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1 Welcome

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 20 June 2018, as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 Deputation - Playground People Ltd

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. Kane McFadden, Director Playground People would like to introduce the company to the board and offer help in understanding the main issues around the state of playgrounds in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

2. Playground People are based in South Auckland and they have been a specialised playground manufacturer for more than 20 years. If the board is interested in understanding and addressing the key issues, then there are a number of ways that they may be able to support the board. Being a local company, they see playgrounds regularly, but also install playgrounds the length and breadth of New Zealand. Playground People can help understand questions like:

- What does a great playground look like?
- How much should they cost?
• What does good play value mean for our kids?
• Where are some of the great playgrounds in New Zealand and how much did they cost?

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) thanks Kane McFadden for his attendance and presentation.

Attachments
A Playground presentation

8.2 Deputation - Enabling a sustainable/long–term relationship and engagement between Te Wānanga o Aotearoa and the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To introduce Deborah Misiuepa, Te Wānanga o Aotearoa, Relationships and Engagement Manager – to discuss mutual interest and opportunities in the Southern Initiative project that enables a collaborative approach with the local board on community projects/events that contribute to whanau transformation through education.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) thanks Deborah Misiuepa for her attendance and presentation.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

9.1 Public Forum - Action Education

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Stevie Sikuea from Action Education would like to come to update the board on their youth development organisation which uses creative and action-based methods to engage young people (mainly spoken word).
2. Action Education spends time working with young people within mainstream and alternative education schools, community groups, care and protection facilities, youth justice institutions and other organisations throughout the North Island, predominantly in the Auckland region.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) thanks Stevie Sikuea for her attendance and update.
Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. A period of time (10 Minutes) has been set aside for the Manukau Ward Councillors to have an opportunity to update the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board on regional matters.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
 a) receive the verbal reports from Cr Alf Filipaina and Cr Efeso Collins.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. This item allows the local board members an opportunity to present verbal and written updates on their leads and appointments meetings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Impact Forum for Kohuora Corrections Facility</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Bridge BID</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Town Centre BID</td>
<td>Tafafuna’i Tasi Lauese</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere East Village BID</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otahuhu Business Association</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Harbour Business Association BID</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group</td>
<td>Tafafuna’i Tasi Lauese</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Connections South Local Governance Group (3 members)</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien, Makalita Kolo, Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich (appointed 15 March 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori input into local board decision-making political steering group (1 lead, 1 alternate)</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Pukaki Tapu O Poutukeka Historic Reserve &amp; Associated Lands Co-Management Committee</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambury Park Centre</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Mountain Education Trust</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government New Zealand Zone One Committee</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott (appointed 21 March 2018)</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Local Board Leads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure and Environmental Services lead</th>
<th>Carrol Elliott</th>
<th>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arts, Community and Events lead</td>
<td>Tafafuna’i Tasi Lause</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua/ Christine O’Brien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Sport and Recreation lead and Community Facilities</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua/ Tafafuna’i Tasi Lause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries and Information Services lead</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua/ Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local planning and heritage lead – includes responding to resource consent applications on behalf of board</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua (Planning) Carrol Elliott (Heritage)</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport lead</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott/ Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic development lead</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Southern Initiative Joint Steering Group</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua (appointed 17 May 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquor Licence Hearings – Delegation to represent</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich (appointed 17 May 2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manukau Harbour Forum</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott (appointed 19 April 2017)</td>
<td>Togiatolu Water Togiamua (appointed 19 April 2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s**

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receive the verbal and written updates from the local board members.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina / Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chairpersons Report and Announcements

File No.: CP2018/10979

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This item gives the Chairperson an opportunity to update the local board on any announcements and for the local board to receive the Chairperson’s written report.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) receive the verbal update and written report.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local Board Transport Capital Fund Decisions

File No.: CP2018/12207

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to support and record decisions about Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s (MOLB) Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) projects.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Auckland Transport manages the LBTCF on behalf of the MOLB. On an as required basis Auckland Transport reports on progress, provides advice and supports decision-making.
3. This month decisions relating to the LBTCF are required.
4. The decisions and options were workshopped on 14 June 2018 and this report records the options discussed and provides the opportunity for the MOLB to formalise its decisions by resolution.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) support changing the proposed two-lane roundabout at the intersection of Bader Drive and Idlewild Avenue into a single lane roundabout.

b) request Auckland Transport re-starts the Ashgrove Reserve walking and cycling path project and authorises the release of funding from the Local Board Transport Capital Fund to complete detailed design and provide a firm cost estimate.

c) request Auckland Transport re-starts the Māngere East Town Centre walking and cycling path project and authorises the release of funding from the Local Board Transport Capital Fund to complete detailed design and provide a firm cost estimate.

d) request Auckland Transport investigate improving shelter and seating at bus stops identified by the Mangere-Ōtahuhu Local Board and provide a rough order of cost for identified improvements.

Horopaki / Context
5. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme. Projects must also:

- Be safe
- Not impede network efficiency
- Be in the road corridor (although projects running through parks may be considered if they support a transport outcome).
6. MOLB’s funding at the start of this electoral term was approximately $1.8 million. Of this sum, $1.2 million has been committed to projects, leaving $622,303 uncommitted. As of 1 July 2018 the Governing Body approved a significant increase in the LBTCF. The following table provides an overall summary of the current LBTCF position including the approved increase applying from 1 July 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Māngere Otāhuhu Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funds Available in current political term</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remaining Budget left</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MOLB currently has a number of projects that are either being delivered or are being considered. The following projects are currently in the design phase awaiting final costs:

- **Widening Bader Drive** - Building two full lanes on the west side of the Orly Ave intersection
- **Building a roundabout at the intersection of Idlewild Avenue and Bader Drive** - The aim of this project is to allow people leaving Bader Drive to make a safe right turn.

7. The following projects have been investigated previously but were put on hold while the MOLB discussed a large Council funded project in Mangere-East. This project may have required LBTCF to be viable, so funds were held in reserve until a decision was made. The following projects are in this category:

- **Ashgrove Reserve walking and cycling path.** Building a wide, well-lit pathway through Ashgrove Reserve to link people in nearby residential streets with the Māngere Town Centre
- **Pedestrian improvements in Māngere East.** This project involves building better walking and cycling routes around Walter Massey, improving footpaths in and around the town centre and putting more lights in high use pedestrian areas
- **Bus stop upgrades.** Building new seats and shelters at selected sites around the MOLB area.

8. Auckland Council recently ratified its budgets and the MOLB now has the opportunity to release LBTCF to deliver a number of the listed projects.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice**

9. Auckland Transport conducted a detailed planning workshop with the MOLB on 14 June 2018. At that workshop, the MOLB and Auckland Transport officers discussed issues related to the LBTCF.

**Bader Drive Idlewild Intersection**

10. Recently New Zealand Transport Agency started work on significant new walking and cycling pathways in Māngere. The planned network of routes will change pedestrian flows in the area.

11. Auckland Transport’s design team therefore presented the MOLB with a new option, building a single lane roundabout rather than the proposed two-lane roundabout. See Fig 1. The advantage being that a single lane roundabout provides better pedestrian safety and safer crossing points than a two-lane roundabout.
12. The key disadvantage of the proposed layout is that traffic flow will not be as good as would have been achieved with the first proposal. The MOLB and officers discussed the options and the board members supported the single lane option because they felt that:

- Prioritising pedestrian safety and maximising the number of safe crossing points was important
- The improvement to traffic provided by a single lane roundabout, although not as good a two-lane roundabout, was still significant
- This option worked best with the planned increase in local walking and cycling routes.

Re-allocation of LBTCF

13. The MOLB discussed other projects that could either be initiated or re-started and after reviewing the options with an indication that:

- The Ashgrove Reserve pathway project could advance to detailed design so that a firm cost estimate for construction is developed. The project supports ‘Future Streets’ providing a better pedestrian link to the Māngere Town Centre and a new off road cycle route
- Detailed design would start to develop a plan for building better walking and cycling routes around Walter Massey Park, improving footpaths in and around the town centre and putting more lights in high use pedestrian areas. Māngere East Town Centre is a priority in the Local Board Plan and work of this nature would contribute to improving the area
- A rough order of cost could be requested for improving seating and shelter at bus stops in the MOLB area.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views
14. The issues discussed in this report were workshopped with the MOLB and their views have been outlined.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement
15. The projects discussed have not required iwi liaison and the project teams will undertake this task if required.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications
16. The financial implications of the draft recommendations are a commitment of LBTCF to detailed design. Generally, the design process is estimated at being about 10% of the cost of the project. Therefore the implication of the recommendations can be estimated as follows:
   - Ashgrove Reserve: Rough order of cost’ - $400,000 so the estimated cost of detailed design is $40,000
   - Māngere East Town Centre: Rough order of cost - $320-375,000 so the estimated cost of detailed design is $32-37,000
   - Providing a rough order of cost for the bus stop improvements does not have a current financial implication for the MOLB.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
17. LBTCF allocated to detailed design is committed. If design is completed and the project does not proceed that money is lost.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps
18. If the recommendations are approved, Auckland Transport will immediately start work to fulfil the requests. Auckland Transport will report on progress monthly.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Ben Stallworthy – Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon – Elected Member Team Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board (MOLB) on transport related matters in their area including the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF).

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The report contains information about the following:
   - The wider context involving a summary of the strategic projects or issues affecting the MOLB’s Area including quarterly reporting information
   - An update on Auckland Transport activities in Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area
   - Progress made on MOLB advocacy initiatives
   - A summary of consultation about future Auckland Transport activities is included as an attachment.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport July 2018 update report.

Horopaki / Context
3. This report addresses transport related matters in the local board area.
4. Auckland Transport is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. AT report on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in their Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within and on behalf of their local communities.
5. Auckland Transport continues to deliver a number of strategic projects in the MOLB area and they are discussed below.

   Airport to Botany Rapid Transport Network
6. A key part of Auckland Transport’s public strategy is to provide an east-west Rapid Transit Network that links Auckland Airport with Botany via Manukau. This project will involve New Zealand Transport Agency, Auckland Airport and Auckland Transport working together over a number of years to plan and build a bus or light rail link between these points.
7. At this early stage of planning there are not many details but the potential benefits for the MOLB area are easily appreciated. Faster and more reliable public transport between Botany, Manukau and the Airport will make commuting east-west across Auckland to employment hubs at the Airport or East Tamaki easier and provide more options for air travellers. Within the MOLB area the project will improve east-west connectivity allowing better access from Māngere Bridge, Māngere and Māngere East to transport hubs in...
Puhinui and Manukau. At these hubs, travellers will be able to join the rail network for north-south journeys.

8. The thick red line in Figure 1 shows the approximate route and how it will link with existing and planned Rapid Transit Networks.

Figure 1: Potential Rapid Transit Network linking Auckland Airport to Botany

9. The project team has recently started discussing this plan with local boards and councillors and over time, the public will get opportunities to discuss the plan.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

Local board advocacy

10. This section provides a regular report about how Auckland Transport is supporting the MOLB advocacy initiatives. The board's advocacy initiatives are recorded in the MOLB Local Board Plan. In this month's report the MOLB's advocacy initiatives from the 2016-19 term have been recorded in the table below.
## Table 3: Advocacy Initiative Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advocacy Initiative</th>
<th>Key Initiative</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A well-connected area, part of a great, affordable public transport network that makes it easy for all to move around | Deliver projects with the governing body and Auckland Transport including:  
- Improving street connections between the Ōtāhuhu bus/train station and town centre  
- Upgrading the street environment around Māngere East shopping area and community facilities  
- Completing the Māngere town centre bus station upgrade  
- Support walking and cycling connections around popular parks like Walter Massey and Māngere Center | Auckland Transport has a range of projects underway supporting this initiative including:  
- The Ōtāhuhu Streetscape project is still progressing managed by Auckland Transport  
- Council has provided guidance about its position with regard to the MOLB's plans for Māngere East  
- The Regional Land Transport Plan includes funding for both a ‘Rapid Transit’ link through Māngere to the Airport and a ‘Rapid Transit’ link from the Airport to Botany |
| Attractive, accessible and safe cycle ways and walkways | Champion and support the Ōtāhuhu Portage route project to open the area for recreation, walking and cycling | An advocacy issue that Auckland Transport can help support but can’t lead |
| | Implement Norana path walkway and fund priority Local Paths projects | An advocacy issue that Auckland Transport can help support but can’t lead |
| | Continue supporting Te Ara Mua-Future Streets and identify options to increase use of cycle ways and walkways | More events were supported by Auckland Transport’s Walking and Cycling Team in June 2018 |
| | Partner with Te Wānanga o Aotearoa to use digital technology to popularise and increase use of new paths. | A MOLB project |
| Safe, attractive and well-maintained streets for all | Develop and deliver improvements to Bader Drive, e.g. a roundabout at the Idlewild Ave intersection and road widening near Māngere town centre | Auckland Transport is currently supporting the MOLB to deliver two LBTCF projects on Bader Drive - both projects are progressing |
Progress made on investigations

Mangere market resolution

11. Auckland Transport has noted the MOLB’s concerns expressed by resolution in May 2018 about the Māngere Market allowing vendors to position stalls on the pedestrian pathway markings.

12. The Property team that is responsible for managing markets is currently reviewing the operation of all markets and is going to meet with the management of the Māngere Market to discuss the MOLB’s concerns.

13. At the time this report was written the meeting had not happened but Auckland Transport will report back to the MOLB as soon as the meeting has taken place.

‘Future Streets’ activation activities

14. Auckland Transport continues to support encouraging the local community to use the ‘Future Streets’ area. We do this by organising activities for local people in and around the area.

15. Recently Auckland Transport installed large posters in bus shelters from Māngere East to Māngere Bridge promoting road safety messages. The posters were in place until the end of June.

16. An event called ‘Māngere Safety In Our Hood Is All Good Day’ was planned on 4 June 2018 organised by Mr ‘T’ but had to be cancelled because the weather was bad.

17. On 6 June 2018 Auckland Transport ran a ‘Winter Pitt Stop’ to give bike lights to people who needed them at Mangere Bridge. People on bikes heavily use this area. The team stayed for about an hour but the weather was so bad that it had to be stopped early, there was little interest from cyclists who often already had lights.

18. Auckland Transport’s activation team is meeting with Auckland Council staff, the recreation centre and people at local maraes to develop local walking maps.

Māngere Bridge ‘Safer Community’

19. Auckland Transport is delivering a new road safety initiative that concentrates road safety funding in certain areas. Māngere-Bridge is an area in which money has been committed to this initiative.

20. The project now focuses on Māngere Bridge Village, Taylor, Woodward and Church Roads. The aim will be to make walking safer by developing crossings and safer footpaths. Another issue identified is heavy vehicle traffic in this area.

21. In recent weeks, a second round of public consultation took place. The results are being collated and analysed and on 11 July 2018, Auckland Transport will meet with the MOLB and brief board members on progress.

Māngere Bridge community safety Concerns

22. The local Māngere Bridge Community and Police have reported their concerns about late night drinking and anti-social behavior at the old Māngere Bridge.

23. Auckland Transport and Auckland Council have now completed the following actions to support the NZ Police:

- **Better lighting in the area** – Auckland Transport initially increased the wattage of the bulbs, changed the times that they dim. In May 2018 the Police requested more lighting. Auckland Transport contractors are investigating the potential for installing more lights
- **Liquor Ban Signage on Poles** – Auckland Council’s Community Facilities team have installed signs
- **‘No Parking’ restriction** – Auckland Transport have introduced a 10pm – 4am ‘No Parking’ restriction.
24. The actions taken are everything that Auckland Transport and Auckland Council can do within their legislative areas of responsibility.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe /
Local impacts and local board views

Auckland Transport consultations
25. Over the last reporting period, Auckland Transport has invited the local board to provide their feedback on two proposals. Feedback received is in Attachment A.

Traffic Control Committee resolutions
26. Traffic Control Committee (TCC) decisions from May 2018 is normally included in the report but there were no decision in this area in May.

Table 4: Traffic Control Committee Decisions June 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yates Road</td>
<td>Mangere East</td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times, Traffic Island, Flush Median</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronation Road</td>
<td>Mangere Bridge</td>
<td>No Stopping between Certain Hours, No Stopping At All Times, Traffic Island, Edge Line</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement
27. In this reporting period the project that required iwi liaison was ‘Future Streets’ activation and the project team has undertaken this engagement.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications
28. The most significant financial implication for the MOLB is the status of the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF). Last month Auckland Transport reported that the MOLB has approx. $622,000 available.
29. Since the last report the Governing Body has increased the amount of money provided to local boards though the LBTCF.
30. Therefore, the MOLB starts the 2018/19 Financial Year with a total of just over $1.4 million.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
31. Auckland Transport has risk management strategies in place for all of their projects.
32. The “Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications” section of this report summarised the MOLB’s LBTCF financial situation. This situation creates a risk that $1.4 million is currently unallocated and if is not allocated quickly, new projects may be difficult to deliver before the end of the current electoral term.
33. This risk is mitigated by:
   - On 7 June 2018 Auckland Transport officers and the MOLB workshopped possible options
   - A detailed plan was developed in a separate report titled Local Board Transport Capital Fund Decisions.
Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

34. Auckland Transport will provide another update report to the local board next month.
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### Summary of Consultation Information Sent to the Mangere - Otahuhu Local Board

**June 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description of Proposal</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Massey Road Pedestrian Refuge | ![Diagram](image) The changes are required as a condition of resource consent to ensure that the new development operates safely and efficiently, and integrates appropriately with the wider road network.  
  The traffic and parking control changes on Great South Road include the following controls:  
  - Flush Median  
  - No Stopping At All Times  
  Existing controls also included are:  
  - Give-Way Control  
  - Traffic Islands  
  - No Stopping At All Times                                                                                                                  | Circulated to Transport Reps 25 June 2018. The Transport Reps have raised some concerns that have been reported to the team delivering the project. |
| Rimu Road Traffic Control    | ![Diagram](image)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Circulated to Transport Reps 11 June 2018.                                                |
Request to install a memorial plaque and bench at Kiwi Esplanade Reserve

File No.: CP2018/11941

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board for a memorial bench and plaque at Kiwi Esplanade Reserve.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. A request was received to install a memorial plaque and bench at Kiwi Esplanade Reserve. The purpose is to memorialise a long-standing resident named Trevor Green who was an active community member in the local Māngere area.
3. The delegation for approving a memorial in a community park sits with the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu local board.
4. It is recommended that the board approves the application as it meets the Plaques and Memorials on Parks Guidelines in terms of the memorial being in recognition of an ‘important person associated with the area’.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) Approves the memorial plaque and bench installation in memory of Trevor Green at Kiwi Esplanade Reserve.

Horopaki / Context
5. A request has been received to install a memorial bench and plaque at Kiwi Esplanade Reserve to memorialise Trevor Green, a long-standing Kiwi Esplanade resident.
6. Trevor Green was one of the first residents of Kiwi Esplanade, buying land with his wife in 1972. Previously, Trevor had lived on Thomas Road, Māngere named after Trevor’s mother’s family and the surrounding Cape Road and Cornwall Road, being named after Cape Cornwall, England where his mother’s family originated from.
7. Trevor served on the Board of Trustees at Waterlea Primary, Manukau Intermediate (now Royal Oak Intermediate) and Onehunga High School.
8. The family would like to memorialise Trevor with a plaque and park bench on the seaward side of Kiwi Esplanade Reserve (see attachment A).

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice
Memorial plaque delegated authority
9. The delegation for approving a memorial in a community park sits with the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board. Because memorials are not necessarily conducive to the publics’ use and enjoyment of the park and can create a feeling of exclusive ownership, applications that go before the board are measured against the Plaques and Memorials on Parks Guidelines.
These guidelines state that applications must generally demonstrate that the memorial is in recognition of one of the following:

- an important historical figure or important person associated with the area
- an important cultural location
- an organisation or community group involved in the development area
- a significant event.

10. Parks staff consider that Trevor Green’s longstanding residency in Kiwi Esplanade and his involvement with a number of school boards in the area means that the ‘important person associated with the area’ criteria has been met in this instance and recommend that the board approves the application in this instance.

**Funding**

11. The cost of memorial plaque and bench installation is funded entirely by the applicant. There is no cost to council.

12. The installation of the plaque and bench is managed by Auckland Council and delivered by contractors.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

13. The local board were consulted in May 2018 and invited to provide feedback on the proposal to install a memorial plaque and bench at Kiwi Esplanade Reserve. The board indicated general support for the proposal and no objections were received.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

14. Parks value the relationship with mana whenua and the input they provide in park development projects. The installation of a bench at Kiwi Esplanade Reserve will benefit iwi and the wider community by providing additional park infrastructure that supports recreation outcomes for the community.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

15. There is no financial cost to Auckland Council. The applicant is responsible for funding the memorial plaque and bench purchase and installation.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

16. The board has received requests from local residents to remove park benches and picnic tables at Kiwi Esplanade to prevent people using them to consume alcohol. However, the installation of benches and picnic tables in parks and reserves provide a recreational function and a bench installed at Kiwi Esplanade Reserve will meet this purpose. Staff will also continue to work with the community and local police to limit anti-social activities linked to alcohol consumption at the reserve.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

17. Should the local board approve the application then the plaque, relevant text and seat design would be agreed with parks staff, noting that the design must be consistent with the council’s park design standards.
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Request to install a memorial plaque and bench at Kiwi Esplanade Reserve
Attachment A

Proposed location of bench and memorial plaque at Kiwi Esplanade Reserve
To grant a new community lease at former Constable Room, 121R Bader Drive, Māngere

File No.: CP2018/10676

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval to grant a new community lease at former Constable Room at 121R Bader Drive, Māngere.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The building known as former Constable Room located at 121R Bader Drive, Māngere has been identified as a community facility and can be used for community purposes.
3. A call for expressions of interest to occupy the building was made and two interested group’s submitted applications.
4. Applicants were measured against a range of criteria to assess suitability including the community needs that were met as an outcome of the applicant’s activities.
5. The highest scoring applicant is Tupuna Trust, a community education organisation specialising in Māori culture and heritage and providing Māori language classes for individuals, groups and organisations within Auckland. The trust collaborates with others to support educational opportunities with a focus on youth crime reduction.
6. This report recommends Tupuna Trust as the preferred applicant as it would fit well within the building and supports an identified need within the Māngere community.
7. Staff recommend that the new community lease be granted to Tupuna Trust, in accordance with the terms and conditions under the Community Occupancy Guidelines 2012 and the Reserves Act 1977.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) grant, subject to the completion of iwi consultation, a new community lease to Tupuna Trust for the building at 121R Bader Drive, Māngere (Attachment A) subject to the following terms and conditions:
   i) term - two years from 30 July 2018 with one two-year right of renewal
   ii) rent - $1.00 plus GST per annum (if demanded)
   iii) maintenance fee - $250.00 plus GST per annum
   iv) a community outcomes plan (to be approved by a nominated member of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board) attached as a schedule to the lease document
   v) all other terms and conditions to be in accordance with the Reserves Act 1977 and the Community Occupancy Guidelines 2012.
Horopaki / Context

The Land and Building

8. The parcel of land at 121R Bader Drive, Māngere is legally described as Lot 2 Deposited Plan 77892. The land is held in fee simple by Auckland Council as a classified local purpose (off-street parking and community buildings) reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977.

9. The building known as the former constable room is owned by council. The preferred applicant will be responsible for the interior maintenance, however, structural maintenance and renovations is undertaken by council.

10. As the building is relatively small, it limits the range of activities that can be contemplated. The two groups who applied for the lease intend to use the space for office, administrative and small workshop purposes.

Application Process

11. An advertisement seeking expressions of interest was placed in the Manukau Courier and council website on 17 October 2017. Applicants seeking community space and those listed on the Auckland Council community lease interest register were also advised. The building was open for viewings by interested applicants on 1 November 2017 and 8 November 2017.

12. A detailed description of the groups and their activities is referenced below.

Tupuna Trust

13. Tupuna Trust is a Māngere based organisation registered as a Charitable Trust on 7 March 2016.

14. It offers Māori language classes for individuals, groups and organisations within Auckland. Additional to supporting educational opportunities, the trust would also provide locally available programmes for kaumatua (elders) and aspiring rangatahi (youth) to use Māori cultural values of maanakitanga (care for others) and aroha (love) to empower individuals, particularly youth in crime.

15. The trust’s aim is to inspire educational growth, formulate and monitor individual plans for successful educational achievement and employment and potentially reduce crime in Māngere. Services would include a commitment to improving the positive growth of the community through Māori cultural values and an aim to address some of the social, economic and educational needs of the community particularly for youth. The trust would willingly work with local and central government organisations and non-government organisations to support individuals seeking its services.

16. The trust indicated the building will be used approximately 60 hours a week, Monday to Friday from 8:00am to 8:00pm. Furthermore the trust is willing to share the premises with one other entity.

Mangere Town Centre BID Incorporated

17. Mangere Town Centre BID Incorporated first registered as an incorporated society on 18 July 2008. The purpose of the society is to manage the Māngere Town Centre for the benefit of the retailers, occupants and local residents.

18. The society supports other initiatives that benefit the local community. One such initiative is the Faasoa (sharing) group. The group was established in 2016 and is a support network for the elderly. The group’s aim is to create a drop-in centre where the elderly can come together to tell their stories, and to help and encourage one another. The group would also collaborate with Mangere Town Centre BID Incorporated to become ambassadors to prevent youth crime in the town centre.
19. The group indicated the building will be used approximately 36 hours a week, Monday to Saturday from 9:00am to 3:00pm, furthermore the group is willing to share the premises with one other entity.

20. The Mangere Town Centre BID Incorporated would act as the “umbrella group” for the Faasoa group.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice**

**Assessment of applications**

21. All applications received were assessed against the criteria contained in the Community Occupancy Guidelines 2012.

22. During the assessment of the applications, Tupuna Trust scored well against the following criteria:

- **Group sustainability** – The trust is fully self-supporting.
- **Extent of usage** – The premises is to be used approximately 60 hours per week.
- **Alignment with Local Board plan:**
  - Outcome one: A strong local economy: this is met through successful educational achievements and employment potentially growing the local economy.
  - Outcome two: We are the heart of Maori and Pasifika culture; and outcome three: Protecting our natural environment and heritage: are achieved through maintaining and sharing local Māori culture and heritage.
  - Outcome six: A place where everyone thrives and belongs: the trust is to ensure they are actively involved in the community and promoting safe neighborhoods.

23. Mangere Town Centre BID Incorporated scored well against the following criteria:

- **Financial stability, accounts and funding** – The society receives multiple sources of revenue
- **Building size, configuration location** – The premises meets the needs of the society.

24. However, the society did not perform well under group sustainability, extent of usage and sharing and collaboration criteria as the information was not contained in its application. Additionally, the society is proposed to act as the holder of the lease with the activities and programmes being administered by the Faasoa (sharing) group, who would otherwise not qualify for a community lease independently.

**Community Lease**

25. This report recommends that a lease be granted to Tupuna Trust as the preferred applicant following assessment against the criteria stated in the Community Occupancy Guidelines 2012.

26. The term recommended for the lease is for a period of two years with one two-year right of renewal. This is to allow the new lessee time to implement initiatives and strategies to increase delivery of services while maintaining a regular review.

27. A community outcomes plan will be negotiated with the lessee, to be approved by a nominated member of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board and attached to the lease document.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

28. The assessment of the applications was workshopped with the local board on 7 February 2018. The local board supported a shorter term for the proposed community lease.

29. The recommendations within this report fall within local board’s allocated authority to grant leases within local community facilities in line with the Community Occupancy Guidelines 2012.

30. The recommendations support the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan 2017 outcome five: Our community spaces are part of a first-class network. They offer choices for people from different cultures and life-stages to take part in local life. Additionally, it also aligns with the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan 2017 outcome two: we are the heart of Māori and Pasifika culture - our communities take pride in our Māori and Pasifika cultural identities, this is our strength and a building block for our place and people.

31. The proposed lease will benefit the local community in driving initiatives promoting Māori cultural values of manaakitanga (care for others) and aroha (love) whilst focussing on youth education and deterrence of youth offending.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

32. Auckland Council is committed to meeting its responsibilities under Te Tiriti o Waitangi and its broader legal obligations to Māori. Support for Māori initiatives and outcomes are detailed in Whiria Te Muka Tangata, Auckland Council’s Māori Responsiveness Framework.

33. The services that are offered by Tupuna Trust benefits all communities particularly Māori living in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

34. Staff presented the proposed lease at the Mana Whenua forum on Wednesday 30 May 2018. There were no concerns raised by iwi at the forum. To fulfil the statutory requirements, staff emailed iwi representatives who have an interest in the land to advise of the proposed lease and seek feedback. Iwi will have 20 working days from the date of the email to respond. If any objections are received, this will be verbally reported to the local board at its business meeting.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

35. All costs involved in the preparation of lease documents are borne by Auckland Council.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

36. Should the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board not grant a lease, the building will remain vacant and will not be utilised for community purposes. There is also a risk that the vacant building may be vandalized which is less likely to occur if the building is occupied.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

37. Staff emailed iwi representatives seeking feedback on the proposed lease on Friday, 1 June 2018, with the closing date being Tuesday 3 July 2018. Provided that no objections are received, staff will work with Tupuna Trust to finalise the lease documentations.
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Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To approve the 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board, including all physical works, leasing and operational maintenance projects delivered by Community Facilities.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. The Community Facilities department is responsible for the building, maintaining and renewing of all open spaces and community buildings. This includes the community leasing and licensing of council-owned premises.

3. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme was developed using a combination of local board feedback, staff assessments of assets and key stakeholder input.

4. The following indicative costs have been identified in the 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme:
   i) $3,290,580 of asset-based services capital funding
   ii) $60,000 of local board initiative capital funding
   iii) $0 of local board initiative operational funding

5. The work programme has been developed through a series of iterative workshops between key staff and local boards since October 2017. The programme provided in Attachment A and B reflects the agreed projects that were presented in the last local board workshop. This report recommends that the board approves the 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme and associated budget.

6. Once approved by the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board, new work will commence from July 2018. Regular updates on the line items will be provided by the Community Facilities’ Stakeholder Advisors.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) approve the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme as detailed in Attachment A and B.

Horopaki / Context

7. Community facilities and open spaces provide important community services to the people of Auckland. They contribute to building strong, healthy, and vibrant communities by providing spaces where Aucklanders can participate in a wide range of social, cultural, art, and recreational activities. These activities improve lifestyles and a sense of belonging and pride amongst residents.
8. The 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme detailed in the attachments contains information on all proposed projects to be delivered by Community Facilities, including capital works projects, leasing and operational maintenance.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice**

### Capital works programme

9. Investment in the capital programme will ensure that council facilities and parks open spaces in Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board remain valuable and well-maintained community assets that continue to meet the agreed levels of service. Asset-based services capital works includes Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI), renewals and growth and development projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis for amount of budget allocated to each local board</th>
<th>Locally Driven Initiative</th>
<th>Renewal</th>
<th>Growth and development</th>
<th>Coastal Renewals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As per the local board funding policy:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 90% population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 5% deprivation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 5% land.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of projects that can be paid for</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any projects that deliver a council owned asset or as a capital grant to an asset made available for public use.</td>
<td>Renewal of existing assets on a “like for like” basis, taking into account current service standards where applicable.</td>
<td>Development budgets are used for specific projects approved by the Governing Body. Growth budgets can only be used for specific projects that are required by and benefit new and future residents.</td>
<td>Renewal of and enhancement where required, to existing coastal structures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Degree of local board discretion on allocation to projects | Full discretion | Broad discretion – can be allocated to any renewal project | Limited discretion – must be allocated to the specific project. Local board discretion on scope and design of project | Limited discretion – must be allocated to the specific project for the purpose of protecting our coastal assets. |

Please note that...
10. The 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme has been created following a series of workshops with elected members from October 2017 to May 2018. All feedback gathered from the workshops has been taken into consideration throughout the process. The proposed work programme in Attachment A contains:
   - Number of projects: 34
   - Indicative cost for proposed projects: $6,720,600

Leasing work programme

11. Community leases are a valuable way in which the council provides support to community organisations across the region, commonly on public parks and reserves. These groups provide a wide range of community activities and services aligned with recognised local priorities and are a key part of the mosaic of community activity and infrastructure in Auckland.

12. The draft work programme provides a detailed list of the community leases and licences that will expire or are due for renewal over the 2018/2019 financial year. It also includes the additional leases and licences that will be deferred from the 2017/2018 financial year to the 2018/2019 financial year.

13. Once the 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme is approved, staff will be able to consolidate reporting on routine matters or use the streamlined process for straightforward renewals without variations whilst focusing attention on those community leases that are more complex.

Operational maintenance work programme

14. The regular maintenance of all council-owned built and open space assets plays an important part in:
   - increasing the long-term durability of Community Facilities assets
   - improving the safety of Community Facilities assets
   - ensuring the enjoyment of Community Facilities assets by the users

15. Community Facilities launched ‘Project 17’ in July 2017 which created new bundled maintenance contracts across the Auckland region for full facility, ecological restoration and arboriculture maintenance contracts. The Finance and Performance Committee approved these contracts on 30 March 2017.

16. In the 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme, there are three line item dedicated to all maintenance in the local board area:
   - Full Facilities Maintenance Contracts – These contracts include all buildings, parks and open space assets, sports fields, coastal management and storm damage
   - Arboriculture Maintenance Contracts – These contracts include all tree management and maintenance
   - Ecological Restoration Maintenance Contracts – These contracts include pest plant and animal pest management within ecologically significant parks and reserves.
17. Staff will be able to provide regular reporting on maintenance through monthly updates to the local boards and through the quarterly report. Community Facilities is also providing additional weekly updates to all elected members on contractor performance.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

18. The Community Facilities Work Programme has been created through a combination of local board feedback, asset condition assessments and agreed levels of service.

19. The draft 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme has been considered by the local board in a series of workshops from October 2017 to May 2018. The views expressed by local board members during the workshop have been adopted in Attachment A.

20. At the 28 May 2018 workshop the board noted that Moyle Park, Williams Park, and Mangere Centre Park all need attention. Work at Mangere Centre Park will be initiated on completion of the concept plan. Condition assessments have been organised for Moyle Park and Williams Park to inform the forward programme. Any health and safety issues will be remedied immediately.

21. The project line ‘Mangere-Otahuhu - renew paths throughout board area 2016/17+’ was not discussed at workshops throughout the year as it was thought the project would not require additional funding. Pricing has now been received and to complete the scope of the project an additional $240,000 is required. $100,000 has been included in the 2018/19 proposed renewals programme and $140,000 in the draft 2019/20 programme.

22. The Community Facilities work programme supports the achievement of the following 2017 Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan outcome:
   - A place where everyone thrives and belongs
   - A well-connected area
   - Facilities to meet diverse needs
   - We are the heart of Māori and Pasifika culture.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

23. The 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme ensures that all facilities and open space assets continue to be well-maintained assets that benefit the local community, including Māori. Where any aspects of the proposed work programme are anticipated to have a significant impact on sites of importance to mana whenua then appropriate engagement will be undertaken.

24. Staff are also attending mana whenua fora on a monthly basis to receive feedback on the 2018/2019 Community Facilities Work Programme.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

25. The proposed 2018/2019 Community Facilities work programme will see the allocation of $60,000 of the board’s locally driven initiatives capital budget, $3,290,580 of the board’s asset based services capital budget, and $3,370,020 of the board’s asset based operational budget. These amounts can be accommodated within the board’s total draft budget for 2018/2019. As such, the board’s approval will not have significant financial implications unless projects experience a significant overspend or underspend. Regular updates on projects will be provided to the board tracking expenditure and identifying any projects at risk of non-delivery, over or underspend.
Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
26. If the proposed Community Facilities work programme is not approved at the business meeting, there is a risk that the proposed projects may not be able to be delivered within the 2018/2019 financial year.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps
27. The work programme will be implemented as part of Community Facilities’ usual business practice.
28. Work programme implementation will be reported regularly by stakeholder advisors and quarterly through the performance report to the local board.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
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## Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew Work Programme 2018/2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>LB Plan Outcome</th>
<th>Lead Unit or COO</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Activity Benefits</th>
<th>Further Decision Points for LB</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>FY18/19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>708</td>
<td>Protecting our natural environment and heritage</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>Mangere-Otahuhu Full Facilities Contracts</td>
<td>The Full Facilities maintenance contracts include all buildings, parks and open space assets, sports fields, coastal management and storm damage. With the maintenance contracts, local board assets are able to be maintained to the approved level of service. These contracts provide for required compliance tasks and scheduled activities including planned preventative maintenance to be completed, and for response to requests for maintenance. These contracts benefit all members of the public as local board assets are able to be fully utilised if they are fit for the intended purpose, and it offers better value to ratepayers if assets remain in service for their expected life.</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ANB: Opex</td>
<td>$3,020,967</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>709</td>
<td>Protecting our natural environment and heritage</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>Mangere-Otahuhu Arboriculture Contracts</td>
<td>The Arboriculture maintenance contracts include tree management and maintenance. With the Arboriculture Contracts, trees in parks and reserves, and on streets, are able to be maintained to the approved level of service. These contracts provide for a programme of tree inspection and maintenance, and for response to requests for maintenance of trees which have become damaged or are obstructions. These contracts benefit all members of the public as trees which are properly maintained are more likely to remain in good health, are less likely to become health and safety issues, and offer greater visual amenity.</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ANB: Opex</td>
<td>$207,192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>710</td>
<td>Protecting our natural environment and heritage</td>
<td>CF: Operations</td>
<td>Mangere-Otahuhu Ecological Restoration Contracts</td>
<td>The Ecological Restoration maintenance contracts include pest plant and animal pest management within ecologically significant parks and reserves. With the maintenance contracts, local board assets are able to be maintained to the approved level of service. These contracts provide for a programme of restoration planting and pest animal and plant control for high value sites, and for response to requests for animal pests in parks. These contracts benefit all members of the public as they improve ensure ecologically significant sites on our local parks and reserves are pest free and offer ecological and wider benefits.</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ANB: Opex</td>
<td>$99,861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2156</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Bogust Park - rebuild toilet block</td>
<td>Two year programme to design and build a toilet block at Bogust Park. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2861). Continued use of asset</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2</td>
<td>ANB: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2160</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Kwi Esplanade - renew boat ramp toilets</td>
<td>Renew boat ramp toilets. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2396). Continued use of asset</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ANB: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Item 18
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Lead Organisation</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>FY18/19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2161</td>
<td>Renew the technical equipment at the centre to reduce maintenance and ensure the facility is fit for purpose.</td>
<td>Mangere Arts Centre - renew technical equipment.</td>
<td>CF: Investigation</td>
<td>Continued use of facility</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2162</td>
<td>Comprehensive building retrofit including furniture, fixtures, and equipment. Year one - investigation (including options for sites that would benefit from an increase level of service to propose to the local board), scope and physical works, year 2+ - physical works.</td>
<td>Mangere Bridge Library - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>CF: Investigation</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2163</td>
<td>Replace air conditioning which is at the end of its usable life.</td>
<td>Mangere Bridge Library - replace air conditioning</td>
<td>CF: Investigation</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>$64,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2164</td>
<td>Renew the following assets at the park: Playground and signage. Upgrade assets as approved by the local board to increase the level of service. Base design on the concept plan.</td>
<td>Mangere Centre Park - renew and upgrade park assets</td>
<td>CF: Investigation</td>
<td>Improved facility for users</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2165</td>
<td>Recommissioning existing layout. Remove garage. Refurbish throughout. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2383)</td>
<td>Mangere Community House (Whare Koikoi) - refurbish heritage facility</td>
<td>CF: Investigation</td>
<td>Improved facility for users</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2166</td>
<td>Comprehensive building retrofit including furniture, fixtures, and equipment. Year one - investigation (including options for sites that would benefit from an increase level of service to propose to the local board), scope and physical works, year 2+ - physical works.</td>
<td>Mangere East Library - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>CF: Investigation</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2167</td>
<td>Mangere Mountain Education Centre - renew pathways and park furniture. This project is carried-over from the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2387).</td>
<td>Mangere Mountain Education Centre Renewals</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2168</td>
<td>Interior refurbishment including the floors, walls and ceilings. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2389).</td>
<td>Mangere Old School Hall - refurbished floors and walls</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Continued use of facility</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2169</td>
<td>Improvements to council assets in the public realm of Mangere Town Centre.</td>
<td>Mangere Town Centre - improve assets</td>
<td>CF: Investigation</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2170</td>
<td>Replace carpet and vinyl. Repaint previously painted surfaces. Refurbish shared rooms. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2390).</td>
<td>Mangere Town Centre Library - renew interior</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2171</td>
<td>Deliver CCTV cameras within the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu area. Year one - investigation in collaboration with the council security team, scopeing and physical works.</td>
<td>Mangere-Ōtāhuhu - install CCTV cameras</td>
<td>CF: Investigation</td>
<td>Improve safety in the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu area</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2172</td>
<td>Funding to deliver minor capex projects throughout the financial year as approved in the monthly local board workshops.</td>
<td>Mangere-Ōtāhuhu - LDI minor capex fund 2018/19</td>
<td>CF: Investigation</td>
<td>Improved community facilities</td>
<td>Not scheduled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Benefits and costs are subject to change and approval.
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### Item 18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>LB Plan Outcome</th>
<th>Lead Design or CoO</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Activity Benefits</th>
<th>Further Decision Points for LB</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Budget Source</th>
<th>FY18/19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2173</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Mangere-Ōtāhuhu - renew car parks FY17+</td>
<td>Mangere Recreation Centre and Old Ōtāhuhu Recreation Centre car parks. Investigation and design in year one; physical works in year two. Details to be provided by end of calendar year. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programmes (previous SP18 ID 2400). (NB. Mangere Recreation Centre in good condition - renewal only needed at Old Ōtāhuhu Recreation Centre.)</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2174</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Mangere-Ōtāhuhu - renew park furniture and fixtures FY19+</td>
<td>Renew furniture and fixtures at John Moores Reserve, Massey Horseshoe, Ōtāhuhu Stonefield Reserve, Williams Park, Yates Park. Install additional benches at Hisarina Park (x2) and Bagot Park (x1).</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Not scheduled</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals, LDI: Capex</td>
<td>$12,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2175</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Mangere-Ōtāhuhu - renew park roads and car parks FY19+</td>
<td>Renew condition 4 and 5’s. Year one - investigation (including options for sites that would benefit from an increase level of service to propose to the local board), scoping and physical works, year 2+ - physical works. Prioritize Hisarina Park.</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Not scheduled</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2176</td>
<td>A place where everyone thrives and belongs</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Massey Horseshoe - full refurbishment</td>
<td>Redevelop throughout, renew kitchen and bathroom, renew lighting throughout, replace flooring in hallways, kitchen and upstairs offices. Renew heating throughout. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP19 ID 2384).</td>
<td>Continued use of facility</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2177</td>
<td>A place where everyone thrives and belongs</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Moana Nui-A-Kiwa Leisure Centre - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Comprehensive upgrade including full waterproofing epoxy of all outdoor pools; changing room extractor fan - install extractor fan in the aquatic change rooms; extend weights studio - extend to end of wall and replace current mirrors; fitness changing room auto window installation to help control condensation; fitness changing room extractor fan; repaint OSCAR room; replace stretch area carpet and particle room replacement, replace lean to roofs of the main stadium; exterior retaining walls between pools are failing and need re-laying; replace BBQ area. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP19 ID 2392).</td>
<td>Continued use of facility</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2178</td>
<td>A place where everyone thrives and belongs</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Moana Nui-A-Kiwa Leisure Centre - replace CCTV in lower level fitness studio and recreation room</td>
<td>CCTV in stadium and weights studio.</td>
<td>Continued security at the facility</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2179</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Moyle Park - install warm carpet, irrigation and lights</td>
<td>Install sand carpet, lighting and lighting on sports field</td>
<td>Additional sports provision</td>
<td>Come back to board once design works are complete</td>
<td>Not scheduled</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2180</td>
<td>A place where everyone thrives and belongs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Ngā Tapuwae Community Building - renew structural components</td>
<td>Replace roof membrane, skylights, gutters, and wall in kitchen. Replace strver panel soft/s with alternative material such as compressed foam cement soft</td>
<td>Continued use of facility</td>
<td>Come back to board with scope of work</td>
<td>Not scheduled</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2181</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Hisarina Park - develop walkways and paths</td>
<td>New walkways and shared paths through Hisarina Park. Connecting Hisarina Park, Māngere Bridge, to Favona. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2407).</td>
<td>Neighbourhood greenways connections</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Growth</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2182</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Old School Reserve - renew park roads and car parks</td>
<td>Renew park roads and car parks. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2408).</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ABS: Capex - Renewals</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>LBD Plan Outcome</td>
<td>Lead Depart/Unit or Code</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Activity Benefits</td>
<td>Further Decisions Points for LBD</td>
<td>Timeline</td>
<td>Budget Source</td>
<td>FY18/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2183</td>
<td>A place where everyone thrives and belongs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Ōtāhuhu Community Centre (Town Hall) - renew community centre</td>
<td>Renew external components including brick venner, fibre cement cladding, plaster wall cladding. Renew internal components including carpet, floor boards in strong room, door fittings. Additional information can be found in the Asset Assessment Report.</td>
<td>Continued use of facility. Reduced maintenance</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Not scheduled</td>
<td>ABS: Capex Renewals</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2184</td>
<td>A place where everyone thrives and belongs</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Ōtāhuhu Pool &amp; Leisure Centre - comprehensive renewal</td>
<td>Renew HVAC rooftop protection, CCTV, chemical dosing system. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 3145).</td>
<td>Continued use of facility</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>ABS: Capex Renewals</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2185</td>
<td>We are the heart of Māori and Pasifika culture</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Ōtāhuhu Portage - develop greenways link</td>
<td>As part of the Ōtāhuhu priorities to develop the Ōtāhuhu Portage greenways link. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2410).</td>
<td>Develop a greenways (local path) linkage from Mangere through to Onehunga and across the ‘portage’ to link with Maungakiekie Tamaki paths.</td>
<td>Design to be agreed with local board</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ABS: Capex Growth</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2186</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Peninsula Point Reserve - renew bridge and footpath</td>
<td>Peninsula Point Reserve path and bridge renewal. This project is a continuation of the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2411).</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3</td>
<td>ABS: Capex Renewals</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2187</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Seaside Park - renew car park and furniture</td>
<td>Seaside Park car park, fence, rubbish bin, seats and talking renewal. This project is carried-over from the 2017/2018 programme (previous SP18 ID 2413).</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>ABS: Capex Renewals</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2188</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Sturges Park - renew walkway</td>
<td>Renew walkway.</td>
<td>Continued use of asset</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Not scheduled</td>
<td>ABS: Capex Renewals</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2190</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Investigation and Design</td>
<td>Williams Park - install sand carpet, irrigation and lighting</td>
<td>Installation of sand carpet, drainage, irrigation and lighting on sports field.</td>
<td>Increased levels of provision in Mangere-Ōtāhuhu</td>
<td>Design to be agreed with local board</td>
<td>Not scheduled</td>
<td>ABS: Capex Growth</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2728</td>
<td>A well-connected area</td>
<td>CF: Project Delivery</td>
<td>Mangere-Ōtāhuhu - renew pathways, throughout board area 2018/17+:</td>
<td>Footpath renewals at: Archibald Road Reserve, Ashgrove Reserve, Ferguson Street Reserve, Mahurangi Reserve No 1, Mascot Walkway No 2, Mckinnsey Park, Miami Street Reserve, Monterey Creek Accessway, Montgomery Road Reserve, Moyle Park, Nixon Monument, Norana Park, Raglan Park, Reyton Park (Growers Lane Reserve), Walter Massey Park, Yates Park</td>
<td>Continued use of pathways</td>
<td>No further decisions anticipated</td>
<td>Q1; Q2; Q3; Q4</td>
<td>ABS: Capex Renewals</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Community Facilities: Community Leases Work Programme 2018/2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>LB Plan/OuL</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Activity Benefits</th>
<th>Further Details</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>CL Lease Commencement Date</th>
<th>CL Lease Expiry Date</th>
<th>CL Annuitised Rent Amount (GST)</th>
<th>CL Annual Operating Cost (GST)</th>
<th>CL Building Ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2528</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New community lease for vacancy at 12-16 High Street, Māngere - Ōtāhuhu.</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>31/07/2018</td>
<td>30/09/2019</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2523</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New community lease for vacancy at Māngere Town Centre (above Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board office).</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q3, Q4</td>
<td>08/08/2018</td>
<td>07/09/2019</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tenant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1334</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New ground lease to existing group.</td>
<td>Provide a facility that supports early childhood education through the development of the Māori language.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q2, Q3</td>
<td>31/05/2015</td>
<td>31/09/2015</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2524</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New community lease for vacancy at 31R Jordan Road, Māngere.</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>01/06/2016</td>
<td>31/05/2018</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2517</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New community lease to Māngere East After School Care, Community Education and Study Support Trust. (Community Centre new building)</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>31/05/2015</td>
<td>31/09/2015</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2527</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New ground lease for vacancy at 37-39 Whippelly Way, Māngere East.</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>01/06/2016</td>
<td>31/09/2016</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2529</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New community lease for vacancy at 7 Coronation Road, Māngere Bridge.</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>01/06/2016</td>
<td>31/09/2016</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1330</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>Renew ground lease to existing group.</td>
<td>Provide social services that support families including the development of Māori Culture.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>31/05/2015</td>
<td>31/09/2015</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tenant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2515</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New ground lease to Māngere Combined Tennis Club Incorporated.</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>31/05/2015</td>
<td>30/04/2015</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tenant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1335</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New ground lease to existing group.</td>
<td>Provide a facility that enables greater support for children and families and encourages social interaction.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>31/05/2015</td>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tenant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1332</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>Renew building lease to existing group.</td>
<td>Provide a facility that supports senior citizens with disabilities.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>31/05/2015</td>
<td>31/05/2024</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2526</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New community lease for vacancy at 12th Breda Drive, Māngere.</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>31/05/2015</td>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tenant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2512</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New ground lease to Manukau Rugby League Football &amp; Sports Club Incorporated.</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>31/05/2015</td>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tenant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2514</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF - Community Leases</td>
<td>New ground lease to Otahuhu Badminton Club Incorporated.</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expenditure.</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>31/05/2015</td>
<td>31/05/2019</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Tenant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>LB Plan Outcome</td>
<td>Lead Department or GO</td>
<td>Activity Name</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Activity Benefits</td>
<td>Further Grant Plans for LB</td>
<td>Timeframe</td>
<td>CL Lease Commencement Date</td>
<td>CL Final Lease Expiry Date</td>
<td>CL Annual Rent Amount (excl GST)</td>
<td>CL Annual Operating Costs (excl GST)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2518</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>Walter Massey Park, 372 Massey Road, Mangere East</td>
<td>Lease to Mangaere East After School Care, Community Education and Study Support Trust. Deferred from the 2017/2018 work programme.</td>
<td>Developing a sense of belonging and engagement with the community. Promoting inclusion and participation.</td>
<td>All lease expiry/renewal</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>06/03/2019</td>
<td>04/07/2021</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2521</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>Walter Massey Park, 372 Massey Road, Mangere East</td>
<td>Lease to Samoa Aid + Magale Incorporated</td>
<td>Renew community lease to Samoa Aid + Magale Incorporated for five years. Deferred from the 2017/2018 work programme.</td>
<td>All lease expiry/renewal</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>01/01/2010</td>
<td>31/10/2020</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
<td>$1,868.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2530</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>Walter Massey Park, 372 R Massey Road, Mangere East</td>
<td>Lease to Manukau City Association Football Club Incorporated</td>
<td>New ground lease to Manukau City Association Football Club Incorporated. Deferred from the 2017/2018 work programme.</td>
<td>All lease expiry/renewal</td>
<td>Q1, Q2</td>
<td>01/01/2014</td>
<td>31/08/2024</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1331</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>Walter Massey Park, Mangere East Community Centre, 306 Massey Road, Mangere East</td>
<td>Lease to STRIVE Community Trust</td>
<td>Renew building lease to existing group.</td>
<td>Provide social services that support families.</td>
<td>All lease expiry/renewal</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>01/06/2014</td>
<td>31/08/2024</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1333</td>
<td>Facilities to meet diverse needs</td>
<td>CF: Community Leases</td>
<td>Whetuika Park, 258 House Avenue, Mangere Bridge</td>
<td>Lease to The Girl Guide Assn New Zealand Incorporated - Mangere Bridge</td>
<td>New ground lease to existing group.</td>
<td>Provide a facility that supports youth groups within the community.</td>
<td>All lease expiry/renewal</td>
<td>Q2, Q3</td>
<td>01/08/2008</td>
<td>31/07/2018</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Review of Auckland Council’s representation arrangements for the 2019 elections

File No.: CP2018/12297

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To consider the board’s position and feedback on the recent representation review proposal for Manukau Ward to be split into two wards.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. On 16 May 2018, the board received a report about the representation arrangements for the 2019 elections. The report outlined the Joint Governance Working Party proposals for changes to the representation arrangements. The board gave feedback on those proposals. Since then, an additional proposal has been put forward, that the Manukau Ward be split into two wards.

3. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board was invited to join a local board workshop with the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board by video conference on 26 June 2018 where officers advised on the proposal for the Manukau Ward, currently represented by two councillors, to be divided into two wards each represented by one councillor. One ward would cover the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board area and the other the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

4. The Local Electoral Act 2001 requires the council decision to take into account:
   - the effective representation of communities of interest
   - fairness of representation.

5. The May report explained the terms “communities of interest” and “fairness” in this context. At the workshop on 4 July 2018, Māngere-Ōtāhuhu board members discussed both aspects. Members considered whether splitting the ward could lead to more effective representation, and if a split could result in unequal communities of interest.

6. The board now has an opportunity to comment on the proposal to split Manukau Ward. The Governing Body meeting on 26 July will consider the working party proposals and decide what to notify for public submissions. The Chair could attend to present the board’s views.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) consider the board’s feedback on the additional representation review proposal for Manukau Ward to be split into two wards.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Rina Tagore - Senior Local Board Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local board resolution responses and information report

File No.: CP2018/11511

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. This report provides a summary of resolution responses and information reports for circulation to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board.

Information reports for the local board:

2. The Safeswim Beach Performance Summary – Summer 2017/18 memo was received from Infrastructure & Environmental Services on 25 June 2018 (Attachment A).

3. At its 28 June meeting the Waiheke Local Board considered the report (Attachment B) and resolved as follows:

   **Waiheke Governance Pilot activity update 1 to June 2018**

   Resolution number WHK/2018/133

   MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member J Meeuwsen:

   **That the Waiheke Local Board:**
   
   a) receive the Waiheke pilot update report.
   
   b) note that additional authority for the Waiheke Local Board and/or Waiheke Pilot Programme Manager will be further investigated and reported to the board as more information and analysis is available as the pilot progresses.
   
   c) forward the report and any board resolutions to other local boards and the Joint Governance Working Party for their consideration.

   CARRIED

4. The Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate and the issue of fairness in how we classify online accommodation providers has resulted in some changes outlined in a frequently asked questions (FAQ) memo sent to the board members on 5 July 2018 (Attachment C).

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) note the Safeswim Beach Performance Summary – Summer 2017/18 memo from Infrastructure & Environmental Services.

b) note the Waiheke Local Board report and resolutions on the Waiheke governance pilot activity update 1 to June 2018.

c) note the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate and classification of online accommodation providers frequently asked questions (FAQ) memo received on 5 July 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Safeswim Beach Performance Summary</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Waiheke Report</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Online sector rates changes FAQ memo</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

Authors | Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor

Authorisers | Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager
Memorandum 19 June 2018

To: Mayor, Councillors, Local Board Chairs, Independent Māori Statutory Board Members

Subject: Safeswim Beach Performance Summary – Summer 2017/18

From: Nick Vigar, Safeswim Programme Manager, Healthy Waters, Auckland Council

Purpose

1. To inform all elected members of the performance of the region’s beaches under the Safeswim programme over the summer of 2017/18 and describes the steps council and Watercare are undertaking to improve the swimmability of the poorest performing beaches.

Summary

- The performance of the 84 marine beaches in the Safeswim programme has been summarised for the summer of 2017/18. On average, across the region, beaches were compliant with swimming guidelines 77 per cent of the time.
- The worst performing beaches were those with pre-existing long-term warnings (including in particular a group of beaches in the Northern Manukau) and those affected by combined sewer overflows in the Western Isthmus. Outside of these, Takapuna Beach has the poorest water quality.
- The Central Interceptor Project (Watercare) and the Western Isthmus Water Quality Improvement Project (Healthy Waters / Watercare collaboration) are designed to address the issues in the Western Isthmus combined sewer areas, with part of the funding confirmed for this as part of the water quality targeted rate via the 10-year Budget.
- The council’s Healthy Waters team is currently prioritising the Northern Manukau beaches, Takapuna Beach and Red Beach for investigations. This programme is being expanded to address other beaches with poor swimmability, using beach rankings and beach popularity as tools for prioritisation.
- Auckland Council has received a media request from Newshub to ‘provide the water quality data from Auckland Council’s Safeswim website between November 1 2017 and March 31 2018 and the causes of high risk or fair alerts’.
- Staff have prepared a response to this media request and would like to share this with elected members ahead of releasing it to the journalist.

Context/Background

2. From November 2017, Auckland Council and its programme partners (Watercare, Auckland Regional Public Health Service, and Surf Lifesaving Northern Region) launched a revised Safeswim programme for managing public health risk for beaches in the Auckland region.

3. Previous reports, memorandums and briefings have provided full details of the programme’s revised approach.

4. Safeswim’s modelling approach addresses some shortcoming in the previous weekly monitoring programme and has worked to more accurately reflect water quality risks at beaches. The models provide reliable indicators of current risk, whereas the old monitoring requires a minimum 48 hours for laboratory analysis and can only report on exceedances retrospectively. The revised Safeswim programme provides a significantly more accurate picture of public health
risk, where the old monitoring programme underreported poor water quality, and provided a false sense of security at most beaches.

**2017/2018 Safeswim Results**

5. Following the first summer of operation under the new approach, the summary statistics for the compliance with swimming guidelines has been compiled. On average, across the region, beaches were compliant with swimming guidelines 77 per cent of the time.

6. Attachment A to this memo describes the statistics for the 84 marine beaches in the Safeswim programme, for the six-month summer ‘swimming season’ from 1 November 2017 to 30 April 2018. Attachment B provides explanatory notes around the data and the models.

7. The beach summary statistics for summer 2017/18 provide a broad indication of the relative public health risk at the 84 marine beaches in the programme. Before drawing conclusions from this data, it is important to understand the limitations of the data, as discussed in the explanatory notes.

8. The summary statistics identify some beaches where public health risk is unacceptably high. These beaches are briefly identified below, together with the nature of the programmes designed to address and remedy the issues.

**Northern Manukau Beaches**

9. Generally, the poorest performing beaches in this statistical summary are a group in the Manukau Harbour that have long-term health warnings in place. There is a cluster of Northern Manukau beaches (from west to east: Foster’s Bay, Armour Bay, Laingholm Beach, Titirangi Beach, Wood Bay, and Green Bay) that have historically poor water quality.

10. The council’s Healthy Waters team has a programme, which is responsible for tracing and eliminating sources of cross-contamination of stormwater by human wastewater and this programme is prioritising these beaches. Investigations are currently most advanced at Laingholm Beach. However, because of additional funding from the water quality targeted rate in particular, the programme at the northern Manukau beaches will be increased in scale, to investigate several of these beaches concurrently.

11. Investigations at the northern Manukau beaches have been underway for a number of years, and the nature of the pollution sources is relatively well understood. Sampling of the streams and stormwater outfalls discharging to these beaches reveals that human faecal material is generally a significant contributor to the total load, but most beaches also have substantial faecal contamination from other sources such as birds, dogs and other animals (domesticated and wild). In some cases, this can cause exceedances of swimmability guidelines in their own right. Weymouth Beach is an example of this. Data indicates that it continues to exceed guidelines, even though Watercare and Auckland Council have successfully removed all human wastewater inputs.

12. Auckland Council has been working in the northern Manukau beaches, such as Laingholm to trace and remedy any human wastewater inputs, in particular. With additional funding from the water quality targeted rate, this programme will be increased in scale, and work within the Manukau will be accelerated.

**Western Isthmus Beaches**

13. The beaches of the western isthmus (Point Chevalier, Meola Reef, Cox’s Bay, Herne Bay, Home Bay and St Mary’s Bay) all have very poor swimmability. The water quality at these beaches will be significantly improved by Watercare’s Central Interceptor project, and by the Western Isthmus Water Quality Improvement Project, a collaboration between Healthy Waters and Watercare, that is in part funded by the water quality targeted rate agreed as part of the 10-year budget.

**Other Beaches**
14. Outside of those beaches with long-term warnings and those affected by combined sewer overflows, Takapuna is the poorest performing beach under the Safeswim model. A significant amount of time and money has been spent to ensure that the Safeswim model at Takapuna is performing accurately. The model predicts that as little as 6 mm of rainfall can cause the beach to enter the high risk (red) category. Due to the poor performance of this beach, the council’s Healthy Waters team has commenced investigations at all outfalls to the beach during summer. These have revealed poor water quality at six of the 12 major outfalls along the length of the beach. Further investigations are currently happening and will continue throughout winter. The extent of affected network at Takapuna is potentially large and may take some time to trace the various issues.

15. Poor water quality source investigations by the council will be expanded to include other impacted beaches in the region in due course, using the beach water quality rankings and beach popularity as prioritisation tools. Further updates will be provided to elected members once more information is to hand.

Media Request

16. While staff were working on collating and analysing this data for public release, a recent media LGOIMA request from Newshub has sped us this work. These statistics are due to be released to Newshub on Tuesday 19 June, in accordance with LGOIMA timeframes.

17. This release will be accompanied by an offer to the journalist of interview and filming opportunities with Safeswim programme manager Nick Vigar and Mayor Goff (depending on availability) at Takapuna Beach, St Mary’s Bay and Castor Bay. We would like to work alongside Newshub to encourage a well-balanced story that shows how Auckland Council is addressing water quality issues.

Contacts

18. If you have any queries on this topic please do not hesitate to contact Nick Vigar, Safeswim Programme Manager, on 021 645 891.

19. If you have any media queries please direct them to Kate Palmer, Senior Media Specialist, 021721465

Attachments

a) Safeswim marine beach rankings summer 2017/18

b) Explanatory notes to accompany the ‘Ranking of Safeswim marine sites based on guideline compliance from 1 November 2017 to 30 April 2018’
## Attachment A - Saleswim Marine Beach Rankings Summer 2018/2018

**Ranking of Saleswim marine sites based on guideline compliance from 1 November 2017 to 30 April 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranking</th>
<th>Beach</th>
<th>Green (%)</th>
<th>Amber (%)</th>
<th>Red (%)</th>
<th>Compliant</th>
<th>Non-compliant</th>
<th>Combined Seawarn Area?</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1-       | Maungarongo Beach    | 68.1      | 1.8       | 30.1    | 94%       | 7%            | 100%                   | No model running. Party activity.
| 2-       | Pitt Beach (north)   | 83.5      | 1.2       | 15.3    | 91%       | 9%            | 100%                   | Party activity.
| 3-       | Pitt Beach (south)   | 83.4      | 1.2       | 15.3    | 91%       | 9%            | 100%                   | Party activity.
| 4-       | Woodford Beach       | 73.5      | 2.1       | 24.4    | 80%       | 20%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 5-       | Orakei Beach         | 75.5      | 5.0       | 19.5    | 79%       | 21%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 6-       | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 7-       | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 8-       | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 9-       | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 10-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 11-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 12-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 13-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 14-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 15-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 16-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 17-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 18-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 19-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 20-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 21-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 22-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 23-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 24-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 25-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 26-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 27-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 28-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 29-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 30-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 31-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 32-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 33-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 34-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
| 35-      | Gulf Harbour         | 65.5      | 3.1       | 29.4    | 76%       | 24%           | 100%                   | No model running. Permanent high water temperature.
Attachment B

EXPLANATORY NOTES to accompany the ‘Ranking of Safeswim marine sites based on guideline compliance from 1 November 2017 to 30 April 2018’

Prepared by | Nick Vigar, Safeswim Programme Manager, Healthy Waters, Auckland Council

This is a summary of the Safeswim system outputs for the 84 marine beaches in the programme, for the six months from 1 November 2017 to 30 April 2018. It does not include data for the eight freshwater sites on the Safeswim website.

The green, amber and red columns show the percentage of time that each beach spent in the low risk, fair, and high risk categories, respectively. Both the green and amber categories are compliant with the New Zealand guideline for acceptable swimming. Beaches in the list are ranked according to the percentage of time that they were compliant over the six month period.

100 per cent compliance rankings
- The only two beaches in the region that were predicted to be 100 per cent compliant, based on calibrated models, were North Piha Beach and Bethell’s Beach.
- The other beaches, shaded in green, which appear to be 100 per cent compliant, do not currently have a Safeswim predictive model running. These are sites that have historically been regarded as having consistently high water quality, under the previous Safeswim weekly testing methodology, and were subsequently dropped from the regular testing programme; meaning that insufficient data exists to build a model. Data is now being collected for the purpose of creating predictive models at these sites.
- It is likely that some or all of these (green-shaded) beaches will perform at less than 100 per cent compliance once calibrated models are in place.

Long-term ‘no swim’ warnings
The beaches shaded in red are sites with long-term no-swim warnings in place, in response to their D-grading in the Microbiological Assessment Category (MAC) of the 2003 MFE/MOH Microbiological Water Quality Guidelines for Marine and Freshwater Recreational Areas.

Most of these (red-shaded) ‘MAC-D’ beaches have had long-term no-swim warnings in place for a number of years as a means of managing their elevated public health risk. The Safeswim system presently considers these (red-shaded) sites, with long-term warnings in place, to be non-compliant with guidelines 100 per cent of the time.

Several beaches in the marine beaches ranking list (e.g. St Marys Bay and Judges Bay) are, in fact, also graded MAC-D, but run successful calibrated models, as an alternative to having a long-term no swim warning in place. These beaches are typically in the poorer performing end of the modelled sites, but the Safeswim modelling approach demonstrates that these MAC-D sites may be compliant with swimming guidelines up to 75 per cent of the time (e.g. Judges Bay).
Where possible, the Safeswim programme will look to create calibrated models for all MAC-D beaches, and to remove the long-term warnings. This is likely to improve the Safeswim compliance score for many of these (red-shaded) sites in the future.

Notes on methodology
- All Safeswim models are required to meet international benchmarks for acceptable levels of predictive accuracy. Validation sampling indicates that the accuracy of the top-performing Safeswim models exceeds 80 per cent, however not all models perform as well. The Safeswim programme anticipates an approximately two year timeframe to improve the predictive accuracy of all sites to an ideal level. As the predictive models improve, the performance of some sites is likely to change.
- Statistics in the ranking table may be subject to rounding errors.

THE WORST BEACHES
The beaches with the poorest water quality in the Safeswim beach rankings are dominated by two broad groupings of beaches; those affected by combined sewer discharges, and a group of beaches on the Manukau Harbour.

Beaches affected by combined sewer discharges
Combined sewer discharges currently have significant impacts upon the beaches of the western isthmus and CBD (from west to east: Pt Chevalier, Meela Reef, Cox’s Bay, Herne Bay, Home Bay, St Marys Bay and Judges Bay). Over the next decade the swimmability of all these beaches will improve markedly, as a consequence of Watercare’s Central Interceptor project and Auckland Council’s programme for the western isthmus (now confirmed, under the water quality targeted rate).

Manukau Harbour Beaches
The beaches of the Manukau Harbour are affected by a range of issues that impact on swimmability. Many of the beaches are known to have significant bacterial loads from resident and migratory bird populations. In some cases this can cause exceedances of swimmability guidelines in their own right. Weymouth beach is an example of this. Data indicates that it continues to exceed guidelines, even though Watercare and Auckland Council have successfully removed all human wastewater inputs.

Auckland Council has been working in the northern Manukau beaches, such as Laingholm to trace and remedy any human wastewater inputs, in particular. With additional funding from the water quality targeted rate, this programme will be increased in scale, and work within the Manukau will be accelerated.

The beaches of the Onehunga foreshore (Taumanu West, Taumanu Central and Taumanu East) will also improve as a consequence of Watercare’s Central Interceptor project.

Takapuna Beach
Some beaches have performed more poorly than expected. In particular, Takapuna Beach, one of the city’s most iconic swimming beaches, was non-compliant with swimming guidelines for 30 per cent of the summer period. Takapuna has been prioritised for investigations under the Safe Networks programme. Work is currently underway to trace and detect the sources of poor water quality that have been detected at six different outfalls along the length of the beach.
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Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To update the Waiheke Local Board on progress with the Waiheke Governance Pilot.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The pilot has been running since mid-January 2018. In February 2018, the Waiheke Local Board approved the pilot’s scope, principles and priorities with the agreed focus being on a handful of key longstanding issues that the local board wants progressed.
3. The recent decision-making delegation to the Waiheke Local Board over Matiatia is an early success and progress on a swimming pool and addressing issues at Rangihoua/Chetangi Sporis Park are also being made. Auckland Transport has agreed to establish a Waiheke focused team which will work with the local board on key issues and this is a significant early win from the pilot.
4. Having a dedicated pilot resource that can engage with council staff who have a role or interest in Waiheke has been shown to be critical to making progress. This is especially so given the scale and breadth of council functions which directly or indirectly impact on the island.
5. Staff consider that the suggestion that the pilot’s role or focus be widened to include operational management, delegated decision-making and budgetary responsibilities is premature at this early stage and could risk diverting the pilot’s initial agreed focus.
6. The formal evaluation running alongside the pilot is already providing useful baseline data and insights that will be compared against regular updates as the pilot progresses.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendations
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) receive the Waiheke pilot update report
b) note the report and any board resolutions will be forwarded to all other local boards and the Governance Working Party for their information

c) note that additional authority for the Waiheke Local Board and/or Waiheke Pilot Programme Manager will be further investigated and reported to the board as more information and analysis is available as the pilot progresses
d) forward the report to other local boards and the Joint Governance Working Party for their consideration.

Horopaki / Context
7. The 2017 review of Auckland Council’s governance arrangements resulted in the commencement of a three-year pilot project on Waiheke to trial some of the review's findings and to test an increased level of devolved decision-making to the Waiheke Local Board.
8. Waiheke was chosen for the pilot for a number of reasons. Its geographic separation and clear community of interest means testing different decision-making approaches is warranted and can be progressed without impacting on other local board areas. The
Waiheke community’s desire for more autonomy and for more locally influenced delivery was also supported in the review.

9. From 1 November 2017 a full-time pilot manager role has been established to implement the pilot, reporting directly to Auckland Council’s Governance Director. The pilot manager commenced work in earnest in mid-January 2018, having exited from his previous role and handover at that time. Pilot project governance sits with the Waiheke Local Board and the pilot manager reports monthly to board workshops. Quarterly formal pilot progress reporting to the board was also agreed and this is the first report.

10. The pilot manager keeps a detailed record of activities and a formal three-year pilot recording and evaluation process, led by council’s Research and Evaluation Unit runs alongside the pilot. These elements ensure findings, methodology and learnings are independently recorded and evaluated. The Research and Evaluation Unit has already undertaken baselines council staff and community surveys. The community survey asks respondents about how effectively they feel the Waiheke Local Board, Auckland Council and Auckland Transport are working. These will be repeated at key intervals during the pilot to enable any changes in responses to be understood.

11. The pilot’s scope includes operational, policy, finance, community and governance matters. The list of pilot priorities agreed at the Waiheke Local Board’s February 2018 meeting is shown at Attachment A. The items shaded grey are currently being investigated by the pilot manager. Of these, concrete progress is being made in areas shown in Table 1.

### Table 1. Status of key current issues being progressed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue/project</th>
<th>Current status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Taking a lead on deciding the future use and development of public land at Matiatia | • Land use and development decision-making was delegated to Waiheke Local Board on 24 May 2018  
• Auckland Transport funding to address parking issues was confirmed in a ten-year plan with decisions to be made jointly with the local board  
• Commercial leases are being transferred from Panuku Development Auckland to Auckland Council Community Facilities enabling direct local board governance of future leases |
| Resolving longstanding conflicts of uses at Rangihoua/Onetangi Sports Park | • Minister of Conservation directive to Auckland Council to prepare a Reserve Management Plan provides basis for resolving these issues  
• Discussions commenced with conflicting parties  
• A process to prepare a management plan is being presented to the Waiheke Local Board in June |
| Making progress on establishing a community swimming pool for Waiheke Island | • A feasibility study to investigate siting a community pool on land at Te Huruhuri School was approved and tenders to conduct a study are currently being sought |
| Addressing use and ownership issues along Crescent Road East extension | • Discussions with residents about encroachments, accessway formation and upgrade, legal vehicle access, rubbish collection issues and sharing of costs are underway |
| Agreeing ways of collaborative working and shared decision | • Auckland Transport has provided staff resource to support discussions on addressing a range of |
12. A range of other matters included in Attachment A are being progressed separately or in conjunction with the pilot manager. In some cases, awareness created by the governance review and Waiheke pilot has been a catalyst for action from elsewhere in council. Examples include:

- Waste Solutions - progress on advancing a local approach to waste collections and recycling on Waiheke
- Healthy Waters - a significant investment and working with the local board to resolve flooding issues
- Community Facilities and Community Services – leading a pilot on Waiheke to investigate different ways of working to achieve better outcomes

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

Success factors

13. To date the pilot manager’s experience has been that the following things have to come together to enable progress on these priorities to be made:

- a concerted, focused effort
- preparedness to take some calculated risks, try different things and think outside the square
- staff being willing and resourced to assist the pilot
- management prioritising pilot projects with their staff where this makes sense
- staff seeing the pilot as an opportunity to address things they feel need attention
- allowing enough time to consider and discuss outcomes and not rushing to conclusions
- good local media, stakeholder and community relationships.

14. Perhaps the clearest need identified to date is that sufficient time must be set aside to investigate things needing attention. As such the pilot manager’s focus in the first five months has been to look into agreed priority issues and projects, understand what has/hasn’t happened and why, test with staff, the board and interested parties what intervention and actions are needed to advance these, and get underway. Council-wide staff are busy and prioritising Waiheke matters requires understanding that this is a priority. As noted in Table 1 there have been some wins or good progress made in a number of key priority areas.

15. Already it has become clear that identifying the right people to involve in seeking outcomes is critical. In some cases, these aren’t necessarily staff in roles which have line responsibility for the matter being pursued. Instead, willingness, attitude and taking ownership have proven to be important. As time goes on, those staff become more familiar with the territory, have a better understanding of what and why, and are more invested in pursuing outcomes as a result.

16. A considerable amount of time has been invested in discussing the pilot and what it is seeking to achieve, negotiating to make pilot work a priority where possible and obtaining support and/or approval for the pilot manager to lead. In some cases, the pilot manager has obtained
17. Most of the pilot’s focus to date in terms of engaging with other parts of the council has been in the Operations Division and Auckland Transport. As can be seen from Attachment A, many of the priority issues are operational in nature and/or have a transport focus. The pilot manager has met twice with the Operations lead team, which is supportive of the pilot. Recently the Community Facilities and Community Services departments within Operations have been investigating options for a more coordinated approach to resolving area specific issues and have agreed to trial some of their thinking on Waiheke working with the pilot.

18. The improved relationship between Auckland Transport and the Waiheke board/community is an early standout success story for the pilot. Auckland Transport’s mandate has historically meant that it has remained at arms-length from local board influence and in places like Waiheke this has had a detrimental outcome. The Waiheke Local Board and Auckland Transport relationship has improved in recent months through the efforts of all parties and on the back of Auckland Transport being directly involved in the 2017 governance framework review.

19. Early results include Auckland Transport establishing a Waiheke specific liaison team to work with the pilot manager and the Waiheke Local Board on matters of joint interest, more dedicated support for pilot projects, greater involvement with the Waiheke Local Board and agreement that a shared ‘we’re all in it together’ approach is worth trying.

20. Forging closer working relationships, more sharing of information and collectively agreeing actions and outcomes are also considered to be key ingredients. If the pilot is to be successful, implicit in this is recognition from the Waiheke Local Board that a closer working relationship comes with responsibilities to a new way of working together. These relationships are reciprocal with staff and board members both being prepared to give things a go and also both being prepared to acknowledge effort where it is made.

21. The Waiheke media has shown an interest in the pilot and have reported its activities positively and accurately. The pilot is trialling a more open and direct relationship between the pilot manager and local media to test the contention that council’s mainstream communications approaches don’t resonate particularly well on places like Waiheke. To date this is working well.

**Constraints**

22. Things that have been identified to date as hampering pilot progress include:

- continued staff/structural change reducing corporate knowledge and motivation to be involved
- reactive priorities getting in the way and taking away resource
- a poor fit of Waiheke priorities and needs with wider council priorities and approaches
- process constraints such as risk, procurement, contracts, legal concerns
- lack of budget available to overcome hurdles or roadblocks
- priorities constantly changing
- perceptions that it is difficult working on Waiheke issues
- parts of the council including council-controlled organisations not seeing the need to cooperate or be involved
- historical perceptions on Waiheke that the council won’t get it right, regardless of the pilot.

23. Auckland Council’s size and scale is a complicating factor in the pilot manager’s work due to the large number of people who need to be engaged with. Many of these people only have a part of the picture and one of many roles in advancing the issue, and generally, other higher priorities.
24. A number of priority matters listed in Attachment A sit in the policy space and in the main, no significant policy work has commenced. There are policy elements to some existing initiatives such as Matiatia where outcomes are being led via development of a strategic plan and council’s Plans and Places team has agreed to support this work. Without that support and specific budget this work would be difficult to advance.

25. The pilot role comes with no budget, decision-making authority or administrative support which can create some constraints for advancing projects and issues that require budget or wider support. A pilot manager delegation and role specific budget are two areas that warrant further consideration as both have the potential to remove roadblocks that can hamper pilot progress. This should be further investigated once more pilot data and analysis is available.

26. At the same time the absence of budget or authority encourages lateral thinking and finding innovative/alternative approaches which have on a few occasions already borne fruit.

27. Forming an ongoing and close working relationship with the Waiheke Local Board and its Local Board Services staff is critical. Historically, working with Waiheke and its elected representatives have been perceived as challenging for a whole host of reasons, so fundamental to the pilot’s success is turning this perception around.

28. In this case, the pilot manager’s previous role as the Waiheke Local Board/team’s relationship manager helps. Care is still needed in agreeing roles and leadership as there is inevitably an overlap between what the Local Board Services team and the pilot manager do. This points to the local board’s historic reliance on its own team to advance things that the wider organisation hasn’t.

29. RIMU’s draft baseline report reinforces and supports this report’s position. It shows that council staff see a range of constraints, issues and opportunities in working in Waiheke and want things to be better. Initial findings from the community survey show that there is strong support for the work of the Waiheke Local Board and equally strong dissatisfaction with the work of Auckland Council and Auckland Transport. This is useful as a baseline and one of the pilot’s key roles is to help turn these survey results around.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

30. The pilot manager works closely with the Waiheke Local Board. The pilot supports and seeks to deliver on the board’s aspirations. The board’s expectations of the pilot are high and to date, board members are supportive of what is happening.

31. Some board members consider that the pilot isn’t going far enough in enabling the level of local decision-making that they would like to see. These include greater finance, planning and operational decision-making or delegations sitting with the local board and associated officer resources being based on island. They also feel that an opportunity is being missed to achieve these outcomes, especially now that the Local Government Commission has declined the application for a separate local authority on Waiheke.

32. The pilot manager is aware of these views and expects to be in a better position to respond later in the year. For now, the pilot manager is prioritising addressing historical issues as requested by the local board and will certainly test and review outcomes in the above context as investigations progress.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

33. Mana whenua and mataawaka are and will be engaged in specific issues and projects where an interest is identified. The pilot manager has already engaged with Ngāti Poa a on a number
of matters and is committed to ongoing engagement and roles for mana whenua where this is agreed as being important.

34. More work is needed to build relationships and confidence and to identify mechanisms to enhance mana whenua engagement given current resource constraints. A number of tentative discussions have been held between Ngāti Paoa and the local board about governance relationships and these are expected to continue.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

35. Currently the pilot has no budget or budget responsibility, and this is a constraint in some situations, particularly getting through minor hurdles on the way to progressing bigger issues. A review of this aspect is proposed as part of the second pilot update later in 2018.

36. Investigating pilot issues and ways forward doesn’t necessarily require budget. If a direction can be determined and prioritised, then budget can sometimes be found by reprioritising existing programmes or doing things differently.

37. One of the proposed workstreams for the pilot that has yet to get underway is to investigate project and service delivery cost structures to identify opportunities to reduce costs and enhance delivery by doing things differently. This responds to a view from the Waiheke Local Board and some in the Waiheke community that projects and services can be delivered better and at a lower cost. Currently there is not enough data to answer whether this perception is correct or not.

38. There is a common position held in departments that if a local board is seeking to advance anything that isn’t in the agreed work programme, this needs to be funded from that board’s discretionary budget. This view is generally not supported by boards which consider that such things should be regionally funded, or funding found from existing buckets. Misconceptions and misunderstandings abound in this space and the above workstream will also seek to address this.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

39. The top risks for the Waiheke pilot are that it doesn’t achieve its goal of ‘success by trialing new ways of working on Waiheke’, or go far enough for the Waiheke Local Board. These risks are being monitored and at this early stage of the pilot are not likely to have an impact. Over time however, this momentum could be lost. The establishment of the reporting and evaluation processes will assist with controlling this risk.

40. There is also the risk that pilot learnings and successes can’t be exported to other board areas. While the pilot has some Waiheke specific and unique elements reflecting the island’s differences, much of the process aspects of the pilot should be exportable.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

41. The pilot manager will continue to progress the agreed priority work programme and report to seek direction from the Waiheke Local Board. This report will also be forwarded to all other local boards and the Joint Governance Working Party and any feedback received will be considered. A second update report is scheduled for late 2018.

42. The Waiheke Pilot Evaluation pre-pilot baseline report being prepared by council’s Research and Evaluation unit will be circulated internally once completed around July 2018. This is expected to provide valuable insights into the views and practises of council staff, elected members and the Waiheke community.
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## Waiheke Pilot high priority matters for action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Project/Issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operational matters</td>
<td>Crescent Rd E Accessway issue resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waiheke swimming pool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boats on beaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local approach to waste collections and recycling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implementing Days Landing walkway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rakino Hall future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy and planning matters</td>
<td>Addressing visitor impacts e.g. visitor levy/bylaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mātaitia Strategic Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reserve/open space network plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rangihoua Park planning/Waiheke golf course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public space encroachments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local place making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 year transport plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Streetscape design plans including water sensitive design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local street trading and events permitting policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input into resource consents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investigate options to improve local application of Reserves Act processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop a local policy where the local board gives landowner approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local esplanade reserve acquisition policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waiheke housing strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding and finance</td>
<td>Investigate council project &amp; service delivery cost structures to identify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>opportunities to reduce costs and enhance delivery by doing things differently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trail real local board decision making over Asset Based Services budgets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trail a local rate if the local board has true authority and accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over local matters, operational practises and expenditure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community matters</td>
<td>Status &amp; use of Essentially Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensible volunteering regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Real local participation in the Unitary Plan integration process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCO relationships</td>
<td>Agreeing ways of collaborative working and shared decision making with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Establishing/operating Waiheke Transport Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Work with Auckland Transport to identify delegation opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Work with Auckland Transport to jointly agree the annual AT work programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance matters</td>
<td>Activating Mana whenua relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation, reporting and</td>
<td>A locally relevant approach to council communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>communications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Waiheke Governance Pilot activity update 1 to June 2018
Why are we making changes to rating online accommodation providers?

Auckland is growing, and so are the numbers of visitors who want to experience the attractions the city offers. To meet the demand, online accommodation services such as Airbnb and Bookabach are expanding. In the last year, Airbnb rentals alone took more than 10 per cent of market share.

However, we have identified an issue of fairness in how we classify online accommodation providers for rating purposes. It relates to the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (APTR) and to general property rates.

Commercial providers pay the APTR and also pay higher, business rates.

However, residential owners who let out their properties online are operating as businesses and also benefit from ATEED’s activities. Currently they do not pay the APTR, and their property rates are lower as they pay residential rates.

How do we currently apply the APTR?

The APTR is a targeted rate introduced in 2017 to partly fund the activities of Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development (ATEED).

It is charged to commercial providers such as hotels, motels and serviced apartments that benefit from ATEED’s work in promoting the city.

These commercial providers also pay business rates.

How will the rates change for online accommodation providers?

The changes do not affect you if:

- Only part of the dwelling is let, such as a bedroom, or if the building is not self-contained, such as a sleep-out.
- If you don’t list your property on an online accommodation service
- If you let out your property for less than 28 days per year.

The new rates only apply if you are using online platforms to let out:

- an apartment
- an entire dwelling
- a self-contained unit such as a granny flat or minor dwelling
The table below sets out what the effect will be.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of nights booked per year (based on previous year)</th>
<th>APTR rates level</th>
<th>General rates level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up to 28</td>
<td>Does not apply</td>
<td>Continue to be rated as residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 to 135</td>
<td>25% of APTR if the property is in zone A or B</td>
<td>Rated as 75% residential and 25% business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136 to 180</td>
<td>50% of APTR if the property is in zone A or B</td>
<td>Rated as 50% residential and 50% business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 180</td>
<td>100% of the APTR if the property is in zone A or B</td>
<td>Rates as business</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The upper limit of 180 nights, where full business and APTR applies, is around the occupancy level for motels.

**Have affected property owners been advised?**

In June we wrote to residential property owners who we had identified as advertising their property on an online accommodation service. In that letter we asked for proof of the number of booked nights over the previous 12 months. Proof can either be information from the provider of the online service or a declaration.

**What happens if I live in one part of the property and let out a separate part of the property?**

If you only use part of your property for this service, such as a minor dwelling or granny flat, and you live in another dwelling on the property then the increased rates will only apply to the part of the property that is listed for accommodation purposes. The remainder of the property will continue to be charged lower residential rates. This also applies to purpose built accommodation.

**How much extra will the council get from these changes?**

The council will not get any extra revenue.

The total amount collected from the APTR will remain the same, but it will be spread over more ratepayers. This means commercial accommodation providers will be charged less for the APTR.
The proportion of general rates that businesses pay will also be spread over more ratepayers, so all businesses will benefit slightly.

**Where can I get more information on how this applies to me?**

To find out if these changes may apply to a property please call 09 979-5500 or email accommodationproviderrate@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz.
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To present the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board with its updated governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The governance forward work calendar for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board is in Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly, reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff.

3. The governance forward work calendars were introduced in 2016 as part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aim to support local boards’ governance role by:
   - ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   - clarifying what advice is expected and when
   - clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
 a) notes the Governance Forward Work Calendar.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>Governance Calendar July</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>4 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>4 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>4 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>4 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>11 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>11 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>11 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>18 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>18 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>18 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>25 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>25 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>25 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>25 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>25 July 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>8 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>8 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 August 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>19 September 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>21 November 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Workshop Notes

File No.: CP2018/10980

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. Attached are the notes for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board workshops held on 6th, 13th and 27th June 2018.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board receive the workshop notes from the workshops held on 6th, 13th and 27th June 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6th June workshop notes</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>13th June workshop notes</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>27 June Workshop Notes</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 6 June 2018, commencing at 1.00pm

**PRESENT**
Deputy Chairperson: Walter Togiamua  
Members: Nick Bakulich  
Carrol Elliott  
Makalita Kolo  
Christine O’Brien (from 1.20pm)

**Apologies:** Lemauga Lydia Sosene and Tafafuna’i Tasi Lauese for absence  
**Also present:** Carol McKenzie-Rex, Janette McKain, Daniel Poe, Rina Tagore

Member Kolo opened the meeting in prayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeslot</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00 - 2.30pm</td>
<td>Workshop 8</td>
<td>Rina Tagore, Daniel Poe</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities and budgets</td>
<td>The board discussed the local board agreement and will be approving it at the Business meeting tonight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.30 - 3.00pm</td>
<td>Fees and Charges</td>
<td>Jestine Joseph</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities and budgets</td>
<td>The board discussed the updated information and advice for the local board on fees and charges for the local board area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3.00 - 3.30pm | Arts, Community & Events (ACE) - Future of the Signature Event | Sarah Edwards             | Setting direction                          | The board discussed the options for the FY18-19 ‘Signature Event’ work programme.  
**Action:** Officer to draft what a EOI would look like for options 2 and 3 and book a workshop with the board.  
**Action:** A report will be on the June agenda.  |
| 3.30 - 4.30pm | Quick Response Grants                         | Helen Taimarangai          | Local initiatives and specific decisions   | The board discussed the Quick Response Grant applications and the deferred items.  
**Action:** A report will be on the June agenda. |
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 13 June 2018, commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene (until 2.28pm)
Deputy Chairperson: Walter Togiamua
Members: Nick Bakulich (from 2.05pm)
Carrol Elliott
Makaita Kolo (from 1.41pm)
Tafafuna'i Tasi Lauese
Christine O'Brien

Apologies: Nick Bakulich for lateness and Lemauga Lydia Sosene for leaving early.
Also present: Carol McKenzie-Rex, Janette McKain, Daniel Poe, Rina Tagore, Liz Muliaga, Shoma Prashad

Member Togiamua opened the meeting in prayer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>161R Robertson Road, Mangere Update</td>
<td>Helga Sonier, Sophie Bell</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board had an update on 161R Robertson Road House.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action:</strong> Concept plans to reflect the heritage of the homestead and the lakelet/fountain and fernery area will be brought back to the board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whare Koa (Mangere Community House) Work Programme 2018-19</td>
<td>Jacqueline Puna-Teaakura, Fatima Vaaga</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>The board had an overview of the work programme, the programming framework and details of the Whare Koa work programme for 2018-19 and gave feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change Action Planning; Low Carbon Auckland and addressing climate impacts</td>
<td>Alec Tang, Isabel Bruun-Kiser</td>
<td>Input into regional decision-making, policies, plans and strategies</td>
<td>The board had a presentation on the Climate Change Action Planning; Low Carbon Auckland and discussed and gave feedback on the climate impacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieving Local Board Plan outcomes through Sustainability Initiatives</td>
<td>Danielle Kennedy, Bridget Glasgow</td>
<td>Input into regional decision-making, policies, plans and strategies</td>
<td>The board had a presentation on strategic investment in Low Carbon Living and Sustainable Schools local priorities; directly following on from the “Climate Change Action Planning: Low Carbon Auckland and addressing climate impacts” workshop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action:</strong> Office to provide a list of the schools and how they are working with them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster Recovery Planning Workshop</td>
<td>Wayne Brown</td>
<td>Oversight and Monitoring</td>
<td>The board had a presentation to the Auckland Emergency Management's work on the development of a ‘Resilient Recovery Strategy’ [RRS].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Action:</strong> Officer to come to a workshop in September/October to circulate the draft Resilient Recovery Strategy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Member Bakulich closed the meeting in prayer.
### Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 27 June 2018, commencing at 1.00pm

**PRESENT**
- Chairperson: Lernauga Lydia Sosene
- Deputy Chairperson: Walter Togiamua *(from 2.00pm)*
- Members: Nick Bakulich *(from 1.29pm until 4.15pm)*, Carrol Elliott, Makalita Kolo, Tafa‘una`i Tasi Lauese *(until 2.38pm)*

**Apologies:** Tafa‘una`i Tasi Lauese for leaving early and Christine O’Brien for absence.

**Also present:** Carol McKenzie-Rex, Janette McKain, Daniel Poe, Rina Tagore, Liz Muliaga, Shona Prashad, Audrey Gan

Member Elliott opened the meeting in prayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeslot</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.00 - 1.30pm | Community Facilities - Community occupancy guidelines review process | Frances Ting, Yusuf Khan, Nichola Painter, Greg Hannah, Tai Stirling, Scott Malcolm | Keeping informed | The board discussed the Community occupancy guidelines review process. **Actions:**  
- Officers to come back to the board to discuss streamlining the process.  
- Officers to include the 78 leases in the board map.  
- Officers to produce an A3 map of the new lease process. |
| 1.30 - 1.45pm | Community Facilities - Update and weekly update | Nichola Painter, Greg Hannah, Tai Stirling, Scott Malcolm | Oversight and Monitoring | The board discussed the work programme, leasing and maintenance delivery. The board discussed the Boggust Park Toilet wrap and gave their views that Option 5 was their preferred option. |
| 1.45 - 2.15pm | Community Facilities - Moyle Park | Kris Bird, Grant Burke, Debra Langton | Local initiatives and specific decisions | The board had a presentation and discussed the installation of sand carpet, irrigation, and lighting with the Sports Park Design team *(Moyle Park and Williams Park)*. **Action:** The concept design 2018/19 will be brought back to the board in October 2018. |
| 2.15 - 3.00pm | Operational Management change in relation to CCTV | Duncan McLaggan, Mark Evans | Setting direction | The board were provided with information about the change in the operational management of the public places safety camera systems in the local board area. **Action:** Officers will inform the board when the management change takes effect. |
| 3.00 - 3.30pm | Reimagining community safety – evidence-based / place and community at the centre | Duncan McLaggan *(CFU)*, Ayr Jones | Setting Direction | The board discussed the communication of service principles and pathways through the Auckland council. They were provided with the knowledge of the service levers, applicability their community. **Action:**  
- Mangere would like to be involved in the pilot as they have a lot of issues with safety in their area. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.30 - 4.00pm</td>
<td>Community Facilities - Old School Reserve</td>
<td>David Little</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board discussed the Old School Reserve concept plan and received advice on the process and timing. <strong>Action:</strong> Officers to come back to the board for approval of the options prior to public consultation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00 - 4.30pm</td>
<td>Mangere Town Centre Canopy</td>
<td>Lucy Ullrich, Hannah Alleyne</td>
<td>Setting Direction</td>
<td>The board discussed the Mangere Town Centre Canopy and gave feedback. <strong>Action:</strong> Officers to come back to the board on improving the public realm to benefit the town centre offering practical advice and options.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Member Togiamua closed the meeting in prayer.
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Better Communities through Play

Contents:
- A bit about me
- The bad news
- The good news
- The opportunity

PlayingGround Builders Ltd
Attachment A

Playground People Ltd is a local company with international reach.

We installed our first playground at Puni School near Pupekohe in 1996. The playground is still going strong over 20 years later.

For the last 18 years we have partnered with Kompan, the world's largest playground manufacturer, to deliver some of New Zealand's best playgrounds.

We are based in South Auckland with 20 employees to manufacture and install our playgrounds all over New Zealand.
Our Play Values

PLAY = FUN
Play encourages friendships, improves communication skills and gets our children active.

SOCIAL
Play brings communities together, builds friendship and supports improved communication skills.

LEARNING
Play inspires children to discover and explore ideas. They take risks and enjoy the rewards from discovery and imagination.

HEALTH
Playful cities make for happier and healthier communities. The physical activity habits of children often flow through their school years into adulthood.
There has been some recent media attention on the state of South Auckland Playgrounds.

Figure 3: Percentage of funding versus percentage of the population under 25 years of age

With huge disparities in the state of our playgrounds across the city, the south has proven to be underfunded - despite having the largest amount of children.

Parcs like Wilson Place Park in Papakura have hazards, but are made to play with low-quality equipment.

But 45 minutes down the road in central Auckland, it’s a whole different story.

Council figures have revealed that playgrounds in Mt Albert and Mt Eden have more than the pieces of equipment - but in suburbs like Ōtara, Manurewa and Papakura, the average is three.

In just one afternoon of searching the playgrounds in south Auckland, Newshub found four parks with broken swings, slides and ropes.

The council now admits the unfairness has become worse, and there’s no big-picture plan to fix it - and even worse, more spending is earmarked for warmer northern suburbs.

North Auckland only has one-fifth of Auckland’s under-25-year-olds, but council plans to give that area one-third of its playground funding.

The council says it will look at how to change spending in the South to make it more equal, and will talk to local boards over the next few months.
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu gets 50% less funding than it should

Figure 3: Percentage of funding versus percentage of the population under 25 years of age

Source: Takaro – Investing in play discussion document 2017
Auckland's school age children becoming more obese and this is worse in deprived areas.

“People living in poorer areas are more likely to have worse health. This is reflected in a number of indicators in this summary”

5x “Children in poorer areas are still five times more likely to be obese.”

Obesity rates in Auckland school-aged children on the rise, new data shows

Source: University of Otago
New Zealand Deprivation Index 2015

Heathy Auckland Together – Monitoring Report Summary 2018
Tākaro released its feedback findings in February 2018

Key feedback themes:
- Investment in play can help support a range of outcomes
- Play is for all ages and abilities, together
- Bring play closer to where people work and live
- Provide a mix of different-sized play spaces
- Make play spaces safe, welcoming and socially inclusive
- Make play more varied and more challenging
- Align investment with local needs
- Support regional equity and fairness

“Many of you supported the council addressing regional inequity by prioritising investment in low socio-economic communities.”

Figure 3: Submissions by local board area
And in May Auckland Council published this article

"In the budget to 2025 council has allocated $25 million to provide new play spaces and $33 million to renew existing assets across the region."

$58 million to be spent on play in the next 8 years!

How can Māngere-Ōtāhuhu take best advantage of this opportunity?

Create a multi year play plan that caters to:

- All age groups and abilities
- A diverse range of play activities
- A variety of locations and sizes
- Playground longevity and vandalism
- Playground Safety
- Other needed facilities
Creating play spaces for all age groups

- **Pre-School** 0 – 5yo
- **Primary** 6 – 12yo
- **Whanau**
- **Adults**
- **Youth** 13+
Figure 4: Hierarchy of provision of play spaces.
Attachment A

Creating diverse play environments

Fitness Areas

Concoru Plau

Sports Based

Traditional Play
Further reading...