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Albert-Eden

11 Local board decisions and input into the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, draft Auckland Plan 2050 and draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018

Resolution number AE/2018/1

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson G Fryer, seconded by Chairperson P Haynes:

That the Albert-Eden Local Board:

a) Receives the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

b) Notes that the following redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May 2018:
   i. Written feedback on the Albert-Eden local board priorities
   ii. Written feedback on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and
   iii. Draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Albert-Eden local board area.

c) Notes the unsatisfactory process that has required the board to provide feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 before all the public submissions were made available to the board.

CARRIED

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson G Fryer, seconded by Chairperson P Haynes:

d) Approves its advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement as follows:
   i.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continue to implement the</td>
<td>Advocate for funding to reconfigure the existing golf course and create a</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamberlain Park Masterplan</td>
<td>flat ‘sport park platform’ for the development of two sports fields.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The first stage of the master plan; construction of a neighbourhood park,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stream restoration and walking/cycling paths has secured funding and is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>progressing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   ii.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic facilities in Mt</td>
<td>Advocate for the continuing provision of aquatic facilities in the Mt Albert area to replace the Mt Albert Aquatic Centre, which is due for replacement in four to seven years.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor sport</td>
<td>Advocate for a replacement indoor multi-sport</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Secretarial Note: Under Standing Order 1.9.7 Member Rachel Langton, Lee Corrick and Ben Lee voted against Item 11 resolution d) i. and requested that their dissenting votes be recorded.

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson G Fryer, seconded by Chairperson P Haynes:

e) Recommends to the Governing Body that $168,600 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019.

f) Provides the following feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028:

i. Supports the proposal of setting a regional fuel tax of 10 cents per litre with the expectation that additional funding raised will be used towards public transport and active transport, noting that the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 65 per cent were fully in support of this proposal.

ii. Supports the proposal of setting a targeted rate for water quality to speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams from 30 years to 10 years, noting that the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 77 per cent were fully in support of this proposal.

iii. Supports the proposal of a natural environment targeted rate of on average $47 per year per residential ratepayer to adequately tackle kauri die-back disease and provide better protection for our native species and ecosystems, noting that the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 64 per cent in support of setting a targeted rate at the level of $21 or $47 per year per residential ratepayer for the natural environment, and 44 per cent in support of this being an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer.

iv. Notes that there was support from some members of the community for a higher average rate per year per resident ratepayer, in order to fully fund the draft Regional Pest Management Plan implementation.

v. Supports the proposal of an average general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years and then 3.5 per cent for years three to 10 to fund Auckland’s growth and to maintain adequate and appropriate arts, community, recreation and parks service levels, noting that the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 60 per cent fully in support of this proposal.

vi. Supports the proposal that online accommodation providers who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 72 per cent fully in support of this proposal.

vii. Supports the overall direction of the Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan.

viii. Supports the Mayor’s proposal to reinstate funding for the Auckland Art Gallery.
ix. Supports retaining the current level of public arts funding.

g) Provides the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

i. Supports Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 59 per cent in support of this outcome area.

ii. Supports Outcome area 2: Maori identity and wellbeing, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 56 per cent in support of this outcome area.

iii. Supports Outcome area 3: Homes and places, noting 43 per cent of the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was fully in support of this outcome area, and 42 per cent was partially in support.

iv. Supports Outcome area 4: Transport and access, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 53 per cent in support of this outcome area.

v. Supports Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 59 per cent in support of this outcome area.

vi. Supports Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was 57 per cent in support of this outcome area.

vii. Supports the proposed development strategy, noting 45 per cent of the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community was in support of this proposal, and 40 per cent was partially in support.

viii. Requests that the Auckland Plan 2050 include a new focus area Enhancing Our Quality of Life or similar which would include:

I. Specific reference to each of the four wellbeing: social, economic, environmental and cultural, and objectives which integrate the four wellbeings.

II. Explicit reference to built heritage that reflects the importance and value of built heritage to Aucklanders.

III. A greater focus on arts and culture with the core value of Auhaha (creativity, innovation) having a greater emphasis throughout the draft plan.

IV. A greater focus on sport and recreation and recognition of the key role this plays in the draft plan’s outcomes.

ix. Requests the following additions to the Auckland Plan 2050:

I. Clear short-term and long-term targets to measure the success of the plan including:

i) Progress towards zero net carbon emissions.

ii) Transport targets covering access to jobs, traffic congestion, mode share (use of public transport, walking and cycling), household transport costs and deaths and serious injuries (these cover measures 1 – 5).

iii) Swimmable beaches.

iv) Rates of home building.
II. A bolder approach towards protecting our significant natural landscapes and increasing our urban forest cover.

h) Provides the following feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 noting that the board had to provide its feedback to the hearings panel on Thursday, 3 May 2018, before considering submissions or formally resolving its position:

i. Strongly supports the targets, initiatives, and advocacy points in the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 which will lead to real progress in addressing the region’s growing waste problems, noting the strong support shown by the Albert-Eden community.

ii. Notes that the top two key themes received from the Albert-Eden community were reducing waste to landfill and carbon emissions, and reducing environmental and marine pollution.

iii. Supports expanding the waste minimisation efforts to include the 80 per cent of waste that comes from businesses and commercial activities, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community that 55 per cent strongly agree with this proposal.

iv. Supports working with businesses to try new approaches to reduce construction and demolition waste, plastics, and organic waste going to landfill, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community that 66 per cent strongly agree with this proposal.

v. Supports expanding the network of community recycling centres, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community that 64 per cent strongly agree with this proposal.

vi. Supports encouraging central government to introduce product stewardship schemes, noting the feedback received from the Albert-Eden community that 61 per cent strongly agree with this proposal.

vii. Notes the Albert-Eden Local Board consulted on a project to work with local businesses to implement sustainable practices as part of the 10-year Budget 2018-2028/Local Board Agreement 2018/2019 consultation period and received 1,409 responses, 79 per cent of which were in support.

viii. Supports the framework provided for in the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 for a recycling centre network across the Auckland region, noting that investment and resourcing is needed from Auckland Council to establish and run these facilities.

ix. Notes that the Albert-Eden Local Board is working with Puketāpapa and Wai tamata Local Boards to establish the central recycling centre at Western Springs to address the lack of any recycling facilities on the Auckland isthmus.

x. Supports the commitment to minimising waste to landfill and maximising recycling opportunities.

xi. Supports advocacy to central government for New Zealand-based recycling centres to process materials currently recycled offshore.

xii. Supports the food scraps collection scheme and request further investigation into whether local procurement is more effective.

xiii. Supports separated bins being available in public spaces which allow for rubbish and recycling to be disposed of separately.

i) Supports the overall intent and scope of the organisation’s draft Council-
Item 8

Controlled Organisations Accountability Policy draft policy subject to the following amendments:

i. Supports the requirement in section 1.1.1 that all parts of Auckland Council act in the interest of Auckland and support the expectation that council-controlled organisations and Auckland Council, including local boards, should have trust and confidence in each other and avoid actions that are perceived to undermine the Auckland Council family in public.

ii. Requests that council-controlled organisations are required to consult with local boards and ensure that any decision-making or input by local boards is given sufficient time to allow for genuine engagement.

iii. Requests a requirement in section 1.1.3 that council-controlled organisations must give effect to local board plans and, where relevant, town centre plans to ensure that local board priorities and projects are supported and integrated.

iv. Supports section 1.1.7 Understanding and Giving Effect to Auckland’s Shared Governance, and the recognition of local boards as an integral part of the shared governance of Auckland Council.

CARRIED
Devonport-Takapuna
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Resolution number DT/2018/2

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson G Wood, seconded by Member J McKenzie:

That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board:

a) receives the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

b) notes that the following redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May, until then the submissions are available on request by emailing akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

   i. submissions on the Devonport-Takapuna local board priorities

   ii. submissions on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Devonport-Takapuna local board area.

c) approves as its one local initiative securing the budget to address congestion issues on Lake Road as its key advocacy project to be included in the 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement.

d) recommends $286,000 of 2017/2018 locally driven initiative operating expenditure be deferred to 2018/2019 to the Governing Body for those projects as outlined in Attachment C to the agenda report.

e) provides the following feedback, on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regional topics, based on comments and feedback received by the community during consultation:

   i. supports the proposed average general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for years one and two and then 3.5 per cent for years three to ten;

   ii. supports the proposed regional fuel tax of 11.5 cents per litre (incl GST) be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services;

   iii. supports the proposed targeted rate of $66 per year to the average residential ratepayer to increase the funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years;

   iv. supports the proposed rates of $47 to the average residential ratepayer per year to invest more in environment initiatives;

   v. supports online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should be treated the same, and pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate;

   vi. supports the overall intent and scope of the Council Controlled Organisations Accountability Policy subject to the following amendments and considerations:

      1. endorses the requirement that all parts of council act in the interest of Auckland and supports the expectation that the Council Controlled Organisations and Council including local boards, should act in the interest of Auckland and its communities;
2. supports a clear statement of the obligation on Council Controlled Organisations to consult with local boards in the Accountability Policy and ensure that in any decision making or inputs by local boards is clearly defined and sufficient time is given for genuine engagement with local boards;
3. supports Council Controlled Organisations developing examples and clear methodologies that exhibit and deliver “best practice” in working with local boards and provides clear accountability in practice;
4. seeks clear statements in the Policy in section 1.1.3 to require that Council Controlled Organisations must give effect and be congnisant of Local Board plans and where relevant Area Plans to ensure that key local board priorities ad projects are supported and integrated;
5. acknowledges and support the expectation in section 1.1.4 to Improve Outcomes for Māori ensuring that both Mana Whenua and Mataawaka are part of the overall approach and essential to our relationship with Māori across the whole Council;
6. endorses section 1.1.7 Understanding and Giving Effect to Auckland’s Shared Governance and the recognition and acknowledgement of local boards as an integral part of the shared governance of Auckland Council.


viii. recommends that the three proposed targeted rates be reviewed as part of the next 10-year Budget refresh process to understand their effectiveness and benefit for communities in the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board area.

ix. recommends that a portion of the budget accumulated from the natural environment and water quality targeted rates be allocated to local boards, as they are responsible for local environmental initiatives and local stormwater projects.

f) provides the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

i. supports the overarching and strategic objectives outlined in the draft Auckland Plan 2050;

ii. supports the Belonging and Participation, Homes and Places, Transport and Access, Environment and Cultural Heritage objectives as a long-term strategy for managing Auckland’s growth and development over the next 30 years;

iii. considers that the Māori identity and Well-being and Opportunity and Prosperity outcomes are primarily the responsibility of Central Government, rather than Auckland Council. The local board suggest that council’s role for these outcomes areas are to advocate to Central Government for increased funding and services;

iv. recommends that adequate infrastructure, utilities and services are provided prior to the new developments being undertaken. The local
board also note that Auckland Council does have an advocacy role to bring together Central Government and the private sector to develop more own-your-own, affordable housing and rental accommodation for people who are not in a position to purchase a home;

v. notes concern with the ongoing displacement of people and the lack of affordable rental accommodation in the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board area;

vi. notes that while there has been progress to improve public transport, there is an ongoing need to continually improve the frequency, price and quality of public transport services;

vii. advocates for the ongoing integration and subsidisation of fares to ensure a consistent public transport network;

viii. advocates that the Bayswater Ferry Terminal be urgently prioritised to enhance the public transport network in the Devonport peninsular;

ix. notes concerns with the lack of cultural considerations in the built heritage section of the draft Auckland Plan 2050;

x. supports all measures to ensure heritage amenity and value of character suburbs and villages (e.g. Devonport) is retained and enhanced;

xi. suggest that new developments by guided (as strictly as possible) by the Auckland Design Manual;

xii. support the draft development strategy, however reiterates that adequate infrastructure needs to be developed provided prior to the new developments being undertaken.

xiii. recommends there be a greater focus on arts and culture activities in the Auckland Plan.

g) provides the following feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018:

i. supports the overarching and strategic objectives outlined in the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan;

ii. recommends that there be increased focus on expediting issues between central and local government, such as tip levies and container deposit levies.

CARRIED

Attachments

A Devonport-Takapuna Local Board feedback on the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority Draft Operational Plan 2018/2019
Memo
May 2018

To: Paul Majurey – Chair, Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority
All Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority members

Cc: Dominic Wilson – Head of Co-Governance
All Devonport-Takapuna Local Board members
Tristan Coulson – Senior Local Board Advisor, Devonport-Takapuna Local Board

Subject: Devonport-Takapuna Local Board feedback on the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority Draft Operational Plan 2018/2019

General
1. This memo details the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board’s (the local board) feedback on the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority (the Tūpuna Maunga Authority) Draft Operational Plan 2018/2019.
2. The local board is appreciative and welcomes the opportunity to provide feedback on the draft operational plan.

Feedback by section
Tūpuna Maunga work programme 2018-2028

3. Wairuatanga / Spiritual value, the local board:
   • note the work programme initiative to complete implementation of, and pedestrianisation of Takarunga / Mt. Victoria
   • support complete installation of generic signs at each Tūpuna Maunga and develop comprehensive and specific interpretation for each Tūpuna Maunga using signs, notice boards, visual aids, interactive displays, art etc that recognises and shares with visitors the significance of the Tūpuna Maunga and mana whenua’s relation to them.
   • support off-site interpretation/storing telling: develop technology and digital content to complement on-site interpretation.
   • suggests that the proposed education programmes be brought forward to years one – three (2018- 2020) and prioritised to ensure ongoing, increased awareness in the role and values of the Tūpuna Maunga Authority.
   • support settings that inspire reverence, aroha, respect and awareness of the Tūpuna Maunga as treasures through new and distinct entrance signs. The local board encourage the Tūpuna Maunga Authority to work with
groups located on the maunga, (e.g. The Depot) to deliver a unique and special sign on Takarunga / Mt. Victoria.

- request input and collaboration with the Tūpuna Maunga Authority on the identified plans and strategies, as the local board’s views and preferences can help develop robust documents that also reflect the local community.

- support the ongoing work to transfer Maungauika / North Head to be administered by the Tūpuna Maunga Authority, and recommends a collaborative process for community consultation and engagement once the transfer is finalised. In addition, the local board supports that the appropriate level of funding accompanies the transfer.

4. Mana Aotūroa / Cultural and Heritage value, the local board:
   - support greater on the ground Tūpuna Maunga Authority presence from year 3 onwards, and welcome opportunities to work alongside the local board to utilise their networks and relationships in the local community.
   - support sharing European and other histories and geological significance: develop interpretation that honours the multiple narratives, cultural meaning and connections felt and expressed among all people of Tāmaki Makaurau over the Tūpuna Maunga and the geological significance of the Tūpuna Maunga.
   - support neighbours and local communities: communicate and collaborate with neighbours and local communities to enhance the values of the Tūpuna Maunga, and welcome opportunities to work alongside the local board to utilise their networks and relationships in the local community.

5. Takotoranga / Landscape value, the local board:
   - request both local board and community engagement when determining new, or removing existing infrastructure.
   - the local board encourages the Tūpuna Maunga Authority to consider community-led approaches when undertaking pest and weed control initiatives.
   - support proposed volunteering programme to create opportunities for people to contribute to the wellbeing of the Tūpuna Maunga.
   - the local board chemical-free approaches to pest and weed management on the Tūpuna Maunga.

6. Mana Whai a Rehia / Recreational value, the local board:
   - support the track network development, and request that where possible, they align to and enhance local board greenway plans that have been developed.
   - support the development of the way finding programme.

Funding and Revenue

7. The local board support the proposed level of operational and capital funding to ensure the appropriate conservation and protection of the
Tūpuna Maunga.

Takarunga / Mt. Victoria

8. The following table provides the local board response to the proposed projects scheduled for Takarunga / Mt. Victoria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital or operational expenditure programme years one to three</th>
<th>Local board response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interpretation / storytelling</strong></td>
<td>The local board welcomes the opportunity to work collaboratively with the Tūpuna Maunga Authority and the three business improvement districts, through their ‘Shore Coast Tourism’ initiative to provide cultural and economic development opportunities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital or operational expenditure programme years one to three</th>
<th>Local board response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protection and restoration of tihi</strong></td>
<td>The local board requests that they and the local community are kept informed of this project as it develops. The local board support the reservoir remaining at the Takarunga summit as it currently acts a key piece of infrastructure for the local community, and would be a key water supply for the Devonport area in the event of a civil defence emergency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repurpose or remove redundant parking and reinstate and minimise impact of remaining infrastructure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital or operational expenditure programme years one to three</th>
<th>Local board response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ancillary visitor infrastructure</strong></td>
<td>The local board request clarification on the need for a toilet block on the Takarunga, as there are a number of public toilets (at Devonport Library, the ferry terminal and Windsor Reserve) located in the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop toilets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital or operational expenditure programme years one to three</th>
<th>Local board response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Track network</strong></td>
<td>The local board support this project and request it be align to and enhance the local board greenway plans that has been developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop track network to improve access and protect values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital or operational expenditure programme years one to three</th>
<th>Local board response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Way-finding</strong></td>
<td>The local board support this project and welcomes the opportunity on how this can be considered in conjunction with the Shore Tourism initiative (as outlined above).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete installation of main entrance and secondary destination signs and progressively implement additional way-finding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital or operational expenditure programme years one to three</th>
<th>Local board response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signage</strong></td>
<td>The local board support this proposed project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repairs and/or the replacement of signs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Franklin
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Resolution number FR/2018/3

MOVED by Member A Cole, seconded by Chairperson A Fulljames:

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receives the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report;

b) receives the submissions on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and the draft Auckland Plan 2050 as tabled at the meeting;

c) approves its advocacy initiatives, including the key advocacy project, for inclusion as an appendix to the Franklin 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement, as detailed in the tabled attached document entitled “Franklin Local Board Key Advocacy Issues 2018/2019” and summarised at points i) to vi) below:

i) key advocacy project – up to $30 million for Karaka Sports Park improvement and enhancement

ii) funding growth – provision of funding to ensure the projects and initiatives supporting growth can be implemented

iii) coastal erosion – expediting the development of coastal compartment management plans and making regional funding available for their implementation

iv) fit for purpose and safe roads – implementation of recommendations in the Self-Explaining Rural Roads project across Franklin

v) local paths and trails – regional investment to implement the network of walking and cycling paths being developed locally, including the utilisation of Auckland Paths regional branding.

vi) asset optimisation – a clear definition of ‘strategic assets’ and the scope of local asset optimisation programmes;

d) notes that there is no 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred;

10-year Budget 2018-2028

e) supports the proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, subject to the following feedback:

i) the regional fuel tax should be an interim measure, to be replaced by a more equitable user pays mechanism, and support part of the funding received from the fuel tax being used to initiate the infrastructure for a congestion charging/road tolling network;

ii) there should be a clear commitment to deliver transport projects that will address the needs of all those contributing to the regional fuel tax fund, particularly resolving issues which affect rural residents and heavy transport operators;

iii) the introduction of a water quality targeted rate is supported, provided it is demonstrated that a portion of the funding generated will be used for local projects such as the Wairoa River Action Plan;
iv) the introduction of a natural environment targeted rate is supported, provided it is demonstrated that a portion of the funding will be used to support local land care groups, community led initiatives and for key projects such as the prevention of Kauri Dieback in the Hunua Ranges;

v) the natural environment and water quality targeted rate should be a fixed rate rather than a variable rate set on capital value.

vi) the local board do not support Land Advisory Services introducing a new charging regime for council-owned land owner approval applications unless it excludes community groups and community organisations;

vii) Supports the overall intent and scope of the Council Controlled Organisations Accountability Policy subject to the following amendments and considerations:

1) Endorses the requirement that all parts of council act in the interest of Auckland and supports the expectation that the Council Controlled Organisations and Council including local boards, should have trust and confidence in each other and avoid actions that are perceived to undermine either party in public.

2) Supports a clear statement of the obligation on Council Controlled Organisations to consult with local boards in the Accountability Policy and ensure that in any decision making or inputs by local boards is clearly defined and sufficient time is given for genuine engagement with local boards.

3) Seeks clear statements in the Policy in section 1.1.3 to require that Council Controlled Organisations must give effect and be cognisant of Local Board plans and where relevant Area Plans to ensure that key local board priorities and projects are supported and integrated.

**Draft Auckland Plan 2050**

f) supports the proposals in the Draft Auckland Plan 2050, subject to the following feedback:

i) belonging and participation – this outcome should be focused on enhancing quality of life and should incorporate arts and culture, sport and recreation, healthy lifestyles, community wellbeing and the provision of community facilities;

ii) homes and places – a mix of house sizes should be sought, to meet a range of social needs;

iii) transport and access – this should recognise the limited transport choices available in rural areas and the cumulative impact of the freight movements associated with quarries and other rural businesses;

iv) environment and cultural heritage – should more strongly recognise that we are a multi-cultural society;

v) opportunity and prosperity – more employment choice should be provided locally as part of urban growth, to reduce the need to travel longer distances, and incentives should be offered to large scale employers to locate in the growth areas;

vi) development strategy – the local board supports the proposal from the Rural Advisory Panel that the last sentence on page 27 of the overview document should be amended to read “To ensure that rural production can continue and develop…” because sustainable growth in rural production and
processing facilities is vital for the future economic wellbeing of Franklin communities;

vii) development strategy – there should be measures put in place to manage the cumulative impact of development, rather than dealing with proposals on an individual basis;

Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan

g) supports the proposals in the Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan on the basis that this aligns with Franklin Local Board Plan outcomes 1,4 and 5 and is supported by Franklin residents, subject to the following feedback:

i) targets for reduction of litter, graffiti and illegal dumping in public places should be reintroduced and reported at local board level to ensure this remains a priority for Auckland Council;

ii) behavioural change initiatives such as the “Be a Tidy Kiwi” campaign should be leveraged to complement improvements in service and facility strategies to instil a long-term sense of stewardship;

iii) Where possible support should be provided to communities, including business communities, including farmers and developers to allow them to design and deliver their own waste reduction and diversion initiatives or programmes.

CARRIED

Attachments

A  Franklin Local Board Advocacy List 2018
B  Link to Franklin Local Board LTP Submissions documents

Attachment A

Franklin Local Board Key Advocacy Issues 2018/2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Local Initiative: Karaka Sports Park</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board is seeking funding of up to $30 million for developing the Karaka Sports Park as a multi-sport and community hub, including upgrades to the playing fields, replacing buildings, and new cycling and walking routes. The Karaka Sports Park Trust is confident that with a clear council commitment in place, it can attract a significant amount of external funding. Feedback on the local priorities in the 10-year budget was strongly supportive of this proposal, with 66% of submitters supporting and a further 14% partially supporting.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding growth</td>
<td>Franklin communities are experiencing rapid growth. It is important that growth in Franklin is well-planned and funding is provided for the necessary infrastructure. There is currently a perception that the benefits of development contributions are not directly seen in areas they are collected.</td>
<td>Governing Body Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Advocating to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To help plan for growth, the board is refreshing its Sport and Active Recreation Facilities Plan, which will help inform decisions on the future provision of sporting facilities in Franklin. This will guide where growth funding is required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To fund future transport infrastructure, user-pays funding methods are supported. They are more equitable for rural residents and businesses, ensuring that the burden of funding the transport programme falls more with frequent users of major roads. The introduction of tolls for major road users in the Auckland region is also supported. Tolling encourages car-pooling, fuel saving and travelling at off peak times which helps ease congestion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As an area of high growth (particularly in greenfield areas), it is important that Franklin receives sufficient growth funding to ensure the projects and initiatives supporting growth can be implemented. A regional planning programme is required to enable growth to be well-planned across Franklin, particularly for Beachlands, Waiuku and Drury.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal erosion</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board does not have the ability to address the erosion issues on our large coastlines to the west and east effectively. Management of coastal erosion should be dealt with on a regional basis, rather than individually by local boards. Regional funding would ensure that erosion issues are dealt with in a consistent and timely way across the region.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit for purpose and safe roads</td>
<td>Increased levels of traffic caused by growth require some rural roads to deal with higher volumes of traffic than originally intended. Routes used by heavy industry, particularly quarry trucks, need to be improved and made safer for all users. The impact that projected future growth will have with regards to the increased usage of rural roads also needs to be considered.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implementation of recommendations in the Self Explaining Rural Roads (SERR) project across Franklin should be given priority by Auckland Transport to ensure safety issues are addressed and roads are safe for all forms of transport.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local paths and</td>
<td>Many local boards have now developed plans for a network of walking and cycling paths in their area.</td>
<td>Governing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Advocating to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trails</td>
<td>Investment is required to implement the paths across the region. Paths are not solely for the use of those living in a particular local board area; they are a regional network and need to be considered as such. Regional partnerships with external organisations, such as the Walking Access Commission, local businesses and service clubs would help facilitate the development of the paths network. It would also help to ensure that links to national initiatives, such as the Te Araroa Walkway, are made. The development of the ‘Paths’ Brand can be the umbrella brand for all local paths and needs to be accessible for Local Boards to incorporate into marketing &amp; communication plans.</td>
<td>Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset optimisation</td>
<td>The scope of the optimisation programme should include non-service’ assets and there should be meaningful oversight of the process for declaring assets ‘non-service’. There should be a clearer definition of ‘strategic assets’ to enable local boards to effectively discharge their allocated decision-making on asset disposals and acquisitions. If there is a sound business case to tag a sale to a development, regardless if ‘service’ or not, there should be scope to undertake initiatives that meet a demand.</td>
<td>Governing Body Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Great Barrier
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Resolution number GBI/2018/4

MOVED by Chairperson I Fordham, seconded by Deputy Chairperson L Coles:
The chairperson put the motion in parts.

That the Great Barrier Local Board:
a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

CARRIED

Resolution number GBI/2018/5

MOVED by Member S Daly, seconded by Deputy Chairperson L Coles:

That the Great Barrier Local Board:
approve the following advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement:

1. One Local Initiative to upgrade the service centre and local board office at Claris with an alternative energy system with electric vehicle chargers.

2. Retain the Capital Grants fund which provides assistance to community groups to maintain and enhance their community facilities without the need for council facilities to be developed.

3. Retain the current Local Improvements Projects funding which is essential for delivery of Aotea Great Barrier Local Board Plan outcomes and initiatives to future-proof our infrastructure and increase economic viability through the visitor industry.

4. Request for staffing resource to support planning for Climate Change Mitigation such as managed retreat for coastal roads, infrastructure and the potential use of paper road network.

5. Request for Auckland Council to provide departmental support, in accordance with Auckland Plan outcome 6: Opportunity and prosperity, for technology projects that support local economic development and central government advocacy.

CARRIED

Resolution number GBI/2018/6

MOVED by Chairperson I Fordham, seconded by Member S Daly:

That the Great Barrier Local Board:
recommend $50,000 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 to the Governing Body.

CARRIED

Resolution number GBI/2018/7
MOVED by Chairperson I Fordham, seconded by Deputy Chairperson L Coles:

That the Great Barrier Local Board:
provide the following feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028:

1. Seek exemption for Aotea Great Barrier Island from the Regional Fuel Tax of 10 cents per litre (plus GST) due to the following reasons:
   a. Aotea Great Barrier is a remote island with approximately 900 residents, 90kms from Auckland, accessible only by plane and boat and has no public transport. The residents, business and visitors on the island rely solely on private transportation.
   b. Fuel prices are already highest in New Zealand at $3.11 per litre and the lowest socio-economic sector in the Auckland region.
   c. Aotea Great Barrier is off the grid and does not have reticulated power and water. Many houses use diesel and petrol generators as both the sole energy source and back up to alternate systems.
   d. Aotea Great Barrier has a number of houses that are only accessible by boat, with no other means of transport.

2. Support the proposed water quality targeted rate to speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams and note that Aotea Great Barrier Island is off the grid and support some of this funding going towards septic systems and riparian planting/fencing.

3. Support Option B – a targeted rate of an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer and also note:
   a. Appreciation of the support Aotea Great Barrier Island has received in the Regional Pest Management Plan
   b. Disappointment in the lack of co-funding from Department of Conservation in the Kauri Dieback initiative since it should be a central government project
   c. Linkage to Seachange Tai Timu Tai Pari where possible.

4. Support the proposed general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years and 3.5 per cent for years three to 10 and requests there be a further focus on transparency within council.

5. Support the online accommodation providers rate.

CARRIED

Resolution number GBI/2018/8

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson L Coles, seconded by Member S Daly:

That the Great Barrier Local Board:

a) provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

1. Support the homes and places outcomes focusing on affordable housing as it is difficult to secure affordable housing to purchase or rent on island.

2. Strongly suggest that environment, cultural heritage, and arts and culture should each have its own outcome area.

3. Support the opportunity and prosperity outcome as the Aotea Great Barrier Local Board Plan 2017 has an initiative to develop a life-long
learning strategy that coordinates learning opportunities and attracts investment with a platform for local economic development.

4. Support the opportunity and prosperity outcome especially focusing on any assistance for technology advancement.

CARRIED

Resolution number GBl/2018/9

MOVED by Member S Daly, seconded by Chairperson I Fordham:

That the Great Barrier Local Board:
endorse the attached feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 that was presented at the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan Hearings on Wednesday, 3 May 2018.

CARRIED

Resolution number GBl/2018/10

MOVED by Chairperson I Fordham, seconded by Member S Daly:

That the Great Barrier Local Board:
thank Jacqui Fyers – Local Board Advisor for all her work on the board’s feedback on the advocacy initiatives including its key advocacy project, the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, draft Auckland Plan 2050 and the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018.

CARRIED

Attachments
A Great Barrier - Waste Hearings presentation
B Submission to the hearings panel by Aotea Great Barrier Local Board
Henderson-Massey
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Resolution number HM/2018/11

MOVED by Member B Brady, seconded by Member M Grey:

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report

b) approve the following advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A pool in the north west</td>
<td>Continue advocating for prioritising construction of a pool for the north west area, including the purchase of land to prepare for the pool and future sports fields and local community facilities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Develop the “Western Initiative” | Advocating to support resourcing and development of the proposal from the Southern Initiative:  
- To establish a place-based initiative and team in west Auckland to stimulate and enable community and social innovation in response to the number of social and economic challenges west Auckland faces.  
Noting the strong alignment between the local board plan and ongoing advocacy by the Henderson-Massey local board members and ward councillors for a joined up project to respond to the need of their community. |
| Revitalising Henderson metropolitan centre | Advocating to build on investment in Henderson, particularly as significant change will occur after the delivery of the Unlock Henderson project along with proposed transport projects are completed and more people are attracted to live in Henderson. |
| Funding for Te Whau pathway project | Advocate for funding for the Te Whau pathway to be allocated in the Auckland Transport capital programme as part of the adopted Regional Land Transport Programme and for council continue to support and resource the project. |
| Northwest dedicated rapid transit corridor to service rapidly growing population | Advocate for construction to start immediately on the proposed northwest dedicated rapid transit corridor including light rail through to Kumeu and further staged through to Helensville. |

c) recommend $114,000 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 to the Governing Body (as below).

- Henderson Urban eco centre - $40,000
- Maori enterprise - $5,000
- Youth connections - $9,000
- Te Atatu South Park  - $15,000
- Te Rangi Hiroa nursery site  - $20,000
- Te Atatu waka ama strategic assessment  - $25,000

d) notes that the following redacted submissions are made available through the Auckland Council website

e) provides the following feedback on the Auckland Council 10-year Budget 2018-2028.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Issue / Plan</th>
<th>Henderson-Massey Local Board Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment - Water Quality Targeted Rate</td>
<td>Henderson-Massey Local Board supports the targeted rate option for water quality. Notes that there is significant regional support for an increase in funding to the Natural Environment area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Environment – Natural Environment Targeted Rate</td>
<td>Henderson-Massey Local Board supports option B of $47 per residential property and $219 per business property, providing for ecosystem and species protection including addressing kauri dieback disease. Notes that there is significant regional support for an increase in funding to the Natural Environment area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rates and Charges – proposed rates increase</td>
<td>Henderson-Massey Local Board supports the 2.5% rates increase conditional on the implementation of the regional fuel tax. Without the fuel tax, the rate increase would need to be substantially higher to meet Auckland’s needs. The board note that although targeted rates may provide clarity towards the ratepayer with regards to how the rates are being spent it may be difficult for the public to appreciate the cumulative impact of rates increases given the number of targeted rates proposed in this Long-term Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rates and Charges – Accommodation provider Targeted Rate for online accommodation providers</td>
<td>Henderson-Massey Local Board supports the implementation of accommodation provider target rate for online accommodation providers. Noting that it may be difficult to identify individual providers and may contribute to avoidance behaviours for property owners who do not intend to operate in a fully commercial capacity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

f) provides feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050.
## Auckland Plan 2050

### General comments
Henderson-Massey Local Board notes that the local board has previously provided feedback to support drafting of the Auckland Plan 2050.

Notes that the outcomes and objectives in the Henderson-Massey Local Board plan strongly align to the Auckland Plan outcomes, particularly ‘Belonging and participation’.

The board is supportive of the intent of the outcomes overall, with some specific comments below:

### Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation
Commentary is missing on the specific contribution of arts and culture.

These activities should be specifically referenced in the Auckland Plan as they play a substantial role in connecting communities and contributing to the overall health and wellbeing of societies.

### Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing
The special relationship with Māori as tangata whenua should be recognised throughout the Auckland Plan.

There does not seem to be any recognition of the difference between mana whenua and mataawaka in the Auckland Plan. Suggests this be included.

### Outcome area 3: Homes and places
Pleased to see that the built quality of existing dwellings, including rentals, will be improved and that the investment and support for Māori is a specific focus area.

Commentary is missing on the role of sport and how that will be affected by the pressures of a growing city. Providing space for sport in the public realm will be an ongoing challenge and local sports groups in the Henderson-Massey area have indicated concern about this lack in the Auckland Plan.

### Outcome area 4: Transport and access
There is strong alignment in the Henderson-Massey Local Board plan with this outcome area.

The significant growth challenges in the Northwest mean that a focus on integrated transport solutions is vital.

The board is pleased that the importance of environmental protection and reducing greenhouse gas emissions is highlighted.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage</th>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity</td>
<td>Notes that local boards have limited means to directly influence local economic prosperity and a strong future focused approach in this outcome is welcomed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development strategy</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

g) provides the following feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018.

| Waste from businesses and commercial activities | Support proposal. |
| Working with businesses on approaches to reduce waste | Support proposal. |
| Community recycling centres | Support proposal. |
| Container deposit scheme | Support proposal. |
| Hauraki Gulf Islands waste management | The local board area is outside of proposed targeted zone. |

**CARRIED**
Resolution number HM/2018/12

MOVED by Chairperson S Henderson, seconded by Member B Brady:

That the Henderson-Massey Local Board:

h) provides the following feedback on the regional fuel tax proposal in Auckland Council’s 10-year Budget 2018-2028.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional Fuel Tax (for 15 May meeting)</th>
<th>The Henderson-Massey Local Board supports implementation of a regional fuel tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>However, the local board notes that the immediate consequences could include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• A greater cost burden on the local working population who have to commute to other Auckland areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the proposed fuel tax hitting our lower socio-economic communities the hardest and being another barrier to employment and education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• given this, measures need to be investigated to reduce these potential impacts on our lower socio-economic communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The local board would like to see local prioritisation of public transport and safety projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED

Secretarial note: Member Peter Chan and Member Vanessa Neeson voted against resolution (h) and requested for their vote to be recorded.
Hibiscus and Bays
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Resolution number HB/2018/13

MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member V Watson:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

a) Receives the consultation feedback summary on the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board priorities for 2018/2019 (Attachment A).

b) Approves its advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement (Attachment B) as follows:

i) seeking $18 million capital funding for implementation of the Orewa Beach Esplanade Enhancement Project (OBEEP) using a phased approach.

ii) to deliver Penlink earlier, i.e. in the first five years in the ten year plan.

iii) to realign East Coast Road at the intersections with Lonely Track Road and Glenvar Road immediately following the completion of Glenvar Ridge Road and the upgrade of Glenvar Road.

iv) to prioritise the investigation of equitable regional operational funding for community houses, youth and arts centres.

c) Recommends to the Governing Body that $218,000 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 (Attachment C).

d) Receives the consultation feedback summary on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations that submitted on the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area (Attachment A).

e) Receives the consultation feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 (Attachment D).

f) Provides feedback and local board views on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 (Attachment E) as follows:

1. Transport – Regional Fuel Tax:

i) Supports a regional fuel tax because it is more efficient and cheaper to implement than any other proposals. The local board believe that the proceeds of the fuel tax must be equitable and fund projects across the Auckland region so that our residents can see improvements at the local level.

ii) Supports a further source of funding for transport and other infrastructure requirements as an investment or private partnership model, including Crown Infrastructure Partners. The local board supports Penlink as one of the projects identified in the Auckland Transport Alignment Project report that recognises the accelerated infrastructure to support and address growth within the Hibiscus Coast, Silverdale and Wainui areas.

iii) Supports a regional fuel tax in that it will provide more funding to ensure local projects can be achieved and ensure the Auckland Transport Alignment Project proposal projects are delivered.
iv) Supports the development of criteria to ensure projects will be delivered locally across the Auckland region to ensure that it does not all go to ‘approved priority’ areas.

v) Expresses concern that there was no list of priorities/projects that were consulted on as part of the 10-year Budget consultation material for the community to review and comment on.

vi) Notes that written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on regional proposals as part of the 10-year Budget indicated that 44% support, 49% do not support the regional fuel tax proposal and 8% indicated ‘other’.

vii) Expresses concerns that consultation on the regional fuel tax was done prior to the government’s announcement on their fuel tax proposals and this may have changed the views of the community on the council’s regional fuel tax proposals.

2. Natural Environment – Water Quality Targeted Rate:
   i) The Hibiscus and Bays Local Board support the proposal to accelerate the water quality work programme over 10 years, using a targeted rate based on capital value.
   
   ii) Expresses concern as both Hibiscus Coast and East Coast Bays currently have stormwater outfall pipes discharging directly to beaches which is not acceptable and needs to be rectified. (The local board values our beaches and streams and our natural environment and believe that programmes are required to maintain these assets to ensure they are enjoyed now and by future generations).
   
   iii) Acknowledges that feedback on the 10-year Budget clearly showed that our people value the water quality and receiving environment and that they support a targeted rate.
   
   iv) Supports improved regulation and investment for stormwater intervention projects that are enforced by sedimentation rules to ensure our environment is protected.
   
   v) Supports the safe swim real time data for monitoring but want to see more testing sites across the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area.
   
   vi) Acknowledges that a targeted rate needs to be targeted to the areas that will benefit the most but requests that a portion of funding is made available for local projects so that our residents can see improvements.
   
   vii) Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the 10-year Budget on regional proposals showed that 65% support, 26% do not support the water quality programme targeted rate proposal and 8% indicated ‘other’.

3. Natural Environment Targeted rate:
   i) The Hibiscus and Bays Local Board supports Option B – a targeted rate of an average $47 per residential ratepayer proposal to invest more in environmental initiatives.
   
   ii) Supports the pest free projects in our local board area being financially supported including areas where Kauri dieback is happening like the Okura Reserve, which is one of the last known Kauri coastal areas in the region.
i) The local board would like to see extensive boardwalks along access ways in the Okura Reserve.

ii) Supports keeping our estuaries as pristine as possible and providing funding for the approved Orewa and Okura Estuary Restoration plans.

iii) Supports stronger monitoring and enforcement through improved regulation to protect our estuaries and to ensure that they are well maintained and not choked with sedimentation.

iv) Supports and encourages volunteer groups to help improve our natural environment and water quality in a timely and cost effective way, as volunteers are effective in these roles.

v) Expresses concern that the highest funding option does not clearly state how it will fund volunteer programmes that are achieving the same objectives as the council programme in a more cost effective manner.

vi) Written submissions from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the 10-year Budget on regional proposals showed that 25% support Option A, 30% support Option B, 30% indicated they do not support investing more in environmental initiatives thorough a targeted rate and 15% indicated ‘other’.

vii) Seeks more information about how and where the reprioritisation of funding will occur.

4. Rates and Charges – proposed rates increase:

i) The Hibiscus and Bays Local Board supports a general rate increase of 2.5% for the first two years and then 3.5% for years three to ten.

ii) Notes that the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area has a high percentage of people on low incomes within the local board area that would be more impacted by increasing rates.

iii) Reiterates feedback from Hibiscus and Bays Local Board residents that they would like to see more of their rates being spent locally and wish to have a greater say on how their money is spent locally.

iv) Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the 10-year Budget on regional proposals showed that 44% support a 2.5% rate increase for the first two years and then 3.5% for years three to ten, 45% do not support and 11% indicated ‘other’.

5. Rates and Charges – Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate for online accommodation providers:

i) The Hibiscus and Bays Local Board supports council introducing a new targeted rate on property used for commercial accommodation providers.

ii) Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the 10-year Budget on regional proposals showed that 66% support a new targeted rate on property used for commercial accommodation, 27% do not support and 7% indicated ‘other’.

6. Other budget information:
i) The Hibiscus and Bays Local Board expresses concern that Auckland Council are selling strategic assets and would like a clear definition of ‘strategic assets’ and request council ensure that local boards are part of the decision-making process for proposed disposals that affect the local board area.

ii) The local board do not support Land Advisory Services introducing a new charging regime for council-owned land owner approval applications unless it excludes community groups and community organisations.

iii) The local board is concerned that Regional Facilities Auckland is charging groups e.g. Harbour Sport, at commercial rental when previously they only charged $1 peppercorn rental. The local board has a concern that this extra charge will then come at the cost of the programme and that council will have to pick up the charges/shortfall.

7. Council Controlled Organisation (CCO) Accountability Policy review:
   The local board supports the overall intent and scope of the Council Controlled Organisations Accountability Policy subject to the following amendments and considerations:
   
   i) Endorses the requirement that all parts of council act in the interest of Auckland and supports the expectation that the Council Controlled Organisations and council, including local boards, should have trust and confidence in each other and avoid actions that are perceived to undermine either party in public.

   ii) Supports inclusion of a clear statement of the obligation on Council Controlled Organisations to consult with local boards in the Accountability Policy and ensure that in any decision-making or inputs by local boards is clearly defined and sufficient time is given for genuine engagement with local boards.

   iii) Supports Council Controlled Organisations developing examples and clear methodologies that exhibit and deliver “best practice” in working with local boards and provides clear accountability in practice.

   iv) Seeks clear statements in the Accountability Policy in section 1.1.3 to require that Council Controlled Organisations must give effect and be cognisant of Local Board Plans and where relevant Area Plans to ensure that key local board priorities and projects are supported and integrated.

   v) Acknowledge and support the expectation in section 1.1.4 to Improve Outcomes for Māori ensuring that both Mana Whenua and Mataawaka are part of the overall approach and essential to our relationship with Māori across the whole council.

   vi) Endorses section 1.1.7 Understanding and Giving Effect to Auckland’s Shared Governance and the recognition and acknowledgement of local boards as an integral part of the shared governance of Auckland Council.

   **CARRIED**
Resolution number HB/2018/14
MOVED by Chairperson J Parfitt, seconded by Member G Holmes:

That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board:

g) provides feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 (Attachment F) as follows:

1. Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation:
   i) Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 45% support, 34% partially support and 21% do not support an inclusive Auckland.
   ii) Expresses concern that although belonging is linked with well-being, wellness seems to have been overlooked.
   iii) Expresses concern that to improve living standards for all Aucklanders by reducing disparities in opportunities will require intervention from central government to make this a reality. It would be difficult if not impossible for council to deliver this on its own. There are issues within affluent areas as well.
   iv) Expresses concern that immigration is not dealt with and new migrants need to be able to integrate into their chosen areas. Council needs to provide programmes that celebrate diversity and inclusion.
   v) Expresses concern that the ‘Focus investment to address disparities and serve communities of greatest need’ does not seem to acknowledge growth and that investment needs to accommodate growth requirements in poorer areas as well as those areas experiencing substantial growth.
   vi) Performance measures are too reliant on perception measures and require some data-based measures as well which can be from sources outside council, e.g. Ministry of Health/regional health boards, regional sporting bodies.

2. Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing:
   i) Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 37% support, 28% partially support and 35% do not support advancing Māori well-being.

3. Outcome area 3: Homes and places:
   i) Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 34% support, 40% partially support and 26% partially support affordable homes.
   ii) The local board acknowledges that ‘affordable homes’ must be included and also demonstrated to our community as being achievable. Safe and healthy homes are important as many existing homes are not built for the environment, e.g. leaky homes and insufficient insulation.
   iii) Supports increasing security of tenure and broaden the range of tenure models, particularly for those most in need. The Hibiscus and
Bays Local Board would like to see central government take more of a proactive role in the focus area.

v) Supports including access to schools and jobs within this focus.

4. Outcome area 4: Transport and access:
   i) Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 45% support, 36% partially support and 19% do not support moving easily around Auckland.
   ii) Supports the transport and access outcome but would like to see more recognition of current growth that has exceeded council’s expectations and how this will be managed.
   iii) Supports a second harbour crossing sooner rather than later to enable rail for North Shore given that we are already exceeding bus patronage numbers now that were anticipated to be met a decade later.
   iv) Supports better use of transport networks and providing opportunities for travel including providing more ferry services from Gulf Harbour including a weekend ferry service trial.

5. Outcome area 5: Environment and Heritage:
   i) Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 54% support, 33% partially support and 13% do not support protecting and enhancing our environment.
   ii) Suggests the outcome needs to provide more information about protection of coastal environments.
   iii) Suggests that cultural values should not just embrace to aotearoa Māori values, they should also recognise that people come from different cultures and we must respect those cultures and learn about them too.

6. Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity:
   i) Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 45% support, 36% partially support and 19% do not support equipping people for future jobs.
   ii) Suggests that living and working locally is an important factor to include. Aucklanders need the security of finding full-time quality employment.
   iii) Suggests the need to protect industrial areas to allow for growth and employment opportunities, e.g. Silverdale area.
   iv) Suggests the need to include developing young people who can adapt to change and be resilient.
   v) Suggests that as more technology will eventually reduce jobs for many, people need alternatives and manual trade skills will still be required.

7. Development Strategy:
   i) Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local
Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 39% support, 38% partially support and 23% do not support shaping our growth.

ii) Open space protection needs to be included as ecological corridors and parks seem to be missing.

iii) Coastal protection needs to be included as a priority.

iv) Environmental protection needs to be included as a priority.

v) Business land needs to be in the right locations to support and match residential growth (and employment).

vi) There is a lack of focus on the Silverdale area and its business-zoned land.

vii) Relocation of the port needs to be included and considered as it will have wide-ranging implications.

viii) Refers to a quality compact model but provides no view of this.

ix) Concerns as to how to ensure the development strategy is flexible to change when indicated or required as it needs to be far more of a living document to keep up and adapt more easily to change.

x) Concern that decisions made by Council Controlled Organisations such as Auckland Transport and Panuku Development Auckland should be consistent with council’s Auckland Plan. This does not seem to have been achieved to date. All agencies of council need to be bound by and deliver the strategic directions in the Auckland Plan, area plans and local board plans.

h) provides local board views feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan (WMMP) 2018 (Attachment G) as follows:

i) Endorses reducing environmental and marine waste and reducing waste to landfill and carbon emissions as the top priorities for the WMMP.

ii) Supports delivering value for money for the ratepayers and Auckland in the delivery of waste management and waste minimisation solutions.

iii) Supports the proposal to work closer with and expand waste minimisation practices from businesses and commercial activities across Auckland.

iv) Supports increased encouragement of Central Government to ban plastic bags.

v) Supports the Governing Body promoting more innovation from council controlled organisations to trial new products from recyclable materials, such as alternative roading materials.

vi) Strongly supports the establishment and development of community recycling centres across Auckland and endorses partnerships between local boards to develop new centres across Auckland.

vii) Supports product stewardship schemes being encouraged and developed across Auckland and the rest of New Zealand.

viii) Expresses its concerns of the high costs of delivering waste minimisation and waste management practices at local events and seeks support to reduce the costs and make waste management and waste minimisation a requirement at all Auckland Council events.

ix) Seeks additional engagement on the Tikapa-Moana Hauraki Gulf Islands
Draft Waste Plan as the vision and plan is not well understood and received less support as a result.

x) Requests that the food waste collection strategy be reviewed to provide for a user-pays approach (tag or ticket system) rather than being subsidised by all ratepayers as currently proposed.

xi) Requests that the roll-out of the food waste collection strategy proposed to be rolled out in the 2018/2019 financial year in the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area be extended to include the Hibiscus Coast subdivision as well as the East Coast Bays subdivision.

CARRIED
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## Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Advocacy Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identified as One Local Initiative (OLI): Implementation of the Orewa Beach Esplanade Enhancement Project (OBEEP)</td>
<td>The local board is seeking capital funding of $18m to be allocated to two phases of coastal protection work. Orewa beach, reserve areas and walkways are at significant threat of being lost to sea erosion forces. The first phase is for the construction of 640m of hybrid seawall at northern end in the period FY 2020 - 2022. The second phase is to undertake further protection work over a 670m section at the southern end of the beach over FY 2022 – 2026.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliver Penlink earlier</td>
<td>Auckland Transport (AT) has completed the route designation and has all the necessary resource consents to progress the Penlink project. Penlink is a 7km long road to link the Whangaparaoa Peninsula to State Highway 1 at Redvale. The four-lane toll road, which includes facilities for cyclists and pedestrians, will result in 12-18 minutes faster journey for drivers who use the road. Penlink will provide transport options for an area which currently only has one way in and out. Penlink will unlock the economic advantages of the wider area; this is a vital project. Penlink has been included in the Auckland Transport Alignment Project (ATAP). The local board is seeking that Penlink is delivered in the first half of the decade.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realignment of the East Coast Road/Lonely Track/ Glenvar Roads intersection</td>
<td>On East Coast Road the Glenvar/Lonely Track Intersection requires realignment to improve safety immediately following the construction of Glenvar Ridge Road.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To prioritise the investigation of equitable operational funding for community houses, youth and arts centres</td>
<td>To confirm base level funding across Auckland for operation support for community houses, youth and art centres as well as recognition of the contribution that community-owned assets make across the council’s network of community facilities (e.g. Hibiscus Coast Youth Centre and Hibiscus Coast Community House).</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Feedback on Regional Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Issue / Plan</th>
<th>Summary of Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1. Transport - Regional Fuel Tax** | Supports a regional fuel tax because it is more efficient and cheaper to implement than any other proposals. The local board do however believe that the proceeds of the fuel tax must be equitable and fund projects across the Auckland region so that our residents can see improvements at the local level.  
- Supports a further source of funding for transport and other infrastructure requirements as an investment and/or private partnership model, including Crown Infrastructure Partners. The local board supports Penlink as one of the projects identified in the Auckland Transport Alignment Project (ATAP) report that recognises accelerated infrastructure to support and address growth within the Hibiscus Coast, Silverdale and Wainui areas.  
- Supports a regional fuel tax in that it will provide more funding to ensure local projects can be achieved and ensure the ATAP proposal projects are delivered.  
- Supports the development of criteria to ensure projects will be delivered locally across the Auckland region to ensure that it doesn’t all go to ‘approved priority’ areas. The spread must be equitable across the 21 local board areas.  
- Expresses concern that there was no list of priorities/projects that were consulted on as part of the 10-year Budget consultation material for the community to review and comment on.  
- Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on regional proposals as part of the 10-year Budget indicated that 49% do not support the regional fuel tax proposal, 44% support and 8% as indicated ‘other’.  
- Expresses concerns that consultation on the regional fuel tax was done prior to the government’s announcement on their fuel tax proposals and this may have changed the views of the community on the council’s regional fuel tax proposals. |
### 2. Natural Environment - Water Quality Targeted Rate

- The Hibiscus and Bays Local Board support the proposal to accelerate the water quality work programme over 10 years, using a targeted rate based on capital value.

- Expresses concern as both Hibiscus Coast and East Coast Bays currently have stormwater outfall pipes direct to beaches which is not acceptable and needs to be rectified. (The local board values our beaches and streams and our natural environment and believe that programmes are required to maintain these assets to ensure they are enjoyed now and by generations to come).

- Acknowledges that feedback on the 10-year Budget clearly showed that our people value the water quality and receiving environment and that they support a targeted rate.

- Supports improved regulation and investment for stormwater intervention projects that are enforced by sedimentation rules to ensure our environment is protected.

- Support the safe swim real time data for monitoring but want to see it more widely tested across the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area.

- Considers that a targeted rate needs to be targeted to the area/s that will benefit the most and must be equitable in funding projects where required across the Auckland region so that our residents can see improvements at a local level.

- Requests more detail and options on the targeted rate and how people’s ability to pay this rate is factored in. The local board agree that water quality improvements programmes are required but need to ensure that this is provided across the region and make our water safe for our community.

- Expresses concerns about water quality and safety along the coastal areas and requests that funding is set aside to provide better services to protect our natural environment.

- Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the 10-year Budget on regional proposals showed that 64% support the water quality programme targeted rate proposal, 28% does not support and 8% indicated ‘other’.
Note that in the resolutions on page 27 of this document, the board resolved on option B for the Natural Environment Targeted Rate as their final position.

| 2. Natural Environment Targeted Rate | • The Hibiscus and Bays Local Board supports Option A – a targeted rate of an average $21 per residential ratepayer proposal to invest more in environmental initiatives.  

• Supports the pest free projects in our local board area being financially supported including areas where Kauri dieback is happening (Okura Reserve), one of the last known Kauri coastal areas in the region. The local board would like to see boardwalks along access ways in the right places to ensure that this popular walkway is kept open for our community and visitors.  

• Supports keeping our estuaries as pristine as possible and providing funding for the approved Orewa Estuary Restoration plan.  

• Supports stronger monitoring and enforcement through improved regulation outcomes to protect our estuaries and to ensure that they are well maintained and not choked with sedimentation.  

• Supports and encourages volunteer groups to help improve our natural environment and water quality in a timely and cost effective way. The local board finds working with volunteers to be a more effective tool.  

• Expresses concern that the highest funding option does not help fund volunteer programmes that are achieving the same objectives as the council programme in a more cost effective manner.  

• Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the 10-year Budget on regional proposals showed that 29% support Option A, 35% support Option B, with 37% indicating they do not support investing more in environmental initiatives through a targeted rate and 17% indicated ‘other’. |
### Item 8

#### 3. Rates and Charges – proposed rates increase

- The Hibiscus and Bays Local Board supports a general rate increase of 2.5% for the first two years and then 3.5% for years three to 10.
- Notes that we have a high percentage of people on fixed incomes and elderly people within our local board area that would be greatly impacted if the rates were to rise.
- Reiterates feedback from Hibiscus and Bays Local Board residents that they would like to see more of their rates being spent locally and wish to have a greater say on how their money is spent locally.
- Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the 10-year Budget on regional proposals showed that 43% support a 2.5% rate increase for the first two years and then 3.5% for years three to 10, 46% do not support and 11% indicated as ‘other’.

#### 3. Rates and Charges – Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate for online accommodation providers

- The Hibiscus and Bays Local Board supports council introducing a new targeted rate on property used for commercial accommodation providers.
- Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the 10-year Budget on regional proposals showed that 66% support a new targeted rated on property used for commercial accommodation, 27% do not support and 7% indicated as ‘other’.

#### 4. Other budget information

- The local board expresses concern that Auckland Council are selling strategic assets; would like a clear definition of ‘strategic assets’, and request council ensure that local boards are part of the decision making process for proposed disposals that affect the local board area.
- The local board do not support Land Advisory Services introducing a new charging regime for council-owned land applications unless it excludes community groups.
- The local board is concerned that Regional Facilities Auckland is charging groups e.g. Harbour Sport at commercial rental when previously only charged $1 peppercorn rental. The local board has a concern that this extra charge will then come at the cost of the programme and that council will have to pick up the charges/shortfall. Harbour Sport play an important role in local Recreation and Sport.
Howick
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Resolution number HW/2018/15

MOVED by Chairperson D Collings, seconded by Member B Wichman:

That the Howick Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

b) receive the submissions as tabled at the meeting.

c) approve its advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion as an appendix to the Howick 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement, as detailed in the tabled attached document entitled “Howick Local Board Key Advocacy Issues 2018/19” and summarised as points i) and ii) below:

   i) key advocacy project – Bring forward development of multi-use community facility and library, and the aquatic centre at Flat Bush.
   ii) Development of a consistent regional funding approach to managing coastal erosion and beach replenishment

d) recommend $705,000 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 to the Governing Body per the tabled document Attachment C.

e) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Howick local board area.

f) partially supports the proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, subject to the following feedback:

   i) do not support the regional fuel tax. Although the board believes that Auckland Transport projects, particularly AMETI, should be made an absolute priority, we believe that the AMETI project should be prioritised first before the light rail network, and funded through a cost savings within Council and a higher contribution from alternative funding sources

   ii) The outcomes of a water quality targeted rate are supported, but the local board supports a fixed levy for residential properties and not a targeted rate based on property value as all residents will gain benefit and therefore should be charged equally. The local board would also like to see regular monitoring of progress of related projects to gauge effectiveness

   iii) The outcomes of the natural environment targeted rate are supported, but the local board supports a fixed levy for residential properties (based on Option B) and not a targeted rate based on property value as all residents will gain benefit and therefore should be charged equally.

g) supports the proposals in the Draft Auckland Plan 2050, subject to the following feedback:

   i) with regard to Outcome area 2: Maori Identity and Wellbeing, the local board seeks further clarification of the meaning of the phrase “customary rights” and it’s relationship with endangered species
h) supports the proposals in the Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 on the basis that this aligns with the Howick Local Board Plan Outcome 4 and is supported by the majority of submitters in the Howick Ward.

i) in response to the submission from the Howick Gymnastics Club (submission #16500) for a multi sport facility at Lloyd Elsmore Park, the local board supports the development of a Regional Sport and Recreation Investment Fund in order that council may respond with more flexibility and more effectively to sport and recreation initiatives, such as this.

j) in response to the submission from the Howick & Districts Historical Society Inc (submission #13519) the local board supports the Howick & Districts Historical Society Inc’s request for the Howick Historical Village to become a regional facility.
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Howick Local Board Key Advocacy Issues 2018/19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bring forward development of multi-use community facility and library, and the aquatic centre at Flat Bush.</td>
<td>The board is advocating to the Governing Body to bring forward development of the multi-use community facility and library ($20 million, completion by 2022), and the aquatic centre at Flat Bush ($27 million, completion 2023). Feedback from recent consultation on the multi-use facility has told us that the development of such a facility is crucial. The community have told us it would provide a focal point as a place they can come together. Bringing forward development plans for the aquatic centre also allows future planning for Barry Curtis Park to evolve once the location of the aquatic centre has been decided.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of a consistent regional funding approach in response to managing coastal erosion and beach replenishment</td>
<td>Ensure the ongoing development of a coordinated approach to the management of coastal erosion. Feedback on the LTP shows that there is a majority support for continuing the coastal management programme for the area and advocating for a regional funding approach to ensure consistency of measures and management of coastal erosion.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kaipātiki
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Resolution number KT/2018/16

MOVED by Chairperson J Gillon, seconded by Member L Waugh:

That the Kaipātiki Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

b) note that the following redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May, until then the submissions are available on request by emailing akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

   i. submissions on the Kaipātiki local board priorities

   ii. submissions on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Kaipātiki local board area.

c) approve its advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement, as per the tabled documents, with the following amendments:

   i. replace the text for the advocacy item “Kauri die-back prevention in Kaipātiki” to:

   1. Protection of green spaces is a key focus for our community. Kauri dieback is of immediate concern due to the significant numbers of Kauri trees in our local reserves, and in light of the recent discovery of a Kauri tree showing signs of the disease. The Kaipātiki Local Board area has a high proportion of bush areas containing kauri trees that currently receive minimal protection from dieback. For example, more than 75 bush entrances will require in-ground cleaning stations, and significant investment in track upgrades will be required to protect our Kauri.

   The Kaipātiki Local Board advocates that the Kaipātiki Local Board area be included as a regionally important area of focused investment to protect our Kauri.

d) recommend to the governing body that $85,000 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 as per Attachment C.

e) provide feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, including feedback on the CCO Accountability Policy, as per the tabled document, with the following amendments:

   i. replace the phrase “particular concern” in the second paragraph of the Natural Environment – Natural Environment Targeted rate section to “immediate concern”.

   ii. Replace the text of the first bullet point of appendix 1 to:

   1. Endorses the requirement that all parts of council act in the interest of Auckland and supports the expectation that the Council Controlled Organisations and Council including local boards, should have confidence in and respect for each other.
iii. Include the words “and the community” to the second bullet point of appendix 1
iv. Include the further bullet point to appendix 1:
   1. Requests the role of the local board is clarified, specifically decision-making responsibilities for projects in our area.

f) provide feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 as per the tabled document, with the following amendment:
   i. replace the text under Outcome area 4: Transport and access to:
      1. The Kaipatiki Local Board supports Auckland Transport’s prioritisation for public transport in our local board plan but submit that the Auckland Plan expand outcome 4 to include travelling regionally including for recreation and health. It should reach beyond pure infrastructure provision to infrastructure development that adds amenity value.

g) provide feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, as per the tabled document.

CARRIED

Secretarial Note: Under Standing Order 1.9.7 Member Danielle Grant requested her dissenting vote be recorded.
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## Kaipātiki Local Board Advocacy Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advocacy initiatives</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Advocacy Project</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
<td><strong>Advocating to</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birkenhead War Memorial Park Redevelopment</td>
<td>The grandstand is at the end of its life, and other facilities at the park, such as the swimming pool, are also starting to show their age. Replacing these facilities provides the opportunity to think about how the park could be redeveloped to make the most of the space available, but also to meet the needs of our community for the next 50 years. We are currently developing a masterplan to guide the future development of the park. Delivering the masterplan requires significant investment and will be completed over a number of years. Funding this is beyond the resources currently available to the local board and so we need the Governing Body to allocate funding in the 10-year Budget. The first priority is the replacement of the grandstand with a shared facility to accommodate multiple community and sporting users. The estimated cost is $7 million. The replacement of the swimming pool will be an additional cost of approximately $6 million and is required further towards the end of the 10-year Budget. A further $2 million is required for renewing ancillary infrastructure such as walking tracks and car parking.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Advocacy Initiatives</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
<td><strong>Advocating to</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northcote Development</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board has long supported and advocated for the strategic values of Northcote to deliver both housing intensification and commercial development. To support this rapid growth, the Kaipātiki Local Board advocates for the provision of a quality public</td>
<td>Governing Body and Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
realm, and community facilities investment in Northcote. Specifically, the Kaipātiki Local Board advocates for improved urban amenity, maximum public open space, a destination playground, cycling links, public art, inclusion of cultural and heritage aspects, sustainability (e.g. roof gardens, rain gardens), retention of mature trees, a new community building, and retention of the Northcote Library building, designed by architect David Mitchell. This will support and complement both Panuku Development Auckland Limited and Homes, Land and Community’s planned investments to make Northcote an exemplar for urban renewal.

| Kauri die-back prevention in Kaipātiki | Protection of green spaces is a key focus for our community. Kauri dieback is of immediate concern due to the significant numbers of Kauri trees in our local reserves, and in light of the recent discovery of a Kauri tree showing signs of the disease. The Kaipātiki Local Board area has a high proportion of bush areas containing kauri trees that currently receive minimal protection from dieback. For example, more than 75 bush entrances will require in-ground cleaning stations, and significant investment in track upgrades will be required to protect our Kauri. The Kaipātiki Local Board advocates that the Kaipātiki Local Board area be included as a regionally important area of focused investment to protect our Kauri. | Governing Body |
| Pest Free Kaipātiki | Continued support for the implementation of the Pest Free Kaipātiki strategy (2016) by resourcing the components which are council responsibilities and refining council and council controlled organisation delivery models to enable the successful delivery of this community-led initiative. | Governing Body |
| Adequately resourced community facilities | Ensure our community and arts facilities are ‘resourced for success’ by providing sufficient | Governing Body |
operational funding grants and support for capital works where required to provide an equitable level of base funding to that provided elsewhere in the region.

### Kaipātiki Local Board’s Position on Regional Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Issue / Plan</th>
<th>Kaipātiki Local Board Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>10-year Budget</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Transport - Regional Fuel Tax</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the introduction of a regional fuel tax to be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services, in line with feedback received from the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Natural Environment - Water Quality Targeted Rate</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the introduction of a targeted rate to increase the funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams, in line with feedback received from the community. The cleanup of the Waitemata Harbour is of particular interest for the board given its flow-on effects on the Kaipātiki local board area and its coastal environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Natural Environment - Natural Environment Targeted Rate</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the introduction of a targeted rate to invest more in environment initiatives that help reduce the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species, in line with feedback received from the community. Protection of green spaces are a key focus for our community, with Kauri dieback being of immediate concern due to the presence of significant numbers of Kauri trees in our local reserves and the large amount of bush throughout our local board area. In particular the board supports option B, an average rate of $47 per annum per residential ratepayer, and advocates that the Kaipātiki local board area be included as an area of focused investment given the aforementioned considerations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Rates and Charges – proposed rates increase</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the proposed average general rates increase of 2.5 percent for the first two years and 3.5 percent for years three to ten to fund our growing city without reducing existing services, in line with feedback received from the community. The Kaipātiki Local Board does not support the policy of reducing the business rate differential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Rates and Charges – Accommodation provider Targeted Rate for online accommodation</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board supports treating online accommodation providers the same way as other accommodation providers, in line with feedback received from the community.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4. Other budget information

The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the overall intent and scope of the Council Controlled Organisations Accountability Policy subject to the amendments and considerations outlined in Appendix 1 to this document.

### Auckland Plan 2050

#### Overall views on Auckland Plan outcomes

The Kaipātiki Local Board would like to see reference being made in the Auckland Plan to the four well-beings as listed out in Part 6, s79(1) of the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009.

The Kaipātiki Local Board notes the marked absence throughout the Auckland Plan of specific references to Arts and Culture, Sport and Recreation, and Built Heritage.

The Kaipātiki Local Board notes a disjunction between the values enumerated in the Auckland Plan and the text under each outcome area, particularly the value of Auaha, Creativity and Innovation, which is not reflected in any of the six Outcome areas. Adherence to this value would see all infrastructure development including transport, storm and wastewater projects, delivering more than just function, but contributing visual, cultural and recreational amenity.

#### Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation

The Kaipātiki Local Board would like to see social and cultural differences being celebrated as opposed to merely recognised. For example focus area 1 could be rephrased to better reflect the aims of Atawhai and Kotahi in its wording, reaching beyond recognition to celebrating diversity. Additionally, health and wellness, regardless of the means to achieve it, should be mentioned in this outcome area in line with direction 2.

#### Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing

The Kaipātiki Local Board supports this outcome area in line with feedback received from the community, but would like specific reference to mataawaka.

#### Outcome area 3: Homes and places

The Kaipātiki Local Board would like a focus on the importance of healthy, secure and affordable housing development that is well-designed while having minimal impact on the environment at the same time.

The Kaipātiki Local Board notes that whilst streets and roadways may have flexible uses, they are not sufficient contributions by developers for public space provision under direction 4, which should typically be in the form of parks, playgrounds, town squares, etc.

#### Outcome area 4: Transport and access

The Kaipātiki Local Board supports Auckland Transport’s prioritisation for public transport in our local board plan but submit that the Auckland Plan expand outcome 4 to include travelling regionally including for recreation and health. It should reach beyond pure infrastructure provision to infrastructure development that adds amenity value.
### Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage

The Kaipātiki Local Board supports greater emphasis on the environment, particularly clean water and beaches given the numerous streams and significant coastlines bordering the area. The Kaipātiki Local Board supports increased focus on native flora and fauna protection, stream monitoring, and stricter enforcement of sedimentation controls at all developments.

The Kaipātiki Local Board would like to note a distinction between cultural and built heritage and would appreciate a greater focus on built heritage given the presence of buildings of historical significance in the Kaipātiki local board area.

### Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity

The Kaipātiki Local Board would like the directions set out in this Outcome area to reach beyond productivity and employment growth towards an understanding of the unique opportunities offered by Auckland city.

### Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall views on Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the intentions of the Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 but would appreciate some investigation into possible discounts for residents that already recycle or compost at home, and cost savings from council contractors using the compost collected in our parks and reserves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste from businesses and commercial activities</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board supports expanding waste minimisation efforts to include the 80 per cent of waste that comes from businesses and commercial activities, in line with feedback received from the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working with businesses on approaches to reduce waste</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board supports working with businesses to try new approaches to reduce waste, in line with feedback received from the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community recycling centres</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board supports making it easy and affordable for people to make better choices locally about how they dispose of unwanted items, so those items can be reused or recycled, in line with feedback received from the community, In line with efforts to encourage greater community access to recycling, the Kaipātiki Local Board would like to suggest a possible “Garage Sale Trail” festival similar to council-run efforts in Australia and the United Kingdom, and a play space using recycled goods as described under the Takoro Investing in Play strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container deposit scheme</td>
<td>The Kaipātiki Local Board supports encouraging central government to introduce product stewardship schemes, including a container deposit scheme, in line with feedback received from the community, but would like to note that this should be a central government initiative and have a national focus. The Kaipātiki Local Board would like to go even further to</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
place the responsibility for all packaging on manufacturers and/or retailers, similar to the Green Dot (Der Grüne Punkt) scheme in Germany, where manufacturers are encouraged to reduce packaging as this saves them costs in licence fees.

Hauraki Gulf Islands waste management  The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the Hauraki Gulf Islands having unique waste management and minimisation requirements, in line with feedback received from the community.

Appendix 1

Council Controlled Organisations Accountability Policy – Local Board Feedback
The Kaipātiki Local Board supports the overall intent and scope of the Council Controlled Organisations Accountability Policy subject to the following amendments and considerations:

1. Endorses the requirement that all parts of council act in the interest of Auckland and supports the expectation that the Council Controlled Organisations and Council including local boards, should have trust and confidence in, and respect for, each other.

2. Supports a clear statement of the obligation on Council Controlled Organisations to consult with local boards in the Accountability Policy and ensure that in any decision making or inputs by local boards is clearly defined and sufficient time is given for genuine engagement with local boards and the community.

3. Supports Council Controlled Organisations developing examples and clear methodologies that exhibit and deliver “best practice” in working with local boards and provides clear accountability in practice.

4. Seeks clear statements in the Policy in section 1.1.3 to require that Council Controlled Organisations must give effect and be cognisant of Local Board plans and Area Plans, where relevant, to ensure that key local board priorities and projects are supported and integrated.

5. Requests the role of the local board is clarified, specifically decision-making responsibilities for projects in our area.

6. Acknowledge and support the expectation in section 1.1.4 to Improve Outcomes for Maori ensuring that both mana whenua and mataawaka are part of the overall approach and essential to our relationship with Maori across the whole Council.

7. Endorses section 1.1.7 Understanding and Giving Effect to Auckland’s Shared Governance and the recognition and acknowledgement of local boards as an integral part of the shared governance of Auckland Council, specifically that Local Boards have decision-making responsibility over non-regulatory, local activities.
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The feedback under f) tabled at the meeting is attached to the back of the agenda.

Resolution number MO/2018/17

MOVED by Chairperson L Sosene, seconded by Member C Elliott:

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receives the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report (Attachment A).

b) notes that the following redacted submissions are available through the following Auckland Council website here.
   - submissions on the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board priorities
   - submissions on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

c) approves its advocacy initiatives to the 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement (Attachment D) including the local board's key advocacy project/one local initiative for active coordination, planning, investment and implementation in Māngere East.

d) recommend that the governing body approves a targeted rate set as a uniform charge per separately used or inhabited part of a property, on residential properties in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area, for the purpose of fully subsidising entry to swimming pools for persons 17 years and over, and that the rate for 2018/2019 is estimated to be $31.94 including GST.

e) recommends to the Governing Body that $221,680 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 (attachment C).

f) endorses the feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, the draft Auckland Plan 2050 and the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, tabled at the meeting and attached to the minutes.

CARRIED
Attachment D

Appendix A: Advocacy initiatives

A key role of the local board is to advocate for initiatives that the local board may not have decision-making responsibilities or funding for this annual plan, but recognise the value it will add to the local community. Key advocacy areas for this local board include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Māngere East Precinct: A priority focus and initiatives to enhance this centre as a thriving, liveable community</td>
<td>The Māngere East area requires coordinated investment for planning and implementation. The local community facilities are rundown, old or not fit for use. A community facility needs assessment was completed in 2015 and next steps need to be progressed. For this, coordinated planning and investment is vital to shape a high-quality built area for a liveable community. The local board requests the Governing Body commit to capital funding through the next review of the Long-term Plan (LTP) for delivery of the Community Facilities Network Plan. Further to coordinate work through Panuku Development Auckland and Auckland Transport to focus on Māngere East area to develop this centre as a liveable community.</td>
<td>Governing Body Panuku Development Auckland Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy rail link as the preferred regional option, and light rail for central city (CBD) to airport under the Rapid Rail model as the preferred local option.</td>
<td>The airport to CBD rapid transit project has long-term strategic importance for Auckland’s future commuter and freight. The current selection for light rail between central Auckland and Māngere fails to consider major growth implications for areas in the south, north and west. Auckland’s strategic long-term transport security for both commuter and industrial heavy freight will not be served by the current plans for industry. The board would like that the Rapid Rail to support heavy and light rail link to the airport option is reconsidered and requests Governing body and Auckland Transport investigate into the heavy rail option.</td>
<td>Governing Body Auckland Transport New Zealand Transport Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste management and our environment</td>
<td>The city's waste-minimisation plan implementation requires that communities are prepared, equipped and supported to reach waste reduction targets. There is a need to get communities ready for change and finding opportunities in the way waste will be managed. Proactive support at this stage of the roll-out of changes in how council manages waste is important. This needs to be for multiple actions - communication, engagement, encouraging social enterprise and community-led initiatives. Ongoing work to develop local community recycling centres and waste reduction is a priority in the southern area. The board requests continued action for the regional scoping exercise to investigate the siting of various regional resource recovery centres and support for local community recycling centres.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boarding houses and high quality neighbourhoods</td>
<td>The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu local board area has seen gradual proliferation of boarding houses and council’s investigation has confirmed very poor standards and breaches of the building code on these sites. The board recognises the pressure on land, need for affordable housing and a range of provision for all. However the concern is that this is at the cost of deteriorating neighbourhoods and poor living standards in the area. There is a need for balanced, planned growth that is monitoring for the environmental impacts - traffic, noise- as there is also an “intensification by stealth” taking place. Currently, council has limited means to assess or address the impacts of the proliferation of boarding houses. The board continues to advocate for better monitoring of boarding houses. Data from regular monitoring can better improve boarding house conditions for the tenants. Council must ensure that building code standards and a decent standard of living are maintained.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of an Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Tourism Trail</td>
<td>Leverage key opportunities with major stakeholders like Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) and utilise the unique natural and cultural characteristics of Māngere-Ōtāhuhu to achieve economic outcomes. The airport is New Zealand’s gateway to the world. Their longer-term plans to be a major hub connecting Asia and South America over the next 30 years, second runway offer opportunities for partnerships and attracting tourism. Council’s investment in the Ōtāhuhu-Middlemore Spatial Priority Area, Greenways and Local Paths can be leveraged for economic and community outcomes by aligning efforts with stakeholders. The board sees potential to achieve local as well as citywide aspirations of more jobs via new industry growth, support for Māori tourism and heritage tourism initiatives - such as “Ōtāhuhu Portage Crossing” project - narrowest part of the North Island.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund the Ōtāhuhu Portage route project</td>
<td>Allocating long-term funding for the Portage route project is a priority. The site is of national significance in terms of history and culture with huge potential to make the area accessible and connected for local and international visitors. The project is part of work stream of the Ōtāhuhu-Middlemore Spatial Priority Area, however no funding has been prioritised for this project. The board advocates that this project is funded in the next LTP budget, including exploring funds from council departments that are involved in this spatial priority area’s work streams.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol harm minimisation initiatives: Supporting Community voice and empowerment</td>
<td>Reducing harm from alcohol in the local communities is of high priority for Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board. The Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act (the Act) came into effect in late 2013. The Local Alcohol Policy, a key instrument for addressing the negative impacts of alcohol on our communities, is yet to be finalised. The board will continue to support local communities to have their say through the hearings processes afforded them by the recent legislation. There are challenges for the community in responding to issues surrounding reducing harm from alcohol. Inaccessible language used by the District License Committee (DLC), inconsistency in decisions across the region and limited integration to address signage inconsistencies between by-laws, district plans and those resulting through DLC decisions are key examples. The board will continue to voice their concerns to ensure that these issues are identified and addressed as it is critical to assess the overall success of the act in achieving its intent.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Item 4 Feedback

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Feedback on the 10 Year Budget 2018 – 2028

| Transport Regional Fuel Tax (RFT) | • Generally, support RFT in accordance with community feedback  
|                                      | • Public transport to be accessible and affordable through an equitable sharing of the costs between public transport users, ratepayers and road users, as current levels are impacting lower income families  
|                                      | • Deliver Route 32 and integrate with local projects  
|                                      |   o One Local Initiative – Mangere East precinct  
|                                      |   o Otahuhu Portage project  
|                                      |   o Light rail Mangere town centre rapid transit rail to airport, and  
|                                      |   o Complete local projects to a high quality  
|                                      | • Deliver more safety programmes, streetscape upgrades, and safer active modes of transport  
|                                      | • Fix local congestion.  

| Environment Initiatives | • Generally support  
|                        | In terms of the level of the rate, affordability is a challenge for this community and the local board asks the Governing Body to take this into account.  
|                        | The local area has its own targeted rate to enable adult swimming pool entry, and additional target rates that mostly benefit other areas are seen as unfair and will burden local rate payers disproportionately.  
| Water Quality | • Support target rate  
| Rates and charges | • Support proposal for average general rates increases  
|                        | • It is expected that this will deliver an increase in quality and service levels.  
| Accommodation | • Support proposal  

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Feedback on the draft Auckland Plan

The board supports the six outcomes and development strategy in the Auckland Plan refresh, and notes the close alignment of the six outcomes to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan 2017.

• The board supports use of the 33 measures that track progress against outcomes areas.
• The board supports addressing local concerns, such as employment, accommodation conditions, affordability, public transport and improved community facilities and spaces.
• The board considers that addressing social and economic wellbeing is key to achieve the Auckland Plan.
• The board area has the most youthful population in Auckland and supports actions to create choices that are sustainable for the future generation.
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018

- The local board generally supports the plan

Consultation:
- Not enough time to consider draft document and engage with community
- Confusing as too many plans being consulted on at the same time
- Better engagement with groups and organisations where communities gather in large numbers – Marae and places of worship.

Local issues that the plan needs to address:
- Support people with large families, and on lower incomes, to meet targets
- A staged approach that considers the diversity of needs across the city
- Resolve illegal dumping
- Increase accountability by industries, business associations, and community groups – to play their part in changing practices and behaviours
- Distribute regional resources to local boards for implementing innovative local ideas e.g. a southern community recycling centre
- Improve the new inorganic collection system, it needs a re-think.
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Resolution number MR/2018/18

MOVED by Chairperson A Dalton, seconded by Member S Cattle:

That the Manurewa Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

b) approve the Manurewa Local Board advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement, as outlined in Attachment B to the report entitled “Local board decisions and input into the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, draft Auckland Plan 2050 and draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 – Manurewa”.

c) note there is no Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure for the Governing Body to consider for deferral to the 2018/2019 financial year.

d) provide the following feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028:

| 3. Transport - Regional Fuel Tax | • Fifty two percent of Manurewa residents do not support the introduction of the proposed RFT. Of the 41 per cent that do support it, this is conditional on the extra revenue contributing to transport priorities in the Manurewa area.
|                              | • The board is supportive of this community position. Of those who did not support the RFT the most common concern raised was lack of affordability, and equity impacts on lower income residents.
|                              | • Research shows commentary from the Ministry of Transport and Treasury which notes that the RFT “could result in lower income households contributing a higher proportion of their income to the tax compared to higher income households”. It also notes that these households are less likely to own newer more fuel efficient or electric vehicles.
|                              | • In Manurewa, public transport, active modes and working at home are all significantly below regional averages, as is the level of self-containment (the proportion of residents who work in their local board area). Indeed, Manurewa has the second-lowest level of self-containment in the region and the highest private vehicle usage in the region at 85 per cent.
|                              | • Based on 2013 census data there is a significantly high share of worker trips to the airport from the south and its abutting suburbs (approximately an 18 kilometre radius). |
| 1. Transport - Regional Fuel Tax (cont.) | • The board therefore has concerns about the value for money of the light rail proposal, noting it won’t materially improve southern commuters’ access to the airport precinct, and questions whether there are more cost-effective alternatives.

• Instead of an RFT, the board would like to see the Lean Model of continuous improvement applied to Auckland Transport operations to create efficiencies and identify savings within existing budgets.

• The board supports any RFT revenue generated to be equitably allocated across Manurewa, including improvements to public transport provision. |
|---|---|
| 2. Natural Environment - Water Quality Targeted Rate | • The board supports the proposed targeted rate, provided the proceeds are allocated equitably to Manurewa.

• The board requests that an equitable portion of the targeted rate (based on capital values) be allocated for Natural Environment water quality be diverted and added to the board’s budget to target/distribute to the sites where it needs to be spent.

• The board supports the Manukau Harbour Forum’s inclusion in the restoration and protection of the coast and environment.

• The board supports Ngāti Tamaoho feedback that additional funding sources should be sought. “partly because of the increasing range of threats to water; partly because of its importance to the wellbeing of people and the environment and fundamentally because of its cultural significance”.

• The Manurewa Local Board Plan 2017 |
Item 8

3. Natural Environment - Natural Environment Targeted Rate

- The board supports the targeted rate of $47 per annum provided the proceeds are allocated equitably to Manurewa.

- The community and the board has concerns about affordability and the cumulative impact of 'targeted rates' increases proposed in this Long-term plan and the impact on the welfare of our people.

- Consultation says the community requests more transparency on how this rate will actually be spent.

- The board requests that an equitable portion of the targeted rate (based on capital values) allocated for pest management be diverted and added to the board budgets to enable targeted responses in necessary sites.

- The board recommends budget also be directed towards the elimination of all pests including toxic plants from all waterways.

- The board agrees with Ngāti Tamaoho comment on the “importance of preventative measures (for example to protect the Hunua Ranges from the risk of Kauri dieback) because however expensive it may be it is cheaper than the cost of redress later”.

- The board also supports mataawaka feedback that “the environment needs to be as sustainable as the rate of growth for the Auckland population and that adequate resourcing be provided”.

- The board requests that education programmes be made available for communities to be able to understand and respond to the effects of Kauri dieback and its impact on other tree species such as Totara.

4. Rates and Charges – proposed rates increase

- Whilst the board supports the need for a 3.5% increase to ‘cover the bills’, the Manurewa community does not support a rates increase with the rationale that the council is not prioritising rates spending on core services.
Community feedback expressed concern about the lack of transparency of expenditure that occurs year by year and noted that spending in south Auckland is considerably less than funds invested into central city projects.

Both the community and the board have concerns about affordability and the cumulative impact of ‘targeted rates’ increases proposed in this Long-term plan and the impact on the welfare of our people.

5. Rates and Charges – Accommodation provider Targeted Rate for online accommodation providers

- The community supports an Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (PTR) for the online accommodation sector who intends to operate property in a commercial capacity.
- The board notes that the community may not be fully aware of the implications that this rate may have on property owners who do not intend to operate in a fully commercial capacity.
- The board recommends the council to do more work around unintended consequential impacts on property owners before implementing this rate.

6. Other budget information

- The board would like to see all local boards to have greater governance responsibility and influence over Capex funds, to enable the facilitation of discretionary projects, in the spirit of shared governance.
- Concerns about illegal dumping and waste management were also commented on by the community in their feedback.

e) provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation</th>
<th>The local board is concerned that there is little in the Auckland Plan relating to additional investment in sport and recreation - a critical component in uniting communities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Manurewa Local Board Plan 2017 acknowledges people in Manurewa are actively connecting everywhere, every day. Manurewa has a wide range of community places where people gather to celebrate, take part in their favourite past times, exercise and compete, work together on community projects or socialise with friends and family.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 8

**Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing**

- The Manurewa Local Board is in full support of the direction and focus areas of Māori identity and wellbeing. Manurewa has a significant number of Māori residing in the area, both mana whenua and mataawaka, and we look forward to seeing strong gains for our Māori community.

- We would like to stress the importance of the Auckland Plan acknowledging and reflecting through action, the diversity and intricacy of Māori experience, identity and sense of belonging.

- The plan needs to be visible in its approach to assist and respond to those Māori who can’t align to their own whakapapa – both those who don’t know where they come from, and those who have lost touch with their ability to connect back to their tribal areas.

- For Māori who live in Tāmaki Makaurau, and are well immersed in their whakapapa, it is important to acknowledge that their tribal identity will often precede other identities.

**Outcome area 3: Homes and places**

- The Manurewa Local Board supports the Auckland Plan’s aspirations to accelerate the development of quality homes affordable to a range of Aucklanders. We trust that this will address the current issue of house prices remaining out of reach for most low-income earners in Counties-Manukau, which is also threatening intergenerational stability. To support this, we would like to see speedy action on the development of infrastructure that supports the volume of homes being built. ‘Affordable’ must offer real hope to low income households and facilitate access to decent accommodation for people who find themselves homelessness.
- The delivery of affordable housing must include public space around those dwellings.
- The board supports the intention to improve the quality of existing dwellings, particularly rentals, and to see the investment and support for Māori continue.
- It is vital that urban spaces are well designed and cared for, for all to enjoy. In this context, the board anticipates that Manurewa will not be left with large apartments and flats being built and then disregarded, and that newly built environments retain the integrity and style of the local area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome area 4: Transport and access</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- The Manurewa Local Board believes the Transport and Access themes are on track and workable. Important to us is how they are applied equally across the region, including decent cycleways across the south that are safe and encouraging for people to use, and go beyond the hazardous green bicycle lanes on the sides of busy highways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The south continues to require public transport investment given the increased growth that is currently being experienced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The board will always advocate for an increase in user friendly and accessible public transport that offers real alternatives to as many people as possible, while contributing to the reduction of private vehicles on the road. This includes affordability for young people and families and the use of universal design principles to increase accessibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- We would like to see an improvement in the security and safety of park and ride facilities, to further increase the uptake of public transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The board supports the prioritisation of the Mill Road project as paramount to keeping traffic moving easily across the southern region.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- The local board supports the six focus areas of environment and heritage and expects that the Auckland Plan will truly take account of the whole of the Auckland region when considering environmental and cultural heritage priorities. There are areas of the Waitemata Harbour that are in excellent condition and we hope this is an aspirational goal for the Manukau Harbour also.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The board also encourages the plan to address further swimsafe locations in the south, thus creating equitable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
access to beaches across the region.

- The board supports the request from Ngāti Paoa to establish a guardian of the harbours co-governance regime that enables the joint management of the clean-up and restoration of Auckland’s harbours tributaries fresh waterways and catchments.

- The board supports the Te Ora O Manukau statement that the environment needs to be as sustainable as the growth for the Auckland population and that we need to ensure that there is adequate resourcing to support the cleaning up of our environment equivalent to the investment in the growth of Auckland.

### Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity

- The Manurewa Local Board believes that the focus areas identified in Opportunity and Prosperity will support Manurewa to adapt to rapid technology changes. However as an area that houses the third largest Pacific population in Auckland (over 25,020 and 33% of our total population) we would like to see Focus Area 3 (advance Māori employment and support Māori business and iwi organisations to be significant drivers of Auckland’s economy) and Focus Area 5 (increase educational achievement, lifelong learning and training, with a focus on those most in need) extended to our Pacific people.

- The board strongly supports Focus Area 5 because success in education is fundamental to the wellbeing of people, their families and the wider community. Focus Area 5 also relates to Focus Area 3 because a more highly educated workforce boosts productivity and economic wellbeing.

- However Pacific people are still significantly behind in many social areas, particularly education and employment:
  - In 2015, the labour force participation rate for Pacific Peoples was at 63.5% while the participation rate for Māori was 67.2% - both which are still lower than the participation rate (69.2%) for all people.
  - In March 2017, a significantly high proportion of Māori (19.5%) and Pacific youth (17%) were not in employment, education or training (NEET).
  - Despite some improvements in educational outcomes, Māori and Pacific people still have the highest proportion of people with no qualifications.

- If we want opportunity and prosperity for ALL, the
Auckland Plan needs to deliver outcomes for Pacific people too. When our Pacific people are thriving, Auckland is thriving.

**Development strategy**

- The local board agrees with the Auckland Plan intention that people should be able to work and play where they live and supports a continuous review of the development strategy to ensure its relevance to a growing and diverse population.

- The local board also supports expansion of the rural urban boundary to free up land which will create affordable housing whilst maintaining retention of fertile market garden areas.

- It is important to ensure that existing communities experiencing brownfield intensification are supported with infrastructure to match the population growth, for example hospitals, stormwater, retirement villages, schools etc.

The regions must benefit, as the city does, from Urban Design, Panuku and ATEED investment and support.

---

**f)** provide the following feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall views on Waste outcomes</th>
<th>What we have now is not currently working for the south - from the roll out of the new red bins to the implementation of a new inorganic collection programme.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The local board is requesting a return to the traditional inorganic collection as the paramount solution to what has become a daily occurrence of illegal dumping throughout the region. The vision is to educate residents to recycle and provide the resources required for recyclables and inorganics to be disposed of. This is taking time. A return of the traditional annual inorganic collection is needed to support this transition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Support for a change to the Crimes Act to target commercial and entrepreneurial illegal dumpers. Recommend that Ministers be petitioned to amend Part 10 (which deals with Crimes against the rights to Property) of the Crimes Act 1961.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Applying the Lean Model to evidence the true cost of illegal dumping to better inform solution based decision making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>○ Accurate statistical data and corresponding financial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
| Waste from businesses and commercial activities | • Housing intensification has led to increasing volumes of construction and demolition industries. Infrastructure is needed to enable businesses to separate, sort and recover resources instead of sending them to landfill.  

• The board supports a deconstruction approach which incorporates the recycling of recovered materials that are effectively diverted from landfill.  

• The board also supports changes to the Crimes Act 1961 (to amend part 10) to enable commercial builders to be prosecuted for irresponsible dumping and waste management. |
| Community recycling centres / Container deposit scheme | • It is proving to be an impossible task expecting residents to transition to ZERO 2040 without providing the tools that enable success.  

• Policy restrictions coupled with no access to recycling plants and financial barriers to disposal of inorganic waste are presenting the community with a lived experience of unhygienic streets littered with significant dumping of household inorganic waste that present a health and
safety risk to residents, young and old.

- The board supports advocating to central government to increase the waste levy and review how it is applied.

- The board supports the implementation of product stewardship schemes and advocating to central government to put in place legislation for a container deposit scheme whereby a refundable deposit is built into the purchase price of drinks which could greatly increase the recycling rate and reduce litter. To be implemented at the same pace as the Fuel tax.

- The local board supports maximising recycling or social enterprise opportunities such as providing free drop off at recycling centers for paper, glass, tins particularly where local recycling centers are not available. Is there an option to provide recycling bins or wire crates similar to clothing recycling bins? It would also make it easier for people in our communities who do not have a vehicle to enable them to drive to recycling centers located outside their board areas.

- Manurewa residents have difficulty in disposing of waste excluded from the criteria and weight limits set by Auckland Council’s policy for inorganic collection. The local board would like to see the return of the previous inorganic collection or a variation of it.

- Targeting environmental/recycling programmes in local schools, marae, community organisations and the business sector would support achieving ZERO waste 2040.

- The board would like to see additional investment into the waste minimisation and innovation fund to provide opportunities and support to local organisations such as Te Awa Ora Trust, a Manurewa-wide social enterprise which includes Talking Trash (recycling, up-cycling and re-using) to work in this space educating, training and creating employment opportunities for local people.

### Hauraki Gulf Islands waste management

- The board supports the implementation of the Tikapa Moana Hauraki Gulf islands draft waste Plan to respond to developing on-island waste solutions.

g) note that the redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May, until then the submissions are available on request by emailing akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

i) submissions on the Manurewa Local Board priorities
ii) the submissions on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Manurewa Local Board area.

CARRIED

Attachment B

**Manurewa Local Board Advocacy Initiatives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advocacy initiatives</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Local Initiative: War Memorial Park</td>
<td>Create Manurewa War Memorial Park as a multi-purpose shared space with improved utilisation of its playing fields and provision of greater access to community facilities.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Manurewa War Memorial Park is a well-loved and utilised park but it needs an upgrade. The community gave us strong feedback that it supports a focus on developing this park as a multi-purpose shared space with improved utilisation of its playing fields and greater access to the park’s community facilities.

There are several components to the project including conducting a needs assessment, generation of a park masterplan, sand carpeting the playing area, adding more playing field lighting and building a multi-purpose community facility. The indicative cost of this upgrade would be around $12 million.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illegal dumping</th>
<th>The Manurewa Local Board area has experienced a significant increase in illegal dumping and this has been evidenced with data from the Waste Solutions team. The board is therefore advocating for the following:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1) That south Auckland be a priority for a community recycling centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) A variation to the inorganic collection. Residents and businesses are calling for the return of the traditional inorganic collection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) Support for a change to the Crimes Act 1961 to target commercial and entrepreneurial illegal dumpers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4) Application of the Lean Model of continuous improvement to create efficiencies and identify savings within existing budgets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5) Support for the development of a rubbish reporting GPS app that interacts with Auckland Council’s CRM reporting tool. This app will allow anyone to take a photo of illegal dumping and will log it using GPS co-ordinates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6) An increase in community engagement and participation in waste management by providing high quality, accessible and relative waste management education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In order to improve and reduce illegal dumping the board supports retaining a weekly cycle for ‘pay as you throw’ pick-ups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maungakiekie-Tāmaki
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Resolution number MT/2018/19

MOVED by Chairperson C Makoare, seconded by Member A Verrall:

That the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board:

a) receives the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report;

b) notes that the following redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May, until then the submissions are available on request by emailing akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz;
   i. submissions on the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki local board priorities
   ii. submissions on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki local board area;

c) approves the following advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion in its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement:
   i. a transit-oriented development at Onehunga that combines rail and bus stops, and provides for future public transport connections, as part of the Onehunga Transform project;
   ii. support for the Ruapotaka marae relocation and rebuild;
   iii. increased investment in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board asset-based services budget allocation to allow for better upkeep of our local assets and for increasing the service levels and quality of programmes and other services offered at our local community facilities;
   iv. Support for addressing coastal erosion in the local area prioritizing the addressing of erosion occurring at Wai-o-taki Nature Reserve.

d) provides the following feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and associated policies:

   Regional Fuel Tax
   i. Supports a regional fuel tax but seeks commitment from Auckland Transport to increase subsidies on public transport to allow fares to be affordable and accessible especially for members in our community that will be severely impacted by the increase in the cost of fuel;
   ii. Requests Auckland Transport to investigate the possibility of providing some direct support to those who need it most including possibly linking subsidies to Community Services Card;

   Environment Targeted Rate
   iii. notes that a key priority for our community is that there is transparency and accountability in managing the work that will be delivered from this funding including within our community;
Council Controlled Organisations (CCO) Accountability Policy

iv. Supports the overall intent and scope of the CCOs Accountability Policy subject to the following amendments and considerations;

v. Endorses the requirement that all parts of council act in the interest of Auckland and supports the expectation that the CCOs and Council including local boards, should have trust and confidence in each other and avoid actions that are perceived to undermine either party in public.

vi. Supports a clear statement of the obligation on CCOs to consult with local boards in the Accountability Policy and ensure that in any decision making or inputs by local boards is clearly defined and sufficient time is given for genuine engagement with local boards.

vii. Supports CCOs developing examples and clear methodologies that exhibit and deliver “best practice” in working with local boards and provides clear accountability in practice.

viii. Seeks clear statements in section 1.1.3 to require that CCOs must give effect and be cognisant of Local Board Plans and where relevant Area Plans to ensure that key local board priorities and projects are supported and integrated.

ix. Acknowledge and support the expectation in section 1.1.4 to Improve Outcomes for Maori ensuring that both Mana Whenua and Mataawaka are part of the overall approach and essential to our relationship with Maori across the whole Council.

x. Endorses section 1.1.7 Understanding and Giving Effect to Auckland’s Shared Governance and the recognition and acknowledgement of local boards as an integral part of the shared governance of Auckland Council.

e) provides the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050.

i. Confirms its support for the Auckland Plan outcomes

ii. notes that Outcome 2 Maori Identity and Wellbeing needs to give strong signal as to how we will financially support initiatives that benefit outcomes for Maori

iii. That sport and recreation could be included more prominently (potentially in participation outcome)

iv. That there could be more of a focus on heritage

v. That there focus more on local economic development as this is a strong outcome in many local board plans

vi. Environmental outcomes, including clean waterways should be more prominent in the Auckland Plan to reflect the feedback and proposed investment.

f) recommends that Council work with waste management companies to investigate innovative ways to repurpose waste, reduce carbon emissions and support other waste research and development initiatives.
g) advocates for the continuation of weekly waste collections as fortnightly waste collection service is unlikely to sufficiently meet the needs of larger households residing in our community. If the service does not meet the need, it will most likely lead to an increase in rubbish dumping around the neighbourhood and an increase in pests which will adversely affect health and wellbeing of communities and the 'look and feel' of the local area.

h) is concerned that there is a community perception that illegal dumping has increased since change in inorganic waste collection service has changed. The local board requests an investigation of local resource recovery facilities and other free or affordable services that will assist members of our communities to dispose of inorganic waste responsibly.

i) notes the recommendation for the deferral of unspent 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure is being addressed in the quarterly report.

CARRIED
附件 B

第 8 项

选泽板决定和输入 2018-2028 年度预算和草案奥克兰计划2050及草案废物管理和经济化计划2018

决议编号 OR/2018/20

选泽板：
a) 接受对选泽板的年度预算2018-2028年度及草案奥克兰计划2050的反馈。
b) 接受对选泽板区域的年度预算2018-2028年度及草案奥克兰计划2050的反馈。
c) 通过奥克兰委员会网站，2018年5月。
   - 写入对选泽板年度预算的反馈。
   - 写入对选泽板区域年度预算2018-2028年度及草案奥克兰计划2050的反馈。

d) 接受对草案废物管理和经济化计划2018年度的反馈。

e) 向管理委员会建议，自2017/2018年度的本地驱动计划（LDI）的运作费用应于2018/2019年度延期。

f) 提供以下反馈，基于选泽板已收到的年度预算2018-2028年度反馈：

   iv) 如果管理委员会决定征收每升10分的区域燃料税（含GST），选泽板要求将该燃料税用于获取更多运输项目及服务的基金。

   v) 支持将水质量固定费率用于加速获得更清洁的港口、海滩及河流。

   vi) 支持将固定费率用于自然环境的$47/年，给每个住宅单位，同时考虑将该费率用于商业。

   vii) 支持针对污染者偿还的费率。

   viii) 支持平均年度费率的增加，首先增加2.5%，然后增加3.5%，用于三年至10年，支持管理委员会的多数提案。

ix) 支持网上住宿提供者将整套房产（非仅客房）用于28天或每年支付的费率。

x) 支持对特定费率用于厨余收集的选项。

xi) 支持保留奥克兰委员会投资有限公司。
xii) supports the overall intent and scope of the Council Controlled Organisations Accountability Policy subject to the following amendments and considerations:

1. supports a clear statement of the obligation on Council Controlled Organisations to consult with local boards in the Accountability Policy and ensure that in any decision making or inputs by local boards it is clearly defined and sufficient time is given for genuine engagement with local boards.

2. supports Council Controlled Organisations developing examples and clear methodologies that exhibit and deliver “best practice” in working with local boards and provide clear accountability in practice.

3. seeks clear statements in the Policy in section 1.1.3 to require that Council Controlled Organisations must give effect to and be cognisant of local board plans and where relevant area plans to ensure that key local board priorities and projects are supported and integrated.

4. endorses section 1.1.7 Understanding and Giving Effect to Auckland’s Shared Governance and the recognition and acknowledgement of the legislated status of local boards as an integral part of the shared governance of Auckland Council.

g) provides feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 based on the consultation feedback from residents and businesses of the Ōrākei Local Board area that the Board has received as follows:

i) supports Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation and requests the inclusion of clear and specific mention of art and culture, seniors, community safety, sport and recreation.

ii) supports Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing along with cultural wellbeing of all Aucklanders.

iii) supports Outcome area 3: Homes and places and requests inclusion of clear and specific mention of the importance of maintaining built heritage in Auckland

iv) supports Outcome area 4: Transport and access namely moving easily around Auckland.

v) supports Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage namely protecting and enhancing our environment.

vi) supports Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity namely equipping people for future jobs.

vii) supports the approach to shaping our growth as proposed by the Development Strategy.

h) formally endorses the Board’s submission on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 as tabled which was presented to the Governing Body committee on 3 May 2018.

i) provides the following further feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 as follows:

i) supports the most important waste outcomes as reducing waste to landfill and carbon emissions, and reducing environmental and marine pollution.
ii) supports the approach to expand the waste minimisation efforts to include the 80 per cent of waste that comes from businesses and commercial activities.

iii) supports the approach to work with businesses to try new approaches to reduce construction and demolition waste, plastics, and organic waste (food, green and other types of organic waste) going to landfill, with the inclusion of specific plastics such as straws and plastic bags being targeted.

iv) supports the Council’s approach to maintain the existing five community recycling centres and to establish seven more by 2024.

v) supports the approach to encourage central government to introduce product stewardship schemes and in particular to reduce plastic and packaging at source.

vi) supports an opt in/opt out option for a targeted rate to fund food waste collection.

vii) supports the approach taken in Tikapa-Moana Hauraki Gulf Islands Draft Waste Plan towards waste management and minimisation for the communities of Waiheke, Aotea Great Barrier, Rakino and Kawau Islands.

viii) supports reverting to the former inorganic collection.

j) approves its advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement as an appendix as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advocacy initiatives</th>
<th>Initiative Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gowing Drive Linkage to Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive Shared Path</td>
<td>A walking and cycling linkage from Gowing Drive in Meadowbank to the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive Shared Path and across to Kohimarama. The linkage would connect the communities of Meadowbank/St Johns and Kohimarama/Mission Bay to each other, as well as decrease the number of vehicles on St Johns and Kohimarama roads. This would also provide many students a more direct and safe option to travel to school.</td>
<td>Governing Body and Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Maiden Park</td>
<td>Implementing the masterplan by retaining its previously funded, growth-related projects.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tāmaki Drive improvements</td>
<td>Improvements to Tāmaki Drive including mitigating flooding risks.</td>
<td>Governing Body and Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadowbank Community Centre redevelopment</td>
<td>The budget for the Meadowbank Community Centre redevelopment needs to remain in 2020/2021 (and not pushed out again).</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merton Reserve BMX</td>
<td>For Governing Body to find land for the BMX club to move to.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Space</td>
<td>Provision of a community space in Remuera.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED
**Ôtara-Papatoetoe**
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Resolution number OP/2018/21

MOVED by Chairperson L Fuli, seconded by Deputy Chairperson R Robertson:

That the Ôtara-Papatoetoe Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

b) approve its advocacy initiatives (Attachment D) for inclusion in its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement, including this key advocacy project:

- Advocating for Colin Dale Park to become a regional park, recognising it is a key regional motorsport facility with regional benefit. Review the ongoing liability of $280,000 for debt servicing because it is an unfair burden on the board (16% LDI) reducing placemaking opportunities and community programme funding.

c) recommend that the Governing Body adopts for inclusion in the Annual Plan 2018/2019 a targeted rate set as a uniform charge per separately used or inhabited part of a property, on residential properties in the Ôtara -Papatoetoe Local Board area, for the purpose of fully subsidising entry to swimming pools for persons 17 years and over. Further, that the local board notes the rate is estimated to be $29.94 including GST, based on current cost estimates and the number of separately used or inhabited parts of a residential property in the Ôtara-Papatoetoe Local Board area.

d) recommend $0 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 to the Governing Body.

e) note that the following redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May, until then redacted submissions are available on request by emailing akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz:

- submissions on the Ôtara-Papatoetoe Local Board priorities;
- submissions on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people and organisations based in the Ôtara-Papatoetoe Local Board.

f) provide feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 (Attachment E).

g) provide feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 (Attachment E).

h) provide feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 (Attachment E).

i) delegate to the Chair to make any minor changes or corrections to the board’s feedback before it is sent to the Governing Body.

**CARRIED**

**Attachments**

A (Attachment D) Ôtara-Papatoetoe Local Board Advocacy List

B (Attachment E) Summary of feedback received for 10-year Budget 2018-2028 for Ôtara-Papatoetoe
## Ōtara-Papatoetoe Advocacy Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocate to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discontinue paying interest on borrowing for Colin Dale Park</td>
<td>Review the ongoing liability of $280k pa for debt servicing because it is an unfair burden on the board (16% LDI), reducing place making opportunities and community programme funding. The whole of Auckland will benefit.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit for purpose sports fields and community facilities that serve our local people</td>
<td>Seek opportunities to upgrade our local sports (sand-carpet, artificial or hybrid) fields and lights, in particular Papatoetoe Recreational Reserve, Rongomai Reserve and Ngati Otara Park. Also the development, renewals and/or refurbishment of: o Ngati Otara Multi Sport Complex o Papatoetoe Town Hall and Chambers o East Tāmaki Community Centre o Te Puke o Tara Sports Park new changing room/toilet block, o Roof renewals, Tui room, Fresh Gallery Otara Library and Tupu Library o Hayman Park – new playground (Stage 2), o Acquire and redevelop Sutton Crescent land for public open space and Kolmar use, o Manukau Sports Bowl – redevelop in accordance with new master plan o Cooper Park (Cooper Crescent) o Museum and Art facility (new facility)</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Reduce alcohol harm                                                       | • Advocate for the local alcohol policy to be made operative as soon as possible  
• Advocate to make Ōtara-Papatoetoe a 'Dry Area' around local schools and dairies  
• Support community groups build their capacity to participate in DLC hearings  
• Advocate to reduce the barriers community participation in DLC hearings, including DLC hearing procedures  
• DLC membership should reflect the diversity and values of community it serves.  
• Continue to advocate to strengthen the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Bill | Governing Body, Central Government, community |
<p>| Reducing gambling outlets                                                 | Continued support of the 'sinking lid policy and building capacity of community groups that support gambling addiction initiatives | Governing Body                    |
| Target 2025 to be Smokefree                                               | Continue to implement Smokefree conditions on all events and grants from the board. Support community groups and programmes that encourage a Smokefree environment. | Governing Body                    |
| Manage the impact of street prostitution                                  | On-going advocacy. No funding required at this stage, as this policy needs to be reviewed after the Local Bill was rejected. On-going advocacy to social service to support vulnerable people. | Governing Body, Police Central Government |
| Improve water quality of the harbours and waterways                       | Prioritise remediation of the Ōtara Lake, and streams flowing into the lakes. Improve water quality of the Puhinui Stream, Tamaki Estuary and the Manukau Harbour through the Water Quality target rate. | Governing Body, Central Government, Panuku |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Improve regional Waste Management</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support the inclusion of social, affordable and well insulated housing in the local area</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Improve development, employment and training opportunities for young people and encourage entrepreneurship</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safer roads and connectivity within our local area</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Promote Transform Manukau and other potential unlock locations</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Ótara-Papatoetoe Local Board Feedback on 10 Year Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Transport: Regional Fuel Tax (RFT) |  - General Support for the RFT  
  - Congestion needs to be fixed  
  - Congestion Charges need to be considered  
  - Need more T2 and T4 lanes to encourage carpooling  
  - Disproportionately affects people in our local board area, this board would like to see more transformation projects in the Otara Papatoetoe area |
| Water Quality Targeted Rate |  - The Ótara-Papatoetoe Supports this targeted rate |
| Environmental Targeted Rate |  - The Ótara-Papatoetoe Support the $47 targeted rate option  
  - This board also acknowledges it will be a burden on our rate payers but that it needs to be done |
| Rates and Charges |  - This local board would prefer a 3.5% general rates increase, provided it would increase levels of services (parks, berms, waste, illegal dumping) instead of the proposed targeted rates |

### Ótara-Papatoetoe Local Board Feedback on Auckland Plan 2050

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome area</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation</td>
<td>The Ótara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing</td>
<td>The Ótara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 3: Homes and places</td>
<td>The Ótara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 4: Transport and access</td>
<td>The Ótara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage</td>
<td>The Ótara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity</td>
<td>The Ótara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development strategy</td>
<td>The Ótara-Papatoetoe Local Board support this high level outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board Waste Management and Minimisation Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultation Period</th>
<th>This board's view is:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Felt rushed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Too many plans to consult on at the same time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Not enough time given to genuinely engage with communities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Issues</th>
<th>Local issues concerning the board are:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Illegal dumping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- New inorganic system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Sale and use of single use plastics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Further Investigation</th>
<th>This board would like more investigation into:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Initiatives to eliminate single use plastics (plastic bags, straws)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Recovery Recycling Centre in the South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Alternative rubbish disposal methods (clean burning)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Papakura
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Resolution number PPK/2018/22

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson F Auva’a, seconded by Member K Winn:

That the Papakura Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

b) approve its advocacy initiatives (outlined below) including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement, as outlined in attachment D to the report entitled “Local board decisions and input into the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, draft Auckland Plan 2050 and draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development of a Park &amp; Ride at the Papakura train station</td>
<td>As a board, we would like to offer alternative transport routes to free up our local roads from congestion. Hence our advocacy to the Governing Body to fund a shortfall, to build a multi-storey park-and-ride facility at the Papakura train station. This project is widely supported by the community and will encourage more people to use public transport.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport, New Zealand Transport Agency, Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional fund for erosion control</td>
<td>With its 3,702 kilometres metre long coastline, Auckland has waterways in all directions. Despite the beauty of that, due to its geography Auckland is also prone to erosion. With climate change happening and more severe weather events and sea level rise to be expected, erosion control becomes increasingly important. The Papakura Local Board is of the view that a regional fund to address this issue is required and should be set aside within the Long Term Plan to deal with this matter.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource recovery centre for the South</td>
<td>To protect our environment for future generations, we must reduce our waste and recycle. The Papakura Local Board is supportive of the establishment of a network of community resource recovery</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>centres that will make it easy, affordable and convenient for people to reuse and recycle unwanted goods. The board would like to see one established and providing services in the South.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investing equally in water quality improvement initiatives</td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board, as a member board of the Manukau Harbour Forum, is of the view that all harbours in the Auckland region need to be recognised equally in terms of addressing water quality issues which should be reflected in the distribution of funding.</td>
<td>Governing Body (through the Manukau Harbour Forum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund</td>
<td>The board supports the proposed increase in the Local Board Transport Capital fund of $10 million as it enables local boards to undertake local placemaking initiatives.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acknowledgement of the Papakura town centre’s metropolitan status and the Integrated Area Plan (Manurewa/Takanini/Papakura) area</td>
<td>Papakura is identified in the Unitary Plan as a future metropolitan centre which means that it will overtime develop into a larger commercial and retail centre to support residential growth in the surrounding area. The board is keen for this transition to happen and to support that, has established the Papakura Commercial Project Group. The board has been advocating for Papakura to become an ‘unlock’ area and for the Papakura town centre to feature in Panuku Development Auckland’s work programme. Work has also been undertaken with regards to the development of an Integrated Area Plan. Looking at the various centre alongside the Great South Road and how they can complement each other rather than compete. A plan has been developed and resources now need to be put into implementing the recommendations out of the plan.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panuku Development Auckland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure to be in place before</td>
<td>Papakura faces significant levels of growth due to a number of large new</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item 8</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **development happens** | developments in and around the local board area, which will continue to put an increased pressure on our current infrastructure. Without a coordinated approach between all the infrastructure providers, Council Controlled Organisations, Central Government and developers, there is a risk that this development will occur in an ad hoc manner. | Auckland Transport  
Panuku Development  
Auckland  
Watercare/Veolia  
Developers  
Central Government |
| **Alleviation of traffic congestion through improving east-west links through grade separation** | Several major transport works are being planned (Mill Road) with some projects already underway like the Takanini interchange upgrade. However, the pace at which the population is growing is faster than the delivery of those key infrastructure networks. The local community, in the various community consultation processes the board and the wider organisation have undertaken recently, identifies traffic congestion as one of the major concerns. Two major projects have therefore been identified by the Papakura Local Board to address this matter:  
- The development of a multi-story (future proofed) park and ride at the Papakura train station and;  
- The grade separation of the Takanini east-west routes | Auckland Transport  
Governing Body  
Central Government |
| **Bruce Pulman Park to be acknowledged as a regional facility** | Keeping active is important for long-term health. Many Papakura residents are involved in sports and Bruce Pulman Park is one of the great facilities in the local board area. The Park, run by a trust, does not only host regional, national and international teams and events, but also provides for a valuable green open space which will help and accommodate the surrounding area | Governing Body |
to further develop of the coming years. The Papakura Local Board would like this facility to be recognised appropriately for the many roles and functions it plays in Papakura.

**Further improve and upgrade the Massey Park outdoor pool**

Many of the Papakura sports facilities already host regional and national events which helps to boost the local economy and provides an opportunity to showcase local talent.

The Massey Park pool has received recent investment to improve the interior and upgrade the indoor swimming pool. The Papakura Local Board is planning further investment to extend the hours of use by installing solar panels to heat the pool. The Board would like to see the outdoor pool also being upgraded to an eight lane facility so it meets the standards for national and international events to take place.

**Continue with the development of the community hub and library at Takanini**

Planning is well underway for a new community hub and library at Takanini. The Papakura Local Board wants to ensure that this new community facility is easily accessible, safe and suited to a variety of purposes.

**The development of the Southern Structure Plan in such a manner that ensures the centres along the Great South Road are being developed in a holistic manner**

The Papakura Local Board is supportive of development of the Southern Structure Plan. However, the plan will need to take into account the impact of any proposed centres on the already established centres along the Great South Road (Papakura and Takanini) to ensure the vitality of these economical centres is being safeguarded.

c) note that at this point there is no Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure for the Governing Body to consider for deferral to the 2018/2019 financial year, however from experience there is often operational projects that are undelivered due to timing issues that need to be deferred.
d) provide the following feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Transport - Regional Fuel Tax</td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board agrees that an increase in revenue is required to speed up progress on roading and public transport initiatives. However, the board fears there will be no direct benefit from revenue raised through a regional fuel tax for employees in South Auckland or Papakura residents because:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• There is a lack of public transport options available for people living in the South, yet a lot of growth is occurring in the South;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The funding is most likely to be spent on projects benefitting central Auckland (Dominion Road connection through to the Auckland Airport). The board does not feel that there have been sufficient reasons given as to why a change to the current funding structure (e.g. the transport levy) is required. The board questions whether or not alternative funding mechanisms have been explored thoroughly enough like for instance:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• congestion charge;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• road tolling;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• congestion charge for the city centre;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• public private partnerships funding mechanisms. The proposed regional fuel tax is usually regressive in nature and will most affect those who can least afford it. The board strongly feels that Council should take measures to mitigate the inequities associated with this form of tax. Council should therefore prioritise investing in improved transport options for South Auckland and Papakura and invest in projects like:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• the Mill Road corridor project;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a third rail line;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a bridge from Karaka to Weymouth;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a rail link to the airport or light rail from Manukau to the airport the airport;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• a rail link loop from Puhinui and/or Onehunga to the airport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Natural Environment - Water Quality Targeted Rate</td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board does not support the concept of a targeted rate. However the board would support a rates increase to support funding of water infrastructure and speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Papakura Local Board, as a member board of the Manukau Harbour Forum, is also of the view that all harbours in the Auckland Council region need to be recognised equally in terms of addressing water quality issues which should be reflected in the distribution of funding.

The board would like transparency about how that funding is being distributed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 8</th>
<th>6. Natural Environment - Natural Environment Targeted Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board has some reservations with regards to the proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The focus of the programme seems to be on initiatives in West Auckland, which seems where the majority of the funding will go.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The board has some concerns about other parts of the region being forgotten like for instance the protection of Kauri trees in the Hunua Ranges and Clevedon area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 8</th>
<th>7. Rates and Charges – proposed rates increase – 2.5% over the next two years with 3.5% in the following years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board understand that targeted rates provide clarity towards the ratepayer with regards to how the rates are being spent, however, it believes a targeted rate is just another way to increase the rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Board also recognises the fact that there is pressure on the council budgets and that if no measures are being taken it will start to effect service delivery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The board believes the rates increase should be higher and more transparent with targeted rates limited to the areas it affects, for example: Waitakere Ranges for a targeted rate for Kauri die back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Board however is aware of the impact that a rates increase will have on low income families and would like to see further work being undertaken to minimise the impact on those who can least afford it.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 8</th>
<th>8. Rates and Charges – Accommodation provider Targeted Rate for online accommodation providers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board has no specific views on the rating of the online accommodation sector proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Board acknowledges the fact that 69% of the submitters from the Papakura Local Board area are supportive of the proposal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 8</th>
<th>9. Other rating issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board is supportive of the Business Improvement District Association plans to extend the Papakura BID targeted rate boundary. This proposal was supported by the local business community and consulted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
on via a balloting process¹.

The Board would also like to see the Papakura BID and the Takanini BID to work closely together.

1. The BID Policy (2016) and Operating Standards requires a successful ballot to achieve a threshold of at least 25% of the total voting forms returned. Of the returned votes, a minimum of 51% of the votes must be in favour of the proposition raised on the ballot form to achieve a mandate. The results of the expansion ballot for the Papakura BID expansion were as follows:
   - 33.3% (60) of the eligible voters returned their vote
   - Of those who voted, 65% (33) voted in favour of the expansion
   - 30% (18) voted against the expansion

### 7. Cemetery fees

The Papakura Local Board is supportive of the proposal to waive the cremation fees for babies under 1 year old and believes this proposal should be extended to children under five years of age.

### 8. Non-strategic asset sales and other revenue generation

The Papakura Local Board is against the sale of any non-strategic assets in the Papakura Local Board area if the proceeds of the sale are not being reinvested into Papakura.

Papakura is an area of extensive growth, with a town centre that has been identified as a future metropolitan centre in both the Auckland Plan and the Unitary Plan.

To guide this transformation, the Papakura Local Board has established the Papakura Commercial Project Group. This group, comprising of urban development experts, local businesses, Council departments and representatives from Auckland Transport and ATEED, is currently working on a framework for the town centre.

In order to achieve the transformation envisaged the Papakura Local Board feels that council should retain ownership of the current sites owned in Papakura in order to direct the development in the preferred direction.

The board strongly advocates for Papakura to receive support from Panuku as Papakura is also part of the Manurewa – Takanini – Papakura Spatial priority area and this should inform the Panuku transform and unlock programme. This will hopefully be reflected in the Planning Committee decision in August 2018.

### 9. Disestablishment of Auckland Council Investment Ltd (ACIL)

The Papakura Local Board believes Auckland Council Investment Ltd should be retained as it should keep its independence/separation from council.

### 10. CCO Accountability Policy

The Papakura Local Board strongly supports the intent and objectives of the CCO Accountability Policy to better align
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11. Co-governance Tūpuna Maunga</th>
<th>Delivering on Auckland Council’s commitment to Māori at a local board level is a priority for the Papakura Local Board hence the board is supportive of the establishment of the Tūpuna Maunga o Tāmaki Makaurau Authority to administer the Tūpuna Maunga.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Local Board Funding Policy</td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board feels that the Local Board Funding Policy should be amended to also reflect the amount of growth occurring in a Local Board area and proposes that this will be included in the funding formula (currently being population, deprivation and land area). The Board also notes that there still seems to be an issue with regards to equity and the legacy council investment into the various assets that Council owns.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e) provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

| Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation | The Papakura Local Board supports the outcome ‘Belonging and participation’ however feels that in the focus areas there needs to be two threads added:  
• ‘sport and recreation’ and  
• ‘arts and culture’. These activities should be specifically referenced in the Auckland Plan as these activities are connecting communities and contribute to the overall health and wellbeing of societies. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing</td>
<td>Delivering on Auckland Council’s commitment to Māori at a local level is a priority for the Papakura Local Board hence the board is supportive of the ‘Māori identity and wellbeing’ outcome. The board would like to point out that there does not seem to be anything recognising the difference between mana whenua and mataawaka in the Auckland Plan and suggests this be included. There is also insufficient Māori representation on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 3: Homes and places</td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board is supportive of the emphasis being put on making the housing system work in Auckland with the aim of providing secure, healthy and affordable homes for all residents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 4: Transport and access</td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board strongly supports the ‘Transport and access’ outcome area in the Auckland Plan. This outcome will support the move to a multi-nodal model of urban development for Auckland. The board would like to point out the challenges for South Auckland with the additional pressure of significant growth happening in the South and the limited existing networks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage</td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board is supportive of the ‘Environment and cultural heritage’ outcome area however would like to point out that the focus areas seem to be solely focused on the environment. The board felt the focus areas should also reflect the built environment and cultural heritage aspects as well. Council could also be ambitious and seek alignment between the Auckland Plan and Low Carbon Auckland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity</td>
<td>Although the Papakura Local Board supports the intent of the ‘opportunity and prosperity’ outcome, the board would like to see the local economic development element captured in the focus areas as well. The board would like to make a plea for specifically mentioning the opportunities provided by working in partnership with external organisations and believes the Southern Initiative should be reflected more prominently in the Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development strategy</td>
<td>The Papakura Local Board believes that Papakura should be mentioned specifically in the ‘Intensifying the existing urban area’ column of the development strategy as it is zoned as a Metropolitan centre in the Unitary Plan. The board is supportive of the concept of creating flexible and adaptable business areas as long as newly created business areas complement already existing areas and not start to compete with established areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

provide the following feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan
## Overall views on waste outcomes

The Papakura Local Board believes that the following outcomes should be prioritised in order to drive change in relation to waste management:

- reducing waste to landfill and carbon emissions;
- reducing environmental and marine pollution;
- creating jobs in resource recovery and processing industries.

The board also believes that the following outcomes should be part of Council's core business:

- delivering value for money for ratepayers and Aucklanders;
- reliability of collection services;
- tidy public places.

## Waste from businesses and commercial activities

The Papakura Local Board support the proposed option 2, ie:

- keep going with the 20 percent of waste council can easily influence AND expand the focus to include the 80 per cent of waste that is commercially managed.

## Working with businesses on approaches to reduce waste

The Papakura Local Board supports the proposed new approaches to reduce commercial construction and demolition waste, plastics and organic waste from landfill.

Education and incentives will be required as contactors only care about getting the job done as quickly as possible and not necessarily about separating out the different waste streams.

This will need to be worked through with the contracting, business sector and those most affected because it potentially could impact on building costs.

The Papakura Local Board supports the supermarkets’ initiatives reducing the usage of plastics bags and wants to see the use of plastic packaging and polystyrene substantially reduced.

## Community recycling centres

The Papakura Local Board believes that the development of a resource recovery network should be given priority.

There are potential social enterprise opportunities in establishing the resource recovery centres.

Local resource recovery centres are needed now. Make
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attachment B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Finance and Performance Committee**
**31 May 2018** |
| **Attachments** |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Container deposit scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Papakura Local Board supports advocacy to central government for product stewardship schemes, including a container deposit scheme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The board is an advocate for product stewardship legislation for environmentally friendly recyclable packaging.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hauraki Gulf Islands waste management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Papakura Local Board supports the Tikapa Moana - Hauraki Gulf Island based waste goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An education component will be required to ensure boaties are educated, informed and commit to those goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The submission form could have had a little more information relating to the initiatives for each island in it that probably would have helped the number of people in the “I don’t know” category understand.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Any other comments about the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Papakura Local Board is supportive of the implementation of a pay as you throw weekly kerbside collection. However, the board is mindful of the impact on those with limited incomes and the potential unintended consequence of increased illegal dumping due to people not being able to afford to pay for disposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At this point the board would not support the reduction of regularity of collection. The board believes moving to a fortnightly collection would:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• exacerbate the incidences of illegal dumping;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• attract vermon;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• create unsanitary conditions for households, particularly those with large families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The board is supportive of progressively introducing kerbside food waste service funded through the rates take.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The board is concerned about the practicalities of three</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bins per unit on the street front for multi-unit and intensive housing developments.

The board asks that when delivering the bins, council ensures there is information attached advising the customer of the commencement dates for use. There have been instances in the Papakura bin roll out where this information was not attached to the new bins which created confusion.

The current inorganic system is not user friendly. People do not understand the system. An “on demand” service would be more appropriate.

Adequate affordable, access and availability to legal rubbish dump sites is essential, including user friendly opening hours.

The disposal of e-waste and hazardous waste needs to be addressed. The continuation of the haz-mobile service is essential. If this service replacement by something else, ensure the information is readily available.

The board believe the “0800 no dump” rubbish reporting line should continue.

The Papakura Local Board also supports the Manurewa Local Board’s feedback in relation to:

- South Auckland being a priority for a community recycling centre;
- The request for a variation to the inorganic collection;
- a legislative change to the Crimes Act to target commercial and entrepreneurial illegal dumpers;
- applying the Lean Model to evidence the true cost of illegal dumping to better inform solution based decision making;
- rubbish reporting via a GPS App.

g) note that the redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May, until then the submissions are available on request by emailing akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

i) submissions on the Papakura Local Board priorities

ii) that submission on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Papakura local board area
iii) The link to the LTP/Auckland Plan submissions for Papakura can be found here

**Attachment D: Papakura Local Board Advocacy Initiatives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Papakura train station multi-storey park and ride facility</td>
<td>Priority 1: Advocate to the Governing Body to fund the $2.5 million shortfall to build a multi-storey park and ride facility at the Papakura train station, which will provide for an additional 300 parking spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura Town Centre safety initiatives</td>
<td>Priority 2: Work together with Papakura businesses, community groups, the Police and the Papakura Business Association to address perceptions of safety in the Papakura Town Centre. We will further implement the recommendations that have come out of the town centre safety review, which may include an upgrade of the CCTV system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangrove removal</td>
<td>Priority 3: Funding a comprehensive programme for mangrove removal, including ongoing maintenance of the affected areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura heritage trail</td>
<td>Priority 4: Preserving our heritage by working with mana whenua and the Papakura Museum to identify wahi tapu (sacred places), taonga (treasures) and heritage buildings and start the development of a Papakura heritage trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar panels and a cover for the Massey Park Pool</td>
<td>Priority 5: Investing in solar panels and a cover for the Massey Park Pool to extend the swimming season and usage of the Massey Park external pool</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Resolution number PKTPP/2018/23

MOVED by Member A-M Coury, seconded by Member S Kaushal:

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

k) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report

l) receive the submissions as tabled at the meeting

m) approve the following key advocacy initiative for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement:

i) the board is seeking that, once Auckland Council owns the Liston retirement village in its entirety, it retains seniors’ housing on the site and also allows for the entrance to Monte Cecilia Park to be expanded

n) recommend $104,000 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 to the Governing Body.

o) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Puketāpapa local board area.

p) provide feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, as follows:

i) supports the proposed Regional Fuel Tax, noting majority support from submitters from the Puketāpapa Local Board area and people who attended the local Have Your Say, who cited the need to improve public transport

ii) supports the proposed Water Quality Targeted Rate, noting very strong support from submitters from the Puketāpapa Local Board area

iii) supports a proposed Natural Environment Targeted Rate, noting that submitters from the Puketāpapa Local Board area were split between option A or B (and that there was also some support for a third option of $67p.a.)

iv) supports a proposed rates increase but notes that, while supporting the proposal, submitters from the Puketāpapa Local Board area had concern about affordability

v) supports the proposed Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate for online accommodation providers, noting strong support from submitters from the Puketāpapa Local Board area

vi) supports the overall intent and scope of the Council Controlled Organisations Accountability Policy (contained in section 5.2 of the Supporting Information document of the 10-year Budget 2018-2028), subject to the following considerations:

• endorse the requirement that all parts of council act in the interest of Auckland and supports the expectation that the Council Controlled Organisations and Council including local boards, should have trust and confidence in each other and avoid actions that are perceived to undermine either party in public

• support a clear statement of the obligation on Council Controlled
Organisations to consult with local boards in the Accountability Policy and ensure that in any decision making or inputs by local boards is clearly defined and sufficient time is given for genuine engagement with local boards and their communities.

- support Council Controlled Organisations developing examples and clear methodologies that exhibit and deliver “best practice” in working with local boards and provides clear accountability in practice
- seek clear statements in the Policy in section 1.1.3 to require that Council Controlled Organisations must give effect and be cognisant of Local Board plans and where relevant Area Plans to ensure that key local board priorities ad projects are supported and integrated
- acknowledge and support the expectation in section 1.1.4 to Improve Outcomes for Maori ensuring that both ManaWhenua and Mataawaka are part of the overall approach and essential to our relationship with Maori across the whole Council
- endorse section 1.1.7 Understanding and Giving Effect to Auckland’s Shared Governance and the recognition and acknowledgement of local boards as an integral part of the shared governance of Auckland Council.

q) provide feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050, as per Attachment A
r) provide feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, as per Attachment B
s) note that the following redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May, until then the submissions are available on request by emailing akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
   i. submissions on the Puketāpapa Local Board priorities
   ii. submissions on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Puketāpapa Local Board area.
t) delegate to the Chair H Doig to respond to any future feedback sought from the Governing Body in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 process.
u) thank officers, Mary Hay and David Rose for their sterling work and attendance.

CARRIED

Items c) i) and f) i) were taken separately together
Resolution number PKTPP/2018/24

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson J Fairey, seconded by Member D Holm:

c) approve the following key advocacy initiative for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement:
   i) the board is seeking that, once Auckland Council owns the Liston retirement village in its entirety, it retains seniors’ housing on the site and also allows for the entrance to Monte Cecilia Park to be expanded

f) provide feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, as follows:
   i) supports the proposed Regional Fuel Tax, noting majority support from submitters from the Puketāpapa Local Board area, and people who attended the local Have Your Say, who cited the need to improve public
transport.

Note: Member E Kumar requested that her dissenting vote be recorded against Items c) i) and f) i).

Attachments

A 20180510 Puketāpapa Local Board Item 12: Local board decisions and input into the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, draft Auckland Plan 2050 and draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 - Feedback on Draft Auckland Plan Refresh 2050

B 20180510 Puketāpapa Local Board Item 12: Local board decisions and input into the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, draft Auckland Plan 2050 and draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 - Feedback on Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018
Rodney
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Resolution number RD/2018/25

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson P Pirie, seconded by Member L Johnston:

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report (Attachment A to the agenda report).

b) receive the consultation feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan (Attachment B to the agenda report).

c) approve the following advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement:

   i. request a local indoor courts facility on Huapai Domain; noting that this is the Rodney Local Board’s key advocacy project

   ii. request that the Governing Body prioritise the allocation of growth funding towards the construction of Greenways paths in the Rodney Local Board area which is an area of high population growth

   iii. request equitable funding for local facilities region wide, such as operational funding for arts centres and community facilities to bring them in line with similar facilities in other local board areas

   iv. request that more funding and resource be made available for compliance monitoring and enforcement, particularly in rural areas

   v. request additional funding for drainage districts in Rodney to address historic underfunding and lack of maintenance in these areas

   vi. advocate for a review of the Auckland Unitary Plan controls for clean and managed fills to determine the effectiveness (or otherwise) of these in managing the adverse effects on the transport network.

d) note that a report on the proposed Rodney transport targeted rate will be the subject of a future report to a business meeting on 24 May 2018.

e) recommend to the Governing Body that $484,400 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 as set out in (Attachment C to the agenda report).

f) provide the following feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028:

   i. support the Regional Fuel Tax provided that it will enable the delivery of the package of projects outlined in the Regional Land Transport Plan that includes key transport projects in Rodney such as $121 million for additional road sealing

   ii. supports the Water Quality Targeted Rate in order to accelerate the water quality work programme over 10 years

   iii. support the Natural Environment Targeted Rate of $47 per annum for the average residential ratepayer (Option B) in order to allow council to
invest more in environmental initiatives

iv. support online accommodation providers that meet particular thresholds paying the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate, and expresses concern regarding how the proposed business rate will be applied and administered

v. support the overall intention and scope of the Council Controlled Organisations Accountability Policy, with the following comments:
   a. endorse the requirement that all parts of council act in the interest of Auckland and supports the expectation that the Council Controlled Organisations and council, including local boards, should have trust and confidence in each other and avoid actions that are perceived to undermine either party in public
   b. support the inclusion of a clear statement of the obligation on Council Controlled Organisations to consult with local boards in the Accountability Policy and ensure that in any decision making, inputs by local boards is clearly defined and sufficient time is given for genuine engagement with local boards
   c. support Council Controlled Organisation developing examples and clear methodologies that exhibit and deliver “best practice” in working with local boards and provides clear accountability in practice
   d. seek clear statements in the Accountability Policy in section 1.1.3 to require that Council Controlled Organisations must give effect to and be cognisant of local board plans to ensure that key local board priorities and projects are supported and integrated
   e. acknowledge and support the expectation in section 1.1.4 to improve Outcomes for Maori, ensuring that both Mana Whenua and Mataawaka are part of the overall approach and essential to our relationship with Maori across the whole of council
   f. endorse section 1.1.7 Understanding and Giving Effect to Auckland’s Shared Governance and the recognition and acknowledgement of local boards as an integral part of the shared governance of Auckland Council.

vi. do not support Land Advisory Services introducing a new charging regime for council-owned land owner approval applications unless it excludes charging community groups and community organisations.

g) provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

   General
   i. note that overall submissions from the Rodney Local Board area show weak levels of support for all focus areas within the outcomes in the draft plan
   ii. expresses its concern that the feedback received on the draft Plan is indicative of the Auckland Plan’s shortcomings in addressing issues of relevance to the people of Rodney.

   Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation
   iii. generally supports the focus areas for the outcome “Belonging and participation” but notes that submissions from the Rodney Local Board area show only 38% support and 27% do not support this focus area.
iv. request the addition of a focus on the key challenges associated with growth and request that the plan place greater emphasis on how to address those key challenges

v. expresses concern that the ‘Focus investment to address disparities and serve communities of greatest need’ does not acknowledge that investment is needed to accommodate growth in rural areas

vi. support references to valuing and celebrating Auckland’s diversity and suggests that the focus on diversity should recognise both demographically and geographically diverse communities in particular coastal and rural communities, not just social/ethnic diversity

vii. support references to resilient, thriving communities and considers that the draft plan should be expanded to explicitly support community-led outcomes, in particular the desire of communities to participate in the delivery and creation of assets for their local community.

Outcome area 2: Maori Identity and Wellbeing

viii. note that submissions from the Rodney Local Board area show only 31% support and 41% do not support this focus area, preferring a more inclusive approach to this outcome.

Outcome area 3: Homes and Places

ix. note that submissions from the Rodney Local Board area show only 28% support and 34% do not support this focus area

x. note that the focus on a compact city does not recognise the level of growth occurring in outlying areas and suggests that greater emphasis needs to be placed on the impact felt in those outlying areas

xi. suggest that, in line with public feedback on this outcome, the plan address issues and perceived failures with existing infrastructure as a priority

xii. note that the current focus on challenges associated with growth does not address the environmental impacts of growth in outlying areas, such as the proliferation of clean fills in non-urban environments

xiii. consider that the draft plan maintains a narrow focus on the city centre and dense urban living and will not resonate with communities that do not meet that description, including those that live within the 46% of Auckland’s land mass that falls within the Rodney ward

xiv. support the focus on “urban areas that work” and suggests that this be expanded to include explicit provision for adequate open space and recreation areas in tandem with growth

xv. question the direction on “secure and affordable homes” given that council’s role in the delivery of such outcomes is limited with central government being the key decision maker in this area and notes that this outcome does not address the needs of the wider community and is not supported by past community feedback that the council should
be focusing on providing core services such as roading.

Outcome area 4: Transport and Access

xvi. generally supports the focus areas for the outcome “Transport and Access” and notes that submissions from the Rodney Local Board area show 41% support and 24% do not support this focus area

xvii. reiterate that transport remains the highest priority for Rodney as a core council function.

Outcome area 5: Environment and Cultural Heritage

xviii. generally supports the focus areas for the outcome “Environment and Cultural Heritage”

xix. support the Focus 1 (encouraging Aucklanders to be stewards of the environment) and Focus 2 (restoring environments) particularly given the pressures resulting from accelerated growth

xx. suggest that Focus 7 (adapt to changing water future) should include a regional focus on improving the health of our harbours and waterways given the unique geography of the Auckland region with its multiple harbours as a key point of difference

xxi. request the inclusion of a focus on understanding the rural environment and the role of rural settlements within the region.

Outcome area 6: Opportunity and Prosperity

xxii. generally supports the focus areas for the outcome “Opportunity and Prosperity”

xxiii. suggest that the draft plan be expanded to explicitly address the issue of the location of employment given its capacity to have positive benefits in terms of growing local communities and relieving pressure on transport infrastructure

xxiv. request the inclusion of a focus on understanding the rural economy within the region.

Development Strategy

xxv. generally supports the “Development Strategy”

xxvi. suggest the Development Strategy refers to business land needing to be in the right locations to support and match residential growth (and employment).

h) provide the following feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 (WMMP):

i. endorse “reducing environmental and marine waste” and “reducing waste to landfill and carbon emissions” as the top priorities for the WMMP

ii. broadly supports the aims and objectives of the WMMP

iii. support advocating to central government for a higher waste levy, noting that an analysis of the economic impact on residents and
businesses will be required

iv. support the proposal to work closer with and expand waste minimisation practices from businesses and commercial activities across Auckland

v. support the focus in the WMMP on the three priority commercial waste streams, including construction and demolition waste and organic and plastic waste

vi. support the Governing Body promoting more innovation from council controlled organisations to trial new products from recyclable materials, such as alternative roading materials

vii. strongly support the establishment and development of Community Recycling Centres across Auckland

viii. support product stewardship schemes being encouraged and developed across Auckland and the rest of New Zealand, including the council advocating for the creation of a container deposit scheme.

Clean and Managed Fill

ix. request that clean and managed fills become a focus under the WMMP, noting that waste for clean and managed fills has considerable impact on rural areas and that by not addressing that impact council will shift the cost of this activity onto the environment and infrastructure (ie roads)

x. request that managing contamination caused by waste (including contaminated fill) should be a focus in the WMMP

xi. support the stated objective in the WMMP to “review licencing of these fill sites, and work with industry to promote diversion and appropriate disposal” and requests that this occur as soon as possible

xii. request that more resource is made available to monitor the compliance of clean and managed fill sites, including a greater monitoring of compliance conditions

xiii. support council investigating the imposition of a levy for clean and managed fill operators in order to incentivise the reduction of waste, improve compliance and recoup costs that are incurred by council as a result of these operators’ activities (i.e. fixing damaged roads).

Rural Needs

xiv. request that the waste management needs of rural communities become a focus under the WMMP

xv. request a definition of “rural” be provided in the WMMP noting that there is no current definition and that many of Rodney’s major townships (including Warkworth, Wellsford, Helensville, Riverhead and Kumeu-Huapai) are more urban than rural and are excluded from “urban” waste management initiatives

xvi. request that the WMMP address the issues associated with illegal
dumping in rural area and the effects of poor waste management practices in our rural environment

xvii. support the exploration of expanding kerbside food waste collection to rural townships to give rural communities a choice to opt into this scheme, which may be on a user pays basis

xviii. support the current practice to allow residents a choice between rubbish bags or bins and encourage efforts to ensure that those bags are biodegradable in the future.

xix. support the proposal to have ‘pay as you throw’ service that gives Rodney residents a choice over their waste provider.

i) note that the following redacted submissions are available on the following link:
https://aklcwm-my.sharepoint.com/:f:/g/personal/localboards_aklcwm_onmicrosoft_com/EkBZIo0bEZhMkVzJs0SLHU8BX10e4ceiHX1DH7FfgE92A?e=OSQl5B

  i. submissions on the Rodney Local Board priorities

  ii. submissions on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Rodney Local Board area.

CARRIED
Upper Harbour
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Resolution number UHCF/2018/15

MOVED by Member N Mayne, seconded by Member U Balouch:

That the Upper Harbour Local Board Community Forum:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

b) note that redacted submissions will be made available on the Auckland Council website in May, and that until then, the submissions are available on request by emailing akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz:
   i. submissions on the Upper Harbour Local Board priorities
   ii. submissions on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Upper Harbour Local Board area.

c) approve its advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, a Sub-regional Multi-use Multi-sport Indoor Facility within the Upper Harbour area, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement, as set in Attachment A to the agenda report.

d) provide the following feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 after considering the feedback received on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 from the Upper Harbour community:
   i) Question 1 (Transport): the board notes that at the time this issue was consulted on, Government’s own proposed fuel tax was not public knowledge. The board has no confidence that the feedback received to council’s proposal would remain the same had consultation occurred after Government’s proposal was released
   ii) Question 2 (Natural environment water rate): the board supports this outcome, but believes that further consideration of re-prioritisation of council’s wider budgets should be undertaken to avoid the need for a targeted rate
   iii) Question 3 (Natural environment, options A and B): the board supports this outcome, but believes that further consideration of re-prioritisation of council’s wider budgets should be undertaken to avoid the need for a targeted rate, and that a stronger partnership approach with central Government should be taken
   iv) Question 4 (Rates and charges): the board has no comment on this issue
   v) Question 5 (Rates and charges, Air BnB): the board has no comment on this issue.

e) provide the following feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 after considering the feedback received from the Upper Harbour community:
   i) Question 1 (relative importance of outcomes): value for money comes through as an important factor for our community
   ii) Question 2 (expansion of focus to the entire waste stream): the Upper
Harbour community view aligns closely with the wider regional view, and the board requests that further consideration be given to the needs of apartment dwellers and their reduced ability to store multiple rubbish bins

iii) Question 3 (new approaches with business waste): support new approaches given the recent success of the pilot programme run in Upper Harbour

iv) Question 4 (community recycling centres): the board supports this approach and re-iterates its previous resolution number UH/2018/16, that the Upper Harbour Local Board area be considered as a location for one of the proposed new recycling centres

v) Question 5 (product stewardship): the board supports this approach

f) provide the following feedback in support of the overall intent and scope of the draft Council-controlled Organisations Accountability Policy, subject to the following amendments and considerations:

i) endorse the requirement that all parts of council act in the interest of Auckland and supports the expectation that the council-controlled organisations and council, including local boards, should have trust and confidence in each other and avoid actions that are perceived to undermine either party in public

ii) support a clear statement of the obligation on council-controlled organisations to consult with local boards in the Accountability Policy and ensure that in any decision making or inputs by local boards is clearly defined and sufficient time is given for genuine engagement with local boards

iii) support council-controlled organisations developing examples and clear methodologies that exhibit and deliver “best practice” in working with local boards and provides clear accountability in practice

iv) seek clear statements in the Policy in section 1.1.3 to require that council-controlled organisations must take account of and be cognisant of Local Board plans, and where relevant Area Plans, to ensure that key local board priorities and projects are supported and integrated

v) acknowledge and support the expectation in section 1.1.4 to Improve Outcomes for Māori ensuring that both mana whenua and mataawaka are part of the overall approach and essential to our relationship with Māori across the whole council

vi) endorse section 1.1.7 Understanding and Giving Effect to Auckland’s Shared Governance, and the recognition and acknowledgement of local boards as an integral part of the shared governance of Auckland Council

vii) request that council-controlled organisations adopt a good employer standard that mirrors that of Auckland Council.

CARRIED
Waiheke
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The chairperson put the motions in parts.
Resolution number WHK/2018/26

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member J Meeuwsen:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

b) approve its advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement.

CARRIED

Resolution number WHK/2018/27

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member J Meeuwsen:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) recommend that the Governing Body acknowledge the allocation of $1 million (debt funded at the rate of $100,000 per annum from LDI operating expenditure budget) towards development of a community swimming pool, noting this was approved by the Governing Body in FY16 and the project is progressing.

CARRIED

Resolution number WHK/2018/28

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member J Meeuwsen:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

d) recommend to the Governing Body that $155,000 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure be deferred to 2018/2019.

CARRIED

Resolution number WHK/2018/29

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member J Meeuwsen:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

e) note that the redacted public submissions from Waiheke residents or organisations are now available through the Auckland Council website at the following link:
https://aklcmd-my.sharepoint.com/:f:/g/personal/localboards_aklcmd_onmicrosoft_com/Es6Jg02XcSdiHh2axihj1wG8B5lpjIb-esALINjPNEf8-uw?e=2Yn39U

CARRIED

Resolution number WHK/2018/30

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member S Brown:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

f) provide the following feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028:

i. Governance: The board notes strong community support to increase local board authority for local decision-making, and that
this aligns with the principles of the Waiheke Local Board pilot project.

ii. Matiatia: The board notes strong community support for this priority; particularly improved parking, public transport, cycling and pedestrian access and more functional facilities.

Resolution number WHK/2018/31

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member J Meeuwsen:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

f) iii. Water quality targeted rate: the board supports the proposed water quality targeted rate and note that improvement of stormwater infrastructure is required on Waiheke due to flooding and slips and the impact on the receiving environment in particular the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

iv. Natural environment targeted rate: The board supports Option B – a targeted rate of an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer, however request that funding be more evenly spread to deliver more outcomes within the pest management plan and the greater Hauraki Gulf Marine Park.

Resolution number WHK/2018/32

MOVED by Member J Meeuwsen, seconded by Chairperson C Handley:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

f) v. General rates increase of 2.5 percent: The board requests there be a focus within the organisation to further reduce the council costs.

Resolution number WHK/2018/33

MOVED by Member S Brown, seconded by Chairperson C Handley:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

f) vi. Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate: The board supports the introduction of the proposed tiered rate.

Resolution number WHK/2018/34

MOVED by Member J Meeuwsen, seconded by Member S Brown:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

f) vii. Land owner approvals: The board support the introduction of a new charging regime for council-owned land owner approval applications for commercial and private applicants with an exclusion for community groups and community organisations.

Resolution number WHK/2018/35

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member S Brown:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

f) viii. Community Art Gallery funding: The board request the Governing Body address the inequity of legacy funding for art galleries, and develop a policy framework for determining the funding of Asset based services which include community art galleries.
Resolution number WHK/2018/36
MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member J Meeuwsen:
That the Waiheke Local Board:
  g) provide feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 through Chairperson C Handley, following a further workshop on the subject.
  CARRIED

Resolution number WHK/2018/37
MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member S Brown:
That the Waiheke Local Board:
  h) noting the overwhelming support for the following from the Waiheke community, provide the following feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018:
     i. that as part of the Waiheke Local Board Pilot Project, delegations be approved by the Governing Body to the Waiheke Local Board to give effect to The Tikapa-Moana Hauraki Gulf Islands Draft Waste Plan within the Waiheke local board area
     ii. that Auckland Council promote locally based service providers across all the waste streams that ensures on-island control of the full waste stream with community participation within the Waiheke local board area.
     iii. that Auckland Council promote, develop and provide an on-island Community Resource Recovery Park on Waiheke and inclusion of that to be within the next tier of funding.
     iv. that Auckland Council promote, develop and implement a Zero Waste Waiheke strategy in accordance with the waste hierarchy.
     v. that Auckland Council promote, develop and implement a comprehensive strategy to deal with visitor generated waste in the Waiheke local board area.
  CARRIED

Appendix A: Advocacy initiatives
A key role of the local board is to advocate for initiatives that the local board may not have decision-making responsibilities or funding for this annual plan, but recognise the value it will add to the local community. Key advocacy areas for this local board include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Why is it a priority?</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matiatia Strategic Plan</td>
<td>The board is progressing a strategic plan for council owned land at Matiatia and requests that any future development is guided by this plan and appropriate funding is allocated within the Long-term Plan 2018-2028 (LTP) for both transport and non-transport infrastructure related priorities. The board requests that as part of this plan, the Governing Body review the status of the Panuku Development Auckland managed land.</td>
<td>Governing Body&lt;br&gt;Auckland Transport&lt;br&gt;Panuku Development&lt;br&gt;Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td>Why is it a priority?</td>
<td>Advocating to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality roading and infrastructure that supports Waikato’s character and water management needs</td>
<td>At Matiatia on the basis that a significant financial return on investment (at purchase) is clearly unrealistic and this status has been delaying an outcome to the multitude of issues that exist at Matiatia.</td>
<td>Governing Body Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchment management planning</td>
<td>The board requests appropriate funding to deliver quality roading, footpaths and a cycling network to a standard consistent with the rest of Auckland (taking into account Waikato character). This includes effective water management using water sensitive design techniques.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor Impact</td>
<td>The board seeks funding for increased services, infrastructure maintenance and improvement projects which address the impact of tourism while also providing local benefit. This could include implementing a visitor levy or advocating to the Governing Body for visitor growth funding.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Swimming Pool</td>
<td>The board requests provision for funding of a Community Swimming Pool within the Long-term Plan 2018-2028 (LTP) as this is a local priority and an identified gap within the Community Facilities Network Plan.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine protected areas</td>
<td>The board supports the creation of a network of marine reserves and other protected areas linking the islands in its area of jurisdiction.</td>
<td>Governing Body Department of Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devolution of decision-making to Local Boards</td>
<td>The board seeks active support from the Governing Body, Auckland Transport and Panuku for the agreed Waikato Pilot to provide an increased level of decision-making and greater flexibility on matters such as transport and placemaking projects; particularly for decisions within the roading corridor and with regards to local acquisition and divestment of Panuku property in the local area, such as at Matiatia and Ostend. The pilot will also provide an opportunity for local policy and planning issues to be advanced and for increased local operations leadership.</td>
<td>Governing Body Auckland Transport Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenways</td>
<td>The board wish to secure a regional capital fund to further develop a world class destination greenway network on Waikato.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Restoration and environmental programmes</td>
<td>Waikato has many unique natural environments which have been neglected over time. Local budgets will not sufficiently enable the continuation of staged ecological restoration and weed management programmes. There needs to be an integrated approach to these programmes across the council, community groups and private landowners.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td>Why is it a priority?</td>
<td>Advocating to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Animal) Pest Free Waiheke</td>
<td>Development of a tailored animal pest management programme involving the community could result in the island becoming free of animal pests by 2023 (with stouts the priority) and see Kiwi released within that time.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant pest management</td>
<td>Invasive weeds are a major threat to Waiheke and other islands’ ecosystems. There needs to be greater support for community/council programmes and partnerships which aim to eradicate moth plant, rhamnus and pampas grass from the island, and control pest plants on land buffering Whakanewha Regional Park and other ecologically significant sites such as Te Matuku and Motukaha.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pest Plant management in road corridors</td>
<td>Road corridors are major pathways for weeds and failure to manage this issue creates a disincentive for adjoining landowners to manage pest plants on their properties. Auckland Council needs to set an example of good management practice using a 'minimum herbicide' approach and working with volunteers and adjoining landowners.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior and community housing</td>
<td>The number of Waiheke residents aged 65-plus has increased by 53.7 per cent since 2006 and there is a lack of residential care and respite facilities to meet the needs of this aging population. There is also a lack of community housing options. The board are investigating utilising non-service council property at Belgium Street and Waitai Road (managed by Panuku) for this purpose.</td>
<td>Governing Body, Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangihoua / Onetangi Sports Park</td>
<td>The Local Board is determined to see a Reserve Management Plan developed for Rangihoua / Onetangi Sports Park with urgency which will be either developed as a part of a new Island wide open space omnibus plan, or have its own, or as a part of the Regional Parks omnibus management plan. Irrespective, regional funding will be required to enable regional and local outcomes for conservation, sport and recreation.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artworks complex upgrade</td>
<td>The Artworks complex provides a valuable arts and culture facility for the community and for visitors to the island. The Artworks Needs Assessment report identified a number of areas requiring attention including building condition issues. Governing Body support is required to progress future upgrades of the complex. The board also request the Governing Body address the inequity of legacy funding for art galleries, and develop a policy framework for determining the funding of asset based services which include community art galleries as a priority.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable safe transport options including development of cycleways</td>
<td>Safe active transport options such as pedestrian, cycling and bridle path networks are a priority as many Waiheke roads are steep, narrow and not well suited to walking and cycling. Investment will provide low impact tourism options, support an active lifestyle and provide safe options for our community and school children.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharf tax</td>
<td>Wharf tax collected from Waiheke ferry services should be transparently allocated to Waiheke facilities</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Why is it a priority?</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waste management</td>
<td>That as part of the Waiheke Local Board pilot project, delegations be provided to the board to give effect to The Tikapa-Moana Hauraki Gulf Islands Draft Waste Plan (WMPP) to ensure on-island control of the full waste stream with community participation, including the development of on-island Community Resource Recovery Park within the next tier of funding, a Zero Waste Waiheke strategy and a visitor impact strategy. Commercial waste management including construction and road-building waste is now recognised as a region-wide challenge and is also a focus for us on Waiheke Island.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ongoing advocacy areas:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Advocate to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of more park land to extend the regional network and to provide additional camping facilities for visitors to Waiheke Island.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support local contractors for council projects on the island to improve the community’s capacity, and assist with the health, resilience and sustainability of the local economy.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of catchment management plans for all catchments on Waiheke, with Little Oneroa, Tawapiereira and Blackpool being the first priorities.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic tanks retrofit scheme – expansion to the rest of the island.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that both Matiatia and Kennedy Point are preserved as transport terminals hubs.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritise safe walking and cycling to schools and supporting the Travelwise programme for Waiheke’s schools.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of cycleways on Waiheke and support for the New Zealand Cycle Trail.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke accessible transport plan is developed and implemented.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consult, review and advocate to Auckland Transport for implementation of changes to ensure The Esplanade is a useable space which meets community and tourist aspirations.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimise bus routes, including more bus routes and more frequent bus services, as per the high frequency networks on the isthmus, with Park and Ride facilities at Onetangi, Rocky Bay, and Ostend and Surfdale, and a bus shuttle from Matiatia to Oneroa via Owahanae carpark.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocate to Auckland Transport to support modern and more environmentally-friendly and accessible buses and bus stops, including kneeling buses and capacity to carry bikes, wheelchairs, pushchairs and luggage.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement an integrated ticketing system for Waiheke ferry and bus services, a subsidy or equity on routes, and to maintain off-island bus travel for Fullers monthly pass holders at no additional cost.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport to support ferries from both wharves being incorporated into the Public Transport Operation Model (PTOM) system.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure any regional cycle training programmes/initiatives are to be included on Waiheke.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport to support a Rakino and inner island ferry from Matiatia.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td>Advocate to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure the board are provided with all roading projects and footpath design plans and projects, containing sufficient detail and with enough time to provide for meaningful consultation to prioritise the safety of our active transport users and the protection of our waterways.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Install more scooter / motorbike parking, including charging stations for electric bikes at Matiatia and tyre inflation facilities.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Install a covered bike shelter and more parking for bikes at Matiatia.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocate for marine sewerage pump out facilities at Matiatia, Kennedy Point and Half Moon Bay.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate contouring at the Owhanake carpark to ensure maximum capacity is achieved.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure the board receives prior notification of roading projects on Waiheke, detailing the proposed works, the rationale for these works, the timeframe and consideration of such issues as “low impact” solutions rather than kerb and channeling.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved ferry passenger facilities, in terms of comfort, safety and flow, to meet demands of visitors, commuters and occasional users including those with accessibility issues. This includes enclosed gangways on the Matiatia wharf.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure continued accessibility and connectivity from lower Queen Street and Quay Street to the Central Area Wharves, including during major events, with a primary emphasis on seamless connectivity for ferry users to Hospitals and the airport.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work with local businesses and community groups to encourage visitors in the shoulder and low seasons.</td>
<td>ATEED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review heritage protection and use of the Harbormasters house.</td>
<td>Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that council owned properties are utilised as effectively as possible by the community.</td>
<td>Governing Body Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A copy of the *Advocacy and Feedback on 10-year Budget and Regional Plans and Deferrals updated* were tabled and a copy has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Resolution number WTK/2018/38

MOVED by Chairperson G Presland, seconded by Member S Tollestrup:

That the Waitākere Ranges Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report

b) receive the submissions as tabled at the meeting

c) approve its advocacy initiatives, tabled as Attachment A including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement

d) recommend $58,500 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 to the Governing Body tabled as Attachment B

e) provide feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 as outlined in Attachment A

f) provide feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050 as outlined in Attachment A

h) note that the redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May 2018 however, until then, the submissions are available on request by emailing akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

i) submissions on the Waitakere Ranges local board priorities

ii) that submission on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Waitakere Ranges local board area.

CARRIED

Attachments

A 20180510 Waitakere Ranges Local Board Item 12: Local board decisions and input into the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, draft Auckland Plan 2050 and draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 - Advocacy and Feedback on 10-year Budget and Regional Plans

B 20180510 Waitakere Ranges Local Board Item 12: Local board decisions and input into the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, draft Auckland Plan 2050 and draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 - Deferrals updated
Attachment B:

Advocacy and Feedback on 10-year Budget and Regional Plans

Waitākere Ranges Local Board Advocacy Initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advocating for the Glen Eden Urban Design Framework and Implementation Plan to be fully funded in the 10-year Budget.</td>
<td>Key advocacy project of the local board. Has been over a decade since any investment has been made in the public realm elements of the Glen Eden town centre. Glen Eden is the largest centre in the Waitakere Ranges local board area.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing advocacy to seek a return to historic levels of funding to be made available for heritage area programmes under the local board’s decision-making control.</td>
<td>Seeking a return to of previous levels of funding to deliver a specific programme of activities to respond to the Waitakere Ranges Heritage Act. This was reduced by over $100,000 in 2017 from previous 8 years of programme support.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding to deliver aspects of Waitakere Ranges ‘greenways’ plan</td>
<td>Deliver on an identified a network of local walking and cycling connections do reduce reliance on individual car journeys</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding to progress the now closed Te Henga Quarry to become either a regional or local park</td>
<td>The Te Henga Quarry closed for commercial operations in 2014, a rudimentary level of remediation has been undertaken by the commercial operators. A community and financial commitment was undertaken by the Waitakere City Council to develop the site into a space for community use. Financial returns were taken by Waitakere City Council and Auckland Council. However, since closing and receiving the asset back from Panuku Development Auckland council staff, have not been resourced to develop a plan for future use, and no allocation appears in the 10 year Budget for the delivery of any elements contained in such a plan.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Waitākere Ranges Local Board Feedback on 10-year Budget

### 10-year Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Issue / Plan</th>
<th>Summary of Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Transport - Regional Fuel Tax          | • Support regional fuel tax proposal  
• Is more equitable with regards to cost as is based upon consumption and use.  
• Will also have environmental benefits as will support increased investment in public transport and cycleways. Persons may give greater consideration to coordinating travel and single trips. |
| Natural Environment - Water Quality Targeted Rate | • Support the proposed water quality targeted rate.  
• Note that the targeted rate will achieve significant environmental improvements.  
• Identify that while most infrastructure improvements will see the acceleration of projects in Waitemata isthmus, a proportion of funding will directly benefit local board area through reduced storm water to Manukau Harbour and septic tank related activity around west coast lagoons.  
• Additionally also note the flow on effect of enabling other projects to be delivered earlier outside of those covered by the targeted rate as capital programme is accelerated.  
• Request that an integrated Auckland Council, WaterCare and Auckland Transport strategic plan be developed and resourced with regards to improving the environmental state and community access of the Manuaku Harbour. |
| Natural Environment - Natural Environment Targeted Rate | • Support additional funding being made to deliver Natural Environmental programmes as feel that since inception Auckland Council has been reducing its financial and staff resourcing of the Natural Environment programme and has not been fulfilling duties expected of a Unitary Authority that has regional environment responsibilities.  
• Propose a higher environmental targeted rate of $66 average increase – Made up of Option 2 plus ability to fully fund the delivery of the current proposed Regional Pest Management Plan.  
• Note that there is significant regional support for an increase in funding to the Natural Environment area. |
### Rates and Charges — proposed rates increase

- Support a higher that 2.5% average rates increase as at a local level levels of services have dropped around maintenance and renewals of assets in parks, across our Council facility buildings, and in our town centres. The only areas that have new community infrastructure being built are Greenfield development areas and this is still at a very basic level and with limited local board influence.

- Propose 3.5% average rates increase to enable increase in environmental targeted rate and to ensure investment in community facilities and other core infrastructure at an equitable level across all Auckland.

### Rates and Charges — Accommodation provider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted Rate for online accommodation providers</th>
<th>The local board area is outside of proposed targeted zone.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Other budget information

- Support bringing Auckland Council Investments Limited (ACIL) back into council organisation rather than being a Council Controlled Organisation (CCO)

---

**Waitākere Ranges Local Board Feedback on Regional Issues — Auckland Plan and Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auckland Plan</th>
<th>Summary of Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General feedback</td>
<td>• Note that the local board has twice provided feedback to support drafting of the Auckland Plan 2050.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• In developing the refreshed Auckland Plan a decision has been made to create a more condensed document and this appears to have left some gaps in the document around some core city issues being faced such as health and wellbeing, increasing older persons population, and the role of arts in a creative city.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The local board acknowledge that staff in briefings, have noted that many policies have been developed since the first Auckland Plan and set direction, however the LB do not feel these linkages between AP and policies can be assumed and that the Council policies are for Council whereas the Auckland Plan is to set a framework for the city as a whole including groups such as; corporates, educational institutes, District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Boards, and Mana Whenua trusts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Perceive that the use of phase cultural heritage appear to be different to standard use of phrase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify that historic heritage picks up aspect of post-colonial activity and should be explicitly added.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Development strategy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Advocate a changing in timing of development strategy and that Glen Eden should be identified for significant development before the projected 11-30 years. The local board propose this should be in the 1-3 year phase as multi-story development and public transport oriented development and supporting activity is already occurring and town centre needs investment now.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Note the Unitary Plan zoning in Glen Eden supports significant intensification around the town centre and rail/bus transport node. There is capacity in the waste and storm water systems and a supportive political environment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall views on Waste outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Note that the local board has previously given feedback on the pre-consultation draft plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support the overall intent of waste minimisation and reducing environmental impacts, through education, pricing, and actions encouraging more sustainable processes and life styles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste from businesses and commercial activities</th>
<th>Support proposal.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Working with businesses on approaches to reduce waste</td>
<td>Support proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community recycling centres</td>
<td>Support proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container deposit scheme</td>
<td>Support proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hauraki Gulf Islands waste management</td>
<td>The local board area is outside of proposed targeted zone.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Resolution number WTM/2018/39

MOVED by Chairperson P Coom, seconded by Deputy Chairperson S Chambers:

That the Waitematā Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report and the tabled Attachment A.

b) receive consultation feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 from people or organisations based in the Waitematā Local Board area set out in Attachment B to this report.

c) recommend to the Governing Body that $499,000 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure be deferred to 2018/2019 as set out in the tabled Attachment C.

d) provide the following feedback on the proposed 10-year Budget 2018-2028

i) support the proposed regional fuel tax of 10c (plus GST) per litre to fund transport projects and services with the expectation that additional funding raised will be prioritised towards improving public transport and active transport.

ii) support a targeted rate of $66 per year for the average residential ratepayer and $308 for the average business ratepayer to fund water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams.

iii) support a targeted rate of $47 per year for the average residential ratepayer and $219 for the average business ratepayer to adequately tackle kauri dieback disease and provide better protection of our native species and ecosystems.

iv) support an average general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years of the Long-term Plan and then 3.5 per cent for years three to 10 to fund Auckland’s growth and to maintain adequate and appropriate arts, community, recreation and parks service levels.

v) support treating online accommodation providers, who meet the agreed thresholds and criteria, the same way as other accommodation providers and be subject to business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate.

vi) support a targeted rate to fund waste food collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection.

vii) support the overall direction of the Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan.

viii) support the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited.

ix) support the Mayor’s proposal to increase funding for the Auckland Art Gallery.

x) support retaining the current level of public arts funding.

xi) oppose any further base-level increase in the UAGC because it tends to increase deprivation of families on lower incomes in lower valued properties who have tended to have higher than average valuation increases in the...
recent revaluation.

Resolution number WTM/2018/40
MOVED by Chairperson P Coom, seconded by Member V Tava:

That the Waitematā Local Board:

e) provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050
   i) confirm our support for a bold and ambitious 30-year spatial plan, which responds to the challenges facing Auckland and sets a strategic direction for Auckland.
   ii) urge that the Auckland Plan 2050 includes a new Focus Area called Enhancing Our Quality of Life or similar which would cover:
       • specific reference to each of the four wellbeings; social, economic, environmental and cultural and objectives that integrates the four wellbeings
       • explicit reference to built heritage that reflects the importance and value of built heritage to Aucklanders
       • a greater focus on arts and culture with the core value of Auaha (creativity, innovation) having a greater emphasis throughout the draft plan
       • a greater focus on sport and recreation and recognition of the key role this plays in the draft plan’s outcomes
   iii) We also urge the addition of the following to the Plan
       • clear targets to measure the success of the plan including:
         • zero net carbon emissions
         • transport targets covering access to jobs, traffic congestion, mode share (use of public transport, walking and cycling), household transport costs and deaths and serious injuries (these cover measures 1 – 5)
         • swimmable beaches
         • rates of home building
       • A bolder approach towards increasing our significant environments and urban forest cover

Resolution number WTM/2018/41
MOVED by Chairperson P Coom, seconded by Member V Tava:

That the Waitematā Local Board:

f) provides the following feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018.
   i) note the public feedback on the Draft Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 (the draft Plan) and the strong support for the overall direction of the draft plan and the proposals consulted on.
ii) confirm our commitment to the vision of Zero Waste Auckland by 2040, taking care of people and the environment, and turning waste into resources.

iii) support the overall direction of the draft Plan.

iv) support the focus of the key actions set out in the draft Plan being waste from businesses and commercial activities, working with businesses to try new approaches to reduce waste, continue developing the community recycling centres network and working with central government to introduce product stewardship schemes.

v) does not support a centralised collection of food waste.

vi) support a decentralised food waste system that encourages more people to compost at home as a priority.

vii) support a decentralised food waste collection service which grows the capacity of composting locally by leveraging the existing resource recovery infrastructure in a way that supports local and small scale initiatives for composting and local re-use in our parks and community gardens.

viii) support advocating to central government to increase the waste levy to $140 per tonne.

ix) support advocating to central government to amend the Building Act to regulate diverting construction material from landfill.

x) support advocating to central government to introduce Container Deposit legislation to reduce litter, increase recycling streams and create jobs and a source for community funds.

xi) support working with central government to end the use of single use plastic, styrofoam and eliminate single use plastic bags.

xii) support all Council and Council Controlled Organisations supported or promoted events to be Zero Waste.

xiii) support all Council and Council Controlled Organisation facilities to be Zero Waste.

xiv) support all Council and Council Controlled Organisations “walking the talk” to work towards Zero Waste 2040.

xv) support the inclusion of specific targets aimed at reducing litter and dumping.

g) provide the following additional feedback:

i) that Auckland Council champions high profile development e.g. Headland Park and event e.g. America’s Cup to be zero waste to showcase best practice and the potential for successful waste minimisation.

ii) that the Plan identifies long-term commercial waste collection solutions for the city centre that does not involve retailers putting out plastic rubbish bags each day.

iii) partner with BIDS on initiatives to assist businesses minimise waste to landfill and avoid cluttering town centres with rubbish bags.

iv) a requirement that all events on council facilities and/or land be Zero Waste events.

v) council resource recovery facilities be utilised as localised community composting facilities and depots for drink containers (thereby covering their
costs by accessing a small percentage of the container deposits).

vi) utilising the waste minimisation levy (and potential increase in funding if the waste levy increases) for an increased emphasis on waste education focusing on waste minimisation.

vii) more public place recycling in high-traffic areas in urban areas and in parks (leveraging off Love NZ initiatives).

viii) increasing public awareness of the five Community Recycling Centres and development of the centre at Western Springs.

ix) litter prevention and education targeted on the city centre particularly focused on cigarette litter.

x) providing innovative waste solutions to multi-unit developments and apartments e.g. worm farms for small balconies,

xi) fund projects that accelerate New Zealand towards becoming a Circular Economy where we keep resources in use for as long as possible.

CARRIED

Resolution number WTM/2018/42

MOVED by Chairperson P Coom, seconded by Member V Tava:

That the Waiapu Local Board:

h) approve its advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion as an appendix to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement as set out in the tabled Attachment D to this report.

i) note that the following submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May 2018

   i) submissions on the Waiapu Local Board priorities.

   ii) submissions on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and the draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Waiapu Local Board area.

CARRIED

Attachment D

Advocacy initiatives

May 2018 (Tabled)

A key role of the local board is to advocate for initiatives that the local board may not have decision-making responsibilities or funding for this annual plan but recognise the value it will add to the local community. Key advocacy areas for this local board include:

1. The following is the priority unfunded capital project for the local board:
2. The following are priority advocacy areas for the local board:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affordable Housing</strong>&lt;br&gt;Ensure Auckland Council actively builds or enables others to provide affordable housing through appropriate mechanisms and tools.</td>
<td>Auckland Council should be actively building or enabling others to be providing affordable and fully accessible housing meeting universal design criteria on its own land, including building more intensified affordable housing on its existing and new pensioner housing complexes. Council should also prioritise, support and partner affordable housing to be provided by iwi and by community housing organisations and providers through advice, bonds, guaranteeing loans, and resource and building consents.</td>
<td>Governing Body&lt;br&gt;Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Housing solution for homeless people</strong>&lt;br&gt;Deliver short and medium-term housing solutions to address homelessness</td>
<td>The Governing Body to partner with the Waitematā Local Board to enhance provision of city centre public facilities such as toilets, showers and lockers&lt;br&gt;The Governing Body to financially support the development of Mission HomeGround&lt;br&gt;The Governing Body to increase funding to support Housing First Auckland</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work towards eliminating agrichemical use</strong>&lt;br&gt;Secure a budget to eliminate agrichemical spray and embrace the commitment to minimise agrichemical use.</td>
<td>The Governing Body needs to allocate funds to conduct extensive non-chemical weed control and to increase the overall maintenance budget for parks, open spaces and the road corridor to enable the elimination of agrichemicals.</td>
<td>Governing Body&lt;br&gt;Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reduce wastewater flows into waterways and the Waitematā Harbour</strong>&lt;br&gt;To increase funding for water quality improvements to accelerate the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams and support the delivery of localised solutions for all four catchments (Meola Reef, Grey Lynn, City Centre and Parnell/ Newmarket)</td>
<td>Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage and stormwater systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We support accelerating the water quality programme over 10 years to deliver the required infrastructure to provide a major and early reduction in the volume and frequency of wastewater overflows and contaminants entering waterways and the Waitematā Harbour.</td>
<td>Governing Body&lt;br&gt;Watercare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ensure Auckland’s regional arts and cultural institutions and programmes are financially sustainable
To secure appropriate funding to ensure the financial sustainability of projects, facilities, venues and events including the delivery of the free entertainment programme currently delivered by Regional Facilities Auckland

Auckland is fortunate to host a range of significant art and cultural institutions and collections which provide rich cultural opportunities. We support ensuring that these institutions and regional programme of events are strengthened and made financially sustainable.

Auckland Art Gallery is a major cultural centre for Auckland for visual art, both historic and contemporary. We support increasing funding to the Art Gallery by $20m over the next ten years as part of the 2018-2028 Long-term Plan.

Governing Body

The following are other advocacy areas for Waitemātā Local Board:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open and Closed Cemetery Maintenance Budget - Secure a regional maintenance budget to maintain a base service level for open and closed cemeteries. There is currently no budget for specialist conservator services to deal with the repair and maintenance and specialist graffiti removal on monuments.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parnell Station – Parnell Station opened in early 2017 to limited services. The Board wants to ensure Parnell Train Station is operational to full services and accessible to all users at the earliest opportunity. This station has the potential to be the fourth busiest station on the network and will be an essential connection for the University of Auckland, Parnell Town Centre, the Domain, Stanley Street and Beach Rd.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parnell Train Station Pedestrian and Cycling Connections - Provide accessible pedestrian and cycling connections to Parnell Station. Open the Greenways route from the Strand through the old Parnell tunnel and create an accessible pedestrian connection from Parnell Station to the Domain, the Strand and Parnell Town Centre.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Domain Capital Improvements Budget – Secure adequate capital funds to progress the Auckland Domain Master Plan.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Community Recycling Centre Drop Off sites - Secure the acquisition of a second drop-off facility and the completion of a main processing site which, together with the site being developed on Great North Road, will comprise a regional waste management network that will service the needs of Waitemātā, Albert-Eden and Pukekāpapa local boards. This is part of council’s adopted Waste Management and Minimisation Plan forward work programme and the resource recovery network which underpins part of this work.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt Erin Pool Redevelopment Initiative - Prioritise Pt Erin Pool for redevelopment according to the recommendations endorsed by the Waitemātā Local Board in 2013 in relation to two potential development concepts.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland is a Child and Youth Friendly City - Continue to put children and young people first and Auckland to become a child and youth friendly city that is fit for all. The Board advocates for a city where the voices, needs, priorities and rights of children are an integral part of public policies, programmes and decisions. A child and youth friendly city can help build social and economic conditions for strong families and connected communities and help achieve a city that is fit for all.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Cycle Network - Completion of the Auckland Cycle Network. The completion of the Auckland cycling network will provide improved cycle infrastructure through safe, connected, dedicated cycle ways. The Auckland Plan includes a target of completing the Auckland Cycle Network by 2030.</td>
<td>Governing Body, Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City of Peace</strong> - to support the development of a regional policy</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>that prohibits the marketing and sales of weapons of war in council</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>facilities including facilities managed by Council Controlled</td>
<td>Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ponsonby Road Arts Precinct</strong> - support the creation of an arts</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>precinct at 1-3 Ponsonby Road through transferring 3 Ponsonby</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road from a commercial lease to a community arts facility, subject</td>
<td>Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to the outcome of a business case.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Area Plans</strong> – budget to be allocated to the development</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of local area plans.</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carlile House</strong> - ensure the restoration and protection of</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlile House and consider acquisition if necessary.</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Built Heritage Acquisition Fund</strong> – support an effective built</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heritage acquisition fund to help save at risk buildings or other</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>built features that have heritage merit from destruction by neglect,</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by purchase, short term hold or sale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Broadway and Station Square Access</strong> - improve access between</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway and Station Square in Newmarket and link to the Newmarket</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laneways Plan.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Restoration and protection of the St James Theatre</strong> - council</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provides support to the Auckland Notable Properties Trust in the</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restoration and protection of St James Theatre.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auckland Council Living Wage</strong> - ensure that there is budget</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provision of a ‘living wage’ for council employees and</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>progressively for contractor employees.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CCO Low Carbon Targets</strong> - advocate to the Governing Body for</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCOs to include low carbon targets in their Statements of Intent.</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single Use Plastic</strong> - support mechanisms to reduce single use</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plastic and eliminate single use plastic bags.</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full Council ownership of Ports of Auckland</strong> - ensure Ports of</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Ltd remains in full council ownership.</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment Policy</strong> - follow a policy of social and environmentally responsible investment.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Light Rail</strong> - develop light rail within the isthmus.</td>
<td>Governing Body,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Victoria Quarter</strong> – take action to progress the City Centre</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Plan objectives for the Victoria Quarter area including</td>
<td>NZTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson Street to deliver a quality urban neighbourhood that</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enhances the area’s historic character and where the safety of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pedestrians is prioritised.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safer Streets</strong> - Auckland Transport to adopt a target of zero</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>serious injuries or deaths on our roads as part of a</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comprehensive safe systems approach to road safety including</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>road design, enforcement, safer speeds and driver education.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential Slow Speed Zone</strong> – Support the default speed being</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reduced to 40 km per hour in residential areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>City Centre 30km per hour Zone</strong> - Auckland Transport to</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implement the city centre 30km per</td>
<td>Regional Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hour speed zone (as described in the City Centre Masterplan)</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximise Renewal and Maintenance Opportunities</strong> - Consider how</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>every renewal and maintenance project can be leveraged to improve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the road design for all users including layouts that include bus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lanes, greenways, and cycle lanes, remove cycle pinch points and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>add better pedestrian crossings and street trees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Improved Safety and Amenity for Pedestrians** - Auckland Transport to improve intersections with substantial foot traffic for pedestrians and developing solutions to improve safety and amenity for pedestrians. This includes all intersections with left-slip lanes and no pedestrian facility, intersections with long pedestrian crossing delays undertaking route optimisation for pedestrians in the city centre including automatic pedestrian phases on one-way streets.

**Change Give Way Rule** - Auckland Transport to advocate for a change of the give way rule requiring motorists to give way to pedestrians crossing parallel to the priority (main) road at intersections.

**Residential Parking Schemes** - Auckland Transport to continue implementing residential parking schemes to manage commuter parking in central Auckland suburbs following consultation with residents.

**Consultation on the Footpath Renewal Plan** - Auckland Transport to develop the 2018/2019 footpath renewal programme in consultation with the Waitetā Local Board.

**Greenways Prioritised Routes** - Auckland Transport to work with Auckland Council to deliver the Waitetā Local Board Greenways prioritised routes.

**Other Waitetā Local Board Plan priorities** - Council Controlled Organisations (CCOs) to support other Waitetā Local Board Plan priorities including:
- Support the introduction of low impact storm water solutions in the local board area;
- Support delivery of green walls, roofs and community gardens on CCO assets such as car parking buildings;
- Implementation of the Waitetā Local board’s Low Carbon Action Plan;
- Implementation of Auckland’s City Fringe Economic Development Action Plan
- Increase in street trees

**Street trees and greenery** – Auckland Transport to provide opportunities for greenery in every streetscape improvement and renewal and Auckland Council to meet the consequential operational expenditure for maintenance.

**Implementation of the Smoke Free Policy** - Auckland Transport continue to implement the Auckland Council smoke free policy in relation to all transport areas, including train stations, train platforms, bus stations, bus shelters and ferry terminals in the Waitetā Local Board area.

**Additional Auckland Harbour Crossing** – Auckland Transport to design the Additional Harbour Crossing incorporating dedicated rapid public transport connections.
Whau
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Resolution number WH/2018/43

MOVED by Chairperson T Mulholland, seconded by Member D Battersby:

That the Whau Local Board:

a) receive the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report.

b) receive the submissions as tabled at the meeting.

c) note that the following redacted submissions will be made available through the Auckland Council website in May
   • submissions on the Whau local board priorities
   • that submission on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and draft Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Whau local board area.

d) approve its advocacy initiatives, including its key advocacy project, for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2018/2019 Local Board Agreement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whau pool and recreation centre</td>
<td>Advocate for a new pool and recreation centre in the Whau to be funded in the first 5 years of the Council 10 year budget to enable: a) the securing of the location before suitably sized sites become unavailable b) exploration of public/private partnerships with major urban developers in the Whau.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of local board Locally Driven Initiative budget levels</td>
<td>Advocate for a review of local board funding levels as soon as possible to enable the Board to: a) increase support community partners who are facing increased costs b) respond to regional strategies and frameworks e.g. Urban Ngahere/Forest c) deliver better on new Board roles and duties e.g. local economic development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding for Te Whau pathway project</td>
<td>Advocate for funding for Te Whau pathway to be included in the Auckland Transport capital programme as part of the adopted Regional Land Transport Programme and for council continue to support and resource the project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e) recommend $ 65,000 of 2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating expenditure to be deferred to 2018/2019 to the Governing Body

2017/2018 Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operating projects to be deferred to 2018/2019

The following projects meet the criteria for deferral under the Local Board Funding Policy:

- Town centre revitalisation - $55,000
- Youth connections - $3,000
- Healthy Rentals - $7,000
f) provide the following feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Whau Local Board Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Natural Environment - Water Quality Targeted Rate | a) support the targeted rate option for water quality  
b) note that there is significant regional support for an increase in funding to the natural environment area  
c) support this rate investing in Manukau Harbour programmes.                                                                                           |
| Natural Environment – Natural Environment Targeted Rate | Whau Local Board support option B of an average rate of $47 per residential property and $219 per business property, providing for ecosystem and species protection including addressing kauri dieback disease and notes that there is significant local and regional support for an increase in funding to the natural environment area. |
| Rates and Charges – proposed rates increase     | Whau Local Board support the 2.5% rates increase conditional on the implementation of the regional fuel tax.                                                                                                              |
| Rates and Charges – Accommodation provider Targeted Rate for online accommodation providers | Whau Local Board support the targeted rate, noting the need for careful consideration of income thresholds so that for example older adults who are receiving a low level of income or accommodating students are not penalised. |
| Avondale community facility replacement         | Welcome the inclusion of the project in the budget and look forward to a timely development                                                                                                                               |


g) provide the following feedback on the draft Auckland Plan 2050:

General comments

Whau Local Board:

i. note that the local board has previously provided feedback to support development of the Auckland Plan 2050.

ii. note that the outcomes and objectives in the Whau Local Board plan strongly align to the Auckland Plan outcomes.

iii. note the Whau community support shown in the recent consultation for the outcomes.

iv. is supportive of the intent of the outcomes overall, with some specific comments below:

Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation

Arts and cultural activities should be more strongly referenced in the Auckland Plan as they play a substantial role in connecting communities and contributing to the overall health and wellbeing of societies.

Active living and sport and recreation are not acknowledged in Direction 2.

Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing

The special relationship with Maori as tangata whenua should be recognised throughout the Auckland Plan.

There does not seem to be any recognition of the difference between mana whenua and mataawaka in the Auckland Plan. Suggest this be included.

Outcome area 3: Homes and places
Item 8

Pleased to see that the built quality of existing dwellings, including rentals, will be improved.

Commentary is missing on the role of sport and recreation in public places and how that will be affected by the pressures of a growing city. Providing space for sport in the public realm will be an ongoing challenge.

**Outcome area 4: Transport and access**

There is strong alignment in the Whau Local Board plan with this outcome area. Walking links need to be more strongly acknowledged alongside cycling. The role of travel to education is not considered strongly enough. Levels of congestion drop markedly when schools are on holiday regularly demonstrating the negative impact of a badly planned school commute on Auckland’s transport system.

**Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage**

The board is pleased that the importance of environmental protection and that reducing greenhouse gas emissions is highlighted.

**Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity**

Note the need to clearly identify the indirect influence local boards can have on economic development and the need to better understand the opportunities and best practice. A strong future focused approach in this outcome is welcomed.

**h) provide the following feedback on the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018:**

| Waste from businesses and commercial activities | Support proposal |
| Working with businesses on approaches to reduce waste | Support proposal |
| Community recycling centres | Support proposal |
| Container deposit scheme | Support proposal |
| Hauraki Gulf Islands waste management | The local board area is outside of proposed targeted zone |