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10-year Budget 2018-2028 and Auckland Plan 2050 consultation feedback report for Great Barrier Local Board

1. Purpose

This report summarises feedback relating to the Great Barrier Local Board received through the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and Auckland Plan 2050 consultation. This includes:

- Feedback on the Great Barrier Local Board priorities for 2018/19.
- Feedback on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 and Auckland Plan 2050 from people or organisations based in the Great Barrier local board area.

The feedback received will inform the Great Barrier Local Board decisions on allocation of their local budgets in their local board agreement for 2018/2019. It will also inform the Great Barrier Local Board input and advocacy on regional budgets and proposals that will be agreed at their business meeting on 8 May and subsequently discussed with the Finance and Performance Committee on 17/18 May.

2. Executive Summary

This report summarises consultation feedback on the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 (including on local board priorities for 2018/19) and the Auckland Plan 2050.

Auckland Council received feedback in person at community engagement events, through written forms (including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters) and through social media.

Feedback on Great Barrier Local Board priorities for 2018/2019

Thirty-eight written submissions were received on Great Barrier Local Board priorities for 2018/19, showing that the majority of people (60 per cent) either partially support (46 per cent) or support (34 per cent) the local board’s priorities.

The local board consulted on the following five priorities:

1. Continuing to support community initiatives including the Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding.
2. Continuing to support environment initiatives such as funding a biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3.
3. Providing subsidies for:
   - baby and child burials (0-12 years)
   - herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor
4. Continuing to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a marine and terrestrial research and education centre investigation, and completing an island 10-year infrastructure plan.
5. Continuing to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris
Feedback on regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 from the Great Barrier local board area

Out of the 26,556 written submissions received on the regional proposals in the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, 38 submissions were from people living in the Great Barrier Local Board area.

**Issue 1: Transport**

Feedback shows 56 per cent of local people support the regional fuel tax however 25 per cent of those responders requested an exemption for Aotea Great Barrier Island. Therefore overall most local people were unsupportive of the regional fuel tax for Aotea Great Barrier Island specifically.

Mana whenua are supportive of an exemption for Aotea Great Barrier Island from the regional fuel tax.

**Issue 2: Natural Environment**

Feedback shows 66 per cent of local people support the water quality targeted rate. Non-supportive feedback indicates a key theme of local people having their own septic systems.

Mana whenua are in support of a water quality targeted rate.

Feedback shows 84 per cent of local people were in support of a natural environmental targeted rate, with a marginally higher percentage of feedback for option B, followed closely by a preference for option C.

Mana whenua are in support of option B for a natural environmental targeted rate.

**Issue 3: Rates and charges**

Feedback shows 50 per cent of local people support the proposed rates increase.

Mana whenua are supportive of the proposed rates increase.

Feedback shows 48 per cent of local people support the accommodation provider targeted rate. A key theme emerged requesting an exemption for Aotea Great Barrier Island.

Mana whenua support an exemption for Aotea Great Barrier Island for the accommodation provider targeted rate.

**Issue 4: Other changes and budget information**

Great Barrier Local Board did not receive any submissions specifically on these questions. Feedback on the Auckland Plan 2050 from the Great Barrier Local Board area

Out of the 26,556 submissions received on the Auckland Plan 2050, 38 submissions were from people living in Great Barrier local board area.

**Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation**

Nineteen written submissions were received showing that the majority of people (84 per cent) either partially support (42 per cent) or support (42 per cent) outcome area 1: Belonging and participation.

**Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing**

Twenty written submissions were received showing that the majority of people (70 per cent) either partially support (20 per cent) or support (50 per cent) outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing.

**Outcome area 3: Homes and places**

Twenty-two written submissions were received showing that the majority of people (68 per cent) either partially support (32 per cent) or support (36 per cent) outcome area 3: Homes and places.

**Outcome area 4: Transport and access**
Twenty-one written submissions were received showing that the majority of people (81 per cent) either partially support (19 per cent) or support (92 per cent) outcome area 4: Transport and access.

Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage
Twenty-three written submissions were received showing that the majority of people (78 per cent) either partially support (30 per cent) or support (48 per cent) outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage.

Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity
Twenty-one written submissions were received showing that the majority of people (71 per cent) either partially support (33 per cent) or support (38 per cent) outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity.

Shaping our growth
Twenty-one written submissions were received showing that the majority of people (77 per cent) either partially support (41 per cent) or support (36 per cent) Shaping our growth.
3. Context


The 10-year Budget 2018-2028 sets out our priorities and how we’re going to pay for them. The Auckland Plan 2050 sets our long-term direction and looks at the important challenges we need to address.

The 10-year Budget also includes information on each local board’s priorities for 2018/19. These priorities have been informed by in the development of the Actea Great Barrier Local Board Plan 2017.

Auckland Council also consulted on the Regional Pest Management Strategy and the Waste Management and Minimisation Strategy at the same time. The feedback received on the Waste Management and Minimisation Strategy is presented in a separate report. The feedback received on the Regional Pest Management Strategy will be presented later in the year (following decisions on the 10-year Budget).

Types of feedback

Overall Auckland Council received feedback through the following channels:

- Written feedback – 26,556 hard copy and online forms, emails and letters.
- In person – the council interacted with a total of 5,374 people through 39 Have Your Say events and 61 community events.
- Social media – 114 comments were received through Facebook and Twitter.
3. Feedback received on Great Barrier Local Board priorities for 2018/19

Consultation was held from 28 February to 28 March 2018. The board held two Have Your Say events on 24 March at Claris and Okiwi. Thirty-eight written submissions were received on Great Barrier Local Board priorities for 2018/19.

Attached to this report are all the written submissions and feedback recorded at the Have your Say events for further information.

Two written submissions were received from groups (Destination Great Barrier Island and Great Barrier Island Environmental Trust) and 36 were individual submissions.

Two written submissions were received from mana whenua: Ngāti Rehua - Ngātiwai ki Aotea Trust and Ngātiwai Trust Board.

The tables below outline how the submissions were received and how people heard about the consultation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>submissions</th>
<th>How did you hear about it?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online form</td>
<td>Radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People’s Panel form</td>
<td>Our Auckland online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email form</td>
<td>Social media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Our Auckland</td>
<td>Have Your Say website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>form</td>
<td>Local Newspaper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email non form</td>
<td>Our Auckland print</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Our Auckland</td>
<td>People’s Panel newsletter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>form</td>
<td>Local board e-newsletter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Attended an event or workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gave feedback previously</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Great Barrier Local Board consulted on the following five priorities:

1. Continuing to support community initiatives including the Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding.

2. Continuing to support environment initiatives such as funding a biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3.

3. Providing subsidies for:
   - baby and child burials (0-12 years)
   - herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor

4. Continuing to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation, and completing an island 10-year infrastructure plan.

5. Continuing to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris.

Key themes across all feedback received (through written and event channels) were:

- Overall support for the community initiatives. A key theme was for Dark Sky Sanctuary to become self-funding
- Overall support for the environmental initiatives. Key themes were for a focus on marine protection, more focus on biodiversity/biosecurity projects and more action on the Ecology Vision
• Support for the burials subsidy. Non-support for the herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor
• Overall support for the infrastructure initiatives, especially the cemeteries initiatives. A key theme was for further consultation on the other infrastructure initiatives.
• Overall support for the key advocacy project for Claris micro grid with electric vehicle chargers

A summary of the feedback received through each channel is provided below.

Feedback received through written submissions

Thirty-eight submissions were received on Great Barrier local board priorities for 2018/19, showing that the majority of people either partially support (46 per cent) or support (34 per cent) the local board’s priorities.

A key theme emerging for the partial support feedback was for more focus on council areas and ‘not to encroach into other non-council related areas’. Another key theme was for more emphasis on environmental initiatives.

1. Community initiatives (Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding)

• Sixteen written responses were supportive of the community initiatives
• Eight written responses were partially supportive of the community initiatives
• Two written responses were non-supportive of the community initiatives
• Mana whenua were supportive of the community initiatives
• Key themes in the feedback particularly in the partially supportive responses were:
  • Dark Sky Sanctuary is an ‘economic initiative’ and could become ‘self-funding’
  • Life-long Learning is ‘non-council’ related

2. Environment initiatives (biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3)

• Fifteen responses were supportive of the environment initiatives
• Seven responses were partially supportive of the environment initiatives
• Three responses were non-supportive of the environment initiatives
- Mana whenua were supportive of the environmental initiatives
- Key themes in the feedback particularly in the partially supportive responses were:
  - Very supportive of the biodiversity/biosecurity officer role
  - Not particularly supportive of the ecology vision project due to vagueness and ‘lack of action’
  - Request for increased support for biosecurity/biodiversity initiatives

3. Subsidies for:
   - baby and child burials (0-12 years)
   - herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor

   ![Subsidies Graph]

   - Nine responses were supportive of the burials and herbicide-free subsidies
   - Ten responses were partially supportive of the burials and herbicide-free subsidies. The comments mostly stated support for the burials subsidy and non-support for the herbicide-free subsidy
   - Five responses were non-supportive of the burials and herbicide-free subsidies. The comments mostly stated non-support for the herbicide-free subsidy
   - Mana whenua were supportive of the burials and herbicide-free subsidies
   - Key themes in the feedback related to the non-support of the subsidy for herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor due to effective best practice outcomes

4. Infrastructure initiatives (Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, cemeteries, a research and education centre, 10-year infrastructure plan)

   ![Infrastructure Graph]

   - Fourteen responses were supportive of the infrastructure initiatives
   - Twelve responses were partially or non-supportive of the infrastructure initiatives
   - Mana whenua were supportive of the infrastructure initiatives
   - Key themes in the feedback related to specific support for the cemeteries initiatives and requests for further consultation for the other infrastructure initiatives

5. Key advocacy project: Claris solar micro grid with electric vehicle charger

   ![Advocacy Project Graph]

   - Eighteen responses were supportive of the key advocacy project
   - Seven responses were partially or non-supportive of the key advocacy project
   - Mana whenua were supportive of the key advocacy project
Feedback received through events
The Great Barrier Local Board held two Have your Say events on 24 March at Claris and Okiwi. Feedback was received from 24 people.

1. Community initiatives (Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding)
   • One verbal feedback point was received supporting the Life-long Learning Strategy

2. Environment initiatives (biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3)
   • Twelve verbal feedback points relating to environmental initiatives were received
   • Feedback mentioned requests for marine protection and specific local biosecurity/biodiversity issues

3. Subsidies for:
   • baby and child burials (0-12 years)
   • herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor
   • There was no feedback received at the Have Your Say events on either subsidy

4. Infrastructure initiatives (Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, cemeteries, a research and education centre, 10-year infrastructure plan)
   • Nine verbal feedback points relating to infrastructure initiatives were received
   • Feedback mentioned infrastructure initiatives not listed in the question such as a visitor centre, haul out facility, connectivity and tracks/walkways

5. Key advocacy project: Claris solar micro grid with electric vehicle charger
   • Two verbal feedback points were received supporting the key advocacy project

Feedback received through social media channels
No feedback was received from the following social media channels for Great Barrier Local Board.

Feedback on other local topics
Key themes across feedback received on other local topics included tourism, affordable housing and marine protection.
Information on submitters

The graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.
4. Overview of feedback received on the 10-year Budget from Great Barrier Local Board area

The 10-year Budget 2018-2028 sets out our priorities and how we’re going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed 10-year Budget focused on four key issues:

- Issue 1: Transport
- Issue 2: Natural Environment
- Issue 3: Rates and charges
- Issue 4: Other changes and budget information

The written submissions received from the Great Barrier Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

**Issue 1: Transport**

Aucklanders were asked their opinion on whether they would support a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system.

*Question 1: We want to improve our transport system. As the population grows, congestion (and the pollution it creates) is getting worse. Safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax of 10 cents per litre (plus GST) be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services. What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?*

The graph and table below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.

**Written submissions:** 31 responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Do not support</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Have your Say responses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q1 Fuel Tax</th>
<th>support</th>
<th>non support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most common themes for the responses to a regional fuel tax were:

- Ten written and one verbal response were supportive of the regional fuel tax
- Six written and one verbal response were supportive of the regional fuel tax with an exemption for Aotea Great Barrier Island due to high fuel costs and lack of public transport
- Thirteen written and nine verbal responses were non-supportive of a regional fuel tax due to high fuel and freight costs and lack of public transport
- Mana whenua were non-supportive of a regional fuel tax and would be supportive of an exemption for Aotea Great Barrier Island.
**Issue 2: Natural environment**

Aucklanders were asked whether they would support a water quality targeted rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 2: Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage and stormwater systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $65 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value. What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The graph and table below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.

Written submissions: 32 responses

- **Support**: 13%
- **Do not support**: 22%
- **Other**: 66%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Have your Say responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q2 Water rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most common themes for the responses to the water quality targeted rate were:

- Twenty-three written and five verbal responses supported the water quality targeted rate
- Nine written and three verbal responses were non-supportive of a water quality targeted rate mostly due to the island having their own sewage systems
- Mana whenua are supportive of a water quality targeted rate

People were also asked if they supported a natural environment targeted rate. Two options (A and B) were provided for the natural environment targeted rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 3: Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate (based on your property value) at one of two levels described below.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option A – a targeted rate of an average rate of $21 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to make limited improvements to environmental protection, mainly focusing on kauri dieback disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option B – a targeted rate of an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to spend more on tackling kauri dieback disease, and allow us to better protect our native species and ecosystems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more to protect our environment?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The graph and table below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.

Written submissions: 33 responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Have your Say responses</th>
<th>C3 Env rates</th>
<th>support</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>non support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most common themes for the responses to the natural environmental targeted rate were:

- Twenty-five written and five verbal responses were supportive of the natural environmental targeted rate
  - Four written and three verbal responses stated support only
  - Four written responses stated support for option A
  - Ten written and one verbal responses stated support for option B
  - Nine written and one verbal response stated support for another option (C) including up to fully funding the Regional Pest Management Plan
- Nine written and one verbal response were non-supportive of a natural environmental targeted rate
- Mana whenua are supportive of option B of a natural environmental targeted rate
- A key theme emerging in both the supportive and non-supportive responses was that Kauri Dieback is considered a government programme and should not be supported at a regional level

Issue 3: Rates and charges

People were asked about a proposed rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years and 3.5 per cent for years three to 10.

Question 4: For this 10-year Budget we are proposing an average general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years and then 3.5 per cent for years three to 10. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

The graph and table below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.

Written submissions: 30 responses
The most common themes for the responses to the proposed rates increase were:
- Eighteen written and two verbal responses were supportive of the proposed rates increase
- Twelve written and five verbal responses were non-supportive of a proposed rates increase
- Mana whenua are supportive of a proposed rates increase
- Key themes that emerged in both supportive and non-supportive responses related to council’s need for transparency and accountability of spending

Secondly people were asked about whether online accommodation providers that meet particular thresholds should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate.

**Question 5:** We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g., Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

The graph and table below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.

Written submissions: 33 responses

The most common themes for the responses to the accommodation provider targeted rate were:
- Fifteen written and three verbal responses were supportive of the accommodation provider targeted rate
- Seventeen written and four verbal responses were non-supportive of the accommodation provider targeted rate
- Mana whenua seek an exemption for Actea Great Barrier Island for the accommodation provider targeted rate
A key theme that emerged in the responses related to an exemption for Aotea Great Barrier Island due to high demand on the tourism industry and a shortage of accommodation on island.

**Issue 4: other changes and budget information**

Aucklanders were asked if they had any other feedback on matters in the consultation document.

**Question 7:** Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection), Tūpuna Meunga Authority Draft Operational Plan or the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited.

Aotea Great Barrier Local Board did not receive any submissions specifically on these questions.
5. Overview of feedback received on the Auckland Plan 2050 from Great Barrier Local Board area

The Auckland Plan 2050 sets our long-term direction and looks at the important challenges we need to address in the following outcome areas:

- Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation
- Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing
- Outcome area 3: Homes and places
- Outcome area 4: Transport and access
- Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage
- Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity.

There is a question in the feedback form that relates to each outcome area. The questions and text below are directly from the feedback form. The feedback from the Great Barrier Local Board area is set out under each question.

Question 1: An inclusive Auckland
In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Belonging and Participation will achieve this?
The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.

Written submissions: 19 responses

![Pie chart showing support and opposition](chart)

Question 2: Advance Māori well-being
The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being.

Do you think the seven focus areas identified in Māori Well-being and Identity will achieve this?
The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.

Written submissions: 20 responses
Question 3: Affordable homes
Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some key workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Homes and Places will achieve this?

The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.

Written submissions: 22 responses

Question 4: Moving easily around Auckland
People lack choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system that accelerates progress on walking, cycling and public transport and makes better use of existing networks.

Do you think the seven focus areas identified in Transport and Access will achieve this?

The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.

Written submissions: 21 responses
Question 5: Protecting and enhancing our environment
Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes utilising every opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment as growth and development happens.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Environment and Cultural Heritage will achieve this?

The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.
Written submissions: 23 responses

Question 6: Equipping people for future jobs
Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes Aucklanders will need to adapt to the coming changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Opportunity and Prosperity will achieve this?

The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.
Written submissions: 21 responses

Question 7: Shaping our growth
Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth.

Do you think the proposed approach for enabling growth will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Great Barrier Local Board area.
Written submissions: 21 responses
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Item 14

Support 41%
Do not support 36%
Partially 23%

Attachment A
AUCKLAND PLAN / 10-YEAR BUDGET SUBMISSION

Our key message

We want to input meaningfully into the future of Auckland. From the outset, we think the priority is to change the current mindset – it’s time that we were true partners and work together to achieve common goals. Engagement and relationships with mana whenua as individuals is a priority and we want to work more effectively with council to affect change in our respective roles.

Key issue: Auckland transport

The council seems to prioritise and focus on urban areas, how are rural areas catered for? How would a fuel tax address inequity in socio-economic equality? A fuel tax could increase the inequity. An anticipated outcome is that regional fuel tax will be paid but the rewards will be invested elsewhere and not in support of Maori outcomes.

Regional fuel tax is not a solution for Maori; it will increase deprivation levels – we need transport to get to work; there are more Maori on lower incomes who will be charged the same as those on higher incomes. Does the regional tax make life easier for Maori? – No.

Ngati Manuhiri rohe stretches across the Mahurangi, like our whanaunga Ngati Rehua we are over-represented in education, income, health and cultural statistics. Our area is one of Auckland’s fastest growing zones for new housing developments and coastal holiday homes and we need roads that can cope with the higher congestion. We also seek better and regular public transport from our area to Auckland.

Ngati Rehua are traditionally based on Aotea and the Mahurangi, we are over-represented in low income, lower education and poorer health statistics. Our people need subsidised transport to and from the island to the mainland, we need better maintained and sealed roads in the North of the island and better infrastructure.

NGATI MANUHIRI AND NGATI REHUA DO NOT SUPPORT A REGIONAL FUEL TAX IN ANY WAY SHAPE OR FORM FOR MAORI.

NGATI MANUHIRI AND NGATI REHUA SEEK BETTER TRANSPORT OPTIONS INCLUDING ROADS AND PUBLIC TRANSPORT FOR OUR ROHE.

AUCKLAND PLAN / 10-YEAR BUDGET SUBMISSION

Key issue: Housing

Affordability is a major issue; there is a lack of priority focus on Maori housing needs. Unfairly the Mayor and council is focusing on a scale of economies; Maori do not have the land space to afford this. Maori are priced out of the housing market and there appears to be little support for smaller scale housing development programmes. Council resource consenting processes are very expensive and are an added burden of cost onto Maori, there is little relief or rebates or cost neutral incentives from council to Maori wanting to build their own homes on Maori land.

The overall crisis of housing particularly in Auckland is of serious concern to Ngati Rehua and Ngati Manuhiri especially in areas where there is recognition of high cultural significance and value by mana whenua and these considerations appear to be diminished in favour of SHAs / housing developments by council and developers. We do not support any areas of cultural or historical significance to be minimised or eliminated for housing developments.

Many Maori whanau simply cannot afford to buy their own homes in Auckland or rent warm, dry affordable houses. Auckland council needs to provide better rent-to-own home solutions that recognise lower incomes and therefore providing realistic opportunities to support whanau onto the home ownership ladder is important we think it’s ridiculous identifying home affordability at 600k+ this is not affordable when the average income for Maori is between 35k to 45k pa. In addition, we would like to see Auckland council provide more council owned rental units for lower income and pensioners and kaumataua.

NGATI REHUA AND NGATI MANUHIRI DO NOT SUPPORT ANY HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ON LAND THAT WE RECOGNISE AS CULTURALLY SIGNIFICANT.

NGATI REHUA AND NGATI MANUHIRI SUPPORT COUNCIL TO WORK WITH US TO INTRODUCE PROJECTS INCLUDING TRADITIONAL PAPAKAINGA & MODERN HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

NGATI REHUA AND NGATI MANUHIRI SUPPORT COUNCIL TO PROVIDE AFFORDABLE RENTAL HOUSING OPTIONS FOR THOSE ON LOW INCOME AND VULNERABLE GROUPS

AUCKLAND PLAN / 10-YEAR BUDGET SUBMISSION

Key Issue: Environment
The Mayor and council need to understand and support iwi, hapu management plans. The council does not embed the core values and aspirations of Ngati Manuhiri and Ngati Rehua in relation to our kaitiakitanga principles into their planning and consents, heritage, resource development. We want the council to support us and mana whenua in our kaitiaki management plans by using our plans, documents, strategies and not just quote our policies when it suits.

Council needs to develop a better environmental strategy that addresses our concerns and risks including:

- Water quality and management i.e. wai ora
- Water use and monitoring i.e. pollution
- Land use and exploitation i.e. waste
- Pests and diseases i.e. Kauri dieback, aquatic pests
- Biosecurity i.e. containers

We want council to work in partnership with us. We know our environment, risks and needs. We are concerned that there is a lack of cultural understanding from council officers in relation to our kaitiakitanga and this is unacceptable. The Mayor and council needs to start addressing our issues and reorient the resources so that we can continue with looking after our environments for the benefit of all.

NGATI MANUHIRI AND NGATI REHUA DO NOT SUPPORT AND STRONGLY OPPOSE COUNCIL APPROVED DUMPING OF ANY WASTE IN OUR OCEAN AND WATER SPACE

NGATI MANUHIRI AND NGATI REHUA ARE SEEKING FROM COUNCIL A FIRM COMMITMENT TO USE AND IMPLEMENT OUR ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLANS and PLANS

AUCKLAND PLAN / 10-YEAR BUDGET SUBMISSION

Key Issue: Value for Money and Efficiency

The proposed Auckland plan and 10-year budget does not provide a satisfactory level of partnering opportunities with mana whenua. Across the Tamaaki Makaurau region, mana whenua is settling all outstanding and historical treaty settlements within this space the Maori economy and economic development opportunities will increase and grow.

Ngati Manuhihi are in post treaty settlement and have developed many successful partnerships and development opportunities, we want to continue to grow our business and investments. Our business motto is, 'Whariki Te Whare' meaning to plan, prepare and have your house ready, we are ready, and want to engage with Council to further advance our strategic goals and aspirations.

Ngati Rehua are in the final stages of their treaty settlement and have grown a proven track record with stakeholders and want to further their commercial and cultural opportunities.

NGATI MANUHIHI AND NGATI REHUA WANT TO ADVANCE AND GROW OUR BUSINESS AND INVESTMENTS WITH COUNCIL

Key Issue: Improving Effectiveness of Maori Outcome Kaupapa

Ngati Rehua and Ngati Manuhihi are coastal people who have had a long association in tourism and other entrepreneurial businesses. We want council to work with us and enable us to better our opportunities such as brokering relationships with CCOS i.e. ATEDD; Panuku Development and Watercare. We expect the Mayor and council to adopt and embed the IMSB Maori Plan currently the Maori plan is not embedded across the council family, there needs to be greater commitment to enhancing Maori outcomes and by adopting the Maori plan this is a firm step forward towards strengthening whanau, hapu and iwi.

Ngati Rehua and Ngati Manuhihi represent the mana whenua interests of Ngati Wai in Tamaaki Makaurau through centuries of occupation and we have been mandated and recognised by the Crown as to our historical, customary associations and our claimant definition inclusive of our Ngati Wai tupuna. The Mayor and council can demonstrate their intention to improve effective positive outcomes for Ngati Rehua and Ngati Manuhihi by recognising as the Crown does our mana whenua rights as representing our Ngati Wai rights in Tamaaki Makaurau. We do not support council taking a laissez-faire approach over representation in Auckland and strongly urge council to support our representation as the Ngati Wai interests in Auckland.

NGATI REHUA AND NGATI MANUHIHI DO NOT SUPPORT COUNCIL TO RECOGNISE OUR NGATI WAI INTERESTS IN TAMAAMI MAKARAU AS BEING HELD BY ANY OTHER ENTITY OTHER THAN OURSELVES.

Ngātiwai Trust Board Submission
On Auckland Council's
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan
Submission on the Auckland Council’s 10 year Budget 2018 to 2023
& Auckland Plan

Who are we? Ngātiwai – the People of the Sea:

1. The iwi of Ngātiwai trace our ancestry to eponymous ancestor Manaiia, who still stands on maunga Manaiia overlooking the Whangārei harbour. Manaiia and his descendants were one of the earliest occupiers of Te Tai-tokerau and Tāmaki residing at Motukōkako, Whāngaruru, Mimiwhangata, Poor Knight islands, Whananaki, Matapouri, Tahāruru, Whangārei harbour, Te Hauturu-ā-Toi, Pokohinau Group to Aotea (Great Barrier Island). Ngātiwai were here at first human occupation, are still here today, and will still be here in generations to come.

2. The Ngātiwai Trust Board (“Ngātiwai”) is a Mandated Iwi Organisation pursuant to the Māori Fisheries Act 2004 and a mandated iwi for Treaty Claims purposes and is registered as a charitable trust under the Charitable Trusts Act 1957. Those people who whakapapa to Ngātiwai can register as beneficiaries of the Board.

3. Ngātiwai has authorised me as its CEO to make this submission and advocate its position in respect of the Auckland Council’s Long Term Plan. I have an opportunity to present this position to the Governing Board on 15 April 2018. My thanks to Te Waka Angamua staff of the Auckland Council, Graham Pryor, Rama Ormsby, Dr Stephanie May and Dean Martin for their assistance in .

4. Ngātiwai’s rohe covers the coastal regions, offshore islands, and moana in the area shown on the map, attached to this submission and marked “A”. The Auckland Council’s jurisdictions covers the southern part of our rohe from Hauturu-ā-Toi, Mahurangi, to Aotea (“Barrier”) and includes the area which is the subject of this submission. Our two southern hapū are Ngāi Manuhiri, Ngātiwai ki Aotea, and Ngāti Rehua through the affiliated marae of Ōmaha, Motairehe, and Kawa (Rēhua).

5. Ngātiwai literally means, descendants of the sea. Ngātiwai are a coastal people who live close to the sea and have a special relationship with it. Ngātiwai history, strength and mana stem from the sea and the marine environment. The oceans and its resources are taonga.

6. Ngātiwai’s exclusive use and occupation of large areas of their rohe moana for navigation, fishing, and gathering resources, waging war and making peace has
continued from 1840 to the present day without substantial interruption and is well-documented. Tauranga waka and archaeological sites remain as evidence in the land and seascapes of these activities. Ngātiwai iwi, hapū and whānau hold these areas in accordance with tikanga (in some cases jointly with other iwi, hapū and whānau) and wāhi tapu (including undersea burial caves). When various parts of the extensive rohe moana also lie within the domain of other iwi, hapū and whanau, Ngātiwai views these overlapping interests as a form of joint exclusivity, with rights jointly exercised by the various whānau, hapū and iwi in accordance with tikanga.

7. Ngātiwai iwi, hapū and whānau have exercised a bundle of customary rights in respect of their rohe moana encapsulated by the concept of kaitakitanga. This is a bundle of rights that are manifested in a number of physical activities and uses related to natural and physical resources.

8. Ngātiwai has continued some activities within Ngātiwai’s rohe moana unabated since time immemorial e.g. retrieving and using whalebone and other resources from marine mammals. More recent developments have driven Ngātiwai to express its kaitakitanga and uphold and defend its rights in other ways. These have included Court decisions upholding Ngātiwai’s customary fishing rights and customary ownership of certain rocks and islets. Before the enactment of the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011, the litigation was necessarily on a piecemeal basis. Although flawed, the Act provides an avenue for a bundle of rights to be formally recognised.

9. The majority of land reserves granted to Ngātiwai post-1840 were on the coast, reflecting the coastal marine area’s centrality to the Ngātiwai way of life.

Question 1: Transport: What is our opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

10. Ngātiwai believes that a tax is required to fund improvements in the transport system as it relates to intensive urban populations. However, Ngātiwai’s interest is in sea connectivity infrastructure, including wharves, jetties and harbour access ways. The costs of transporting goods, travel, fishing (as a food source), running local businesses, access to essential healthcare and secondary schooling by sea travel is expensive for hau kainga on the Barrier. A regional petrol tax will increase these living costs. We argue that if a petrol tax is applied, the Barrier Island should be exempt given their unique living situation. They do not benefit from inner city rail or other expensive roadng infrastructure.
11. The Ngātiwai haukainga have lived with investment in the northern part of the Barrier where they live. There are no tar sealed roads in north. This issue must be separated from those that come to the Barrier for holidays and their holiday homes versus those that live there all year round. The Council should consult with haukainga as to what infrastructure they require to enhance their living.

12. The haukainga have generate power from solar and diesel generators. The Governing Board Chair has said that power generation will be exempt. But how does one discern whether petrol put in a generator is not put in the car or boat. It is not doubt for the Council to work this out?

Question 2: What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams?

13. Ngātiwai supports this goal. Ngātiwai wishes to restore the mauri of Te Küpenga Poitō a Toi (Hauraki Gulf) as it is a body of ocean connected to the whole Ngātiwai moana. We seek cleaner tributaries, streams to harbours, ports and coastal envrons that contribute to the health of the moana. We are particularly concerned about sea rubbish, raw sewerage and plastics in the sea. The Council needs to establish a clear position in this regard on iwi Marine and Coastal Area Act (MaCAA) claims and how they are treated within the context of iwi resource management.

14. Ngātiwai need the Council to support the capacity building required to monitor the moana, and wharf infrastructure. The costs of boating equipment, diving and other monitoring work, as well as the kaitiaki workforce needed is far more expensive on the sea than on land. The Council needs to partner with ocean iwi to build their capacity to carry out their roles effectively.

15. Ngātiwai would also like to have a better handle on coastal water take from underground bores and aquifers.

Question 3: Environmental initiatives: What is your opinion on the proposed targeted rate to invest more to protect the environment?

16. Ngātiwai supports the targeted rate of $47 per resident to be invested in further environmental initiatives. Within the Ngātiwai rohe and offshore islands there are a number taonga species biodiversity including tuatara, mokomoko, kiwi, pāteke, kicre
and other animals and birdlife that are vulnerable. As development ramps up and the demand from other areas becoming pest free (under Pest Free 2050 goals), these taonga species are coming under a lot of pressure. A lot can be gained to by understanding what in fact is our rohe and for iwi along with Auckland Council, DCC and iwi, leading a more strategic approach and better co-ordination of the ad-hoc nature of various NGOs and voluntary groups involved in the conservation kaupapa.

17. The "elephant in the room" are the effects of Climate Change which will effect the living of our people, the infrastructure and the environment. It was good to see discussions about this at Council in recent weeks. As a key stakeholder, Ngātiwai would like to participate in planning initiatives on Climate Change with Council in the near future.

Question 4: Rates: What is your opinion on proposed tax rate increase?

18. Ngātiwai support a rate increase to make Tāmaki Mākaurau a more liveable city. The two foci for us for investment is Affordable Housing and Marae Development.

19. Access to quality, affordable, and warm houses for our people on the Barrier and selected coastal regions is a high priority for Ngātiwai. As too is lobbying for the investment required in eco-friendly alternatives to main systems for solar power, water purification and eco sewage systems. Council need to consider these needs equally outside of what is being done in the main gage of intensive urban developments.

20. Ngātiwai support further investment in the Marae Development Scheme. Marae are a central point for whānau, hapū, iwi and the wider community. Marae are being heavily utilised as affordable accommodation for groups and sports teams (as opposed to hotels), emergency social institutions (e.g. homelessness), places for civil defence support, etc. Their support by Council should be on a more equitable footing as other community facilities are treated.

Question 5: Online Accommodation Providers: what is your opinion on this proposal?

21. Ngātiwai seeks an exemption for Great Barrier and other isolated communities for online Airb&b providers. On one hand, central Auckland needs more competitive pricing for accommodation, as the rates near the airport and in the central city are quite outrageous and therefore in certain areas, there should be a level playing field. However, low income families on the Barrier supplement their low incomes by
22. The other factor the Council might want to consider is the cost the booking sites (e.g. Trivago, Hotels.com) are in charging 15% per booking which is passed on to the customer.

**Question 6: Local Board Priorities**

23. Ngātiwai have no issues with the Local Board priorities of the Rodney Board and Great Barrier Board. Ngātiwai is seeking a Relationship Agreement with both Local Boards as relates to our interests, as a matter of priority.

**Question 7: Rates: Other Feedback and Issues**

24. Ngātiwai require sustainable capacity to effectively carry out its requirements under the Resource Management Act. We want to be doing our work on the ground, rather than sitting in meetings looking at graphs. Our current capacity contracts with Auckland Council through Te Waka Angamua are great, but we would also like to have access to Auckland Council training and development in key areas of mahi that might be relevant to our Kaitiaki (e.g. site safety, etc.). Development of cultural indicators is also a piece of work that we seek support in, so that monitoring is no simply based on scientific, archeological, social and environmental frameworks, it also meets our cultural measurements.

25. The Auckland Council need to have a position on the Mana Whakohono amendments in the RMA and the alignment with Treaty based relationships agreements currently in place. Ngātiwai would ideally like to work with Council to develop a strategic framework for our rohe.

26. Finally, as mentioned, Auckland Council need a position on the Marine and Coastal Area Act 2011 and how it works and how claims are managed. Ngātiwai say that the costs of assessment and monitoring the coastal and ocean environments, for a coastal iwi are higher than on land, and this needs to be reflected in any Council supported capacity building.
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Last name: Morgan
Organisation name:
Local Board: Great Barrier

10 Year Budget Feedback
Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Other

Comments: This should not cover Great Barrier Island residents where petrol is already $3.10 per litre and the residents here will not benefit from the Auckland upgrades
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $86 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments:

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environment initiatives?

Other

Comments: I do not support more funding on Kauri dieback. I would like to see kawau and wahiwehi eradicated of the proposed pest species in the RPMP and more control being done on Aotea. I would prefer a targeted rate to support the entire plan but not an extension of the kauri dieback programs.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increase of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments:

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targetted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Support

Comments:

LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Yes
Comments:
If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Yes

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Yes

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Partially

I do not support herbicide free weed management in the road corridor as this is currently the best method to manage weeds

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Yes

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Yes

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

---

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in kura and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:
Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5 Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 276,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future? Yes

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh

Date received: 28 Feb 2018 21:20 Attachment:

First name: Lindsay Last name: Wright

Organisation name:

Local Board: Great Barrier

How did you hear about the plan(s)?

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments: Perhaps, by introducing a tax on vehicle fuels, it will help reduce vehicle usage, thereby helping make effective public transport more viable.
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $86 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments: Dumb question - anything that prioritises cleaning up our waterways has got to be good for the city and its population.

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Support option A - a targeted rate of an average of $21 per year per residential property. This will allow us to make limited improvements to environmental protection, mainly focusing on kauri dieback disease.

Comments: Pest management should be improved but without unfair levies on ratepayers.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments: I feel that rate rises are inevitable as Aucklanders’ expectations get more ambitious - but in saying so the extra funds accrued in this manner must be seen to be used in the most efficient way possible.

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Support

Comments: Fair enough - they are providing accommodation for hire or reward.

LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?
#463

Partially

Comments: I guess you can’t please all the people all of the time. By and large I agree with your priorities but there are some things I would give a different order of importance. Infrastructure and liveability should be the peak priorities.

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Yes

Most of these community initiatives have flow on effects which benefit the community way beyond their initial scope.

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? No

Shouldn’t biosecurity be a central government concern? Likewise there are aspects of other environment issues which would be better handled by central government agencies. Auckland Council could help push for more funding for the agencies concerned to achieve these.

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Partially

I salute the council’s compassionate support for baby and child burials - but it really depends on the amount or percentage of subsidy on offer. Herbicide free roadside weed clearance is a definite plus.

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Yes

These facilities deserve funding but should first be surveyed to see the amount of patronage they receive.

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Yes

It is the way of the future on an island that is already self sustaining in energy.

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? Auckland Council has been very proactive in my area (Whangaparapara) renovating the wharf shed and mounting historical pictures. It provides background and an explanation of the village’s industrial past and is well patronised and admired by visitors and locals alike.

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments:
Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments: Anything that promotes Māori accomplishment and self esteem is good for all New Zealanders.

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments: All measures taken to encourage home ownership are positive for the city, society and the country.

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments: Policies to discourage the use of private motor vehicles should be developed - ones that point out that it is cool to use public transport.

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments: Most of the focus areas are simply future proofing - preparing for a rapidly changing future.

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments: It must be an evolving policy. About 30% of the jobs that will be available in 2050 don’t exist now…we must have focus areas that adapt to this.

Shaping our growth
Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future? Yes

Comments: Simple maths – the extra people need extra facilities and employment. On the face of it the focus areas should cope with this.

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan? It seems pretty comprehensive and capable of adapting to meet future requirements.

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 28 Feb 2018 13.21 Attachment:

First name:    Last name:

Organisation name:

Local Board: Great Barrier

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.
What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments: but should also have tolls on major motorways, immediately most targeted user pays. tolls

Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments: sooner the better to clean up. core council function should have the highest priority

Q3. Auckland's rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Support option B - a targeted rate of an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to spend more on tackling kauri dieback disease, and allow us to better protect our native species and ecosystems.

Comments: this is a core council function more important than housing etc

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 1.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments: it costs allot to run a modern city

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?
Support

Comments: yes of course they are running a business and should be treated equally as all other business owners . they have a higher impact and so should pay more

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited

council needs to stop paying for waste, created by business and individuals in pursuit of profit , businesses need to be responsible for whole of product life including after product life has ended

LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Yes

Comments: seem to be environmentally focused

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Yes

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Yes

increased work needed in this area. such as predator control, no dogs in beaches, no cats etc

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? No

no nesscary

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Partially

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Yes

a step forward for many residents to switch from fossil fuel as the supply of these is expensive and increasingly unnesscary . this should help resdepts make the change
Do you have any other feedback about your local area? The environment is great barriers unique place in New Zealand and is needs to be protected and enhanced and prioritised over people. Perhaps the local biracial can find a way for people to fit in the barrier not ruin everything they touch or come first

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this? No

Comments: doesn’t seem like a core council activity

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this? No

Comments: more housing just means more pressure on land and infrastructure. Rural and small town New Zealand have some of these facilities already in place. Auckland already has too many people short sighted to encourage more people

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this? No

Comments: just toll the roads already. Then people will have incentives to car pool. If the tolls high enough it could reduce road traffic by a half. Stop funding roads it’s huge money and just increases the problem we need fewer people driving
Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland's environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Environment and Cultural Heritage' will achieve this? No

Comments: growth is an enormous environmental problem. restrict growth and people

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Opportunity and Prosperity' will achieve this? No

Comments: not councils job at all to do these things. why

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 278,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland's infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for 'Enabling Growth' will effectively provide for Auckland's future?

Comments: no prevent growth. why is more better stop rural land being taken for development. why is more better restrict growth!

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018

AK HaveYourSay

From: 10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh feedback
dean.montanauacklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: AKHaveYourSay
Subject: PeoplesPanel 1,337 K Stowell

---

We have major problems with our transport system. The population growth area from getting worse and increasing and needs thinking to move right and properly. We propose that a region has ease to raise more funding or transport projects and service.

What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a region to be possible or improvement to the transport system?
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Moving around Auckland

We have been asked to look around Auckland and see how the get around and if there is a long time to get where they need to go. To see if some people need more routes or more

ruath and e alo e Auckland and the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport term -

transport and Auckland in Auckland and is an area identified in the Auckland Plan.

Comment

Protecting and enhancing our environment

We have been asked to recommend changes to the Auckland Plan and provide for our environment and to think about the environmental and cultural heritage of Auckland and Plan. The Auckland Plan proposes a new growth and design options and an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland and the environment. We need to think about the area identified in the Auckland Plan and the Auckland Plan.

Comment

Equipping people for the future

We have been asked to look at the future and how people can be engaged and have a role in the Auckland Plan and the Auckland Plan. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long term population growth and prioritise design options in the city and an area and have new opportunities and new areas in Auckland and the Auckland Plan. Auckland needs to keep up with the population growth and the Auckland Plan proposes the Auckland Plan and the Auckland Plan. Auckland needs to keep up with the population growth and the Auckland Plan.

Comment

Hapinging our growth

We have been asked to provide or around more people in the next 10 years which will mean another 50,000 people in Auckland and the Auckland Plan. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long term population growth and prioritise design options in the city and an area and have new opportunities and areas in Auckland and the Auckland Plan. Auckland needs to keep up with the population growth and the Auckland Plan. Auckland needs to keep up with the population growth and the Auckland Plan.

Comment

An other need a k

We have been asked to look at other needs in Auckland and the Auckland Plan. Auckland needs to look at other supporting in Auckland and the Auckland Plan. Auckland needs to look at other supporting in Auckland and the Auckland Plan.
Feedback must be received by 8pm on Wednesday 28 March 2018.

Please read the consultation document available at akhaveyoursay.nz or at any library, service centre or local board office before you give feedback. It has more information about the issues and choices that we want your feedback on. All of the questions below are optional. We encourage you to give feedback online at akhaveyoursay.nz, or you can complete this form and return it to us using one of the options below.

Email
Scan your completed form and email it to akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz.

In person
Drop your completed form off at your local library, service centre or local board office.

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

First name: Gael
Last name: Elmore

Your local board: Great Barrier IS

Is your feedback on behalf of an organisation or business? (If yes, this confirms you have authority to submit on the organisation's behalf)

☐ Yes    ☑ No

Name of organisation or business:
Questions relating to the 10-year Budget (2018-2028)

To answer the following questions on the 10-year Budget (2018-2028), please read the consultation document. Questions 2, 3 and 4 will affect your rates depending on your property value. To see how your rates may change go to our Rates Guide at ahaveyoursay.nz. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1:
We want to improve our transport system. As the population grows, congestion (and the pollution it creates) is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax of 10 cents per litre (plus GST) be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

- Support
- Do not support
- Other

Please comment:

In Auckland City - Mainland.
Petrol on Great Barrier is already $3.30 per litre.

Question 2:
Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage and stormwater systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams?

- Support
- Do not support
- Other

Please comment:

Must be done!

Question 3:
Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction.

Question 4:
For this 10-year Budget we are proposing an average general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years and then 3.5 per cent for years three to 10. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

- Support
- Do not support
- Other

Please comment:

Clean up not add to environmental disaster.

Question 5:
We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate. If the property is within a certain zone, this would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.
Local board information

Question 6a:
Which local board does your feedback relate to?

Great Barrier Island

Question 6b:
In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Questions relating to the Auckland Plan 2050

To answer the following questions on the Auckland Plan, please view the draft plan at theaucklandplan.govt.nz or view the consultation document. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1: An inclusive Auckland
In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate in their full potential.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Belonging and Participation will achieve this?

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 2: Advance Māori well-being
The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being.

With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being.

Need more room? You can attach extra pages, but please make sure they are A4, and also include your name and contact information.

Question 7:
Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection), Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan or the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited.

Please comment:

#2283

☑ No aerial persons

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 3: Affordable homes
Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent.

The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Homes and Places will achieve this?

☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:

A healthy city is inclusive.
Freemen's Big housing is fantastic example.
Question 4: Moving easily around Auckland

People lack choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system that accelerates progress on walking, cycling and public transport and makes better use of existing networks.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Transport and Access will achieve this?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially ☐

Please comment:

more public transport less roads

---

Question 5: Protecting and enhancing our environment

Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes utilising every opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment as growth and development happens.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Environment and Cultural Heritage will achieve this?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially ☐

Please comment:

Regional pest management requires careful planning. No poison please.

Cats are pests

Question 6: Equipping people for future jobs

Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes Aucklanders will need to adapt to the coming changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Opportunity and Prosperity will achieve this?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially ☐

---

Question 7: Shaping our growth

Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth.

Do you think the proposed approach for enabling growth will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially ☐

Please comment:

Auckland must go up or out. It is gobbling up farmland where will the food to feed everyone come from?

---

Question 8: Any other feedback?

Auckland has potential to be beautiful:
beaches, bush, sea, harbours.

let’s pay for our grandchildren’s future!!
From: 10 Y ear Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh feedback
dean.montain@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: AKHaveYourSay
Subject: PeoplesPanel 1,012

Attachment A

Item 14

We have major issues with our transport network. The population growth on the east side is getting worse and travelling times are becoming too long. We propose that a regional super highway be provided to reduce travel times and improve access to the transport network.
Our hero, a virtuous and virtuous man, was renowned among the wise. Ten thousand years before the time of our era, it was known to all that the wise man and the virtuous man were one. The wise man was a protector of the natural world, and the virtuous man was its defender. We want to improve our resources and our environment. We propose a new targeted rate to ensure that our resources and our environment are protected.

Our proposal targeted rate was the average rate for each property per week. It was designed to encourage water use improvement.

An annual rapid growth in putting pressure on the environment is the spread of a particular weed and disease that are threatening many of our native species. Our proposal aims to increase the environmental initiative and to meet this through a targeted rate at one to two dollars per week.

What is our opinion on the proposal?

Our proposal targeted rate in the form of a rate for a particular property per week. We propose an average rate of one dollar per week.

We are proposing that an individual or a corporation pays a certain amount of money per year to protect the environment. This is known as a targeted rate. Our proposal is within a certain range that means the area is a threat to another environment. What is our opinion on the proposal?
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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Feedback must be received by 8pm on Wednesday 28 March 2018.
Please read the consultation document available at akhaveyoursay.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz or at any library, service centre or local board office before you give feedback. It has more information about the issues and choices that we want your feedback on.
All of the questions below are optional. We encourage you to give feedback online at akhaveyoursay.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz, or you can complete this form and return it to us using one of the options below.

Email
Scan your completed form and email it to akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz.

In person
Drop your completed form off at your local library, service centre or local board office.

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

First name: Peter
Last name: Schmidt

Your local board: Great Barrier Local Board

Is your feedback on behalf of an organisation or business?
(If yes, this confirms you have authority to submit on the organisation’s behalf)

☐ Yes  ☑ No

Name of organisation or business:

Auckland Council
To Whakaae a Tāmaki Makaurau
Glenice Wade

Questions relating to the 10-year Budget (2018-2028)

#3178

To answer the following questions on the 10-year Budget (2018-2028), please read the consultation document. Questions 2, 3 and 4 will affect your rates depending on your property value. To see how your rates may change go to our Rates Guide at akhaveyoursay.nz. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1:
We want to improve our transport system. As the population grows, congestion (and the pollution it creates) is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax of 10 cents per litre [plus GST] be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

☐ Support ☐ Do not support ☑ Other

Please comment:

I agree with fuel tax for Auckland but not for G.B. Island because there is NO public transport.

Question 2:
Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage and stormwater systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams?

☑ Support ☐ Do not support ☐ Other

Please comment:

Question 3:
Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction.

Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate (based on your property value) of $21 per year per residential ratepayer This increase would allow us to make limited improvements to environmental protection, mainly focusing on kauri dieback disease.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more to protect our environment?

☑ Support option A – a targeted rate of an average rate of $21 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to make limited improvements to environmental protection, mainly focusing on kauri dieback disease.

☐ Support option B – a targeted rate of an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to spend more on tackling kauri dieback disease, and allow us to better protect our native species and ecosystems.

☐ Do not support a targeted rate to invest more to protect our environment.

☐ Other

Please comment:

Question 4:
For this 10-year Budget we are proposing an average general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years and then 3.5 per cent for years three to 10. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

☐ Support ☑ Do not support ☐ Other

Please comment:

Question 5:
We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.
Local board information

Question 6a:
Which local board does your feedback relate to?

Great Barrier Local Board

Question 6b:
In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Questions relating to the Auckland Plan 2050

To answer the following questions on the Auckland Plan, please view the draft plan at theaucklandplan.govt.nz or view the consultation document. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1: An inclusive Auckland

In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Belonging and Participation will achieve this?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 2: Advance Māori well-being

The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being.

Question 7:
Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection), Tūpuna Maungā Authority Draft Operational Plan or the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited.

Please comment:
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018

Attachment A

Question 5: Protecting and enhancing our environment
Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes utilizing every opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment as growth and development happens.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Environment and Cultural Heritage will achieve this?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 6: Equipping people for future jobs
Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes Aucklanders will need to adapt to the coming changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Opportunity and Prosperity will achieve this?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 7: Shaping our growth
Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth.

Do you think the proposed approach for enabling growth will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 8: Any other feedback?

Need more room? You can attach extra pages, but please make sure they are A4, and also include your name and contact information.

All personal information that you provide in this submission will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. Our privacy policy explains how we may use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. We recommend you familiarise yourself with this policy.
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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dean.mccosh@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: AKHaveY oursay
Subject: AKHY SID 2209 iain Newton

Attachment A

Item 14

We have a major problem with our transport system. As the population grows, there is
getting worse. We need some measure or transport improvement to help people.

What is your opinion on the proposed introduction of a regional bus service?

How do you hear about this plan?
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Feedback must be received by 8pm on Wednesday 28 March 2018.
Please read the consultation document available at akhaveyoursay.nz or at any library, service centre or local board office before you give feedback. It has more information about the issues and choices that we want your feedback on.
All of the questions below are optional. We encourage you to give feedback online at akhaveyoursay.nz, or you can complete this form and return it to us using one of the options below.

Email
Scan your completed form and email it to akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz.

In person
Drop your completed form off at your local library, service centre or local board office.

By post
Place your completed form in an envelope and send it to
Freepost address:
AK Have Your Say
Auckland Council
Freepost Authority 182382
Private Bag 92 300, Auckland 1142

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

First name: Allen
Last name: Dunstan

Is your feedback on behalf of an organisation or business? (If yes, this confirms you have authority to submit on the organisation's behalf)

☐ Yes  ☒ No

Name of organisation or business:
Questions relating to the 10-year Budget (2018-2028)

To answer the following questions on the 10-year Budget (2018-2028), please read the consultation document.

Questions 2, 3 and 4 will affect your rates depending on your property value. To see how your rates may change go to our Rates Guide at akhaveyoursay.nz All of these questions are optional.

Question 1:
We want to improve our transport system. As the population grows, congestion (and the pollution it creates) is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax of 10 cents per litre (plus GST) be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

☐ Support ✗ Do not support ☐ Other

Please comment:
No public transport at all here where I live.

Question 2:
Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage and stormwater systems that can't cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams?

☐ Support ✗ Do not support ☐ Other

Please comment:

Money does not protect the environment, preserve you mean & from ratepayers.

Question 4:
For this 10-year Budget we are proposing an average general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years and then 3.5 per cent for years three to 10. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

☐ Support ✗ Do not support ☐ Other

Please comment:

Question 5:
We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.
What is your opinion on this proposal?

Support ☒ Do not support ☐ Other ☐

Please comment:

Local board information

Question 6a:
Which local board does your feedback relate to?

Local Board

Question 6b:
In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Questions relating to the Auckland Plan 2050

To answer the following questions on the Auckland Plan, please view the draft plan at theaucklandplan.govt.nz or view the consultation document. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1: An Inclusive Auckland

In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Belonging and Participation will achieve this?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 7:

Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection), Tāpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan or the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited.

Please comment:

I have to carry my general household rubbish 2km. To closest road. Recycling is 5.4km away. I have no vehicular transport.

Question 2: Advance Māori well-being

The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being.

Do you think the seven focus areas identified in Māori Well-being and Identity will achieve this?

☒ Yes ☒ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 3: Affordable homes

Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Homes and Places will achieve this?

☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Need more room? You can attach extra pages, but please make sure they are A4, and also include your name and contact information.
Question 4: Moving easily around Auckland

People lack choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system that accelerates progress on walking, cycling and public transport and makes better use of existing networks.

Do you think the seven focus areas identified in Transport and Access will achieve this?

☐ Yes  ☒ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 5: Protecting and enhancing our environment

Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes utilising every opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment as growth and development happens.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Environment and Cultural Heritage will achieve this?

☐ Yes  ☒ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 6: Equipping people for future jobs

Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes Aucklanders will need to adapt to the coming changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Opportunity and Prosperity will achieve this?

☐ Yes  ☒ No  ☐ Partially

Question 7: Shaping our growth

Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth.

Do you think the proposed approach for enabling growth will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

☐ Yes  ☒ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 8: Any other feedback?

Need more room? You can attach extra pages, but please make sure they are A4 and also include your name and contact information.

All personal information that you provide in this submission will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. Our privacy policy explains how we may use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. We recommend you familiarise yourself with this policy.
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 15 Mar 2018 15:30 Attachment:

First name: Ann Last name: Sprague:

Organisation name:

Local Board: Great Barrier

Other communities: Maori affiliation:

How did you hear about the plan(s)? Social media (e.g. Facebook), Local board e-newsletter, Have Your Say website and Attended an event or workshop Other (please specify): local board meetings

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1 We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.
What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments: The government must spend money to improve rail and bus service. If gas becomes more expensive more people will use the bus and rail service. People need to switch to electric vehicles. The government needs to provide charging stations.

Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments: Even here on pristine Great Barrier Island we have run off from homes with inadequate septic fields. We also have beaches with waters that are sometimes closed because of silt and e.coli. The government should focus on providing clean recreation and fishing areas to help create healthy and happy citizens.

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Other

Comments: I do not support eradication mentality for possums and rats in NZ. The information given to the citizen in these pages is inadequate for an honest judgement by most people who do not understand that a dreadful poison will be used to kill possums, rats, birds, insects, fish in the Hauraki Gulf and where ever else it is used. Brodifacoum is the blood anticoagulant that will be dropped on islands and is being dropped now in the South Island. More money of the rate payer SHOULD NOT GO TO THIS DEVASTATING PRACTICE. There are people in the government and in the helicopter industry who make money off of this "so called" environmentally sound program. Rather we should pay bounty to possum hunters and trappers. Employ people to trap rats. Use limited baiting and carefully secured locations for baiting. It does not contribute to our quest to have native species flourish when a technique is used that kills 90% of all animal life in the area treated. People who support the use of brodifacoum are caught in old fashioned and unenlightened attitudes that are better left behind with a heart felt apology to the the Earth. Brodifacoum is banned in the country that manufactures it. NZ is the only modern country that still uses this poison and the only country in the world that has a GOVERNMENT SANCTIONED program paid for by the rate payer to KILL our native birds, insects and fish.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Do not support
Comments: While I support the transportation and the cleanup of harbors, I DEFINITELY DO NOT SUPPORT THE ENVIRONMENTALLY FLAWED PROGRAM TO ERADICATE FESTS IN NEW ZEALAND. The use of brodifacoum in a blanket “dropping” program is not acceptable on ANY grounds for ANY reason here in New Zealand. Not one penny of rate payers money should go to this program.

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.
What is your opinion on this proposal?

Support

Comments:

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- The proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited

LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Albert-Eden Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you support the provision of small grants being provided to private landowners to assist them with the maintenance and care of significant trees on their property? Partially

B. Would you like to see neighbouring local boards and community groups work together to develop an action plan that will help to improve the health of Te Waaunua-Oakley Creek and Watitika-Meoia Creek? Yes

C. Should the Board help local businesses in our town centres implement sustainable practices, for example alternatives to putting fat and oil down the drain, or reducing plastic bag usage? Yes

D. Do you support a cycling and walking connection between St Lukes and Greenlane train station? Yes

Would you use a cycling and walking connections between St Lukes and Greenlane train station?

Do you have any other feedback your local area?

Devonport-Takapuna Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:
If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you support a dog park in our area?
   Where do you think could be a suitable location for a dog park in our area?

B. Do you support the development of a pest-free strategy for our entire area?
   Which pests should we focus on eliminating first?

C. Do you support the local board prioritising new and upgraded cycle and walkway connections, which provide an alternative to using Lake Road?

D. Do you support a targeted rate to contribute towards any funding made available for the Lake Road improvements project?
   If so, how much would you be willing to pay on top of your rates bill?

Do you have any other feedback your local area?

Franklin Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:
If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you support the development of new community recycling centres at Beachlands and Pukekohe? Yes

B. Do you support assisting private landowners to control pests using funding provided by the local board? Partially

trapping and disposal

C. Would you be prepared to pay a targeted rate towards building new trails and links for walking and cycling across Franklin? No

D. Do you support our key advocacy item being the improvement and expansion of Karaka Sports Park, to deal with the growth in demand for sports and community facilities in the area?

E. If you are in the area that will directly benefit from improvements to Karaka Sports Park, would you be prepared to pay a targeted rate if this was to be used specifically towards the ongoing running of the improved facilities?

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Partially

Comments:
If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Yes
These programs will both enhance the quality and enjoyment of life for residents of Great Barrier Island.

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Partially

I am in favor of the water quality monitoring and cleanup of the beaches. I am in favor of enforcing proper sanitation disposal and maintenance for homes on Great Barrier Island so that they do not pollute the beaches and the water where families enjoy themselves.

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Partially

Yes on the child burials. Well we are a community and it is in everyone's best interest to act kindly. No on the herbicide-free roadside management.

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Yes

To continue to evolve with our community's needs.

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Yes

This is a very forward sighted project and will help to save money for GBI in the future.

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? One of the MOST important issues facing GBI and surrounding islands is the danger of continued old fashioned and out dated methods of possum and rat control, in the use of blood thinning poisons that kill all animal life in the food chain indiscriminately. This includes the posse, the birds, the insects, the fish. It creates a dead zone with wealth in the pockets of the providers. We should pay local and other NZ citizens and young people to trap rats and tend the traps. We have unemployed young people who could help to restrain the rat population on GBI while earning a decent wage. We should not be putting money into the corporate helicopter and chemical advocate pocketbooks.

Henderson-Massey Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. To help us prepare an urban design plan for the area around the Te Atatu South Community Centre and local park space, what do you see as key ways we can improve the area?

B. Do you support Henderson wide connections plan to identify alternative street routes, park paths and trails and prioritise investment areas for improving these opportunities to get around without a car?

To help us prepare a Henderson wide connections plan, what could be done to make it easier for you to walk and cycle more?

C. Do you support refreshing the Henderson heritage trail to also express the Māori history of the area?

D. Do you support advocating for a pool in the north west area and to buy land to prepare for it plus sports fields and local community facilities in the future?

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?
Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?
Comments:
If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:
A. Do you support reviewing the way we assess and allocate local contestable grants for events and activities within our local board area?
B. Do you support implementation of the Maarangi Bay Reserves Development Plan projects including closing the beachfront section of Montrose Terrace and providing a connecting road with angle parking at the back of the reserve to provide more open space?
C. Do you support allocation of funding for promotional information to encourage community and visitor use of the Gulf Harbour weekend ferry trial?
D. Do you support working with partners to facilitate local employment opportunities in Silverdale?
E. Do you support our key advocacy project to address coastal erosion and protect Ōrewa Beach Esplanade and reserves?
Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Howick Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?
Comments:
Are there any other priorities you would like us to consider?

Kaipātiki Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?
Comments:
A. Do you support replacing the condemned grandstand at Birkenhead War Memorial Park with a shared facility to accommodate different community and sporting users?
B. Do you support working with key stakeholders to plan and redevelop central Northcote?
What do you value the most?
C. Do you support continuing the delivery of the Kaipātiki Connections Network Plan, including a coastal walking and cycling link between Tui Park and Shepherds Park in Beach Haven? Yes
It would enhance the safety and enjoyment of the citizens.
D. Do you support greater investment into environmental projects such as the community-led Pest Free Kaipātiki initiative? No
I do not support "pest free" through use of brodifacoum, a devastating blood thinner that kills all animal species, not just the possum and rat pests. It kills the birds, the bees, the butterflies, all the other insects and this in turn harms to ability of flowers to reproduce and interferes in the chain of life. I do support trapping and hunting and taring of local people to manage trapping and hunting. I support the paying of bounty for possum pelts.
E. Do you support the expansion of neighbourhood placemaking initiatives through our local community groups? Yes

F. Please tell us why

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

Are there any other priorities you would like us to consider?

The board is proposing to continue its policy enabling adults to use the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu swimming pools without charge through a local target rate, estimated to be $31.94 per household this year. We would like your feedback on this policy to support social and public health benefits for our communities

Support

Comments:

Manurewa Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you support us bringing Tōtara Park up to standard as our focus for the first year of implementation of the masterplan to enhance the park’s character?

B. Do you support our aspirations for Manurewa and Clendon retail centres?

C. We would like to support the Manurewa Youth Council to develop a multi-purpose, creative youth friendly space in the Manurewa Town Centre that supports and nurtures youth development networks. Do you agree?

D. Do you support our advocacy focus to improve services around illegal dumping?

E. Do you support our plans for Manurewa War Memorial Park to upgrade the playing fields and community facilities?

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

Do you support our advocacy to the Governing Body to prioritise funding for the transport interchange at Onehunga?

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Ōrākei Local Board Feedback
In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you support our priority advocacy project for a linkage at Gowrie Drive to improve accessibility and safety for students and commuters?

B. Do you support the identification and improvements of amenities and functionality of local centres?

Which of the local centres do you see as being a priority for enhancement?

C. Do you support improvement projects for Tamaki Drive to assist us in making the strategic road work better for our residents?

What is your number one improvement project for Tamaki Drive to assist us in making this strategic road work better for our residents?

D. Do you support our focus on Kepa Bush and Pourewa Valley for ecological restoration and better accessibility?

Are there any other ecological areas you think should be enhanced?

E. Do you support a safe, shared space for all road users through Colin Maiden Park?

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you support the board advocating to Auckland Transport to build light rail from the airport to Manukau and on to Botany, commencing with route protection? Yes Absolutely. This is a worthy project and would enhance the quality of life for residents.

B. Do you agree with investing in improved sports field playing surfaces and lighting, to meet growing demand for sports fields in Ōtara-Papatoetoe?

C. Do you support the development of public open space at Hunters Corner shopping centre on Sutton Crescent?

D. Do you agree that free adult entry to swimming pools delivers important social and public health benefits?

E. Do you support Colin Dale Park being recognised as a regional facility and becoming a regional park, with the development costs spread across Auckland ratepayers?

F. Please tell us why?

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Papakura Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:
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Puketapapa Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

The Puketapapa Local Board manages a number of budgets to provide services and facilities for the area. Amongst these is a budget of more than $1 million, which we can spend on capital works over the next three years.

Are there any parks or other community facilities (e.g. halls for hire) that you think need further development or an upgrade? If so, what and where?

Please tell us what and where:

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Rodney Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you support the completion of a masterplan (concept plan) for the future reserve at Green Road, Dairy Flat?

B. Do you support the local board contributing funding to the design of the future multi-sport building at the Warkworth Showgrounds?

C. Do you support the local board’s continued focus on funding and supporting community projects to improve our town centres?

D. Do you support the local board contributing funding to construct a local indoor court facility at Huapai Domain?

E. Do you support the introduction of an annual targeted rate of $150 per dwelling or business premise to bring forward improvements in transport services and infrastructure in Rodney earlier than currently planned?

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?
Upper Harbour Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you support locating the sub-regional multi-use, multi-sport indoor facility in Whenuapai to cater to the North West, as opposed to Albany for the North Shore?
B. Do you support Upper Harbour Local Board investing in to improve “street to street” cycling and pedestrian connections through our local parks and reserves?
C. Which areas do you think the Upper Harbour Local Board should invest in to improve “street to street” cycling and pedestrian connections through our local parks and reserves?
D. Do you support construction of a coastal walkway between Limeburners Reserve and Marina View Drive?
E. Do you support a focus on restoration planting to protect Upper Harbour reserves?
F. Which areas do you think are of higher priority?
G. Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Waiheke Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Waitākere Ranges Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

Do you support advocating for a regional increase to the dedicated Waitākere Ranges Heritage Area budget for locally driven projects?

We’ve already heard the following projects are priorities to our community. We’d like to know what you think to help us progress them.

To help us improve small urban parks in Glen Eden, what would make you spend more time in them?
To help us as we finalise and implement the Waitākere Ranges-wide greenways plan, what could be done to make it easier for you to walk and cycle more?

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Waitematā Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:
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A. Do you support the 10-year budget to include first stage funding to create a full civic space at 254 Ponsonby Road?

B. Should we expand our waterways restoration programme in 2018/2019 to include Waiparuru Stream (Grafton Gully) and partner with Albert-Eden and Puketapapa Local Boards to develop a protection and restoration strategy for the Waikito, Waatea (Moia and Motions Creek catchments) and the Three Kings to Western Springs Aquifer?

C. How do you think we should prioritise our Auckland Transport capex fund over the next three years? Improved walking and cycling infrastructure through completing greenways routes, such as the connection from Noynk to Parnell through the old Parnell rail tunnel.

D. Do you support allocating funding towards awareness and enhancing provision of city centre public facilities? What kind of facilities should we prioritise?

E. The elimination of agrichemical spraying may have some impact on the visual presentation of the parks and reserves. Do you support the local board allocating $40,000 funding for agrichemical tree weed control methods in specific parks such as at Albert Park and Myers Park in 2018/2019? Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Whau Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you support building the Whau pool and recreation centre?

B. Do you support the board progressing increased care and protection for our significant trees?

How should the board progress increased care and protection for our significant trees?

C. Do you support making our town centres and our transport centres outstanding?

What would make our town centres and our transport centres outstanding?

We've already heard that strengthening our diverse communities is a priority. We'd like to know what you think to help us progress this.

What should the board focus on to strengthen and connect our diverse communities?

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Belonging and Participation' will achieve this? Yes
Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments:

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in
Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future? Partially

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
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Questions relating to the Auckland Plan 2050

Question 1: An inclusive Auckland

- Do you think the scope focus areas identified in May 2018?

- Please comment.

- Well-being and opportunity will achieve this?

Question 2: Advance Auckland Well-being

- The strengths and capabilities areas of Auckland will help it achieve this?

- Please comment.

Question 3: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of future development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 4: Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 5: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 6: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 7: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 8: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 9: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 10: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 11: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 12: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 13: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.

Question 14: Auckland's capital power is going to be the focus of development sites that are a threat to our national parks.

- Please comment.

- Auckland's capital power sites are not suitable for future development.
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 18 Mar 2018 23:19 Attachment:

First name: Kate Last name: Waterhouse

Organisation name:

Local Board: Waitāmatā

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments: Other than getting central government funding help, this is the only fair way to pay for the transport infrastructure we need.
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can't cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments: It is totally unacceptable to have unswimmable harbours and beaches. We all use this service so it's unfair to have only ratepayers funding it. Why not a development levy for the infill housing.

Q3. Auckland's rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland's local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Support option B - a targeted rate of an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to spend more on tackling kauri dieback disease, and allow us to better protect our native species and ecosystems.

Comments: This work is not optional.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments:

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Support

Comments:

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Meunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited
LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Waitematā Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Partially

Comments: The local board should lead a precinct planning process for the Western Springs area and catchment. Currently there are multiple Auckland Council entities working on projects in the areas that drive significant visitors to the area especially on weekends. There is not over arching master plan for the area including all sites between western Springs no 2 ground and bullock track intersection to moela reef. this covers 2 mental sites, the zoo, a growing secondary school, Western Springs stadium. Lakeside Park, an intermediate school and the largest soccer club in the country. Please add this to your priority list. It is a regional asset but it is already a traffic bottlenecks even before any further facilities, especially development including of Western Springs college, the soccer club or mental will add to the unacceptable situation on weekends for locals and visitors alike.

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you support the 10-year budget to include first stage funding to create a full site civic space at 254 Ponsonby Rd? Partially

Is that the best site for it? Should we not let suburban developers use the site and consider the best alternative possible away from the main road - ponsonby Rd.

B. Should we expand our waterways restoration programme in 2018/2019 to include Waipatiki Stream (Grafton Gully) and partner with Albert-Eden and Pukekohe Local Boards to develop a protection and restoration strategy for the Waitakere, Waiake (Moela and Motons Creek catchments) and the Three Kings to Western Springs Aquifer? Yes

It's sadly sometimes the only way for people to connect to nature

C. How do you think we should prioritise our Auckland Transport capex fund over the next three years? Implement slow traffic speed zones in residential areas

Richmond Rd shops at the job at Lincoln at intersection is an accident waiting to kill someone - urgently needs traffic calming All the shopping districts could use design input - not be driven by Auckland Transport , but it steady by urban designers. I also support assistance for cyclists at every turn but you have to take the community with you

D. Do you support allocating funding towards raising awareness and enhancing provision of city centre public facilities? Partially

The above 2 issues are more important

What kind of facilities should we prioritise? Public art and spaces to act as gathering spaces around which other services can be built

E. The elimination of agrichemical spraying may have some impact on the visual presentation of the parks and reserves. Do you support the local board allocating $40,000 funding for agrichemical free weed control methods in specific parks such as at Albert Park and Myers Park in 2018/2019? Yes

It just has to be done

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? Moela Rd cycle way should be stopped until there is a precinct plan. This has to be taken out of Auckland transports hands - they don't understand the pressures on the space that are nothing to do with cars and buses.
Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Belonging and Participation' will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Māori Well-being and Identity' will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Homes and Places' will achieve this? Yes

Comments: Really need to focus on intensification not spreading out more

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Transport and Access' will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland's environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Environment and Cultural Heritage' will achieve this? Partially

Comments: Council has to create better decision support so we understand at a catchment level the impacts of growth - specifically the decisions around intensification Council also need to be compliant with relevant legislation requiring them to protect the environment through the actions and modifications.

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments:

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 126,000 dwellings and up to 276,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future? Partially

Comments: Need to accelerate intensification further

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan? Where is the collaboration with central government reflected in this - DOC for example in the environmental challenges on biodiversity and species protection.

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here

Auckland Council
Te Kaunihora o Tāmaki Makaurau
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 28 Mar 2018 19:08 Attachment:

First name: Kate Last name: Waterhouse

Organisation name: Great Barrier Environmental Trust

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments:
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $16 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Other

Comments: While this is mandatory for council to invest in under its legislative obligations the planned investment needs to include areas of the region such as Great Barrier where seas and streams are being impacted by leakage from septic tanks. What is the proposed approach for this problem, especially in Tryphena harbour catchments and potentially others should growth occur on Great Barrier in other areas? The Tryphena situation is being monitored but a proactive solution to the ongoing presence of pollutants (e.g., e coli) is required. How can ratepayers and residents on Aotea benefit from this targeted rate or be assisted to remediate unsafe tanks?

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Other

Comments: The GBIET supports a third option which is to fully fund the Regional Pest Management Strategy. Option A is totally inadequate for Auckland’s growth and does not reflect the aspirations of the community on Great Barrier or across the Auckland region to protect biodiversity and treasured natural ecosystems. Option B simply continues the status quo. It proposes $447m on mainly biosecurity and some biodiversity and pest management activity. This sounds like a lot until you realise it is only 1.53% of Auckland Council’s total $29.2 billion operating budget for the next 10 years. It assumes NO increase in any council targets for protected species, pest management or (and under pest management). We submit that this is not enough to arrest the decline in our birds and ecosystems due to pests and that it should be increased. We recommend that elected representatives ask for another option – Option C: that we invest a greater proportion of the LTP in natural assets; specifically biodiversity protection – including more species protection, and pest management and eradication, and the implementation of Sea Change in full. We particularly submit that the Council recognize Aotea Great Barrier in both the Auckland Plan and the LTP as a priceless regional and national asset. It should not be left to one local board. 1000 residents and 400 ratepayers to advocate for this. Aotea is mentioned extensively in the Regional Pest Management Plan. The amount allocated to work on Aotea Great Barrier is not clear and we recognize that this is unlikely to have been agreed at this stage. We submit that we support further investment in protecting Aotea’s unique biodiversity, and increased biosecurity and pest management, as part of the network of treasure islands on Auckland’s doorstep. This should be built into estimates to fund Option C as outlined above. Some of the detailed steps required for biodiversity protection have been documented in Pest Free Aotea’s submission to Predator Free NZ 2050 in October 2017 (a collaboration between the Local Board, Ngai Rehua Ngatiwai Ki Aotea, DOC, the island’s 3 main sanctuaries, the GBIET and private landowners).

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing
city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments:

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Other

Comments: On Aotea Great Barrier there is a shortage of accommodation. This measure is likely to lead to additional costs for accommodation providers and there are therefore grounds for Great Barrier to be excluded from this measure, to avoid unintended consequences on our nascent tourism industry.

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited

For the first time Auckland Council is proposing to target a proportion of rates specifically to biosecurity and the environment. This is very good, but the options presented do not go as far needed. Given the dire state of the Hauraki Gulf and the impact of pests on our declining forests and biodiversity, there is, we believe, insufficient allocation in the proposed 10 year budget (the LTP) for these critical things - hence the request to provide Aucklanders with option C above. On top of this, the Auckland Plan, which is the 30 Year vision for the Auckland Region including Aotea Great Barrier, does not specifically include a pest free target. For a 30 year vision for our region given the high levels of public support we are now seeing, we think this is essential. If it is not, we may see extinctions in the Auckland Region within the life of the plan. The 30 year plan needs much more focus on arresting the decline of our environment and native species (terrestrial and marine) rather than continuing on the current path. We ask council to endorse a Pest Free Aotea goal in the Local Board plan, the Auckland Plan and the Regional Pest Management Plan. At the moment there are references to pest management, but no goals, measures or targets relating to them, which is not explicit enough for the situation our environment and biodiversity faces. Nor does it reflect public feeling and the participation rates in pest management projects on the island or in Auckland as a whole. This is a critical time for our native species and these plans do not reflect that sufficiently as they stand.

LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Partially
#6429

Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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Comments: See Environment response below

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Yes

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3?

We recognize the intent of the board is to protect and restore the island’s ecology and that this can’t be reflected in a one page summary. The priorities include a focus on the Environment, which continues from previous years and is great to see. We support continuing the Ecology Vision, the Biodiversity officer and community pest project support. However we would like to see the board follow through on the intent of the application to Predator Free NZ 2050 in October last year under the collaborative group Pest Free Aotearoa (the Local Board has this document). Our members and supporters want the board to progress a Pest Free Aotearoa goal. We would also like to see the board provide even more support for pest management and eradication of rats on adjacent islands and biodiversity hotspots like Hirakimata and Te Paparahi, until that long term goal can be achieved. We recognize this requires solutions that are new and not yet available, and we encourage both the council and the board to approach DOC and Predator Free NZ 2050 to progress the trial and use of non-toxic scale technologies on Aotearoa. Note that such technologies are very unlikely to be trap based given the scale and topography of Aotearoa. This is a great opportunity for the island, Auckland and New Zealand but it needs to be specifically identified in the RPMP and supported accordingly. This is not for Aotearoa residents and ratepayers to solve for ourselves, it requires collaboration with mana whenua and central government to achieve.

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Yes

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Yes

We particularly support the creation of the research centre with a heavy focus on the natural environment, and look forward to collaborating with the Local Board to help this happen. Refer to the PFNZ 2050 Pest Free Aotearoa roadmap for detail.

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Yes

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? Thank you all for your dedication to the island and its people, taonga and places.

---

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this? Yes
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018

Attachments

Attachment A

Item 14

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this? No

Comments: Not enough focus on truly low cost housing

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this? No

Comments: Need to mandate public transport more strongly

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments: See previous comments above on the need for a pest free Auckland and a pest free Aotea goal in the plan with targets and measures. This is essential.

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and
establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland's infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for 'Enabling Growth' will effectively provide for Auckland's future? Partially

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?
If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
From: 10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh feedback
dean.montain@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: AKHaveYourSay
Subject: AKHY S#ID 5270 Margery Harris
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How did you learn about the plan?

We have major problems with our transport system. The population growth and intensification of land use combined with an increase in the number of vehicles has made public transport inefficient. We propose that a region be carved out of greater Auckland to create more urban greenbelts and transport corridors. What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a region to the planning process?
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In our opinion if we get our priorities right or the one card area is

Great airrier o a oard eed a k

We would like to give you an overall view of where the primary aid is set positions and

I would like to give you an overall view of where the primary aid is set positions and

We would like to give you an overall view of where the primary aid is set positions and

The proposed top level of As an example of the treatment limited
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An in u i e Au k and

...
Comment

Hoping our growth

Auckland Council

Increase Auckland and Ka to provide or around more properties in the near future. This would mean another development and extra roads. The Auckland and Pauanui proposals to manage long-term population growth and prioritise development in certain areas and within new communities and new areas need to be prioritised. In the future, Auckland and Pauanui need to keep up with the pace and co-growth. We need to think of the proposal approach for Enfield Growth and the provision of Auckland and Pauanui in the future.

Another need a k

Auckland Council
AK HaveYourSay

From: 10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh feedback
deen mcintosh aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

To: AKHaveYourSay

Subject: AKYS ID 5,295 Fenella Christian

---

We have some problems with the transport system. The population grew during the
getting worse. If we don't do something about this, the people will start using
Proposing that a new service be introduced to upgrade the transport system.

What is your opinion on introducing a regional service to help people travel?
Attachment A

Item 14

We are proposing that on a comedation provision eg Air property who meet out their who each property not on s room or a certain number a rate and the A comedation provision and a formula or Targeted Rate for the property within a certain one. This was d mean the are treated the one with a other a comedation provision or.  

What is our opinion on this provision?

attachment
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018

#7317
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---

In our opinion has we got our priority right or this a ward area in

---

An in ui eAu k and

---

Ad an e Māori we cing
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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Item 14

#7317

We then discussed the current Maori voting rights and we are seeking to improve the Maori representation on the council.

A home

We then addressed the housing issue, recognizing the need for more housing. We are discussing the need for affordable housing options.

Moving around

We then discussed the need to improve transport options. We are considering the possibility of an integrated transport system.

Protecting and enhancing our environment

We then discussed the importance of protecting our environment and heritage. We are considering the need for more education and awareness.

Empowering people for the future

We then discussed the need to empower people for the future. We are considering the need for more education and awareness.

Hoping for growth

We then discussed the need for growth. We are considering the need for more investment in the local economy.
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An other need a k

question o en ha can other need a k on the As k and P an
I saw you'd like to add an supporting information p ease up out it here.
Hello,

Please see below email submissions from Hilde Hoven in regards to the proposed LTP rating change for properties rented via web-based accommodation services like Airbnb.

Thanks
Jacqui

Jacqui Jyers

Thanks Izzy, it would be great if you could forward my e-mail for the Have your say event. Hoping to attend...

HI Izzy,

I asked the visitor information centre to send this out, not intending to be, but probably causing a panic. It would be very concerning if this rate increase were to come to pass on Great Barrier. To me personally, it would mean being taxed close to 50% on our rental income.

Realistically, it would probably also mean the demise of the tourist industry here. And as with the boarding school fees, it would take lots of money away from our small economy.

XXIII
You are absolutely right, Lynda.

and without wanting to rant on... I just want to make sure everyone understands why this rates increase is so wrong.

Izzy, could I ask you to add the below to my personal submission, please.

Renting their accommodation for a large proportion of islanders is one of the very few ways they have available to them to earn their keep. Just as much as for instance people that work for the Auckland Council are trying to earn their crust. And they are not suddenly taxed with another $1500-$15000 per year... or however much it turns out to be. Imagine!

We are all taxed on our (rental) income already, through our income tax and we are taxed for owning our properties through our rates. The proposed rates increase would not cut into our profits, it would take away from the money we live on and spend in our community. It seems incredible that a public body would be allowed to even suggest to take a huge chunk of hard earned income away from a certain group of hard working people. Has a legal opinion been obtained by Auckland Council?

Can private commercial initiative be punished in this way?

Implementation of this rates increase would be disheartening and unfair.

It makes all the hard work many islanders are putting into running our accommodations to the best of our abilities to ensure our island shines, and initiatives like DGBI, new tourist websites and Dark Skies seem futile.
Together we are trying to make this place thrive and be economically successful, so that no one has to depend on hand outs and/or live in poverty. With this proposed rates increase, Auckland Council seems to be trying to achieve just the opposite.

Hilde
Hello,

Please see below email submissions from Hilde Hoven & Steve Billingham in regards to the proposed LTP rating change for properties rented via web-based accommodation services like Airbnb.

Thanks
Jacqui

Jacqui Fyers
Advisor
Great Barrier Local Board

Hilde

Subject: Proposed rates increase accommodation providers Great Barrier Island

Hi Nicki,

I would just like to alert you to the issue of a proposed rates increase for accommodation providers. Should this happen on Great Barrier Island, imagine what it would do to our island economy... As Steve Billingham says in the below e-mail:

"If you wanted to finish our community off, good and proper - you'd be hard pressed to come up with a better idea than Auckland Council's latest efforts."

I know that for some of us, it would mean our rental incomes are taxed 50%. Hardly an incentive to stay in business. Tourism is what makes our Barrier economy go round, and lately perhaps even thrive a little bit. This increase would stop that.

If you can put your oar in at any level, this would be much appreciated.

Best regards,
Hi Hilde,

PS If you can think of anything that we as a community can do to prevent this increase from happening, apart from having our say at the Have a Say Event this weekend, that would be really helpful. :-) 

PPS If it's time for a visitor tax perhaps visitors should be charged.

It's something that does quite concern me, too. I am not sure if it would affect XSPOT, but I figure it may... And I think this may be to the tune of $6000 in additional rates. It's scary.

On one hand it feels like AKL is clutching at straws to find revenue wherever possible. On the other hand, I can see why they think 'private' accommodation providers have to brought in line with hotels, who I understand are now paying a 'visitor tax'.

I feel that it's the visitors that should be paying the tax, though.

---

teve Billingham

On 21 March 2018 at 19:10 Steve > wrote:

Hi Everyone

I have very strong concerns regarding this issue. The potential for a hugely negative roll-on effect is very real. We hardly have enough accommodation availability on the island now - We could be about to lose a whole lot more.

I've already heard from a few concerned suppliers that would rather pull out than try to negotiate through a potential bureaucratic quagmire.

Considering that Auckland Council could likely stick with the 'One Size Fits All' mind-set... we stand to take a big hit.

I can agree with Hilde's comments about the 'fairness' regarding private vs commercial... Regardless... we should expect to witness struggling businesses, loss of employment, loss of essential services and more expensive flights, ferry & freight etc.

Tourism is really all we have now...
No fishing, struggling farmers, no natural resources left to plunder.
If you wanted to finish our community off, good and proper - you'd be hard pressed to come up with a better idea than Auckland Councils latest efforts.

I'd like to see DGBI call a special operators meeting to discuss options and form a unified response.

Cheers

Steve

DESTINATION GREAT BARRIER ISLAND
From: 10 Y ear Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh feedback
dean.montblay aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: AK Have Your Say
Subject: AKHY S ID 6,521 Bruce Maxwell

#9125
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We have ma nagement with our transport team. A the population grows larger than it is getting worse. So far the BPP is looking to move freight and possibly new propols. We propose that a regiona l plan be used to raise more funding for transport, and therefore improve the transport system.
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In our opinion, we got our priorities right on a card area in

Comment:
I won't go into this again as we have prioritised it in the past and think it was a mistake in the planning phase.

We agree to continue to consider measures for the maintenance of the area, including water monitoring and ongoing improvement of the area and surrounding areas.

We agree to support the proposal to introduce a new card area on the east side of the island and to encourage the community to participate in its management.

An in u i e A u k and

Where people are together with trust and mutual respect, there is opportunity.
Ad an e Māori we eing
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A ord a e home
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Mo ing ea i around Au k and
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haping our growth

ue tio Au k and ha to pro ide e ar round more peop e in the next ear whi h would mean another d we ing and up to e tra o The Au
#9125

To manage ongoing population growth, prioritise investing in new housing and community amenities and new roads and infrastructure in an area. In a ten-year plan, we need to catch up with the population growth and provide for Auckland and future growth. This will need to keep up with the population growth and new houses. The proposed approach for Enabling Growth is to provide for Auckland's growth and future development in an area.

An other need is to

We then have an other need for the Auckland Plan.

I would like to add an important point up and add it here.
From: 10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh feedback
dean.mcintosh@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: AKHaveYoursay
Subject: AKHY SID 5,655 Lynda Macalpine

How did you hear about the plan?

We have a major problem with our transport system. The population growth is unsustainable. Getting to work is a daily chore, and time wasting seems to be the norm.

What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional bus to help people or improvement to the transport system?
Item 14

---

**Attachment A**

**Note:** The text appears to be partially obscured or damaged in the image, making it difficult to read clearly. It seems to discuss a targeted rate or some form of fee or charge for the use of water, possibly in the context of environmental or ecological protection.

**Comment:**

Our local government is proposing a targeted rate to encourage the use of rainwater and minimize the consumption of treated water. This measure is intended to promote sustainability and conservation of natural resources. We propose this rate to be introduced to encourage households and businesses to adopt rainwater harvesting systems.

What is our opinion on this proposal?

---

**Note:** The text continues with additional comments and details on the proposed rate, possibly including considerations for different sectors or types of users, such as commercial or residential property owners.

**Comment:**

We are proposing a rate that would encourage the use of rainwater harvesting systems. This will help in reducing the consumption of treated water and promote environmental conservation. The rate will be applied on a per property basis and will be calculated based on the volume of rainwater collected.

What is our opinion on this proposal's rate?
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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Ad an e Māori we eing
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Comment

Prote ting and enhan ing our en iornment
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Haping our growth
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I er wos d like to add an supporting in ormation p e v up out it here

Auckland Council
Te Haunhere o Tamaki Makaurau
Subject: comment on 10-year projects

Hi Izzy

Hope all’s well.

Rather than fill out a form online, is it OK if we just write one comment to you? I’ll type it in but if I have to use the form, please let me know.

It’s this: To help achieve island resilience and well-being, we encourage you to knock out investigating a research and education centre and replace it with creating secure water supplies for south, central and north. Also, as soon as possible, not later in the ten-year period.

Thank you
Joanna and Ro

Local Board Agreement
Key projects include: Aotea Life-long learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary, Tryphena Coastal Trail, central and northern cemeteries, biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring, Ecology Vision; a subsidy for baby and child burials (0-12 years); a subsidy for herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor; investigating an island research and education centre;
funding solar energy for all Claris council buildings. Have your say online as part of the 10 year budget feedback form.
AK HaveYourSay

From: 10 Y ear Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh feedback
dean.monton@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: AKH aveYourSay
Subject: AKHY SID 5,714 Helgaard Wagener

---

Attachment A

---

Item 14

---

Attachment A

---

We have some problems with our transport plan. As the population grows, one of the big issues will be congestion. To address this, we propose a $200m investment to improve transport, such as an extension of the rail. What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional rail transport plan?
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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... we then Auckland has to provide or around more people in the next year which would mean another... The Auckland Plan proposes to manage our current population growth priorities in the coming years in an area and... 

An Auckland structure... need to keep up with the pace and a growth over time. We think the proposal approach... Ena tag Growth... to provide or Auckland and future comment... 

Another need for... we then are another need... in the Auckland Plan and an...
AK HaveYourSay

From: 10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh feedback
dean.mcintosh@ Aucklandcouncil.uq.co.nz

To: AKHaveYourSay

Subject: AKHY SID 6,118 Jack Warden

---

We have a major problem with our transport system. The population growth suggests we are getting overcrowding and we are trying to move everyone right end people. We are proposing to develop a 

regional plan to improve and develop our transport network and service.

What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional plan to help people or improve the transport system?
Item 14
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Protecting and enhancing our environment

We think the one area identified in Environment and Urban Heritage work is identified.

Snipping people or culture

We think rapid urbanisation has created opportunities and hazards. The new role of the organisation is to take steps to work around these opportunities.

Haping our growth

We think Auckland and the province of Auckland need to develop new opportunities and new urban areas. We need to develop new opportunities and new urban areas.

Another need a k

I would like to add an supporting ornament p.e. it up and it here.

Auckland Council
Te Haunui a Tāmaki Mahurau
10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 22 Mar 2018 22:08

Attachment:

First name: Emma
Last name: Farmer

Organisation name: 

Local Board: Great Barrier

How did you hear about the plan(s)? Local board e-newsletter

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments: Traffic is making my life hell
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can't cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.20 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments:

Q3. Auckland's rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland's local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Do not support a targeted rate to invest more to protect our environment.

Comments: This is DOC work.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Do not support

Comments: We already pay huge rates. The council needs to reign in its spending.

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Do not support

Comments: I let my Bach out for about 6 weeks a year, I do not make a profit, but it generates enough money to pay rates and insurance. If you increase the rates the Bach becomes a financial burden. I get penalised twice. Family Baches should be excluded.

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:
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Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Partially

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Yes

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Yes

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Yes

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Yes

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Yes

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments: This is all too woolly and probably will deliver nothing. If you get to the detail of kaupapa dieback funding you should have some proper goals here not broad aspirations.
Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this? No

Comments: Again patronising aspirational goals that have absolutely no substance.

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments: No proper detail

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this? No

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5 Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this? No

Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this? No

Comments:

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 326,000 dwellings and up to 276,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future? Yes
Any other feedback

Question: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan? Really could do much better than this, is this what you spend my rates on?!

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here.
I would also like to add to my submission can you please also note that Great Barrier Island residents have higher living costs than those in the Auckland region over $3.00 per litre for petrol and freight on all goods bought to the island make food purchases dearer. Regards, Joanne Findlay
Revenue & Financing Policy Survey Response

Revenue & Financing Policy Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

Click here to view the response

Date received: 28 Mar 2018 09:30 Attachment:

First name: Joanne Last name: Findlay

Organisation name:

Local Board: Great Barrier

Email address:

Street address: Suburb:

City: Postcode:

Newsletters:

Gender:

How did you hear about the plan(s)? Local newspaper, Social media (e.g. Facebook), Local board e-newsletter, Have Your Say website and Gave feedback previously/already knew

FEEDBACK

Q1. Do you agree with the proposed changes to Revenue and Finance Policy? No

Comments: Your planned changes to rates and the bed tax to businesses and small rental providers in Great Barrier Island will make it uneconomical for these places to continue. Great Barrier is struggling now and tourism is their main income source. Most workers now have more than one job to make a living. We provide accommodation and our turnover last year was $120,000 the same as your proposed rates. This year has been a little better but still not enough to be a stand alone business (we currently also work in Auckland and commute when necessary). This last year we have booked rental cars to the value of $10,000 and air flights to...
the value of $20,000. Not to also count the money our guests spend on dining out and gifts they buy. All this provides cash flow for the island. Should the fine balance change with our expenses (which would be the case to any rate or tariff on accommodation we will consider closing down. I am sure this will result in more people having to rely on a benefit. You must also realise AT do not provide any public transport to it from the island or any bus service on the island. We already get it less that our Waiheke neighbours. Please make Great Barrier exempt from any change of rating category or accommodation tariffs. Signed island descendant from 1865. Joanne Findlay (Medland)
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 25 Mar 2018 11:30 Attachment:

First name: jeremy Last name: warden

Organisation name:

Local Board: Great Barrier

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services. What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Do not support

Comments: Do not support as all our goods are freighted to the island over a long distance so any fuel tax would increase the cost of produce disproportionately to that of the isthmus.
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $86 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Other

Comments: I support the principle but the cost should be borne by new developments and those areas of Auckland where infrastructure needs upgrading.

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Other

Comments: I support the additional costs of the RAMP of $60 per year in rate levies. Do not support the total costs of funding the Kauri die back plan as it stands as some of the methodology is not supported by robust scientific research. Could not support pest free Auckland as a broad stroke project that requires large amounts of funding but support pest specific programmes according CBA outcomes.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Other

Comments: Would support if Auckland Council made a real effort to audit its departments and were able to measure which departments and staff were making a positive and direct contribution to services on the ground.

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Support

Comments: I think this is fair and that it is right the costs should be incurred by accommodation provider to be passed onto to its client.

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Partially

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Partially

Support environmental grants but not grants for social services and education which should come from winz and MOE respectively. The dark sky sanctuary needs to be stand alone project and not rely on local board money

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Partially

Support funding for biosecurity/biodiversity officer. Do not support board funded water quality monitoring but do support safe swim. After reading phase 1 and 2 of the ecology vision I cannot support funding going into this which has only produced empirical outcomes with no consideration for good science

C. Do you support the provision of subsides for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? No

This is an unfortunate amalgamation of two totally different subject matters and looks to have been designed to deliver a predetermined outcome. Ideally this question needs to be removed from this submission form. Weed control using herbicides in the road corridor consists of two different areas - maintenance and using herbicides for RPMP weed programmes. The later needs the use of herbicides as a tool as part of best practice and a method of least disturbance.

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Partially

Would support the coastal trial if the existing sections were being used. Support small ash plot only cemeteries

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Partially

For council use but do not support setting up infrastructure to supply charging for private electric vehicles

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? Great Barrier local board should focus on council business and not deviate into supplying funding for social services, education and astronomy. They need to advocate to the relevant organisations to implement these services. The local board need to be always conscious that we are a national significant ecological area and that we need to make sure this is incorporated into our decision making.
Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments: This complicates and moves away from the need to provide basic services and infrastructure to the rate payer. Council over the years has taken on services that belong to other organisations which it should move away from

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this? No

Comments: Council needs to stay away from anything to do with housing

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5 Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments: In addition we need to protect Auckland from new incursions of pests better and manage the ones we have
Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this? Partially.

Comments: Focus areas 4 & 5 are not council's function and need to be excluded.

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future? Yet.

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here.
10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS
View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050
Date received: 27 Mar 2018 21:35 Attachment:
First name: jeremy Last name: Warden
Organisation name:
Local Board: Great Barrier

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments: This would incentivise the use of public transport
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments: support in principle as long as new developers are paying a levy as part of development fees

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Other

Comments: support the full cost of the RFP of $90 per year in rate levies Would only support measures to contain Kauri die back that are scientifically proven Only partially support pest free Auckland. Would want science to catch up to make species eradication economically realistic. User pays to help fund regional parks

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increase of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 1.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments: Not a significant increase

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Support

Comments: These costs could easily be passed on to visitors

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Meunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited
Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got the priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Partially

Comments: Good support for environment. Need to advocate to WTMZ for social services budget and MOE for education which are funded by tax payers not by rates money.

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? No

The local board need to stick with Council relevant matters and not be tempted to deviate into astronomy, education and social services.

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Yes

The Biosecurity/biodiversity officer has a tangible outcome and is needed with added pressure on the GBI environment from the isthmus. Do not support the ecology vision as budget spent to date has not produced any outcomes support safe swim water quality testing but not board funded project.

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? No

This is an unfortunate amalgamation of two entirely different subject matters. Given the examples of failed herbicide free weed management in areas such as Waikato I don't support this strategy only best practice and methods of least disturbance. Over the last 5 years weed control in the road corridor on Great barrier has lapsed and all tools have to be implemented to regain containment and eradication of pest plant species.

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Partially

It would have to be determined if the investment into the tryphena trail has been worth it. Research and education centre needs to be amalgamated with another facility eg DoC info centre.

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Clare? Partially

Private enterprise should have the opportunity to provide Electric vehicle charging Council is not equipped to start charging private users for this.

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? The board need to advocate more self responsibility to its constituents and help local business by encouraging people to engage in the right training for employment that's available on the island.
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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Item 14

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments: support focus area 2 only

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori wellbeing. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments: support more resources for building control services

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this? No

Comments: employment is a central government issue
Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 326,000 dwellings and up to 276,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future? Yes

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
From: 10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh feedback
dean.montano@aucklandcouncil.nz
To: AKHaveYourSay
Subject: AKHY SID 7,899 Anthony Hughes
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We have some problems with our transport plans. The population growth is not enough, getting worse, and we need more and more transport. We propose a regional need to be more urgent and propose a new transport plan.

What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a region to the plan?
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We are proposing that on the approved proponent's property who are the proponent at a room or a certain number a per hour based on the target rate and the approved proponent's property within a certain one. This does not mean the are treated the same as another approved proponent's property.

What is our opinion on this proposal?

Please provide an explanation on other matters in the on site document or supporting information.

The proposal is to delete the lease and any other notice in the lease and area limited.

Great Barrier as a local area

In our opinion, we get our priority right to the area.

Please provide the explanation.

We agree to continue to support the proposed initiative in writing and agree to support the ongoing assessment.

We also agree to support the proposed initiative in writing and agree to support the proposed initiative in writing.

We support the proposal on the condition of an area with a limit and her.
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The exchange in e-learning education and training and development of an area identified in Opportunity and Prosperity is the key to our growth.

An Auckland and its surrounding areas is seen as the key to keep up with the population growth. Auckland and its surrounding areas need to keep up with the population growth.

Another idea on how to address the need for Auckland and its surrounding areas is to increase the support in armature programs and to invest in the future.

Auckland Council
To Kaunaha a Tāmaki Makaurau

#12002
Attachment A
Item 14
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 25 Mar 2018 13:01 Attachment:

First name: Cushla Last name: Warden

Organisation name:

Local Board: Great Barrier

How did you hear about the plan(s)? Local newspaper and Local board e-newsletter

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments: This shares the load across all road users and doesn’t leave the burden on rate payers only
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $86 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments: Auckland’s whole image is based on its harbour and beaches and they are what make it a desirable place to live we have an obligation to ensure the infrastructure is in place to ensure the development required to support the population does not destroy the very reasons people want to live here both from an environmental and lifestyle perspective.

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Other

Comments: We need to ensure there is budget to implement all required programmes that support Auckland’s biosecurity and biodiversity. We are the caretakers if we do not take positive action now to ensure pests both animal and plant are not managed we will lose what makes us special our point of difference.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments: It is false economy to not invest in our future money spent now may support reduced future costs if we can get core infrastructure working effectively.

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Other

Comments: Uncertain it is a hard one for small scale providers but in some respects it supports an equal playing field for the mid scale people that lose business to this type of accommodation when they still have high compliance overheads - high end will always have its market and with their economy of scales can meet its cost I suggest a separate differential is created for this type of accommodation being not nothing but not as high as a fully commercial business.

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:
• changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
• the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited

LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Partially

Comments: Important to keep into perspective what ratepayer revenue should be spent on and not to encroach into other non Council related areas

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Partially

Can not see the correlation between Council and life-long learning Now that Dark Sky Sanctuary has been supported to this point I think it is now up to private business to support the opportunities that this status provides Grants funding this is a case by case assessment

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Partially

As caretakers for the environment as a community we need to support resources that support delivery of proactive biosecurity and biodiversity programmes Water quality monitoring - this depends what monitoring has revealed to date has it revealed a systemic issue with the water quality or are results inconclusive as unless a specific problem has been identified the question would be what is being monitored for what purpose to achieve what outcome Ecology vision this conversation has absorbed considerable funds for no visible outcome I would rather see any available budget in this area applied to specific programmes no more talking my opinion is that everyone has the same vision is pest free island to support and protect our biodiversity it is the path to get there that is the debate we need more courageous informed decisions less chatting and hand wringing

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Partially

How these two diverse questions ended up in the same sentence is horrifying The only way to answer is separately I do not think you can single out any one demographic subsidy for burial death is painful for families and friends be it at age 0 or 101 - I would have faith that in this community if a family was in a position not to be able afford costs involved in burial they would receive community support or be supported by appropriate agencies Herbicide Free - there is an obligation to ensure best practice is carried out to achieve best outcomes in the most cost and operationally effective way methodology needs to be outcome focussed

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Trinapha Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Partially

These need to be demand driven
E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Partially.

In principal.

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? Focus needs to be on core environmental and infrastructure works to ensure we have the capacity to support both residential and visitor populations.

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate in their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Belonging and Participation' will achieve this? Partially.

Comments: If people have a job and somewhere to live they can generally sort out the rest for themselves this needs to be the underlying focus although appreciate the positive aspirations in this focus.

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Māori Well-being and Identity' will achieve this? Yes.

Comments: Mahi Tahi

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent, their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Homes and Places' will achieve this? Partially.

Comments: In principal but not sure how you can get past this profit driven market.

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Transport and Access' will achieve this? Yes.

Comments: Anything that gets Auckland moving.

Protecting and enhancing our environment
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Environment and Cultural Heritage' will achieve this?

Yes

Comments: We need to continue to support all initiatives that support holding on to the values that protect the natural character of our region.

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Opportunity and Prosperity' will achieve this?

Yes

Comments: Core to people’s well being is to have a purpose support all initiatives to promote employment.

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for 'Enabling Growth' will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

Comments: Balance needs to be achieved by utilising land in rural areas while still maintaining green belts do not risk Auckland’s character and heritage by over intensification in our historic suburbs.

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan? Protect Character, Heritage, Culture & Environment!

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here.
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SUBMITTER DETAILS:
View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050
Date received: 26 Mar 2018 16:13 Attachment:
First name: Joanne Last name: O'Reilly
Organisation name: 
Local Board: Great Barrier

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments: This is rewarding or incentivising reducing fuel use. The more you use the more you pay. Like it.

Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can't cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets
this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments: When you run a business from home, the new charges add up but I think it needs to be done. I would like to see consideration given to incentives to minimise water use/pollution eg through initiatives that minimise runoff from property, composting toilet systems...

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environmental initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of the two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Other

Comments: Will accept a targeted rate increase- Option B but I think the amount going to kauri dieback disease may be disproportionately high and I am not convinced this level of input is warranted. I would like the option to be flexible ie to divert funding to other areas of biodiversity protection if further research shows that the threat to kauri can be managed at acceptable levels with less input.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Comments:

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Support

Comments:

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited
In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Yes

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Yes

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Yes

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Partially

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre and planning and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Yes

Fully support research into cemeteries. I believe it is appropriate to have our dead close by our living, in our communities: as it used to be. I support environmentally thoughtful cemeteries (eg shallow burial, tree plantings (or other green space)). I do not support concreted graves. I have heard concern about the requirement for parking, toilets etc at new cemeteries and if a close by public toilet already exists I think this should be sufficient. I also support cremation as a sustainable option.

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Clairs? Yes

Council should model the best we can be and the vision for our island.

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate in their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Affordable homes
#12082

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments: Particularly support efforts to make cycling (and walking) more available and safe around Auckland. Currently there are areas where cycles and walking are prohibited that make it impractical to consider e.g. several km being added to a journey because a bridge has no access for cycles or pedestrians e.g. near Sylvia Park. Also support considering transport links when further developing the city. Public transport and adequate parking at outlier stations.

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this? Partially

Comments: Focus or support industry/technology in particular that is sustainable and supports sustainable lifestyles, waste minimisation, resource use minimisation, creates needed, useful items – part of Auckland’s identity – clean, green – we can do better and we still have a beautiful environment to do it in.

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future? Partially

Comments: Do we have to accept that level of growth or can it be capped/dampened if the natural environment cannot maintain some integrity? Support minimising infrastructure development on productive, fertile soils need care.

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?
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SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 25 Mar 2018 13:44

Attachment:

Organisation name:

Local Board: Great Barrier

How did you hear about the plan(s)?

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Comments:

Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would
take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $36 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Comments:

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Other

Comments: We invest more ratepayer money into biodiversity protection - particularly on Great Barrier Island as a priceless regional and national asset. I want our local board to progress a Pest Free Auckland goal and provide even more support for pest management and eradication of rats and feral cats. I would like to see goals and measures included.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Comments:

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Comments:

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited
An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this?

Comments:
Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 326,000 dwellings and up to 276,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?
If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan 2050
Date received: 25 Mar 2018 15:37 Attachment:
First name: Eleanor Last name: Cove
Organisation name: 
Local Board: Great Barrier

How did you hear about the plan(s)?

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1 We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services. What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Comments:

Q2 Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would

1
take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $86 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments:

Q3. Auckland's rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland's local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Other

Comments: These options do not go far enough. I propose that we invest MORE ratepayer money into biodiversity protection specifically – including more species protection, and pest management and eradication, particularly on Aotea Great Barrier as a priceless regional and national asset.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Comments:

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Do not support

Comments:

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Ōpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council investments Limited
In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding?

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3?

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor?

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan?

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris?

Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

---

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this?

Comments:
Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5 Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 276,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS
View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050
Date received: 26 Mar 2018 11:11 Attachment:
First name: Judy Last name: Gilbert
Organisation name:
Local Board: Great Barrier

How did you hear about the plan(s)? Other (please specify): a friend

10 Year Budget Feedback
Q1 We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?
Other
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewage systems that cannot cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Comments: It is an absolute necessity for our harbours to be free of sewage.

Q3. Auckland's rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland's local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Support option B - a targeted rate of an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to spend more on tackling kauri dieback disease, and allow us to better protect our native species and ecosystems.

Comments: Loss of our biodiversity is becoming urgent. Please also reinstate the protection of all trees over 3m so we stop the deforestation of Auckland.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments:

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Support

Comments: It's only fair to the commercial operators who pay all the required compliance fees.

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays reuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited
LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Yes

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Yes

Great Barrier has a large number of excellent community organisations that have partial reliance on the Local Boards funding and who provide a range of outstanding services to our community including social, health, and environment. This is money well invested.

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Yes

All fundamental to the conservation of this island.

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Partially

Yes to subsidies for child burials. No to herbicide free weed management - as much as possible this needs to be done manually but where necessary a prudent use of herbicide should be allowed.

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Yes

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Yes

Long overdue.

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? Visitors - The completion of a GB visitor strategy and community consultation on its draft is urgent. This summer was huge and many would say we are at capacity now including those in the visitor industry. Is there a process established to measure the impact of the Dark Skies Sanctuary? Great Walk - if the Great Walk bid for the Aotea Track is accepted by DoC then the community must have the opportunity through a referendum to decide if they want the impact that this would undoubtedly have on the island community. The LB would need to lead on this.

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to
participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this?

Comments:

**Advance Māori well-being**

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this?

Comments:

**Affordable homes**

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this?

Comments: Auckland should be limited in its size so that we don’t lose the amazing quality of life there is. All the world’s best cities are comparatively small.

**Moving easily around Auckland**

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this?

Comments:

**Protecting and enhancing our environment**

Question 5 Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this?

Partially

Comments: Growth and environmental sustainability are not good bed partners. Being sustainable means making better use of what we already have including the number of people. It will take committed leadership to take the brave stand of saying enough people and enough rural land being chopped into for housing. Establish a green belt and stick to it.

**Equipping people for future jobs**

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this?

Comments:
Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future? No

Comments: The growth model has long ago expired as a sustainable way forward. See above.

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?
If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
Feedback must be received by 8pm on Wednesday 28 March 2018.
Please read the consultation document available at akhaveyoursay.nz or at any library, service centre or local board office before you give feedback. It has more information about the issues and choices that we want your feedback on.
All of the questions below are optional. We encourage you to give feedback online at akhaveyoursay.nz, or you can complete this form and return it to us using one of the options below.

Email
Scan your completed form and email it to akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

In person
Drop your completed form off at your local library, service centre or local board office.

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

First name: Rosemary
Last name: Harland
To answer the following questions on the 10-year Budget (2018-2028), please read the consultation document. Questions 2, 3 and 4 will affect your rates depending on your property value. To see how your rates may change go to our Rates Guide at akhaveyoursay.nz. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1:
We want to improve our transport system. As the population grows, congestion (and the pollution it creates) is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax of 10 cents per litre (plus GST) be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

☐ Support  ☐ Do not support  ☑ Other

Please comment:

Stop the mayor and councillors flying business classes with my rates paying for this.

Question 2:
Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage and stormwater systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams?

☑ Support  ☐ Do not support  ☐ Other

Please comment:

Stop spending money on trivia. Air fares and lunches etc.

Question 3:
Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction.

Question 4:
For this 10-year Budget we are proposing an average general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years and then 3.5 per cent for years three to 10. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

☐ Support  ☐ Do not support  ☑ Other

Please comment:

Stop council spending on trivia.

Question 5:
We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018

Attachments

#14053

Local board information

Question 6a:
Which local board does your feedback relate to?

Question 6b:
In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☑ Partially

Please comment:

Questions relating to the Auckland Plan 2050

To answer the following questions on the Auckland Plan, please view the draft plan at theaucklandplan.govt.nz or view the consultation document. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1: An inclusive Auckland
In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Belonging and Participation will achieve this?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 2: Advance Māori well-being
The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being.

Do you think the seven focus areas identified in Māori Well-being and Identity will achieve this?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 3: Affordable homes
Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Homes and Places will achieve this?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Need more room? You can attach extra pages, but please make sure they are A4, and also include your name and contact information.
Question 4: Moving easily around Auckland

People lack choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system that accelerates progress on walking, cycling and public transport and makes better use of existing networks.

Do you think the seven focus areas identified in Transport and Access will achieve this?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:


Question 5: Protecting and enhancing our environment

Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes utilising every opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment as growth and development happens.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Environment and Cultural Heritage will achieve this?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:


Question 6: Equipping people for future jobs

Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes Aucklanders will need to adapt to the coming changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Opportunity and Prosperity will achieve this?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:


Question 7: Shaping our growth

Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth.

Do you think the proposed approach for enabling growth will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Please comment:


Question 8: Any other feedback?


The page used to prompt this questioner used a waste of ratepayers money. We can handle cheaper paper.


Need more room? You can attach extra pages, but please make sure they are A4, and also include your name and contact information.
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 23 Mar 2018 10:14 Attachment: Submission on rates increase proposal.pdf

First name: Chris Last name: Olivier

Organisation name:

Local Board: Great Barrier

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Comments:

Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can't cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would
Attachment A

Item 14

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds, and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rate increase of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to six. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest in environmental initiatives?

Comments:

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their entire property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (i.e. the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Comments:

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information such as the proposal to establish Auckland Council Investments Limited.

Do you support the proposal to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and spend up delivery of clean drinking water to Aucklanders? Our proposal targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $68 per year ($1.10 per week).

What is your opinion on this proposal targeted rate to spend up the delivery of water quality?
An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Belonging and Participation' will achieve this?

Comments:

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Māori Well-being and Identity' will achieve this?

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Homes and Places' will achieve this?

Comments:

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Transport and Access' will achieve this?

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland's environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Environment and Cultural Heritage' will achieve this?

Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Opportunity and Prosperity' will achieve this?

Comments:
Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?
If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
Destination Great Barrier Island's submission on proposed changes to rates accommodation providers

Destination Great Barrier Island is a voluntary trust whose objective is to work together to increase visitor numbers and spend per visitor to Aotea/Great Barrier Island, by providing quality visitor experiences, while being mindful of infrastructure constraints. We represent accommodation providers and other business owners on the island.

Visitor numbers to Great Barrier Island are increasing and quality accommodation is becoming scarce. For our economy to thrive, our visitor industry must thrive – accommodating visitors must work well for visitors and suppliers alike.

Destination Great Barrier Island is deeply concerned about a proposed rate increase for short term rentals. We strongly feel that our island does not fit within the “one size fits all” approach of Auckland Council.

1. Great Barrier Island is a 4.5-hour ferry trip away from Auckland, costing two guests with a vehicle more than $550 in non-council subsidized fares, and a similar amount for a weekend for two with flights and rental vehicle. If accommodation rental charges were to increase to cover the proposed tax we believe guest nights would drop significantly. As most of our visitors come from Auckland we not only compete with Auckland but with other short hop destinations such as Gold Coast and Pacific Islands.

2. Increased accommodation cost will cause the guest nights to drop to an unsustainable level for some accommodation providers.

3. Accommodation providers have no reticulated power or water or council waste water service which means that the cost of providing accommodation is significantly higher than for mainland accommodation providers with whom we compete. (In addition, guests do not put extra strain on these municipal utilities.)

4. Another added cost for accommodation providers is the cost of freight associated with getting food and materials to the island. This also erodes profits.

5. Tourism is not spread throughout the year on Great Barrier Island but is heavily skewed to the summer and shoulder seasons. We are very different from Auckland in that most of the accommodation providers only provide for a very limited number of guests for a limited part of the year. It is therefore a lot harder for rental income owners to earn a reasonable income on Great Barrier. The island economy has however come to depend on this industry for its cashflow. The rates increase means that some will pay up to 50% tax over their rental income. The rate increase means no profits will be made and no disposable income will be left. Tourism IS our income stream. The average on island wage of around $29,000 per family (2013 census) illustrates the absolute need for this industry and the flow-on back into the community.

Destination Great Barrier Island Inc
6. Lastly, we believe the rates increase unfairly targets accommodation providers when tourism benefits many other businesses (restaurants, bars, transport, activity providers). In the long term, we look to central government to work with the tourism industry and local government to determine better, centralised ways to obtain and allocate funding for tourism and the required visitor infrastructure, such as a visitor arrival tax, as is the case in other countries.

Summarised:

The proposed rates increase on Great Barrier accommodation providers will
- Increase accommodation costs per night to an unsustainable level
- Cause fewer visitors to come to our island
- Impact on the viability of employment and essential services, such as flights and ferry
- Drive operators out of business
- Detrimentally impact our island economy

And finally and more generally, this proposed rates increase will tax heavily only one segment of the visitor industry.

We therefore ask that Auckland Council, in cooperation with central government, considers a more centralized and fair visitor tax that is obtained at source, i.e. from the visitor.

DESTINATION GREAT BARRIER ISLAND

Chair: Chris Ollivier

Destination Great Barrier Island Inc
P.O. Box 30, Claris.
Great Barrier Island 0961
Feedback must be received by 8pm on Wednesday 28 March 2018.
Please read the consultation document available at akhaveyoursay.nz or at any library, service centre or local board office before you give feedback. It has more information about the issues and choices that we want your feedback on.
All of the questions below are optional. We encourage you to give feedback online at akhaveyoursay.nz, or you can complete this form and return it to us using one of the options below.

Email
Scan your completed form and email it to akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz.

In person
Drop your completed form off at your local library, service centre or local board office.

By post
Place your completed form in an envelope and send it to freepost address:
At Have Your Say
Auckland Council
Freepost Authority 182382
Private Bag 92 300, Auckland 1142

Your name and feedback will be included in public documents. All other personal details will remain private.

First name: Nikci
Last name: Kaye
Email address or Airbnb address:

Your local board:

Great Barrier

Is your feedback on behalf of an organisation or business? (If yes, this confirms you have authority to submit on the organisation’s behalf)

☐ Yes  ☑ No

Name of organisation or business:
Questions relating to the 10-year Budget (2018-2028)

To answer the following questions on the 10-year Budget (2018-2028), please read the consultation document. Questions 2, 3 and 4 will affect your rates depending on your property value. To see how your rates may change go to our Rates Guide at akhaveyoursay.nz. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1:
We want to improve our transport system. As the population grows, congestion (and the pollution it creates) is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax of 10 cents per litre (plus GST) be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal to introduce a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

☐ Support ☐ Do not support ☐ Other

Please comment:

Question 2:
Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage and stormwater systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams?

☐ Support ☐ Do not support ☐ Other

Please comment:

Question 3:
Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction.

Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate (based on your property value) at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more to protect our environment?

☐ Support option A – a targeted rate of an average rate of $21 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to make limited improvements to environmental protection, mainly focusing on kauri dieback disease.

☐ Support option B – a targeted rate of an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to spend more on tackling kauri dieback disease, and allow us to better protect our native species and ecosystems.

☐ Do not support a targeted rate to invest more to protect our environment.

☐ Other

Please comment:

Question 4:
For this 10-year Budget we are proposing an average general rates increase of 2.5 per cent for the first two years and then 3.5 per cent for years three to 10. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

☐ Support ☐ Do not support ☐ Other

Please comment:

Question 5:
We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

Please comment:
What is your opinion on this proposal?

☐ Support  ☐ Do not support  ☑ Other

Please comment:

See attached.

Local board information

Question 6a:
Which local board does your feedback relate to?

Question 6b:
In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Questions relating to the Auckland Plan 2050

To answer the following questions on the Auckland Plan, please view the draft plan at the aucklandplan.govt.nz or view the consultation document. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1: An inclusive Auckland

In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Belonging and Participation will achieve this?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 2: Advance Māori well-being

The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being.

Do you think the seven focus areas identified in Māori Well-being and Identity will achieve this?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Question 3: Affordable homes

Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some key workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Homes and Places will achieve this?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:

Need more room? You can attach extra pages, but please make sure they are A4, and also include your name and contact information.
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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Question 4: Moving easily around Auckland
People lack choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system that accelerates progress on walking, cycling and public transport and makes better use of existing networks.

Do you think the seven focus areas identified in Transport and Access will achieve this?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:


Question 5: Protecting and enhancing our environment
Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes utilising every opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment as growth and development happens.

Do you think the six focus areas identified in Environment and Cultural Heritage will achieve this?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:


Question 6: Equipping people for future jobs
Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes Aucklanders will need to adapt to the coming changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all.

Do you think the five focus areas identified in Opportunity and Prosperity will achieve this?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:


Question 7: Shaping our growth
Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth.

Do you think the proposed approach for enabling growth will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?
☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ Partially

Please comment:


Question 8: Any other feedback?


Need more room? You can attach extra pages, but please make sure they are A4, and also include your name and contact information.

All personal information that you provide in this submission will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. Our privacy policy explains how we may use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. We recommend you familiarise yourself with this policy.
As Member of Parliament for Auckland Central I have received correspondence from several constituents concerned about additional costs for residents and businesses on Great Barrier Island. The italicised text below is a summary of the issues I received from Izzy Fordham, Chairperson of the Great Barrier Local Board.

Historical Issue:

This was the one that was raised last year by Earthsong. Cross-Roads Backpackers, Trillium Lodge and others whereby their rating changed with no notification from Council. To cut a story short, we were able to get that new rating postponed due to Council’s lack of notification, and status quo for the 2017/2018 year. However, that is likely to change for the coming financial year 2018/2019.

As has been stated, Council Valuers/Rating Team said they would follow up with those affected parties but apparently have not. John Nash and I will follow up with them when John returns. My apologies Trevor and Lynda, I thought he was back at work but isn’t until after Easter.

Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (APTR): affectionately known as “The Bed Tax”

Great Barrier Island, along with Franklin, Papakura, Rodney and Waitakere Ranges were made exempt. All other areas in the Auckland Region have to pay this. Good news for us!

Online Accommodation Sector:

This one will affect us and is relative to websites offering accommodation such as Airbnb and Bookabach.

Council sees the application of increased rates to the online sector will ensure they are treated equitably and reduce the rates burden on other business and traditional accommodation providers. I guess they are endeavouring to reduce the disparity between those registered accommodation providers against those of the more residential type dwellings who rent their homes out as a “business income stream” through Airbnb, Bookabach etc.

I believe there is another avenue we need to be mindful of and that’s a lot of our businesses rating classification being changed from “rural” to “urban”. We are not urban! I will look at raising this with John Nash as well.

Any increase in costs will have an adverse impact on many of the accommodation providers on the island. As you will be aware, Great Barrier Island is a unique area due to its isolation, small community and protected status. It is different from other parts of Auckland and must be treated as such. The Great Barrier economy is almost entirely dependent on tourism and as a result any increase in costs will have a far greater impact in the area than it would in other regions.

I have been informed that additional costs will make providing accommodation less viable for many providers. There are already issues with a lack of accommodation
availability on the island. This has a detrimental effect on all businesses on Great Barrier Island and as a result the entire community. It also makes finding accommodation more difficult and expensive for visitors who want to experience the unique environment of this isolated island with International Dark Sky Sanctuary status.

I have real concerns about the impact of additional costs on the Great Barrier Island community. I understand that the island was made exempt from the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate. For the reasons I have listed above, I am asking for Auckland Council to investigate special dispensation for Great Barrier Island, both for its classification of rates for businesses and any potential additional costs for accommodation providers.
We want to improve our recreation area. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our water usage. Our proposal is targeted rate you & we should use the average rate per week for our property. What is your opinion on the proposed targeted rate to increase water usage improvement?

Comment

As a rapid increase in putting pressure on our environment, we need to address issues that are threatening our water resources. Approximately, two-thirds of the water we use is wasted or threatened. Our proposal aims to introduce more environmentally conscious initiatives and to address this through targeted rates. We propose a targeted rate of one to two cents per cubic metre.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to increase in environmentally conscious initiatives?

Comment

We are proposing that on new accommodation properties, there is a provision of up to two rooms or an additional two rooms per property at a targeted rate and the accommodation Provider or Targeted Rate the property is within the rate. This would mean that are treated equally as other accommodation properties.

What is your opinion on the proposal?
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 27 Mar 2018 21:41 Attachment:

First name: john Last name: ogden

Organisation name:

Local Board: Great Barrier

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1 We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments:
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $86 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments:

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Other

Comments: I support an INCREASE of the rate under OPTION B. The proposal as it stands will merely allow the status quo to be maintained. It does not go ‘far enough. However, I do not believe that more should be spent on Kauri dieback (the consequences of which have not been adequately researched) but much more on PEST MANAGEMENT (the effects of mammalian pests are well established) and community involvement in ecosystem restoration. Stopping people entering forests will not cause them to support this aim.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments:

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Comments: Unable to comment

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited

I offer my full support for the submission by Dr Margaret Stanley
LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Yes.

Comments: Emphasis on Environmental problems and the risks to biodiversity through introduced pests.

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Partially

The Dark Sky Sanctuary appears to be a mainly commercial/tourist initiative which does not, by itself, clearly support other Environmental aims. However, community initiatives require support and this seems a reasonable way to use rates. Life-long learning is certainly a valuable approach for an ageing community!

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Yes

I strongly support the funding of a biodiversity officer. I would like to see this role extended. Ecology Vision Stage 3 still seems vague despite recent meetings. Community based rat control (without toxins) was quite strongly supported at a recent meeting (25/3).

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Yes

I support subsidies for families in need - such as those who have recently lost a child. I also support herbicide-free weed management of the road verges BUT note that there are (unfortunately) uses for herbicides in restoration work, just as there are uses for toxins in rat control.

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 19-year infrastructure plan? Yes

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Partially

I'm in general support, but not sure how much money is involved. Generally being "off grid" doesn't mean setting up a micro-grid.

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? Great Barrier has biodiversity at risk. The need for action grows as every year goes by - unfortunately this is not apparent to most people. Even careful monitoring over decades fails to demonstrate its way that convinces people generally. But the historical pattern on the island - and elsewhere in NZ - is clear. The Board should endorse a pest-free (rat-free) aim and acknowledge that it might not be possible to wait for toxin-free methodologies when we know what their once-off use can achieve! The evidence suggests that black petrel, pateke, bittern, kakariki, and possibly tomtits are all declining. Other evidence clearly indicates the gains in bird numbers, reptile biomass, tree seedlings and insect abundance that can be achieved with small amounts of toxin (Windy Hill monitoring over nearly two decades, compared to unmanaged controls).

Waiheke Local Board Feedback
In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?
Comments:
Do you have any other feedback about your local area?

---

**Auckland Plan Feedback**

**An inclusive Auckland**

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Belonging and Participation' will achieve this?
Comments:

**Advance Māori well-being**

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Māori Well-being and Identity' will achieve this?
Comments:

**Affordable homes**

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Homes and Places' will achieve this?
Comments:

**Moving easily around Auckland**

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Transport and Access' will achieve this?
Comments:

**Protecting and enhancing our environment**

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland's environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Environment and Cultural Heritage' will achieve this?
Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan 2050 Survey Response

10 Year Budget & Auckland Plan Refresh Feedback

SUBMITTER DETAILS
View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050
Date received: 23 Mar 2018 11:55 Attachment:
First name: john Last name: ogden
Organisation name:
Local Board: Great Barrier

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse. Safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?
Support
Comments:
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments:

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Support option B - a targeted rate of an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to spend more on tackling kauri dieback disease, and allow us to better protect our native species and ecosystems.

Comments: I support an increased targeted environmental rate above that proposed in B, but if that is not an option I support B. The main focus of a targeted rate should be pest eradication, especially of rats, and especially on the Gulf Islands which are highest priority for biodiversity protection (Great Barrier and Waiheke). I do not believe that putting more money into ‘kauri dieback’ will achieve the end of protecting kauri without a real understanding of what is happening - dead trees with a root pathogen do not constitute evidence of approaching extinction. Kauri demography requires information on birth rates (over wide areas), growth and mortality rates through different age-classes, and an understanding of tree longevity which is not fitted to a human framework of health and vigour. Trees and forests do not operate in a ‘medical model’. Dead trees, and dead bits of trees, are part of a normal healthy functioning ecosystem. Without these normal mortality rates in undisced forest it is not possible to assess the long-term seriousness of the present outbreak of disease.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments: BUT - serious consideration must be given to stopping continued population growth (and consequent effects on resource use and environmental destruction) in Auckland.

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g., Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Comments: No opinion on this.
Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited

I would like to support the submission by Dr Margaret Stanley.

LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Yes

Comments: Emphasis on Environmental issues on GBI

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Yes

However, I see the Dark Sky Sanctuary as mainly an economic / tourism initiative, which has some possible negative aspects unless it is carefully managed. Life-long learning is a good initiative in terms of an ageing population.

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Yes

The biodiversity officer position should be extended and strengthened - it is a very forward-looking step. The Board should in my view support all attempts to reduce the rodent population on GBI. In particular, I believe the Island should be supporting the rat-free vision espoused by Auckland City and in Government Strategies. We have several declining bird species, some at risk of local extinction in the near future - pateke, black petrel, bittern, kakariki, tomtit - and others which have recently gone out but could be returned if rats were eradicated. Despite rapid tree regeneration, the bird situation is slowly degrading in unmanaged areas (the majority of GBI). The surrounding pest-free islands (and results from Windy Hill) clearly indicate what can be achieved with a one-off application - huge increases in bird numbers and species, increases in reptile biomass, improvements in forest regeneration under kanuka etc., more insects turning over litter and improving soil quality. Far from being poisoned, these rat-free areas are the healthiest ecosystems we have in the Gulf.

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Yes

I support initiatives to help families in need. Of course, like brodifacoum, glyphosate is a toxin we would like not to use. But both these toxins have value in a few circumstances, especially where their one-off use can lead to no further requirement for them. In some restoration projects the use of herbicide to eliminate kikuyu has proved effective - planted trees grow faster and so they soon shade-out any kikuyu. However, I can see no need to use herbicide along road verges, where cutting and occasional weeding by hand should be sufficient.
Item 14

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Partially.

I give this partial support only because I think other projects to do with biodiversity, predator free NZ, and support for a biodiversity officer should take priority. However somewhere to bury me is getting higher on the list. I can't see the need for "an education centre" at present. There are several venues where education could be - is - carried out at present.

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Yes.

Again a guarded yes - provided it doesn't detract from funding available for other environmental issues, such as waste recycling. "Off-grid" doesn't mean setting up a micro-grid. But I can see the advantage of supporting electric vehicles and embracing the new technology asap.

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? Great Barrier is a very significant island for biodiversity, both in terms of what is here and what is not (most mainland pests). It is also a very supportive community. However, there are some strongly divisive views on the use of toxins in pest control (especially brodifacoum) and a failure in communication between opposing camps. Rather than take sides in this debate I believe the correct leadership position is to facilitate open and respectful discussion and the presentation of evidence. I believe this has generally been attempted by this board (thank you), but I support continued efforts to make the clearly established conservation gains achieved by the use of toxins more widely available to the community.

---

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate in their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Maori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Maori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this?
#15621

Comments:

**Moving easily around Auckland**

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Transport and Access' will achieve this?

Comments:

**Protecting and enhancing our environment**

Question 5 Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland's environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Environment and Cultural Heritage' will achieve this?

Comments:

**Equipping people for future jobs**

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to those changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Opportunity and Prosperity' will achieve this?

Comments:

**Shaping our growth**

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 126,000 dwellings and up to 276,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland's infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for 'Enabling Growth' will effectively provide for Auckland's future? Partially

Comments: The longer term strategy must be to curtail growth. The City cannot grow for ever, it is already severely stretched.

**Any other feedback**

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan? No except to re-iterate the importance of the Hauraki Gulf Islands, especially Great Barrier, in terms of biodiversity values and natural heritage.

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
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SUBMITTER DETAILS
View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050
Date received: 27 Mar 2018 11:34 Attachment:
First name: Alison Last name: Walker
Organisation name:
Local Board: Great Barrier

How did you hear about the plan(s)? People’s Panel newsletter/survey, Local board e-newsletter and gave feedback previously

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services.

What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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Comments: Support for Auckland City but definitely not for Waiheke or Gt Barrier Island. They already pay extra for fuel and do not use motorways.

Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments: Agree the importance of keeping our beaches and streams clean.

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Other

Comments: The plan needs to include a pest-free target on Waiheke and Gt Barrier given the level of public support for this. We need more focus on stopping the decline of our environment and native species rather than continuing on the current path. I would like to see more ratepayer money invested into biodiversity protection. Gt Barrier should be recognised as a priceless regional and national asset.

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments: Generally support. However, I would like more accountability for salaries, travel expenses. Eg spending rate payer’s money to attract All Blacks vs Lions match in Auckland. Waste of money. They would have used Eden Park regardless.

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Support

Comments: We have tourist accommodation on Waiheke, have paid tourist rates for years and recently got lumbered with a further increase. We pay for monthly fire inspections and want a level playing field on which to compete with the hundreds of properties let on Booking.com and Air BNB.

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:
## Auckland Plan Feedback

### An inclusive Auckland

**Question 1:** In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Belonging and Participation’ will achieve this? Yes

**Comments:**

### Advance Māori well-being

**Question 2:** The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this? Yes

**Comments:**

### Affordable homes

**Question 3:** Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this? Yes

**Comments:** Auckland Council has been slow to respond to the housing crisis. Building consents have gone through the roof and we have people living in cars. Encourage the building of small
Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Transport and Access' will achieve this? Yes

Comments: Our roads are not handling the number of cars we are putting on them. Make more incentives for public transport and put in road tolls.

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Environment and Cultural Heritage' will achieve this? Yes

Comments: This is urgent. We act now or it will be too late. More of the budget should be directed at this area.

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Opportunity and Prosperity' will achieve this? Yes

Comments:

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 320,000 dwellings and up to 270,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future? Partially

Comments: Needs to be drastic change in encouraging more affordable housing and subsidising public transport.

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan? No

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here
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SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 28 Mar 2018 06:40 Attachment:

First name: Frances Last name: McClure

Organisation name:

Local Board: Great Barrier

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services. What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Support

Comments: IMPORTANT CAVEAT T LIVE MOSTLY IN AUCKLAND BUT 3 DAYS A FORTNIGHT ON GREAT BARRIER. ISLANDERS SHOULD BE EXEMPT FROM THE FUEL TAX AS FUEL IS ALREADY PROHIBITIVELY EXPENSIVE THER. THEY ARE THE POOREST
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $26 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Support

Comments:

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Support option B - a targeted rate of an average rate of $47 per year per residential ratepayer. This increase would allow us to spend more on tackling kauri dieback disease, and allow us to better protect our native species and ecosystems.

Comments:

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.5 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Support

Comments:

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Comments:

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:

- changes to waste service charges (targeted rate to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council investments Limited
Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?

Partially

Comments:

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Yes

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? Yes

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Partially

SUPPORT FUNERALS

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 19-year infrastructure plan? Yes

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Claris? Yes

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? ENVIRONMENTAL AND BIODIVERSITY PROTECTION AND SMOOTHING LIVES OF OLDER RESIDENTS ARE ALL KEY THINGS

Auckland Plan Feedback

An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Belonging and Participation' will achieve this?

Comments:

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Māori Well-being and Identity' will achieve this?

Comments:

Affordable homes
Great Barrier Local Board
08 May 2018
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Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Homes and Places' will achieve this?

Comments:

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Transport and Access' will achieve this?

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland’s environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Environment and Cultural Heritage' will achieve this?

Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Opportunity and Prosperity' will achieve this?

Comments:

Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 126,000 dwellings and up to 276,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for 'Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here.
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SUBMITTER DETAILS

View response online - 10 Year Budget & The Auckland Plan 2050

Date received: 29 Mar 2018 07:13 Attachment:

First name: Leonie Last name: O'Donoghue

10 Year Budget Feedback

Q1. We have major problems with our transport system. As the population grows, congestion is getting worse, safety is declining and businesses are struggling to move freight and people. We are proposing that a regional fuel tax be used to raise more funding for transport projects and services. What is your opinion on the proposal of introducing a regional fuel tax to help pay for improvements to the transport system?

Do not support
Q2. Our harbours, beaches and streams are being polluted by overflows from ageing sewerage systems that can’t cope with heavy rainfall and from contaminants washed into natural waterways. We want to improve our infrastructure to address this problem. Under current budgets this would take 30 years to achieve. We propose to introduce a new targeted rate to increase our funding of water infrastructure and speed up delivery of cleaner harbours, beaches and streams to 10 years. Our proposed targeted rate would cost the average residential ratepayer $66 per year ($1.30 per week), although this will vary based on your property value.

What is your opinion on this proposed targeted rate to speed up the delivery of water quality improvements?

Do not support

Comments: Proposed targeted rate is just that...proposed! This tax will be increased without any consultation with those who are paying for it, the ratepayers.

Q3. Auckland’s rapid growth is putting pressure on the environment, as is the spread of pests, weeds and diseases that are threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland’s local native species are under threat of extinction. Our proposal is to invest more in environment initiatives and to fund this through a targeted rate at one of two levels described below.

What is your opinion on a proposed targeted rate to invest more in environmental initiatives?

Do not support a targeted rate to invest more to protect our environment.

Comments:

Q4. For this 10-year budget we are proposing an average general rates increases of 2.3 percent for the first two years and then 3.5 percent for years three to ten. This will be used to fund our growing city. Without this level of rate increase, we would have to reduce existing service levels and defer or cut some currently planned projects.

What is your opinion on this proposed rates increase?

Do not support

Comments: How will the increase be used to fund our growing city? Need more clarity on Auckland Council spending first.

Q5. We are proposing that online accommodation providers (e.g. Airbnb properties) who let out their whole property (not just a room) for a certain number of days per year should pay business rates and the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (if the property is within a certain zone). This would mean they are treated the same way as other accommodation providers.

What is your opinion on this proposal?

Do not support

Comments: DO NOT SUPPORT IN ANY WAY. In place like Great Barrier Island this cost would need to be passed onto tourists. If there is a sure-fire way to cull any tourism to this island this is the way to go about it. No point in having a dark-sky sanctuary if no-one there to see it! The island residents rely on tourism for their income to pay. may I remind the Council, Auckland city rate prices which is a huge cost considering the services the Island receives in return. DO NOT SUPPORT IN ANY WAY!!!

Q6. Please provide any other feedback on other matters in the consultation document or supporting information, such as:
changes to waste service charges (targeted rates to fund food waste collection service and standardisation of user-pays refuse collection)
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Draft Operational Plan
- the proposal to disestablish Auckland Council Investments Limited

LOCAL BOARD FEEDBACK

Great Barrier Local Board Feedback

In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for this local board area in 2018/2019?
No

Comments: Haven't heard what the priorities are.

If you would like to give feedback on any of our key priorities individually, please tell us what you think about the following:

A. Do you agree we should continue to support community initiatives including Life-long Learning strategy, Dark Sky Sanctuary and grants funding? Partially

What is Life-long Learning strategy? The lack of services for elderly people on Great Barrier is a problem. No respite care or an option for retirement care.

B. Do you agree we should continue to support environment initiatives including funding the biosecurity/biodiversity officer, water quality monitoring and Ecology Vision stage 3? No

C. Do you support the provision of subsidies for baby and child burials (0-12 years) and herbicide-free weed management in the road corridor? Partially

Subsidies for baby and child burials? Where did that come from? What is the quick alternative for weed management? There is none, so continue with spraying.

D. Do you agree we should continue to invest in infrastructure including the Tryphena Coastal Trail stage 3, investigations into sites for central and northern cemeteries, a research and education centre investigation and the completion of a 10-year infrastructure plan? Partially

Coastal Trail - yes, cemeteries - yes, '10 year plan needs more consultation with ALL on Great Barrier.

E. Do you agree we should continue to seek funding for our key advocacy project to implement a solar micro grid with electric vehicle chargers for the council buildings at Clare? No

Decrease our property rates first and then see if the Council can afford to pay for it. Use a budget plan Auckland Council.

Do you have any other feedback about your local area? The use of a resident house for tourist accommodation, in the case I know of, pays for hospital care for an elderly resident no longer able to live on the Barrier. No tourism or people staying means no house, as it would need to be sold by the family. What a travesty this would be as this land has been in possession of the family of since 1965.

Auckland Plan Feedback

3
An inclusive Auckland

Question 1: In a fast-growing city of increasing diversity and social change, people may or may not feel included or enjoy positive life experiences. The Auckland Plan proposes an inclusive Auckland where people live together with trust and mutual respect and everyone has the opportunity to participate to their full potential. Do you think the focus areas identified in 'Belonging and Participation' will achieve this?

Comments:

Advance Māori well-being

Question 2: The strengths and contributions Māori bring to Auckland will fuel economic growth and advance Māori well-being. With nearly a third of all Māori in Auckland aged under 15 years old, the Auckland Plan proposes investing more in tamariki and rangatahi to advance Māori well-being. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Māori Well-being and Identity’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Affordable homes

Question 3: Lack of affordable housing is creating stress for many Aucklanders. It is driving some workers out of Auckland and limiting our ability to attract and retain talent. The Auckland Plan proposes that all Aucklanders deserve healthy, affordable homes with secure tenure in well-designed places, whether they own or rent their homes. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Homes and Places’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Moving easily around Auckland

Question 4: Many Aucklanders do not have a choice in how they get around and it can take a long time to get where they need to go. To better connect people and places and create a safer, more healthy and equitable Auckland, the Auckland Plan proposes an integrated transport system with improved walking, cycling and public transport options. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Transport and Access’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Protecting and enhancing our environment

Question 5: Unprecedented growth has required Auckland to provide for essential development, which has had an impact on our environment and cultural heritage. The Auckland Plan proposes using growth and development as an opportunity to protect and enhance Auckland's environment. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Environment and Cultural Heritage’ will achieve this?

Comments:

Equipping people for future jobs

Question 6: Rapid technology advances will create challenges, opportunities and change across many industries and jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes that Aucklanders will need to adapt to these changes by investing in education, training and skills development for all. Do you think the focus areas identified in ‘Opportunity and Prosperity’ will achieve this?

Comments:
Shaping our growth

Question 7: Auckland has to provide for around 740,000 more people in the next 30 years, which would mean another 326,000 dwellings and up to 276,000 extra jobs. The Auckland Plan proposes to manage long-term population growth by prioritising development in existing urban areas and establishing new communities and new business land in future urban areas. Investment in Auckland’s infrastructure will need to keep up with the pace and scale of growth. Do you think the proposed approach for ‘Enabling Growth’ will effectively provide for Auckland’s future?

Comments:

Any other feedback

Question 8: Do you have any other feedback on the Auckland Plan?

If you would like to add any supporting information, please upload it here.
### Have Your Say events - Saturday 24 March - Claris and Okwi

(feedback also captured during the Regional Pest management Plan information session on Saturday 17 March)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name/Org (optional)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison &amp; Ralph Golaboski</td>
<td>Support for desexing and microchipping cats at the same time for option B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison &amp; Ralph Golaboski</td>
<td>People don’t use the (Kauri Dieback) hygiene stations - a better method needs to be devised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (Claris)</td>
<td>Rather have more support for biodiversity. Would happily pay more for this instead of fuel tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (Claris)</td>
<td>Waterways are important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (Claris)</td>
<td>Pest pathways - plant related. We need to spend more money on on-island nurseries or community nurseries. We need to have an on island soil supply to re see subsidies for this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (Claris)</td>
<td>Spread is the most important factor in biosecurity. We need to do more in regards to pathway management internally on Actea and externally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (Claris)</td>
<td>Ring necked doves should be included in RPMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (Claris)</td>
<td>More money on education &amp; advocacy of biosecurity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (Claris)</td>
<td>We need to poison to get rid of rats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (Claris)</td>
<td>Against fuel tax. Not an exemption but a GBI subsidy for the fuel tax. Due to the freight increase that is coming. As it will end up being accumulative (more i shipping add on their percentage to get stuff here!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (RPMP info session)</td>
<td>There is incursion of weed species in transporting of timber &amp; other materials (not just houses). Should extend the Pest Free Warrant to movement of all goods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (RPMP info session)</td>
<td>There should be mandatory bird aversion courses for dogs to come to Barrier as its hypocritical for only Barrier dogs to have to do the courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (RPMP info session)</td>
<td>Pines are out of control. Actea should be treated differently for priority listings as our biodiversity is so different to Auckland. Pine trees, gum trees etc should</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (RPMP info session)</td>
<td>The hygiene station at the Claris airport is ineffective as the brushes spread the dirt everywhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annette Allison (RPMP info session)</td>
<td>What about Myrtle Rust? I am more concerned with that than Kauri Dieback as it is not on the island yet. We need to stop these pests/pathogens before they who the priority is.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Leys (Okwi)</td>
<td>Connectivity - major issues at Orama. Impact on business capability esp cloud based. Started with Farmside/Gridway. Spark/Yodafone/AG. Kaikoura Island. two months. Do we need a pipeline?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Leys (Okwi)</td>
<td>Tourism. Noise - transport operators to the island. Good thing. Development needs to be strategic. Aspirational. Other ‘sea’ providers &amp; availability of creating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Leys (Okwi)</td>
<td>Sealink: operating a winter timetable with only a Saturday sailing for the north &amp; impact on Orama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Leys (Okwi)</td>
<td>KPCF: supportive on Ecology Vision stage 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey Fisher (Okwi)</td>
<td>No support for fuel tax. Also the accumulative effect on the freight costs is worrying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey Fisher (Okwi)</td>
<td>Support for Q5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey Fisher (Okwi)</td>
<td>Would support Q3 if the funding was going towards Barrier water infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey Fisher (Okwi)</td>
<td>Q3 option B Support for Barrier environmental initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Griffiths (Okwi)</td>
<td>Telecommunications/connectivity - Katherine Bay? Spark/Chorus issues with lines. Small remote communities on the island. Aranui?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Griffiths (Okwi)</td>
<td>Airfield. Look at use of Okwi by commercial operations some private operators. Follow up as to why DOC not advocating to use. Carbon footprint with current syst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Griffiths (Okwi)</td>
<td>Environment. Mt Heka Hut - Hiramata: lack of birds. DOC: lack of pest control. Patetsa: generally finding numbers are down. Rats: there is a lack of real tru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Griffiths (Okwi)</td>
<td>Cats/dogs: human education around what they can do &amp; are capable of. Communities taking ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Griffiths (Okwi)</td>
<td>Pest management/Border security. Marine Pests supports action against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Griffiths (Okwi)</td>
<td>Okwi: feral rats are still a problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colin Griffiths (Okwi)</td>
<td>Marine reserves/Protection. Supportive &amp; desperately need something</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gensie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Info/visitor centre at the airport where AT building is. Proper welcome to share information about our island. Showcase our sustainability. Envisaged it would i LB. Needs to have heart &amp; be Barrier. Mt Cook a good example. Dark Sky within AK Region &amp; what is AC doing to assist?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gensie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Accommodation Commercial Rates increase. Urban vs Rural. Please do not do this. Detrimental to our island’s businesses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gensie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Against Airport/Tockabobach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Thanks for Life-Long Learning strategy. Please move forward with ECE - day care services. Feasibility on what we need - an Action Plan. Skills update for pe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Support Marine Protection. Boseycurity &amp; MPAs. Scale up in Tryphena. GPS coordinates were made public &amp; being hammered. Rotating reserves possible progressed on now before it's too late.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Tracks. Against Clarity is Crossroads. Perception of relatively to backpackers. TCT - what's the proof of numbers? cyclists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Fuel tax - against it. We pay too much already. Island income is below $20k. Compromise in IFL? GBI pay that instead $114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Rates: proposed targeted rates a scam: Mopakeness - where's the transparency? huge lack of accountability? Or discretionary activity? No trust on where the Transparency. It system extremely costly. Accountability on spending. Council back to core business. What were the costs involved with council changing B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Heritage: Arts, Sport, separate entities (Ak Plan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Growth in tourism - Promote visitors but in a managed way &amp; protect environment within that</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gendie Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Affordable Housing &amp; subdivision (priority)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Hope Monroe (Okiwi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>If there are ways for waste systems to be serviced against rates, how do Maori get these provisions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Old man's should be exclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Kauri dieback should be site led not exclusion. Should protect old trees, not all trees or entire areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Crack willow should be site led, not SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Carts - classification confusing. Pet cut rates should be included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Feral goats should be site ad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Level of rodent control should be reviewed over time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Kauri dieback - AC need to develop Auckland specific strategic response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Rubbish Charges &amp; Collections - Comfortable paying for rubbish in rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Water quality issues a concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne O'Reilly (RFM info session)</td>
<td>No specific site-led control stated for Barrier in the tables in the full plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Stowell (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Marine &amp; Boatie Waste - Need to educate the boaties – e.g. someone at the wharves to monitor and show them how to sort their rubbish over the peak seas. behaviour/name and shame board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Stowell (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Would like a greater understanding on how the environmental rate will be used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Stowell (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Why are we dealing with the issues of waste water in rivers when farmers don't even have to fence their water ways?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Stowell (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Airbnb rate will put businesses out of business on great barrier island. And will bring less people to the island. Because it will reduce the availability of cheap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Stowell (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Why should we pay an environmental levy to control Kauri dieback when we already have plenty of local environmental groups addressing these issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Stowell (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Frustration at lack of enforcement on marine issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Stowell (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Ban boating from bringing rubbish onto islands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorna Cleare (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Would like to include marine pests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorna Cleare (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Would support the fuel tax only if it came back to the local Barrier area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorna Cleare (Okiwi)</td>
<td>DOC has to take some responsibility for Kauri Dieback. Especially when its still doing tourism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorna Cleare (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Larger land owners pay the most of the rates - targeted rates costs - it's a heavy burden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorna Cleare (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Meant to be is partnership with mana whenua in these environment initiatives. Where is the partnership breakdown between DOC, mana whenua &amp; Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorna Cleare (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Not good PR with all these brochures &amp; paper work. Must have cost around $56k to print all this &amp; yet the rates recovery in Okiwi itself might only be about 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorna Cleare (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Q5 - does central tax dept charge Airbnb as business rate? Would they be hit twice as hard through this? Do support but realise that it makes it hard for the create less accommodation for the island if Airbnb becomes harder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorna Cleare (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Support bins staying on the FitzRoy harbour for the boaties - if you make it too hard for them they will dump it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorna Cleare (Okiwi)</td>
<td>Laundermat in FitzRoy for boaties due to wastewater going back into harbour would be a good idea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marea Reill (Claris)</td>
<td>Agrees with haul out facility &amp; council support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maree Neill (Claris)</td>
<td>Kauri dieback should be a national strategy not AG funded. Reservations on money being spent here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maree Neill (Claris)</td>
<td>Strong favour for pathways management - pest free warrant being mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret van Dooren (Claris)</td>
<td>Tracks/Walkways: Dangerous walking on the sides of our roads. Safe walkways along our roadsides; big vehicles; big trucks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret van Dooren (Claris)</td>
<td>Visitor Centre: needs to be attractive and Accessible &amp; multifunctional. Training experience. Needs to be in your face &amp; attract people. Income generating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret van Dooren (Claris)</td>
<td>Rental accommodation: what &amp; how are we going to cope with the lack of it?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret van Dooren (Claris)</td>
<td>No public transport on island &amp; the fuel tax will stop people from going out even more. Are the fuel prices here the same as on Chatham Islands?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret van Dooren (Claris)</td>
<td>Get rid of the cars. Lots of people driving one person to too big cars. The answer is public transport regionally.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret van Dooren (Claris)</td>
<td>Why are we so slow in moving from petroleum to organic efficient energy systems? Let’s get a move on!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Newman (Claris)</td>
<td>Regional Fuel Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Newman (Claris)</td>
<td>Rates: Invited visitors but no response. I’d object to the increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Hoey (Claris)</td>
<td>Kauri dieback should be managed by MPI not the ratepayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Hoey (Claris)</td>
<td>Why should ratepayers pay to manage the Kauri dieback programme as it should be MPI programme? Suspicious that spend is actually on fixing tracks for a full stop to stop spread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Hoey (Claris)</td>
<td>No support for fuel tax. What is it going towards as the island has no public transport?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Hoey (Claris)</td>
<td>Creeks are already dirty. Support if proof it was being done. What would the monitoring be - who is doing it, accountability to ratepayers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>People wonder if the rates will actually be used on the things they say it will be targeted towards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Double the fuel tax and toll the Auckland motorway. Good for traffic control as people will consider &amp; use alternatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Support for Electric vehicles &amp; charging stations regionally &amp; locally.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Support the online accommodation targeted rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>More cleaning of the water. Increase the targeted amount for faster fix &amp; a solution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Barrier should be proactive in the marine biosecurity space. Fan of the new ministry created to look after biosecurity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Environmental targeted rate option G - pay more like $100 per year for things that need to be sorted. Pay more for full RPMP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Support for C4. We get fantastic value for rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Education - We have it pretty fantastic on the Barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Pathway management and unlikely to be successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Would like marine reserves on Aotea on rahui over Ka moana and include marine pests (ridge to reef)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Include marine pests - pathways, monitoring &amp; control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippa Howcroft (Claris)</td>
<td>Would like to see a full-scale eradication of rats and cats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Golaboski (RPMP info session)</td>
<td>It's expecting a lot of Sadistic to be doing all the Pest Free Warrant inspecting/enforcing at the Auckland end. Checks should be done at the island end as we have no authority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Golaboski (RPMP info session)</td>
<td>Change the cat legislation as cats are the ace predator - they must be desexed &amp; microchipped. To manage cats - you will need to manage the rats - they all need to know how to manage them. They come from the mainland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Golaboski (RPMP info session)</td>
<td>Include the Kaitoke gum trees on the pest plants list. Could the pines &amp; eucalyptus be placed on the sustained/controlled GB1 category list?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Golaboski (RPMP info session)</td>
<td>Why can we not deal to the three GB1 diseased Kauri spots?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorton (Claris)</td>
<td>We will need the responsible use of toxic control rats with long term view of eradication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorton (Claris)</td>
<td>Include marine pests in the plan to allow enforcement of control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorton (Claris)</td>
<td>Facility for haul on Aotea. Facility for catching run off &amp; disposal. Needs council support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorton (Claris)</td>
<td>Water is an issue here but is compliance the way. Highly concerned about waste water discharge on island and would like a subsidy for assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorton (Claris)</td>
<td>Approve of Ecology Vision &amp; would like to see that going forward with a budget for stage 3. Would like to advocate for the projects to have resource within community initiatives. Mentored &amp; coordinated support, COGs as a model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorton (Claris)</td>
<td>Marine reserve - needs to progress on this. Seachange needs leadership. Can't it move forward!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorton (Claris)</td>
<td>Poison debate - board need to be less diplomatic for biodiversity result. Need a proactive position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorter (Claris)</td>
<td>Environment restoration - board are doing a lot in LBP &amp; fully endorse that. What we do on Barrier we would expect the rest of Auckland to do. We should be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorter (Claris)</td>
<td>Marine pests should be on the RMP list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorter (Claris)</td>
<td>Opposed to the fuel tax. Unless it is spent on public transport in Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorter (Claris)</td>
<td>G4 is deceptive in timeline as accumulative. Plus what do we get for the money?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorter (Claris)</td>
<td>G3 support for water infrastructure targeted rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorter (Claris)</td>
<td>G3 support however is Kauri deback &amp; species preservation Doc’s remit? Not councils. Collaboration is good but it makes council less efficient by using the council remit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorter (Claris)</td>
<td>Debt driven approach to governing that council should not be encouraging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rendt Gorter (Claris)</td>
<td>No to GS (online accommodation providers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Fuel tax initiative to support locals in tickets, passengers subsidise for transport (gold card or resident cards). Or support environmental initiatives &amp; electric.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>The move for accommodation businesses on island from rural rating to urban will price at least 3 business out of business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>There would be no need for a rates increase as council could be revenue neutral with 16% savings in council operations. There are three over budget projects that could have created rates savings. 1) Computer IT project, 2) outdoor cinema at Albert St, 3) change in banks. Where is the accountability??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Barrier pays fuel tax but gets no discernible benefit from it. As you increase the pump prices the revenue will decline. A serious cost benefit analysis has to be worth it &amp; a good evaluation of this. I’d like to see if one has been done! Cycleways are a classic on this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Rubbish Charges &amp; Collections - Need to keep drop off points at wharves and other places (x2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>Marine &amp; Boating Waste - Suggestion to something like the Seabins Project in Singapore - container sits out in the water and collects garbage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Sommerville-Ryan (Claris)</td>
<td>not happy to pay more for stormwater infrastructure - already pays thousands through subdivision costs - not seen the infrastructure for which he was charged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Roberts (Claris)</td>
<td>Support the online accommodation question for fairness. However, what about compliance for Airbnb online accommodation? Warranty of Fitness for accommodation business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Roberts (Claris)</td>
<td>Against Fuel tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Roberts (Claris)</td>
<td>Messages around what packing not to bring - get visitors at the other and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robyn Roberts (Claris)</td>
<td>Limit dogs coming to GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Warwick (Claris)</td>
<td>Education - issue with the plastic tape on boxes - lot of time to get off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sue Warwick (Claris)</td>
<td>Guest houses told to use sedge streams. Lobby cans locally instead of bottles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>All the recycling shops have a huge build-up of used clothes that can’t be dealt with on island.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Product Stewardship - NZ government should make a proper stand on packaging – e.g. In Switzerland you can’t put a product onto the market unless biodegradable packaging is used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Product Stewardship - Businesses should manage their own waste – there should be product stewardship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Product Stewardship - There should be a vending machine for cans and bottles (similar to places overseas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Rubbish Charges &amp; Collections - Concern over proposed rubbish charges – so many low income people on GB. Fear it will contribute to illegal dumping (x2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Rubbish Charges &amp; Collections - Sooner the better for rubbish charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Rubbish Charges &amp; Collections - Questioned the rationale for kerbside collections in some areas of the island e.g. Okahu. One 2 people put out token rubbish!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Rubbish Charges &amp; Collections - Motai re drop off - lots of households use this site but it is a hotbed for contamination and illegal dumping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Rubbish Charges &amp; Collections - Rubbish bin at Medlands always overflowing – needs a bigger bin or cleaned more frequently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Rubbish Charges &amp; Collections - Need better signage of what can go into the bins – e.g. In Holland they have really good pictures on bins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Waste Targeted Rate for Everyone - Recommend that all GB residents pay a targeted rate for their rubbish (including Waiwera, Flat Island etc. Approx hall pay rubbish levy as they don’t have road access. However they do bring their rubbish to the drop off facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Waste Targeted Rate for Everyone - If we are living on the land we should all contribute to the costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Education - big issue problem is that locals don’t break down cartons – need practical demonstration of how to sort their rubbish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Education - People don’t compost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Marine &amp; Boating Waste - Concern the huge amount of rubbish build up in the sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msc</td>
<td>Additional Leves - Need to make it hard for people to bring rubbish on to the island – put a levy on freight – point rubbish comes onto the island</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>