- success and outcomes of the project against local board outcomes and priorities
- photos and anecdotal narratives as supporting information
- Standard financial accountability, e.g. receipts and comparison of spending against budget.
- Information on community contributions
- Invite to events directly contributed to by the local board grant.
Road Name Approval: New Subdivision at 102 Princes Street and 19 Albert Street, Otahuhu

File No.: CP2018/04664

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board to name a new private road (right of way) created by way of a subdivision at 102 Princes Street and 19 Albert Street, Otahuhu.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has Road Naming Guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for proposed road names and have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.
3. Following consultation with local iwi, Ngati Tamaoho suggested the following road name options for consideration:
   - Pononga Place (/ or Lane)
   - Whaiaao Place (/ or Lane)
   - Haumako Place (/ or Lane)
4. On behalf of the applicant (the resource consent holder), agent Design Partners Ltd have also submitted the following road name options:
   - Bhagat Place (/ or Lane)
   - Nanak Place (/ or Lane)
   - Bhagat Ji Place (/ or Lane)
   - Bhagat Singh Place (/ or Lane)

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
   a) approve a name from the abovementioned list of options, for the new private road created by way of a subdivision at 102 Princes Street and 19 Albert Street, Otahuhu (Council resource consent reference SUB60219956 and BUN60078998), in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974.

Horopaki / Context
5. Resource consent has been obtained for construction of 24 new dwellings on 24 new lots, approved on 31st October 2017 under Council resource consent references LUC60108005, SUB60219956 and BUN60078998. Access to the development is to be provided via reciprocal rights of way over each lot. This access way requires a new road name.
6. A site plan of the road and development can be found in Attachment A.
7. The subject development site is close to the local Sikh temple (Otahuhu Gurudwara) at 118 Princes St, Otahuhu, so the applicant’s proposed road names are derived from Sanskrit words and Sikhism.
8. Local iwi have also provided Te Reo road name options.

### Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

9. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect:

- a historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
- a particular landscape, environmental or biodiversity theme or feature; or
- an existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.

10. Both local iwi and the applicant (consent holder) have proposed road names, summarised in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iwi’s Proposed Name &amp; Preference</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by Ngati Tamaoho)</th>
<th>Acceptable?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pononga Place</td>
<td>Pononga - meaning disciple, follower; in light of the applicant’s proposed names, the Te Reo equivalent of ‘Bhagat’ (below).</td>
<td>Yes: Connection to local area. Name not already in use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whaiaio Place</td>
<td>Whaiaio – meaning to find peace; with respect to the applicant’s proposed name ‘Nanak Place’, representing the teachings of Sikh Guru Nanak (see below).</td>
<td>Yes: Connection to local area. Name not already in use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haumako Place</td>
<td>Haumako - meaning rich or fertile; acknowledging the rich &amp; fertile lands &amp; waters that surround Otahuhu</td>
<td>Yes: Connection to local area / environment. Name not already in use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant’s Proposed Name &amp; Preference</th>
<th>Meaning (as described by Applicant)</th>
<th>Acceptable?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bhagat Place / or Lane (preferred)</td>
<td>The subject development is close to the local Sikh temple. The word Bhagat (also called Bhakt) is derived from the Sanskrit word Bhagavata, which means: a devotee of the Lord (Bhagvan) / disciple. Broadly speaking, a Bhagat is a holy person or a member of a community whose objectives involve leading humanity towards God and highlighting injustices in the world.</td>
<td>Yes: Connection to local area (nearby Sikh Temple). Name not already in use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhagat Singh Lane (alternative option)</td>
<td>Bhagat Singh was an Indian nationalist and a significant figure in India’s independence movement, but also a controversial figure, in that he was hanged for shooting a British police officer in 1928.</td>
<td>Partially: Connection to local area (Sikh temple) but could be considered controversial. Name not already in use. First preference of local Sikh Temple.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanak Place / or Lane (alternative option)</td>
<td>Nanak: Indian religious leader &amp; founder of Sikhism; known as Guru Nanak, the first of the ten Sikh Gurus. Not seeking to create a new religion, he preached that spiritual liberation could be achieved</td>
<td>Yes: Connection to local area (nearby Sikh Temple). Name not already in use. Second preference of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11. Land Information New Zealand has confirmed the proposed names are acceptable and no duplicates exist.

12. The proposed names are deemed to meet the council’s road naming guidelines. However, the option ‘Bhagat Singh’ could be seen as controversial and would ideally require additional community consultation beyond the local Sikh temple representatives.

13. The road suffix option of ‘Place’ is deemed appropriate, being defined in the road naming guidelines as a ‘short, sometimes narrow, enclosed roadway’. Lane is also deemed an appropriate option, defined as ‘a narrow roadway between walls or buildings’.

14. Iwi Consultation: All relevant local iwi were written to (via email) and invited to comment. Ngati Tamaoho suggested five Te Reo names, three of which were acceptable for use (the remaining two being already in use in the Auckland region). These have been included in the tables above for consideration by the local board. Ngai Tai KI Tamaki supported the names proposed by Ngati Tamaoho. Te Ahiwaru Iwi also supported the use of Te Reo names.

15. Community Consultation: The applicant contacted the local Sikh Temple for comment on their proposed names and the President of the Supreme Sikh Society of New Zealand responded in support of the names, with their first preference being ‘Bhagat Singh Lane’ and second preference being ‘Nanak Lane’. Although ‘Bhagat Singh Lane’ is the first preference of the local temple, this option could be seen as controversial due to the historical figure it represents (see description table). The applicant also contacted Otahuhu Business Association, but no response was received.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

16. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate impact on the community.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

17. Ngati Tamaoho suggested three Te Reo names acceptable for use (the remaining two being already in use in the Auckland region). These have been included in the tables above for consideration by the local board. Ngai Tai KI Tamaki supported the names proposed by Ngati Tamaoho. Te Ahiwaru Iwi also supported the use of Te Reo names.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications
18. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
19. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process, with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps
20. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand who records them on their New Zealand wide land information database, which includes street addresses issued by councils.
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Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. The purpose of this report is to: respond to requests on transport-related matters, provide an update on the current status of Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF), request approval for new LBTCF projects, provide a summary of consultation material sent to the board and, provide transport related information on matters of specific application and interest to the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board (MOLB) and its community.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. A decision is not required this month but the report contains information about the following matters:
   - The wider context or a summary of strategic projects or issues effecting the MOLB’s area
   - An update on Auckland Transport projects that are being delivered in the MOLB area including a Local Board Transport Capital Fund update.
   - A summary of consultation for future Auckland Transport activities.
   - Discussion of associated risks and engagement issues.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receives the Auckland Transport’s April 2018 update report.

Horopaki / Context

3. The most important strategic project at this time is a development of the RLTP. It is a plan for how transport delivery agencies (Auckland Transport, New Zealand Transport Agency, and Kiwi Rail) intend to respond to growth and other challenges facing Auckland over the next 10 years. It includes a 10-year prioritised delivery programme of transport services and activities. Essentially, it is a budget for Auckland’s transport expenditure.

4. RLTP consultation is scheduled for late April and early May 2018. It is very important that all local boards participate in the consultation and make their feelings about Auckland Transport’s work programme known.

5. Details of the process are as follows:
   - RLTP consultation will begin on Monday 23 April 2018 and close on Sunday 6 May 2018.
   - Members from all 21 local boards have been invited to an information, question and answer session on Monday 23 April 2018.
   - Each local board will have an opportunity to give verbal feedback on the plan to representatives of the Regional Transport Committee (the decision makers for RLTP) on Monday 30 April 2018.
There will be a number of public information sessions and the MOLB will be informed of these as soon as details are confirmed.

**AT’s actions against advocacy plans**

6. This section provides a regular report about how Auckland Transport is supporting the MOLB ‘Advocacy Initiatives’. The Board’s ‘Advocacy Initiatives’ are recorded in the MOLB Local Board Plan. In this month’s report the MOLB’s ‘Advocacy Initiatives’ from the 2016-19 term have been recorded in the table below:

**Table 1: Advocacy Initiative Status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advocacy Initiative</th>
<th>Key Initiative</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A well-connected area, part of a great, affordable public transport network that makes it easy for all to move around. | Deliver projects with the governing body and Auckland Transport including:  
7. Improving street connections between the Ōtāhuhu bus/train station and town centre  
8. Upgrading the street environment around Māngere East shopping area and community facilities  
9. Completing the Māngere town centre bus station upgrade  
10. Support walking and cycling connections around popular parks like Walter Massey and Māngere Centre | Auckland Transport has a range of projects underway supporting this initiative including:  
- Continuing to deliver Auckland Council’s Otahuhu Streetscape programme and has worked hard to mediate issues that arise.  
- Work on the section of Route 32 that will run from Mt Wellington to Otahuhu will start soon and the Auckland Transport officers meet with MOLB members to discuss the project.  
- The MOLB and Council plan to develop upgrade the Mangere-East Town Centre is still in Long Term Plan process. |
| Attractive, accessible and safe cycle ways and walkways. | Champion and support the Ōtāhuhu Portage route project to open the area for recreation, walking and cycling. | An advocacy issue that Auckland Transport can help support but can’t lead. |
| | Implement Norana path walkway and fund priority Local Paths projects | An advocacy issue that Auckland Transport can help support but can’t lead. |
| | Continue supporting Te Ara Mua-Future Streets and identify options to increase use of cycle ways and walkways | In March 2017 two events were supported by Auckland Transport’s Walking and Cycling Team.  
Auckland Transport supported the Mangere Marae to Maunga Hikoi, which was held at Mataatua Marae on Sunday 18 |
**Partner with Te Wānanga o Aotearoa to use digital technology to popularise and increase use of new paths.**

A MOLB project.

---

**Safe, attractive and well-maintained streets for all.**

**Develop and deliver improvements to Bader Drive, e.g. a roundabout at the Idlewild Road intersection and road widening near Māngere town centre.**

Auckland Transport is currently supporting the MOLB to deliver two LBTCF projects on Bader Drive both projects are progressing well.

---

### Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

8. A number of transport related issues are discussed in this part of the report. First a response is provided to MOLB ‘resolutions’. Then analysis and advice about Local Board Transport Capital Fund Projects which is followed by discussion of the other local Auckland Transport projects.

#### Responding to Resolutions

9. The ‘Resolution’ is recorded below in italics and Auckland Transport’s response is below the ‘Resolution’ in normal font.

**b)** requests Auckland Transport, as Auckland Council’s agent responsible for managing the Mangere Town Centre carpark and its activities to instruct the Mangere Market Trust to maintain the integrity of the painted pedestrian walkways and to instruct and monitor stallholders to honour these traffic markings.

**c)** asks Auckland Transport (as there is no current lease over the Mangere Market Trust) to instruct the Mangere Market Trust to implement this request forthwith.

10. The ‘resolutions’ have been forward to Auckland Transport staff responsible for managing the Mangere Market’s lease. At the time this report was written there has not yet been a response.

11. **d)** requests Auckland Transport to provide a report on the status of the Toia carpark adjacent to the Fresh Choice Supermarket as well as ongoing security, rubbish and all day parking issues in that area.

12. Auckland Transport actioned this request immediately and can report the following:

   - That this carpark is managed by Auckland Council’s Community Facilities Team.
   - A records check has been completed and neither Auckland Transport nor Auckland Council has a lease arrangement with the Fresh Choice Supermarket.
   - Senior Auckland Transport staff have contacted the owners of the Fresh Choice Supermarket who confirmed that they do not have a lease agreement with either Auckland Council or Auckland Transport.

13. This means that with regards to the concerns raised about management of the car-park:

   - General management of the carpark is Auckland Council’s responsibility. Auckland Transport has spoken to the Community Facilities responsible for this work and sent them a copy of the ‘resolution’. The following matters would fall under this team’s remit:
     - Lighting.
18. The current progress of all projects is summarised in the table below:

**Table 1: Local Board Transport Capital Fund Projects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
<th>Problem or Opportunity Being Addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upgrading the footpaths in and around the Mangere East Town Centre.</td>
<td>Currently ‘on hold’ pending Auckland Council Long Term Plan decision.</td>
<td>‘Rough Order of Cost’ approx. $700,000 - 1,000,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building a two lane roundabout at the intersection of Bader Drive and Idyllwild Road</td>
<td>Project in design.</td>
<td><strong>Rough Order of Costs</strong>: (21 June 2017) $700,000 - $1 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widening Bader Drive in front of the Cosmopolitan Club</td>
<td>Project in design.</td>
<td><strong>Rough Order of Costs</strong>: (21 June 2017) $200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashgrove Reserve Cycle Route</td>
<td>Project ‘on hold’ for MOLB to re-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. At this time Auckland Transport has completed the required checks and handed the matter to Community Facilities but will continue to liaise with Council.

**Local Board Transport Capital Fund**

15. The Local Board Transport Capital Fund is a capital budget provided to all Local Boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local Boards can use this fund to deliver projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme. The limitations being that the project must:

- Be safe.
- Not impede network efficiency.
- Be in the road corridor. Although projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome.

16. The MOLB’s funding in this term is approx. $ 2.9 million.

17. Early in this electoral term the MOLB started identifying possible projects and planning workshops have been held and reported on previously. Then on 31 January 2018 the MOLB workshoped initial Local Board Transport Capital Fund projects with Auckland Transport.
Item 18

assess priorities.

Rough Order of Costs: (16 August 2017) $400,000

Detailed Project Progress Report

**Upgrading the footpaths in and around the Mangere East Town Centre**

This project is currently ‘on hold’ while the Long Term Plan discussion takes place. When Council’s position regarding the re-development is ratified the next steps can be confirmed.

**Building a two lane roundabout at the intersection of Bader Drive and Idyllwild Road**

Preliminary design is completed and has been shown to MOLB.

Formal consultation with teams in Auckland Transport (i.e. Road Safety, Traffic Operations, Public Transport) is finished. The next step is that the issues raised are being mediated between teams.

It is expected work will start in the 2018/19 Financial Year.

**Widening Bader Drive in front of the Cosmopolitan Club**

Formal consultation with teams in Auckland Transport (i.e. Road Safety, Traffic Operations, Public Transport) is complete and the comments received are have been worked through and are currently being incorporated into the final design by the contractor. The key outcome is a that a speed table will be incorporated into the design to keep traffic speeds low through the roundabout.

The final design and ‘Firm Cost Estimate’ are expected soon. Auckland Transport still believe that we are on track to deliver the project by July 2018.

**Ashgrove Reserve Cycle Route**

This project is still ‘on hold’ awaiting an MOLB workshop after the Long Term Plan is process is finished to check progress and confirm priorities.

**Proposed New Local Board Transport Capital Fund Project – Bus Shelters and Seats**

19. The MOLB wishes to deliver a project to improve bus facilities in the local area. At the time this report was written the MOLB was identifying a list of potential sites for new shelters or seats. When this list is finished it will be submitted to Auckland Transport who will provide an estimated cost and advice on options if applicable. The MOLB will need to pass a ‘resolution’ to request a quote but this can be done when the list is finalised.

**‘Future Streets’ Activation Activities**

20. Auckland Transport continues to support encouraging the local community to use the ‘Future Streets’ area. This is done by organising activities for local people in and around the area.
21. An adult bike skills session was held on 15 March 2018 at Mangere Centre Park. It was supported by local cycling promoter ‘Mr T’. More than 30 people participated, including 23 children. This activity was notable because a number of adults were encouraged to try bicycling for the first time.

22. Auckland Transport also supported the ‘Mangere Marae to Maunga Hikoi’. The event was held at Mataatua Marae on 18 March 2017 and was attended by various local marae. The participants walked to Mangere Maunga from Mataatua Marae and discussed whanau wellbeing messages especially focussed on suicide prevention, then took part in whanau games and activities, including bike rides and a bike course offered by ‘Mr T’.

23. Auckland Transport will continue to work hard with the community to encourage walking and cycling in the Mangere community.

Mangere Bridge ‘Safer Community’

24. Auckland Transport is delivering a new road safety initiative that concentrates road safety funding in certain areas. This programme is called ‘Safer Communities’. It means that road safety programmes in these areas will get more funding and deliver larger more transformational projects. The areas are selected based on need and a variety of other social factors.

25. Mangere-Bridge is one of the areas for this initiative. The project is staring to take shape and the area of focus has been identified. The project will focus on Mangere Bridge Village Taylor, Woodward and Church Roads. The aim will be to make walking safer by developing crossings and safer footpaths. Heavy vehicle traffic is another issue that has been identified.

26. The team plans to visit the MOLB soon and provide an opportunity for review of the project and feedback.

Mangere Bridge Community Safety Concerns

27. Concern has been rasied by the Police and Local Community about late night drinking and anti-social behaviour at the old Mangere Bridge particularly near the boat ramp. Auckland Transport and Auckland Council staff have met with Police on three separate occasions. Working together these agenices have developed a plan to respond to this issue.

28. The following steps have been taken already:

- **Better lighting in the area** – Auckland Transport has increased the wattage of the bulbs, changed the times that they dim and may add some extra lights if required.

- **Liquor Ban Signage on Poles** – Auckland Council’s Community Facilities Team have installed signs.

- **‘No Parking’ restriction** – MOLB requested by resolution that Auckland Transport investigates a ‘No Parking’ restriction on the Mangere Bridge. The initial suggestion was for the time limit was between 10pm and 5am but all the details will be confirmed through a thorough investigation of the area and issues. Auckland Transport staff are currently working on the proposal and should be able to report back with possible options within the next month or two.

29. There are a couple of actions being undertaken that will involve more work and take longer.

- **Actions that will require further work:** ‘Liquor Ban’ signs on the road and footpath - Police have also asked for markings on the road and/or footpath so that it is absolutely clear that the area is liquor free. Auckland Transport Maintenance Team has tentatively approved painting markings and have offered to organise delivery but the work cannot be funded from a ‘transport budget’. A rough a quote for the work
has been sent to the MOLB to see if they can fund the work. Auckland Transport will organise delivery.

30. In summary the MOLB has worked together with Police, Auckland Transport and Auckland Council to develop a coordinated response to this issue. Both Auckland Council and Auckland Transport have moved quickly and are working on other ideas to support the Police’s response.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

31. Local impacts and local board views have been included in ‘Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice’.

Traffic Control Committee

32. Traffic Control Committee (TCC) decisions from December and January are included in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Market Cove Road, Mahunga Drive</td>
<td>Favona</td>
<td>Cycle Lane, Nsaat, Lane Arrow Markings, Flush Median, Traffic Island, Road Hump, Roundabout, Give-Way</td>
<td>Approved in principle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronation Road</td>
<td>Mangere Bridge</td>
<td>Temporary Traffic and Parking changes (Event)</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

33. In this reporting period one project has required iwi liaison. The Mangere Bridge Safer Community.

34. Auckland Transport has identified and contacted relevant iwi and will involve iwi in the design process.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

35. With regards to transport the MOLB’s only budget implications are related to the Local Board Transport Capital Fund. The current financial status of the MOLB’s Local Transport Capital Fund is recorded below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Transport Capital Fund financial summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total funds available in current political term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed to ‘design’ or ‘construction’ in this term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

36. No significant risks have been identified.
Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

Consultations

37. Auckland Transport provides the MOLB with the opportunity to comment on transport projects being delivered in this Local Board Area.

38. In this reporting period, no projects were put forward for comment by the MOLB.
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Local Transport Capital Fund: options for distribution and size of the fund

File No.: CP2018/04806

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This report seeks formal feedback from the local board on options for the future size and underlying distribution methodology of the local transport capital fund (LTCF) and on the proposal to increase advisory support for the fund from Auckland Transport staff.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. In September 2017, the Governing Body agreed in principle to an increase to the local transport capital fund as an outcome of the governance framework review. Staff were directed to undertake further work with Auckland Transport and local boards on the size of the increase, and the distribution methodology.
3. The LTCF was established in 2012 and currently sits at $10.8 million. It is allocated on a pure population basis. Two options for the size of funding increase have been modelled, an increase of $6 million and an increase of $10 million.
4. Staff have also modelled three different distribution options: the current population model, a model applying the Local Boards Funding Policy, and a model that includes a mix of a fixed level of funding per board, along with a variable rate determined by the Local Boards Funding Policy.
5. Each of the options has been assessed against a set of criteria. The pure population model is not supported by staff, while each of the other two models has merits. On balance, staff recommend that the Local Boards Funding Policy be applied to the distribution of the LTCF, with an additional amount of $10 million being added to the fund. Feedback is sought from local boards on their preferences.
6. It is also recommended that Auckland Transport have funding allocated to provide an increased level of support to local boards in developing and assessing local transport projects.
7. Final decisions will be made by the Governing Body as part of the 10-year budget process in May.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board endorses:

a) an increase to the local transport capital fund of $10 million per annum (inflation adjusted) from 1 July 2018.
b) the distribution of the entire local transport capital fund to be made according to Auckland Council’s Local Boards Funding Policy from 1 July 2018.
c) Auckland Transport receiving additional funding to provide an increased level of support to local boards in developing and assessing projects for the local transport capital fund.
Horopaki / Context

8. The local transport capital fund (LTCF) was established by resolution of the Strategy and Finance Committee [SF/2012/40] in April 2012, in order to provide local boards with access to funding for local transport projects that had strong local significance, but which were unlikely to be prioritised through the regional transport planning process.

9. The establishment of the fund is consistent with the government’s original policy intent that local boards would have a role in funding local transport projects out of a dedicated local budget [CAB Minute(09) 30/10] and that “local boards will have an advisory role with respect to transport services and a budget for the transport elements of ‘place shaping’”.

10. The objectives of the fund are to:
   - ensure locally important transport projects are given appropriate priority
   - provide local boards with more direct ability to influence local transport projects.

11. Projects must be deliverable, meet transport safety criteria and not compromise the network. Auckland Transport retains the responsibility for delivering projects delivered through this funding and the budget remains with Auckland Transport. Depreciation and consequential operating expenditure are also the responsibility of Auckland Transport, as is the core administration of the fund.

12. The fund was initially set at $10 million per annum (since adjusted for inflation, and now sitting at $10.8 million) and is currently split between the local boards on the basis of population, excepting Waiheke and Great Barrier Island local boards, which receive two per cent and one per cent of the fund respectively. The population figures that the distribution is based on have remained at 2012 levels.

13. At the Governing Body meeting of 28 September 2017, at which the recommendations of the Governance Framework Review Political Working Party were considered, it was agreed [GB/2017/117] that officers would report back to the governing body through the 10-year budget process on options for significantly increasing the LTCF, as well as providing an assessment of options for allocating the additional funding.

14. This report provides options for the quantum of the proposed increase, the method of allocating the proposed increase among the twenty one local boards and issues relating to the administration of the fund. Workshops have been held with each local board to discuss these proposals and now formal feedback is sought through business meetings. Final recommendations will be made to the Governing Body in May.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

15. Issues with the local transport capital fund identified through the governance framework review were grouped under three key themes:
   - the overall size, or quantum, of the local transport capital fund
   - the methodology underpinning its distribution among local boards
   - the administration and support provided by Auckland Transport to local boards in relation to developing options and projects for consideration.

Quantum of funding

16. When the LTCF was initially established at $10 million, the figure was not based on any specific assessment of need, but more on the recognition that smaller, local projects that had a strong place shaping component were unlikely to be funded according to Auckland Transport and NZTA’s prioritisation formulas.

1 Cabinet paper: Auckland Governance: Regional Transport Authority Steven Joyce, Minister of Transport 2009
2 Based on Statistics NZ 2011 population estimates
17. While the fund took some time to get established, it is now delivering valuable transport related outcomes for communities across Auckland. The LTCF spend forecast in 2016-17 financial year was $17 million, as boards have been able to accumulate funding across years to put towards more significant projects. It has delivered 286 projects over the five year period.

18. The LTCF contribution to these many local projects has also been complemented through the input of additional funds from Auckland Transport (as well as NZTA subsidy) with the value of the work they have delivered to their communities being substantially leveraged through this additional funding.

19. Staff have modelled the impact of the proposed increase on individual local boards according to a range of distribution models. In doing so, two different levels of increase have been used – the $10 million figure, initially proposed by Auckland Transport, and a lower figure of $6 million.

20. Neither figure is based on specific needs assessment, but Auckland Transport is of the view that a baseline of approximately six hundred and fifty thousand dollars a year is desirable to give individual boards the resources to support significant local projects. This would require an increase of at least $6 million per annum.

21. Boards that have had access to higher levels of funding have generally found it easier to leverage that to attract NZTA subsidies and additional Auckland Transport funding, for example for projects that are being brought forward as a result of LTCF investment. Successful examples include the Half Moon Bay ferry terminal, the Mt Albert Station Bridge and the Māngere Future Streets project.

Distribution methodology

22. This section provides modelling of three distribution options applied to two levels of overall increase (sub-option A being an increase of $6 million, and sub-option B being an increase of $10 million). The options are:

- Option 1: status quo – simple population based distribution of both the existing fund and any additional funding
- Option 2: applying the current Local Boards Funding Policy to the distribution of the fund
- Option 3: a model that provides for a fixed level of baseline funding for all boards, as well as a variable component based on the Local Boards Funding Policy.

Population based distribution

23. In 2012, the governing body elected to distribute the first iteration of the LTCF purely on a population basis, following consultation with local boards. The distribution has not been adjusted to account for population distribution changes since the fund was established.

24. We have modelled (Appendix A) the option of applying the population based distribution methodology, based on Statistics NZ 2017 population estimates. As you will see from the modelling, the impact on boards with higher populations is the most significant, in terms of an increase in funding, especially if the additional amount is $10 million.

25. Under this model, however, if the additional amount is $6 million, six boards would still fall short of the $650,000 baseline figure identified by Auckland Transport as being desirable to enable the delivery of viable local transport proposals.

Applying the Local Boards Funding Policy to the LTCF

26. Following the establishment of the LTCF, work was undertaken to develop the current Local Boards Funding Policy, as required under the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009. This policy was adopted in 2014, and uses an allocation methodology incorporating three factors: population (90 per cent), deprivation (five per cent) and land area (five per cent).

---

3 There was no formal local board funding policy in place at this time
27. The development of the funding policy involved significant consultation and engagement with local boards prior to final adoption. There are no current plans to review the policy.

28. We have modelled (Appendix B) the option of applying the Local Boards Funding Policy to the distribution of the LTCF. The modelling has been applied to the existing fund and the additional amounts of $6 million and $10 million. The modelling is also based on 2017 population estimates.

Fixed and variable costs distribution

29. As previously noted, Auckland Transport has the view that in order to deliver transport infrastructure of any significance, a certain level of baseline funding is desirable – around $650,000 per annum, based on practical experience.

30. Many local boards have achieved significant results with their local transport projects, but transport infrastructure is inherently costly and costs tend not to vary according to location. For example, a footbridge and walking path in Pukekohe will tend to cost the same as a comparable one in Glenfield.

31. In considering the distribution methodology for the extended fund, Auckland Transport has put forward the following factors as being relevant:
   - the cost of building transport infrastructure is not directly related to the size of the population it serves
   - mature areas with high populations tend to already have higher quality and better developed transport infrastructure
   - the existing Auckland Transport/NZTA criteria for regional transport spending tend to favour, as would be expected, areas of high density and growth
   - the physical size of an area tends to have a correlation with the need for transport infrastructure e.g. the number of settlements, town centres.

32. A distribution model based on a split of fixed and variable costs has also been modelled as an option. The methodology involves fifty per cent of the entire quantum of funding being distributed by an even split (with the exception of Great Barrier and Waiheke Island Boards which receive 1/3 and 2/3 of a single share respectively), thus giving all other local boards the same level of core funding. The other fifty per cent of the funding would be distributed according to the Local Boards Funding Policy.

33. We have modelled (Appendix C) the option of applying this fixed/variable costs model to the distribution of the LTCF.

Assessing the options

34. Each of the three distribution models has elements to recommend it and others that detract from it. In assessing the models, staff applied the following assessment criteria:
   - transparency and ease of understanding for communities and stakeholders
   - equity and fairness of outcomes across the region
   - ensuring delivery of good local transport outcomes
   - recognising the role of local boards as leaders of place shaping with their communities.

35. Staff assessment of the options against these criteria is set out below.

   Options 1a and 1b – population based distribution

36. These options have been modelled on 2017 Statistics New Zealand population estimates.
37. A pure population based approach has the benefits of being objective, transparent and straightforward and means that funding received is proportionate to the number of ratepayers. It was, however, recognised at the time that this approach applied to areas of extremely low population (Waiheke and Great Barrier Islands) would result in those boards receiving insufficient funding to achieve anything practical, hence the application of the one and two per cent formula for the island boards. A similar approach is also used in the Local Boards Funding Policy.

38. The limitations of this approach are that it does not address either the level of need in a given local board area, or the underlying cost drivers of transport infrastructure. Hence, large areas of low population density with significant roading networks and multiple population centres are funded at the same, or lower, level as smaller urban communities of interest with already well-developed transport infrastructure, but higher population density.

39. The distribution methodology is simple and transparent and easy for communities and stakeholders to understand. In terms of delivering equity and fairness, this model delivers the widest differential of funding levels across boards, with the highest funded board receiving 2.78 times the amount of the lowest funded board (excluding the island boards).

40. Option 1a also results in six boards receiving less than Auckland Transport’s benchmark identified as desirable for supporting good local transport outcomes in communities. The model limits the potential for those boards to actively implement their role as local place shapers and to leverage additional investment into their projects. This model, and therefore Options 1a and 1b, is not supported by either Auckland Council or Auckland Transport staff.

Options 2a and 2b – Local Boards Funding Policy based distribution

41. This distribution method involves application of the current Local Boards Funding Policy. The policy is currently applied to distribution of funding for local activities (including local capex) to local boards and is based on the following factors: ninety per cent population\(^4\), five percent deprivation\(^5\) and five per cent land area\(^6\).

42. Applying the Local Boards Funding Policy is a simple methodology that has a clear rationale, is easily described to the community and is consistent with council’s wider approach to funding local boards. It takes account of multiple factors, delivering a more equitable distribution of funding, especially to boards with lower populations but very large land areas and roading networks.

43. Reviewing the projects that have been funded from the LTCF to date, it is clear that much of the local boards’ focus has been on “people centred” transport projects, for example pedestrian safety improvements, walkways and cycleways, footpaths and streetscape improvements. This is consistent with the principles underpinning the Local Boards Funding Policy i.e. that population is the key driver of need for the funding, but that geography and deprivation also need to be taken into account.

44. This distribution methodology evens out the increase in funding across the twenty one boards. The boards with a larger land area receive more funding than under the pure population model, and all boards receive the proposed level of baseline funding, but only under the $10 million quantum increase.

45. Under this model, however, the level of funding that accrues to the more populous boards becomes very substantial in relationship to that for the smaller boards, due to the compounding impact of the distribution model. For example, the Howick Local Board would receive over $1.7 million and Henderson-Massey over $1.4 million. Despite these extremes, this option provides an arguably more equitable and nuanced distribution of funding, as well as being consistent with current funding policy.

46. Its variation between the lowest and highest level of funding is still high with the highest funded board receiving 2.57 times the amount of the lowest funded board. Under Option 2a,

---

\(^4\) Based on annually revised estimates from Statistics NZ
\(^5\) Based on Index of Deprivation provided by the Ministry of Health
\(^6\) Excluding Great Barrier and Waiheke
five boards still receive less than Auckland Transport’s desirable benchmark for delivering good local transport outcomes in communities and it limits the potential for those boards to actively implement their role as local place shapers.

47. This is the preferred option on the basis of consistency with the existing funding policy, assessment against the criteria and recognition of the population focus of projects delivered using this fund. The preferred option is for the $10 million quantum as better providing for good local transport outcomes and delivering local place shaping.

Options 3a and 3b – fixed and variable cost distribution

48. This model is more complex and less transparent to communities and stakeholders than the other models. The identification of the benchmark figure is based on Auckland Transport’s experience of administering the fund over the past five years and the learning that has been gained from this, rather than in-depth financial analysis of infrastructure costs.

49. The results of this distribution are similar to those of applying the Local Boards Funding Policy, in that a similar number of local boards benefit under each model. However, it is different local boards that benefit from each model. In terms of equity and fairness, this model reduces the difference between the highest funded and lowest funded boards and also brings all boards above the $650,000 benchmark, even under Option 3a ($6m increase).

50. The model reduces the impact of population on the distribution of funding, however, which is a core component of current funding policy and the focus of the projects delivered using the LTCF. The model performs well against the criteria of enabling the delivery of good local transport outcomes and supporting the role of local boards as place shapers.

Summary of assessment of options

51. Of the three distribution models assessed, the current model of pure population distribution performed the poorest and is not recommended by either Auckland Council or Auckland Transport staff.

52. The other two models deliver mixed results against the criteria. On balance, staff recommend that the Local Boards Funding Policy distribution best meets the criteria and is consistent with current funding policy. The final recommendation to the governing body will also be informed by feedback from local boards through this process.

Administration of the LTCF

53. When the LTCF was established in 2012, it was recognised that there would be an impact on Auckland Transport as the fund administrator. While design costs are capitalised within the cost of a specific project, there are also additional costs in developing options, undertaking feasibility studies, assessing proposals and general administration.

54. It was noted at the time that if each local board proposed 3-4 projects a year that this could place a considerable burden on Auckland Transport and it was recommended that this be reviewed at the time the fund was reviewed. Given the proposed increase to the size of the fund, this issue needs to be revisited.

55. Auckland Transport’s advice on LTCF investment focusses on whether a project put forward by a local board is technically feasible, and whether it is realistic in light of the available funding from the LTCF. During the governance framework review some local board members raised concerns about the nature and quality of advice received from Auckland Transport in relation to LTCF proposals. Boards felt that advice was limited to assessing their proposal against criteria, rather than helping them identify and develop high quality proposals.

56. It is recommended that Auckland Transport be allocated additional opex funding in support of the LTCF to be used to develop a more systematic and responsive work programme with local boards around the application of the LTCF. This will include supporting boards to investigate and develop options for projects for consideration. A sum of $500,000 per annum is recommended to support this deliverable.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

57. Workshops have been held with every local board and a range of initial feedback has been received. Discussion on collective views has also taken place at the Local Board Chairs’ Forum. While some local boards have given early indication of their preferred options, others have reserved the right to engage in further consideration ahead of providing formal feedback.

58. There was general support for an increase to the fund and for additional funding to be provided to Auckland Transport to provide advice on projects and mixed views on options for allocating the fund. As noted in the assessment of options, each of the options for the amount of increase and the distribution methodology affects individual boards differently.

59. Growth was raised by some boards as a factor that should be considered. Staff’s view is that the population element of each of the models addresses this as current population is the only reliable indicator of growth. Population estimates are updated and will be applied to the fund annually.

60. As noted in the assessment of options, each of the options for the amount of increase and the distribution methodology affects individual boards differently. A recent presentation to the Local Board Chairs’ Forum noted that it would be helpful for the Governing Body to have a clear preference signalled by the majority of local boards, in order to facilitate its decision making.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

61. A move away from a pure population based distribution model would take into account other factors, being deprivation and land area. Both options 2 and 3 include a deprivation component, although this is greater in option 2. This would have some positive impact on local board areas where there is a higher Māori population.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

62. The source of the additional funding is not addressed in this report, as it is being considered through the overall budget setting process in the 10-year budget. Essentially, however, there are two options that the governing body will need to consider – that additional funding comes from rates and/or borrowing, or Auckland Transport reprioritises within its existing funding envelope.

63. The proposed size of the increase to the fund (both options) is not significant enough within the overall transport budget to be able to enable transparent trade-offs at a detailed level e.g. which specific transport projects might not be funded in the Regional Land Transport Plan in a given year if the LTCF is increased.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

64. No significant risks have been identified.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

65. Final decisions will be made by the Governing Body as part of the 10-year budget process in May. Any new funding and change to the distribution methodology will be applied from 1 July 2018.
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### Attachment A: Population based distribution modelling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of local board</th>
<th>Current funding 2016-17</th>
<th>Additional $6m Option 1a</th>
<th>Additional $10m Option 1b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert-Eden</td>
<td>$720,250</td>
<td>$1,080,595</td>
<td>$1,337,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devonport-Takapuna</td>
<td>$417,067</td>
<td>$621,441</td>
<td>$769,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>$471,158</td>
<td>$739,198</td>
<td>$915,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Barrier</td>
<td>$135,370</td>
<td>$168,000</td>
<td>$208,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson-Massey</td>
<td>$810,647</td>
<td>$1,210,227</td>
<td>$1,408,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays</td>
<td>$649,769</td>
<td>$1,034,085</td>
<td>$1,280,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howick</td>
<td>$944,450</td>
<td>$1,486,313</td>
<td>$1,840,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kāpātiki</td>
<td>$627,735</td>
<td>$930,182</td>
<td>$1,151,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu</td>
<td>$590,834</td>
<td>$802,530</td>
<td>$963,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manurewa</td>
<td>$642,681</td>
<td>$935,130</td>
<td>$1,157,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>$535,024</td>
<td>$788,676</td>
<td>$978,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōrakei</td>
<td>$595,708</td>
<td>$805,444</td>
<td>$1,121,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtara-Papatoetoe</td>
<td>$594,966</td>
<td>$668,829</td>
<td>$1,075,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura</td>
<td>$331,660</td>
<td>$539,308</td>
<td>$667,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puketāpapa</td>
<td>$410,662</td>
<td>$623,420</td>
<td>$771,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney</td>
<td>$399,966</td>
<td>$636,284</td>
<td>$767,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Harbour</td>
<td>$385,112</td>
<td>$646,179</td>
<td>$800,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitākere Range</td>
<td>$210,641</td>
<td>$336,000</td>
<td>$416,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitākere Ranges</td>
<td>$358,706</td>
<td>$536,339</td>
<td>$664,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitānui</td>
<td>$500,878</td>
<td>$1,073,668</td>
<td>$1,329,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whau</td>
<td>$550,834</td>
<td>$838,154</td>
<td>$1,037,714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Attachment B: Local Board Funding Policy distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of local board</th>
<th>Current funding 2016-17</th>
<th>Additional $6m Option 2a</th>
<th>Additional $10m Option 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert-Eden</td>
<td>$720,250</td>
<td>$1,013,841</td>
<td>$1,255,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devonport-Takapuna</td>
<td>$417,067</td>
<td>$587,208</td>
<td>$727,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>$471,158</td>
<td>$896,840</td>
<td>$1,110,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Barrier</td>
<td>$105,370</td>
<td>$168,000</td>
<td>$208,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson-Massey</td>
<td>$810,647</td>
<td>$1,146,311</td>
<td>$1,419,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays</td>
<td>$649,769</td>
<td>$973,716</td>
<td>$1,205,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howick</td>
<td>$944,450</td>
<td>$1,375,550</td>
<td>$1,703,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kāpātiki</td>
<td>$627,735</td>
<td>$876,680</td>
<td>$1,085,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu</td>
<td>$580,834</td>
<td>$794,642</td>
<td>$983,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manurewa</td>
<td>$642,681</td>
<td>$891,483</td>
<td>$1,116,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>$535,924</td>
<td>$787,842</td>
<td>$950,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōrakei</td>
<td>$595,708</td>
<td>$840,058</td>
<td>$1,040,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtara-Papatoetoe</td>
<td>$594,966</td>
<td>$849,392</td>
<td>$1,051,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura</td>
<td>$331,660</td>
<td>$540,785</td>
<td>$699,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puketāpapa</td>
<td>$410,662</td>
<td>$606,154</td>
<td>$750,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney</td>
<td>$399,986</td>
<td>$867,953</td>
<td>$1,223,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Harbour</td>
<td>$385,112</td>
<td>$815,035</td>
<td>$761,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiteke</td>
<td>$216,641</td>
<td>$336,000</td>
<td>$416,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitākere Ranges</td>
<td>$358,706</td>
<td>$565,003</td>
<td>$659,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiwera</td>
<td>$506,878</td>
<td>$1,008,133</td>
<td>$1,248,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whau</td>
<td>$550,834</td>
<td>$805,670</td>
<td>$957,496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attachment C: Fixed and variable costs distribution 50/50

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of local board</th>
<th>Current funding 2016-17</th>
<th>Additional $6m Option 3a</th>
<th>Additional $10m Option 3b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert-Eden</td>
<td>$720,259</td>
<td>$832,821</td>
<td>$1,147,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devonport-Takapuna</td>
<td>$417,067</td>
<td>$717,591</td>
<td>$883,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>$471,158</td>
<td>$901,500</td>
<td>$1,075,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Barrier</td>
<td>$105,370</td>
<td>$224,000</td>
<td>$277,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson-Masey</td>
<td>$610,647</td>
<td>$1,001,313</td>
<td>$1,229,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays</td>
<td>$649,799</td>
<td>$913,007</td>
<td>$1,122,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howick</td>
<td>$944,450</td>
<td>$1,113,164</td>
<td>$1,371,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaipātiki</td>
<td>$627,735</td>
<td>$863,985</td>
<td>$1,062,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere–Ōtāhuhu</td>
<td>$590,854</td>
<td>$827,659</td>
<td>$1,011,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manurewa</td>
<td>$642,681</td>
<td>$879,294</td>
<td>$1,078,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>$585,592</td>
<td>$812,212</td>
<td>$965,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōrakei</td>
<td>$595,708</td>
<td>$843,623</td>
<td>$1,040,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtara-Papatoetos</td>
<td>$594,096</td>
<td>$854,332</td>
<td>$1,045,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura</td>
<td>$331,660</td>
<td>$698,308</td>
<td>$854,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puketāpapa</td>
<td>$410,862</td>
<td>$729,517</td>
<td>$865,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney</td>
<td>$399,986</td>
<td>$973,311</td>
<td>$1,131,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Harbour</td>
<td>$386,112</td>
<td>$732,300</td>
<td>$900,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>$216,641</td>
<td>$448,000</td>
<td>$554,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitākere Ranges</td>
<td>$358,706</td>
<td>$714,259</td>
<td>$869,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitāneatā</td>
<td>$508,878</td>
<td>$930,042</td>
<td>$1,144,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whau</td>
<td>$580,834</td>
<td>$830,168</td>
<td>$1,018,748</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Delegation for formal local board views on notified resource consents, plan changes and notices of requirement

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To seek that the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board delegates the responsibility of providing formal views on resource consents, notified plan changes and notices of requirement to a local board member.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Local board feedback can be provided on notified resource consents, plan changes and designations. Written feedback needs to be provided prior to the submission closing date (usually 20 working days after public notification). This feedback is included in the planner’s report verbatim and local boards are also able to speak to their written feedback at the public hearing. Views should be received by the processing planner or reporting consultant by submission closing date to ensure the content can be considered in planning reports.

3. Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has already appointed Local Planning and Heritage leads – which include responsibility for responding to resource consent applications on behalf of the local board. These leads are Deputy Chair Togiamua for planning applications and Member Elliott for heritage applications. The Local Board Chair is the alternate for responding to resource consent applications.

4. This report explores options to enable local boards to provide their views in a timely way and an ability to widen resource consents response responsibilities, to include plan changes and notices of requirement, and for the local board representatives to consider future training needs.

5. Local boards normally provide their formal views at business meetings. Because local board reporting timeframes don’t usually align with statutory timeframes, in most instances formal reporting at a business meeting will not allow local feedback to be provided by submission closing date.

6. Providing formal local board views by way of a delegation to a local board member is considered the most efficient way of providing formal local board views. This is because the delegate can provide views within the regulatory timeframes and because no additional reporting is required when new applications of interest are notified.

7. All local board formal views will then be reported to a subsequent business meeting.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) delegates the authority to Local Board Member XXX, to give local board views and speak to those local board views at any hearings on:

- Notified resource consents
- Notified plan changes
- Notices of requirement.
b) request that the local board views are reported back to a subsequent business meeting for endorsement by the local board.

c) request that Member XXXX is offered ‘Making Good Decisions’ training, which relates to resource management and consenting processes, to support local board responsibilities in this area.

Horopaki / Context

Notified Resource Consents

8. Local boards are able to provide input into the determination of applications that may be notified. Local boards, via their appointed Resource Consents Leads, input into a wide range of resource consents that are received by the council and that trigger the matters of particular interest to local boards.

9. Local views and preferences are also able to be provided, once a decision of notification is made, and local boards can then submit further feedback to any notified resource consent application within their local board area. This feedback is then included in the planner’s report verbatim for the hearing and for the consideration of the commissioners who determine the outcome of the resource consent application.

10. Local boards are also able to speak to their written feedback at any notified resource consent hearing. Local boards are taking this opportunity up more often and it is considered important to ensure any feedback is authorised by the local board and a delegation is in place for the Resource Consent Lead to authorise them to speak on behalf of the local board at hearings.

Notified Plan Changes and Notices of Requirement

11. The Auckland Unitary Plan was made “Operative in Part” in November 2016. As plan changes and notices of requirement can now be received and processed by council, there are opportunities for local boards to provide their views and give feedback on notified applications.

12. For council-initiated plan changes and notices of requirement, staff will seek local board views prior to notification for proposals where there are issues of local significance. For private plan changes and notices of requirement submitted by non-council requiring authorities, local boards may not have any prior knowledge of the application until notification.

13. Local boards can provide written feedback on notified applications. Written feedback needs to be provided prior to the submission closing date (usually 20 working days after public notification). Local boards can subsequently present their feedback to support their views at any hearing.

14. It is important that options are explored to enable local boards to provide their views in a timely way and a delegation to ensure timely feedback is desirable. At present the local board views must be confirmed formally and statutory timeframes are short and do not always align with local board reporting timeframes.

15. Local boards may want to add the responsibility for plan changes and notices of requirement feedback to the resource consent lead role. This will broaden the responsibilities of the role to enable feedback on notified plan changes and notices of requirement to be provided. Alternatively, local boards may want to develop a separate planning lead role and each local board has the flexibility to make appointments that best suit their needs.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

Options considered

16. Options available for local boards to provide their views into the hearings process have been summarised in Table 1.

17. Local boards normally provide their formal views at business meetings (option 2). Because local board reporting timeframes do not usually align with statutory timeframes, in most instances formal reporting at a business meeting will not allow local feedback to be provided by submission closing date. Views must be received by the processing planner or reporting consultant by the submission closing date to ensure the content can be considered in planning reports.

18. Providing formal local board views by way of a delegation to one local board member (option 5) is considered the most efficient way of providing formal views. This is because no additional reporting is required when new applications of interest are notified.

Table 1: Options for local boards to provide their formal views on notified applications (resource consents, plan changes, notices of requirement)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. No formal local board views are provided</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Local board views will not be considered by the hearings commissioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Formal local board views are provided at a business meeting</td>
<td>• All local board members contribute to the local board view</td>
<td>• Local board meeting schedules and agenda deadlines are unlikely to align with statutory deadlines imposed by the planning process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Provides transparent decision making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Formal local board views are provided as urgent decisions</td>
<td>• Local boards can provide their views in a timely way that meets statutory deadlines</td>
<td>• Decisions are not made by the full local board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Urgent decisions may not be accompanied by full information and the discussion may be rushed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Not transparent decision-making because the decisions do not become public until after they have been made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Formal local board views are provided by separate and specific delegation for each application which the local board wishes to provide their views</td>
<td>• Delegations can be chosen to align with area of interest and/or local board member capacity</td>
<td>• Local board meeting schedules and agenda deadlines required to make each separate delegation are unlikely to align with statutory deadlines imposed by the planning process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Decisions are not made by the full local board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Formal local board views are provided by way of delegation to one local board member (preferred option) for all applications

- Delegate will become subject matter expert for local board on topic they are delegated to
- Local boards can provide their views in a timely way that meets statutory deadlines
- Any feedback can be regularly reported back to the local board
- Decisions are not made by the full local board

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views
19. This report seeks a delegation to a local board member for resource consents, plan changes and notices of requirement, to allow local boards to provide feedback in accordance with agreed timeframes on notified resource consents, plan changes and notices of requirement.
20. Any local board members who is delegated responsibilities should ensure that they represent the wider local board views and preferences on each matter before them.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement
21. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have a positive or negative impact for Māori.
22. The Resource Management Act 1991 requires that council consult with Mana Whenua of the area who may be affected, through iwi authorities, on draft plan changes prior to their notification. Council must also consider iwi authority advice in evaluations of plan changes.
23. For private plan changes, council seeks that the applicant undertakes suitable engagement with relevant iwi authorities, and where necessary will undertake consultation before deciding whether to accept, reject or adopt a private plan change.
24. For notices of requirement, council serves notice on Mana Whenua of the area who may be affected, through iwi authorities. Requiring authorities must also consult with the relevant iwi as part of the designating process.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications
25. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have financial implications on Auckland Council.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
26. If local boards choose not to delegate to provide views on notified applications, there is a risk that they will not be able to provide formal views prior to the submission closing date and may miss the opportunity to have their feedback presented and heard at a hearing.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps
27. A member or members of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board will operate under the delegations of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board once they have been adopted.
Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
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Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board - update on the community-led response to alcohol licensing February 2018.

File No.: CP2018/02145

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This report is an update on the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s community-led response to alcohol licensing.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu and Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Boards have resolved to support their local communities to make objections to alcohol licence applications. This report is based on Dr Grant Hewison’s update to February 2018, the consultant leading the implementation of this work programme #2798.

3. A wide network of objectors has developed from the Māngere and Ōtāhuhu local area. With repeated experiences of hearings, the skill levels and confidence of objectors has grown significantly. In addition, objectors are now training each other, passing on experiences and supporting each other through the processes. The Facebook page is proving an excellent means of keeping the community informed of notifications.

4. A highlight in the last period has been objections to the renewal of tavern-style licences where the primary activity is gambling. The Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act requires that the business of a tavern must be principally providing alcohol and other refreshments and not gambling.

5. An earlier decision of the Auckland District Licensing Committee (DLC), Copper Lounge (Papakura) (Q/ONL/2012/4632) refused to renew a tavern style licence because the premises were primarily for gambling and not providing alcohol and other refreshments.

6. Members of the community have been making observations of taverns and objecting where the principal activity appears to be gambling. Objections and hearings have resulted in the closure of The Opal Lounge (South Otahuhu) and Graces Place (Mangere East). However, the decision in the Curlew Bar (South Otahuhu) to allow a new pokie-tavern to open in place of The Opal Lounge has been very disappointing.

7. A further highlight has been the objections and further work of the Māori Wardens across both local board areas, especially in raising the negative harm caused by alcohol to the Māori community. The Wardens have also lodged a claim to the Waitangi Tribunal that the Crown has not upheld its obligations under the Treaty of Waitangi to actively protect Māori in the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012. Again, the decision in the Curlew Bar has been very disappointing by saying that the obligations of the Auckland Council under the Treaty of Waitangi do not also bind the Auckland DLC.

8. After five years of development and hearings, on 12 October 2017, Auckland Council provided its amended Local Alcohol Policy to the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority. However, two appeals have been lodged, they are Progressive Enterprises Ltd (Countdown etc.), and Foodstuffs North Island Ltd (New World, etc.). Redwood Corporation Ltd Symond St. registered, (a brothel owner) has lodged a ‘precautionary appeal’. This appeal does not specifically detail concerns about any of the changes to the Alcohol Policy.

9. Otara Gambling and Alcohol Action Group (OGAAG) has been recognised as an interested party. Council officers have indicated that the Auckland Local Alcohol Policy may not take effect until 2019.
10. Louisa Wall’s Private Member’s Bill, the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill (attachment B) has been drawn from the Parliamentary ballot. The Bill provides that a licence may be refused, and conditions imposed where the renewal of the licence would be inconsistent with any relevant Local Alcohol Policy.

**Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s**

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) notes the report on the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s community-led response to alcohol licensing.

**Horopaki / Context**

11. On 18 December 2013, the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 (‘Act’) came into force. The Act gives communities more of a voice over the sale and supply of alcohol in their area. In particular, it expands the criteria upon which communities may object to a licence application.

12. In July 2014, the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board resolved to support their local communities to make objections to alcohol licence applications (including new applications and renewals) with the idea of limiting new applications and seeking better conditions with regard to existing licences (such as a reduction in advertising and ‘No Single Sales’). In June 2017, the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board resolved to continue this support for the period 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018.

13. In April and May 2017, reports were received by the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board on the activities of the community-led response to alcohol licensing for the prior year. This Report provides an Update.

**Alcohol Licensing**

14. Since March 2017, objections have been made to the following notifications made for new and renewal licences in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu local area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Tavern</td>
<td>Hi Sport Bar</td>
<td>5/1 Savill Drive, Mangere East</td>
<td>25 January 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewal</td>
<td>Pacific Bar and Restaurant</td>
<td>12 Tidal Road, Mangere</td>
<td>18 January 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thirsty Liquor Vine Street</td>
<td>64 Vine Street, Mangere East</td>
<td>18 January 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kingsford Superette</td>
<td>65 Raglan Street, Mangere</td>
<td>23 November 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mangere Cut Price Liquor</td>
<td>743 Massey Road, Mangere</td>
<td>17 August 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pak n Save Mangere</td>
<td>44 Orly Ave, Mangere</td>
<td>22 August 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mangere Bridge Tavern</td>
<td>123 Coronation Road, Mangere</td>
<td>19 October 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Bottle O Mangere East</td>
<td>10/6 Savill Drive, Mangere East</td>
<td>2 November 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

7 Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board (Resolution number MO/2017/84), Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board (Resolution number OP/2017/56).
15. The objections heard or negotiated (from March 2017 to March 2018) have been:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Cut Price Liquor</td>
<td>743 Massey Road, Mangere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Bottle O Mangere East</td>
<td>10/6 Savill Drive, Mangere</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. One decision was available at the time of writing this report: The recent decision in the *Curlew Bar* (South Otahuhu) to allow a new pokie-tavern to open in place of *The Opal Lounge* has been very disappointing. That decision has also said that the obligations of the Auckland Council under the Treaty of Waitangi do not bind the Auckland DLC.

**Community Empowerment**

17. A wide network of objectors has developed, with regular objectors covering Māngere and Ōtāhuhu local areas. With repeated experiences of hearings, the skill levels and confidence of objectors has grown. In addition, objectors are now training each other, passing on experiences and supporting each other through the hearings processes.

18. All license notifications are being identified and posted on Facebook, with people coming forward to make objections.

19. This experience, now over the past three years, has however, led to increasing frustration from the community and a view that the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 has not led to any change from the previous Sale of Liquor Act 1989 in terms of off-licences.

**Conditions and Undertakings**

20. Following DLC decisions from late 2015, objectors had begun to take an approach of making an objection but making it clear that the objection would be withdrawn if the applicant was willing to sign the usual undertakings. However, more recently the DLC has decided not to accept this approach. It seems the DLC is saying that unless there is direct and strong evidence of poor practices by the applicant they will not accept Undertakings. As a consequence, community objectors have stopped taking this approach. Nonetheless, where conditions were achieved, a similar approach is being taken to retain the conditions.

**Supermarkets**

21. Although objections have been made to several supermarket renewal licences, these were on hold awaiting a Court of Appeal and further High Court decision, but now these are being resolved through negotiations between objectors and the supermarkets.

**Auckland Provisional Local Alcohol Policy**

22. Finally, hearings had been held of the Auckland Provisional Local Alcohol Policy (PLAP), at which community members from Mangere and Otahuhu gave evidence for Alcohol Healthwatch and submissions were made by the Otara Gambling and Alcohol Action Group (OGAAG). The experiences of hearings, the skill levels and confidence of objectors developed through the community-led response to alcohol licensing made a significant difference in the evidence they could give.

23. On 12 October 2017, Auckland Council provided its amended Local Alcohol Policy to the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority. However, two appeals have been lodged. Council officers have indicated that the Auckland Local Alcohol Policy may not take effect until 2019.

24. A more recent update indicates that PLAP remains subject to appeals lodged in the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority and judicial review proceedings in the High Court.

25. Appeals filed in the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority will have a preliminary hearing on 13 April 2018.
26. Supermarket companies lodged the judicial review proceedings. They also intend to apply for a stay of hearings on the appeals until a determination has been made on the judicial reviews. (A stay of proceedings means that the Authority could not progress the appeal proceedings until given leave to do so from the High Court.) Any application for a stay would be heard on 12 April 2018.

Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill

27. Louisa Wall’s Private Member’s Bill, the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill has been drawn from the Parliamentary ballot.

28. The Bill (attachment B) provides that a licence may be refused where the renewal of the licence would be inconsistent with any relevant Local Alcohol Policy. In addition, it provides that conditions may be imposed consistent with any relevant Local Alcohol Policy on the renewal of a licence. At the appropriate time, the Local Boards may wish to make submissions to this Bill.

29. The Māngere and Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s new Local Board Plan 2017 - 2020, highlights the board’s focus to reduce alcohol harm in its local board area through the local board plan’s outcome 6 “A place where everyone thrives and belongs”. The board may consider supporting the Bill. A submission would need to be co-ordinated and submitted by the 9th April 2018 with the views of other local boards and Governing Body.

30. As the explanatory note of the Bill says, there is no rational base on which existing off-licence renewals should not be assessed against a local alcohol policy (LAP) or for conditions to be imposed to bring the operation of a licence into conformity with a local alcohol policy; that is trading hours, particular licences, a one-way door restriction.

31. Under the bill, consideration of a LAP will be one of several factors to be considered by a district licensing committee (DLC) on renewal of a licence. It will not be the only factor, nor is it likely to be the dominant factor. In practice, it means that district licensing committees can take the LAP into account when assessing renewals. The board have contributed vastly into the LAP, and would likely want DLCs to adhere to the LAP.

32. With regard to section 133(2) of the bill, while it is similar to the existing section, it is still useful in that it more clearly provides that DLCs may impose particular conditions on any licence renewed if the renewal without those conditions would be inconsistent with a local alcohol policy (LAP).

33. The Bill also offers the community and council the ability to raise concerns through submissions more generally about the LAP process and DLC processes with Parliament.

Conclusions

34. Community members, supported by the local boards, have achieved success together with Auckland Council alcohol inspectors in opposing tavern licences where the main activity is gambling/pokies.

35. However, the recent decision in the Curlew Bar is a major setback. There also remains frustration from the community at the slow progress of the Auckland Local Alcohol Policy. There are also concerns that the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 has not led to any change from the previous Sale of Liquor Act 1989 regarding off-licences.

36. Community objectors are suggesting the Act needs to be amended to address these concerns.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

37. The board see value in the continuation to progress in matters relating to objections made to alcohol off-licence applications in the local area, build local capacity to submit objections on liquor licensing, supporting community groups to drive liquor licence objections, and advocating to reduce the number of liquor outlets in the area through stricter conditions.
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board
18 April 2018
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Memorandum

29 November 2017

To: Local Board Members

Subject: Appeals to the Amended Provisional Local Alcohol Policy

From: Kataraina Maki, General Manager, Community & Social Policy

1. To provide an update on appeals to council’s Provisional Local Alcohol Policy (Alcohol Policy).

Key Messages

- On 12 October 2017, council provided its amended Alcohol Policy to the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority (Authority) for consideration.
- The changes council made to the Alcohol Policy addressed the Authority’s decision on appeals.
- The significant amendment was to change the maximum off-licence trading hours from 9.00am to 9.00pm to 7.00am to 9.00pm, Monday to Sunday.
- Previous submitters on off-licence trading hours can appeal this change.
- Two appeals to the Authority have been lodged against the change to the off-licence trading hours, by Progressive Enterprises Limited and Foodstuffs North Island Limited.
- Redwood Corporation Limited has lodged a ‘precautionary appeal’ with no detail about their concerns on the changes.
- The Medical Officer of Health and NZ Police are recognised as interested parties in the appeals.
- Redwood Corporation Limited have also started judicial review proceedings in the High Court.
- Redwood operates the Pelican Club, a licensed brothel located in Eden Terrace. The judicial review proceedings are against the Authority’s decision on the definition of City Centre in the Alcohol Policy and maximum trading hours for on-licences. The council is included as a respondent.
- These proceedings may delay the progress of the Alcohol Policy as they need to be heard.
- Council legal advisors are working on the timeframes and next steps for appeals to the Authority. A case management meeting will be held on 7 December 2017 on the judicial review proceedings.

Progress Timeline

February – March 2017

- Appeals heard by the Authority

29 July 2017

- Decision from the Authority

14 September 2017

- Regulatory Committee receiving decision made to change maximum off-licence trading hours

December 2017 – September 2018 [Estimated]

- Reconsidered amended Alcohol Policy lodged in the Authority with changes

February 2019 [Estimated]

- Governing Body to adopt Alcohol Policy once Authority has determined all appeals

July 2019 [Estimated]

- Alcohol Policy, finally brought into force

- New appeal lodged in Authority against amended element and Medical Officer of Health as interested party in appeals

Judicial review proceedings in High Court
Context

Amendment made to maximum trading hours for off-licences in Alcohol Policy

2. The original Alcohol Policy provided for maximum trading hours for off-licences as 9.00am to 9.00pm, Monday to Sunday. The Authority found the 9.00am opening hour restriction was unreasonable.

3. The Authority indicated that it did not consider the closing hour restriction of 9.00pm was unreasonable.

4. The Authority directed council to reconsider the maximum trading hours for off-licences in its entirety.

5. Changes to the Alcohol Policy were approved by the Regulatory Committee. The changes council made to the Alcohol Policy implemented the Authority’s decisions on appeals.

6. The significant change was to the maximum off-licence trading hours from 9.00am to 9.00pm to 7.00am to 9.00pm, Monday to Sunday.

7. The amended Alcohol Policy was submitted to Authority on 12 October 2017.

Three appeals filed in the Authority

8. The timeframe for appeals on the amended Alcohol Policy closed on 11 November 2017. Two appeals have been lodged in the Authority against the amended maximum trading hours for off-licences as follows:

- Progressive Enterprises Limited (Countdown, Fresh Choice, Super Value)
- Foodstuffs North Island Limited (Pak ’n Save, New World).

9. Redwood Corporation Limited (which operates the Pelican Club) has filed a ‘precautionary appeal’. This appeal does not specifically detail concerns about any of the changes to the Alcohol Policy.

10. The Medical Officer of Health and NZ Police have obtained recognition as interested parties in the appeals.

11. The appeals will be heard by the Authority.

Application for Judicial Review of Authority’s decision filed by owner of the Pelican Club

12. Redwood Corporation Limited (Redwood) has started a judicial review in the High Court against the Authority’s decision on the Alcohol Policy. The judicial review proceedings are against the Authority’s decision on the definition of City Centre in the Alcohol Policy and maximum trading hours for on-licences.

13. The Authority and council are the respondents.

14. Redwood operates the Pelican Club, a licensed brothel located at 101 Newton Road, Eden Terrace. Redwood holds an on-licence to serve alcohol.

15. Redwood’s premises are located outside the City Centre and would have maximum trading hours of 8am to 3am. Premises located within the City Centre would have maximum trading hours of 8am to 4am. Redwood strongly prefers the City Centre trading hours.

16. Redwood originally appealed against the definition of City Centre and the different opening hours for on-licences. All appeals originally brought by Redwood were dismissed by the Authority.

17. A summary of Redwood’s claims are:

- the Authority or decision was wrong in law
- the decision was unequal in its application between licence holders as it treated Redwood like other on-licences
- there was no evidence that the operation of the brothel gave rise to any alcohol-related harm
- the decision is either: irrational, results in an oppressive or unreasonable restriction on Redwood

Next Steps

18. Council legal advisors are working on the timeframes and next steps for appeals to the Authority and on the judicial review proceedings. A case management conference for the judicial review will be held on 7 December 2017 to address administrative matters to progress the case.
Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences)
Amendment Bill
Member’s Bill

Explanatory note

General policy statement
This Bill provides that where a local alcohol policy is in place under the provisions of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 any renewal of a licence under the Act must not be inconsistent with the provisions of that local alcohol policy.

The process of adopting a local alcohol policy is a consultative process that provides for community input in respect of numbers of licences issued in an community, the location of premises and their proximity to other facilities identified by the Council. Examples have been schools, early childhood education centres, places of worship and public services.

The object of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 is contained in section 4 and is that both the sale, supply, and consumption of alcohol should be undertaken safely and responsibly, and the harm caused by the excessive or inappropriate consumption of alcohol should be minimised. That harm includes—

• any crime, damage, death, disease, disorderly behaviour, illness, or injury, directly or indirectly caused, or directly or indirectly contributed to, by excessive or inappropriate consumption of alcohol, and

• any harm to society generally or the community, directly or indirectly caused, or directly or indirectly contributed to, by any crime, damage, death, disease, disorderly behaviour, illness, or injury.

The aim of this Bill is to allow the Act to meet its stated object.

That alcohol causes harm to society or the community is a given and the only effective tool offered to communities to control that harm is the local alcohol policy process. Section 78 of the Act requires Councils, in drafting a local alcohol policy, to have regard to the demography of the district’s residents, the health indicators of the residents and the nature and severity of alcohol related problems in the district. The
main concerns expressed by communities are the proliferation of liquor outlets and their proximity to sensitive facilities such as schools and early childhood education centres.

There is no rational base on which existing off-licence renewals should not be assessed against a local alcohol policy that has been through a rigorous process that takes specific account of the harm caused directly or indirectly to the community by alcohol. To not assess existing off-licence renewals against local alcohol policies concerning density and location is to render the basis of a local alcohol policy nugatory and to ignore that existing outlets may have contributed to the identification of areas in a local alcohol policy where there is excessive harm caused by alcohol consumption to the community. For those matters not concerning location and density, conditions can be imposed to bring the operation of a licence into conformity with a local alcohol policy, that is trading hours, particular licences and one-way door restrictions.

Clause by clause analysis

Clause 1 is the Title clause.

Clause 2 provides for the Bill to come into force on the day after the date on which it receives the Royal assent.

Clause 3 states that the Bill amends the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 (the Act).

Clause 4 states that the purpose of the Bill is to amend the Act to ensure that a decision on the renewal of an existing licence considers matters in a local alcohol policy, that has been adopted or is in force, that relates to location and density.

Clause 5 replaces section 133.

Clause 6 amends section 135.
Louisa Wall

Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill
Member’s Bill
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The Parliament of New Zealand enacts as follows:

1. **Title**
   This Act is the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Act 2017.

2. **Commencement**
   This Act comes into force on the day after the date on which it receives the Royal assent.

3. **Principal Act**
   This Act amends the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 (the principal Act).

10—1
4 Purpose

The purpose of this Act is to amend the principal Act to provide that, in considering a renewal of licences where a relevant local alcohol policy exists, a licensing authority or licensing committee must take into account any inconsistency between any location and density matters contained in a relevant local alcohol policy and the renewal of a licence or the consequences of that renewal.

5 Section 133 replaced

Replace section 133 with:

133 Renewal of licences where relevant local alcohol policy exists

(1) A licensing authority or licensing committee may refuse to renew a licence if, in its opinion, the renewal of the licence or the consequences of its renewal would be inconsistent with policies, on any or all of the matters set out in paragraphs (a) to (d) of section 71(1), that are contained in any relevant local alcohol policy.

(2) A licensing authority or licensing committee may impose particular conditions on any licence it renews if, in its opinion, the renewal of the licence, or the consequences of its renewal without those conditions would be inconsistent with policies, on any or all of the matters set out in paragraphs (e) to (g) of section 71(1), that are contained in any relevant local alcohol policy.

6 Section 135 amended (Decision on renewal)

In section 135(1), delete “, subject to section 133,”.
Mangere Otahuhu Local Board’s feedback to Notice of Requirement Proposed Northern Runway for Auckland International Airport

File No.: CP2018/04117

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. The report confirms the feedback comments of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board to the Proposed Northern Runway for Auckland International Airport.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Notice of Requirement (NoR)7: Proposed Northern Runway for Auckland International Airport (Alteration to Designation 1100 Auckland International Airport and Designation 1102 Obstacle Limitation Runway Protection and Ground Light Restriction) was publically notified on 15 February and submissions closed on 15th March 2018. See background documents on council website:
3. The board received detailed information at a workshop in January 2018, with further discussions at a Local Board Chairs’ Forum.
4. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s comments and feedback were provided to council’s reporting planner noting that matters of relevance are to be considered as part of the application process.
5. The local board’s feedback and comments are in attachment A

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
   a) endorse the local board’s feedback on the Notice of Requirement: Proposed Northern Runway for Auckland International Airport (Attachment A).

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
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<tr>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
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</tbody>
</table>
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Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s comments to Proposed Northern Runway for Auckland International Airport

The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board (the Board) does not have an objection to the proposed Northern Runway for Auckland International Airport. However, the Board has concerns on related matters of the proposed runway as these have a bearing on local residents, workers, commuters, and businesses living or operating in close proximity.

1. The Board would like that these matters be fully considered and addressed with a view to mitigate and/or minimise risks in time. The Board asks that necessary conditions and requirements are placed on any approved designation.

2. Key areas of concern:
   i. Impact on historical, cultural and natural heritage - The Board asks for timely consultation with Manawhenua and provision for compensation to mitigate any damage or loss to the area and its communities. In close proximity is the Pūkaki marae, off Massey Road, situated near the crater edge named Te Paki Tapu o Poutukeka.

   ii. Environmental impacts of noise, dust, pollution, interruptions and disturbances: Noting that construction work will be over a long period of time, the Board has concerns about the impact on surrounding small business operators and residents with issues of dust/pollution, noise, interruption and disturbance. Regular and ongoing communication with local businesses, community organisations, schools and residents will be critical during the construction period. The Board asks that conditions be put in place for measuring any environmental impact of pollution.

      The time between 9 p.m. to 6 a.m. is critical to manage concerns of noise. The Board recommends that the actual noise during the periods of daily use is made subject to ongoing monitoring, and any excessive noise avoided or mitigated. This monitoring should take place independently of any monitoring of the 24-hour average noise predictions presented by the airport company.

   iii. Role and budget scope of Auckland Airport Community Trust: The Board recommends that the terms of reference of the Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group (set up under previous airport designations) are extended to include all issues arising from the second runway. It needs to be reviewed to ensure that it reflects ongoing costs to the community imposed by airport effects. AAC Trust was established in 2003 as a condition of the Environment Court following approval for the second runway. Airport funding for the trust was set at $250,000 in 2003 and had increased with inflation to $257,500 in 2017. Building material and labour costs (for noise insulation) have outstripped general inflation since 2003, and a review of the quantum is timely. Also, the proposed alignment and use of the second runway have changed since 2003, which might change the community impacts. Any additional funding needed should be required as a condition of this designation.

   iv. Transport, traffic, congestion and safety: The Board asks that priority is given to coordinated management of traffic and congestion with key stakeholders like Auckland Transport and New Zealand Transport Authority to mitigate and minimise issues arising. Safety on roads will be crucial before and during construction. Currently communities are faced with challenges in movements around Westney Road and such issues are likely to multiply when construction starts.

13 March 2018
Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board feedback on Application for Resource Consent - Stormwater Network

File No.: CP2018/04155

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To record the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board feedback on Application for Resource Consent - Stormwater Network Diversion and Discharge Consent for the existing and future Auckland Council stormwater network.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. The Healthy Waters unit, Auckland Council has applied for resource consent for the public stormwater network - Stormwater Network Diversion and Discharge Consent for the existing and future Auckland Council stormwater network.

3. The application is for existing and future urban areas of the Auckland region served by the council’s storm water network.

4. The application is for both the diversion of stormwater through the public stormwater network and overland flow, and the discharge of stormwater to the environment. The application covers both existing serviced urban and rural / coastal townships that are serviced by the public stormwater network. See details in public notification attachment A.

5. Local boards received briefings on the details of the application. As Auckland Council is the applicant, comments and feedback from local boards are through the processing planner.

6. One of the six outcomes of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan 2017 is ‘Protecting our natural environment and heritage - Our environment is respected. Our spectacular natural heritage sites are national treasures. They are protected and enhanced for everyone to enjoy now and in the future’. A key objective to achieve this outcome is that Manukau Harbour and its coastline is clean, improved and protected.

7. Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s comments and views (see attachment B) on the application for resource consent are aligned to their Local Board Plan outcomes.

8. The briefing to local boards also noted that the outcomes of Auckland Council’s 10 year budget proposal for a targeted water quality rate may lead to amendments to the project prioritisation process in the consent.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) endorses the local board feedback to the Application for Resource Consent - Stormwater Network Diversion and Discharge Consent for the existing and future Auckland Council stormwater network (Attachment B).
Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
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</thead>
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</table>
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</table>
Attachment A

Item 23

Application for Resource Consent

Section 95A(2)(h) of the Resource Management Act 1991

Auckland Council has received the following application for resource consent:

Applicant: Auckland Council

Proposed activity: Stormwater Network

Division and Discharge Consent for the existing and future Auckland Council

stormwater network

Site address: This application applies to existing and future urban areas of the

Auckland region served by the council's stormwater network.

Application number: DIS060695

Proposed activity and types of consents:

Auckland Council has applied for a 35 year

Auckland-wide stormwater network

discharge consent. The application is for

both the diversion of stormwater through

the public stormwater network and

overland flow, and the discharge of

stormwater to the environment. The

application covers both existing serviced

urban and rural/coastal townships and

future urban areas and townships that are

serviced by the public stormwater network.

It includes upgrades to existing network or

new diversions and discharges as a result of

extensions to the public network. It can

include private developed stormwater

networks which meet the conditions of this

application. The application seeks approval

for a process for managing the diversion

and discharge of stormwater, based on a

proposed best practicable option for

identifying, prioritising and managing

stormwater effects from existing and new

stormwater networks.

Full details of the plans may be viewed at:

- aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/notifiedresourceconsents
- Onawa, Takapuna, Henderson, Manukau,
  and Graham Street Service Centres,
  weekdays 8am–5pm

Making a Submission:

Any person may make a submission on this

application. Visit

aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/notifiedresourceconsents for information about making

submissions, the submission form and

online submissions.

Submissions may be posted to

Auckland Council, Attn: Premium Service

Resource Consents, Private Bag 92300,

Auckland 1142.

A copy of the submission must also be sent

to the applicant

Attn: Fateh Huls Healthy Waters Unit,

1A45, Auckland Council, Private Bag 92300,

Auckland 1142

Application queries:

Contact John Duthe on

premiumsubmissions@aucklandcouncil.gov

Lni or 027 492 4387

Submission closing date:

5pm, Tuesday 20 March 2018

Stephen Town, Chief Executive

Auckland Council

Find out more: phone 021 261 0181

or visit aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s comments on Stormwater Network Discharge Consent, Auckland

Reference: Application for resource consent – Section 95 A (2) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991

The board notes that the scope of the consent for Auckland Region wide stormwater network discharge includes:

- Diversion of stormwater from existing and future urban land uses within the Rural Urban Boundary (RUB), urban areas outside the RUB where the discharges go to the public stormwater network
- Discharge of stormwater from the public stormwater network to the receiving environment

The board supports the outcomes from the consent that help contribute to high water quality. One of the six outcomes of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan (2017) is protecting the natural environment and heritage. To achieve this outcome a key objective is that Manukau Harbour and its coastline is clean, improved and protected.

With that in view the board provides the following comments and feedback:

- Supports all outcomes of the consent that result in improvement in the quality of stormwater discharge. That is, overall reduction of contaminants as we want water quality in our harbour and streams to be good.
- Outcomes that promote environmentally sensitive designs that promote ecosystem health.
- Financially viable stormwater solutions that both facilitate development and provide for high quality stormwater discharges.
- Scope in the consent to allow for improved stormwater practices, should technology or practices improve over the 35 year timespan.
- Sufficient and timely monitoring to ensure that the consent conditions are being complied with. Board is of the view that this should be every 3 years rather than 6.
- That a 35-year period for consent of the public stormwater network is far too long. That there must be provision made for review at least every 10 years. Otherwise this sets a dangerous precedent at the time when the consents for commercial discharges come up for renewal.

Carrol Elliot
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Member

20 March 2018
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This report provides a summary of resolution responses and information reports for circulation to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board.

Regional Facilities Auckland Second Quarter report
2. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board received the Regional Facilities Auckland Second Quarter report (Attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
 a) notes the Regional Facilities Auckland Second Quarter Report.
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Second Quarter Report 2017-18
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED 31 DECEMBER 2017
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Regional Facilities Auckland’s (RFA) purpose is to enrich life in Auckland by engaging people in the arts, environment, sports and events. We work in partnership with key stakeholders to present exciting, engaging and accessible experiences to those who live in and visit our city. Our innovative and imaginative programming delights people – every single day – and our collections and venues are some of the best in New Zealand.

RFA’s second quarter programme continued the delivery of a diverse array of exhibitions, shows and entertainment as people flocked to experience and enjoy RFA’s exciting activities and events across Auckland. RFA’s commercial revenue targets remain challenging. The 2017/18 budget requires RFA to achieve a 21% increase in commercial revenue – this is currently not being achieved.

Highlights of the second quarter included:

- Yayoi Kusama’s The obliteration room opened at Auckland Art Gallery in December 2017 and runs until 2 April
- Opening of Bug Lab in December running until August 2018 - an immersive and interactive experience developed by Wellington’s Academy Award winning Weta Workshop and Te Papa. This is an important revenue and partnering initiative to enable the Zoo to continue to attract and retain visitation as it embarks on the most significant capital redevelopment
- Sir Paul McCartney and Sia at Mt Smart Stadium in December
- The annual Auckland City Mission Christmas lunch at the ANZ Viaduct Events Centre on 25 December
- Summer in the Square returned to Aotea Square for the sixth year
- Matilda the Musical, which opened at The Civic in August, wrapped up on 22 October after a highly successful New Zealand-exclusive season.
- The sold-out Tonga v England Rugby League World Cup semi-final at Mt Smart Stadium at the end of November.

RFA finished the second quarter with net direct expenditure unfavourable to budget by $2.2m / 13%.

This was largely due to external revenue targets not being met by $1.7m with lower than expected revenue from corporate sponsorships and donations due to a softening philanthropic environment, rain affected Zoo visitation, and the loss of a budgeted outdoor concert.

Currently we are managing the lower than budgeted commercial revenue by offsetting costs particularly with staff vacancies and essential non committed costs (such as marketing, ICT costs, and staff training).
RFA has four strategic priorities for 2017-2020 that address the challenges facing our business and continue our journey from transition to transformation.

ENHANCE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCES

With over four million customers, visitors and fans to our venues every year, our customer experience and digital strategy is focused on delivering a better customer experience at all touchpoints of the customer and client journey. The positive trends in Net Promoter Scores indicates better customer experiences are being achieved.

OPTIMISE SECTOR NETWORKS

Continuing to identify and leverage the strengths in the sector portfolios within which we work will allow us to deliver greater opportunities for our customers and better returns and efficiencies for RFA and for ratepayers. RFA continues to progress agreements with New Zealand Maritime Museum to join RFA. With Shed 10 and The Cloud now in our portfolio, improved financial returns are being achieved from those venues.
INVEST IN OUR VENUES AND SERVICES

RFA is the trusted steward of $1.3 billion of assets. Our transformational priorities focus on the development of Auckland Zoo, implementing our Venue Development Strategy and the Aotea Arts Quarter, creating venues that will be representative of a great global city and underpin future opportunities to advance our community’s social and cultural wellbeing and further contribute to Auckland’s economic growth.

IMPROVE ORGANISATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY

Develop a funding and operating model that supports the achievement of RFA’s strategic priorities now and into the future. This strategic priority includes engagement with the Long-term Plan process in order to provide long-term financial sustainability to enable appropriate levels of planning and development. A particular focus will be on funding for the Art Gallery, health and safety, security and venue maintenance.

These strategic priorities will ensure RFA meets its objectives and delivers public good outcomes and value for money for the Auckland community.

HIGHLIGHTS FOR THE LAST QUARTER

AUCKLAND ART GALLERY TOI O TĀMAKI

Yayoi Kusama’s The obliteration room (2002 – present) opened at Auckland Art Gallery on 9 December 2017. The free family-friendly participatory installation by one of the world’s most popular, well-loved artists was developed by Kusama for the Queensland Art Gallery and has toured to London, Buenos Aires, Rio de Janeiro, Brasilia, Sao Paulo, Mexico City, Shanghai, South Korea, Switzerland, France and Dunedin.

Collective Women: Feminist Art Archives from the 1970s to the 1990s opened on 25 November. The exhibition focuses on the history of second wave feminism in Aotearoa New Zealand and the female artists who campaigned for equality for the arts and wider socio-political change.

Free summer screenings of animated short films by AUT Digital Design students were launched at the Gallery’s auditorium on 26 December and runs until 1 February.

In October the Gallery appointed Neal Stilmier, formerly of The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, as its inaugural Head of Public Engagement. Stilmier’s appointment signifies an exciting phase for the Gallery as it focuses on developing and strengthening its public engagement strategy.
The Gallery is currently investigating implementing a charge for international visitors. This will commence in early 2018.

**AUCKLAND CONVENTIONS**

Auckland Conventions staged 178 events across all RFA venues during the second quarter. The highest number of events were staged at the ANZ Viaduct Events Centre.

AUT University returned to Aotea Centre for their series of summer graduations, with more than 14,000 graduates, and their families and friends visiting the venue.

Nethui 2017, an important industry event held by Internet NZ which included a well-attended conference, was also held at Aotea Centre. The event drew more than 1100 visitors and received positive media and social media coverage.

In the same quarter, ASB Bank held ASB Live 2017 – a banking conference, at Actea Centre. More than 1200 people attended.

In keeping with the spirit of the festive season, Conventions once again hosted the annual Auckland City Mission Christmas lunch at the ANZ Viaduct Events Centre on 25 December. More than 2300 people attended the free lunch, with Conventions providing the venue and staff time and support as a way of giving back to the community.

**AUCKLAND LIVE**

Auckland Live Summer in the Square returned to Aotea Square for the sixth year on 1 December with another diverse, family-friendly line-up of activities and entertainment including retro days, live music, gardening workshops, K-Pop performances, Auckland Town Hall organ tours, and live NZ theatre.

One of the world’s leading contemporary circus companies, Cirque Eloize returned to Auckland with Cirkopolis, an energetic fantastical stage show featuring a blend of acrobatics, theatre and dance at The Civic in December.

During the quarter, Live launched the first Accessibility Virtual Tour (AVT) of a New Zealand venue to assist disabled and mobility-impaired visitors to the Auckland Town Hall. The user-centric, innovative online tour is built around 3D images of the Town Hall’s Baroque-style interiors. More AVT tours are planned in 2018 for other Auckland Live venues.

Auckland Town Hall turned 106-years-old in early December. The Auckland Council A and Category 1 Heritage NZ listed building was first opened on 14 December 1911 by than-Governor General Lord Islington and bears a striking resemblance to Lambeth Town Hall in London, built at around the same time.

The award-winning international production *Matilda the Musical*, which opened at The Civic in August, wrapped up on 22 October after a highly successful New Zealand-exclusive season.
More than 34,000 people packed the Aotea Arts Quarter in mid-October to enjoy the sights, sounds and tastes of India in celebration of the annual Diwali Festival. The Auckland Town Hall was also lit pink in October for Breast Cancer Awareness Month.

**AUCKLAND STADIUMS**

Sir Paul McCartney played a one-off New Zealand concert to a crowd of around 30,000 at Mt Smart Stadium on 16 December as part of his worldwide One on One tour.

The annual Taste of Auckland food festival ran at Western Springs Stadium in mid-November, featuring four days of gourmet food, wine, and cooking demonstrations.

Global superstar Sia played to a sell-out crowd at Mt Smart Stadium in a one-off New Zealand concert on 5 December as part of her Nostalgic for the Present Tour, which also toured Australia.

More than 30,000 fans attended the sold-out Tonga v England Rugby League World Cup semi-final at Mt Smart Stadium at the end of November – the biggest crowd for a sporting match at the venue since the Warriors’ 1995 season. The majority of fans were dressed in red to support Mate Ma’a Tonga and the event received positive social media and national and international media coverage.

**AUCKLAND ZOO**

Blockbuster science exhibition Bug Lab opened at Auckland Zoo on 20 December to August 2018 for a special season. The ticketed exhibition was co-created by Te Papa Museum and the Academy Award winning Weta Workshop and is a spectacularly immersive and interactive experience.

The Zoo welcomed two new female cheetah, three new agouti, a new pair of cotton top tamarin monkeys, and a colony of megabats (or little red flying foxes) as part of their ongoing programme of conservation. The Zoo’s capybara, Rosita, also gave birth in October to three pups.

Auckland Zoo pest control coordinator Sian Bulley visited Samoa to lend her expertise in helping to preserve the native bird population. The project is part of a wider field conservation strategy in the South Pacific, and was created to assist Samoa in their goal to boost the population of their native reptiles, birds and plants, and help save their national bird – the critically endangered marumea.

Three Borneo orangutans were sent to their new temporary home at Orana Wildlife Park in Christchurch while the Zoo builds its long planned-for South East Asian precinct.
FUTURE OUTLOOK

RFA is looking forward to a busy third quarter with major exhibitions and events planned including The Art of Banksy at Aotea Centre, the Foo Fighters and Ed Sheeran at Mt Smart Stadium, the Auckland Arts Festival at various Auckland Live venues across the central city, and the second-ever Auckland City Limits music festival at Western Springs Stadium.

Auckland Conventions will host several major industry events during the quarter, including the USANA Health Sciences 2018 conference at Aotea Centre, the Waka Festival at the ANZ Viaduct Events Centre (VEC), the WCGALP (World Genetics) Conference 2018 at Aotea Centre, the Volvo Ocean Race – Stopover Base at VEC, and the PSANZ 2018 Corporate Communique International meeting also at the VEC.

RFA continues to operate in a volatile commercial environment with significant fluctuations occurring with targeted commercial revenue. RFA’s budget this year includes a challenging 21% increase in commercial revenue from the previous year. The second quarter results and forecasted revenue indicate that the external revenue targets may not be achieved this year. Currently we are managing the lower than budgeted commercial revenue by offsetting costs particularly with staff vacancies and essential non committed costs (such as marketing, ICT costs, and staff training). This is applying significant pressure to the overall business and may prove to be counter-productive in the longer term.

RFA is seeking an opportunity to secure sufficient funding to support the financial sustainability of the organisation as part of the Long Term Plan 2018-28 process.
## Key Deliverables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optimise Sector Networks</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cultural Heritage Review</strong></td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The review is led by Auckland Council with RFA participation. RFA management continues to assist Auckland Council and engage with the major museums in relation to the review as required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|  | **Collaboration Across the Council Group** | Ongoing | RFA continues to work closely with the Auckland Council Group. The following are key initiatives:  
- In July, the management of Queen’s Wharf facilities including Shed 10 and The Cloud transferred from Panuku to RFA.  
- RFA works with ATEED to ensure that event planning is coordinated across the city. These activities range from cost effective management of large events, sharing of resources and reducing duplication of effort.  
- Working with Panuku on the America’s Cup planning to determine the potential impact it may have on the operations of the ANZ Viaduct Events Centre.  
- Integration of NZ Maritime Museum into RFA to seek operational efficiencies through economies of scale and ensure value for money for the ratepayer. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>PROGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL PLACE MAKING AND URBAN REGENERATION</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>RFA continues to work collaboratively across the Council group including with Panuku and Auckland Transport. For example, the Civic Administration Building development and shared place making activities on Queen’s Wharf.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INVEST IN OUR VENUES AND SERVICES</td>
<td>AUCKLAND STADIUMS</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Essential renewals are currently being carried out across all three Stadiums in accordance with approved Asset Management Plans from 2015-25. These plans are currently being updated to reflect the improved information of asset conditions and health and safety requirements. Further investment is required into existing facilities to address legacy under investment and to meet the increasing requirements of tenants and event hirers. These requirements will be tabled as part of the LTP 2018-28 for Council to consider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACTEa CENTRE</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The main contractor has been appointed and physical works will commence as planned in February 2018. The works will address weather tightness issues, bring internal and external facilities up to current compliance standards, and improve the customer experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIORITY</td>
<td>DELIVERABLE</td>
<td>STATUS</td>
<td>PROGRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>AUCKLAND ZOO</strong></td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The construction site is now established and the 2-year programme of work for the South East Asia precinct commences in February 2018. This project significantly upgrades facilities for a range of species including orangutans and tigers, and improves the underlying utilities infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ENRICHED AND ENHANCED CUSTOMER EXPERIENCES</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>RFA has a comprehensive strategy to improve customer experiences across all our business, encompassing redeveloped websites, customer insights programmes to drive improvements and engagement, enhancements to an existing business-to-business portal and other online services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SECURE SUSTAINABLE FUNDING</strong></td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The 10 year budgets in order to best position RFA to achieve outcomes as articulated in the Auckland Plan and Statement of Intent have now been completed. RFA are seeking an opportunity to right size the annual operational funding from Auckland Council to reflect the operating environment as part of the Long Term Plan 2018-23.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|          | **MAXIMISE EXTERNAL REVENUE**                                                | Ongoing  | The 2017/18 budgets include an increase of external revenue by 21% and for external revenue to cover 72% of the organisation’s operational costs. Key revenue items which will have a significant baring on the ability for RFA to meet its revenue targets include:   
- Ability to secure outdoor concerts. At the time of writing, it is unlikely that the budgeted number of outdoor concerts will be met; |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>PROGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The Bug Lab exhibition with Te Papa opened at Auckland Zoo in December;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Securing revenue at our key venues (Aotea Centre and Auckland Zoo) while there are significant capital works about to commence; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Entry fees for international visitors to the Art Gallery was implemented in late January.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We will continue to report progress on these challenging revenue targets.

| STRATEGIC PROCUREMENT | Ongoing | RFA continues to participate in Council group procurements where these add value to our business. This has included food, utilities, printing, professional and technical consultants and physical works contractors. |

Initiatives across RFA’s business divisions and with Auckland Council Group (where appropriate).
# UNAUDITED FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RFA Consolidated</th>
<th>YTD ACTUAL DEC 2017</th>
<th>YTD BUDGET DEC 2017</th>
<th>VAR $</th>
<th>VAR %</th>
<th>FULL YEAR ANNUAL PLAN 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$000s</td>
<td>$000s</td>
<td>$000s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$000s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## OPERATIONAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>YTD ACTUAL DEC 2017</th>
<th>YTD BUDGET DEC 2017</th>
<th>VAR $</th>
<th>VAR %</th>
<th>FULL YEAR ANNUAL PLAN 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fees and user charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Art Gallery</td>
<td>22,905</td>
<td>24,196</td>
<td>(1,293)</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
<td>55,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Zoo</td>
<td>1,231</td>
<td>1,342</td>
<td>(111)</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
<td>3,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Conventions</td>
<td>5,140</td>
<td>6,067</td>
<td>(927)</td>
<td>(15%)</td>
<td>14,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Live</td>
<td>5,197</td>
<td>5,866</td>
<td>(669)</td>
<td>(13%)</td>
<td>10,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Stadiums</td>
<td>2,778</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>(742)</td>
<td>(21%)</td>
<td>11,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Services</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants and subsidies</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue</td>
<td>4,174</td>
<td>4,661</td>
<td>(487)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
<td>12,247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Direct Revenue**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$000s</th>
<th>$000s</th>
<th>$000s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27,482</td>
<td>29,207</td>
<td>(1,724)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## OPERATIONAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>YTD ACTUAL DEC 2017</th>
<th>YTD BUDGET DEC 2017</th>
<th>VAR $</th>
<th>VAR %</th>
<th>FULL YEAR ANNUAL PLAN 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits [1]</td>
<td>18,999</td>
<td>20,222</td>
<td>1,133</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>40,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants, contributions and sponsorship</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenditure:</td>
<td>26,447</td>
<td>24,881</td>
<td>(1,566)</td>
<td>(6%)</td>
<td>53,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Sales</td>
<td>13,289</td>
<td>12,140</td>
<td>(1,140)</td>
<td>(9%)</td>
<td>27,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities management</td>
<td>7,603</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>1,231</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>2,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Systems</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>1,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel and entertainment</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2,973</td>
<td>2,455</td>
<td>(518)</td>
<td>(21%)</td>
<td>5,803</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Direct expenditure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$000s</th>
<th>$000s</th>
<th>$000s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45,976</td>
<td>45,526</td>
<td>(450)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Net direct expenditure (income)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$000s</th>
<th>$000s</th>
<th>$000s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18,434</td>
<td>16,319</td>
<td>(2,175)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Capital Expenditure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$000s</th>
<th>$000s</th>
<th>$000s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total capital expenditure</td>
<td>16,692</td>
<td>26,175</td>
<td>9,483</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

[1] Actual employee costs include direct payroll costs relating to event delivery. These are budgeted under ‘other operating expenses’.

[2] Donated artworks received by the Art Gallery. These are not budgeted.
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RFA OPERATIONAL

RFA finished the second quarter with net direct expenditure of $18.5m, which is $2.2m / 13% unfavourable to budget.

Major year-to-date variances to budget are explained as follows:

Direct external revenue is $27.5m, which is $1.7m / 6% unfavourable to budget.

- Zoo commercial revenue is below budget by $0.9m due to visitation and associated revenues being heavily impacted by wet weather, especially on “peak visitation” days (i.e. weekends, school break and public holidays). The Zoo has experienced wet weather on 86 days this year – this is 16 days more than the previous year and 42% of these ‘wet’ days occurred on peak visitation days. The second quarter is also a slower season for the Zoo’s experience products, especially Safari Nights and Tawharanui schools education programme.

- Conventions revenue is below budget by $0.8m due to lower event revenue across all venues (except for Aotea Centre) and lower commission revenue from the new catering contract that came into effect in October 2017. The previous legacy contract had higher commission share and exclusivity payment arrangement which was not secured in the new contracts.

- Stadiums revenue is unfavourable to budget by $0.7m as a result of fewer concerts than planned. There was one less Paul McCartney concert, which impacted venue hire revenue and lower ticket sales impacted associated revenues such as catering and merchandise.

- In contrast to other businesses, Auckland Live has enjoyed two consecutive successful quarters with a revenue yield that is $1.2m favourable to budget due to increased number of events and high ticket sales. There were 47 unbudgeted events bringing in combined $0.6m of unplanned revenue. Matilda the Musical had a successful season and closed ahead of budget by $0.2m. Positive public response to a number of events prompted the promoters to put on more shows. The Queens Wharf venues are also performing well under RFA management with revenue ahead of budget by $0.2m.

- Other revenue has declined in the area of sponsorship. The main reasons are lower sponsorship than budgeted for the Corsini art exhibition, a constrained art exhibition program (due to funding pressures) and weakening corporate sector support due to changing preferences and public perception of the Gallery being Crown/Council funded. The Zoo is also experiencing lower rates of sponsorship.

Direct expenditure is $46.0m, which is unfavourable to budget by $0.5m / 1% as a result of higher costs associated with revenue (cost of sales) partially offset by savings in employee costs and other expense areas.

- Employee-related costs are favourable to budget by $1.1m / 6% across the business as a result of deferred recruitment, staff turnover and timing of business reorganisations. The deferred recruitment is an effort by management to offset revenue shortfalls. However, this
can impact business performance and increase staff health and safety and visitor security risks. Recruitment in some areas is underway and staff costs are expected to rise in future months.

- Marketing expenditure is also favourable to budget due to the timing of exhibitions and events. Auckland Conventions is tightly managing costs to achieve their financial targets. Auckland Live is expecting marketing spend to increase in the summer season in relation to their community activation programmes.
- Professional services, ICT and travel & entertainment spend is lower than budget to help manage budget and due to project delays.
- Other expenditure and facilities management is $1.0m unfavourable to budget due to necessary investment into customer experience initiatives and reactive (unplanned) maintenance works at Aotea Centre, Gallery, Western Springs Stadium and the Civic. This includes re-oiling of kauri surfaces at the Gallery and insurance claims relating to the Civic and Western Springs Stadium.

Council funding and depreciation as indirect items have contributed a combined $1.0m benefit to the net expenditure. Annual operational funding provided by Council has now been confirmed at $27.5m to include funding for the Queens Wharf venues, first year of the living wage increase, and correction to the elephant acquisition budgets. Depreciation is behind budget due to the timing or project delivery and capitalisation.

RFA CAPITAL

Capital expenditure is $16.7m, representing 64% of the funding allocated for this period. The main drivers of the lower than forecast spend are:

- Shared Services Facility for Auckland Town Hall and Aotea Centre - Technical issues experienced in August 2017 resulted in a 5-month delay in the delivery of this project. The completion date is now June 2018.
- Aotea Centre Refurbishment & Expansion - The detailed design for the expansion component will be procured in the next quarter. The refurbishment component of this project is on track, with physical works to commence in February 2019 with the estimated completion date of February 2019.
- Western Springs Stadium renewals – Essential renewals are expected to commence in the third quarter with an estimated completion of physical works expected in December 2018. This includes renewals of toilet blocks, gates, and bus inter changes.
- Zoo Administration building - Undergoing design amendments. This delays the project delivery by 1 month to September 2018. Preliminary site works are expected to begin in February 2018.
The following projects have been completed to date in this financial year:

- Mt Smart Stadium Arena 1 projects:
  - Refurbishment of the corporate suites and toilet facilities and other interior improvements
  - Renewal of drainage and water main to prevent flooding
  - Waterproofing of the West Stand seating areas

- Construction and remediation of entry gates at Mt Smart

- Installation of ball retention fencing at Mt Smart Field 3.

At the request of Council, RFA have deferred approximately $12m of the 2017/18 capital programme as part of the overall Auckland Council Group requirements. RFA are on track to deliver to the revised $53m capital programme.
PERFORMANCE MEASURES

RFA has an agreed set of performance measures and targets which form the basis for accountability for delivering our key strategic objectives and priorities. The framework for measuring key outcomes aligns to the measures agreed as part of the Long Term Plan 2015-2025 and Annual Plan 2017/18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT WE DO (LEVEL OF SERVICE)</th>
<th>HOW WE WILL MEASURE SUCCESS (PERFORMANCE MEASURE)</th>
<th>ANNUAL TARGET 2017/18</th>
<th>Dec 2017 YTD ACTUAL</th>
<th>PROGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We provide live arts and entertainment experiences for Aucklanders and visitors to our city: Leader of arts and entertainment events in New Zealand</td>
<td>Number of publically available performing arts performances programmed by Auckland Live</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>540</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venues include: Aotea Centre, Auckland Town Hall, The Civic, Aotea Square, Bruce Mason Centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visitor satisfaction with experiences at Auckland Live events</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venues include: Aotea Centre, Auckland Town Hall, The Civic, Aotea Square, Bruce Mason Centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of patrons who believe Auckland Live provides them with a rich choice of arts and entertainment options</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We bring people together and help provide identity through memorable stadium events</td>
<td>Number of commercial event days at stadiums</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venues include: Mt Smart Stadium, QBE North Harbour Stadium, Western Springs Stadium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of community event days at stadiums</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>237</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venues include: Mt Smart Stadium, QBE North Harbour Stadium, Western Springs Stadium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visitor satisfaction with experiences at Auckland Stadium venues</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We care for our collections for current and future generations to enjoy and to bring cultural awareness of art and wildlife to Auckland and its visitors</td>
<td>Total number of visitors to Auckland Zoo</td>
<td>731,500</td>
<td>325,532</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total number of visitors to Auckland Art Gallery</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>259,991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visitor satisfaction with experiences at Auckland Zoo</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visitor satisfaction with experiences at Auckland Art Gallery</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Māori programmes annually at Auckland Art Gallery</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of visitors reporting an enhanced appreciation of wildlife</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTRIBUTION TO MĀORI OUTCOMES

RFA’s Māori Engagement Strategy aims to enhance existing relationships with iwi and enter into business relationships in order to create meaningful engagement. This may create employment, and be either cost neutral or generate a profit, while positively increasing the visibility of iwi and enhancing the RFA brands.

The increased visibility of iwi in terms of a business, tourism and reputational perspective is consistent with the focus of the independent Māori Statutory Board and Council’s Te Toa Takitini approach.

RFA is committed to continuing to develop programmes and initiatives to support Māori visibility at regional facilities, support Māori businesses to engage with RFA, and support tikanga Māori cultural expression. These include Auckland Zoo’s Māori science programme, Auckland Art Gallery’s Māori Advisory Group, Auckland Art Gallery’s Lindauer exhibition, and Auckland Conventions’ support for the Tamaki Herenga Waka Festival on Anniversary Day 2017.

As part of RFA’s overall renewals and capital works programme, bi-lingual signage and incorporation of Māori identity and Te Aranga design principals are being incorporated where appropriate in accordance with Auckland Council Group’s Te Reo Māori Framework.

RFA continues to build on our existing organisational capability in Te Reo and tikanga and to ensure that biculturalism is reflected in our everyday work practices.
These and other initiatives form part of RFA’s operations rather than specific and discrete projects, and align with the goals of RFA’s approved Maori Engagement Framework.

In 2017/18 RFA will review its Maori Engagement Strategy to ensure it is continuing to support RFA’s contributions to Maori outcomes. RFA is working with Auckland Council’s Te Waka Anga Mua ki Uta through their work in supporting development of Maori responsiveness plans across the group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIATIVE</th>
<th>CONTRIBUTION TO MĀORI OUTCOMES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Auckland Zoo regularly consults with a range of iwi with respect to planned animal translocations, to gain support for the zoo’s applications to receive or release native wildlife. The zoo also seeks iwi advice on, and assistance with, the appropriate tikanga associated with animal movements and exhibit openings at the zoo. Auckland Zoo launched its Matarangi Maori education programme 1st July 2017. So far 600 participants have discovered relationships and connections between Aotearoa’s animals, plants, people, the things we do and our values, all from a Māori world view. On 20th December 2017 the Zoo opened Te Papa’s Bug Lab exhibition, which contains a number of stories and interpretation regarding native New Zealand insects and their significance from a matarang Māori perspective. The Te Wao Nui New Zealand species precinct has developed Māori stories and is continuing to enhance this significant component of the experience. This precinct is actively promoted to international visitors and includes bicultural signage. The Auckland Art Gallery Toi o Tāmaki Māori advisory group, Haerewa, was established in 1994 to assist with the implementation of those aspects of the Gallery’s strategic plan relevant to Māori and to be an advisory and support group to Gallery management. On 29 November the Gallery was the host venue and a contributor to a forum for Māori Arts Leaders and the Creative Sector: ‘Think Tank’ with Moana Maniapoto: The event was nationally significant with over 50 Māori arts and cultural leaders from across the country attending. The day long workshop was funded by Creative New Zealand and facilitated by Moana Maniapoto. The Gallery’s Director Rhena Devenport and Haerewa Chair Elizabeth Ellis both addressed the group and Nigel Borell, Curator Māori Art coordinated the day on behalf of the Gallery. On Monday 18 December 2017 curators Nigel Borell and Mary Kisler co-ordinated and welcomed a Rotorua delegation of WWII servicemen on their visit to the Gallery to view the Consini exhibition. A group of 15 people included the last living veteran of the 28th Māori Battalion B Company Bonn (Robert) Gilles. A short mihin wahakauri was held in the exhibition with Huna Kae speaking on behalf of Haerewa with its Chair Elizabeth Ellis accompanied by fellow member Tim Mawhiney also in attendance. During WWII the 28th Māori Battalion helped in defending the region where the Consini family have their lands, aristocracy and art collection (Florence, Italy). Auckland Art Gallery Toi o Tāmaki touring exhibition Gottfried Lindauer: The Māori portraits opened successfully in September at De Young Museum in San Francisco and continues until April. Learning and Outreach is continuing to support Māori youth with its activities. This quarter particular efforts included the team attending Children of Prisoners Week 2017 Hui, held at PILLARS Org, who are the primary social service provider to support children of parental in prison. Gallery education resources were used for the Hui’s whanau activity (80% children were Māori). The team has also been working closely with Nigel Borell with a view to developing Māori taonga educational touch objects. WaKa Angamua. Auckland Council’s Māori Responsiveness Team have been advising as the forthcoming Learning and Outreach...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Contribution to Māori Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Programme is developed. Contemporary artist and educator Jasmine Te Hira (Ngāpuhi, Ngati Kuki Ariki) has been appointed as Educator, Outreach Programmes and will begin in February, 2018. A breakfast and workshop that was organised in collaboration with Ngāti Tamaho Trust based in Otara Ītea Bluelight Trust Te Huringa o Te Tai o nga Whine Leadership Group (at-risk teenage Māori girls). The gallery is committed to having on display art that reflects Aotearoa’s bicultural identity including a range of work by Māori artists. Highlights on display currently include the exhibition ‘Charles F. Goldie: Revealing the Painter and the Subject’. The Goldie paintings of Māori subjects are among the most loved and admired works in the Gallery’s collection. Lisa Rehanan’s ‘In Pursuit of Venus [in]ected’ from the Gallery’s collection was the key work featured in the exhibition ‘Lisa Rehana: Emissaries’ as New Zealand’s official pavilion for the 57th International Art Exhibition – La Biennale di Venezia Arte in 2017 which closed in November. Auckland Stadiums provides Māori cultural experiences at major events and continues to actively seek iwi events. Bicultural signage has been implemented at Auckland Stadiums in accordance with its regular renewals programme. A formal relationship exists between Mt Smart Stadium and the Tōpuna Taonga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust, with whom ownership of the stadium resides. In December, the annual Auckland Theatre Awards was presented in the Wintergarden with Auckland Live as a key partner in the event. Outcomes for this evening included recognition for Māori/Pacific artists who have delivered some outstanding performing arts work, including works that have been presented in Auckland Live venues through partnerships. The focus for Auckland Live planning has been the Summer in the Square program which will have a number of events with a Māori focus throughout the December 2017/February 2018 period. Among these is the musical adventure Mata and the Mysterious Musical Maunga and gardening workshops. During Waitangi Weekend several Aotearoa artists will be performing in Aotea Square including Rob Mokaraka who will be performing award-winning Shott Bro; DJ Linda T playing Kiwi tunes; and a closing night party with Te Āo Māori. Auckland Conventions negotiated a 3-year sponsorship arrangement for the Tamaki Herenga Waka Festival for 2018 – 2020. Auckland Conventions worked with Ngāti Whatua to provide a proposal for a cultural performance for a Chinese group potentially coming to Auckland. The IMSB and local iwi are recognised as key stakeholders in RFA’s Aotearoa Arts Quarter and will be consulted as part of any overall development.</td>
<td>Celebration of Auckland’s Māori identity as its point of difference in the world for its visitors. Understanding and recognition of Te Ao Māori. RFA embracing the benefits arising from economic partnerships with Māori. Celebration of Auckland’s Māori identity as its point of difference in the world for its visitors. Celebration of Auckland’s Māori identity as its point of difference in the world for its visitors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regional Facilities Auckland Second Quarter Report – Quarter Ended 31 December 2017

Page 108

Local board resolution responses and information report
LOCAL BOARD ENGAGEMENT

During the quarter RFA continued regular liaison with local boards across the region, responding to queries and distributing the first quarter report. Eight boards placed the report on meeting agendas. RFA was represented at management and board level at the December mayoral function for the advisory panels of Auckland Council.

Waitemata Board received the first quarter report, and noted the successful way that the board worked with the RFA Stadiums team to restore the walking track behind Western Springs Stadium. Detailed updates of current developments at RFA facilities will be presented to the board early in 2018.

In November Auckland Council released the report of the most recent elected members’ survey. The report made reference to the significant increase in levels of satisfaction with RFA information and engagement with local boards. This was especially pleasing in the context of the slight reduction in overall satisfaction levels with council advice and support to elected members.

The 2018 RFA function for local boards and advisory panels will be held at the Civic in April.

RISK MANAGEMENT

RFA’s Enterprise Risk Management Framework sets out the principles and process for risk management. Quarterly risk workshops are undertaken with each of RFA’s business units, recorded in RFA’s risk database and reported through to the RFA Board and the Health, Safety and Risk Committee on a quarterly basis. Any risks assessed as high are required to have mitigations identified and these are reported to the Board.

RFA’s Capital Projects Sub-Committee also provides the Board with appropriate oversight of projects considered high public interest or risk.

RFA is working with Auckland Council on the requested enhanced reporting of risks to Council’s Audit & Risk Committee.

No major changes have been made in the last quarter to RFA’s risk management, internal audit and external audit approaches. No new risks assessed as high have been identified since the last quarter.

RFA management addresses improvements identified in internal and external audit findings, and progress is reported to RFA’s Audit Committee. The current audit issues do not impose any significant financial implications on the Auckland Council Group. Further, in accordance with the continuous disclosure requirement, there are no material items in this period that would require disclosure.
Governance Forward Work Calendar

File No.: CP2018/04087

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To present the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board with its updated governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The governance forward work calendar for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board is in Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly, reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff.

3. The governance forward work calendars were introduced in 2016 as part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aim to support local boards’ governance role by:
   - ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   - clarifying what advice is expected and when
   - clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) notes the Governance Forward Work Calendar.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>Governance Work Calendar</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>18 April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>18 April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>9 May 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>6 June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>June/July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>June/July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Workshop Notes

File No.: CP2018/04089

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Attached are the notes for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board workshops held on 7, 14 and 28 March 2018.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board receive the workshop notes from the workshops held on 7, 14 and 28 March 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7 March Workshop Notes</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>14 March Workshop Notes</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>28 March Workshop Notes</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment B

Item 26

Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 7 March 2018, commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene
Deputy Chairperson: Walter Togiamua
Members: Nick Bakulich
Carrol Elliott
Makalita Kolo
Christine O’Brien

Apologies: Tafafuna'i Tasi Lauese

Also present: Carol McKenzie-Rex, Janette McKain, Daniel Poe, Rina Tagore, Shirley Samuels

Member Togiamua opened the meeting in prayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00 -</td>
<td>Auckland Transport - Local Transport Capital Fund Policy</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon, Ben Stallworthy,</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed the Governance Framework Review and gave feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.45pm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yufei Pan, Lynda Taylor</td>
<td></td>
<td>Action: A report will be coming to the April Business meeting with the options for increasing the Local Transport Capital Fund and the method of allocation of the fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.45 -</td>
<td>Community &amp; Social Policy - Service and Asset Planning</td>
<td>Tania Utiy</td>
<td>Input into decision-making, policies, plans and strategies</td>
<td>The board had a presentation on the position papers developed for key open space issues an the local board omnibus open space management plan template. A report will go to the Environment and Community Committee to seek their endorsement of the new approach to open space management planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.30 -</td>
<td>Proposed establishment of Auckland Food Alliance</td>
<td>Lauren Simpson (Principal Sustainability &amp; Resilience Advisor) Sarah Anderson (Principal Specialist Climate Resilience)</td>
<td>Input into regional decision-making, policies, plans and strategies</td>
<td>The board discussed the proposed Auckland Food Alliance. How the board can support the establishment of an Auckland Food Alliance pilot that will include their local board area, to identify what, if any, food system concerns the local board has that could help shape the Alliance action plan and to identify key local food stakeholders. Action: A report will come to the April Business Meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.15 -</td>
<td>SH20A to Airport Project and Airport Access</td>
<td>Amanda Petherick, amanda.petherick@mhxkirkb</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>SH20A to Airport Project. The Board were updated on the progress made on the physical works for the NZTA’s SH20A to Airport project. This includes a number of local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.45 - 5.00pm</td>
<td>Relationship Manager Update Summary of information memos:</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>The board discussed upcoming issues and memos received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Historic Heritage Grants Programme Memo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safeswim Memo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 14 March 2018, commencing at 1.00pm**

**PRESENT**
- Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Soane
- Deputy Chairperson: Walter Togiamua
- Members: Nick Bakulich, Carol Elliott (from 1.19pm), Makalita Kolo (from 2.15pm)

**Apologies:** Tafuna’i Tasi Lauese and Christine O’Brien for absence.

**Also present:** Carol McKenzie-Rex, Janette McKain, Daniel Poe, Rina Tagore

Member Togiamua opened the meeting in prayer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeslot</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00 - 1.30pm</td>
<td>Community Places - Ōtāhuhu Town Hall Community Centre; Funding Agreement and Licence to Occupy and Manage</td>
<td>Jacqueline Puna-Teaukura</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities and budgets</td>
<td>The board discussed the Funding Agreement, draft KPI’s and Licence to Occupy and Manage term for Ōtāhuhu Town Hall Community Centre in preparation for FY18/19 work programme. Support/confirm Funding agreement and licence to occupy and manage term for Ōtāhuhu Town Hall Community Centre to be captured and approved in the FY18/19 ACE work programme. Action: Officer will send the presentation and the Ōtāhuhu Town Hall strategic document to the board and local board staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30 - 2.15pm</td>
<td>Arts, Community &amp; Events (ACE) (Community Services) - A&amp;C Work Programme - MO Arts Jam Future Planning</td>
<td>Sarah Edwards - Arts and Culture Advisor</td>
<td>Setting direction</td>
<td>The board discussed and gave feedback on the work being delivered as part of the art broker work programme. A list of groups and organisations who are being supported following the EOI process were presented. The board gave direction on the options presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Presenter(s)</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2.15 - 3.15pm | **Sports Facilities Investment Plan 2018-2038**                     | William Brydon, Frank Faessen | The board had a presentation and gave feedback on the key aspects of the plan prior to finalisation of a draft Sports Facilities Investment Plan. Discussion focused on:  
- the overarching approach and the outcomes sought from council’s investment  
- the ways in which council could invest in the future  
- possible revenue streams to allow for an increased number and broader range of sport facilities  
- alignment with the objectives of the sport sector and other funders to get advice on how best to incorporate local input and to undertake targeted consultation on the plan. For example, it was important to understand possible impacts on families and different socio-economic groups of possible revenue streams. |
| 3.15 - 3.30pm | **BREAK**                                                              |                       |                                                                      |
| 3.30 - 4.30pm | **CONFIDENTIAL Treaty settlement between the iwi Te Ākitai Waiohua and the Crown** | Daniel Haines, John Hutton (Manager, Treaty Settlements) | The board discussed the upcoming Treaty Settlement between the iwi Te Ākitai Waiohua and the Crown.  
**Action:**  
A report will be coming to the March business meeting and reported to the Governing Body on 19 April. |
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 28 March 2018, commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene
Deputy Chairperson: Walter Togiamua
Members: Nick Bakulich
Carrol Elliott
Makalita Kolo
Tafatuna i Tasi Lausesse
Christine O’Brien

Apologies: Carol McKenzie-Rex

Also present: Janette McKain, Daniel Poe, Rina Tagore, Shirley Samuels

Member Togiamua opened the meeting in prayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeslot</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00 - 5.00pm</td>
<td>Workshop 5</td>
<td>Workshop 5 attendees: Lead Financial Advisor - Audrey Gan</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities and budgets</td>
<td>The board discussed the FY 18/19 draft work programme by activity and gave feedback to the departments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Facilities - Agnes McCormack, Nichola Painter, Greg Hannah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Services - Mary Dawson, Gill Pannell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parks Sports and Rec - Debra Langton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACE - Mary Dawson, Shirley Samuels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ATEED - Paul Robinson, Luo Lei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Community Services – Service, Strategy and Integration no officers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I&amp;ES - Desiree Tukutama, Emma Joyce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>