

## Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Feedback on draft Auckland Plan 2050

Auckland Plan 2050	
<p>Outcome area 1: Belonging and participation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 45% support, 21% do not support and 34% partially support an inclusive Auckland.</li> <li>• Expresses concern that although belonging is linked with well-being, wellness seems to have been overlooked.</li> <li>• Expresses concern that to improve living standards for all Aucklanders by reducing disparities in opportunities will require intervention from central government to make this a reality. It would be difficult if not impossible for council to deliver this on its own. There are issues within affluent areas as well.</li> <li>• Expresses concern that massive immigration is not dealt with and new migrants need to be able to integrate into their chosen areas. Council needs to provide programmes that celebrate diversity and inclusion.</li> <li>• Expresses concern that the 'Focus investment to address disparities and serve communities of greatest need' does not seem to acknowledge growth and that investment needs to accommodate growth requirements in poorer areas as well as those areas experiencing substantial growth already</li> <li>• Performance measures are too reliant on perception measures and require some data-based measures as well which can be from sources outside council. E.g. MoH/regional health boards, regional sporting bodies.</li> </ul>
<p>Outcome area 2: Māori identity and wellbeing</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 37% support, 35% do not support and 28% partially support advancing Maori well-being.</li> </ul>

## Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Feedback on draft Auckland Plan 2050

<p>Outcome area 3: Homes and places</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 34% support, 40% do not support and 26% partially support affordable homes.</li> <li>• The local board acknowledge that 'Affordable homes' must be included and also demonstrated to our community as being achievable. Safe and healthy homes are important as many existing homes are not built for the environment. E.g. leaky homes, insufficient insulation.</li> <li>• Support increasing security of tenure and broaden the range of tenure models, particularly for those most in need. The Hibiscus and Bays Local board would like to see central government take more of a proactive role in this focus area.</li> <li>• Support including access to schools and jobs within this focus area</li> </ul>
<p>Outcome area 4: Transport and access</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 45% support, 19% do not support and 36% partially support moving easily around Auckland.</li> <li>• Support the transport and access outcome but would like to see more recognition of current growth that has exceeded council's expectations and how this will be managed.</li> <li>• Support a second harbour crossing sooner rather than later to enable light rail for North Shore given that we are already exceeding bus patronage numbers now, that were anticipated to be met a decade later.</li> <li>• Supports better use of transport networks and providing opportunities for travel including providing more ferry services from Gulf Harbour including a weekend ferry service trial.</li> </ul>
<p>Outcome area 5: Environment and cultural heritage</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written submissions received from the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board area on the Draft Auckland Plan 2050 showed that 54% support, 33% do not support and 13% partially support protecting and enhancing our environment</li> <li>• Suggests the outcome needs to provide more information about protection of coastal environments.</li> <li>• Suggests that cultural values shouldn't just embrace te ao Māori values, they should also recognise that people come from different cultures and we must respect those cultures and learn about them too.</li> </ul>

## Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Feedback on draft Auckland Plan 2050

<p>Outcome area 6: Opportunity and prosperity</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Suggests that living and working locally is an important factor to include. Aucklanders need the security of finding fulltime quality employment.</li><li>• Suggests the need to protect industrial areas to allow for growth and employment opportunities. E.g. Silverdale area.</li><li>• Suggests the need to include developing young people who can adapt to change and be resilient.</li><li>• Suggests that as more technology will eventually reduce jobs for many, people need alternatives and manual trade skills will still be required.</li></ul>
---	--

## Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Feedback on draft Auckland Plan 2050

Development strategy	<p>The local board provides the following feedback on the development strategy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Open space protection needs to be included. Corridors and parks seem to be missing.</li><li>• Coastal protection needs to be included as a priority.</li><li>• Environmental protection needs to be included as a priority.</li><li>• Business land needs to be in the right locations to support and match residential growth (and employment).</li><li>• There is a lack of focus on the Silverdale area for business land.</li><li>• Relocation of the port needs to be included/considered as it will have wide-ranging implications.</li><li>• Talks about a quality compact model but provides no view of this.</li><li>• Concerns that the process has been too rushed.</li><li>• Seems more exclusive than inclusive as growth is still happening outside the areas identified so how will funding/effort be distributed to these areas as well?</li><li>• Concerns as to how to ensure the development strategy and is flexible to change when indicated/required.</li><li>• Suggests it needs to be far more of a living document to keep up and adapt more easily to change.</li><li>• Considers that the Unitary Plan and seven priority areas have kept being promoted even though they weren't the areas where a lot of growth was underway. Many aspects are now out of step.</li><li>• Concern that decisions made by CCOs such as Auckland Transport and Panuku should be consistent with council's strategic plan. This doesn't seem to have been achieved to date. All agencies of council need to be bound by and deliver the strategic directions in the Auckland Plan and local board plans.</li></ul>
----------------------	--