

10-year Budget 2018-2028 and Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report for Albert-Eden Local Board

1. Purpose and introduction

This report summarises feedback relating to the Albert-Eden Local Board received through the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and Auckland Plan 2050 consultation. This includes:

- Feedback on the Albert-Eden Local Board priorities for 2018/2019.
- Feedback on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Albert-Eden Local Board area.

The feedback received will inform the Albert-Eden Local Board decisions on allocation of their local budgets in their local board agreement for 2018/2019. It will also inform the Albert-Eden Local Board input and advocacy on regional budgets and proposals that will be agreed at their business meeting on 2 May and subsequently discussed with the Finance and Performance Committee on 17/18 May.

The report is divided into the following sections:

- Written feedback received on Albert-Eden Local Board priorities
- Written feedback received on 10-year budget, from people in the Albert-Eden area
- Written feedback received on Auckland Plan 2050, from people in the Albert-Eden area
- Information on submitters
- Feedback received through events

For further detail, all redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May, until then redacted submissions are available on request by emailing akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz.

2. Executive Summary

This report summarises consultation feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 (including local board priorities for 2018/2019) and the Auckland Plan 2050. The consultation period ran from 28 February to 28 March 2018.

Overall Auckland Council received feedback through the following channels:

- Written feedback – 26,556 hard copy and online forms, emails and letters.
- In person – council interacted with a total of 5,374 people through 39 have your say events and 61 community events.
- Social media – 114 comments were received through Facebook and Twitter.

Written feedback on the Albert-Eden Local Board priorities for 2018/2019

1,697 written submissions were received on five Albert-Eden Local Board priorities for 2018/2019.

- When asked 'have we got our priorities right?' overall forty per cent support, 40 per cent partially support and 17 per cent do not support the Albert-Eden Local Board priorities.
- Forty-four per cent support of providing funding to the community to identify, maintain and care for our **notable trees** in Albert-Eden. Thirty-four per cent partially support and 22 per cent do not support this priority.

- The majority (86%) support collaborating with neighbouring local boards and community groups to develop **joint management plans** for Te Auaunga-Oakley Creek and Waititiko-Meola Creek.
- The majority (65%) support investigating the creation of a **cycling and walking connection** between St Lukes and Greenlane train station.
- The majority (79%) support assisting local businesses in our town centres to implement **sustainable practices**.

Written feedback on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 from the Albert-Eden Local Board area

Out of the 26,556 written submissions received on the regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, 1,825 submissions were from people living in the Albert-Eden Local Board area and the following summary statistics relate to those submissions.

- The majority (65%) support a **regional fuel tax** to help pay for improvements to the transport system.
- The majority (77%) support a **water quality targeted rate**.
- The majority (64%) support a **natural environment targeted rate**. Twenty per cent support Option A (\$21 per annum), 44 per cent support Option B (\$47 per annum), 20 per cent do not support it and 15 per cent support another option.
- The majority (60%) support a **proposed rates increase** of 2.5 percent for the first two years and 3.5 percent for years three to 10.
- The majority (72%) support that **online accommodation providers** that meet particular thresholds should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate.

Written feedback on the Auckland Plan 2050 from the Albert-Eden Local Board area

Out of the 26,556 submissions received on the Auckland Plan 2050, 1,825 submissions were from people living in Albert-Eden Local Board area and the following summary statistics relate to those submissions.

- The majority (59%) support **Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation**.
- The majority (56%) support **Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing**.
- 43 per cent support this **Outcome area 3: Homes and places**, 42 per cent partially support and 15 per cent do not support this outcome area.
- The majority (53%) support **Outcome area 4: Transport and access**.
- The majority (59%) support **Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage**.
- The majority (57%) support **Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity**.
- Forty-five per cent support **Shaping our growth**, 40 per cent partially support and 14 per cent do not support this outcome area.

Feedback from events

The Albert-Eden Local Board held two have your say events on:

- 6pm-8pm 12 March 2018 - environment and transport themed event

- 6pm-8pm, 20 March 2018 – general event.

67 people attended the events. The key themes from feedback provided at the events were:

- The majority of people support the Albert-Eden Local Board priorities.
- The majority of people support the regional fuel tax, a water quality targeted rate and an environmental targeted rate.

3. Context

Auckland Council consulted on its 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and the Auckland Plan 2050 between 28 February and 28 March 2018.

The 10-year Budget 2018-2028 sets out the council's priorities and how they will be funded. The Auckland Plan 2050 sets the long-term direction and looks at the important challenges it needs to address.

The 10-year Budget also includes information on each local board's priorities for 2018/2019. These priorities have been informed by in the development of the Albert-Eden Local Board Plan 2017.

Auckland Council also consulted on the Regional Pest Management Strategy and the Waste Management and Minimisation Strategy at the same time. The feedback received on the Waste Management and Minimisation Strategy is presented in a separate report. The feedback received on the Regional Pest Management Strategy will be presented later in the year (following decisions on the 10-year Budget).

Types of feedback

Overall Auckland Council received feedback through the following channels:

- Written feedback – 26,556 hard copy and online forms, emails and letters.
- In person – council interacted with a total of 5,374 people through 39 have your say events and 61 community events.
- Social media – 114 comments were received through Facebook and Twitter.

4. Written feedback received on Albert-Eden Local Board priorities for 2018/19


The Albert-Eden Local Board consulted on the following five priorities:

- Priority 1: Providing funding to the community to identify, maintain and care for our notable trees in Albert-Eden.
- Priority 2: Collaborating with neighbouring local boards and community groups to develop joint management plans for Te Auaunga-Oakley Creek and Waititiko-Meola Creek.
- Priority 3: Investigating the creation of a cycling and walking connection between St Lukes and Greenlane train station.
- Priority 4: Supporting local businesses in our town centres to implement sustainable practices, for example alternatives to putting fat and oil down the drain or reducing plastic bag usage.
- Priority 5: We will advocate to the Governing Body for a replacement aquatic centre in Albert-Eden and for an investigation into a replacement indoor multi-sport facility at Gillies Ave, Epsom.

1,697 people responded to the Albert-Eden Local Board priorities for 2018/2019.

Albert-Eden Local Board priorities

1,527 responses were given to the question 'have we got our priorities right?'. Forty per cent support the local board's priorities, 40 per cent partially support and 17 per cent did not support the priorities.



The key themes from responses which were in **support** were:

- Support cycleways (30 feedback points).
- Support the environmental initiatives and the environmental focus of the local board priorities (17 feedback points).
- Support collaborating with neighbouring local boards and community groups to develop joint management plans for Te Auaunga-Oakley Creek and Waititiko-Meola Creek (13 feedback points).
- Support supporting local businesses in our town centres to implement sustainable practices (13 feedback points).
- Support providing funding to the community to identify, maintain and care for our notable trees in Albert-Eden (7 feedback points).

The key themes from responses which **do not support** were:

- Do not support the implementation of the Chamberlain Park Master Plan (19 feedback points).
- Do not support the streetscape upgrade which is occurring in Mt Albert town centre (11 feedback points).
- Do not support building new cycleways (11 feedback points).
- Request funding be used for the arts (8 feedback points).
- Request funding be used for core council services (6 feedback points).

The key themes from responses which were **partially support** were:

- Support for cycleways (53 feedback points), and do not support cycleways (23 feedback points).
- Comments on transport issues (39 feedback points).
- Support collaborating with neighbouring local boards and community groups to develop joint management plans for Te Auaunga-Oakley Creek and Waititiko-Meola Creek (22 feedback points).
- Do not support the implementation of the Chamberlain Park Master Plan (22 feedback points).
- Support assisting local businesses in our town centres to implement sustainable practices (15 feedback points).

- Comments on requiring additional housing, or affordable housing being an issue (12 feedback points).
- Do not support providing funding to the community to identify, maintain and care for our notable trees in Albert-Eden (10 feedback points).
- Request funding be used for the arts (10 feedback points).
- Comments on requiring funding for infrastructure, or increased development occurring (10 feedback points).
- Support the environmental initiatives and the environmental focus of the local board priorities (9 feedback points).
- Do not support the streetscape upgrade in Mt Albert town centre (9 feedback points).
- Support providing funding to the community to identify, maintain and care for our notable trees in Albert-Eden (9 feedback points).
- Support the implementation of the Chamberlain Park Master Plan (4 feedback points).

Funding to identify, maintain and care for our notable trees

1,361 responses were given to the priority of providing funding to the community to identify, maintain and care for our notable trees in Albert-Eden. Forty-four per cent were in support of, 34 per cent partially in support and 22 per cent do not support.



The key themes from responses which were **partially support** were:


- It depends how the grants would be implemented and monitored (47 feedback points).
- The grants should only be given to property owners who need financial assistance (35 feedback points).
- Council should undertake this work rather than providing funding (16 feedback points).
- Maintaining trees on private property is the responsibility of the owner (11 feedback points).

The key themes from responses which were **do not support** were:

- Maintaining trees on private property is the responsibility of the owner (105 feedback points).
- Concerns over how the grants would be implemented and accountability over the use of the funds (19 feedback points).
- Council should undertake this work rather than providing funding (14 feedback points).
- The cost of this is too high (14 feedback points).

Joint management plans for Te Auaunga-Oakley Creek and Waititiko-Meola Creek

1,382 responses were given to the priority of collaborating with neighbouring local boards and community groups to develop joint management plans for Te Auaunga-Oakley Creek and Waititiko-Meola Creek. Eighty-six per cent of responses were in support, seven per cent were partially in support and 7 per cent did not support.




Investigating a cycling and walking connection between St Lukes and Greenlane train station

1,414 responses were given to the priority of investigating the creation of a cycling and walking connection between St Lukes and Greenlane train station. Sixty-five per cent of responses were in support, 21 per cent were partially in support and 14 per cent we did not support.



Would you use a cycling and walking connection between St Lukes and Greenlane train station

1,113 responses were given to a follow up question of would you use a cycling and walking connection between St Lukes and Greenlane train station. Eighteen per cent of responses agreed, 45 per cent might and 37 per cent would not use one.



Key themes from responses which were in **support** were :

- Support for the St Lukes and Greenlane cycleway as a cross-town cycle link and direct route across the area (23 feedback points).
- General support for cycling and cycling infrastructure in Albert-Eden and the city (19 feedback points).
- Concern about traffic congestion and the dangers of cycling on the existing route, and the need to make it safe for cyclists (16 feedback points).

Key themes from responses which were **do not support** were:


- Support the cycleway but wouldn't use it for a range of reasons, mainly that respondents don't live near the area (50 feedback points).
- Concerns about safety, reduction in traffic lanes to accommodate, loss of parking (14 feedback points).
- Other transport options exist already or should be offered (9 feedback points).

Key themes from responses which were **partially support** were:

- General support for cycleways and public transport (39 feedback points).
- Support the cycleway as long as safety concerns are met (27 feedback points).
- Will use but only occasionally (26 feedback points).
- Unsure whether the route will work (12 feedback points).

Supporting local businesses to implement sustainable practices

- 1,409 responses were given to the priority of supporting local businesses in our town centres to implement sustainable practices. Seventy-nine per cent of responses were in support, 11 per cent were partially in support and nine per cent did not support.



Advocate for a replacement aquatic centre in Albert-Eden and for an investigation into a replacement indoor multi-sport facility at Gillies Ave, Epsom.

There was not a specific question asking for feedback about the advocacy points but submitters responded through the question asking 'have we got our priorities right?'

- Support an investigation into a replacement indoor multi-sport facility at Gillies Ave (31 feedback points).
- Support for a replacement aquatic centre (15 feedback points).

5. Written feedback received on the 10-year Budget from Albert-Eden Local Board area

The 10-year Budget 2018-2028 sets out our priorities and how we're going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed 10-year Budget focused on four key issues:

- Issue 1: Transport
- Issue 2: Natural Environment
- Issue 3: Rates and charges
- Issue 4: Other changes and budget information

The written submissions received from the Albert-Eden Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.


Issue 1: Transport

Aucklanders were asked their opinion on whether they would support a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system.

Question 1: We want to improve our transport system. As the population grows, congestion (and the pollution it creates) is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax of 10 cents per litre (plus GST) be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

1,673 responses were given by people from Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Sixty-five per cent of responses were in support, 29 per cent did not support and 5 per cent made another comment.



The key themes from responses which were **support** were:

- Public transport should be improved (420 feedback points).
- The users of the service should pay for what they use (64 feedback points).

The key themes from responses which were **do not support** were:

- The targeted rate is unaffordable, or impacts more on lower income or large families (100 feedback points).
- Public transport should be improved (46 feedback points).
- Prefer a congestion charge (31 feedback points).
- There is an unfair impact on rural communities (22 feedback points).

Issue 2: Natural environment


Water quality targeted rate

Aucklanders were asked whether they would support a water quality targeted rate.

Question 2: Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage and stormwater systems that can't cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer \$66 per year (\$1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams?

1,669 responses were given by people from the Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Seventy-seven per cent of responses were in support, 17 per cent did not support and 5 per cent made another comment.



The key theme from responses which were **do not support** was that rates are already high enough (63 feedback points).

Natural environment targeted rate

People were also asked if they supported a natural environment targeted rate. Two options (A and B) were provided for the natural environment targeted rate.

Question 3: Auckland's rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland's local native species are under threat of extinction.


Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate (based on your property value) at one of two levels described below.

Option A – a targeted rate of an average rate of \$21 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to make limited improvements to environmental protection, mainly focusing on kauri dieback disease.

Option B – a targeted rate of an average rate of \$47 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to spend more on tackling kauri dieback disease, and allow us to better protect our native species and ecosystems.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more to protect our environment?

1,686 responses were given by people from the Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Twenty per cent support Option A (\$21 per annum), 44 per cent support Option B (\$47 per annum), 21 per cent do not support and 15 per cent preferred another option.



The key themes from responses which were **do not support** were:

- Rates are high enough (57 feedback points).
- These environmental issues should be funded by the government (32 feedback points).

A key theme from responses which were **in support or preferred another options** was:

- Preferred a higher level of funding than Option A or Option B (88 feedback points).

Issue 3: Rates and charges


Proposed rates increase

People were asked about a proposed rates increase of 2.5 percent for the first two years and 3.5 percent for years three to 10.

Question 4: For this 10-year Budget we are proposing an average general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years and then 3.5 per cent for years three to 10. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

1,624 responses were given by people from Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Sixty per cent support the proposed rates increase, 30 per cent do not support and 10 per cent made another comment.




Online accommodation providers

Secondly people were asked about whether online accommodation providers that meet particular thresholds should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate.

Question 5: We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

1,436 responses were given by people from Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Seventy-two per cent support this proposal, 21 per cent do not support and 7 per cent made another comment.



The key themes from responses which were **support** were:

- Online accommodation providers are businesses (140 feedback points).
- This is fair to hotels and motels (92 feedback points).

Issue 4: Other changes and budget information

Aucklanders were asked if they had any other feedback on matters in the consultation document.

Question 7: Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection), Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan or the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited.

6. Written feedback received on the Auckland Plan 2050 from Albert-Eden Local Board area

The Auckland Plan 2050 sets our long-term direction and looks at the important challenges we need to address in the following outcome areas:

- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation

- Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing
- Outcome area 3: Homes and places
- Outcome area 4: Transport and access
- Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage
- Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity.


There is a question in the feedback form that relates to each outcome area. The questions and text below are directly from the feedback form. The responses from the Albert-Eden Local Board area are set out under each question.

Question 1: An inclusive Auckland

In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Belonging and Participation will achieve this?

1,381 responses were given by people from Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Fifty-nine per cent in support, 29 per cent partially support and 13 per cent do not support.




Question 2: Advance Māori well-being

The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being.

Do you think the seven focus areas identified in Māori Well-being and Identity will achieve this?

1,310 responses were given by people from Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Fifty-six per cent in support, 26 per cent partially support and 17 per cent did not support.




Question 3: Affordable homes

Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some key workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Homes and Places will achieve this?

1,410 responses were given by people from Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Forty-three per cent in support, forty-two per cent partially support and fifteen per cent did not support.




Question 4: Moving easily around Auckland

People lack choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system that accelerates progress on walking, cycling and public transport and makes better use of existing networks.

Do you think the seven focus areas identified in Transport and Access will achieve this?

1,428 responses were given by people from Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Fifty-three per cent were in support, 36 per cent partially support and 11 per cent did not support.




Question 5: Protecting and enhancing our environment

Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes utilising every opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland's environment as growth and development happens.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Environment and Cultural Heritage will achieve this?

1,393 responses were given by people from Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Fifty-nine per cent were in support, 32 per cent partially support and nine per cent do not support.




Question 6: Equipping people for future jobs

Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes Aucklanders will need to adapt to the coming changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Opportunity and Prosperity will achieve this?

1,293 responses were given by people from Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Fifty-seven per cent were in support, 28 per cent partially support and 15 per cent do not support.




Question 7: Shaping our growth

Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth.

Do you think the proposed approach for enabling growth will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

1,334 responses were given by people from Albert-Eden Local Board area to this question. Forty-five per cent were in support, 40 per cent partially support and 14 per cent did not support.






7. Information on submitters

1,825 submissions were received from within the Albert-Eden Local Board area. This included 40 from organisations. The organisations are:

- 1978
- Alcohol Healthwatch
- APRA AMCOS
- Auckland Bowls Inc
- Auckland City Football Club / Central United Football Club
- Atlantic City Water Polo and Aquatics Club
- Auckland Central Volleyball Club
- Auckland Rugby Union
- The Auckland Table Tennis Association (Inc)
- Auckland Theatre Company
- The Black Grace Trust
- College Sport Auckland
- Dominion Rd Business Association
- Eden PArk Neighbours' Assoc Inc
- Fencing North Auckland
- Friends of Oakley Creek Te Auaunga
- He waka eke noa roopa
- Jean Swainson Foundation
- Massive Theatre Company
- Mt Eden Village Inc
- New Zealand Chinese Table Tennis Association (Inc)
- Nextbike
- The Oryza Foundation for Asian Performing Arts
- PasificaDreamingNZ
- Proudly Asian Theatre incorporated
- Pt Chev Sailing Club Royal NZ Plunket Trust
- Sailimalo Kilikiti Association APKA
- Sea Wolf Water Polo Club Inc
- Silo Theatre Trust
- Smarter Plumbing
- Springleigh Residents Association
- Squah Auckland
- SquareSums&Co.
- SSNZ
- St Lukes Environmental Protection Society Inc
- Stop the Pollution of Tamaki Makaurau Waterways & Marine Areas
- Urban Design Forum
- Youthtown
- YMCA Mt Albert

The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.



8. Feedback received through events

The Albert-Eden Local Board held two have your say events and one community event. Feedback was received from 67 people. Note it is possible that the same person could have attended both events and provided the same feedback, therefore would have had their comments recorded twice.

The events were held on:

- 6pm-8pm 12 March 2018 - Environment & Transport themed event at Garlick Room, YMCA Mt Albert Community and Leisure Centre, 773 New North Road, Mt Albert
- 6pm-8pm, 20 March 2018 - Mt Eden War, Mt Eden War Memorial Hall, 489 Dominion Road, Mt Eden

The following feedback was gathered from attendees on the Albert-Eden Local Board priorities:

- Thirteen people support, four partially support and one does not support providing funding to the community to identify, maintain and care for our **notable trees** in Albert-Eden.
- Sixteen people support and three do not support collaborating with neighbouring local boards and community groups to develop **joint management plans** for Te Auaunga-Oakley Creek and Waititiko-Meola Creek.
- Seventeen people support and two do not support assisting local businesses in our town centres to implement **sustainable practices**.
- Seventeen people support and one do not support investigating the creation of a **cycling and walking** connection between St Lukes and Greenlane train station.
- Two people would use and one would not use a **cycling and walking** connection between St Lukes and Greenlane train station.
- Ten people support a **multi-sport complex**, specifically looking at different site options.
- Four people support a replacement **aquatic facility** in the Albert-Eden area.

The following feedback was gathered from attendees on 10-year budget 2018-2028:

- Nineteen people support the **regional fuel tax**, eight people do not support, and three people made other comments.
- Five people support the **water quality targeted rate**, one person does not support and three people made other comments.
- Four people support Option B **environmental targeted rate** (\$47/year), three people support funding higher than Option A or Option B, and three people do not support an environmental targeted rate.
- One person does not support the **general rates increase**, or the targeted rates.

The following feedback was gathered from attendees on Auckland Plan 2050:

- Six people support the 'protecting and enhancing our **environment**' outcome, and two people made other comments.
- Six people support the '**moving** easily around Auckland' outcome.