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1 Welcome

2 Apologies
At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
That the Waitematā Local Board:
   a) confirm the minutes of its ordinary meeting, held on Tuesday, 20 March 2018, including the confidential section, as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations
Standing Order 3.20 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Waitematā Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

   8.1 Deputation: Lincoln Jefferson, General Manager, Life Education Trust – Counties Manukau and Ponsonby Eden Roskill

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
1. Lincoln Jefferson, General Manager, Life Education Trust – Counties Manukau and Ponsonby Eden Roskill, will be in attendance to deliver a presentation regarding the work the trust is undertaking in the local board area.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:
   a) thank Lincoln Jefferson, General Manager, Life Education Trust – Counties Manukau and Ponsonby Eden Roskill, for his attendance and Deputation presentation about the trust’s work in the local board area.
8.2 Deputation: Frances Arns, Executive Director, Rainbow Youth

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
1. Frances Arns, Executive Director, Rainbow Youth, will be in attendance to deliver a presentation outlining Rainbow Youth’s 2020 strategy and the results of Rainbow Youth’s 2017 survey.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) thank Frances Arns, Executive Director, Rainbow Youth, for her attendance and Deputation presentation summarising Rainbow Youth’s 2020 strategy and the results of Rainbow Youth’s 2017 survey.

Attachments
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8.3 Deputation: Gael Baldock, resident, restoration of the local board area waterway

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
1. Gael Baldock, resident, will be in attendance to deliver a presentation advocating for, and outlining the importance of restoring waterways in the local board area.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) thank Gael Baldock, resident, for her attendance and Deputation presentation about the restoration and importance of the local board area waterway.

8.4 Deputation: Wendy Gray, resident, Western Springs Plan

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
1. Wendy Gray, resident, will be in attendance to deliver a presentation regarding the Western Springs Plan.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) thank Wendy Gray, resident, for her attendance and Deputation presentation about the Western Springs Plan.
9 **Public Forum**

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 **Extraordinary Business**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

   (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

   (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."

11 **Notices of Motion**

There were no notices of motion.
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. The Manager, Local Board Engagement, will present the Regional Facilities Auckland (RFA) report for the second quarter to 30 December 2017.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. RFA’s second quarter programme continued to deliver a diverse array of exhibitions, shows and entertainment
3. RFA’s commercial revenue targets remain challenging. The 2017/2018 budget sets a target of a 21 percent increase in commercial revenue – this is currently not being achieved.
4. The second quarter ended with net direct expenditure unfavourable to budget by $2.2m, and external revenue targets not met by $1.7m.
5. Highlight activities included:
   - Auckland Art Gallery opening of Yayoi Kusama’s The Obliteration Room
   - Auckland Zoo’s opening of Bug Lab, an important revenue and partnering initiative to maintain visitation as the Zoo embarks on a significant capital development
   - Sir Paul McCartney and Sia at Mt Smart Stadium
   - The Auckland City Mission Christmas Lunch at ANZ Viaduct Events Centre
   - Summer in the Square – the sixth year in Aotea Square
   - Matilda the Musical wrapped up on 22 October after a highly successful season
   - The sold-out Tonga v England Rugby League World Cup semi-final at Mt Smart Stadium.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:
a) receive the Regional Facilities Auckland 2017/2018 Second Quarter Report

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Regional Facilities Auckland 2017/2018 second quarter report</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Judy Lawley – Manager, Local Board Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitemata Local Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Regional Facilities Auckland’s (RFA) purpose is to enrich life in Auckland by engaging people in the arts, environment, sports and events. We work in partnership with key stakeholders to present exciting, engaging and accessible experiences to those who live in and visit our city. Our innovative and imaginative programming delights people – every single day – and our collections and venues are some of the best in New Zealand.

RFA’s second quarter programme continued the delivery of a diverse array of exhibitions, shows and entertainment as people flocked to experience and enjoy RFA’s exciting activities and events across Auckland. RFA’s commercial revenue targets remain challenging. The 2017/18 budget requires RFA to achieve a 21% increase in commercial revenue – this is currently not being achieved.

Highlights of the second quarter included:

- Yayoi Kusama’s The obliteration room opened at Auckland Art Gallery in December 2017 and runs until 2 April
- Opening of Bug Lab in December running until August 2018 - an immersive and interactive experience developed by Wellington’s Academy Award winning Weta Workshop and Te Papa. This is an important revenue and partnering initiative to enable the Zoo to continue to attract and retain visitation as it embarks on the most significant capital redevelopment
- Sir Paul McCartney and Sia at Mt Smart Stadium in December
- The annual Auckland City Mission Christmas lunch at the ANZ Viaduct Events Centre on 25 December
- Summer in the Square returned to Aotea Square for the sixth year
- Matilda the Musical, which opened at The Civic in August, wrapped up on 22 October after a highly successful New Zealand-exclusive season.
- The sold-out Tonga v England Rugby League World Cup semi-final at Mt Smart Stadium at the end of November.

RFA finished the second quarter with net direct expenditure unfavourable to budget by $2.2m / 13%.

This was largely due to external revenue targets not being met by $1.7m with lower than expected revenue from corporate sponsorships and donations due to a softening philanthropic environment, rain affected Zoo visitation, and the loss of a budgeted outdoor concert.

Currently we are managing the lower than budgeted commercial revenue by offsetting costs particularly with staff vacancies and essential non committed costs (such as marketing, ICT costs, and staff training).
RFA has four strategic priorities for 2017-2020 that address the challenges facing our business and continue our journey from transition to transformation.

ENHANCE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCES

With over four million customers, visitors and fans to our venues every year, our customer experience and digital strategy is focused on delivering a better customer experience at all touchpoints of the customer and client journey. The positive trends in Net Promoter Scores indicates better customer experiences are being achieved.

OPTIMISE SECTOR NETWORKS

Continuing to identify and leverage the strengths in the sector portfolios within which we work will allow us to deliver greater opportunities for our customers and better returns and efficiencies for RFA and for ratepayers. RFA continues to progress agreements with New Zealand Maritime Museum to join RFA. With Shed 10 and The Cloud now in our portfolio, improved financial returns are being achieved from those venues.
INVEST IN OUR VENUES AND SERVICES

RFA is the trusted steward of $1.3 billion of assets. Our transformational priorities focus on the development of Auckland Zoo, implementing our Venue Development Strategy and the Aotea Arts Quarter, creating venues that will be representative of a great global city and underpin future opportunities to advance our community’s social and cultural wellbeing and further contribute to Auckland’s economic growth.

IMPROVE ORGANISATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY

Develop a funding and operating model that supports the achievement of RFA’s strategic priorities now and into the future. This strategic priority includes engagement with the Long-term Plan process in order to provide long-term financial sustainability to enable appropriate levels of planning and development. A particular focus will be on funding for the Art Gallery, health and safety, security and venue maintenance.

These strategic priorities will ensure RFA meets its objectives and delivers public good outcomes and value for money for the Auckland community.

HIGHLIGHTS FOR THE LAST QUARTER

AUCKLAND ART GALLERY TOI O TĀMAKI

Yayoi Kusama’s The obliteration room (2002 – present) opened at Auckland Art Gallery on 9 December 2017. The free family-friendly participatory installation by one of the world’s most popular, well-loved artists was developed by Kusama for the Queensland Art Gallery and has toured to London, Buenos Aires, Rio de Janeiro, Brasilia, Sao Paulo, Mexico City, Shanghai, South Korea, Switzerland, France and Dunedin.

Collective Women: Feminist Art Archives from the 1970s to the 1990s opened on 25 November. The exhibition focuses on the history of second wave feminism in Aotearoa New Zealand and the female artists who campaigned for equality for the arts and wider socio-political change.

Free summer screenings of animated short films by AUT Digital Design students were launched at the Gallery’s auditorium on 26 December and runs until 1 February.

In October the Gallery appointed Neal Stimler, formerly of The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, as its inaugural Head of Public Engagement. Stimler’s appointment signifies an exciting phase for the Gallery as it focuses on developing and strengthening its public engagement strategy.
The Gallery is currently investigating implementing a charge for international visitors. This will commence in early 2018.

**AUCKLAND CONVENTIONS**

Auckland Conventions staged 178 events across all RFA venues during the second quarter. The highest number of events were staged at the ANZ Viaduct Events Centre.

AUT University returned to Aotea Centre for their series of summer graduations, with more than 14,000 graduates, and their families and friends visiting the venue.

Nethui 2017, an important industry event held by Internet NZ which included a well-attended conference, was also held at Aotea Centre. The event drew more than 1100 visitors and received positive media and social media coverage.

In the same quarter, ASB Bank held ASB Live 2017 – a banking conference, at Aotea Centre. More than 1200 people attended.

In keeping with the spirit of the festive season, Conventions once again hosted the annual Auckland City Mission Christmas lunch at the ANZ Viaduct Events Centre on 25 December. More than 2300 people attended the free lunch, with Conventions providing the venue and staff time and support as a way of giving back to the community.

**AUCKLAND LIVE**

Auckland Live Summer in the Square returned to Aotea Square for the sixth year on 1 December with another diverse, family-friendly line-up of activities and entertainment including retro days, live music, gardening workshops, K-Pop performances, Auckland Town Hall organ tours, and live NZ theatre.

One of the world’s leading contemporary circus companies, Cirque Eloize returned to Auckland with Cirkopolis, an energetic fantastical stage show featuring a blend of acrobatics, theatre and dance at The Civic in December.

During the quarter, Live launched the first Accessibility Virtual Tour (AVT) of a New Zealand venue to assist disabled and mobility-impaired visitors to the Auckland Town Hall. The user-centric, innovative online tour is built around 3D images of the Town Hall’s Baroque-style interiors. More AVT tours are planned in 2018 for other Auckland Live venues.

Auckland Town Hall turned 106-years-old in early December. The Auckland Council A and Category 1 Heritage NZ listed building was first opened on 14 December 1811 by then-Governor General Lord Islington and bears a striking resemblance to Lambeth Town Hall in London, built at around the same time.

The award-winning international production *Matilda the Musical*, which opened at The Civic in August, wrapped up on 22 October after a highly successful New Zealand-exclusive season.
More than 34,000 people packed the Aotea Arts Quarter in mid-October to enjoy the sights, sounds and tastes of India in celebration of the annual Diwali Festival. The Auckland Town Hall was also lit pink in October for Breast Cancer Awareness Month.

AUCKLAND STADIUMS

Sir Paul McCartney played a one-off New Zealand concert to a crowd of around 30,000 at Mt Smart Stadium on 16 December as part of his worldwide One on One tour.

The annual Taste of Auckland food festival ran at Western Springs Stadium in mid-November, featuring four days of gourmet food, wine, and cooking demonstrations.

Global superstar Sia played to a sell-out crowd at Mt Smart Stadium in a one-off New Zealand concert on 5 December as part of her Nostalgic for the Present Tour, which also toured Australia.

More than 30,000 fans attended the sold-out Tonga v England Rugby League World Cup semi-final at Mt Smart Stadium at the end of November – the biggest crowd for a sporting match at the venue since the Warriors’ 1995 season. The majority of fans were dressed in red to support Manu Ma’a Tonga and the event received positive social media and national and international media coverage.

AUCKLAND ZOO

Blockbuster science exhibition Bug Lab opened at Auckland Zoo on 20 December to August 2018 for a special season. The ticketed exhibition was co-created by Te Papa Museum and the Academy Award winning Weta Workshop and is a spectacularly immersive and interactive experience.

The Zoo welcomed two new female cheetah, three new agouti, a new pair of cotton top tamarin monkeys, and a colony of megabats (or little red flying foxes) as part of their ongoing programme of conservation. The Zoo’s capybara, Rosita, also gave birth in October to three pups.

Auckland Zoo pest control coordinator Sian Buley visited Samoa to lend her expertise in helping to preserve the native bird population. The project is part of a wider field conservation strategy in the South Pacific, and was created to assist Samoa in their goal to boost the population of their native reptiles, birds and plants, and help save their national bird – the critically endangered manumea.

Three Bornean orangutans were sent to their new temporary home at Orana Wildlife Park in Christchurch while the Zoo builds its long planned-for South East Asian precinct.
FUTURE OUTLOOK

RFA is looking forward to a busy third quarter with major exhibitions and events planned including The Art of Banksy at Aotea Centre, the Foo Fighters and Ed Sheeran at Mt Smart Stadium, the Auckland Arts Festival at various Auckland Live venues across the central city, and the second-ever Auckland City Limits music festival at Western Springs Stadium.

Auckland Conventions will host several major industry events during the quarter, including the USANA Health Sciences 2018 conference at Aotea Centre, the Waka Festival at the ANZ Viaduct Events Centre (VEC), the WCGALP (World Genetics) Conference 2018 at Aotea Centre, the Volvo Ocean Race – Stopover Base at VEC, and the PSANZ 2018 Corporate Communique International meeting also at the VEC.

RFA continues to operate in a volatile commercial environment with significant fluctuations occurring with targeted commercial revenue. RFA’s budget this year includes a challenging 21% increase in commercial revenue from the previous year. The second quarter results and forecasted revenue indicate that the external revenue targets may not be achieved this year. Currently we are managing the lower than budgeted commercial revenue by offsetting costs particularly with staff vacancies and essential non committed costs (such as marketing, ICT costs, and staff training). This is applying significant pressure to the overall business and may prove to be counter-productive in the longer term.

RFA is seeking an opportunity to secure sufficient funding to support the financial sustainability of the organisation as part of the Long Term Plan 2018-28 process.
## KEY DELIVERABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>PROGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPTIMISE SECTOR NETWORKS</td>
<td>CULTURAL HERITAGE REVIEW PRIORITY RFA will continue to work with Auckland Council and other cultural heritage sector stakeholders (including MOTAT, Stardome Observatory and Planetarium and Auckland War Memorial Museum) to drive greater transparency and value for money for ratepayers.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The review is led by Auckland Council with RFA participation. RFA management continues to assist Auckland Council and engage with the major museums in relation to the review as required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COLLABORATION ACROSS THE COUNCIL GROUP RFA works with Auckland Council and other CCOs to develop and deliver significant events for the region and where possible reduce ratepayer funded events and venues competing with each other.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>RFA continues to work closely with the Auckland Council Group. The following are key initiatives:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• In July, the management of Queen’s Wharf facilities including Shed 10 and The Cloud transferred from Panuku to RFA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• RFA works with ATEED to ensure that event planning is coordinated across the city. These activities range from cost effective management of large events, sharing of resources and reducing duplication of effort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Working with Panuku on the America’s Cup planning to determine the potential impact it may have on the operations of the ANZ Viaduct Events Centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Integration of NZ Maritime Museum into RFA to seek operational efficiencies through economies of scale and ensure value for money for the ratepayer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIORITY</td>
<td>DELIVERABLE</td>
<td>STATUS</td>
<td>PROGRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL PLACE MAKING AND URBAN REGENERATION</td>
<td>RFA’s purpose is to advance the social and cultural wellbeing of Aucklanders. We do this by engaging people in the arts, environment, sports and events. Our transformational projects will ensure our venues, facilities and programmes are attractive locations and precincts with high amenity value for our communities. RFA works with Panuku Development Auckland in the Transform and Unlock areas, including Takapuna, Manukau and the central city.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>RFA continues to work collaboratively across the Council group including with Panuku and Auckland Transport. For example, the Civic Administration Building development and shared place making activities on Queen’s Wharf.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INVEST IN OUR VENUES AND SERVICES</td>
<td>AUCKLAND STADIUMS</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Essential renewals are currently being carried out across all three Stadiums in accordance with approved Asset Management Plans from 2015-25. These plans are currently being updated to reflect the improved information of asset conditions and health and safety requirements. Further investment is required into existing facilities to address legacy under investment and to meet the increasing requirements of tenants and event hirers. These requirements will be tabled as part of the LTP 2018-28 for Council to consider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AGTEA CENTRE</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The main contractor has been appointed and physical works will commence as planned in February 2018. The works will address weather tightness issues, bring internal and external facilities up to current compliance standards, and improve the customer experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIORITY</td>
<td>DELIVERABLE</td>
<td>STATUS</td>
<td>PROGRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>AUCKLAND ZOO</strong></td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The construction site is now established and the 2-year programme of work for the South East Asia precinct commences in February 2018. This project significantly upgrades facilities for a range of species including orangutans and tigers, and improves the underlying utilities infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ENHANCE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCES</strong></td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>RFA has a comprehensive strategy to improve customer experiences across all our businesses, encompassing redeveloped websites, customer insights programmes to drive improvements and engagement, enhancements to an existing business-to-business portal and other online services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IMPROVE ORGANISATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY</strong></td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The 10 year budgets in order to best position RFA to achieve outcomes as articulated in the Auckland Plan and Statement of Intent have now been completed. RFA are seeking an opportunity to right size the annual operational funding from Auckland Council to reflect the operating environment as part of the Long Term Plan 2018-28.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SECURE SUSTAINABLE FUNDING</strong></td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>We will be seeking the opportunity to ‘make whole’ our operational funding level as part of the Long Term Plan process for 2018-2028 to enable a more financially sustainable business model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MAXIMISE EXTERNAL REVENUE</strong></td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>The 2017/18 budgets include an increase of external revenue by 21% and for external revenue to cover 72% of the organisation’s operational costs. Key revenue items which will have a significant bearing on the ability for RFA to meet its revenue targets include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Ability to secure outdoor concerts. At the time of writing, it is unlikely that the budgeted number of outdoor concerts will be met;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PRIORITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>PROGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|             |        | - The Bug Lab exhibition with Te Papa opened at Auckland Zoo in December;  
|             |        | - Securing revenue at our key venues (Aotea Centre and Auckland Zoo) while there are significant capital works about to commence; and  
|             |        | - Entry fees for international visitors to the Art Gallery was implemented in late January.  
|             |        | We will continue to report progress on these challenging revenue targets.  
| STRATEGIC PROCUREMENT | Ongoing | RFA continues to participate in Council group procurements where these add value to our business. This has included food, utilities, printing, professional and technical consultants and physical works contractors. |

Initiatives across RFA’s business divisions and with Auckland Council Group (where appropriate).
# UNAUDITED FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RFA Consolidated</th>
<th>YTD ACTUAL DEC 2017</th>
<th>YTD BUDGET DEC 2017</th>
<th>VAR $</th>
<th>VAR %</th>
<th>FULL YEAR ANNUAL PLAN 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$000s</td>
<td>$000s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$000s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and user charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Art Gallery</td>
<td>22,905</td>
<td>24,198</td>
<td>(1,293)</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
<td>55,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Zoo</td>
<td>1,231</td>
<td>1,342</td>
<td>(111)</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
<td>3,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Conventions</td>
<td>5,140</td>
<td>6,067</td>
<td>(927)</td>
<td>(15%)</td>
<td>14,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Live</td>
<td>5,197</td>
<td>5,968</td>
<td>(771)</td>
<td>(13%)</td>
<td>10,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Stadiums</td>
<td>8,293</td>
<td>7,054</td>
<td>1,239</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>13,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Services</td>
<td>2,778</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>(742)</td>
<td>(21%)</td>
<td>11,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants and subsidies</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue</td>
<td>4,174</td>
<td>4,661</td>
<td>(487)</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
<td>12,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Revenue</strong></td>
<td>27,482</td>
<td>29,207</td>
<td>(1,724)</td>
<td>(6%)</td>
<td>67,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits</td>
<td>18,909</td>
<td>20,022</td>
<td>1,113</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>40,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants, contributions and sponsorship</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other expenditure:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Sales</td>
<td>26,447</td>
<td>24,881</td>
<td>(1,566)</td>
<td>(6%)</td>
<td>53,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities management</td>
<td>13,286</td>
<td>12,149</td>
<td>(1,140)</td>
<td>(9%)</td>
<td>27,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>7,063</td>
<td>7,060</td>
<td>(543)</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
<td>14,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Systems</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel and entertainment</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2,973</td>
<td>2,455</td>
<td>(518)</td>
<td>(21%)</td>
<td>5,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct expenditure</strong></td>
<td>45,976</td>
<td>45,526</td>
<td>(450)</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>95,813</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Net direct expenditure (income) | 18,494 | 16,319 | (2,175) | (13%) | 27,985 |
| Funding from Auckland Council | (16,051) | (16,051) | - | - | (27,506) |
| Revenue from vested assets | (910) | - | 910 | - | - |
| Other non-operating expense (income) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net finance expense (income) | (286) | (268) | 18 | 7% | (478) |
| Depreciation and amortisation | 13,381 | 14,382 | 1,001 | 7% | 28,763 |
| Net losses (gains) on disposal of assets | 20 | - | (20) | - | - |
| Income tax | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Net expenditure (income)** | 14,648 | 14,382 | (266) | (2%) | 28,764 |

## CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

| Total capital expenditure | 16,692 | 26,175 | 9,483 | 36% | 65,453 |

---

[1] Actual employee costs include direct payroll costs relating to event delivery. These are budgeted under ‘other operating expenses’

[2] Donated artworks received by the Art Gallery. These are not budgeted.
RFA OPERATIONAL

RFA finished the second quarter with net direct expenditure of $18.5m, which is $2.2m / 13% unfavourable to budget.

Major year-to-date variances to budget are explained as follows:

Direct external revenue is $27.5m, which is $1.7m / 6% unfavourable to budget.

- Zoo commercial revenue is below budget by $0.9m due to visitation and associated revenues being heavily impacted by wet weather, especially on “peak visitation” days (i.e. weekends, school break and public holidays). The Zoo has experienced wet weather on 86 days this year – this is 16 days more than the previous year and 42% of these ‘wet’ days occurred on peak visitation days. The second quarter is also a slower season for the Zoo’s experience products, especially Safari Nights and Tawharanui schools education programme.

- Conventions revenue is below budget by $0.8m due to lower event revenue across all venues (except for Aotea Centre) and lower commission revenue from the new catering contract that came into effect in October 2017. The previous legacy contract had higher commission share and exclusivity payment arrangement which was not secured in the new contracts.

- Stadiums revenue is unfavourable to budget by $0.7m as a result of fewer concerts than planned. There was one less Paul McCartney concert, which impacted venue hire revenue and lower ticket sales impacted associated revenues such as catering and merchandise.

- In contrast to other businesses, Auckland Live has enjoyed two consecutive successful quarters with a revenue yield that is $1.2m favourable to budget due to increased number of events and high ticket sales. There were 47 unbudgeted events bringing in combined $0.6m of unplanned revenue. Matilda the Musical had a successful season and closed ahead of budget by $0.2m. Positive public response to a number of events prompted the promoters to put on more shows. The Queens Wharf venues are also performing well under RFA management with revenue ahead of budget by $0.2m.

- Other revenue has declined in the area of sponsorship. The main reasons are lower sponsorship than budgeted for the Corsini art exhibition, a constrained art exhibition program (due to funding pressures) and weakening corporate sector support due to changing preferences and public perception of the Gallery being Crown/Council funded. The Zoo is also experiencing lower rates of sponsorship.

Direct expenditure is $46.0m, which is unfavourable to budget by $0.5m / 1% as a result of higher costs associated with revenue (cost of sales) partially offset by savings in employee costs and other expense areas.

- Employee-related costs are favourable to budget by $1.1m / 6% across the business as a result of deferred recruitment, staff turnover and timing of business reorganisations. The deferred recruitment is an effort by management to offset revenue shortfalls. However, this
can impact business performance and increase staff health and safety and visitor security risks. Recruitment in some areas is underway and staff costs are expected to rise in future months.

- Marketing expenditure is also favourable to budget due to the timing of exhibitions and events. Auckland Conventions is tightly managing costs to achieve their financial targets. Auckland Live is expecting marketing spend to increase in the summer season in relation to their community activation programmes.
- Professional services, ICT and travel & entertainment spend is lower than budget to help manage budget and due to project delays.
- Other expenditure and facilities management is $1.0m unfavourable to budget due to necessary investment into customer experience initiatives and reactive (unplanned) maintenance works at Aotea Centre, Gallery, Western Springs Stadium and the Civic. This includes re-oiling of kauri surfaces at the Gallery and insurance claims relating to the Civic and Western Springs Stadium.

Council funding and depreciation as indirect items have contributed a combined $1.0m benefit to the net expenditure. Annual operational funding provided by Council has now been confirmed at $27.5m to include funding for the Queens Wharf venues, first year of the living wage increase, and correction to the elephant acquisition budgets. Depreciation is behind budget due to the timing or project delivery and capitalisation.

RFA CAPITAL

Capital expenditure is $16.7m, representing 64% of the funding allocated for this period. The main drivers of the lower than forecast spend are:

- Shared Services Facility for Auckland Town Hall and Aotea Centre - Technical issues experienced in August 2017 resulted in a 5-month delay in the delivery of this project. The completion date is now June 2018.
- Aotea Centre Refurbishment & Expansion - The detailed design for the expansion component will be procured in the next quarter. The refurbishment component of this project is on track, with physical works to commence in February 2018 with the estimated completion date of February 2019.
- Western Springs Stadium renewals – Essential renewals are expected to commence in the third quarter with an estimated completion of physical works expected in December 2018. This includes renewals of toilet blocks, gates, and bus inter changes.
- Zoo Administration building - Undergoing design amendments. This delays the project delivery by 1 month to September 2018. Preliminary site works are expected to begin in February 2018.
The following projects have been completed to date in this financial year:

- Mt Smart Stadium Arena 1 projects:
  - Refurbishment of the corporate suites and toilet facilities and other interior improvements
  - Renewal of drainage and water main to prevent flooding
  - Waterproofing of the West Stand seating areas
- Construction and remediation of entry gates at Mt Smart
- Installation of ball retention fencing at Mt Smart Field 3.

At the request of Council, RFA have deferred approximately $12m of the 2017/18 capital programme as part of the overall Auckland Council Group requirements. RFA are on track to deliver to the revised $53m capital programme.
PERFORMANCE MEASURES

RFA has an agreed set of performance measures and targets which form the basis for accountability for delivering our key strategic objectives and priorities. The framework for measuring key outcomes aligns to the measures agreed as part of the Long Term Plan 2015-2025 and Annual Plan 2017/18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT WE DO (LEVEL OF SERVICE)</th>
<th>HOW WE WILL MEASURE SUCCESS (PERFORMANCE MEASURE)</th>
<th>ANNUAL TARGET 2017/18</th>
<th>Dec 2017 YTD ACTUAL</th>
<th>PROGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We provide live arts and entertainment experiences for Aucklanders and visitors to our city. Leader of arts and entertainment events in New Zealand</td>
<td>Number of publically available performing arts performances programmed by Auckland Live Venues include: Aotea Centre, Auckland Town Hall, The Civic, Aotea Square, Bruce Mason Centre Visitor satisfaction with experiences at Auckland Live events Venues include: Aotea Centre, Auckland Town Hall, The Civic, Aotea Square, Bruce Mason Centre Percentage of patrons who believe Auckland Live provides them with a rich choice of arts and entertainment options</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>540</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We bring people together and help provide identity through memorable stadium events</td>
<td>Number of commercial event days at stadiums Venues include: Mt Smart Stadium, QBE North Harbour Stadium, Western Springs Stadium Number of community event days at stadiums Venues include: Mt Smart Stadium, QBE North Harbour Stadium, Western Springs Stadium Visitor satisfaction with experiences at Auckland Stadium venues</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We care for our collections for current and future generations to enjoy and to bring cultural awareness of art and wildlife to Auckland and its visitors</td>
<td>Total number of visitors to Auckland Zoo Total number of visitors to Auckland Art Gallery Visitor satisfaction with experiences at Auckland Zoo Visitor satisfaction with experiences at Auckland Art Gallery Number of Maori programmes annually at Auckland Art Gallery Percentage of visitors reporting an enhanced appreciation of wildlife</td>
<td>731,500</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>325,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHAT WE DO (LEVEL OF SERVICE)</td>
<td>HOW WE WILL MEASURE SUCCESS (PERFORMANCE MEASURE)</td>
<td>ANNUAL TARGET 2017/18</td>
<td>Dec 2017 VTD ACTUAL</td>
<td>PROGRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We manage our physical building assets in a way that ensures their long-term existences as a valued part of Auckland’s social infrastructure</td>
<td>Visitor satisfaction on the condition our facilities [1]</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>■</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimising the financial burden on Auckland ratepayers</td>
<td>Percentage of Mana Whenua satisfaction with quality of engagement</td>
<td>New Measure</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of operating costs met through external revenue (excluding Council funding and depreciation)</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[1] Increased commercial activity at the Auckland Stadiums venues is resulting in reduced capacity for community events at certain times of the year. The advent of the Albany Stadium pool next to QBE Stadium has led to the cessation of the Drive Rush motosport events to ensure adequate parking capacity at the Stadium. The capital renewal programme has also affected availability of the grounds, etc as work is scheduled to take place during non-commercial peak activity times.

[2] Adverse weather conditions, exhibit closures due to construction and constraints to running experience products are contributing to the low appreciation quotient. The opening of the new Bug Lab exhibition in mid-December is expected to improve this result in future months.

[3] Condition satisfaction is the aggregate result across all venues. This result is influenced by visitor satisfaction ratings with the condition of the stadiums. RFA’s capital plan is expected to increase visitor satisfaction.

**CONTRIBUTION TO MĀORI OUTCOMES**

RFA’s Māori Engagement Strategy aims to enhance existing relationships with iwi and enter into business relationships in order to create meaningful engagement. This may create employment, and be either cost neutral or generate a profit, while positively increasing the visibility of iwi and enhancing the RFA brands.

The increased visibility of iwi in terms of a business, tourism and reputational perspective is consistent with the focus of the Independent Māori Statutory Board and Council’s Te Toa Taktiti approach.

RFA is committed to continuing to develop programmes and initiatives to support Māori visibility at regional facilities, support Māori businesses to engage with RFA, and support tikanga Māori cultural expression. These include Auckland Zoo’s Māori science programme, Auckland Art Gallery’s Māori Advisory Group, Auckland Art Gallery’s Lindauer exhibition, and Auckland Conventions’ support for the Tamaki Herenga Waka Festival on Anniversary Day 2017.

As part of RFA’s overall renewals and capital works programme, bi-lingual signage and incorporation of Māori identity and Te Aranga design principals are being incorporated where appropriate in accordance with Auckland Council Group’s Te Reo Māori Framework.

RFA continues to build on our existing organisational capability in Te Reo and tikanga and to ensure that biculturalism is reflected in our everyday work practices.
These and other initiatives form part of RFA’s operations rather than specific and discrete projects, and align with the goals of RFA’s approved Maori Engagement Framework.

In 2017/18 RFA will review its Maori Engagement Strategy to ensure it is continuing to support RFA’s contributions to Maori outcomes. RFA is working with Auckland Council’s Te Waka Anga Mua ki Uta through their work in supporting development of Maori responsiveness plans across the group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INITIATIVE</th>
<th>CONTRIBUTION TO MĀORI OUTCOMES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Zoo regularly consults with a range of iwi with respect to planned animal translocations, to gain support for the zoo’s applications to receive or release native wildlife. The zoo also seeks iwi advice on, and assistance with, the appropriate tikanga associated such animal movements and exhibit openings at the zoo.</td>
<td>Celebration of Auckland’s Māori identity as its point of difference in the world for its visitors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Zoo launched its Matarangi Maori education programme 1st July 2017. So far 630 participants have discovered relationships and connections between Aotearoa’s animals, plants, people, the things we do and our values, all from a Māori world view.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On 20th December 2017 the Zoo opened Te Papa’s Bug Lab exhibition, which contains a number of stories and interpretation regarding native New Zealand insects and their significance from a mataranga Maori perspective.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Te Wao Nui New Zealand species precinct has developed Māori stories and is continuing to enhance this significant component of the experience. This precinct is actively promoted to international visitors and includes bi-cultural signage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Auckland Art Gallery Toi o Tāmaki Māori advisory group, Haerewa, was established in 1994 to assist with the implementation of those aspects of the Gallery’s strategic plan relevant to Māori and to be an advisory and support group to Gallery management.</td>
<td>As New Zealand’s leading visual art institution, it is Auckland Art Gallery Toi o Tāmaki’s role to showcase New Zealand art and culture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On 29 November the Gallery was the host venue and a contributor to a forum for Māori Arts Leaders and the Creative Sector: ‘Think Tank’ with Moana Maniapoto. The event was nationally significant with over 50 Māori arts and cultural leaders from across the country attending. The day long workshop was funded by Creative New Zealand and facilitated by Moana Maniapoto. The Gallery’s Director Rhana Devenport and Haerewa Chair Elizabeth Ellis both addressed the group and Nigel Borei, Curator Māori Art coordinated the day on behalf of the Gallery.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Monday 18 December 2017 curators Nigel Borei and Mary Kisler - co-ordinated and welcomed a Rotorua delegation of WWII servicemen on their visit to the Gallery to view the Corsini exhibition. A group of 15 people included the last living veteran of the 28th Māori Battalion B Company Born (Robert) Gilles. A short mihi whakatau was held in the exhibition with Hunaara Kaa speaking on behalf of Haerewa with its Chair Elizabeth Ellis accompanied by fellow member Tim Melville also in attendance. During WWII the 28th Māori Battalion helped in defending the region where the Corsini family have their lands, aristocracy and art collection (Florence, Italy).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Art Gallery Toi o Tāmaki touring exhibition Gotthfried Lindauer: The Māori portraits opened successfully in September at De Young Museum in San Francisco and continues until April. Learning and Outreach is continuing to support Māori youth with its activities. This quarter particular efforts included the team attending Children of Prisoners Week 2017 Hui, held at PILLARS Org, who are the primary social service provider to support children of parent’s in prison. Gallery education resources were used for the Hu’s whanau activity (80% children were Māori). The team has also been working closely with Nigel Borei with a view to developing Māori taonga educational touch objects. Waka Angamua, Auckland Council’s Māori Responsiveness Team have been advising as the forthcoming Learning and Outreach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td>Contribution to Māori Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme is developed. Contemporary artist and educator Jasmine te Hira (Ngāpuhi, Ngāti Kuki Airani) has been appointed as Educator, Outreach Programmes and will begin in February, 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A breakfast and workshop that was organised in collaboration with Ngāti Tamaoho Trust based in Ōtara for Ōtara Brightlight Trust Te Huringa o Te Tai o nga Wāhine Leadership Group (at-risk teenage Māori girls). The gallery is committed to having on display art that reflects Aotearoa’s bicultural identity including a range of work by Māori artists. Highlights on display currently include the exhibition “Charles F Goldie: Revealing the Painter and the Subject”. The Goldie paintings of Māori subjects are among the most loved and admired works in the Gallery’s collection. Lisa Reihana’s ‘In Pursuit of Venus [infected]’ from the Gallery’s collection was the key work featured in the exhibition ‘Lisa Reihana: Emissaries’ as New Zealand’s official pavilion for the 57th International Art Exhibition – La Biennale di Venezia Arte in 2017 which closed in November.</td>
<td>Celebration of Auckland’s Māori identity as its point of difference in the world for its visitors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Stadiums provides Māori cultural experiences at major events and continues to actively seek iwi events. Bi-cultural signage has been implemented at Auckland Stadiums in accordance with its regular renewals programme. A formal relationship exists between Mt Smart Stadium and the Tūpuna Taonga o Tāmaki Makaurau Trust, with whom ownership of the stadium resides.</td>
<td>Celebration of Auckland’s Māori identity as its point of difference in the world for its visitors. Understanding and recognition of Te Ao Māori.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In December, the annual Auckland Theatre Awards was presented in the Wintergarden with Auckland Live a key partner in the event. Outcomes for this evening included recognition for Maori/Pacifica artists who have delivered some outstanding performing arts work, including works that have been presented in Auckland Live venues through partnerships. The focus for Auckland Live planning has been the Summer in the Square program which will have a number of events with a Māori focus throughout the December 2017/February 2018 period. Among these is the musical adventure Mata and the Mysterious Musical Maunga and gardening workshops. During WaiTangi Weekend several Aotearoa artists will be performing in Aotea Square including Rob Mokara who will be performing award-winning Shot Bro; DJ Linda T playing Kiwi tunes; and a closing night party with The Māori Sidesteps.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Conventions negotiated a 3-year sponsorship arrangement for the Tamaki Herenga Waka Festival for 2018 – 2020. Auckland Conventions worked with Ngati Whatua to provide a proposal for a cultural performance for a Chinese group potentially coming to Auckland.</td>
<td>Celebration of Auckland’s Māori identity as its point of difference in the world for its visitors. RFA embracing the benefits arising from economic partnerships with Māori.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The IMSB and local iwi are recognised as key stakeholders in RFA’s Aotea Arts Quarter and will be consulted as part of any overall development.</td>
<td>Celebration of Auckland’s Māori identity as its point of difference in the world for its visitors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LOCAL BOARD ENGAGEMENT

During the quarter RFA continued regular liaison with local boards across the region, responding to queries and distributing the first quarter report. Eight boards placed the report on meeting agendas. RFA was represented at management and board level at the December mayoral function for the advisory panels of Auckland Council.

Waitemata Board received the first quarter report, and noted the successful way that the board worked with the RFA Stadiums team to restore the walking track behind Western Springs Stadium. Detailed updates of current developments at RFA facilities will be presented to the board early in 2018.

In November Auckland Council released the report of the most recent elected members’ survey. The report made reference to the significant increase in levels of satisfaction with RFA information and engagement with local boards. This was especially pleasing in the context of the slight reduction in overall satisfaction levels with council advice and support to elected members.

The 2018 RFA function for local boards and advisory panels will be held at the Civic in April.

RISK MANAGEMENT

RFA’s Enterprise Risk Management Framework sets out the principles and process for risk management. Quarterly risk workshops are undertaken with each of RFA’s business units, recorded in RFA’s risk database and reported through to the RFA Board and the Health, Safety and Risk Committee on a quarterly basis. Any risks assessed as high are required to have mitigations identified and these are reported to the Board.

RFA’s Capital Projects Sub-Committee also provides the Board with appropriate oversight of projects considered high public interest or risk.

RFA is working with Auckland Council on the requested enhanced reporting of risks to Council’s Audit & Risk Committee.

No major changes have been made in the last quarter to RFA’s risk management, internal audit and external audit approaches. No new risks assessed as high have been identified since the last quarter.

RFA management addresses improvements identified in internal and external audit findings, and progress is reported to RFA’s Audit Committee. The current audit issues do not impose any significant financial implications on the Auckland Council Group. Further, in accordance with the continuous disclosure requirement, there are no material items in this period that would require disclosure.
Auckland Transport Update - April 2018

File No.: CP2018/04971

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To update the Waitematā Local Board on Auckland Transport activities and to summarise the ongoing engagement between Waitematā Local Board and Auckland Transport (AT).

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. This report covers:
   - A general summary of projects and activities of interest to the board
   - Traffic control committee decisions
   - A summary of Auckland Transport workshops with the board
   - A summary of general information items sent to the board
   - A summary of engagement with the board on various projects
   - An update on the board’s transport capital fund.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:
a) receive the report Auckland Transport Update – April 2018.

Horopaki / Context
Regional Land Transport Plan 2018
3. Consultation on the Regional Land Transport Plan (RLTP) 2018 will begin on Monday 23 April and close on Sunday 6 May.
4. Members from all 21 local boards have been invited to an information, question and answer session on Monday 23 April.
5. Each local board will have an opportunity to give verbal feedback on the plan to representatives of the Regional Transport Committee (the decision makers for the RLTP) on Monday 30 April.
6. There will be a number of public information sessions and the Waitematā Local Board will be informed of these as soon as details are confirmed.

Other projects
7. The table below has a general summary of projects and activities of interest to the board with their current status. Please note that all timings are indicative and are subject to change:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Park Road Busway - bus priority improvements on Park Road between Grafton Bridge and the Hospital entrance</td>
<td>AT has been engaging with key stakeholders on the Park Road Busway project. Traffic management outside the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>new University medical facility conflicts with construction of the</strong></td>
<td>new University medical facility conflicts with construction of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>proposed improvements</strong> and is likely to delay the project until**</td>
<td>proposed improvements and is likely to delay the project until 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2020. Public consultation has been put on hold until we confirm</strong></td>
<td>Public consultation has been put on hold until we confirm with the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>with the University of the earliest date that construction could</strong></td>
<td>University of the earliest date that construction could proceed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>proceed.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Northwestern busway – proposed rapid</strong></td>
<td>With a greater emphasis on light rail being looked at by the new</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>transit corridor from City to West Auckland</strong></td>
<td>government, AT is continuing to finish off the current busway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>business casework but is expecting to need to update it once the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>new central government transport policy direction is formalised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anticipated public consultation has therefore been delayed, as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the wider context is still not yet clear. AT has draft consultation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>material and hope to make speedy progress on public engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>once the central government approach is known. It is expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>clarity on a way forward will increase following the release of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Government Policy Statement and refreshed Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alignment Project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wellesley Street Bus Improvement Project (formerly Midtown bus</strong></td>
<td>The Project reached a milestone late last year with the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>route) – improving how city centre buses operate</strong></td>
<td>confirmation of Wellesley Street as the corridor for bus movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(leaving Victoria Street free to be developed as a linear park)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and including the requirement for an off-street bus interchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in Grafton Gully.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The scope for the Detailed Business Case (DBC) has been completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and was released to the market in late March for likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>commencement in May. The work involves more detailed design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>concepts, operational assessments, economic evaluation and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>commercial considerations. Further work is being undertaken into</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the potential to integrate the bus interchange into the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>surrounding Learning Quarter campus, as well as how best to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>provide for the East-West cycling connection and future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>integration with light rapid transit on Queen St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quay Street East Bus Project (formerly the Downtown bus project)</strong></td>
<td>This project has reached an agreed location and form, once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>integration with surrounding projects was confirmed – in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>particular the Quay St seawall upgrade, Quay St streetscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>improvements and related private or City Rail Link Ltd works on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nearby streets. The project is currently being coordinated with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>those interdependent projects into a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The project team is delaying making any decision on the proposal. This is due to streetscape improvement opportunities presented following the consultation for Market Place and Custom Street West. They will report back on what changes can be expected for Market Place and Customs Street, alongside the process and timing for delivering the project. At this stage there will be no substantive change to the Lower Hobson layout. They will be back in touch with submitters and other key stakeholders as soon as a decision has been reached. If substantial changes are proposed AT will be required to re-consult.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wynyard Quarter street and park upgrades</strong> – central construction package</td>
<td><strong>Work in the next round of street and park upgrades is scheduled to commence mid-2018. This next phase of work involves upgrading Daldy Street (between Fanshawe Street and Pakenham Street) and Gaunt Street (between Daldy Street and Beaumont Street). This will include extending the Daldy Street Linear Park from Pakenham Street to Fanshawe Street and creating a neighbourhood park at the corner of Pakenham Street and Daldy Street. Work is expected to be completed at the end of next year. AT will shortly begin notifying the wider Wynyard Quarter community that work is approaching and what to expect while work is underway.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grafton residential parking zone - proposed permit scheme for residents and businesses</strong></td>
<td>The public feedback report is complete and published on Auckland Transport’s website. The Traffic Control Committee approved the project on 26 March 2018. The project is now in the installation stage and is hoping to go live in May as planned. All the residents within the zone will receive letter advising them about the go live date and on how to apply for a permit or coupon if they want one by mid-April.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parnell cycleway – proposed cycleway through Parnell</strong></td>
<td>The project team has revised the design brief to incorporate views of the community reference group as well as views of internal stakeholders. They have investigated new design options.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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including options to incorporate urban design/streetscape elements - Boffa Miskell was engaged to provide input and option review. Internal discussion of these designs will take place in early April, these will then be shared with the Waitematā Local Board and key stakeholders including Bike Auckland, Auckland Design Office and the community reference group.

Feedback from the Board and these key stakeholders will inform the next stage of the investigation process, a multi criteria analysis, expected to be undertaken in May.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Status and Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Drive cycle route (Plumer St to Ngapipi Rd)</td>
<td>In detailed design. Construction is expecting to commence in June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Drive cycle route (Quay Street to Solent Street)</td>
<td>In detailed design. Construction is expecting to commence in June 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Drive cycle route (between Plumer to the Strand)</td>
<td>Construction began on the 8 January 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herne Bay cycling and walking improvements – proposed changes to encourage slower driving speeds and improve routes for people walking and cycling.</td>
<td>Currently in detailed design, which is expected to be complete in September 2018. Construction is now scheduled to begin late 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt Chev to Westmere cycleway - a dedicated cycle route along Point Chevalier Road and Meola Road ending near the Westmere Shops</td>
<td>Urban Design advice is being sought. The project team will present options to the Project Control Group in April to confirm the form of the cycleway that will be taken forward for discussion with the Community Liaison Group and developed into detailed design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Street East-West cycleway – A dedicated cycle route along Victoria Street West, from the Beaumont Street intersection to the Hobson Street intersection.</td>
<td>Currently in detailed design. Urban Design advice is being sought. Construction scheduled September 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Street Contra Flow Cycle Lane - an interim cycle lane proposed for Federal Street linking Fanshawe Street to Victoria Street until the full Federal Street Upgrade occurs.</td>
<td>Construction is complete. Feedback on the project will be taken between April and May 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 4 of Waitemata Safer Routes. Improvements for pedestrians, people on bikes and bus users for the section of Great North Road between Crummer Road and Ponsonby Road.</td>
<td>The project is going through an internal review. The project team anticipate that they will have a full update for the Waitematā Local Board in April.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parnell Station link – pedestrian link from the Station to Carlaw Park</td>
<td>The building consent has been approved and details around privacy screen resolved with stakeholders. Construction is now underway. Earthworks have been completed and an access way to install all of the piles has been completed. Next is the installation of timber piled screen and the piles for the boardwalk. Planned completion of walkway is June 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newmarket crossing project – a bridge to replace the rail crossing, linking Laxon Terrace with nearby Cowie Street.</td>
<td>Construction commenced on the 11 December 2017. Concrete bridge beams over the rail corridor were installed in late February and over Easter weekend six concrete bridge barriers were installed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Road upgrade - upgrades to improve the road quality and future-proof existing services.</td>
<td>Work is underway to complete the speed table and roundabout, to progress western side toward New World. It is planned to work on vehicle crossings during the upcoming school holidays. The project is currently running on schedule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 2 of the Waitemata safe routes - improvements for pedestrians, people on bikes, and bus users. This route runs along Richmond Road from Surrey Crescent to Parawai Crescent through the West Lynn shopping centre.</td>
<td>The project is currently under review. It is anticipated that the review process will take 4-6 months before physical works continue. The review process is currently underway with Beca and Boffa Miskell contracted to undertake the review. The Richmond Rd and Surrey to Garnet Community Liaison Groups have both met twice. A fortnightly newsletter is going to residents who have signed up for the update.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

**Traffic Control Committee decisions**
8. Please see attachment A which outlines decisions made in the Waitematā Local Board area in March 2018. Auckland Transport's resolution and approval process ensures the most appropriate controls and restrictions are put in place and can be legally enforced.

**Workshop overview**
9. Auckland Transport attended workshops on the 3 April 2018 about the Cook Street safety project, an introduction by AT Chief Strategy Officer Cynthia Gillespie, the proposed Parnell Busway, an update on the Central New Bus Network, a debrief on the Ponsonby Road Pedestrian project and a workshop on the Local Board transport capital fund.
General information items sent to the board:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Date sent to Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eden Terrace paid parking zone - price review</td>
<td>05/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Bike Fund Update</td>
<td>09/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation Summary: Morton Street - Parking Restriction Changes</td>
<td>15/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation Summary: Car Share - Central Auckland Locations</td>
<td>15/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation: Pedestrian Crossing Improvements in Freemans Bay</td>
<td>15/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYI: Car share on Queens Wharf</td>
<td>16/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update: Special Vehicle Lane Changes of Operation</td>
<td>16/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation Summary: Variable Message Sign on Tamaki Drive.</td>
<td>16/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal to declare Freyberg Place a Pedestrian Mall</td>
<td>16/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYI: St Georges Bay Road</td>
<td>16/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feedback on Signalised Mid-block Pedestrian Crossing on Khyber Pass Road</td>
<td>19/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYI: Snapchat pilot and pavement decals</td>
<td>19/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria St West cycleway - project update</td>
<td>20/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seawall repair update week 19</td>
<td>21/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYI: Removal of build out on Surrey Cres</td>
<td>21/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYI: Federal Street – Questions</td>
<td>21/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update: Caltex GNR shared path project</td>
<td>22/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYI: Mobility Parking Relocation – Newmarket</td>
<td>23/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FYI: Waitemata Safe Routes Newsletter</td>
<td>23/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey Lynn RPZ - parking occupancy survey results 2018</td>
<td>27/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update: Great North Road project</td>
<td>27/03/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update: Alfred Street</td>
<td>29/03/2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local board views and implications

10. The board’s views are incorporated during consultation on any proposed schemes. The table below has a summary of consultation with the board on key projects in the board area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Stage of consultation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grey Lynn &amp; Arch Hill Residential Parking Zone</td>
<td>Public consultation is complete. The project team briefed the board on the initial results of public consultation at a workshop on the 5 December 2017. The Project Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>came to the local board on 13 February 2018 with the results of public consultation. Auckland Transport received the board’s feedback on 23 February 2018.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parnell Residential Parking Zone</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public consultation is complete. The project team briefed the Board on the results of public consultation at a workshop on 5 December 2017. The Project Team came to the local board on 6 March 2018 with the results of public consultation to seek the board’s feedback.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North-western Busway</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A workshop was held with the board on 4 July 2017. The consultation is currently on hold.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nelson Street Phase 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation material was sent to the board for their information on 28 of August 2017. Public consultation closed on 1 October 2017 and a workshop to seek the board’s feedback was held on 7 November 2017. The boards feedback was received on 10 November 2017.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Park Road Busway</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop was held on 7 November 2017 to outline the project. Public consultation was expected in April 2018 with consultation with the local board to follow. However following engagement with key stakeholders it was determined that traffic management outside the new University medical facility conflicts with construction of the proposed improvements. Public consultation has been put on hold until we confirm with the university the earliest date that construction could proceed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tauākī whakaawae Māori / Māori impact statement**

11. No specific issues with regard to impacts on Māori are triggered by this report and any engagement with Māori will be carried out on an individual project basis.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

**Transport capital fund update**

12. As of the new electoral term Waitematā Local Board had $1,605,522 available in their Local Board Transport Capital Fund.

13. From this sum the board has approved:

- Up to $221,000 as additional funds for the Ponsonby Road pedestrian improvement project
- Up to $25,000 for a parklet on Nuffield Street
- Up to $20,000 for streetscape enhancement incorporating tree planting on St Marys Road

14. Auckland Transport has ongoing investigations into rough orders of costs for the following project:

- Creating a walking and cycling connection through Cox’s Bay reserve to Wharf Road via Bayfield Park
Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
15. Normal project risks are managed within each project and no extraordinary risks have been identified with any of the Board’s Transport Capital Fund projects to date.
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### March 2018 - Traffic Control Committee Decisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Board</th>
<th>Street Name</th>
<th>Suburb</th>
<th>Type of Report</th>
<th>Nature Of Restriction</th>
<th>Committee Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waitemata</td>
<td>Alfred Street</td>
<td>Auckland Central</td>
<td>Written Decision</td>
<td>Temporary Traffic and Parking restrictions</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitemata</td>
<td>Princes Street</td>
<td>Auckland Central</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes Combined</td>
<td>Nsaa, Mobility Parking, Rescinded, Flush Median, Edge Line, Traffic Signal Control</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitemata</td>
<td>Great North Road, Huia Road, Kiwi Road, Parr Road, Wandering Road, Premier Avenue, Minto Road, Western Springs Road, Reo Road, Turangi Road, Crummer Road, Coleridge Street, Northland Street, Harcourt Street, Commercial Street, Elgin Street, Grosvenor Street, Cooper Street, Bond Street, Beaconsfield Street, King Street, Arika Street, Turakina Street, Potatu Street, Brisbane Street, Sussex Street, Scanlan Street, Kirk Street, Waima Street, Mackenzie Street, Burns Street, Pollen Street, Maidstone Street, Nixon Street, St Lukes Road, Point Chevalier Road, Carrington Road, Bullock Track, Surrey Crescent, Williamso...</td>
<td>Grey Lynn</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes Combined</td>
<td>Bus Lane, Ambulance Service, Bus Stop, Nsaa, Mobility Parking, Loading Zone, P5, P10, P15, P30, P60, P120, Lane Arrow Markings, Clearway, Cycle Lane, Traffic Island, Give-Way, Stop Control, Traffic Signal Control, Flush Median, No Passing, Keep Clear, Edge Line</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment A</td>
<td>Item 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avenue, Ponsonby Road, Newton Road</td>
<td>Auckland Central</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponsonby Road, Crammer Road, Hepburn Street</td>
<td>Ponsonby</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grafton Road, Park Avenue, Crichton Place</td>
<td>Grafton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seaford View Road, Clarence Street, Parkfield Crescent</td>
<td>Eden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian McKinnon Drive, Upper Queen Street, West Street, St. Bride Street, Wellington Street</td>
<td>Auckland Central</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson Street, Scurry Street, Market Place, Pakenham Street East</td>
<td>Whiteman Place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This report seeks formal feedback from the local board on options for the future size and underlying distribution methodology of the local transport capital fund (LTCF) and on the proposal to increase advisory support for the fund from Auckland Transport staff.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. In September 2017, the Governing Body agreed in principle to an increase to the local transport capital fund as an outcome of the governance framework review. Staff were directed to undertake further work with Auckland Transport and local boards on the size of the increase, and the distribution methodology.
3. The LTCF was established in 2012 and currently sits at $10.8 million. It is allocated on a pure population basis. Two options for the size of funding increase have been modelled, an increase of $6 million and an increase of $10 million.
4. Staff have also modelled three different distribution options: the current population model, a model applying the Local Boards Funding Policy, and a model that includes a mix of a fixed level of funding per board, along with a variable rate determined by the Local Boards Funding Policy.
5. Each of the options has been assessed against a set of criteria. The pure population model is not supported by staff, while each of the other two models has merits. On balance, staff recommend that the Local Boards Funding Policy be applied to the distribution of the LTCF, with an additional amount of $10 million being added to the fund. Feedback is sought from local boards on their preferences.
6. It is also recommended that Auckland Transport have funding allocated to provide an increased level of support to local boards in developing and assessing local transport projects.
7. Final decisions will be made by the Governing Body as part of the 10-year budget process in May.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board endorses:

a) an increase to the local transport capital fund of $10 million per annum (inflation adjusted) from 1 July 2018
b) the distribution of the entire local transport capital fund to be made according to Auckland Council’s Local Boards Funding Policy from 1 July 2018
c) Auckland Transport receiving additional funding to provide an increased level of support to local boards in developing and assessing projects for the local transport capital fund.

Horopaki / Context
8. The local transport capital fund (LTCF) was established by resolution of the Strategy and Finance Committee [SF/2012/40] in April 2012, in order to provide local boards with access...
to funding for local transport projects that had strong local significance, but which were unlikely to be prioritised through the regional transport planning process.

9. The establishment of the fund is consistent with the government’s original policy intent that local boards would have a role in funding local transport projects out of a dedicated local budget [CAB Minute(09) 30/10] and that “local boards will have an advisory role with respect to transport services and a budget for the transport elements of ‘place shaping’”.

10. The objectives of the fund are to:
   - ensure locally important transport projects are given appropriate priority
   - provide local boards with more direct ability to influence local transport projects.

11. Projects must be deliverable, meet transport safety criteria and not compromise the network. Auckland Transport retains the responsibility for delivering projects delivered through this funding and the budget remains with Auckland Transport. Depreciation and consequential operating expenditure are also the responsibility of Auckland Transport, as is the core administration of the fund.

12. The fund was initially set at $10 million per annum (since adjusted for inflation, and now sitting at $10.8 million) and is currently split between the local boards on the basis of population, excepting Waiheke and Great Barrier Island local boards, which receive two per cent and one per cent of the fund respectively. The population figures that the distribution is based on have remained at 2012 levels.

13. At the Governing Body meeting of 28 September 2017, at which the recommendations of the Governance Framework Review Political Working Party were considered, it was agreed [GB/2017/117] that officers would report back to the Governing Body through the 10-year budget process on options for significantly increasing the LT CF, as well as providing an assessment of options for allocating the additional funding.

14. This report provides options for the quantum of the proposed increase, the method of allocating the proposed increase among the twenty one local boards and issues relating to the administration of the fund. Workshops have been held with each local board to discuss these proposals and now formal feedback is sought through business meetings. Final recommendations will be made to the Governing Body in May.

Tātāritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

15. Issues with the local transport capital fund identified through the governance framework review were grouped under three key themes:
   - the overall size, or quantum, of the local transport capital fund
   - the methodology underpinning its distribution among local boards
   - the administration and support provided by Auckland Transport to local boards in relation to developing options and projects for consideration.

Quantum of funding

16. When the LTCF was initially established at $10 million, the figure was not based on any specific assessment of need, but more on the recognition that smaller, local projects that had a strong place shaping component were unlikely to be funded according to Auckland Transport and NZTA’s prioritisation formulas.

17. While the fund took some time to get established, it is now delivering valuable transport related outcomes for communities across Auckland. The LTCF spend forecast in 2016-17 financial year was $17 million, as local boards have been able to accumulate funding across

---

1 Cabinet paper:  Auckland Governance: Regional Transport Authority Steven Joyce, Minister of Transport 2009
2 Based on Statistics NZ 2011 population estimates
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years to put towards more significant projects. It has delivered 286 projects over the five year period.

18. The LTCF contribution to these many local projects has also been complemented through the input of additional funds from Auckland Transport (as well as NZTA subsidy) with the value of the work they have delivered to their communities being substantially leveraged through this additional funding.

19. Staff have modelled the impact of the proposed increase on individual local boards according to a range of distribution models. In doing so, two different levels of increase have been used – the $10 million figure, initially proposed by Auckland Transport, and a lower figure of $6 million.

20. Neither figure is based on specific needs assessment, but Auckland Transport is of the view that a baseline of approximately six hundred and fifty thousand dollars a year is desirable to give individual local boards the resources to support significant local projects. This would require an increase of at least $6 million per annum.

Local Boards that have had access to higher levels of funding have generally found it easier to leverage that to attract NZTA subsidies and additional Auckland Transport funding, for example for projects that are being brought forward as a result of LTCF investment. Successful examples include the Half Moon Bay ferry terminal, the Mt Albert Station Bridge and the Māngere Future Streets project.

Distribution methodology

21. This section provides modelling of three distribution options applied to two levels of overall increase (sub-option A being an increase of $6 million, and sub-option B being an increase of $10 million). The options are:

- Option 1: status quo – simple population based distribution of both the existing fund and any additional funding
- Option 2: applying the current Local Boards Funding Policy to the distribution of the fund
- Option 3: a model that provides for a fixed level of baseline funding for all boards, as well as a variable component based on the Local Boards Funding Policy.

Population based distribution

22. In 2012, the Governing Body elected to distribute the first iteration of the LTCF purely on a population basis, following consultation with local boards. The distribution has not been adjusted to account for population distribution changes since the fund was established.

23. We have modelled (Appendix A) the option of applying the population based distribution methodology, based on Statistics NZ 2017 population estimates. As you will see from the modelling, the impact on local boards with higher populations is the most significant, in terms of an increase in funding, especially if the additional amount is $10 million.

24. Under this model, however, if the additional amount is $6 million, six local boards would still fall short of the $650,000 baseline figure identified by Auckland Transport as being desirable to enable the delivery of viable local transport proposals.

Applying the Local Boards Funding Policy to the LTCF

25. Following the establishment of the LTCF, work was undertaken to develop the current Local Boards Funding Policy, as required under the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009. This policy was adopted in 2014, and uses an allocation methodology incorporating three factors: population (90 per cent), deprivation (five per cent) and land area (five per cent). This funding policy is currently applied to locally driven initiatives funding, including the local capital fund, but was not retrospectively applied to the LTCF.

3 There was no formal local board funding policy in place at this time
26. The development of the funding policy involved significant consultation and engagement with local boards prior to final adoption. There are no current plans to review the policy.

27. We have modelled (Appendix B) the option of applying the Local Boards Funding Policy to the distribution of the LTCF. The modelling has been applied to the existing fund and the additional amounts of $6 million and $10 million. The modelling is also based on 2017 population estimates.

   Fixed and variable costs distribution

28. As previously noted, Auckland Transport has the view that in order to deliver transport infrastructure of any significance, a certain level of baseline funding is desirable – around $650,000 per annum, based on practical experience.

29. Many local boards have achieved significant results with their local transport projects, but transport infrastructure is inherently costly and costs tend not to vary according to location. For example, a footbridge and walking path in Pukekohe will tend to cost the same as a comparable one in Glenfield.

30. In considering the distribution methodology for the extended fund, Auckland Transport has put forward the following factors as being relevant:
   - the cost of building transport infrastructure is not directly related to the size of the population it serves
   - mature areas with high populations tend to already have higher quality and better developed transport infrastructure
   - the existing Auckland Transport/NZTA criteria for regional transport spending tend to favour, as would be expected, areas of high density and growth
   - the physical size of an area tends to have a correlation with the need for transport infrastructure e.g. the number of settlements, town centres.

31. A distribution model based on a split of fixed and variable costs has also been modelled as an option. The methodology involves fifty per cent of the entire quantum of funding being distributed by an even split (with the exception of Great Barrier and Waiheke Island Local Boards which receive 1/3 and 2/3 of a single share respectively), thus giving all other local boards the same level of core funding. The other fifty per cent of the funding would be distributed according to the Local Boards Funding Policy.

32. We have modelled (Appendix C) the option of applying this fixed/variable costs model to the distribution of the LTCF.

Assessing the options

33. Each of the three distribution models has elements to recommend it and others that detract from it. In assessing the models, staff applied the following assessment criteria:
   - transparency and ease of understanding for communities and stakeholders
   - equity and fairness of outcomes across the region
   - ensuring delivery of good local transport outcomes
   - recognising the role of local boards as leaders of place shaping with their communities.

34. Staff assessment of the options against these criteria is set out below.

   Options 1a and 1b – population based distribution

35. These options have been modelled on 2017 Statistics New Zealand population estimates.

36. A pure population based approach has the benefits of being objective, transparent and straightforward and means that funding received is proportionate to the number of ratepayers. It was, however, recognised at the time that this approach applied to areas of extremely low population (Waiheke and Great Barrier Islands) would result in those local
boards receiving insufficient funding to achieve anything practical, hence the application of the one and two per cent formula for the island local boards. A similar approach is also used in the Local Boards Funding Policy.

37. The limitations of this approach are that it does not address either the level of need in a given local board area, or the underlying cost drivers of transport infrastructure. Hence, large areas of low population density with significant roading networks and multiple population centres are funded at the same, or lower level as smaller urban communities of interest with already well-developed transport infrastructure, but higher population density.

38. The distribution methodology is simple and transparent and easy for communities and stakeholders to understand. In terms of delivering equity and fairness, this model delivers the widest differential of funding levels across local boards, with the highest funded board receiving 2.78 times the amount of the lowest funded board (excluding the island local boards).

39. Option 1a also results in six local boards receiving less than Auckland Transport’s benchmark identified as desirable for supporting good local transport outcomes in communities. The model limits the potential for those local boards to actively implement their role as local place shapers and to leverage additional investment into their projects. This model, and therefore Options 1a and 1b, is not supported by either Auckland Council or Auckland Transport staff.

**Options 2a and 2b – Local Boards Funding Policy based distribution**

40. This distribution method involves application of the current Local Boards Funding Policy. The policy is currently applied to distribution of funding for local activities (including local capex) to local boards and is based on the following factors: ninety per cent population\(^4\), five percent deprivation\(^5\) and five per cent land area\(^6\).

41. Applying the Local Boards Funding Policy is a simple methodology that has a clear rationale, is easily described to the community and is consistent with council’s wider approach to funding local boards. It takes account of multiple factors, delivering a more equitable distribution of funding, especially to local boards with lower populations but very large land areas and roading networks.

42. Reviewing the projects that have been funded from the LTCF to date, it is clear that much of the local boards’ focus has been on “people centred” transport projects, for example pedestrian safety improvements, walkways and cycleways, footpaths and streetscape improvements. This is consistent with the principles underpinning the Local Boards Funding Policy i.e. that population is the key driver of need for the funding, but that geography and deprivation also need to be taken into account.

43. This distribution methodology evens out the increase in funding across the twenty one local boards. The local boards with a larger land area receive more funding than under the pure population model, and all local boards receive the proposed level of baseline funding, but only under the $10 million quantum increase.

44. Under this model, however, the level of funding that accrues to the more populous local boards becomes very substantial in relationship to that for the smaller local boards, due to the compounding impact of the distribution model. For example, the Howick Local Board would receive over $1.7 million and Henderson-Massey over $1.4 million. Despite these extremes, this option provides an arguably more equitable and nuanced distribution of funding, as well as being consistent with current funding policy.

45. Its variation between the lowest and highest level of funding is still high with the highest funded board receiving 2.57 times the amount of the lowest funded board. Under Option 2a, five local boards still receive less than Auckland Transport’s desirable benchmark for

\(^4\) Based on annually revised estimates from Statistics NZ
\(^5\) Based on Index of Deprivation provided by the Ministry of Health
\(^6\) Excluding Great Barrier and Waiheke
delivering good local transport outcomes in communities and it limits the potential for those local boards to actively implement their role as local place shapers.

46. This is the preferred option on the basis of consistency with the existing funding policy, assessment against the criteria and recognition of the population focus of projects delivered using this fund. The preferred option is for the $10 million quantum as better providing for good local transport outcomes and delivering local place shaping.

**Options 3a and 3b – fixed and variable cost distribution**

47. This model is more complex and less transparent to communities and stakeholders than the other models. The identification of the benchmark figure is based on Auckland Transport’s experience of administering the fund over the past five years and the learning that has been gained from this, rather than in-depth financial analysis of infrastructure costs.

48. The results of this distribution are similar to those of applying the Local Boards Funding Policy, in that a similar number of local boards benefit under each model. However, it is different local boards that benefit from each model. In terms of equity and fairness, this model reduces the difference between the highest funded and lowest funded local boards and also brings all boards above the $650,000 benchmark, even under Option 3a ($6m increase).

49. The model reduces the impact of population on the distribution of funding, however, which is a core component of current funding policy and the focus of the projects delivered using the LTCF. The model performs well against the criteria of enabling the delivery of good local transport outcomes and supporting the role of local boards as place shapers.

**Summary of assessment of options**

50. Of the three distribution models assessed, the current model of pure population distribution performed the poorest and is not recommended by either Auckland Council or Auckland Transport staff.

51. The other two models deliver mixed results against the criteria. On balance, staff recommend that the Local Boards Funding Policy distribution best meets the criteria and is consistent with current funding policy. The final recommendation to the governing body will also be informed by feedback from local boards through this process.

**Administration of the LTCF**

52. When the LTCF was established in 2012, it was recognised that there would be an impact on Auckland Transport as the fund administrator. While design costs are capitalised within the cost of a specific project, there are also additional costs in developing options, undertaking feasibility studies, assessing proposals and general administration.

53. It was noted at the time that if each local board proposed 3-4 projects a year that this could place a considerable burden on Auckland Transport and it was recommended that this be reviewed at the time the fund was reviewed. Given the proposed increase to the size of the fund, this issue needs to be revisited.

54. Auckland Transport’s advice on LTCF investment focusses on whether a project put forward by a local board is technically feasible, and whether it is realistic in light of the available funding from the LTCF. During the governance framework review some local board members raised concerns about the nature and quality of advice received from Auckland Transport in relation to LTCF proposals. Local Boards felt that advice was limited to assessing their proposal against criteria, rather than helping them identify and develop high quality proposals.

55. It is recommended that Auckland Transport be allocated additional opex funding in support of the LTCF to be used to develop a more systematic and responsive work programme with local boards around the application of the LTCF. This will include supporting local boards to investigate and develop options for projects for consideration. A sum of $500,000 per annum is recommended to support this deliverable.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

56. Workshops have been held with every local board and a range of initial feedback has been received. Discussion on collective views has also taken place at the Local Board Chairs’ Forum. While some local boards have given early indication of their preferred options, others have reserved the right to engage in further consideration ahead of providing formal feedback.

57. There was general support for an increase to the fund and for additional funding to be provided to Auckland Transport to provide advice on projects and mixed views on options for allocating the fund. As noted in the assessment of options, each of the options for the amount of increase and the distribution methodology affects individual local boards differently.

58. Growth was raised by some local boards as a factor that should be considered. Staff’s view is that the population element of each of the models addresses this as current population is the only reliable indicator of growth. Population estimates are updated and will be applied to the fund annually.

59. As noted in the assessment of options, each of the options for the amount of increase and the distribution methodology affects individual local boards differently. A recent presentation to the Local Board Chairs’ Forum noted that it would be helpful for the Governing Body to have a clear preference signalled by the majority of local boards, in order to facilitate its decision making.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

60. A move away from a pure population based distribution model would take into account other factors, being deprivation and land area. Both options 2 and 3 include a deprivation component, although this is greater in option 2. This would have some positive impact on local board areas where there is a higher Māori population.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

61. The source of the additional funding is not addressed in this report, as it is being considered through the overall budget setting process in the 10-year budget. Essentially, however, there are two options that the Governing Body will need to consider – that additional funding comes from rates and/or borrowing, or Auckland Transport reprioritises within its existing funding envelope.

62. The proposed size of the increase to the fund (both options) is not significant enough within the overall transport budget to be able to enable transparent trade-offs at a detailed level e.g. which specific transport projects might not be funded in the Regional Land Transport Plan in a given year if the LTCF is increased.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

63. No significant risks have been identified.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

64. Final decisions will be made by the Governing Body as part of the 10-year budget process in May. Any new funding and change to the distribution methodology will be applied from 1 July 2018.
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### Attachment A: Population based distribution modelling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of local board</th>
<th>Current funding 2016-17</th>
<th>Additional $6m Option 1a</th>
<th>Additional $10m Option 1b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert-Eden</td>
<td>$720,259</td>
<td>$1,080,595</td>
<td>$1,337,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devonport-Takapuna</td>
<td>$417,067</td>
<td>$621,441</td>
<td>$769,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>$471,159</td>
<td>$739,198</td>
<td>$915,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Barrier</td>
<td>$105,370</td>
<td>$168,000</td>
<td>$208,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson-Massey</td>
<td>$810,647</td>
<td>$1,210,227</td>
<td>$1,498,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays</td>
<td>$649,799</td>
<td>$1,034,085</td>
<td>$1,280,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howick</td>
<td>$944,450</td>
<td>$1,486,313</td>
<td>$1,840,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaipōtiki</td>
<td>$627,735</td>
<td>$930,182</td>
<td>$1,151,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu</td>
<td>$560,834</td>
<td>$802,530</td>
<td>$983,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manurewa</td>
<td>$642,681</td>
<td>$935,130</td>
<td>$1,157,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>$535,924</td>
<td>$786,676</td>
<td>$976,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōrakei</td>
<td>$595,708</td>
<td>$905,444</td>
<td>$1,121,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtara-Papatoetoe</td>
<td>$594,996</td>
<td>$888,829</td>
<td>$1,075,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura</td>
<td>$331,860</td>
<td>$539,308</td>
<td>$667,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puketāpapa</td>
<td>$410,662</td>
<td>$623,420</td>
<td>$771,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney</td>
<td>$399,986</td>
<td>$636,284</td>
<td>$787,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Harbour</td>
<td>$385,112</td>
<td>$646,179</td>
<td>$800,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>$216,641</td>
<td>$336,000</td>
<td>$416,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitākere Ranges</td>
<td>$358,706</td>
<td>$536,339</td>
<td>$664,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitemātā</td>
<td>$508,878</td>
<td>$1,073,668</td>
<td>$1,329,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whau</td>
<td>$560,834</td>
<td>$838,154</td>
<td>$1,037,714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attachment B: Local Board Funding Policy distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of local board</th>
<th>Current funding 2016-17</th>
<th>Additional $6m Option 2a</th>
<th>Additional $10m Option 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert-Eden</td>
<td>$720,259</td>
<td>$1,013,641</td>
<td>$1,255,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devonport-Takapuna</td>
<td>$417,067</td>
<td>$587,208</td>
<td>$727,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>$471,159</td>
<td>$896,840</td>
<td>$1,110,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Barrier</td>
<td>$105,370</td>
<td>$168,000</td>
<td>$208,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson-Massey</td>
<td>$810,647</td>
<td>$1,146,311</td>
<td>$1,419,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays</td>
<td>$649,799</td>
<td>$973,716</td>
<td>$1,205,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howick</td>
<td>$944,450</td>
<td>$1,375,550</td>
<td>$1,703,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaipātiki</td>
<td>$627,735</td>
<td>$876,680</td>
<td>$1,085,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu</td>
<td>$560,834</td>
<td>$794,642</td>
<td>$983,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manurewa</td>
<td>$642,681</td>
<td>$901,483</td>
<td>$1,116,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>$535,924</td>
<td>$767,842</td>
<td>$950,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōrakei</td>
<td>$595,708</td>
<td>$840,058</td>
<td>$1,040,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtara-Papatoe toe</td>
<td>$594,998</td>
<td>$849,392</td>
<td>$1,051,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura</td>
<td>$331,860</td>
<td>$540,785</td>
<td>$669,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puketāpapa</td>
<td>$410,662</td>
<td>$606,154</td>
<td>$750,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney</td>
<td>$399,986</td>
<td>$987,963</td>
<td>$1,223,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Harbour</td>
<td>$385,112</td>
<td>$615,035</td>
<td>$761,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>$216,641</td>
<td>$336,000</td>
<td>$416,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitākere Ranges</td>
<td>$358,706</td>
<td>$565,003</td>
<td>$699,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitemata</td>
<td>$508,878</td>
<td>$1,008,133</td>
<td>$1,248,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whau</td>
<td>$560,834</td>
<td>$805,670</td>
<td>$997,496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Attachment C: Fixed and variable costs distribution 50/50

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of local board</th>
<th>Current funding 2016-17</th>
<th>Additional $6m Option 3a</th>
<th>Additional $10m Option 3b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albert-Eden</td>
<td>$720,259</td>
<td>$832,621</td>
<td>$1,147,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devonport-Takapuna</td>
<td>$417,067</td>
<td>$717,591</td>
<td>$883,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin</td>
<td>$471,158</td>
<td>$901,500</td>
<td>$1,075,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Barrier</td>
<td>$105,370</td>
<td>$224,000</td>
<td>$277,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson-Massey</td>
<td>$810,647</td>
<td>$1,001,313</td>
<td>$1,229,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays</td>
<td>$649,799</td>
<td>$913,007</td>
<td>$1,122,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howick</td>
<td>$944,450</td>
<td>$1,113,184</td>
<td>$1,371,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaipātiki</td>
<td>$627,735</td>
<td>$863,985</td>
<td>$1,062,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere-Ōtāhuhu</td>
<td>$560,834</td>
<td>$827,659</td>
<td>$1,011,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manurewa</td>
<td>$642,681</td>
<td>$879,294</td>
<td>$1,078,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maungakiekie-Tāmaki</td>
<td>$535,924</td>
<td>$812,212</td>
<td>$995,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōrakei</td>
<td>$595,708</td>
<td>$843,623</td>
<td>$1,040,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtara-Papatoetoe</td>
<td>$594,996</td>
<td>$854,332</td>
<td>$1,045,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura</td>
<td>$331,660</td>
<td>$698,308</td>
<td>$854,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puketāpapa</td>
<td>$410,662</td>
<td>$729,517</td>
<td>$895,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney</td>
<td>$399,986</td>
<td>$973,311</td>
<td>$1,131,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Harbour</td>
<td>$365,112</td>
<td>$732,300</td>
<td>$900,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>$216,641</td>
<td>$448,000</td>
<td>$554,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitākere Ranges</td>
<td>$356,706</td>
<td>$714,259</td>
<td>$869,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitemātā</td>
<td>$508,878</td>
<td>$930,042</td>
<td>$1,144,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whau</td>
<td>$560,834</td>
<td>$830,168</td>
<td>$1,018,748</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waitematā Local Board Community Grants Programme 2018/2019

File No.: CP2018/04510

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy was implemented on 1 July 2015. The policy guides the allocation of local, multi-board and regional grant programmes to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders.
3. The Community Grants Policy supports each local board to review and adopt their own local grants programme for the next financial year.
4. This report presents the Waitematā Local Board Community Grants Programme 2018/2019 for adoption (see attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation
That the Waitematā Local Board:
a) adopt the Waitematā Local Board Community Grants Programme 2018/2019.

Horopaki / Context
5. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy was implemented on 1 July 2015. The policy guides the allocation of local, multi-board and regional grant programmes to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders.
6. The Community Grants Policy supports each local board to review and adopt their own local grants programme for the next financial year. The local board grants programme guides community groups and individuals when making applications to the local board.
7. The local board community grants programme includes:
   - outcomes as identified in the local board plan
   - specific local board grant priorities
   - which grant types will operate, the number of grant rounds and opening and closing dates
   - any additional criteria or exclusions that will apply
   - other factors the local board consider to be significant to their decision-making.
8. Once the local board community grants programme for the 2018/2019 financial year has been adopted, the types of grants, grant rounds, criteria and eligibility with be advertised through an integrated communication and marketing approach which includes utilising the local board channels.
**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice**

9. The new Waitematā Community Grants Programme has been workshopped with the local board and feedback incorporated into the grants programme for 2018/2019.

10. The new grant programme includes:
   - new outcomes and priorities from the Waitemata Local Board Plan 2017
   - the same number of grant rounds for 2018/2019, as are available in 2017/2018.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

11. The Community Grants Programme has been developed by the local board to set the direction of their grants programme. This programme is reviewed on an annual basis.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

12. All grant programmes respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to improving Māori wellbeing by providing grants to organisations delivering positive outcomes for Māori. Applicants are asked how their project aims to increase Māori outcomes in the application process.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

13. The allocation of grants to community groups is within the adopted Long-term Plan 2015 - 2025 and local board agreements.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

14. The allocation of grants occurs within the guidelines and criteria of the Community Grants Policy and the local board grants programme. Therefore, there is minimal risk associated with the adoption of the grants programme.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

15. An implementation plan is underway and the local board grants programme will be locally advertised through the local board and council channels. Targeted advertising and promotion will be developed for target populations, including migrant and refugee groups, disability groups, Māori and iwi organisations.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**
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</table>

**Ngā kaihaina / Signatories**
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</tr>
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<td>Shane King - Operations Support Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitemata Local Board</td>
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Waitematā Local Board
Local Grants Programme 2018/2019

Our local grants programme aims to provide contestable grants to local communities under the activity areas of arts and culture, events, community development, environment and natural heritage, historic heritage and sports and recreation.

Our local grant programme schemes:
- Quick response grants
- Local grants (includes multi-board grants)
- Accommodation grants

Expressions of interest:
- Local Events Development Fund

Outcomes and priorities sought by the Waitematā Local Board from the local grants programme

Our grants programme will be targeted towards supporting the following outcomes, as outlined in our local board plan. The Waitematā Local Board welcomes grant applications for services, projects, events and activities that align with one or more of the following local board plan priorities:

1. Inclusive communities that are vibrant, healthy and connected.
   - Provide spaces and places that enable our diverse communities to connect, and feel welcome
   - Ensure all members of the community have access to shelter and warmth
   - Empower our communities by supporting community-led initiatives
   - Encourage access to and participation in local events and arts activities
   - Ensure our communities have opportunities to lead active and healthy lifestyles

2. Attractive and versatile public places that meet our communities’ needs
   - Ensure our parks, open spaces and recreation facilities meet the needs of our current and future residents
   - Deliver high-quality facilities that encourage active and healthy lifestyles

3. The natural environment is valued, protected and enhanced
   - Improve air and water quality and the health of our waterways
   - Support Waitematā being a low carbon community
   - Increase the urban forest and enhance biodiversity
   - People have adopted low carbon lifestyles and live sustainably
   - Empower our communities to enhance their resilience to disasters and the impact of climate change
   - Regenerate our natural areas to enhance biodiversity

4. A high-quality, built environment that embraces our heritage
   - Identify and protect places with heritage and distinctive character
   - Reinforce neighbourhood character and improve town centres
5. An accessible, connected and safe transport network with well-designed streets
   - Improve the accessibility and connectivity of the transport network
   - Improve safety for all road users

6. An innovative, productive and resilient local economy
   - Support our business associations to drive local growth and innovation
   - Empower and support start-up businesses and social enterprises
   - Increase the prosperity of our town centres
   - A strong and healthy international education sector

Lower Priorities

We will also consider applications for other services, projects, events and activities. However, in addition to the eligibility criteria outlined in the Community grants policy, the following may be considered a lower priority:

- catering
- travel expenses
- vehicle expenses
- ticketed events
- operational costs
- wages and salaries, with the exception of fees for professional services
- activities that primarily benefit communities outside the Waitematā area
- commercial entities and promotion of commercial entities.

Specific lower priorities: Local Events Development Fund only
- Events requesting funding below the minimum threshold

Exclusions

In addition to the eligibility criteria outlined in the Community grants policy, the Waitematā Local Board will not fund:

- applicants who apply to Waitematā Local Board for the same project or activity more than once in a financial year
- groups who have not completed past grant accountability requirements
- groups requesting funding either below the minimum or over the maximum amount set (staff will return any application that is outside scheme limits).

Note: specific exclusions apply to some grant schemes, see below.

Specific exclusions:

Accommodation grant
- Organisations that are the leaseholder of an Auckland Council community lease or community occupancy agreement
- Hireage costs of a council managed venue or externally managed council facility

Local Events Development Fund
- Ticketed events
- Individual or team events, conferences, private functions or award ceremonies

Please refer to the Community grants policy: “Scope and eligibility” for general eligibility criteria:
• Ineligible applicants – Paragraph 78
• What we won’t fund – Paragraphs 84-86
• Lower priority – Paragraphs 87-88

**Accountability measures** (applies to all grant schemes)

Waitematā Local Board requires that all successful applicants complete an accountability report via SmartyGrants (a link will be sent to successful applicants at the time the grant payment is made).

The Waitematā Local Board requests that all successful applicants:
• extend an invitation to the activity to local board members
• recognise the local board’s support, including through the placement of the local board logo on advertising, and display of the local board branding/banners at events (evidence to be included in the accountability report).

**Our grants approach**

Waitematā Local Board operates three grants schemes under their local grants programme and a separate expression of interest process for the Local Events Development Fund:

• **Quick response grants** (three rounds a year)
  – Minimum amount per grant: $300
  – Maximum amount per grant: $3,000

• **Local grants** (two rounds a year)
  – Minimum amount per grant: $3,000
  – Maximum amount per grant: $7,500

• **Multiboard grants** (two rounds a year)
  – Minimum amount per grant: $3,000
  – Maximum amount per grant: $7,500

• **Accommodation grant** (one round a year)
  – Minimum amount per grant: $2,500
  – Maximum amount per grant: $20,000

• **Local Events Development Fund** (one round a year)
  – Minimum amount per grant: $5,000 (except at the at the local board’s discretion)
  – For the 2018/2019 financial year there is an additional opportunity to apply for a three-year events partnership grant. To find out more contact the Waitematā event facilitator.

**Grants Budget Allocation**

The following table outlines the allocated budget for each of our grant schemes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grants scheme</th>
<th>2018/2019 Budget allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quick response and local grants</td>
<td>$125,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation grant</td>
<td>$125,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Events Development Fund</td>
<td>$30,000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*these are provisional budgets and subject to change upon approval in June 2018
Accommodation Grant

Community organisations can apply for accommodation grants to cover rental and/or lease payments, Auckland Council rates and regular, ongoing venue hire costs.

Accommodation grant criteria

- Applicants are a community organisation, as outlined in the community grants policy.
- Accommodation costs applied for are for the following financial year starting 1 July.
- Evidence of the accommodation costs is provided.
- The organisation has been operating for a full financial year prior to the application date and must provide its last full year financial statements and/or audited accounts.
- The accommodation is located in and benefits the Waitematā Local Board area.
- If the applicant is requesting a grant for a venue that has an Auckland Council community lease or community occupancy agreement, the council’s approval for the sub-lease or hireage needs to be verified by the applicant.

Expression of interest approach for Local Events Development Fund

A separate annual expression of interest approach for the Local Events Development Fund is available for events which enable partnerships with established and emerging community-led events. The fund supports these events to become a regular and celebrated part of the Waitematā event calendar.

This grant will support and develop events which:

- are locally specific and environmentally responsible
- enable partnership with established and emerging community-led events to support their development to their full potential
- enable events to be professionally run while remaining community-focused
- enable events to be financially sustainable and to become a regular and celebrated part of the Waitematā event calendar
- are smoke-free, particularly those targeting children, young people and their families
- are working towards zero waste
- ensure that local events cater for our young people, providing a range of free and safe opportunities for them to attend and perform
- are of a certain scale with minimum grants of $5,000 (at the local board’s discretion).

If your expression of interest is unsupported you may still be eligible to apply for local/quick response grants.

Multi-board Grants

The Waitematā Local Board welcomes multi-board grant applications. However, the activity or initiative will need to clearly benefit the Waitematā community.

Grant round application dates

Grant rounds for the 2018/2019 financial year (1 July 2018 to 30 June 2019) will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quick Response Grants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grant round</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round three</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Local Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant round</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>2 June 2018</td>
<td>3 August 2018</td>
<td>18 September 2018</td>
<td>1 October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>11 February 2019</td>
<td>22 March 2019</td>
<td>21 May 2019</td>
<td>1 June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Multi-board Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant round</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round One</td>
<td>18 June 2018</td>
<td>17 August 2018</td>
<td>17 September 2018</td>
<td>1 October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Two</td>
<td>21 January 2019</td>
<td>22 March 2019</td>
<td>21 May 2019</td>
<td>1 June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant round</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Accommodation period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Events Development</td>
<td>30 April 2018</td>
<td>8 June 2018</td>
<td>17 July 2018</td>
<td>1 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation Support</td>
<td>19 February 2019</td>
<td>29 March 2019</td>
<td>21 May 2019</td>
<td>1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Delegation for formal local board views on notified resource consents, plan changes and notices of requirement

File No.: CP2018/04726

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To seek that the Waitematā Local Board delegates the responsibility of providing formal views on resource consents, notified plan changes and notices of requirement to a local board member.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Local board feedback can be provided on notified resource consents, plan changes and designations. Written feedback needs to be provided prior to the submission closing date (usually 20 working days after public notification). This feedback is included in the planner’s report verbatim and local boards are also able to speak to their written feedback at the public hearing. Views should be received by the processing planner or reporting consultant by submission closing date to ensure the content can be considered in planning reports.

3. This report explores options to enable local boards to provide their views in a timely way. Local boards normally provide their formal views at business meetings. Because local board reporting timeframes don’t usually align with statutory timeframes, in most instances formal reporting at a business meeting will not allow local feedback to be provided by submission closing date.

4. Providing formal local board views by way of a delegation to a local board member is considered the most efficient way of providing formal local board views. This is because the delegate can provide views within the regulatory timeframes and because no additional reporting is required when new applications of interest are notified.

5. In December 2016 the Waitematā Local Board resolved to:
   Appoint the planning and heritage portfolio lead or co-portfolio holder where required, to provide input into notification decisions for resource consent application (WTM/2016/206).

6. This report recommends delegating responsibility to also include notified plan changes and notices of requirement to a local board member.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:
   a) delegates the authority to Local Board Member XXX, to prepare and submit local board views and speak those local board views at any hearings on:
      • Notified resource consents
      • Notified plan changes
      • Notices of requirement.
Horopaki / Context

Notified Resource Consents

7. Local boards are able to provide input into the determination of applications that may be notified. Local boards, via their appointed Resource Consents Leads, input into a wide range of resource consents that are received by the council and that trigger the matters of particular interest to local boards.

8. Local views and preferences are also able to be provided, once a decision of notification is made, and local boards can then submit further feedback to any notified resource consent application within their local board area. This feedback is then included in the planner’s report verbatim for the hearing and for the consideration of the commissioners who determine the outcome of the resource consent application.

9. Local boards are also able to speak to their written feedback at any notified resource consent hearing. Local boards are taking this opportunity up more often and it is considered important to ensure any feedback is authorised by the local board and a delegation is in place for the Resource Consent Lead to authorise them to speak on behalf of the local board at hearings.

Notified Plan Changes and Notices of Requirement

10. The Auckland Unitary Plan was made “Operative in Part” in November 2016. As plan changes and notices of requirement can now be received and processed by council, there are opportunities for local boards to provide their views and give feedback on notified applications.

11. For council-initiated plan changes and notices of requirement, staff will seek local board views prior to notification for proposals where there are issues of local significance. For private plan changes and notices of requirement submitted by non-council requiring authorities, local boards may not have any prior knowledge of the application until notification.

12. Local boards can provide written feedback on notified applications. Written feedback needs to be provided prior to the submission closing date (usually 20 working days after public notification). Local boards can subsequently present their feedback to support their views at any hearing.

13. It is important that options are explored to enable local boards to provide their views in a timely way and a delegation to ensure timely feedback is desirable. At present the local board views must be confirmed formally and statutory timeframes are short and do not always align with local board reporting timeframes.

14. Local boards may want to add the responsibility for plan changes and notices of requirement feedback to the resource consent lead role. This will broaden the responsibilities of the role to enable feedback on notified plan changes and notices of requirement to be provided. Alternatively, local boards may want to develop a separate planning lead role and each local board has the flexibility to make appointments that best suit their needs.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

Options considered

15. Options available for local boards to provide their views into the hearings process have been summarised in Table 1.

16. Local boards normally provide their formal views at business meetings (option 2). Because local board reporting timeframes do not usually align with statutory timeframes, in most instances formal reporting at a business meeting will not allow local feedback to be provided.
by submission closing date. Views must be received by the processing planner or reporting consultant by the submission closing date to ensure the content can be considered in planning reports.

17. Providing formal local board views by way of a delegation to one local board member (option 5) is considered the most efficient way of providing formal views. This is because no additional reporting is required when new applications of interest are notified.

Table 1: Options for local boards to provide their formal views on notified applications (resource consents, plan changes, notices of requirement)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. No formal local board views are provided</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- Local board views will not be considered by the hearings commissioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Formal local board views are provided at a business meeting</td>
<td>- All local board members contribute to the local board view&lt;br&gt;- Provides transparent decision making</td>
<td>- Local board meeting schedules and agenda deadlines are unlikely to align with statutory deadlines imposed by the planning process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Formal local board views are provided as urgent decisions</td>
<td>- Local boards can provide their views in a timely way that meets statutory deadlines</td>
<td>- Decisions are not made by the full local board&lt;br&gt;- Urgent decisions may not be accompanied by full information and the discussion may be rushed&lt;br&gt;- Not transparent decision-making because the decisions do not become public until after they have been made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Formal local board views are provided by separate and specific delegation for each application which the local board wishes to provide their views</td>
<td>- Delegations can be chosen to align with area of interest and/or local board member capacity</td>
<td>- Local board meeting schedules and agenda deadlines required to make each separate delegation are unlikely to align with statutory deadlines imposed by the planning process&lt;br&gt;- Decisions are not made by the full local board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Formal local board views are provided by way of delegation to one local board member (preferred option) for all applications</td>
<td>- Delegate will become subject matter expert for local board on topic they are delegated to&lt;br&gt;- Local boards can provide their views in a timely way that meets statutory deadlines&lt;br&gt;- Any feedback can be regularly reported back to the local board</td>
<td>- Decisions are not made by the full local board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

18. This report seeks a delegation to a local board member for resource consents, plan changes and notices of requirement, to allow local boards to provide feedback in accordance with agreed timeframes on notified resource consents, plan changes and notices of requirement.

19. Any local board members who is delegated responsibilities should ensure that they represent the wider local board views and preferences on each matter before them.

20. In December 2016 the Waitematā Local Board appointed the planning and heritage portfolio lead or co-portfolio holder where required, to provide input into notification decisions for resource consent applications. This resolution did not include delegation for plan changes and notices of requirement.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

21. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have a positive or negative impact for Māori.

22. The Resource Management Act 1991 requires that council consult with Mana Whenua of the area who may be affected, through iwi authorities, on draft plan changes prior to their notification. Council must also consider iwi authority advice in evaluations of plan changes.

23. For private plan changes, council seeks that the applicant undertakes suitable engagement with relevant iwi authorities, and where necessary will undertake consultation before deciding whether to accept, reject or adopt a private plan change.

24. For notices of requirement, council serves notice on Mana Whenua of the area who may be affected, through iwi authorities. Requiring authorities must also consult with the relevant iwi as part of the designating process.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

25. A decision of this procedural nature is not considered to have financial implications on Auckland Council.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

26. A local board can delegate to a ‘committee, subcommittee, or member of the local board, or to an officer of the unitary authority’. Committee’s have a minimum of three local board members and subcommittee’s have a minimum of two local board members. The risk of delegating to more than one local board member will mean that inadvertently either a committee or sub-committee is formed. It is therefore recommended that the responsibility is delegated to one local board member.

27. If local boards choose not to delegate to provide views on notified applications, there is a risk that they will not be able to provide formal views prior to the submission closing date and may miss the opportunity to have their feedback presented and heard at a hearing.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

28. The member of the Waitematā Local Board who is delegated the responsibility of providing formal views on resource consents, notified plan changes and notices of requirement will operate under the delegations of the Waitematā Local Board once they have been adopted.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.
Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Carol Stewart - Senior Policy Advisor, Local Board Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Anna Bray – Policy &amp; Planning Manager - Local Boards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louise Mason – General Manager Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitemata Local Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Councillor's report

File No.: CP2018/04681

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To provide Waitematā and Gulf Ward Councillor Mike Lee with an opportunity to update the Waitematā Local Board on regional issues.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Waitematā Local Board:
a) receive the verbal update from the Waitematā and Gulf Ward Councillor, Mike Lee.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Michael Mendoza - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitemata Local Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chair’s report

File No.: CP2018/04674

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

1. The chair will update the board on projects, meetings and other initiatives relevant to the board’s interests.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) receive the chair’s report for the period April 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Chair’s Report - April 2018</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Michael Mendoza - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitemata Local Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chair’s monthly report

This report covers the period 14 March until 10 April 2018.

Highlights

Newly elected board member Denise Roche was sworn in at the board’s business meeting on 20 March.

Consultation on the 10-year budget

Have your say month wrapped up on 28 March. The Board hosted two public meetings, a hearings style meeting where 19 groups and individuals presented and four drop-in session at our libraries (photo right: Parnell Have your say public meeting attended by board members and Councillors Penny Hulse and Christine Fletcher). Spray free parks is one of the priority issues that was consulted on by the local board (Attachment 1)

A summary of the consultation feedback will be available in May.

New public space at the Viaduct

In October 2017 I reported that Panuku had made the decision to close the Eastern Viaduct car park to create a new public space in line with the Waterfront Plan. This followed advocacy from the local board and councillors Richard Hills and Chris Darby.

Following the space being used increasingly for temporary events it has now been permanently closed to parking. I attended the karakia on 26 March led by Ngāti Whātua
Orakei to acknowledge the closing of the Eastern Viaduct as a car park and the commencement of the construction and installation process of a public space.

Over the Easter break I enjoyed having lunch with a friend at the new communal table (photo above). See Attachment 2 for more details.

Homelessness

Chronic levels of homelessness in the city centre is a major concern. The board wishes to support solutions and is looking to provide appropriate public facilities (one of the priorities we highlighted in the recent 10-year budget consultation).

I attended the Heart of the City organised “ending homelessness” event on 20 March at the Ellen Melville Centre to hear the latest update from Moira Lawler, CEO of Lifewise, (photo right) and Chris Farrelly, the Auckland City Mission CEO on how they are working to end homelessness in central Auckland.

They talked about the collaborative approach underway, including Housing First and the redevelopment of James Liston Hostel and the recently announced Mission Homeground (incorporating accommodation and wrap round services) to be developed on the Mission’s Hobson St site.

Western Springs Native bush restoration project

Residents were recently provided with an update (Attachment 3) on the Western Springs Native bush restoration project. Attachment 4 the Our Auckland story on the project. In addition, Deputy Chair Shale Chambers spokesperson for the project has provided this update on 10 April via Facebook in response to concerns about the process.

The elected members of the board do not, nor have they in the past, had any direct involvement in the selective cutting of the pine trees. This has been a decision of arborists and Council parks staff who are making health and safety decisions to keep the track and park area open, and keep property and lives safe. No assurance can be given that those decisions, where necessary will not be made in the future (for example, as a result of today’s winds) but this will only be done for genuine health and safety reasons, not any early implementation of the plans. That is in line with the local board’s advice that the trees are failing at an increased rate. The restoration project is shortly to go to a notified public hearing process. Those who wish to question the reports and advice that the local board has relied on in making its decision, in its view in the public interest, to proceed with the restoration project and the removal of the remaining 200 pines will have their opportunity there to have their say. The board will be bound by the outcome. The ‘consultation’ is therefore a publicly notified resource consent hearings process available to all interested in the outcome of the plans. We invite and welcome that involvement. Council advice can be tested there. If it is correct and the project is granted consent, the first stage
pine removal phase of the project will proceed. Locals and interested parties will then be involved by way of consultation on the detail post-pines future of the area and the park restoration project, as promised.

**Victoria Quarter Petition**

At the Board’s March business meeting we received a petition presented by Emily Reeves, city centre resident, calling for safer pedestrian access in Victoria Quarter. (Attachment 5)

We passed a resolution requesting staff to refer the petition to the Development Programme Office for consideration in the allocation of the targeted rates projects in the Victoria Quarter and Auckland Transport for consideration for improvements in the road corridor.

Work is already underway by Auckland Transport on significant safety treatments in the Cook St off ramp area of Victoria Quarter. The proposals will go out for consultation shortly.

**Great North Road – car transporters**

I’ve previously reported on the issue of car transporters illegally unloading on Great North Road. This high risk activity continues despite Auckland Transport regularly issuing fines (this is just considered a cost of doing business).

I’ve met with Auckland Transport’s manager of parking who is calling a meeting of operators and NZTA to discuss the options available for increasing the number of loading zones and for businesses to undertake more activities within their premises. If car transporter operators continue to unload illegally NZTA has the power to revoke operator licences.

**Quay Street cycleway extension greening**

The board has asked Auckland Transport to look at options for further greening Quay St as part of the cycleway design.

At our March meeting we requested Auckland Transport to develop a rough order of cost for including a green bus shelter roof as part of the Quay Street project to be funded from the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (photo right: cycleway under construction showing inclusion of a strip in the design for planting)
Events

March was a big month for events in Waitematā. POP, the Board funded annual series of interactive art projects is now a fixture of the Auckland International Arts Festival (photo right pop marbles in Freyberg Place).

At Pasifika the mayoral and government’s entourages joined forces for the first time to visit stages in nine of the villages. (Photo below: At the Tuvalu village with Fala Haulangi).

Meetings and workshops: 14 March until 10 April 2018

- Weekly chair’s meeting held every Monday with the local board services team
- Site visit on 14 March to a berm on Beresford Street where poisoning has taken place (photo right)
- Meeting with the Newton Residents Association representatives on 14 March
- Fortnightly meeting with communications adviser on 14 and 29 March
- Dropped by the Auckland Transport Karangahape Road parking plan consultation open days on 14 and 16 March
- Meeting with Parnell Business Association representatives on 15 March
- Have your say Grey Lynn Library drop in session on 15 March
- Have your say Parnell public meeting hosted in partnership with Parnell Community Committee on 15 March
- Have your say Leys Institute Library drop in session on 16 March
- Surrey Cres/Garnet Road Community Liaison Group meeting hosted by Auckland Transport on 19 March
- Have your say cuppa with Splice at Ellen Melville Centre attended by inner city residents particularly new migrants (supported by Auckland Council translator).
- Waitakere Local Board business meeting on 20 March
- Auckland Transport’s quarterly briefing for Local Boards on 21 March
- Drop in session on 21 March for elected representatives at Albert St re: Sale and supply of Alcohol Renewal of Licences Amendment Bill (No2)
- Participated in Auckland Transport’s consultations sprint at Customer Central (exploring AT’s end to end consultations process from the customer lens) with a face to face interview on 21 March
- Local Economic Development Masterclass; Supporting economic resilience hosted by ATEED at GridAKL on 22 March
- Have your say Waitakere Local Board hearing on 22 March. The Board received 19 presentations from a range of groups and individuals
- Briefing from Housing NZ representatives on 23 March re the redevelopment plans for 139 Greys Ave
- Hui on 23 March between Local Board Chairs and the Chair of the Tūpuna Maunga Authority and to discuss some activities planned for 2018 by the Tūpuna Maunga Authority.
- Have your say Central Library drop in session on 23 March
- Have your say Parnell Library drop in session on 26 March (photo right)
- Parnell Plan working group meeting on 26 March
- Board all day workshops on 27 March, 3 and 10 April
- Catch up with KBA general manager on 28 March
- Wynyard Quarter Transport Association board meeting on 28 March
- Joint Governing Body and local board chairs workshop on 28 March
- Relationship Manager catchup on 29 March
- Monthly transport portfolio catch up on 4 April
- Meeting with Manager, AT parking on 4 April
- Meeting on 5 April with representatives of the Parnell Business Association to discuss Paws in Parnell event debrief
- Catch up with CEO, MOTAT on 6 April
- Meeting on 6 April with KBA and NZPC to discuss public facilities on Karangahape Road
- Ponsonby Business Association committee meeting held on 10 April
Events and functions: 14 March until 10 April 2018

- Grey Lynn 2030 AGM and Green Screen showing of Living Dangerously on 19 March
- Ending Homelessness in Auckland’s city centre organised by Heart of the City at Ellen Melville Centre on 20 March
- Far Side of the Moon at the Aotea Centre on 22 March at the invitation of the Auckland Arts Festival
- Pasifika Festival walkabout with the Prime Minister and the Mayor (photo below)
- Government’s Unitec Housing announcement at Te Noho Kotahitanga Marae on 25 March (photo right)
- Karakia on 26 March led by Ngāti Whāitu Ōrākei to acknowledge the closing of the Eastern Viaduct as a car park and the commencement of the construction and installation process of a public space.
- YMCA - Ride and Refresh Launch Event on 27 March (new service providing showers and secure parking for bike commuters) Photo right
- Women in Urbanism discussion with NZTA on 28 March
- Part of the official party for the Citizenship Ceremony in the Town Hall on 3 April
- Bike Breakfast on 5 April at Bestie café sponsored by KBA
- Opening of the Uptown Business Association movie night in Basque Park on 7 April
- Jam on Toast at the Grey Lynn Community Centre on 8 April
- Opening of the Auckland International Cultural Festival at Mt Roskill War Memorial Park on 8 April
- Attended the Road Safety Summit in Wellington on 9 April and gave a presentation on Auckland’s road safety crisis as part of a panel discussion on Local Government’s view about what more can be done to improve road safety (Attachment 6). Photo right: Associate Minister of Transport Julie Anne Genter opens the Summit attended by Council representatives from across NZ. Caroline Perry from Brake NZ the road safety charity was then invited to speak about the trauma of road deaths on NZ families.
## Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Our Auckland: Making our parks spray free. Campaign gathers steam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Our Auckland: New public space for the Viaduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Letter to residents: Westerns Springs Native Bush Restoration Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Our Auckland: New forest for Western Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Our Auckland: Petition calls for safer streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Local Government Road Safety Summit presentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Making our parks spray free
Campaign gathers steam

Published: 26 March 2018

Waitematā Local Board has been told that any move to stop using agrichemical controls will only work if contractors have the tools to replace sprays.

The board has been consulting on its priorities as part of akhaveyoursay.nz with a move to non-spray-based management being advocated for some of the board’s parks.

For the Love of Bees member Sarah Smuts-Kennedy told the board that the Regenerative Parks concept was evolving and as a champion of spray-free management, the board could take an even bigger role.

“We have to be able to demonstrate to contractors that alternative methods work and that means we have to have the toolkit available,” she says.

Smuts-Kennedy said Christchurch was leading the way and Hamilton was investigating adopting similar methods. “We are talking about using a super-hot water blaster that would be ideal for city parks and an electro-thermal lance. The lance is rather like a lightning bolt through the roots.”

She said she was exploring avenues of funding but asked the board to contribute to a fund that could “top-up” contractors if they were using extra hours to use the methods. “We need to remove funding as a barrier.”
By using the tools, information could be collected to present to council on the economics of the methods.

Highwic in Newmarket is testing steam technology and council members have watched various demonstrations.

The AUT Northern Campus on the North Shore is also collaborating with For the Love of Bees to explore how the campus can become friendlier for bees, raising the possibility of becoming spray-free.

Herne Bay Playcentre has also registered interest in making use of equipment as soon as it becomes available and to regenerate their commons by becoming a pollinator sanctuary.

Board chair Pippa Coom said residents have been calling for a reduction of agrichemical use in parks and the board supported it.

“As part of our Local Board Plan consultation, we have asked if the community supports our priority in this area. It is quite complex because it would involve contract variations, but Regenerative Parks is something this board advocates.”

Supported by board member Denise Roche, the board resolved to ask Community Facilities staff to report back on the deliverability of the Regenerative Parks initiative.
New public space at the Viaduct

Published: 23 March 2018

- Eastern Viaduct from the east.

- Eastern Viaduct from the south.
A dining table almost twice the length of a cricket pitch will feature in a new public space on Auckland’s waterfront.

Public car parking will be removed from Eastern Viaduct from 26 March to improve safety and prioritise the space for pedestrians and cyclists.

In its place will be food trucks, seating and a 35-metre-long table with information about the development of the city’s waterfront, designed to get people sharing food and ideas about the future of Auckland.

Returning the space to the public

Waitematā Local Board Chair Pippa Coom says, "With the waterfront becoming an increasingly popular destination for Aucklanders and visitors, it’s great to see Panuku responding to the need to make Eastern Viaduct a safer and more attractive place for people to linger and move through.

“The change is the realisation of the 2012 Waterfront Plan, where the intention to return this space to the public and improve the connection between the waterfront corridor and Wynyard Quarter was first outlined.”

A karakia led by Ngati Whatua will be held at 8am on Monday (26 March) before the space is opened to the public.

Street furniture including the table, which will be constructed on site, will be installed in the following days.

Have your say on how the space is used

The space will provide flexibility for public uses and in the coming months there will be opportunities for you to feedback on what you think of the new layout.

Planning committee chairman Chris Darby says the change will encourage more Aucklanders to explore the area, which currently sees about 1.9 million people pass through it each year.

“In testing the design of the space, the public is invited to contribute to the creation of a quality public realm, allowing them a unique opportunity to collaborate in deciding how a prime piece of the city’s waterfront is shaped in the future,” he says.

“Without public parking, the area is safer and provides a better experience for visitors to the waterfront.”

Parking changes

Just under 50 public car parking spots will be removed from Eastern Viaduct. Access for authorised vehicles will remain to allow marine and business activities to continue
to thrive in the area.

Parking for berth holders will remain unchanged and service vehicles will be permitted access to deliver to businesses and restaurants on Viaduct Harbour.

The nearest public parking is in Downtown car park, which has more than 1900 spaces including 19 mobility car parks. More mobility car parks are available on Princes Wharf and in Wynyard Quarter.
Western Springs Native Bush Restoration Project Update

5 April 2018

Dear resident,

In 2015 the Waitematā Local Board approved the Western Springs Native Bush Restoration Project to restore the forest area (bordered by West View Road, Auckland Zoo, Western Springs Lakeside and the Stadium), address the health and safety concerns posed by the aging pines and fulfil the objective of the Western Springs Lakeside Management Plan to return the area to native forest.

We apologise for the delays in providing this update on the Western Springs Native Bush Restoration Project. We have been thoroughly reviewing options as to how best to progress the project to ensure no trees are removed unnecessarily.

The board has received expert advice that for this work and overdue track maintenance to happen, the stand of about 200 pine trees will have to be removed. The pines are declining rapidly with regular branch and whole tree failures occurring despite regular maintenance and they are a risk to public safety.

A 2013 survey found 224 of the original 506 trees had died or fallen, reducing the stand to 282. A later survey, completed in 2016, found the live tree population had declined to about 200 after around 60 fell or snapped, and 20 unstable trees were felled.

Many of the pines are in poor health, with sparse crowns and dead or dying limbs, so that action is needed to maintain safety. Removing only dead or unstable trees would cause a dramatic increase in the failure rate among those left behind. As such, the track that leads through the pines may have to be closed until removal can take place.

Subject to resource consent, Auckland Council will remove the pines and we will confirm the timing as soon as this is known.

The trees will be felled into selected zones to limit damage to undergrowth. These zones have been determined by ecologists, who have considered native species of value to protect.
About 70% of the wood will be removed or mulched, and the rest, mainly tree trunks, will be left for habitat for native fauna. About 20 totem poles will remain as habitat for kingfisher and morepork, and logs will be left on the ground as a habitat for the insects they eat.

A major planting and restoration effort – estimated at about 15,000 plants – will follow immediately, turning the area into a healthy podocarp-broadleaf forest dominated by kauri, puriri, taraire and tanekaha, along with a native understorey. The regeneration plans, and track proposals will be confirmed with input from the local community.

While various exotic and native birds inhabit the pines, the area is not an important habitat for white-faced herons, none were recorded during a 2016 survey. As a precautionary approach no felling will take place in the nesting season.

The project will take about three months, with felling about two months. Working hours will be limited to Monday to Friday 7am-5pm.

The removal methodology has been reviewed and verified by an independent party. A copy of that report is available on request. If you have any further questions, please email westernsprings@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Further updates will be available on the council website and on the local board facebook page. Residents will receive a notification prior to the pine removal and any track closure.

Pippa Coom  
Chair

Shale Chambers  
Deputy Chair  
Parks Portfolio lead  
Project spokesperson

Denise Roche  
Board member  
Community Portfolio lead
Native forest for Western Springs

Pine stand to go native

Published: 6 April 2018
Share

A native forest is planned for Western Springs Lakeside Reserve.

The native bush restoration project envisages a functioning podocarp-broadleaf forest planted with kauri, pūriri, taraire and tānekaha.

Before the native forest can be planted, a stand of about 200 pines will have to be removed.

The pines are past their life expectancy and are declining rapidly, despite regular maintenance. They now pose a risk to public safety because of their size and age.

There were originally 506 pines in the stand, but a 2013 survey found 224 had died or fallen, reducing the stand to 282. A 2016 survey found the live population had declined to about 200 after around 60 fell or snapped, and 20 unstable pines were felled.

Many of the remaining pine trees lean within falling distance of the walking track and are in poor health, with sparse crowns and dead or dying limbs. However, removing only those trees would dramatically increase the failure rate among any left behind.

Returning the area to native forest
After consultation during the 2015-2025 Long-term Plan, the Board approved the Western Springs Native Bush Restoration Project. Its objectives were addressing health and safety concerns posed by the pines and fulfilling the vision of the Western Springs Lakeside Management Plan to return the area to native forest.

The planting and track plans will be developed with the local community. Residents in the immediate vicinity have been informed, and a publicly notified consent application is being prepared.

Board deputy chair and parks, sports and recreation portfolio lead Shale Chambers says the complexity of the project led to the plan being delayed as all options were reviewed.

“No one wants trees removed unnecessarily,” he says.

“But a visit to Auckland Zoo, where pines made way for Te Wao Nui native precinct, shows the potential to create a special urban native bush experience in Western Springs.”

The pines will be felled into selected zones, determined by ecologists, to limit damage to undergrowth. About 70 per cent of the wood will be removed or mulched, and the rest, mainly trunks, will be left as a habitat for native fauna. About 20 totem poles will remain for kingfisher and morepork, and logs will be left as a habitat for the insects they eat.

“Complete removal will enable us to keep the area safe and to start a restoration programme. There’s also the chance to expand the track network,” Chambers says.
It's estimated the planting and restoration effort will use about 15,000 plants and see improved paths in the area.

Subject to consent, the project will take about three months, with felling about for two of those months, and working hours restricted from Monday to Friday, 7am-5pm.

The removal methodology has been reviewed and verified by an independent party and while the pine trees are being removed, the forested area, including the tracks will be cordoned off.

The public will be able to raise any concerns they have as the resource consent application will be notified. The regeneration and track plans will be confirmed with community input.

There are exotic and native birds in the pines but the board has had expert advice that the area is not an important white-faced heron habitat, and that none were recorded in a 2016 survey. Despite that, no felling will take place in their June to October nesting season – unless in an emergency.

The site will also undergo inspection by ecologists before the project starts.

Read the letter sent to residents

Frequently Asked Questions

Ask something else: westernsprings@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Petition calls for safer streets

Friend's broken arm prompts action

Published: 22 March 2018

Victoria Quarter resident Emily Reeves wants safer pedestrian access around her home.

She has presented Waitematā Local Board with a petition calling for a meeting to discuss the issue, and for the board to coordinate efforts with other Auckland Council groups.

"A colleague broke her arm recently, so we just want to be able to walk around safely, and we’d like the board to help us bring together various agencies to progress that," she told the board’s monthly business meeting.
Attachment 5

“We are only talking about basic improvements, but the long-term plan appears to have little to no spending in Victoria Quarter, and there is a feeling all the money goes on the other side of Queen Street.

“Things like pedestrian crossings and raised footpaths would be great, and parks too, but we just need to get the conversation going because it involves so many different agencies.”

Board deputy chair Shale Chambers moved the petition be received. He also asked staff to ensure it was passed on to the Development Planning Office, and other council and council-controlled organisations.

Chambers said the relevant groups should consider using money from city centre targeted rates to make progress.

Chair Pippa Coom acknowledged the advocacy work Chambers had done as a member of the City Centre Advisory Board.

“We are seeing progress, Auckland Transport is currently investigating improvements to the Cook Street off-ramp, largely because of the advocacy of the City Centre Resident’s Group.”
Local Government Road Safety Summit 9 April 2018

Panel discussion on Local Government’s view about what more can be done to improve road safety

Tena koutou e ngā rau Rangatira mā e huihui mai nei

Ka mihi whānui ki a koutou katoa, Tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou, tēnā koutou katoa

Greetings Minister. Greetings to everyone gathered at this significant summit

Thank you for the invite to be part of the panel discussion and the opportunity to present on the road safety crisis facing Auckland.

It is currently a very bleak picture but at the same time I have tremendous hope for the future of our transport system thanks to the leadership of the new government and in particular Ministers Genter and Twyford. Significantly for the first time ever Auckland and Wellington are aligned politically to drive through progressive reform to deliver safe, sustainable, accessible mobility for everyone.

Just to mention that my slides are on autopilot for 5 minutes and will just keep rolling as I speak pecha kucha style. Auckland Transport has provided the graphs (attached). I acknowledge the work of the many road safety professionals here today.

I speak not as an expert but as a politician who wants to do what is necessary to ensure that the people who live, work, play, study in and visit Tamaki makaurau are able to enjoy a transport system that is free of serious injuries and death.

People like Robert Su who was killed while heading home to his family on the north shore from work in the growing Waterfront business area. The road that he and hundreds of commuters have to cross to reach their bus stop is designed for high speeds and vehicle priority. This is the story all over Auckland where street design, road safety culture, speeds, investment, enforcement and education has not responded to Auckland’s growth and change.
To give a snap shot of that change in stats. Auckland welcomed 50,000 new residents over the last year. More workers enter the city centre each day on PT than in private motorcars. There are more people living in the city centre than come in by car. Pedestrian numbers on Auckland’s premier shopping street have doubled since 2012. 800 cars are added to Auckland every week.

Tragically in the past three years, Auckland deaths and serious injuries have increased at almost triple the rate of the rest of NZ and around five times the growth of travel.

Of 29 world cities, Auckland has the second highest pedestrian fatality rate, sixth highest cyclist fatality rate, and highest motorcyclist fatality rate per distance travelled. As I am sure you all know this indicates strongly that Auckland is experiencing major systems failure with pressing road safety issues.

A recent, highly critical, road safety report commissioned by Auckland Transport’s Board concluded the reasons for the increase in deaths and serious injuries include

- Increase interaction between different road users
- Inappropriate speeds
- Reduced enforcement
- More motorcycling
- Inadequate safer infrastructure investment
- Drug impaired driving and drink driving
- Travel growth

At the same time there has been a complete lack of leadership and a failure to prioritise road safety.

Fortunately, and none too soon, change is coming and we know what to do.

Auckland is close to adopting Vision Zero to demand and inspire action. I acknowledge Chris Darby, Chair of the planning committee and North Shore ward councillor. Chris has led the way on the Auckland Plan the long term vision for Auckland that will include for the first time a focus on moving to a safe transport network free from death and serious injury.

I appreciate to achieve that are no simple solutions and that transportation is a complex system with multiple factors but I am encouraged from what I am hearing across the sector that there is a united view on the way forward. I’ve recently joined the Executive committee of Trafinz. Acknowledge to the committee members here. Trafinz has developed 15 interventions to reduce death and serious injury for local authorities to adopt that are aligned with what the Minister has indicated for the new road safety strategy including vision zero.

What I also appreciate as a politician is that we have to bring the community along to support a significant step change. The Minister has given local government the challenge to not allow opposition to specific treatments to slow down delivery. This is not going to be easy as the public has been lied to for far too long about why the system is failing. Victim blaming is deeply engrained as way of explaining the carnage and too many politicians are ready to dog whistle on slower speeds.

But we can be brave to reject business as usual thanks to what is happening at the grassroots, the advocates who are the wind in our sails of change. Thanks to the work of
Brake and partners there is a groundswell of support for Vision zero and safer speeds. The petition organised by Cycle Action Network of over 11,000 calling to make our streets safe for cycling is an indication depth of support for a new approach.

On the ground in Auckland, feedback through the recent 10 year budget consultation shows strong community support for transport choice, complete streets, slower speeds, safe mobility for our most vulnerable.

Kia ora Minister. Thank you for making this summit happen to provide the leadership needed to address NZ's road safety crisis. The time is now.
Auckland faces road safety crisis

- AKLD Deaths 78%
- AKLD Serious Injuries 73%
- Rest of NZ Serious Injuries 28%
- Rest of NZ Deaths 22%
- AKLD Travel Growth est. 15%

Spike in run off road and side impact crashes

- Run off road
- Side impact
- Person walking
  - Head on
  - Rear end
- Other
Change in Road deaths and Serious Injuries by Person Type, 2007/09 compared to 2015/17

Inside Vehicle
- Passenger Car Occupants: 9% increase from 270 to 300
- SUV/Van Occupants: 1.7% decrease from 56 to 54
- Heavy Vehicle Occupants: 90% decrease from 10 to 1

Outside Vehicle
- Motorcyclists: 86% increase from 6 to 110
- Pedestrians: 44% increase from 54 to 81
- Cyclists: 24% increase from 36 to 45

Size of arrow indicates % of all DSI in 2015/17

Serious alcohol, young driver and motorcycle crashes up

DSI in alcohol/drug crashes
DSI in head on/run off road crashes
15-24yr old drivers killed of seriously injured
DSI in open road crashes
ACC motorcycle entitlement claims ($)

% change
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Report to the Local Board of Member Vernon Tava
April 2018

Purpose
This report covers my Waitematā Local Board Activities in March to mid-April 2018 as Lead of the Planning and Heritage portfolio; co-holder of the Transport portfolio; Local Board representative on the Parnell Business Association; and member of the Auckland Domain Committee.

Executive Summary
- Earthquake-prone building notices are being sent out to owners in the coming months. More detail in the portfolio report below.
- I keep track of resource consent applications as they are received by Council, requesting further information, plans and Assessments of Environmental Effects for applications of interest. Significant applications are referred to the relevant residents’ associations for their input which I then relay to planners as part of the Local Board’s input. Reporting of resource consent applications, planning portfolio input, hearings and decisions in the Local Board area for this month is detailed in the Heritage, Urban Design and Planning section of this report under the headings ‘Planning’: ‘Resource Consents’

Recommendation
That the Waitematā Local Board:
  a) Receive the Monthly Report of Member Tava
Portfolio Report: Heritage and Planning

Portfolio Responsibilities
Heritage, Urban Design and Planning covers a mix of regulatory and non-regulatory activities including city planning and growth, heritage protection, urban design requirements, Local Board resource consent application input, and bylaw development, including advocacy to achieve local priorities relating to heritage preservation, good urban design and spatial planning. Regulatory and policy oversight of local liquor licensing, signs & billboards, and brothels.

Earthquake Prone Building Notices
Auckland Council has been assessing earthquake-prone buildings and engaging with their owners since 2007 under modern technical standards. Mid-2017 saw the enactment of amended legislation that now requires all buildings that are deemed earthquake-prone to display a physical notice (A4 size) in a prominent position on the exterior of the building. This legislated approach brings with it a concern that some owners and members of the public may misconstrue the notices as indicating a more imminent and dangerous level of risk than they are intended for, and as a result make undesired decisions on that basis.
At present batches of letters are being sent to affected owners, moving from one local board to the next, and in batches of approximately 200 letters at a time. Where a building has only received an initial seismic assessment (ISA), these letters give the owner the opportunity to notify the council if they wish to obtain a new assessment within the next 12 months, or accept the present report. In any case where they accept the present report, do not respond within a month, or where the building has already had a detailed seismic assessment (DSA), the building is issued with the formal notice. Once a notice is issued, these are then also recorded on the national earthquake-prone building register, which is freely and publicly accessible. Auckland Council’s own structures and those of the wider Council family that have been assessed over the last few years, and any earthquake-prone buildings will be affected by notices in the same way as those of the general public.

Resource Consents
The portfolio request information on resource consent applications of interest as a matter of course. The Local Board can have input into the decision on public notification of a resource consent application but not into the substantive matters of the application. The input of the Local Board is not binding on the commissioner making the decision. Nonetheless, on some significant applications we will include substantive comments along with our views on notification.
- 55 Wanganui Avenue, Ponsonby. LUC60312787. Additions and alteration to an existing dwelling subject to a Historic Heritage Overlay. The application is for the replacement of a rear lean-to. Heritage are supportive of the plans. The Local Board did not have input on this application.

- 135 Parnell Road, Parnell. LUC60314527. Proposed exterior alterations to the first floor shop front façade to a special character building. Heritage were supportive of the alterations. The consent was granted non-notified.

- 92 Garnet Road, Westmere. LUC60313417, SUB60313418. Resource consent (land use) is sought to demolish the existing buildings and construct three new dwellings (and associated works) and a subdivision around the approved land use consent to create 3 freehold lots. The proposal for three dwellings complies with site coverages and height, height in relation to boundary and other standards for the zone and in terms of earthworks and vehicle crossing, the effects will be appropriately managed. This was signed off and granted.

- 77 Albany Road, Herne Bay. LUC60315836. Proposed additions and alterations to an existing dwelling, including the establishment of a basement garage, a new vehicle crossing on Albany Road measuring 4.8m in width and works within the dripline of street trees. The Local Board did not have input on this application.

- 299 Queen Street, Auckland Central. TRE60316745. Remove one Puriri tree to enable a chilled water supply to the Town Hall. Earthworks within the root zone of other trees is assessed to be PA. This application is for the removal of a single juvenile Puriri tree that is in a depleted condition. Below are excerpts from the planner’s report: ‘The applicant proposes to undertake works in the rootzone of protected trees in Aotea Square and to remove one young Puriri (Vitex lucens) tree to enable the installation of underground infrastructure cables and pipes, including a replacement chilled water supply to the Town Hall. The proposal entails works in the root zone of trees to be retained to facilitate the infrastructure installation. The applicant has provided a detailed tree works and tree protection methodology, which includes an undertaking for the services trench to be established by way of hydro excavation under the supervision of a qualified Arborist. This aspect of the works has been assessed as able to comply with the standards listed at E26.4.5. of the Unitary Plan and is therefore a permitted activity. The Puriri tree to be removed is an estimated six metres tall with a girth greater than 400mm. Activity table E26.4.3.1. Rule (A92) of the Auckland Unitary Plan requires that the alteration or removal of trees greater than 4m in height or greater than 400mm in girth be
assessed as a Restricted Discretionary activity. The tree to be removed is relatively small and is one of a group of six Puriri planted in 2009. The tree displays poor vitality with considerable die-back at branch extremities. Live growth consists of mainly epicormic shoots that provide approximately 10% of live crown cover. The Applicant has given an undertaking to mitigate the loss by the planting of large grade (400 litre) replacement Puriri tree in the same location when the works have been completed. The subject tree has been assessed as providing no significant ecological function such as soil retention of provision of habitat. The tree has a limited tenure on the site due to its location and depleted condition. The proposed removal is consistent with correct arboricultural practice. Mitigation will be provided by way of the planting of a large grade replacement tree. Removal of the subject tree will not significantly reduce screening and privacy afforded to persons on adjoining properties and will not have a substantial adverse effect upon local amenity values appreciated by people using Aotea Square. I agree with the Applicant’s AEE and conclude that the removal of the subject tree will result in an adverse environmental effect that is less than minor. Overall, I consider that the proposal is consistent with the relevant matters of discretion and objectives and policies of the Unitary Plan. I have also given specific consideration to the existence of any special circumstances and conclude that there is nothing exceptional or unusual about the application to suggest that public or limited notification should occur. The Local Board did not have input on this application.

- 4 Franklin Road, Freemans Bay. LUC60317010. Alterations and additions to an existing dwelling. The proposal is for very minor changes to the exterior of the dwelling. The reason for consent is for the additions and alterations to the dwelling within the Built Heritage and Special Character Overlay. There was a pre-application meeting prior to the lodgement of this application where Council’s Built Heritage Specialist expressed their support of the proposal. The Local Board did not have input on this application.

- 242-248 Broadway, Newmarket. LUC60317105. Additions and alterations to character supporting building, including comprehensive signage. Replacing the glazing on the street frontage; removing the glazing from the northern elevation and reinstating the brickwork that was originally in this location; removal of part of the rear elevation and the construction of a small masonry extension; changes to the interior of the building to allow it to function as a single tenancy; removal of the
existing glazed verandah and replacement with a cloth verandah; installation of signage on the front façade. The Local Board did not have input on this application.

**Significant Resource Consent Matters**

**59 France Street South, Newton – 9-level Apartment and Commercial Development**

LUC60314195. The applicant (Urban Collective) has applied under the Auckland Unitary Plan for resource consent (LUC60314195) ‘to demolish the existing buildings on site and construct a new 9-level mixed use building containing 102 apartments, commercial spaces (including retail, food and beverage, and office activities), basement parking and all associated works’. Consent has previously been granted under HASHAA for ‘a qualifying development to demolish the existing King’s Arms buildings on site and construct a new 6-level mixed use building containing 75 apartments, commercial space at ground floor level, basement parking and all associated works’. The application reference was initially R/LUC/2017/1641 and through the change to SAP/CRM system, this changed the reference number to LUC60116075. The bundle reference number is BUN60302372 as there are other consents associated with this also.

**1A Tuarangi Road, Grey Lynn. Construction of a three-storey building to incorporate an art gallery at ground floor and a residential unit at first and second floors**

LUC60317016. The site covers an area of approximately 33m² and is characterised by a small Governor pump house located in the rear portion of the site. It is proposed to retain the majority (greater than 70%) of the existing pump house located on the site, however it will be encapsulated within the ground floor of the building. The existing pump house is a character defining building within the Grey Lynn special character area overlay – Business. The application requires consent for the following reasons: New buildings in the Special Character Area Overlay (Business) with identified character supporting buildings require restricted discretionary consent under table D18.4.2. New buildings in the Business – local centre zone require restricted discretionary consent under table H11.4.1. The development complies with all other requirements of the development controls. The Local Board requested **public notification** for this application given the height and change in character for this area if it were approved.

**68 Vermont Street, Ponsonby. Childcare Facility**

LUC60316515. To extend an existing childcare activity, that will increase the number of children from 68 children to 104 children capacity and the number of staff from 15 to 21. Given the significant number of children in a residential area and accompanying noise and
traffic generated, the Local Board have requested *limited notification* to the surrounding properties.

**158 Saint Stephens Avenue, Parnell - Modifications to a scheduled heritage place (Parnell Baths)**
LUC60316253. Includes the rebuild of the male and female staircases, replacement of reception floor area; replacement of one exterior wall; umbrella installation; and works to the filter plant building.

**Signatory**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Vernon Tava</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
RICHARD NORTHEY’S REPORT TO APRIL 17 2018 WAITEMATĀ LOCAL BOARD MEETING

Events Portfolio Activities

17 Feb Participated in the Auckland Council’s Pride Parade Float

18 Feb Took part in the Waitematā Board’s stall. Made the Board’s formal speech at the Myers Park Medley (attached)

23 Feb Japan Day celebrations at Ellen Melville Centre and Freyberg Place.

28 Feb Meeting with Alessandra Zecchini to advance Festival Italiano.

24 March Pasifika – talked to Mayor, Local Board Chairs, National, Labour, Green and NZ First MPs and participants.

25 March Closing events of POP in Aotea Square –quiet, and of the Auckland Arts Festival at the Festival Playground on Wynyard Point –which was pretty buzzy, and also attended ATC productions.

5 April Meeting to review the Paws in Parnell events in Heard Park this last summer and consider lights at Christmas

Applications for, and notice of, events for which applications or requests made during this period

18 Feb Maanano Music video filming on Downtown Carpark Rooftop.

28 Feb Auckland Have Your Say Filming in Albert Park.

2 March Filming of an Internet Video for Renault in Garnet Road

2 March Awesome Auckland Promotion video filming in Victoria Park.

5 March Filming for “Westside” TV programme on Galatos Street.

5-6 March Filming TV Commercial Mama Nature as part of Keep NZ Beautiful Campaign in Cox’s bay reserve and Bayfield Park

6 March Adidas Runners Event in Grey Lynn Park, near Williamson Ave playground.

6-7 March McDonald’s TV Commercial filming Victoria Park and Beaumont St.

8 March Filming of TV Series Westside in Karangahape Road and Cross Street

10 March Music in Parks, Demon Energy Rock the Park in Grey Lynn Park.

10 March Volvo Ocean Race Filming by drones at Point Erin Park and Judges Bay

11 March Kelmarna Autumn Festival in Kelmarna Gardens 12 Hukanui Crescent.

11 March IAP Filming in Fort Lane.

11 March Filming of joint NZ/ Scandinavian TV programme Straightforward Crime Drama in Grafton Road
17 March IAPPS filming on the Lightpath by the Black Grace Trust.

14 March Walk to Work Event Pigeon Park on Karangahape Road, Symonds St Corner.

15 March Filming TV Commercial for No. 1 Shoes in the Domain

16 March Spark Internal Basketball Tournament at Victoria Park.

16-17 March Sky City’s Pasifika Federal Street Festival.

16-25 March Fashion in the City Freyberg Square, O’Connell Street, Fort Street and High Street

17 March St Patrick’s Parade and Fleadh in Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby Terrace, Crummer Road and Western Park.

17 March, 22 Sept and 8 Dec Ponsonby Market Day in Ponsonby Road and surrounding streets

18 March Mamamoo Music Video Filming on Downtown Carpark.

18 March Filming of tele-feature “War Stories” in Durham Lane.

21, 23 and 25 March Auckland Festival Drums of Fire in Wynyard Point, Fort St, etc.

23 March Royal Easter Show 175th Anniversary A&P Country Parade in the City Fort St, Queen St and Airedale St. The animals will now travel on floats so the initial waste management and pollution issues have been resolved.

24 March Bread Supercar Charity Rally in Aotea Square, Queen St, Customs St, Fanshawe St and Hobson St.

25 March Filming Holden TV Commercial in Galway St

25 March Filming Whittakers’ TV Commercial, Auckland Domain

28 March Filming for the Ministry of Health’s Small Steps Campaign to Combat Depression, in Grey Lynn Park.

29 March at Victoria Park and 14 April Meola Reef Reserve or Basque Park Red Bull Fit Event.

2 April Filming for US Pharmaceutical, Company Stelara Commercial in Carlaw Park and Mercury

6 April St Johns Appeal Day outside the ASB’s 2 Queen Street Branches.

6 April Auckland Transport Infrastructure group barbecue in Victoria Park passive area.

6 April Toyota Hilux Commercial filming, corner Crummer Road and Ariki Road.

7 April Uptown BID Movie night, Basque Park.

7 April Central Rail Link Staff Tech Event in Outhwaite Park.

7 April TV Commercial for Southern Cross on Downtown Carpark.
7 April Icebreaker TV Commercial for Seminar for their 2019 Campaign

7 and 8 April Filming TV Commercial for Stelara, an American Pharmaceutical Company in Dacre St, Galway St, Quay Street, and Takutai Square.

8 April Filming Southern Cross TV Commercial in Western Park.

8 April Westmere School Tryathlon, Cox’s Bay Reserve.

10 April One Roof Company TV Commercial filming in Tole St reserve

11 April Procession from Albert Park to Aotea Square for Unitec Graduation.

13 April Huawei celebration in Federal St.

14 April Girls on Bikes promotional event, Grey Lynn Park Pump Track

20-27 April Bledisloe Lane Anzac Day Poppy Decoration construction.

22 April Filming for TV Programme “Straightforward” in Cross St.

25 April Dawn and Civic Anzac Day Services in the Domain and also the Newmarket Parade and the Grey Lynn Parade.

28 April Meet and greet with Dick Frizzell for Child Cancer Foundation in Khartoum Place.

29 April Filming for TV Programme “Straightforward” at Kenwyn and surrounding Streets in Parnell.

April possibly Lulueman half day Festival- a proposed ticketed promotion of fitness programmes and classes in the area of Victoria Park used by the Noodle Festival. Will an assurance of continued public access be enough to approve this?

5 May J Day promotion event in Albert Park and Princes Street.

5 May Filming of Telefeature “War Stories” in Victoria Park.

7, 9, and 11 May University of Auckland Autumn Graduation from Princes Street.

10 May Bright Nights Bike Ride on princes Wharf and through the Viaduct Area.

15 May Romer Exhibition Works in City Art gallery event with sponsor Porsche giltrap showcase event at corner of Kitchener St and Khartoum Place.

30 May to 25 June Outdoor Lightboxes for Auckland Festival of Photography at Freyberg Square and Te Wero.

23 June PB tech Drone Experience and training Event in the Auckland Domain.

14 July Bastille Day at Le Chef in Vulcan Lane.

2 and 3 August and 11-14 December AUT Graduation Procession Albert Park, Princes St to Aotea Square.
2-5 August University of Auckland Courses and Careers Day in Princes Street.

18-19 August Carnival of Food in Vulcan Lane, High St, O’Connell St, Fort St, Jean Batten Place, and Shortland St


27 October Pink Star Walk for breast cancer in Parnell and the Domain.

28 Oct Festival Italiano in Lumsden Green and Osborne, Kent and Teed Streets.

11 Nov Armistice Day Memorial Service and Evening Service, Domain Cenotaph

21 Nov Corporate Challenge 5 km fun run in the Domain.

There is also a proposal for a private school holiday programme to be run in 2 of our reserves every school holiday period totaling 42 full days. I have decided that 21 days per year should be the maximum for this activity.

**Arts and Culture Portfolio Activities**

13 Feb, Attended the Annual Meeting of the Friends of the Auckland Art Gallery including a presentation on the work and funding of the Art Gallery.

15 Feb Auckland Philharmonia concert of Beethoven and Tchaikovsky.

16 Feb Joined demonstration outside the Art Gallery in support of the Mayor’s Proposal to restore $2 million annually to Art Gallery operational funding.

2 March. Opening of Exhibition “Manifesto” at the Auckland City Art Gallery.

24 and 25 March attended ATC productions of “Still Life with Chickens” and “1984” at Waterfront Theatre.

25 March Attended closing day Arts Festival events of POP in Aotea Square and Festival Playground on Wynyard Point.

29 March Attended “The Basement Tapes” performance at The Basement

**Community Portfolio Activities**

8, 9, 20, 23, 27 Feb, 1, 27, 28, 29 March and 3, 4, 5, 6 April workouts at Pitt St YMCA

12-14 Feb Participated in the Problem Gambling Foundation organized International Gambling Conference which provided strong evidence that problem gambling has more harmful effects than drug addiction.

15 Feb Formal reopening ceremony of the YMCA stadium, where I joined the YMCA’s YGold dancers in their performance.

18 Feb Chaired the International Affairs and Disarmament Committee of the Peace Foundation. Then Chaired the Auckland Community Housing Trust and took part in the Auckland Central LEC Meeting.
20, 21, 22 and 23 Feb participated in meetings of the Pasifika Advisory Board of the Problem Gambling Foundation, the Asian Family Services Board and Chinese New Year Celebration, Chaired the meeting of the Problem Gambling Foundation Board and function to welcome their new librarian.

21 Feb University of Auckland Society and City Vision Meetings.

24 Feb Repair Café at the Western Springs Gardens Community Centre where they couldn’t fix my radio but did repair many appliances and clothes.

26 Feb University of Auckland symposium on research findings on addictions. Parnell Plan planning meeting; and Ponsonby Community Centre Committee Meeting.

1 and 2 March, Auckland Grammar School Class of 1958 Reunion at Auckland Grammar.

26 March Ponsonby Community Centre Committee Meeting.

4 April meeting of the Problem Gambling Foundation Executive re submissions to incoming ministers.

6 April Meeting re Community Infrastructure Needs for Toilets, Showers and Safety with Karangahape Road Business Association, Prostitutes Collective and James Liston Hostel reps.

9 April Chaired Peace Foundation International Affairs and Disarmament Committee teleconference. They are very interested in our policy on the promotion of current weapons of war.

**Waitematā Local Board General Activities**

13 Feb Waitematā Local Board Workshop.

15 Feb Meeting with Viv Beck and Janet Loveridge of Heart of the City including their work for the homeless people.

20 Feb Waitematā Local Board formal meeting.

21 Feb Presentation on proposed Auckland Domain and Museum Bus Service

23 Feb Franklin Road Lights Debriefing with Roscoe Tanner.

27 Feb Waitematā Local Board Workshop.

28 Feb Lunch meeting of the Waitematā Local Board with Vanuatu Government Central and Local Government representatives.

1 March Board Members meeting with Auckland City Mission about their housing project.

26 March Participated in 4th workshop on the Parnell Plan including drafting a proposed vision statement and on 9th April participated in their 5th workshop.

27 March Workshop of the Waitematā Local Board on the Long Term Plan for the Board and the Community Facilities Monthly Update.
3 April Waitematā Local Board workshop re Regional Facilities Auckland; Auckland Transport projects; the future of 3 Ponsonby Road and the Sports Facilities investment Plan.

Auckland Council and National Activities

14 February. I chaired the first meeting of the Joint Governance Working Party dealing with the representation review to change Council Ward boundaries, particularly a significant shrinking of the boundaries of the Waitematā and Gulf Ward because of the huge increase in Apartment Dwellers in the central city. However, unless the law is changed, there can be no change to local board boundaries, so the ward and board boundaries around Waitemata will substantially diverge at the next elections.

15 February Blessing of three Pous in Griffiths Gardens then the formal opening of Pa Rongorongo information hub.

15 Feb Briefing at Albert St of communication and engagement for the Council's Long-Term Plan and Budget.

16 Feb Training in Presentation Skills for Elected members.

17 Feb Walked in the Auckland Council’s float in the Pride Parade.

19 Feb Took part in the Subregional Local Boards Cluster Reports, at Albert Street including on Facilities Partnerships Strategy and then assisted the presentation on the Representation Review there and then at Takapuna to northern Local Boards on 26 Feb.

21 Feb Presentation on Auckland Domain Bus Services.

26 Feb Presented to the northern local boards at Takapuna on the work of the representation work of the Governance working party and participated in their briefing on the Facilities Partnership and Safeswim Programmes.


28 Feb Local Government New Zealand Roadshow for local boards with President Dave Cull and Vice-President Stuart Crombie.

28 Feb Auckland Conversation on the transport components of the Council Long Term Plan.

1 March Meeting with Council staff to work out options for new Council Ward Boundaries for Auckland Council. Labour Local Government Sector Teleconference call in the evening

2 March Local Government New Zealand Zone 1 Meeting at the Town Hall.

5-12 March visited Cambodia and 12-22 March visited Vietnam. A picture of Robyn and I at Angkor Wat is attached.
26 March Participated in joint regional local boards workshop regarding the review of Council’s Code of Conduct, Waterfront Development; Panuku’s urban redevelopment and reinvestment proposals, and Emergency Management.

5 April meeting with Warwick MacNaughton re options for the representation Review.

5 April Labour Local Government Sector Council Teleconference meeting re legislation.

7-8 April Labour Policy Council meeting in Wellington.
Richard Northey's Speech at Myers Park Medley

Sunday 18 February 2018, 1.30pm

- Tena Kotou Katoa! Good afternoon everyone! My name is Richard Northey, your elected member for the Waitematā Local Board. We also have here Pippa Coom, the Board Chair and Local Board Member Adriana Christie.
- I hope you are all enjoying Myers Park Medley, our annual signature Waitemata Local Board event. This free event brings so many of our community and families to one of our most loved family parks in the inner-city. Thanks very much to Auckland Council’s and the Waitemata Local Board’s events, parks and administrative staff for the time spent on organising this event.
- We are very proud of this park. It is a great link between the City Centre and K Road. The award winning playground and splash pad was part of the board’s redevelopment of Myers Park. We are now in the second stage of the redevelopment and are working at improving access to the park; the stairs and walkways and improving the linkage to Aotea Square. I am looking forward to the installation of the Taniwha artwork at the entrance.
- The Karangahape Road Business Association tell me they are thrilled to have this wonderful event here. We welcome everyone to K’ Road. Although K Road has been known as a playground for adults it’s also extremely child friendly and has plenty to offer all age groups. As well as the wonderful things to discover in Myers Park, on the streets above here there are wonderful foods, Moustache Cookies, the Bangkok Ice Cream Rolls, piefes, and places to buy interesting and fun retro goodies, check out the wonderful art galleries, you can go there relax and chillout and watch the people of K Road pass by. Heart of the City welcome you to the businesses and cafes down the hill.
- We support the current Pride activities and have Rainbow Youth with a stall here. You have heard from Animal Management. Please check out the other stalls who are helping here. The City Libraries; the Tepid Baths; the Peace Foundation, that I am proud to be a patron of; the Auckland City Centre Residents Group; Fot the love of Bees; Panuku; the Deaf Society with our signers; and Youhtown.
- Just a reminder to everyone that the Auckland Plan, Auckland Council’s Long Term Plan and our own local Waitemata Local Board Plan are coming up for consultation. These are important pieces of work that will shape Auckland’s, and this community’s future.
Item 19

- We really want to hear what you have to say about important topics such as local board priorities, transport congestion, environment, agrichemical spraying and water quality.

- We have three events coming up in March where you can Have Your Say. Information is on our Waitematā Local Board Facebook page or visit the local board tent today.

- Back to today, a special thanks to everyone, both from Council and from the community, involved in making today happen.

- The next act is a band playing the Shona tribe music from Zimbabwe. The music is played on wooden keys and the polyrhythms characteristic of African music really invites people to dance. Please welcome the next band - Mhara Marimba.
Governance Forward Work Calendar

File No.: CP2018/04682

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

1. Attached is a copy of the governance forward work calendar for the Waitematā Local Board which is a schedule of items that will come before the board at business meetings.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) receive the governance forward work calendar April 2018 attached to the agenda.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar - April 2018</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Michael Mendoza - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitemata Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Governance Role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 May 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 May 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 May 2018</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 May 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 May 2018</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 May 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 June 2018</td>
<td>Setting direction/priorities/budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June 2018</td>
<td>Setting direction/priorities/budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June 2018</td>
<td>Local initiatives / Specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 July 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 July 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 July 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 August 2018</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 August 2018</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waitematā Local Board Workshop Records

File No.: CP2018/04679

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. The purpose of this report is to present the Waitematā Local Board workshop records to the board. Attached are copies of the proceeding records taken from the workshops held on:
   - 27 March 2018
   - 3 April 2018
   - 10 April 2018

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) receive the workshop proceeding records for the meetings held on 27 March 2018, 3 April 2018 and 10 April 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>27 March 2018 Workshop Record</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3 April 2018 Workshop Record</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>10 April 2018 Workshop Record</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Michael Mendoza - Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Trina Thompson - Relationship Manager/Senior Advisor Waitemata Local Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waitematā Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Waitematā Local Board held in the Waitematā Local Board Office, 52 Swanson Street, Auckland Central on Tuesday 27 March 2018, commencing at 9.30am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Pippa Coom
Members: Adriana Avendaño Christie
Shale Chambers
Richard Northey
Denise Roche
Vernon Tava
Rob Thomas
Apologies: N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Plan (LTP) - Workshop 5</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td>The board discussed and provided direction on the proposed draft work programmes for 2018/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities (CF) Monthly Update</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td>The local board were provided with an update on the progress with their Community Facilities work programmes including capital development, operational management and maintenance, and community leasing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waitematā Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Waitematā Local Board held in the Waitematā Local Board Office, 52 Swanson Street, Auckland Central on Tuesday 3 April 2018, commencing at 9.30am.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRESENT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chairperson:</td>
<td>Pippa Coom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members:</td>
<td>Adriana Avendaño Christie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Richard Northey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Denise Roche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apologies:</td>
<td>Vernon Tava</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Governance role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Facilities Auckland</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook Street Safety</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport Strategy and Planning</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport Parnell Busway</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport Central Bus Network</td>
<td>Input into regional decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport Ponsonby Pedestrian Improvement Project Debrief</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport Capital Fund</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts update on 3 Ponsonby Road project</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Facilities Investment Plan</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Waitematā Local Board Workshop Record**

Workshop record of the Waitematā Local Board held in the Waitematā Local Board Office, 52 Swanson Street, Auckland Central on Tuesday 10 April 2018, commencing at 9.30am.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRESENT</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chairperson:</strong></td>
<td>Pippa Coom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Members:</strong></td>
<td>Adriana Avendaño Christie</td>
<td>Shale Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Richard Northey</td>
<td>Rob Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apologies:</strong></td>
<td>Vernon Tava</td>
<td>Denise Roche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Item</td>
<td>Governance role</td>
<td>Summary of Discussions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Programme Office</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td>The board received an update on the Development Programme Office activities including Federal Street Stage 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Grants and Capital Works</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td>The board received details on the financial implications when community grants are used to fund development or improvement of council owned assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Marys Road Planting Plan</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td>An update was provided on the outcome of the consultation held with residents of St Marys Road and the board provided direction on the next steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATEED</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td>The board was provided an update on the ongoing activities of the GRID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees, charges and service levels</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td>The board discussed and provided direction on the proposed fees, charges and service levels for 2018/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jervois Road Tree Maintenance</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td>The board were updated by Auckland Transport on the maintenance of the trees along Jervois Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey Lynn Bus Stop Improvements</td>
<td>Local initiatives/specific decisions</td>
<td>The board discussed the proposed Grey Lynn Bus Improvements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ATTACHMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 8.1</th>
<th>Attachment A</th>
<th>Life Education Programme Outline 2018</th>
<th>Page 133</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item 8.2</td>
<td>Attachment A</td>
<td>Rainbow Youth 2020 Strategy</td>
<td>Page 135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 8.2</td>
<td>Attachment B</td>
<td>Rainbow Youth Survey 2017</td>
<td>Page 137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Today children and adolescents face a lot of challenges...

**OBESITY**

“One in nine children (aged 2 to 14 years) are obese and a further 21% of children are overweight. 10% of Māori and 30% of Pacific children are obese.”

“The effects of being overweight for a child include low self-esteem, bullying, eating disorders, chronic ill health and even suicide.”

**SUBSTANCE USE**

“Approximately 11% of New Zealand high school students use substances at levels that are likely to cause them significant harm and may cause long-term problems. Students with very high substance use (including binge drinking) have more challenging family and school lives than others.”

**MENTAL HEALTH AND WELL-BEING**

“New Zealand has the worst teen suicide rate in the developed world...the number of adolescents reporting two or more psychological symptoms (feeling low, feeling irritable, feeling nervous, and having sleeping difficulties) is increasing.”

“Six out of ten girls are so concerned with the way they look they participate in less in daily life – from going swimming and playing sports, to visiting the doctor, going to school or even offering their opinions.”

**BULLYING**

“Rates of school bullying in New Zealand are among the worst worldwide. About one in three Year 4 students report being bullied on a weekly or more frequent basis. 84% of New Zealand teachers believe that bullying occurs in their school and 68% believed it begins very early in a child’s life (between pre-school and Year 4).”

“Nearly one in ten students have been afraid that someone would hurt or bother them in the past year.”

Life Education teach...

**FOOD AND NUTRITION**

Children learn how food gives them energy, how it helps them grow and how their body digests it. They explore the variety of nutrient-rich foods needed every day, what a balanced diet looks like and how to read packaging. Lessons may include Science and Human Biology.

**HUMAN BIOLOGY**

Children learn about body systems and how they work to carry food, water and oxygen around their body. Children explore their brain and the nervous system as the control centre for their body. They learn that stress affects people in a variety of ways.

**SUBSTANCES**

We focus on the effects of alcohol and other drugs, both legal and illegal. Children learn how to identify the difference between helpful and harmful drugs, how drugs can change the way the mind and body works and the impact drugs can have on people’s lives. Children explore the power of advertising, peer pressure and social influences. We want to empower children to make informed decisions as they enter their teenage years.

**RELATIONSHIPS AND COMMUNITIES**

This strand focuses on connecting and relating to others. Lessons look at friendships and relationships as well as the need to show respect and consideration for others. Children learn about leadership and teamwork, coping with change, pressure and conflict, and digital citizenship. Bullying and cyber safety are often subjects schools request our expertise and support with.

**IDENTITY AND RESILIENCE**

Confidence in their own identity and where and how they fit in are challenges that come with growing up. Children learn about feelings and emotions, and that they are special and unique and it is okay to be different. Value is placed on the idea that each individual’s personality makes them unique and it shapes how they make decisions and respond to situations.

Life Education Trust shape their programme around these challenges, working to give students the skills and knowledge needed to make well-informed decisions - now and in the future. The programme is made up of five strands, they all interweave, because health and well-being is rarely isolated in a single topic.

Learn more and get contact details for your local Trust at www.lifeeducation.org.nz
**KIRI - LES AND FOCUS AREAS**

**Vision**
- Acceptance of the diversity of gender and sexuality in Aotearoa

**Mission Statements**
- Foster understanding and acceptance of diversity in Aotearoa through raising awareness
- Support, information & advocacy to queer and gender diverse rangatahi, their friends and whānau

**Principles of FOCUS**
- **Te āo Māori guides us**
- **RainbowYOUTH is a bold voice for the rainbow community across Aotearoa**
- **We seek out and meet the needs of our queer and gender diverse rangatahi, their friends and whānau**
- **Our focus is Aotearoa-wide**

**FOCUS Areas**

A. **Meeting our rangatahi where they are**
B. **Nurturing partnerships to maximise our impact**
C. **Serving our Māori and Pacific whānau**
D. **Data-driven and need-responsive services**
E. **Promoting our community across Aotearoa**
F. **Enabling RainbowYOUTH to operate sustainably**
Since 2015, RainbowYOUTH has been conducting annual surveys to build up a better picture of our stakeholders and how our services are working.

We also use the results to get an idea of what people value the most from RainbowYOUTH and what we could change or do better in.

Here are some of the insights from the data gathered in our 2017 survey, where 550 people shared their thoughts about RainbowYOUTH and our services.

PEOPLE IDENTIFYING AS BINARY GENDERS (LIKE MALE OR FEMALE) HAVE DECREASED SINCE 2015

ETHNICITY

- 2.3% INDIAN
- 2.5% CHINESE
- 2.7% SAMOAN
- 13.27% MĀORI - TANGATA WHENUA
- 79.8% NZ EUROPEAN / PĀKEHĀ

2017

RainbowYOUTH Annual Survey Results

WHERE WE ARE AND HOW WE’VE GROWN

MOST COMMON SEXUALITIES LISTED IN THE SURVEY

- 16.1% HETEROSEXUAL
- 16.8% GAY
- 14.5% PANSEXUAL
- 13.8% STRAIGHT/HETEROSEXUAL
- 12% LESBIAN

MOST COMMON GENDER IDENTITIES LISTED IN THE SURVEY

- 18% FEMALE/GIRL/WOMAN
- 12.5% IN-GENDER NON-BINARY
- 8.5% MALE/MAN
- 6.5% TRANS BOY/TRANS MAN/FTM/TRANS WOMAN
- 6.4% CD/GENDER WOMAN

Note: Participants were asked to self identify in the above questions.

LOCATIONS

NZ CENSUS 2015

- We experience higher engagement in areas where we have a physical presence (such as resources, groups, vists or a drop-in centre).

RY SURVEY 2017

- There’s been a 15.8% growth across all regions except for Auckland since 2015.
HOW WE’RE DOING AND WHAT WE COULD CHANGE:

PEOPLE VALUE AND RESPECT OUR KAUPAPA & VOICE

When asked what they liked about RainbowYOUTH, 25% of respondents named what we stand for, our messages, kaupapa and values.

75% of respondents said that they felt connected to other rainbow people because of attending RY’s groups, events and/or our drop-in centres.

OUT OF THOSE WHO INDICATED THAT THEY HAD RECEIVED SUPPORT FROM RAINBOWYOUTH:

98% said that the support they received was useful

95% said that they would recommend others go to RainbowYOUTH for support

WE CREATE SAFE COMMUNITY SPACES FOR QUEER AND GENDER DIVERSE YOUTH TO THRIVE:

“I love your values, energy, support for each other and youth, individual and collective courage and strength.”

“I get to hang out with my friends in a space designed for my safety as a queer person.”

“I am really grateful that RY exists. RY has helped my teen in so many ways with his gender issues and he has made so many true friends at RY.”

WHEN ASKED TO RATE THEIR EXPERIENCE WITH RAINBOWYOUTH GENERALLY, 87% OF PEOPLE GAVE US A 4 OR 5 OUT OF 5 RATING

18% OF RESPONDENTS MENTIONED THAT A CHANGE THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE IS TO BRING THESE SAFE SPACES TO MORE PLACES IN THE COUNTRY.

Some respondents mentioned they would like to see a change in the ways RY interacts with those older than...