

Memorandum

30 July 2018

To: Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Subject: Update on the status of Auckland as a City for Peace

From: Carol McKenzie-Rex, Relationship Manager Ōtara-Papatoetoe and Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Boards

Purpose

1. To : provide an update on the status of Auckland as a City for Peace.

Summary

- Auckland was declared a City for Peace on 15 December 2011.
- The City of Peace Declaration is not a council policy or strategy; it is a value statement that council has signed up to.
- Local boards had the opportunity to provide their formal views prior to the declaration being adopted in 2011. At the time, the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board rejected the report and did not provide a view.
- In line with the objectives set out in the declaration, and recently reiterated in the refreshed Auckland Plan, the council is committed to enabling all Aucklanders to lead safe and secure lives and to recognise, value and celebrate our social and cultural differences.

Context/Background

2. At the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board business meeting on 19 June 2018 the board resolved (OP/2018/90) to request a report back on the status of Peace City policy of Auckland Council.
3. Auckland was declared a City for Peace on 15 December 2011. The 'City for Peace' declaration promotes nuclear-free zones, adheres to the principles of non-violence and tolerance, and a culture of peace based on social, economic and environmental justice.
4. The City of Peace Declaration is not a council policy or strategy, it is a value statement that council has signed up to.
5. Auckland Council in partnership with The Peace Foundation launched Auckland as a City for Peace on 8 and 9 June 2012. Since then, it has launched the 'Auckland City for Peace Toolkit: A practical guide for Local Board's and communities on being a City for Peace', the 'Auckland – City for Peace Youth Awards', and the 'Auckland City for Peace Celebration of Nuclear Free New Zealand DVD'.

Discussion

6. Prior to adoption of the City for Peace declaration, the Regional Development and Operations Committee sought local board views on the matter. Local boards provided their formal views at business meetings in August 2011.
7. The majority of local boards supported Auckland to become a City for Peace, these local boards are: Albert-Eden, Devonport-Takapuna, Great Barrier, Henderson-Massey, Hibiscus and Bays, Kaipātiki, Maungakiekie-Tāmaki, Puketāpapa, Rodney, Upper Harbour, Waiheke, Waitākere Ranges, Waitematā and Whau (14 local boards).
8. Some local boards did not indicate clear support or rejection of the declaration, these local boards are: Howick, Manurewa, Māngere-Ōtāhuhu, Ōrākei, Papakura, Franklin (6 local boards).
9. The Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board rejected the report and did not provide a view.

10. The council is committed to enabling all Aucklanders to lead safe and secure lives and to recognise, value and celebrate our social and cultural differences. This is in line with the objectives as set out in the City for Peace declaration.
11. This was recently reiterated in the refreshed Auckland Plan, specifically the Belonging and Participation outcome, which states that “All Aucklanders will be part of and contribute to society, access opportunities, and have the chance to develop to their full potential.”

Next steps

12. The Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board may resolve their formal position on Auckland as a City for Peace.

Attachments

1. Copy of the **City for Peace Declaration**
2. Copy of resolution OP/2018/90
3. Copy of local board resolutions on Auckland as a City for Peace

City for Peace Declaration

Auckland a City for Peace

The Auckland Council recognises its role of stewardship for present and future generations and hereby declares Auckland to be a City for Peace. Auckland Council is dedicated to the promotion of nuclear free zones and a culture of peace based on social, economic and environmental justice, tolerance and non-violence.

Acknowledging and promoting understanding of the unique relationships between the original inhabitants (mana whenua) and those who have settled more recently in Auckland (tau iwi) and the place of the Treaty of Waitangi (Te Tiriti o Waitangi) in our city.

Committed to social, economic and environmental justice and acknowledging that peace cannot exist without justice.

Recognising our responsibility to create and maintain a culture of peace as an integral and ongoing function of good governance.

Acknowledging that peace is created through the thoughts, actions and policies of individuals, families, communities, whānau, hāpu, iwi, businesses, schools, local and central government.

Committed to protecting and enhancing the safety, security and wellbeing of all people- both present and future - living in and visiting Auckland.

Affirming that the wellbeing of all people is advanced by non-violent conflict resolution and the promotion of a culture of peace, justice and non-violence through education.

Embracing the diverse backgrounds of all people and encouraging their participation in society regardless of age, gender, abilities, ethnicity, income, culture, politics, sexuality or faith.

Actively delivering programmes and supporting education for the development of peace, inter-cultural awareness, tolerance, economic justice, human rights and conflict resolution.

Accepting a responsibility to assist those who have settled in Auckland due to war and other violations of human rights and to assist those who are living in poverty.

Encouraging all people to treat the environment (taiao) with respect and exercising the principles of guardianship (kaitiakitanga) whilst practising full consultation with affected parties in regards effects to the environment in order to ensure quality of earth, air, water and the protection of aesthetic and heritage values and other treasures (taonga).

Recognising that our city's actions have a significant impact on the people and environment of our whole nation.

Responding to the recommendation in the 2002 United Nations Study on Disarmament and Non-Proliferation Education that 'municipal leaders working with citizen groups are encouraged to establish peace cities', thus supporting New Zealand's role in the international community to actively pursue the abolition of nuclear weapons.

Adopted by Auckland Council on 15 December 2011.

Attachment 2: Copy of resolution OP/2018/90

8.3 Deputation - Proposal for a War Industry Free Peace City

Laurie Ross and Christopher Le Breton were in attendance to present to the board on the City for Peace Declaration.

A copy of the tabled document has been placed on the official minutes and is available on the Auckland Council website as a minutes attachment.

Resolution number OP/2018/90

MOVED by Chairperson L Fuli, seconded by Deputy Chairperson R Robertson:

That Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board:

- a) **thank Laurie Ross and Christopher Le Breton for their attendance and presentation.**
- b) **request a report back on the status of Peace City policy of Auckland Council.**

CARRIED

Attachment 3: Copy of local board resolutions on Auckland as a City for Peace

Albert-Eden Local Board

19 Auckland as a City for Peace – report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number AE/2011/1

MOVED by Member Haynes, seconded Member Arlington:

- a) That the report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a “City for Peace” be received.
- b) That the Albert-Eden Local Board endorses the concept of Auckland as a City for Peace, notes that a majority of Auckland Council residents have already been living in “Cities for Peace”, and supports that the status quo continue.

CARRIED

Devonport-Takapuna Local Board

27 Report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee - Auckland as a City for Peace

Resolution number DT/2011/2

MOVED by Member Hale, seconded Member Bergin:

- a) That the report be received.
- b) That the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board endorses the proposal that Auckland becomes a City for Peace.

CARRIED

Resolution number DT/2011/3

Member O'Connor MOVED following amendment by way of addition, seconded by Member Bergin:

- c) That in line with the policy of the former North Shore City Council, the Devonport-Takapuna Local Board does not support future funding being allocated to City for Peace projects.

CARRIED

Franklin Local Board

12 Auckland as a City for Peace - Report and Resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number FR/2011/4

MOVED by Member Baker, seconded Member Ranchhod:

- a) That the report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.

CARRIED

Resolution number FR/2011/5

MOVED by Member Baker, seconded Member Gedge:

- b) That the Franklin Local Board accepts that there is good intent in the proposal to confirm Auckland as a ‘City for Peace’, however it has reservations about the need to do so.

CARRIED

Great Barrier Local Board

19 Auckland as a City for Peace - report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number GBI/2011/6

MOVED by Member Downie, seconded Member Fordham:

- a) That the Auckland as a City for Peace - report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.
- b) That the Great Barrier Local Board supports Auckland as a City for Peace, noting the matter will be reported to a future meeting of the Regional Development and Operations Committee for final decision making.

CARRIED

Henderson-Massey Local Board

24 Auckland as a City for Peace – report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number HM/2011/7

MOVED by Member Dallow, seconded Member Brady:

- a) That the report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.
- b) That the Henderson-Massey Local Board endorses the proposal to declare Auckland as a City for Peace, noting the matter will be reported to a future meeting of the Regional Development and Operations Committee for final decision making.

CARRIED

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board

16 Auckland as a City for Peace – report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number HB/2011/8

MOVED by Member Parfitt, seconded Member Sayers:

- a) That the report be received.
- b) That the Hibiscus and Bays Local Board record their support for Auckland Council becoming a City for Peace.

CARRIED

Howick Local Board

19 Auckland as a City for Peace - Report and Resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number HW/2011/9

MOVED by Member Williams, seconded Member Spiller:

- a) That the report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.
- b) That the Howick Local Board acknowledge the principals informing the City of Peace initiative instigated by the United Nations.
- c) That the Howick Local Board urges that confirming Auckland as a City of Peace should not incur significant expenditure.

CARRIED

Kaipātiki Local Board

26 Report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee - Auckland as a City for Peace

Resolution number KT/2011/10

MOVED by Member Hills, seconded Member Waugh:

- a) That the report be received.

CARRIED

Resolution number KT/2011/11

MOVED by Member G Gillon, seconded Member J Gillon:

- b) That the Kaipatiki Local Board supports the concept of Auckland being declared as a City for Peace, noting the matter will be reported to a future meeting of the Regional Development and Operations Committee for final decision making.

A division was called for, voting on which was as follows:

For:

Members: Grant Gillon
John Gillon
Richard Hills
Vivienne Keohane
Kay McIntyre
Lindsay Waugh

Against:

Members: Nick Kearney
Chris Marshall

The motion was declared **CARRIED** by 6 votes to 2.

Resolution number KT/2011/12

The Chairperson MOVED the substantive motion, seconded Member McIntyre:

- c) That the Kaipatiki Local Board does not support Kaipatiki discretionary funding going to Peace City proposals without being approved by the majority of the Kaipatiki Local Board.

CARRIED

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

22 Auckland as a City for Peace - Report and Resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number MO/2011/13

MOVED by Member Skelton, seconded Member Sosene:

- a) That the report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace be received.

CARRIED

Manurewa Local Board

27 Auckland as a City for Peace – report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number MR/2011/14

MOVED by Member Newman, seconded Member Brown:

- a) That the resolutions and associated report from the 16 June 2011 Regional Development and Operations Committee meeting regarding Auckland as a City for Peace be received.
- b) That the Manurewa Local Board acknowledges the principles informing the City of Peace initiative instigated by the United Nations.
- c) That the Manurewa Local Board urges that confirming Auckland as a City of Peace should not incur additional expenditure over and above that which is budgeted.

CARRIED

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

13 Auckland as a City for Peace – report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number MTPEE/2011/15

MOVED by Member Clark, seconded Member Boyle:

- a) That the report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.
- b) That the Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board adopts the draft declaration for the Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board area as a City for Peace (as the 2011 population of approximately 76,000 people is above New Zealand's 50,000 population requirement to be a city), as follows:

City for Peace draft declaration:

The Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board recognises its role of stewardship for present and future generations and hereby declares Maungakiekie-Tamaki to be a City for Peace. Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board is dedicated to the promotion of nuclear free zones and a culture of peace based on social, economic and environmental justice, tolerance and non-violence.

The Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board will carry out its City for Peace stewardship role by:

Acknowledging and promoting understanding of the unique relationships between the original inhabitants (mana whenua) and those who have settled more recently in Auckland (tau iwi) and the place of the Treaty of Waitangi (Te Tiriti o Waitangi) in our local board area.

Committing to social, economic and environmental justice and acknowledging that peace cannot exist without justice.

Recognising our responsibility to create and maintain a culture of peace as an integral and ongoing function of good governance.

Acknowledging that peace is created through the thoughts, actions and policies of individuals, families, communities, whanau, hapu, iwi, businesses, schools, local and central government.

Committing to protecting and enhancing the safety, security and wellbeing of all people – both present and future – living in, working in and visiting Maungakiekie-Tamaki.

Affirming that the wellbeing of all people is advanced by non-violent conflict resolution and the promotion of a culture of peace, justice and non-violence through education.

Embracing the diverse backgrounds of all people and encouraging their participation in society regardless of age, gender, abilities, ethnicity, income, culture, policies, sexuality or faith.

Actively delivering a responsibility to assist those who have settled in Maungakiekie-Tamaki due to war and other violations of human rights and to assist those who are living in poverty.

Encouraging all people to treat the environment (taiao) with respect and exercising the principles of guardianship (kaitiakitanga) whilst practising full consultation with affected parties in regards effects to the environment in order to ensure quality of earth, air, water and the protection of aesthetic and heritage values and other treasures (taonga).

Recognising that Maungakiekie-Tamaki's actions have a significant impact on the people and environment of our whole nation.

Responding to the recommendation in the 2002 United Nations Study on Disarmament and Non-Proliferation Education that 'municipal leaders working with citizen groups are encouraged to establish peace cities', thus supporting New Zealand's role in the international community to actively pursue the abolition of nuclear weapons.

To this end, an action plan, renewable every three years will be drawn up by Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board in full and open consultation with communities, to put these principles into practice, including creating peace projects worthy of civic pride that educate, inspire and involve the people of Maungakiekie-Tamaki in the development of a culture of peace.

- c) That officers prepare a draft 3-year Peace City action plan of low to no-cost initiatives for Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board to put Peace City principles into practice, and that full and open consultation with Maungakiekie-Tamaki communities on the draft plan take place as part of the Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board 2012/2013 draft agreement consultation process in February 2012.
- d) That resolutions b) and c) be forwarded to the Regional Development and Operations Committee for their information.

CARRIED

Ōrākei Local Board

26 Auckland as a City for Peace - report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number OR/2011/16

MOVED by Member Simpson, seconded Member Davis:

- a) That the Auckland as a City for Peace - report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee, be received.

CARRIED

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

25 Auckland as a City for Peace - Report and Resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number OP/2011/17

MOVED by Member Grey, seconded Member McGechie:

- a) That the Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board rejects the Auckland as a City for Peace report.

CARRIED

Papakura Local Board

12 Auckland as a City for Peace - Report and Resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number PPK/2011/18

MOVED by Member Conroy, seconded Member Joyce-Tahere:

- a) That the report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.
- b) That the Papakura Local Board supports the recommendations from the Regional Development and Operations Committee.

CARRIED

Puketāpapa Local Board

16 Auckland as a City for Peace - report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number PKTPP/2011/19

MOVED by Member Barter, seconded Member Fairey:

- a) That the Auckland as a City for Peace - report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.
- b) That the Puketapapa Local Board support in principle the concept of Auckland as a City of Peace.
- c) That the Puketapapa Local Board record its views of Auckland as a City for Peace, noting the matter will be reported to a future meeting of the Regional Development and Operations Committee for final decision making.
- d) That the Puketapapa Local Board note individual Board Member views on Auckland as a City for Peace, as follows:

Board Member Fairey

I strongly support the initiative to confirm Auckland City as a Peace City. I believe a commitment to peace, made at the highest level of our city, sends a strong and valuable message that we will strive to eliminate violence internationally, nationally, regionally and locally. Recently the Puketapapa Local Board has heard about the feedback from children and youth gathered by the Making Auckland Awesome postcard campaign. One of the key findings of that exercise was that while children feel reasonably safe out and about in our community they often did not feel safe in their homes. The Puketapapa Local Board area also has many residents who have settled here to escape war and conflict in their homelands, over generations. By being a Peace City, Auckland would commit to peace at all levels, from the home outwards. In our community being part of a Peace City would give the Local Board a framework and a mandate to promote peace and actively work towards achieving it for our people. I note that the legacy council of our area, Auckland City Council, committed to being a Peace City in 2007, and I welcome this opportunity for Auckland to reconfirm this in our new co-governance structure.

Board Member Wood

I strongly support the Peace City Proposal that has been presented to the Board. There are three main reasons for my support:

1) Showing Leadership

At this early stage of Auckland's development as a united region I believe that it is important for us to embed certain values into our organisation and approach to governance. Having clear core values helps to define an organisation, and is a way of showing leadership to the community. Council has already taken steps to embed other core values into its operation including sustainability and being customer focussed. These values are used to guide our activities and show what we believe in. Formally becoming a Peace City would operate in a similar way. It sends a message that our Council supports a culture of non-violence and the peaceful resolution of disputes at all levels. Given the hugely diverse makeup of the Puketapapa community, and the challenges of peaceful integration, I believe that a clear statement about our commitment to peace is particularly appropriate.

2) Symbolic Value

While there are some identified activities and initiatives that could be undertaken to show our commitment to being a Peace City, the value of the statement is in the main symbolic. In my view this is not a weakness, but a strength. Symbols are important. Here in our community there has been some discussion about a piece of public art that can symbolise the values of our community, and act to bring people together. Similarly, Roskill is well known for the cross and star on Puketapapa Maunga – these are symbols that mean a great deal to many people, and have a way of seeping into people’s thoughts and actions. In the same way, declaring ourselves a peace city symbolically expresses our commitment to peace and non-violence, and is an ongoing reminder of these things as we develop policies and programmes, and as people go about their daily lives.

3) Building City Identity

In this Rugby World Cup year, huge amounts of money have been poured into building brand identity for Auckland. The focus is on Auckland as a vibrant, diverse, and exciting place to be. A lot of our branding is also shallow commercial pap. As we move forward and develop an identity for a united Auckland I believe that it is also important to think clearly about those things that we really believe in, and want to be known for – not just what will get tourists to visit trendy bars at the Viaduct. We already have a strong tradition as a city of standing up for peace, most notably when we became a centre of opposition to a nuclear armed world in the 1980s. This stance is not just something we can be internally proud of, it is actually something that we are known and respected for around the world. I believe that to re-enforcing our credentials as a Peace City, and taking steps to live up to the inherent values would be a way of building civic pride and identity internally, and also of building a unique international image. There is far more value in my view in Auckland being known as a City of Peace than of being “Awesome”.

Board Member Muys

I note that the Board’s community engagement on the annual plan and draft local board plan has not demonstrated a strong desire within the community for this proposal. Although this report does not request funding, I would be unsupportive of expenditure on the City of Peace proposal.

Board Member Turnbull

The idea of Auckland being ‘declared’ a Peace City’ was put forward at the 1st meeting of the Social and Community Development Forum in November 2010. It has advanced significantly since that period in a process that has excluded Local Boards and the community from proper involvement/consultation.

Meetings and work streams within council have occurred with the special interest groups forming a key group to develop an Action Plan and with a resolution to advance this to be included within the Long Term Plan. A facilitator has been contracted and has worked on this project.

Officers have spent nearly several hundred hours on the project at a cost to the ratepayer at this stage of approximately \$7000 (at an absolute minimum from the OIA request I had a response from).

These factors clearly indicate the intention to potentially brand and definitely to fund Auckland as a peace city, with an ever expanding event and funding program being completely realistic. The LTP is a tool used for funding after all.

A sum of \$40K has been earmarked for funding various peace related events within this year’s annual plan. This is on top of what has already been spent and on top of already funded activities from the legacy local authorities.

All of this is without any actual community consultation, barring of course the special interest group that has lobbied for the funding and declaration.

This report needs to be sent back to Officers for further information on the costs, and so that Local Boards can actually and genuinely consult their local communities and have some certainty around what they are having presented to them.

The Governing Body and Local Boards need to achieve some clear idea as to what the community thinks about the idea and actually listen to this, rather than the process is has been subject to so far.

Local Boards have been following a process of strong community engagement and the development of both our aspirational Local Board plans and identifiable projects to be funded through a range of funding options, such as SLIPS and discretionary funding.

To date I have not seen any submissions, heard nothing in our Local Board hearings, or come across any funding requests or suggestions of this being a priority for our community.

Nothing within our community consultation would lead me to believe that this is a key priority in which this Local Board should invest into or advocate on behalf of.

The development of our Local Board Plan has not identified this as an issue the community identifies with.

I support Mayor Len Brown's vision of creating Auckland as 'the world's most livable city'. This is an all-encompassing view that I personally find resonates very well with the public I interact with.

The proposal for a 'Peace City' declaration appears to be a branding exercise that could be argues undermines the above aspiration by the Mayor. It also appears to be a fast tracking process to ensure that the declaration supports funding for this special interest group(s) in the LTP.

I believe that due diligence has not been done on this for my liking, there has been no community consultation on the proposal and it has followed a closed process that makes it a flawed and poor decision to support such a proposal.

When Council (Governing Body and Local Boards) look to fund worthwhile projects I would hope that a robust, genuine and open process is followed that allows for anyone to see what is occurring.

My experience in asking questions and progressing an OIA for this has found me having more questions than answers about what will be delivered for Aucklanders and its value to ratepayers.

There are clear goals and aspirations that our community has spoken to us about. There are projects and funding that people have sought and support and inclusion upon.

Some of these include Community Patrols, Youth Mentoring, Community Navigators, Better parks, sports fields and facilities. There are organizations such as CPNZ, Neighborhood Support, Pacific/Maori and Asian wardens who are all seeking our support for practical solutions to tangible issues within our community.

Work on Graffiti solutions, town centre cameras and CPTED solutions to local safety/crime problems is a far wiser use of public money.

CARRIED

Rodney Local Board

16 Auckland as a City for Peace – report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number RD/2011/20

MOVED by Member Flaunty, seconded Member Steele:

- a) That the report on Auckland as a City for Peace – report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee be received.
- b) That the Rodney Local Board supports Auckland as a City for Peace, noting the matter will be reported to a future meeting of the Regional Development and Operations Committee for final decision making.

CARRIED

Upper Harbour Local Board

15 Auckland as a City for Peace – report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number UH/2011/21

MOVED by Member Whyte, seconded Member Miles:

- a) That the report be received.
- b) That the Upper Harbour Local Board fully supports the principle of Auckland as a City for Peace
- c) That the Upper Harbour Local Board be fully involved before the development/funding of any action plan
- d) That the Upper Harbour Local Board has concerns that the wording of the draft declaration goes beyond the principal of a city for peace and extends into actions and responsibilities.

CARRIED

Waiheke Local Board

22 Auckland as a City for Peace - report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number WHK/2011/22

MOVED by Chairman Storer, seconded Member Roche:

- a) That the Auckland as a City for Peace - Regional Development and Operations Committee report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.
- b) That the Waiheke Local Board notes that Auckland City Council was one of the legacy councils that had declared itself a Peace City.
- c) That the Waiheke Local Board notes that Waiheke was declared a Nuclear Free Zone in 1981.
- d) That the Waiheke Local Board supports the concept of Auckland as a City for Peace, in principle.
- e) That the Waiheke Local Board notes that the matter will be reported to a future meeting of the Regional Development and Operations Committee for final decision making.

CARRIED

Waitākere Ranges Local Board

17 Auckland as a City for Peace – report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number WTK/2011/117

MOVED by Member Presland, seconded Member Henderson:

- a) That the report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.
- b) That the Waitakere Ranges Local Board endorses the proposal to declare Auckland as a City for Peace, noting the matter will be reported to a future meeting of the Regional Development and Operations Committee for final decision making.

CARRIED

Pursuant to Standing Order 3.15.5, Member Brickell requested his dissenting vote be recorded.

Waitematā Local Board

25 Auckland as a City for Peace - report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number WTM/2011/23

MOVED by Member Dempsey, seconded Member Reade:

- a) That the Auckland as a City for Peace - report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.
- b) That the Waitemata Local Board supports and recommends that Auckland Council confirms itself as a “City for Peace” and that it develops a City for Peace Action Plan.

CARRIED

Whau Local Board

15 Auckland as a City for Peace – report and resolutions from the Regional Development and Operations Committee

Resolution number WH/2011/24

MOVED by Member Davie, seconded Member Taylor:

- a) That the report and resolutions regarding Auckland as a City for Peace, be received.
- b) That the Whau Local Board supports declaring Auckland as a ‘City for Peace’.

CARRIED