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1 **Welcome**

The Chairperson opened the meeting and welcomed those in attendance.

2 **Apologies**

Apologies from Member A Roe and Deputy Chairperson P Pirrie have been received.

3 **Declaration of Interest**

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 **Confirmation of Minutes**

That the Rodney Local Board:
a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 19 July 2018, as a true and correct record.

5 **Leave of Absence**

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 **Acknowledgements**

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 **Petitions**

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 **Deputations**

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Rodney Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 **New Zealand Walking Access Commission**

**Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report**

1. Mr Bevan Woodward will be in attendance to discuss the Auckland Council's Puhoi to Pakiri Greenways Plan.

**Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s**

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) thank Mr Woodward for his presentation on the Auckland Council’s Puhoi to Pakiri Greenways Plan.
9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of three minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Mahurangi River Restoration Trust – Mahurangi River dredging project

File No.: CP2018/14918

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To allocate funding to the Mahurangi River Restoration Trust (“the Trust”) for a dredging project in the Mahurangi River, Warkworth.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. The Trust gave a deputation to the Rodney Local Board on 19 April 2018 outlining a project to dredge part of the Mahurangi River and dispose of the sediment at a clean fill site further down the river. The Trust have gained a resource consent for the disposal of sediment at the clean fill site and has had purpose built equipment acquired for the project.

3. The immediate requirement is to dig the access channel to the river so the barge can be seen operating in the Town Basin. This will encourage local business and resident funding and demonstrate local board’s significant support.

4. After considering funding options, the preferred option would be for the local board to fund the Trust through a contract of service, with some oversight provided from Auckland Council. This will be outlined in a contract for service to be prepared between council and the Trust.

5. As council’s capital contribution will only cover a portion of the total project costs, the additional funding required will need to be raised by the Trust through a focussed capital fundraising campaign later in 2018. There is a risk that if the additional funding is not raised, work will not be able to begin and the local board contribution will not be able to be utilised.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) allocate $250,000 from the Rodney Local Board Locally Driven Initiatives capital funding for dredging of the Mahurangi River, with work to be delivered by the Mahurangi River Restoration Trust in the 2018/2019 financial year by way of contract for service.

Horopaki / Context

6. The Mahurangi River is located in the Rodney Local Board area, near the town of Warkworth. From Warkworth, the river runs south-east out to the Mahurangi Harbour.

7. The Mahurangi River Restoration Trust (“the Trust”) gave a deputation to the Rodney Local Board on 19 April 2018 outlining a project to dredge part of the Mahurangi River and dispose of the sediment at a clean fill site further down the river.

8. Operational grants of $20,000 per annum have been paid by the local board to the Trust over the last three years. These grants were to support a community-led project to carry out maintenance dredging of the Mahurangi River to allow for navigational access up the river and the funding was used for design and planning work related to the resource consent.

9. The Trust have gained a resource consent for the disposal of sediment at the clean fill site and has had purpose built equipment acquired for the project. The use of the clean fill site
dramatically reduces the overall project cost as the sediment does not have to transported all the way out to sea.

10. The immediate requirement is to dig the access channel to the river so the barge can be seen operating in the Town Basin. This will improve access to the existing (council owned) wharf, amongst other things, which will further encourage local business and resident funding and demonstrate local board’s support.

11. The total cost of the project is estimated to be $5,139,300 and to date the Trust have raised $1,075,063. This leaves a balance of $4,064,237 to raise for the remainder of the dredging project. There will also be a financial requirement for ongoing operational maintenance to ensure future access is preserved. A portion of this operational cost will be provided by council through consequential opex, with the remainder to be funded by the Trust.

12. The additional funding required for both the capital project and a portion of the ongoing operational maintenance will need to be raised by the Trust through a focussed fundraising campaign later in 2018.

13. The community-led project is managed by an advisory board appointed by the Trustees. The board is made up of people with business, commercial, local body and boating experience.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice**

**Financial advice**

14. Council officers investigated two options to deliver the project: firstly by way of a grant to the Trust and, secondly, by a contract for service with the Trust. There is also the option for the local board to not provide funding for the project.

15. For option one (grant to the Trust), as the wharf asset is owned by Auckland Council, council cannot grant funding to a third party to develop, renew or improve an asset that it owns. This is due to GST implications, and has previously been explained to the local board.

16. For option two (contract for service with the Trust), council will need to work with the Trust to clearly outline the roles of both council and the Trust and desired outcomes of the project. This is the only viable option in light of the GST implications in option one, both in terms of the initial dredge and the ongoing operational expenses of maintaining the channel.

17. There is a third option, which is not to fund the project. If the local board decides not to fund the project, there would be risks for the Trust which are outlined below.

**Work completed to date**

18. Operational grants totalling $60,000 have been paid to the Trust for design and planning work related to the project resource consent, which the Trust has subsequently gained.

19. The community, at their own cost, have also built surrounding wharf structures, creating Lucy Moore Park and developing the foreshore boardwalk. These assets are currently owned and maintained by council.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

**Local impacts**

20. The trust has consulted with and has the support of many local groups and individuals, including iwi, action groups, and politicians.

**Local Board views**

21. The Rodney Local Board identified the Mahurangi River (though not the dredging project itself) as a priority in its Local Board Plan 2017 under the outcome “Our harbours, waterways and environment are cared for, protected and healthy”. The local board has also provided
$20,000 in each of the last three financial years to support the Trust in planning and design work related to a resource consent for the project.

22. In April 2018, the board received a deputation given by the Trust and in June 2018 resolved to (RD/2018/83):
   f) request a report from Infrastructure and Environmental Services on the potential allocation of funding for the Mahurangi River dredging community-led project, following their deputation to the local board in April 2018.

23. A copy of the deputation the Trust gave on 19 April is attached as Attachment A to the agenda report. Material subsequently received on the project from the Trust is attached as Attachment B to the agenda report.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement
24. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and where relevant the wider Māori community. Ongoing conversations will assist local boards and Māori to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications
25. Currently, there are no projects related to the dredging of the Mahurangi River in the Rodney Local Board budgets in the 2018-28 Long-term Plan and no future funding has been identified for this purpose.

26. Funding sought from the Trust would come from unallocated Locally Driven Initiative funding available over the next three years.

27. Should the dredging of the site on the Mahurangi River go ahead, there will be a requirement for ongoing maintenance of the channel. It must be clear in the contract for service as to which party will be responsible for ongoing costs once the dredging has been completed. More information will be required on frequency and volumes of maintenance dredging to determine the future costs of maintenance. Part of this will be covered by consequential opex from the local board’s LDI Capital funding with the balance to be funded by the Trust.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
28. The Trust has outlined risks that suggest time is of the essence, including: the landfill site that has been granted a current resource consent is only available for a limited term, the specialist equipment purpose designed for shallow water won’t be available forever at the current pricing regime, the Trust want to have the project completed in time for the upcoming America’s Cup.

29. While officers have provided some expert guidance along the way, it was understood that this project is community led and thus the planning to date was not held to the same standard as a council led project. The plans are thus taken at face value, but if the assumptions in the plans turn out to be wrong, the cost could be significantly higher than currently estimated and the project may stall, leading to the local board’s investment essentially being wasted.

30. While the contract for service can oversee work around the town basin, the rest of the project is community-led and outside of the local board’s control. There is, therefore, no guarantee or oversight by council and plans and works may change without local board input and in ways that cannot be foreseen.

31. If the project is not supported by the local board, the Trust may not be able to raise further third party funding for the project.

32. If the trust can’t raise ongoing funding to cover maintenance for their portion, then the river will revert to its current state and the benefits of the project will not be fully realised.
33. If the dredging work is not completed, status quo access provisions will remain, which currently means access to the wharf is tidally dependent. With increased sedimentation, this access may worsen over time.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

34. Council officers to work with the Trust on a contract for service which will outline the desired outcomes from the funding.

35. Council will clarify its role in oversight and management of the project and set out key milestones and accountability for the funding.

36. Further costing work will need to be provided for ongoing operational maintenance requirements.
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Local Board Presentation. 19th April 2018.

The Mahurangi River Restoration Trust.

Thank You for the opportunity to present to you. My name is Steve Burrett a member of the Advisory board.

The Mahurangi River Restoration Trust is represented today by Trustees Hugh Gladwell and Peter Thompson who will be willing to answer any questions. Peter has a long track record of being a visionary in Warkworth having been instrumental in creating Lucy Moore Park, developing the wharf area and building the foreshore walkway. If it wasn’t for these Gentlemen the Jane Gifford would not have been saved and would not have Warkworth as her home port.

Firstly we would like to thank the Local board for its Support of this project. Past Boards have helped fund and Council staff have help guide the resource Consent process. The consent was issued last year.

On referring to the Rodney Local Boards Plan of 2017 this project ticks all 5 of the boxes you have outlined as important.

Communities are influential and empowered; The recent WW Community Aspirations document delivered after wide consultation with the Community has its No 1 key idea that there be a clean healthy river.

Parks and Sports facilities that everyone can enjoy; The River is available to all. The Town Basin is a recreational zone in the City area. This area can be developed substantially when the river has been cleaned out so the tide height will not restrict activities.

Our harbours, waterways and environment are cared for, protected and healthy; with sediment cleaned from the river the increased water flow must help water quality. More folk will have the opportunity to enjoy, not being restricted by tide times. The Jane will be able to make more trips allowing more people to view and appreciate the wonderful scenery the River offers. Fish will return to the river.
**Arts and Culture is vibrant and strong:** Currently there is a strong move to extend the Jane Gifford Restoration Trust to include other Heritage Vessels into the fleet. These vessels will be an additional tourist attraction and with all tide river depth weekend gatherings will be possible instead of a 2 hour window on the top of the tide. We will see a significant influx of visiting craft which will enhance interest in the Town Basin. High St shops must benefit.

**We can get around easily and safely:** Growing townships will need improved facilities and walkways. The expansion of the Lucy Moore walkway with better views to the river will be beneficial for those wanting exercise. Once the river is dredged a Stage 2 scenario could include a Park and Ride facility for a ferry terminal further down the river. This would serve commuters through to Auckland Central and more importantly open up the whole Rodney region for Tourists from Cruise Liners who currently do not come north due to the vagaries of the road system and getting back to the city on time for departures.

Significant work has already been completed to construct the dewatering pens. The land based site is ready to receive the sediment. Over a million dollars has been gifted and or donated by the community. The amount we need to raise following these donations is just over $4million for the anticipated three year construction period.

We have approached Government and will be approaching other funding institutions for the bulk of these funds however we currently need an immediate amount of $300,000 to enable us to get access to the river from the dewatering site.

This project has the backing of the community. We have perhaps been dilatory in not applying for funding earlier but we have wanted to make sure we have done our homework in all facets of the project before we apply for funding. This has only been completed earlier this week.

We earnestly request that the Local Board look favourably at this funding request so as the Community can see genuine support from their Local Board.

Thank you for this opportunity. We look forward to your questions.
Mahurangi River Restoration Trust

Mission Statement:

To have clear, clean, deep, swimmable water right up to the heart of Warkworth

A community inspired project.

Reverses the damage done by man over the past 100.

Aspirational Plan (just conducted and presented by Auckland Council) has the main point that the river must be cleaned.

Will allow ferries to bring Tourists back up the river to a timetable.

Will allow the Jane Gifford to continue operating on a continuous schedule.

Benefits:

Increased business opportunities for retailers and commercial operations from visiting boats. (Refer Whangarei Town Basin.)

Tourism, ferries have full time access up river.

Increased water flow and access assists Aquaculture and Oyster operations.

Envisioned Stage 2 for Ferry Terminal at Dawson’s Creek will help relieve road congestion but more importantly give direct access from Auckland Central to the Rodney area for Tourists off Cruise ships. Currently unable to visit due to Traffic congestion.

Cost of Project:

Costings to take dredging’s to sea in excess of $19 mill—unsustainable!

Current costs offering of Land based depositing $5mill.

Less support by Business and residents with donations and services valued at over $1 mill. Leaves an approx. Projected cost of $4mill

Urgency required because of Landfill time limits.

 Desire to have completed before America’s Cup.

Purpose designed equipment won’t be available forever. (Will be sold).

If nothing done;

Reasons why Council should assist;

The community have made significant submissions to the Aspirational Plans for Warkworth with the main and first requirement, that the River be protected and enhanced.

Warkworth Community has already shown its commitment by building the Wharf structures, creating Lucy Moore Park and developing the foreshore walkway etc.

The Community has also resurrected and rebuilt the Jane Gifford and operates her out of Warkworth benefitting, tourists, local groups and educating school children from around the region.

Council have assisted other foreshore projects in creating Groyne, re-sanding beaches, rebuilding sea walls etc. (Mission Bay-Kohimarama-Orewa, are examples).

The River is the sea-wall/foreshore of Warkworth.

Local residents have established the Project by putting considerable time and money up.

The project is already consented.

Specialised equipment has been purpose built.

The most cost effective solution has been found.

The most environmentally friendly option utilised;
  Not dumping sediment at Sea.
  Retaining the sediment on land from whence it came.
  Shortest distances being travelled (less fuel usage).

The project fulfils the Council's desire to clean up waterways and rivers to a safe swimmable standard

The project is ready for an immediate start without the need for Council to do anything further.

It would show commitment to ratepayers with resultant positive action.

It would appease Rodney ratepayers who saw a $2.2mil Navigational Dredging fund absorbed into General funds following amalgamation. The new suggested levy would be easier to accept.
Mahurangi River Restoration Trust  
Case Statement

Restoring our River

The Mahurangi River provided the original access to the township of Warkworth and was essential for the development of the Rodney region. Now it is little more than ankle deep at low tide in parts due to the build-up of silt.

The revival of the Town Basin through building a new wharf, pontoon structure and Riverbank walkway and creating the Lucy Moore Park has highlighted the poor state of the river and the inability for boats to navigate to the town.

Over the past 10 years, a plan has been formulated to restore the River and enhance the Town Basin along the lines of the Whangarei Town Basin. By dredging the river, the water will flow better and give greater access for vessels of all sizes.

The Mahurangi River Restoration Trust has been formed to bring the river back so it can be a resource for all to enjoy. The removal of sediment will allow free movement of vessels on the River over all tides which will attract significant numbers of visitors for recreational and commercial purposes. It will also mean the Jane Gifford can continue operating out of the town where she is used for educational school trips and also tourist trips.

An all-tide Town Basin and river will allow development of the foreshore so it can be used and enjoyed by the public. Ideas for kayaking, rowing, paddle boarding, picnic and viewing areas are being promoted by the community. The impending closure of the sewerage outfall will also assist.

The Project

Increased water flow on the River will have an environmental benefit by helping to flush the River and Harbour making cleaner water for all users. It will make the Mahurangi River a focal point for the town and surrounding region and enhance its beauty and that of the riverbank for the benefit of all.

Normally this would be a long, drawn out process. Dredging is expensive. Initial investigations indicated that the preferred method would be offshore sediment disposal with a sea-going barge and a tug, and requiring every load to be taken the length of the river and double-handled. The scoped works were quoted at $19million.

However, if we act now, we have an opportunity to complete it for a fraction of the price.

Our Opportunity

The Trust has negotiated the use of a section of land on the river where a clean fill has been consented. The use of a clean fill site on the river dramatically reduces time and costs of the project. The sediment does not have to be taken out to sea, nor transferred between vessels. The process is not dependent on the tide and the crew is not so affected by bad weather, meaning less down time, and less unnecessary travel by the tug to Auckland during down time.
Custom equipment has been engineered to allow for a streamlined process in removing the sediment from the river and site works have been consented and achieved in preparation for the river cleaning process to begin.

**The Cost**

The total cost of this approach is $5,139,300.00

Members of the Trust have invested significant time and resources into the engineering of specialised equipment that can operate at all tides and will contribute to the management of the project. Many local professional firms have either sponsored or worked at cost to get it to a point that it is a realistic goal. The Trust has the support of many other groups and individuals, including local Iwi, local action groups, and local councillors. Independent costings have determined that all gifted work and donations has reduced the project cost by a further 20%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less Gifts and Donations already Received</td>
<td>$538,863.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Clearwater Gift in kind</td>
<td>$536,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total to raise</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,075,063.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project has been managed by an advisory Board appointed by the Trustees. The Board is made up of people with business, commercial, local body and boating experience.

**Our Challenge**

The timeframe envisaged would be spread over 3 years however this would be influenced by the level of funding. Progress and outcomes will be monitored and quantified continually by electronic means.

It is planned that the additional investment required for this project will be found through a focused capital fundraising campaign to be launched later this year. Before doing so, we have decided to engage consultants to assist in developing a fundraising plan. To do this work, advice and guidance will first be sought from those who we believe may have an interest in the future of the Mahurangi River as an asset for Warkworth.

Your confidential thoughts on the proposed campaign would be most helpful as we go forward in developing the fundraising plans – to make sure that we are moving in the right direction and maximising our prospects of success.
A Vision to Create a Lasting Legacy for Future Generations
Attachment B

Item 11
An Urgent Priority at National and Local Level

The preservation of New Zealand's waterways and restoration of water quality have been identified as urgent priorities at National and Local level.

The Mahurangi River was once a major communication route, navigable by quite large vessels as far as the Whangaparaoa Basin. Severe siltation over many years has choked the river to the point where even small craft can only operate an hour each side of high tide. At low tide, extensive mud banks are exposed and the river flow is reduced to a trickle.

Slowly, this significant and historic feature of the Rodney landscape is dying. Action is required to save the Mahurangi River.

The Mahurangi River Restoration Trust has a vision to restore the river to full health, unlocking a raft of recreational, environmental and aesthetic benefits for future generations to enjoy.

Our rivers, lakes and wetlands support our unique native animals and plants. Water is also vital to our health and wellbeing, our livelihoods, and our way of life - New Zealanders love getting out on our waterways - swimming, kayaking, fishing and gathering food. For Māori, freshwater is a taonga.

We want New Zealand to be the most liveable place in the world with healthy lakes and rivers and the best possible water quality.

NZ Ministry for the Environment

- A clean, healthy river – restore and protect the river and our natural landscapes;
- Affordable and accessible public spaces and leisure activities that focus along the river;
- An attractive town centre that supports a vibrant local economy;
- To cherish, nurture and celebrate Warkworth’s culture and heritage (i.e. Māori / Pakohe / Pacifika);
- To provide improved pedestrian accessibility and connections for walking cycling and public transport.

Warkworth Community Aspirations
The Groundwork has Been Laid  
The Time for Action is Now

For more than 10 years, the Mahurangi River Restoration Trust has been developing a detailed action plan to save the river. Rigorous planning and investment of time, money and effort have laid the groundwork for a viable and cost-effective project to dredge the river and restore it to a state where the entire community can enjoy its amenity into the future.

Custom equipment has been engineered to allow for a streamlined process to remove sediment from the river. It is built and ready to go with a proven record of reliability.

The Trust has obtained Resource Consent to dispose of the dredging spoil on an approved clean fill site along the river. This is key to the economic viability of the plan. It avoids the normal requirement to dispose of the spoil far out at sea and reduces the project cost from nearly $20 million to $5 million.

However, time is of the essence. This clean fill site opportunity is available only for three years. Everything is in place to start immediately, but urgent funding is required to enable the Trust to push the Go button on this important mission.
From the Earliest Settlement, A Vital Link, a Sacred Lifeline

For the local Mana Whenua, Ngāti Manuhiri, the Mahurangi River (known to the iwi as te Whai’*) is an important waterway. It served as a major transport route inland from the inner Mahurangi Harbour.

Puhinui (Warkworth) was a meeting place of the tribes that were whanaunga to Ngāti Manuhiri. From Warkworth, an overland pathway to the Kaipara served as a link for east-west trade and for connecting whanau.

The waterfalls at the head of the river in the Warkworth Town Basin are of particular significance to Ngāti Manuhiri and are considered sacred. The falls and river are guarded by a kaitiaki and taniwaha known as Waeaea.

All along the river bank were waka landing sites, pā and kāinga (settlements) used by the people who lived permanently in the area, or as they travelled inland from the coast.

The river was also an important source of freshwater food and resources, including tuna (eels), koura (freshwater crayfish), kākahi (freshwater mussels) and waterfowl. Flax was used for weaving, while plants and fruits provided food, or medicine. Mature trees were used for building waka.

The Mahurangi River Restoration Trust (MRRT) first consulted the Manuhiri Kaihaka Charitable Trust, representing Ngāti Manuhiri, with the proposal to dredge the Mahurangi River to maintain its navigability in 2016, whereupon we prepared a Cultural Impact Assessment to inform the Resource Consent Application.

Later, through face to face meetings, we were able to achieve changes to the proposal that avoided sites of significance and other concerns to the satisfaction of both parties.

It is understood the preparation has been completed and the project is now ready to commence dredging in earnest, however in order to proceed, significant funding is required. For the purposes of funding applications, this letter confirms the continuing interest and support from Ngāti Manuhiri to the MRRT in this initiative.

Ngāti Manuhiri Letters, May 2018
With European Settlement the River Played a Major Role in Development

The picturesque town of Warkworth is situated 15 km up the Mahurangi River beside Puhi Puhi Falls. In 1843, John Anderson Brown purchased the area. The town was originally named Browns Mill, but later became Warkworth, after Brown's Northumberland birthplace.

The river was the main link between the town and the rest of civilisation. In the 19th century, shipbuilding flourished along the riverbank, utilising the ready supply of timber from the surrounding kauri forests. Two commercial boatyards and a small marina still operate on the river.

The Portland Cement Works opened in 1884 beside the river and many scows and steamers exported the cement. The ruins of the cementworks remain on the banks of the river beside the popular swimming hole, which attracts crowds of families during the summer.

Early settlers developed orchards in the area. The Red Bluff Orchard, established by Edward Morrison in 1873, was one of New Zealand's largest with 250,000 trees. During the 20th century, orchards made way for dairy and sheep farms.

As coastal land values soared, some farms were redeveloped into housing estates, or replaced by vineyards, olive groves, deer and ostrich farms.
There is a strong sense that Warkworth has not realised the full potential of its single most valuable asset. Restoring the health of the Mahurangi River will help unlock a host of ambitions to make the river the centrepiece of the town’s attractions.
Reconnecting the Community with the Mahurangi River

The Mahurangi River provided the original access to the township of Warkworth and was essential for the development of the Rodney region.

The revival of the Town Basin through building a new wharf, pontoon structure, the Riverbank Walkway and creating the Lucy Moore Park has highlighted the poor state of the river and the inability for boats to navigate to the town.

The Mahurangi River Restoration Trust has been formed to bring the river back to life, so it can be a resource for all to enjoy. The removal of sediment will allow free movement of vessels on the river over all tides, which will attract significant numbers of visitors for recreational and commercial purposes.

It will also mean the iconic Jane Gifford scow can continue operating out of the town, where she is used for educational school trips and tourist excursions.

An all-tide Town Basin and river will allow development of the foreshore, so it can be better used and enjoyed by the public. Promoting greater access to and use of the river will stimulate business for the cafes, restaurants and shops and increased vitality to the town.
Working Hand in Hand with Other Community Initiatives

Coinciding with community initiatives to improve and expand walkways and cycleways in the Rodney District, restoring the health of the Mahurangi River will dramatically widen the scope for recreation and education.

Riverside walkways could link with on-the-water attractions, for example enabling users to experience the river from the shore one way and then board the Jane Gifford or other waterborne craft for the return trip.

Community groups such as Rotary and the Lions have been enthusiastic about the potential to widen the benefits of an all-tide basin for Warkworth.

Kayaking, paddle boarding, rowing, dinghy hire operations could flourish. Schools and youth groups would benefit from learning boat handling skills, not to mention gaining an appreciation for the river environment and the importance of preserving water quality.

A heritage centre could provide information about the river’s role in the history of the district and might include workshops teaching traditional boatbuilding skills.
Ecological Benefits of Restoring the River Flow

In association with agriculture, industry and commerce, societies worldwide are being called upon to restore the vital health of fresh water sources. The impacts of human activity over centuries has accelerated the degradation of rivers and water quality.

One particular impact has been excessive siltation of rivers. Excessive sediment deposits on river beds can significantly alter and degrade wildlife habitat.

Sediments fill the spaces between stones that invertebrates live in, and in extreme cases can fill pools and channels. This reduces the amount of invertebrate habitat and cover and spawning grounds for fish.

An increase in the amount of sediment deposited can also significantly change the flow and depth of rivers or streams over time, short-circuiting the natural cleaning processes of flowing water.

The consequent reduction of life in the river impacts on life alongside the river, particularly affecting birds.
Re-opening the Navigation Links to the Harbour and Sea

As the Mahurangi River journeys down to the sea, it flows into the bountiful Mahurangi Harbour, which is a haven and playground for recreational boats and also supports a thriving oyster industry.

Throughout the year, thousands of yachts and boats visit the harbour. Every summer, the lower reaches of the harbour play host to the annual Mahurangi Classic Boats Regatta.

Discouraged by the limited access, the vast majority of these vessels do not venture upstream to enjoy the river passage to Warkworth. Restoring the waterway to a navigable state at all tides would open the way for more visits.

The installation of overnight moorings at Warkworth would encourage weekend stays, boosting business and tourism in the town precincts.
The Next Step: A Multi-Purpose Marine Hub at Snells Beach

Expanding the vision for the Mahurangi River and Harbour, the potential exists to establish an exciting Multi-Purpose Marine Hub at Snells Beach. An 18.5 hectare site has been identified at Te Whau Creek.

With deep-water dredging and landfill this well-placed site could be developed to provide a host of benefits including:

- A ferry terminal with high-speed links to Auckland and other Gulf destinations servicing commuter and tourist operations;
- Loading docks for the oystermen and commercial fishers operating out of the harbour;
- A centre for recreational boating including community sailing and rowing and related activities;
- A fuel dock and related services.
Project Costs

Taking advantage of the land-based clean-fill opportunity the Mahurangi River Restoration Trust has been granted for the next three years reduces the total cost of the operation from nearly $20-million to $5,139,300.00.

Members of the Trust have invested significant time and resources into the engineering of specialised equipment that can operate at all tides and will contribute to the management of the project.

Many local professional firms have either sponsored or worked at cost to the stage where it can proceed if adequate funding is secured. The Trust has the support of other groups and individuals, including local iwi, local action groups, and local councillors.

Independent costings have determined that all gifted work and donations have reduced the project cost by a further 20%.

Less Gifts and Donations already Received $538,863.00

Less Clearwater Gift in kind $536,200.00

TOTAL $1,075,063.00

This leaves a Total to raise of $4,064,237.00

The Mahurangi River Restoration Trust has appointed a Board to manage the project. The Board is made up of people with business, commercial, local body and marine experience.
Our Request

To enable work to start without delay and to ensure completion within the available timeframe, we are seeking funding support spread over the next three years.
MISSION STATEMENT:
To have Clear, Clean, Deep, Swimmable
Water Right up to the Town Basin

CONTACT: Peter Thompson 0274 625 276 • Hugh Cladehill 021 696 409 • Steve Burnett 021 842 732 • jsb@swb.net.nz
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To approve the recommended sites that are to be taken forward and investigated further by Auckland Transport (AT) for seal extension selection using Araparera Joint Venture funding. This will enable AT to continue the investigation, selection, design, and construction of the maximum number of sites within the budget of $2.8 million.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. The Rodney local Board has been given the responsibility of selecting the roads that are to be sealed using the approximately $2.8 million available from the Araparera Forestry Joint Venture.

3. An initial prioritisation report was completed by AT and their consultants which weighted eligible sites based on the physical characteristics of the road, and a regional and local priority adjustment for a community emphasis aspect. The initial report showed 84 potential sites for resel.

4. Further amendments were made on the list based on the local board’s suggestion of using shorter road lengths for better value for money. This amended report has 29 potential sites for resel. Out of the 29 potential sites, 12 top priority sites were supported by the local board, as noted in table 1 below.

5. AT has investigated the top 12 potential sites for further cost analysis. The initial cost analysis found that only the top 5 sites could be sealed within the $2.8 million available budget, based on Level of Service (LoS) 1 improvement (as per ATCOP standards). A further indicative assessment was undertaken to check whether more sites could be sealed using two lower LoS standards for the 12 sites. Table 1 below shows the 12 potential sites, the estimated costs of the three LoS improvements and the number of sites for a LoS 1, 2 and 3 seal extension improvement that are assessed to be within the $2.8 million budget (shown in bold). *¹ Note, for Whitmore Road, LoS 1 was costed for 0.43km and LoS 2 and 3 for 1.8km.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Suburb</th>
<th>Subdivision</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>LoS 1 Cost ($)</th>
<th>LoS 2 Cost ($)</th>
<th>LoS 3 Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Underwood Road</td>
<td>Port Albert</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$715,000</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td>$141,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wellsford Valley Road</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>To be sealed as part of the seal extension programme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Whitmore Road</td>
<td>Takatu</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>$430,000*¹</td>
<td>$779,500</td>
<td>$362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>School Road 3 (Tomarata)</td>
<td>Tomarata</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>$440,000</td>
<td>$208,000</td>
<td>$97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wellsford Valley Road</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>To be sealed as part of the seal extension programme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Krippner Road</td>
<td>Puhoi</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$370,000</td>
<td>$108,000</td>
<td>$50,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Smith Road</td>
<td>Matakana</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>$425,000</td>
<td>$173,000</td>
<td>$80,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wharehine Road</td>
<td>Wharehine</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>$865,000</td>
<td>$396,500</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Krippner Road</td>
<td>Puhoi</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>Sealed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tauhoa Road</td>
<td>Tauhoa</td>
<td>Warkworth / Wellsford border</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>$430,000</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
<td>$38,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dennis Road</td>
<td>Kourawhero</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$920,000</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td>$141,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rodney Road (Pakiri)</td>
<td>Pakiri</td>
<td>Warkworth / Wellsford border</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>$675,000</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
<td>$121,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*¹ Note, for Whitmore Road, LoS 1 was costed for 0.43km and LoS 2 and 3 for 1.8km.
6. At this stage, only an indicative cost assessment is available for LoS 2 and 3 seal extension improvements for the 12 sites. LoS 2 and 3 seal extensions will provide a lower standard of safety, potentially require higher maintenance costs and provide a lower asset design life (compared to LoS 1). Measures to mitigate these at additional costs will need to be assessed through detailed investigation of each of the 12 sites and final approvals for a departure from LoS 1 will need to be obtained within AT. Examples of measures are lower speed limits, signage, guardrails etc. Therefore, at this stage, the number of sites in Table 1 for a LoS 2 and 3 seal extension improvement that are assessed to be within the $2.8 million budget (shown in bold) are indicative only. Table 2 below shows the difference between LoS 1, 2 and 3 for a range of criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria No</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>LoS 1 Improvements</th>
<th>LoS 2 Improvements</th>
<th>LoS 3 Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Carriageway Width</td>
<td>Sealed carriageway width 7.2 m</td>
<td>Maintain existing carriageway width with minor widening where possible</td>
<td>Maintain existing carriageway width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pavement</td>
<td>Modification of existing pavement material using conventional lime/cement stabilisation, min. 200 mm granular base course overlay and two coat chip seal</td>
<td>Reshaping existing carriageway profile and application of granular overlay prior to two coat chip seal</td>
<td>Some minor reshaping of carriageway profile and top up of existing granular material prior to two coat chip seal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Retaining Walls</td>
<td>Retaining walls where required</td>
<td>Retaining walls only where critical safety risks</td>
<td>No retaining walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drainage</td>
<td>Drainage upgraded where required including kerb and channel, catch pits, existing culvert upgrade/replacement</td>
<td>Clearing and reshaping of roadside swale drains and minimal additional work such as existing culvert upgrade/replacement</td>
<td>Clearing and reshaping of existing roadside swale drains only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Safety Features</td>
<td>Safety features where required such as guardrails, sight rail barriers, additional signage</td>
<td>Safety features where required such as guardrails, sight rail barriers, additional signage but limited due to the constraints of other key criteria</td>
<td>Safety features where required such as guardrails, sight rail barriers, additional signage but limited due to the constraints of other key criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Design Life</td>
<td>25 Year Design Life</td>
<td>Varied service life based on treatment and site conditions</td>
<td>Limited service life based on treatment and site conditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. AT recommends that the top 12 roads under LoS 2 (table 1) be approved for seal extension using Araparera Joint Venture funding. Wellsford Valley Road (priority 2 and 5) will be sealed as part of the seal extension programme and Krippner Road (priority 9) has already been sealed, thus excluded from the Araparera Joint Venture funding list. LoS 2 improvement is subject to departure approvals from LoS 1 and based on outcomes of further detailed investigations on the top 12 roads considering safety, ongoing maintenance costs and design life criteria.
Ngā tūotohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) recommend to the Auckland Council Finance and Performance Committee that it release the Araparera Joint Venture funding for seal extension for nine sites using (LoS 2 seal improvement) at an estimated cost of $2.8 million as indicated in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Suburb</th>
<th>Subdivision</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>LoS 1 Cost ($)</th>
<th>LoS 2 Cost ($)</th>
<th>LoS 3 Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Underwood Road</td>
<td>Port Albert</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$715,000</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td>$141,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wellsford Valley Road</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Whitmore Road</td>
<td>Takatu</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>$430,000*¹</td>
<td>$779,500</td>
<td>$362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>School Road 3 (Tomarata)</td>
<td>Tomarata</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>$440,000</td>
<td>$208,000</td>
<td>$97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wellsford Valley Road</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Krippner Road</td>
<td>Puhoi</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$470,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Smith Road</td>
<td>Matakana</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>$425,000</td>
<td>$173,000</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wharehine Road</td>
<td>Wharehine</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>$885,000</td>
<td>$395,000</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Krippner Road</td>
<td>Puhoi</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tauhoa Road</td>
<td>Tauhoa</td>
<td>Warkworth / Wellsford border</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>$430,000</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
<td>$38,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dennis Road</td>
<td>Kourawhero</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$920,000</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td>$141,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rodney Road (Pakiri)</td>
<td>Pakiri</td>
<td>Warkworth / Wellsford border</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>$675,000</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
<td>$121,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 6.30 $5,270,000 $2,728,000 $1,216,000

b) note that Auckland Transport supports the LoS 2 for the proposed Araparera Forestry Seal Extension programme

Horopaki / Context

8. A brief history of the joint venture is as follows:

- In 1984 a joint venture was formed between Rodney County Council and the Maori Trustee, who had been appointed by the Maori Land Court to represent the more than 300 owners of six blocks of land, totaling 301 hectares in Araparera. The Maori Trustee was to assist in identifying a way for the land to produce income.
- A key consideration and successful outcome of the joint venture was to enable the payment of rates on the land, which over the period of the operation was close to $90,000.
- The joint venture entered into an agreement to plant a single rotation crop of pinus radiata on 201 hectares of the land, with the first planting taking place in 1985. The proceeds from the harvesting of the trees were to be shared based on the amount of financial input by each of the two parties.
- Funding for the operation of the joint venture was secured by way of a targeted rate over the rural parts of the ‘northern ridings’, with the proceeds then set aside to be used for roading purposes in that same area.
- This targeted rate over the total years of operation totaled around $1.5m across the 7,000 properties involved. This was an average of between around $50,000 per year, or around $8 (peaking at around $20) per property per year.
- Harvesting started in early 2012 and when completed in 2014 resulted in total proceeds of around $6.1m. After allowing for costs this left a net profit of around $3.5m, with Council’s share being $2.4m. While reviews were undertaken, and decisions made as
to the utilisation of the funds, interest has continued to be added to the fund, bringing the balance as at 30 April 2018 to $2,881,141.

- These net proceeds according to previous resolutions are to be used 'for roading purposes' after repaying any debt balance on the project (no debt exists) or funding the cost of establishing another forest (no such decision was made).
- The area to which the funds are to be applied is referred to colloquially as the 'rural parts of Northern Rodney'. The original resolutions passed as part of the establishment of the joint venture referred to the 'Northern Ridings' but 'with the exception of Warkworth and Wellsford' townships. This was later amended to also exclude Omaha, Snells/Algies, Kawa Island and other sea-access only properties.
- The above area with noted exceptions became the basis upon which the targeted areas were later levied.

9. AT completed an initial prioritisation report in June 2016 listing 84 potential options within the Northern Riding Area for seal extension using the Araparera Joint Venture Forestry funding. Prioritisation was completed and the sites ranked based on the following criteria and preferences as instructed by the local board:
   - An assessment of the physical characteristics of the road.
   - Regional and local priority adjustment.

10. The weightings have been amended from the original Seal Extension Policy Guidelines to better emphasise the importance of the community aspect for this proposed seal extension funding.

11. On 18 July 2016, the initial prioritisation report of 84 options was put forward to the local board for discussion. The local board did not steer AT in the roads they wished to be sealed, but rather asked AT to review the sites to see if there was better value for money by cutting the roads into shorter lengths.

12. AT returned to the local board on 11 May 2017 with an amended list of 29 options for seal extension as discussed. The top 12 potential options are shown in table 3 with indicative costings only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Suburb</th>
<th>Subdivision</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Underwood Road</td>
<td>Port Albert</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wellsford Valley Road</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>$320,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Whitemore Road</td>
<td>Takatu</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>$188,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>School Road 3 (Tomarata)</td>
<td>Tomarata</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>$191,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wellsford Valley Road</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Krippner Road</td>
<td>Puhoi</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$101,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Smith Road</td>
<td>Matakana</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>$160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wharehine Road</td>
<td>Wharehine</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>$368,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Krippner Road</td>
<td>Puhoi</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>$76,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tauhoa Road</td>
<td>Tauhoa</td>
<td>Warkworth / Wellsford border</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dennis Road</td>
<td>Kourawhero</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rodney Road (Pakiri)</td>
<td>Pakiri</td>
<td>Warkworth / Wellsford border</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>$240,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 6.26 $2,505,200

13. On 15 March 2018, AT engaged Hutchinson Consultants (HC) to scope the top 12 ranked sites from the 11 May 2017 list. This report was produced on 2 May 2018. The scoping investigation was completed to confirm the extent of works required at each site to produce a more accurate cost of sealing. The investigation includes (but is not limited to):

- Any site constraints such as width, steep shoulders, vegetation, and access ways etc.
- Any secondary works required such as retaining walls, road widening, guardrails and drainage etc.
• Recommended pavement (road surface) treatment and seal type.
• Estimated cost of pavement and secondary works.
• Based on the findings from the scoping investigations/estimations, a recommendation of sites for seal extensions using the Araparera funding.

14. The report produced on 2 May 2018 showed that out of the top 12 potential sites as per table 3 above, the budget will actually only cover the top 5 sites due to more accurate cost assessments of each site for a Level of Service (LoS) 1 improvement (as per ATCOP standards).

15. To assess whether more of the 12 top sites can be sealed, AT requested that Hutchinson Consultants develop indicative costings using two lower service levels LoS 2 and 3. Table 1 shows the indicative costings and the number of sites that can be sealed within the available $2.8 million subject to detailed investigations and AT approval for departure from LoS 1. The lower levels of service will provide a lower standard of safety and asset design life (compared to LoS 1) and potentially require higher maintenance costs.

Table 1 – Top 12 potential sites from 11th May 2017 list (LoS 1 fully costed, LoS 2 and 3 indicative costings)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Suburb</th>
<th>Subdivision</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>LoS 1 Cost ($)</th>
<th>LoS 2 Cost ($)</th>
<th>LoS 3 Cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Underwood Road</td>
<td>Port Albert</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$715,000</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td>$141,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wellsford Valley Road</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>To be sealed as part of the seal extension programme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Whitmore Road</td>
<td>Takatu</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>$430,000**</td>
<td>$779,500</td>
<td>$362,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>School Road 3 (Tomarata)</td>
<td>Tomarata</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>$440,000</td>
<td>$208,000</td>
<td>$97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wellsford Valley Road</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>To be sealed as part of the seal extension programme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Krippner Road</td>
<td>Puhoi</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>$370,000</td>
<td>$108,000</td>
<td>$50,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Smith Road</td>
<td>Matakana</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>$425,000</td>
<td>$173,000</td>
<td>$80,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wharehine Road</td>
<td>Wharehine</td>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>$655,000</td>
<td>$396,500</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Krippner Road</td>
<td>Puhoi</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>Sealed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tauhoa Road</td>
<td>Tauhoa</td>
<td>Warkworth / Wellsford border</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>$430,000</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
<td>$38,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dennis Road</td>
<td>Kourawhero</td>
<td>Warkworth</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>$920,000</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td>$141,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Rodney Road (Pakiri)</td>
<td>Pakiri</td>
<td>Warkworth / Wellsford border</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>$675,000</td>
<td>$260,000</td>
<td>$121,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>$5,270,000</td>
<td>$2,728,000</td>
<td>$1,216,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Table 2 shows the differences between the levels of service. Measures to mitigate these (lower speed limits, signage, guardrails etc.) will need to be assessed through detailed investigation of each of the 12 sites and final approvals for a departure from LoS 1 will need to be obtained within AT, therefore costs at this stage are indicative only.

Table 2 – Differences in LoS 1, 2 and 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria No</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>LoS 1 Improvements</th>
<th>LoS 2 Improvements</th>
<th>LoS 3 Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Carriageway Width</td>
<td>Sealed carriageway width 7.2 m</td>
<td>Maintain existing carriageway width with minor widening where possible</td>
<td>Maintain existing carriageway width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pavement</td>
<td>Modification of existing pavement material using conventional lime/cement stabilisation, min. 200 mm granular base course overlay and two</td>
<td>Reshaping existing carriageway profile and application of granular overlay prior to two coat chip seal</td>
<td>Some minor reshaping of carriageway profile and top up of existing granular material prior to two coat chip seal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 12

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Retaining Walls</td>
<td>Retaining walls where required</td>
<td>Retaining walls only where critical safety risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drainage</td>
<td>Drainage upgraded where required including kerb and channel, catch pits, existing culvert upgrade/replacement</td>
<td>Clearing and reshaping of roadside swale drains and minimal additional work such as existing culvert upgrade/replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Safety Features</td>
<td>Safety features where required such as guardrails, sight rail barriers, additional signage</td>
<td>Safety features where required such as guardrails, sight rail barriers, additional signage but limited due to the constraints of other key criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Design Life</td>
<td>25 Year Design Life</td>
<td>Varied service life based on treatment and site conditions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

17. AT has undertaken a robust process in prioritising roads for seal extension using the Araparera Joint Venture Funding. From 84 possible sites, a priority list of 29 sites was developed. Out of the 29 sites, 12 top priority sites have been further assessed and fully costed for LoS 1 seal improvement. Out of these 12 sites, AT has selected the top 5 at an estimated cost of $2,380,000 for sealing.

18. AT has also developed indicative costings for LoS 2 and 3 seal improvements that shows that more sites can potentially be sealed within the $2.8 million. However, this is subject to further detailed investigation to assess suitability with respect to safety, ongoing maintenance costs and design life criteria. Approval within AT for departure from LoS 1 seal improvement will also be required.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

19. The local board first discussed this matter in depth in 2016, when members asked AT to provide the list of roads that require sealing and are eligible for the fund – being those roads in the former northern riding of the Rodney District Council, excluding the townships of Wellsford, Warkworth, Snells Beach, Algies Bay, Kawau and the gulf islands. The local board requested that the roads be ranked based on the local board’s criteria and preferences (which differ from AT’s own weighting and criteria). The local board’s criteria were:

- Traffic Movements
- Dwellings per km (only dwellings within 100m from the road)
- Local amenities
- Gradient (%)
- Incidents (within the last 5 years)
- Heavy Commercial Vehicles (HCV).
20. The local board did not provide a steer on the roads they wished to see sealed, but provided feedback that they thought there would be better ‘bang for buck’ if the roads were cut into shorter lengths. This would allow more roads to be sealed in total, albeit in shorter continuous lengths.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

21. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori, or consideration of impacts and opportunities, will be carried out on an individual project basis.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

22. The intent and wording of the original resolution made it clear that when applied the proceeds of the forestry funds were to be ‘in addition to rates revenue’. This means that these funds were additional to any existing budgets allocated for roading purposes, and not to become a funding source for already budgeted works.

23. While the net proceeds from the Araparera Forestry Venture were earmarked for future roading purposes, the funds received were not put aside into a separate account. Therefore the financial implications for council will be the raising of debt to cover the costs incurred. This was always anticipated and simply reflects the council’s management of funds on an enterprise basis.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

24. For a LoS 1 seal improvement, the risks with respect to safety, ongoing maintenance costs and design life are generally mitigated through using ATCOP standards. AT has an annual programme for seal extensions and other project risks are managed as detailed design is undertaken.

25. The risks for a LoS 2 and 3 seal improvement can be significant (lower level of safety, higher maintenance costs, reduced asset life) and have to be assessed through detailed investigation. Mitigation of any risks may be possible but at additional costs.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

26. Once the sites for seal extension have been approved, AT will engage design consultants to:

- Carry out further site investigation of all sites and also to assess suitability of LoS 2 seal improvements (where required).
- Design and develop construction plans for each approved site.
- Develop the relevant Schedule of Prices and tender documents.
- Apply for consents (where required).

27. When all investigation and designs are completed, contractors will be procured for the construction, with the delivery managed through the new Physical Works contracts panel.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.
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<td>Kevin Ramsay, General Manager Corporate Finance and Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Tony McCartney, Group Manager, Assets and Maintenance, Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
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Rodney Atlas Site Strategic Assessment

File No.: CP2018/14294

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To adopt the Atlas Concrete Strategic Assessment 2018 (Attachment A) and agree the prioritised scenario for further investigation.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Atlas Concrete site represents a key opportunity to extend the functionality of the Warkworth Showgrounds and to provide high-quality open space for the Warkworth community. Auckland Council Park Services have undertaken the Atlas Concrete Feasibility Study to:
   a) Review the feasibility of the existing 2014 concept plan which influenced the assessment.
   b) Define a clear scope and a robust starting point to inform further detailed investigation and design.
   c) Identify opportunities and options to activate the site and provide a clear path to implementation; and
   d) Facilitate early iwi and community engagement.
3. Based on feedback from the local board, a preferred development scenario has been identified, which focuses on providing a connection to the Atlas Concrete site through the Warkworth Showgrounds and developing temporary car parking.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:
   a) adopt the Atlas Concrete Strategic Assessment 2018 (Attachment A) to the agenda report.
   b) prioritise Development Scenario One (Attachment A, p.65) for further investigation as funding becomes available.

Horopaki / Context
4. The location of the Atlas Concrete site is 80 Great North Road, Warkworth. The Warkworth Showgrounds are to the north of the site and Kowhai Scenic Reserve to the south. The site has two parcels of land: Lot 1 DP 150324 and Lot 2 DP 150324. Lot 1 is accessed off Great North Road and is bound to the east and north by two unnamed tributaries of the Mahurangi River. Lot 2 sits to the north of one the tributaries and is accessible from the Warkworth Showgrounds.
5. The site previously has been used for commercial and industrial activities. Buildings and infrastructure from this use remain on site. A concept plan was developed in 2014 which is discussed in the current feasibility assessment (Attachment A, p.39-45).
6. The assessment is aligned strategically to the following Auckland Council guiding documents:
   • The Rodney Local Board Plan 2017
7. The purpose of undertaking a strategic assessment is to identify the potential development options and provide a starting point for discussion with the local board and community and guide potential park improvements to provide quality open space across the network. These potential development options are high-level only and require further feasibility studies to fully understand the site opportunities and constraints.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

8. Site Analysis;
   a) The site has been organised into six areas:
      i) **Concrete Yard**: Used as a maintenance depot since at least 1949, the concrete yard is characterised by a large flat asphalt yard the slopes down toward the unnamed tributary of Mahurangi River. The yard has four single-story gable pitched roof buildings and shipping containers for storage, all clustered along the road boundary. The buildings on site have corrugated cement sheet roofs and external walls which are a potential source of asbestos. There is a truck wash area with interceptor to the north of the buildings in the centre of the yard. Vehicle access is from Great North Road.
      ii) **Knoll**: There is a small knoll at the foot of a larger ridgeline system. Historic use has not modified the landform. A regenerating forest of primarily exotic species with some natives and established exotic conifers dominate this area.
      iii) **Access**: Unused and abandoned formed and sealed vehicle access, with drainage along the southern edge make up this area. The seal is heavily degraded, and a range of invasive exotic species, including pampas grass cover the site.
      iv) **Storage Yard**: An unused and abandoned large, level terrace, has been cut into the site and sits 4 -7 meters below Great North Road. The seal is heavily degraded, and pampas grass dominates the site.
      v) **Creek Corridor**: a steep gully of ecologically significant established native forest, with a small pocket of regenerating forest, sits adjacent to the access way and stockyard. This creek system is a tributary of the Mahurangi River with limited flooding along its length. A former landfill is located along the Mahurangi River tributary at the south of the area between the ‘Storage Yard’ and Kowhai Park Reserve. The waste from the landfill was deposited in the 1990s and early 2000s, was derived from the depot operations and was used to fill a former limestone quarry. The fill material reportedly included asphalt, gravel, limestone, clay, topsoil, metal, manhole rings, tractor tyres and timber. In early 2000 the former Auckland Regional Council granted consents for stabilising the landfill (as it was slipping into the tributary) and for the discharge of leachate to ground and groundwater. Any redevelopment which occurs up-gradient of the former landfill will need to consider potential impacts of additional loadings on the stability of the landfill, and that surface water is directed away from the former landfill.
vi) **Rodeo:** This is a small area of level grass on the northern side of the creek. The area sits behind the rodeo complex and can only be accessed via the Warkworth Showgrounds.

9. **Assessment of Potential Development Scenarios;**
   a) Three development Scenarios are proposed in the assessment. The scenarios are proposed as options for starting the development process and activating the Atlas Concrete site. The scenarios can be pursued sequentially in any order or in parallel.

   **Scenario One:** focuses on the utilising the concrete yard area and providing a connection to the Atlas Concrete site through the Warkworth showgrounds and developing community infrastructure and amenity (Attachment A, p 65).

   **Scenario Two:** Focuses on activating the Access, Knoll and Storage yard areas, creating new walking connections through the site and making the Stockyard accessible to the public through a community placemaking approach (Attachment A, p 65).

   **Scenario Three:** Focuses on maintaining and enhancing the ecological function of the site by improved management of the creek corridor area (Attachment A, p.65).

   b) Feedback from the Local Board has identified Development Scenario One as the priority, with a focus on providing improved car parking.

   Development Scenario One has been broken into some key steps that identify the actions required to activate the site and provide safe access to the public:
   i. Make site safe and accessible to the community. This will require management of hazards on the site including asbestos roofs and soil impacted by asbestos fibres.
   ii. Commission detailed investigations; site survey, geotechnical assessment, building assessment, as-built documentation for existing building, traffic management assessment.
   iii. Design and implement interim car park and access through the Warkworth Showgrounds to provide functional outcomes for the community as soon as possible and to test and refine the design.
   iv. Engage the future occupant(s) and users of the community facility to co-design the facilities to meet the needs of the first occupants and to future-proof the building for adaptive reuse. Pending the outcomes of the technical investigation, the new facility should seek to reuse or adapt the existing structures.
   v. Provide a structure that is safe for public occupation and with the intention of hosting a series of events/classes/exhibitions over a month to test the needs and desires of the community.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

10. The Atlas Concrete Strategic Assessment is part of a programme designed by community parks to deliver on Outcomes from the Rodney Local Board Plan 2017. The outcomes that relate directly to this report are:
   a) Outcome: ‘We can get around easily and safely.’
   b) Outcome: ‘Parks and sports facilities that everyone can enjoy.’

11. The draft Atlas Concrete Site Feasibility Study was workshopped with the Rodney Local Board, Parks and Recreation Committee on 10 May 2018.
Item 13

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

12. The PSR 17/18 Work Programme was presented to the Parks Sport and Recreation North-western area Mana whenua Hui on 2 September 2017.

13. The work undertaken in the Parks and Places Team Work programme has been designed to enable meaningful engagement with iwi by outlining the potential project and the how it will deliver on the outcomes identified in the Rodney Local Board Plan. The intention is to provide enough information for iwi to efficiently provide input into the direction of the project before the design process begins.

14. The projects that are initiated by the programme will be presented again to the North-western area Hui. Iwi will have the opportunity to express interest in the projects and indicate how they would like to be involved in the project.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

15. To initiate projects based on activities in the Community Parks and Places work programme further Locally Driven Initiatives investment may be required. If the recommended outcomes are agreed, staff will work with the Local Board to identify possible opportunities for funding as part of the proposed Community Facilities work programme.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

16. There is an inherent risk in investing in investigation and design to initiate a project when there is no capital funding identified to deliver the physical work components.

17. The investigation and design phase of project delivery may identify issues that require the feasibility of the project to be reassessed.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

18. Detailed investigation and community engagement.

19. The Community Facilities Work Programme includes investigation and design for the proposed activity on the Atlas site. If a scenario as detailed above is approved, staff will work with the local board to progress the projects.

20. If the adopted Community Facilities work programme includes the proposed projects, public engagement will be undertaken to refine the scope of the project and inform further design elements.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
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<tr>
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<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
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<td>A</td>
<td>Atlas Concrete Site Strategic Assessment (Under Separate Cover)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Jeff Lyford - Parks Advisor - Rodney</th>
</tr>
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Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To adopt the Rodney Strategic Play Provision Assessment 2018 (Attachment A).

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Rodney Strategic Play Provision Assessment 2018 (Attachment A) was undertaken to identify opportunities to improve the network of play experiences in the Rodney Local Board area. The assessment identifies key outcomes through the analysis of the current parks play network provision.
3. The purpose of the Strategic Play Provision Assessment is to:
   a) Analyse and assess current parks network provision in the Rodney Local Board area to identify areas where projected population increase will place a demand on the parks network.
   b) Identify and evaluate opportunities and gaps in the network, to prioritise areas with most opportunity for development.
   c) Highlight opportunities for improving the diversity of experience across the network, including expression of Mana Whenua values, provision for cultural diversity, accessibility and environmental considerations in any potential upgrade.
   d) Develop high-level options, illustrating opportunities in the priority areas.
   e) Provide a tool for discussion and feedback between the Rodney Local Board, Auckland Council staff, Mana Whenua and communities.
4. The Play Provision Assessment proposes a programme to improve levels of service, responding to key outcomes in the Rodney Local Board Plan 2017 and Auckland Council’s strategic documents. The programme provides a strategic planning context to the provision of play equipment across the parks network.
5. The assessment will guide Parks specific improvements to the provision of play experiences in the Rodney area. Feedback received from the Local Board has been incorporated into the assessment and has informed the next steps that will enable projects to progress to investigation and design.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:

a) adopt the Rodney Strategic Play Provision Assessment (Attachment A) to assist the local board in making decisions to improve their network of play experiences.

Horopaki / Context
6. Play is what children and young people do when they follow their ideas and interests, in their own way, for their own reasons. It is how they explore and make sense of the world and learn to take responsibility for their own decisions. Play takes many varied forms and happens in a wide variety of settings. Play can be boisterous and noisy, quiet and contemplative, creative, imaginative, physically challenging, thoughtful, enjoyed alone or in the company of others, and may seem to have no purpose at all to the outside onlooker.
7. Places people play range from individual backyards, neighbourhood streets, local parks to formal play spaces, beaches, regional parks and town centres. A play network consists of a group of interconnected places where play is encouraged, both formally and informally, throughout a neighbourhood, community and the wider city.

8. The assessment (Attachment A) was developed in two stages. Using GIS, the first stage uses Auckland Transport population forecast data to indicate the areas of most growth within the Local Board area. Population growth information is overlaid with Auckland Council data to produce a high-level view of the current levels of park asset provision across Upper Harbour, to identify where the opportunities and gaps in the network are, in line with anticipated future population growth. The timing of when greenfield sites are developed, and indeed any areas of intense population increase, is likely to fluctuate as market pressures vary. Other factors such as road or public transport improvements also affect the way and where the population grows.

9. The assessment is aligned strategically with the following Auckland Council guiding documents;
   - The Rodney Local Board Plan 2017
   - Tākaro - Investing in Play (draft)
   - The Auckland Plan
   - Parks and Open Spaces Acquisition Policy 2013
   - Auckland Council Open Space Provision Policy 2016
   - Open Space Strategic Asset Management Plan 2015-2025
   - Parks and Open Space Strategic Action Plan 2013
   - Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan 2014-2024
   - Auckland Design Manual

10. A landscape architect and play specialist visited a selection of the parks most suitable for future development and investment. Where potential development options are identified as ‘easy wins’ or priority areas to address gaps in the network, these parks were selected to develop an indicative site arrangement plan.

11. The purpose of the potential development options is to provide a starting point for discussion with the local board and community and guide potential park improvements, to provide quality open space across the network. These potential development options are high-level only and require further feasibility studies to fully understand the site opportunities and constraints.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

12. The Rodney Local Board encompasses a significant geographical area, from the northern and western fringes of central Auckland City right up and around the Kaipara Harbour to the west and the Kowhai Coast in the east. A large array of rural and coastal villages, small townships, and rapidly-urbanising communities make up the area, which makes for a very diverse set of demographics, needs, and future planning requirements.

13. Most of Rodney’s 37 towns and communities have some formal play provision, generally consisting of at least a static play equipment module and a set of swings. The quality and distribution of play spaces vary greatly, with some communities well-served with both neighbourhood and community plays paces. Other communities have no play provision within a walkable distance from residential areas.

14. Due to the large geographic area, play in Rodney is currently less focused on one overarching play network, and more focused on developing micro-networks of play provision in townships and neighbouring communities, with some regional specialities.
15. **Play Network Assessment**

a) An uneven distribution of play provision is noticeable in some areas, with Kaukapakapa and Kumeu currently having no play provision at all. In contrast, Omaha has five neighbourhood play spaces, all focusing on play for young children. Warkworth has a mix of play opportunities for different age groups. However, the challenging traffic conditions through the township make it extremely difficult for children to access any of the play spaces independently.

b) There is a general lack of play opportunities for children aged 10 and over, and often the play provision is fragmented, with courts, skate ramp and large equipment pieces (such as flying foxes and big swings) located in different parks. Clustering these activities together and adding some teen-friendly hangout space and seating would give young people a social gathering place in their community.

c) A lack of diversity in play opportunities at the older and coastal play spaces is quite noticeable, with the same set of equipment in evidence everywhere. Most of this equipment is designed for, and popular with children of age range 2 - 9 years. Repetitive, standardised equipment very quickly loses its appeal and challenge and becomes under-utilised.

d) There is no specifically designed all-abilities play space in any community; many play spaces are modular equipment in a cushion fall bark box. This style of playground is very challenging to access, for both children and caregivers with limited mobility. Often there is no connecting path to the actual play equipment, even though a path network may exist in the wider park or reserve.

e) Areas which are forecasted to experience growth are least well-served with current play provision. In some cases, this is because existing rural land is zoned for Future Urban Land meaning the land use may change dramatically. This reinforces the need for coordinated provision of play spaces and local board involvement in new developments through the structure plan process. There is an opportunity to update the assessment as structure plans for future urban zones are completed.

f) Shade/sun smart provision is lacking in most places, with the majority of play spaces having neither shade sails or large trees shading the play equipment and seating.

16. **Opportunities to improve the network of play experiences**

a) There is great opportunity to enrich the play experience for all ages, abilities, and cultures across the Rodney Local Board area, and reflect the unique historical, cultural and ecological landscapes of each community. The local play space should help tell the story of its community, river, beach, iwi, settlers, forest and railroad, so that the play experience varies between Puhoi, Snells Beach, Taupaki and Te Hana. Play space(s) should also add to play opportunities in each community, and not duplicate what is on offer at the local school which is generally open to the community out-of-hours.

b) Creative use of renewals budgets is key to revitalising play spaces in the communities that will not experience major population growth. Revitalisation can be achieved by adding more variation and challenge to equipment types, additional planting, nature play elements (logs, rocks, or other local materials). Varying the surfacing to include sand in beach locations and local art, carving or sculpture are also options. In addition, ‘Play along the Way’ can be encouraged through playful paint marking on footpaths, and small interventions such as stepping stones, balance logs, or a sculpture piece.

c) Universal/accessible design principles need to be at the forefront of renewals, to ensure all community members have access to a formal playspace and have some choice in the kind of play experience they would like to enjoy either on their own or in the company of friends and family.

d) Focus on creating a nodal network in new growth areas, using the Open Space Policy 2016 metrics to ensure a larger community play space with play opportunities for older
children is being considered within a 1000 -1500m walk in new residential areas, as well as more frequent neighbourhood play spaces (400 - 600m walk), depending on the density of development.

e) The larger towns (Kumeu/Huapai, Riverhead and Warkworth in particular) need variety in their play offering.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

17. The Parks Sport and Recreation Work Programme 2017/2018 was approved by the Rodney Local Board on 15 June 2017 (RODPC/2017/13) A strategic assessment of play provision in Rodney was included in the programme.

18. A workshop was held with the local board on 14 June 2018, when the Parks and Places Specialist presented the Strategic Play Provision Assessment (Attachment A) as an 80% draft. Based on feedback received at this workshop, staff prepared the final draft.

19. The projects align to outcomes in the Rodney Local Board Plan: **Outcome 3**: ‘Parks and sports facilities that everyone can enjoy’ which aspires to provide “... local parks and sports facilities [which] cater to a wide range of sporting and recreational interests. They are easily accessible, connecting our towns, villages and growth areas”.

   Key opportunities identified include:

   a) **Communities can access quality parks, reserves and natural spaces nearby.**

   b) **Our communities have great local options for indoor and outdoor sports and recreation.**

   c) **Our play areas are active zones that cater to the needs of all ages and abilities.**

20. One key initiative of Outcome C above, is to “revitalise existing playgrounds, and build new play spaces where needed, with more challenging or multi-use equipment fit for a variety of age groups.” This strategic assessment directly responds to that initiative and empowers the local board to identify where those play spaces should be prioritised.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

21. The Parks Sport and Recreation Work Programme 2017/2018 was presented to the Parks Sport and Recreation North-western area Mana Whenua Hui on 2 September 2017.

22. The work undertaken in the Parks and Places Team Work programme has been designed to enable meaningful engagement with iwi by outlining the potential project and the how it will deliver on the outcomes identified in the Local Board plan. The intention is to provide enough information for iwi to efficiently provide input into the direction of the project before the design process begins.

23. The projects that are initiated by the programme will be presented again to the North-western area Hui. Iwi will have the opportunity to express interest in the projects and indicate how they would like to be involved in the project.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

24. To initiate projects based on activities in Community Parks and Places work programme further LDI investment may be required. If the recommended outcomes are agreed, staff will work with the Local Board to identify possible opportunities for funding as part of the proposed Community facilities work programme.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

25. There is an inherent risk in investing in investigation and design to initiate a project when there is no capital funding identified to deliver the physical work components.
26. The investigation and design phase of project delivery may identify issues that require the feasibility of the project to be reassessed.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

27. The Strategic Play Provision Assessment is designed to agree on desired play outcomes at a network level. Detail on the activities that will deliver on the agreed outcomes will require detailed investigation and community engagement.

28. The Community Facilities Work Programme includes investigation and design for both the renewal of existing, and the development of new, playgrounds. Staff will work with the local board to progress the projects in line with the Strategic Parks Play Provision Assessment.

29. The adopted Community Facilities work programme includes the prosed projects, public engagement will be undertaken to refine the scope of the project and inform further design elements.
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Rodney Toilet Provision Assessment

File No.: CP2018/14317

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To adopt the Rodney Reserve Toilet Provision Assessment (Attachment A).

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Rodney Reserve Toilet provision assessment study was undertaken to review in detail the current network provision of public toilets within the Rodney Local Board area. A total of 70 public toilets have been identified, excluding those within regional parks. Various factors have been considered to determine if additional public toilet provision and upgrade to facilities is required to service local parks.
3. Following feedback from the Rodney Local Board Parks and Recreation Committee, the Waimauku and Riverhead areas have been identified as priorities where further investigation is required.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:

a) adopt the Rodney Reserve Toilet Provision Assessment 2018 (Attachment A to the agenda report).
b) prioritise the Riverhead and Waimauku areas for further investigation of options to improve toilet provision.

Horopaki / Context
4. The Rodney Public Toilet Provision Study (Attachment A) summarises the evaluation of the current public toilet provision within key locations in the Rodney Local Board area. Toilets within regional parks are not included as part of the analysis and are shown for reference only.
5. The purpose of this study is to provide the basis for Auckland Council and the Rodney Local Board to make informed decisions, identify priority locations and constraints, and understand current public toilet provision across the Rodney Local Board area. The key outcome is to guide the provision of new toilets and upgrades to existing facilities.
6. Service provision reviews identify the potential development options and provide a starting point for discussion with the local board and community. They also guide potential park improvements, to provide quality open spaces across the network. These potential development options are high-level only and require further feasibility studies to understand the site opportunities and constraints fully.
7. The assessment is aligned strategically to the following Auckland Council guiding documents;
   - The Rodney Local Board Plan 2017
   - Tākaro - Investing in Play (draft)
   - The Auckland Plan
   - Parks and Open Spaces Acquisition Policy 2013
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

8. Based on the analysis undertaken within the Rodney Local Board area, the current level of public toilet provision is sufficient in most areas. There may be some renewals needed mainly in Kaukapakapa, Helensville, Parakai and Wharehine. Provision of new public toilets in Omaha and Riverhead is needed. The rest of the towns will need additional toilets in the future to support the anticipated population increase (Coatesville, Waimauku, Dairy Flat and Waitoki). In Warkworth, Omaha and Kaukapakapa, improved signage could increase the visibility of existing toilet facilities.

9. Where public toilets also service local parks, various factors have been considered to determine if there is a requirement for additional public toilet provision or an upgrade to existing facilities. These factors are whether;
   a) The location is close to, or at a destination.
   b) The location is a pass-through town or near any major roads or state highways.
   c) There is a high volume of tourist traffic (both local and international).
   d) There is proximity to any major parks/reserves.

   The visibility and condition of the current public toilet was also considered.

10. Based on these factors, the areas identified as requiring improved provision or lacking facilities altogether are:
   a) Omaha, which is a small beach town with white sandy beaches and clear water with a harbour safe for swimming. It is a popular destination for families and water sports enthusiasts. The current toilet provision is slightly lacking, with all of the public toilets in Omaha concentrated on one side of the town, and not all easily accessible from the beach. The only toilet that serves the beach is in the Surf Club building located in William Fraser Reserve.

   The public toilet provision in Omaha is insufficient to meet the demand from both locals and tourists. New toilet facilities will need to be considered shortly to serve the beach and reserve users and the locals from the other side of town. Consideration of options to improve the existing toilets is included in the Community Facilities Work Programme 2018/2019.

   b) Warkworth is a riverside town with several parks and reserves near the town centre. Warkworth is also a key gateway and pass-through town for locals and tourists travelling north. There is also a large area indicated as future urban zone in the Auckland Unitary Plan. Future development in this area is not considered in this analysis and will undergo another review process to provide for the growth. Of current public toilet provision, only the toilets in Kowhai Park and the Warkworth service centre serve the majority of the public. Other toilets mainly serve the users of the parks or reserves in which they are located.

   Warkworth’s current public toilet provision is sufficient to serve the reserve users. However, during the holiday season and peak periods, there may be a significant increase in public toilet demand, primarily when most tourists use Warkworth as a pass-through to other destinations. Further investigation could be made to determine if a new public toilet facility is needed to support the higher demand during high season and if signage can be improved within the centre of the town.
c) Coatesville is a rural community with a relatively small population. It is near two large scenic reserves and large open space (Coatesville Recreation Reserve), where Coatesville Pony Club and the public share access. Coatesville School use the ground for their sports events. A public toilet is currently not provided in Coatesville. New toilet provision within Coatesville Recreation Reserve could be considered in the future as demand by the local population increases and puts greater pressure on the reserve space. No immediate action is recommended until ongoing demand is monitored.

d) Riverhead is at the head of the Waitemata Harbour, off State Highway 16 (SH16). There are several parks and reserves within Riverhead township. Significant growth has occurred due to recent residential development. There is one public toilet within Riverhead, located in the war memorial park serving mainly the sportsfield and playground users. The toilet is unlikely to meet the demand of the increased population despite being centrally located. Further public toilet provision will be needed to serve visitors to the reserves in the area.

e) Waimauku is a small town located at the junction of SH16 and Muriwai Road. Waimauku acts as a pass-through town to Muriwai or for those visitors travelling up SH16 towards Helensville and beyond. Currently there is no public toilet provision within Waimauku, with several open spaces including a large park used by the pony club and scouts group. The optimal location for a new toilet would be within the existing town centre area. Any new toilets will require further investigation to identify a feasible location.

11. Feedback from the Rodney Local Board Parks and Recreation Committee supports Waimauku and Riverhead as priorities where further investigation should be carried out.
   a) Waimauku; The Provision Assessment (Attachment A p.10) suggests the ideal location would be within the existing town centre area to serve the widest catchment of users. The next best locations would be the corner of SH16 and Factory Road (Option 01) or Glasgow Park (Option 02).

   Glasgow Park best serves reserve users, whereas option 01 best serves tourists and through traffic.

   b) Riverhead; Future toilet provision at both Murray Jones Park and Deacon Point Development Reserve requires investigation. Deacon Point Development Reserve, in particular, should be investigated, as there is an opportunity there for a high-profile new reserve. (Attachment A, p11).

12. Through workshop discussions with the local board, it has been identified that there may be an option to provide temporary toilets in locations that experience high seasonal demand. An additional option is to provide high quality temporary toilet facilities in locations that do not detract from the overall visitor experience. Locally Driven Initiative Opex would be required to trial this approach.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

13. The projects align to outcomes in the Rodney Local Board Plan 2017 outcome 3: ‘Parks and sports facilities that everyone can enjoy’ which aspires to provide “… local parks and sports facilities [which] cater to a wide range of sporting and recreational interests. They are easily accessible, connecting our towns, villages and growth areas”.

Key opportunities identified include:

a) Communities can access quality parks, reserves and natural spaces nearby.

b) Our communities have great local options for indoor and outdoor sports and recreation.

c) Our play areas are active zones that cater to the needs of all ages and abilities.
14. The draft Rodney Public Toilet Provision Study was workshopped with the Rodney Local Board, Parks and Recreation Committee on 10 May 2018.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

15. The Parks Sport and Recreation Work Programme 2017/2018 was presented to the Parks Sport and Recreation North-western area Mana Whenua Hui on 2 September 2017.

16. The work undertaken in the Parks and Places Team Work programme has been designed to enable meaningful engagement with iwi by outlining the potential project and the how it will deliver on the outcomes identified in the Local Board Plan. The intention is to provide enough information for Iwi to efficiently provide input into the direction of the project before the design process begins.

17. The projects that are initiated by the programme will be presented again to the North-western area Hui. Iwi will have the opportunity to express interest in the projects and indicate how they would like to be involved in the project.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

18. To initiate projects based on activities in Community Parks and Places work programme further Locally Driven Initiative investment may be required. If the recommended outcomes are agreed, staff will work with the local board to identify possible opportunities for funding as part of the proposed Community Facilities work programme.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

19. There is an inherent risk in investing in investigation and design to initiate a project when there is no capital funding identified to deliver the physical work components.

20. The investigation and design phase of project delivery may identify issues that require the feasibility of the project to be reassessed.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**


22. The Community Facilities work programme includes investigation and design for investigating the provision toilets in Rodney. If the Rodney Public Toilet Provision Assessment is approved, staff will work with the Local Board to progress the projects.

23. If the adopted Community Facilities work programme includes the proposed projects, public engagement will be undertaken to refine the scope of the project and inform further design elements.
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OVERALL STUDY AREA

CONTEXT
This study summarises the evaluation of the current public toilet provisions within identified key locations in the Rodney Local Board area. Regional park toilets within the area are shown for reference only and not part of the analysis.

PURPOSE
The purpose of this study is to provide the basis for Auckland Council and the Rodney Local Board to make informed decisions, identify priority locations, constraints and understand current public toilet provisions across the Rodney Local Board area. The outcome being to guide provision of new toilets and/or upgrades to existing facilities.

SCOPE LIMITATIONS
This study shows the general locality of current identified public toilets, their connectivity to adjacent parks, reserves and facilities, existing services, path networks and any identified constraints. Visibility/ease of access and condition of the identified public toilets have been ranked with ratings for visibility from 1 to 3 (highly visible to hidden) and condition from 0 to 4 (new to failed/shut).

KEY
- State highway
- Railway
- Te Aroa regional trail
- Anzac Park N101 holiday highway
- Key location included in study area
- Public toilets not located within key location
- Public toilets within key locations in study area
- Public toilets within Regional parks
- Rodney Local Board extent
- Steets layout Locality map
- Top destination passes through towns

Figure 01: Key locations included in study area
Figure 02: Public toilet locations across the study area
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ANALYSIS SUMMARY

ANALYSIS

The study has completed a detailed review of the current spatial network within Rodney local board area in relation to the current public toilet provision. 17 public toilets have been identified, not including those within regional parks (Mauao as an exception due to its very high visitor numbers).

From the analysis, various factors have been considered to determine if the towns require further public toilet provision and/or upgrades to facilities as the toilets also service local parks eg. The playground and village green.

Factors being considered:

- Is the location close to or an actual destination
- Is it a pass through town or more of a major route or state highways
- Is there high tourist traffic (local and international)
- Are there close proximity to any major parks/reserves
- What is the visibility and condition of the current public toilet

Based on the factors mentioned above, towns have been identified as requiring better provision or lacking facilities altogether as follows:

Omaha:

Omaha being a destination for local and international tourists, has various parks/reserves within close proximity. The current toilet provision is lacking with toilets all located towards one end of Omaha serving only half of the township. Most of the toilets are somewhat less visible or obvious to visitors and don't serve beach-goers particularly well. Consideration to provide a new public toilet at the other side of Omaha is needed to meet the demand and offer better signage for existing facilities. (Refer to following detailed analysis sheet)

Workworth:

Workworth is located on state highway 1 and being the largest pass-through town on the way up north, there is a higher demand for public toilet facilities to meet the amount of human traffic in this area. From the wider analysis there is a large area being indicated as a future urban area, future development will require another review process to provide for the growth and not be considered for this analysis. Currently Workworth has five public toilets, three of them are mainly serving the immediate users of the parks/reseve in which they are located, and two serving the rest of the public. There is the possibility to provide a new public toilet near the local town centre to meet the high demand, however this is not necessarily needed. A more detailed investigation may be required to determine if the current facility in the town centre is able to serve for town centre visitors. Overall, better signage could improve the situation for visitors. (Refer to following detailed analysis sheet)

Kuhiotane and Waitakki:

Kuhiotane and Waitakki have no current public toilet provision, both being a small town and not close to any destination or major parks and reserves these areas are not considered priorities.

Dairy Flat:

Dairy Flat has no current public toilet provision. Looking at the analysis, there is a large area being indicated as a future urban area. Future development will have another review process to provide for the growth and not be considered for this analysis. Currently Dairy Flat has a small population and is not close to any destination or major parks and reserves, these areas are not considered priorities.

Costestville:

Currently there is no public toilet provision within Costestville, being close to several large walk parks and reserves consideration was made for future public toilet provision however this is not necessarily needed in the short term. (Refer to following detailed analysis sheet)

Riverhead:

Currently Riverhead has one public toilet located in the War Memorial park, where the population is serving many of the users of these facilities. Knowing that new residential development is being developed on the south side of town, there will be an increased demand for public toilets to serve the growing local population. Murray Jones Park is one of the major parks in Riverhead where the locals frequent, however this park is located a distance away from the public toilet. From the above analysis there is a potential need to provide new public toilets to serve the increase in population, possibly near Murray Jones park and within the new residential development area. (Refer to following detailed analysis sheet)

Waimauku:

Waimauku has no current toilet provision. It is a local hub and a pass-through town for tourists travelling out to Manukau or onwards North along SH6. There is some possibility to provide public toilet facility in this location. (Refer to following detailed analysis sheet)

Kaukapakapa:

There are currently two public toilets within Kaukapakapa, one of which is located in Stirling park on Mackay road and the other in the town hall and library reserve. The toilet in Stirling park is in poor condition and not visible to easily accessible, mainly serving the park users. The other toilet located in the town hall and library reserve is near the local town centre with a large car-parking area up front, however it looks more like an amenity toilet. Possibly with improved signage making the toilet more visible this toilet should be sufficient to serve the small town of Kaukapakapa.

Helmerton:

Located on state highway 10, Helmont is a pass-through town for tourists driving along the west coast. After passing in Helmont there are two of them are somewhat hidden away, one in the A&P show grounds the other in Hautewhiriwahi park both mainly serve the park users. The toilet in Hautewhiriwahi park in poor condition, there might be a need to renew the toilet to provide for the park users. The main toilet that serves the tourist and locals is located right in the local town centre, visible and easily accessible and this toilet should be able to meet the ongoing needs of the town.

Wharenui:

The current toilet facility is Stables landing reserve is currently shut down there might be a need to renew the toilet to serve the reserve users.
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**ANALYSIS**

Omaha is a small beach town with white sand beaches and clear water with a harbour for swimming. It is a popular destination for families and water sport enthusiasts.

The current toilet provision is slightly lacking, with all of the public toilets in Omaha concentrated on one side of the town and not easily accessible from the beach. The only toilet that serves the beach well is in the Surf club building located in William Fraser Reserve.

The three existing toilets are as follows:

- Omaha beach what public toilet is a single unit toilet that mainly serves the people using the wharf to load or unload their boat.
- Omaha reserve public toilet is located inside of the Omaha Country Club building, not very visible to the public. This toilet mainly serves the tennis court in Omaha reserve or the golf and bowling club users.
- The toilet in William Fraser Reserve is inside of the surf club building located near a playground and close to the local town centre. This toilet is the only toilet that serves the beach users and most of the public. While being reasonably visible, it does feel somewhat private in nature.

**Conclusion:**

The public toilet provision in Omaha is somewhat insufficient to meet the demand from both locals and tourists. New toilet facilities will need to be considered in the near future to serve the beach and reserve users and the locals from the other half of town.

Three possible locations have been identified for additional public toilet facilities.

**Possible location:**

1. *Tuha Place Reserve*

   Tuha Place reserve has a possible playground, a tennis court and access to the beach. The reserve is a possible location for a new public toilet, possibly located next to the playground. However, due to space constraints and locality, this location might not be the most ideal.

2. *Fukematako Reserve*

   Fukematako reserve is an ideal location for a new public toilet facility, having a large open space near a decent sized playground, tennis court and carparking right in front of the reserve and access to Omaha beach. A new public toilet in this location will be able to serve a larger catchment of users.

3. *Rahui Te Kiri Reserve*

   Rahui Te Kiri reserve consists of a large open space, a footpath access to Omaha beach. With a large open space this reserve can be used large events and festivals within Omaha. There is a possible public toilet location mainly serving the immediate residents or users of the reserve.
ANALYSIS

Workworth is a riverside town with several parks and reserves in close proximity to the town centre. Workworth is a key gateway and pass-through town for locals, tourists, and the north.

Looking at the analysis map, there is a large area being indicated as a future urban area. Future development will have another review process to provide for the growth and will not be considered for this analysis. Looking at the current public toilet provision, only the toilets in Koroha Park and the Workworth service centre serve the majority of the public. Others serve the immediate users of the parks or reserves that they are located within.

The six existing toilets are as follows:

- Workworth show grounds public toilet is located within the showgrounds. Mainly serves the users of the showgrounds facilities.
- Ravina Park toilet is highly visible, located at the junction of State Highway 1 and Mutukura Road where most tourists have easy access. The toilets here will serve most of the passing tourist demand as well as local use.
- Workworth service centre toilet is located within the local town centre, next to the information centre. This public toilet serves most of the locals and tourists however it is poorly signposted, general public, mainly tourists, might not know it is going past. Better signage could be implemented to improve its visibility.
- Shoemith domain recreation reserve public toilet is located within the sportfield area. The toilet is closed when the field is not in use making it not accessible at all times and serving mainly the users of the sportfield and not general public.
- The Cement works is a historic site where the ruins of the old Mahurangi cement works are. Located next to the Workworth quarry lake. The new public toilet block serves mainly the visitors of this area and users of Mahurangi marina.
- Ferry Kauri Park is also where the Workworth district museum is located. With its boardwalk through the native bush, this park is destination for locals and tourists. The public toilet in the park mainly serves the immediate museum and park users not general public, however, does appear to be open for general public use.

Conclusions:

From the above analysis, Workworth’s current public toilet provision is sufficient to serve the reserve users however during holiday season and peak periods, there may be a drastic increase in public toilet demand. This warrants most tourist use Workworth as a pass-through to other destinations. Further investigation could be made to determine if a new public toilet facility is needed to support the higher demand during high season and if signage can be improved within the centre of the town.
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ANALYSIS

Coatesville is a rural community with a relatively small population. It is located near two large scenic reserves and a large open space (Coatesville Recreation Reserve) that is shared between the pony club and general public. Coatesville schools use the ground for their sports events and it is for grazed by a local sheep farmer during winter. A public toilet is currently not provided in Coatesville. A new toilet provision could be considered to be located within Coatesville recreation reserve as demand by the local population increases and puts greater pressure on the reserve space.

Possible location:

01. Coatesville Recreation Reserve
   - A new public toilet facility could be located at the corner of Glencoe road and Coatesville - Riverhead highway in the reserve.
   - Being close to the local town centre and visible from the road.
   - The toilet in this location will be able to serve the town centre and reserve users. The suggested location is within the Pony club area, negotiation with the club will be required.

02. Coatesville Settlers Hall
   - Currently there is a toilet in Coatesville Settlers Hall but its not for open public usage. Potential to negotiate with the community to allow public access to the toilet at the back off the hall. Position not as ideal as option 01.

Conclusion:

No immediate action required, monitor demand for possible future new toilet as noted in the options above.
ANALYSIS

Riverhead is located at the head of the Whangaparaoa Harbour off state highway 16. Several parks and reserves are located within Riverhead township, and due to its locality and availability of land there is an increased growth through recent residential development in Riverhead.

There is one public toilet within Riverhead located in the war memorial park serving mainly the squarefield and playground users. Even though the toilet is centrally located it is unlikely to be able to meet the demand of the increased population. Further public toilet provision will be needed in the future to better serve the locals and visitors to destination parks.

Possible location:

01. Murray Jones Reserve
Murray Jones reserve is a highly used park within Riverhead, close to the river and historic mill this park is a local destination. Families frequent this reserve for picnic and locals swim and stay at the river. Murray Jones reserve is an ideal location for a new public toilet that will better serve the locals and visitors at the northern end of town.

02. Beacon Point development reserve
Beacon Point reserve is located on a prominent tip of the new residential development. After development this reserve will be the next destination for local and nearby residents. A new public toilet might be needed in the future for a larger destination type reserve and to support the proposed greenway link being established.

Looking at the analysis map there is a large area being indicated as a future urban area. Future development will have another review process to provide for the growth and will not be considered for this analysis.

Conclusion:

Future toilet provision should be provided for at both Murray Jones Park and Beacon Point Development Reserve. In particular Beacon Point Development Reserve as there is an opportunity for a high profile destination play area.
**ANALYSIS**

Waimauku is a small town located at the junction of State highway 16 and Newlands Road. Waimauku acts as a pass-through town to Muriwai or for those visitors carrying on SH16 towards Helensvale and beyond. Currently there is no public toilet provision within Waimauku with several open spaces: a large park used by the pony club and a train station near the local town centre. Generally demand does not seem significant and the most optimal location would be within the existing town centre area. This would however require further investigation to identify a feasible location.

Possible location:

01. At the corner of State highway 16 and Factory road
   There is a large unauthorised carpark at this corner of the road that forms a gateway park into the town. Being reasonably close to the local town centre and highly visible from State Highway 16, this is a suitable location for the new public toilet facility. This reserve is not part of the parks and reserve department and negotiations will be needed with relevant authorities.

02. Glasgow park
   Glasgow park hall and playcentre is located within Glasgow park, the park itself is mainly used by Waimauku pony club. There is a current toilet located in Glasgow park hall but it is not available to public, potential to allow public usage of the hall’s toilet. Or alternatively add a standalone toilet for reserve users.

03. Waimauku war memorial hall
   A public toilet could be located within the hall, however, the location is not ideal to best serve the wider catchment of users.

**Conclusion**

Current analysis suggests that a public toilet facility is not immediately required but could be of benefit in the long term. The most ideal location would be within the existing town centre area to serve the greatest catchment of users. The next best location would be option 01 and 02 above. Glasgow park best serves reserve users, whereas option 01 best serves tourists and through traffic.
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To provide the Rodney Local Board with an integrated report for quarter four, 1 April to 30 June, and the overall performance for the financial year, against the agreed 2017/2018 local board work programme.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. This report provides an integrated view of performance for the Rodney Local Board and includes financial performance and delivery against work programmes for the 2017/2018 financial year.

3. Fifty-one per cent of the activities within the agreed work programmes were delivered including multi-year projects that have progressed as expected. There were 56 activities undelivered, cancelled, put on hold or deferred and 17 multi-year projects/activities have not progressed as expected during 2017/2018.

4. Key highlights for quarter four include:
   - Handover of the Point Wells Hall to community management (Ref 358)
   - Allocation of the remainder of the Rodney Targeted Event Fund to the Kumeu Brass 2018 Concert services, Arts in the Ville, Mahurangi Winter Festival of Lights and a Matariki Event (Ref 182)
   - Planting of 248 fruit trees in residential streets throughout Rodney (Ref 2787)
   - Granting of a lease to the Mahurangi East Tennis Club on the Goodall Reserve (Ref 1442)

5. Key activity achievements from the 2017/2018 work programme include:
   - **Kowhai Park - renew walkway, car park and toilet**: Kowhai Park toilets and park re-development has been undertaken and a celebration held to re-open the Kowhai Park following recent works including a new parking area, toilets, landscaping and a pedestrian bridge (Ref 3403)
   - **Community-led placemaking: Town centre developments**: Huapai Hub consultation, plans were agreed by the community and funds allocated by the local board for implementation of the first stage. A ground breaking working bee was held to mark the commencement of works (Ref 624)
   - **Rodney Healthy Harbours Riparian Restoration Fund**: $232,000 has been allocated to undertake riparian planting and stock proof fencing in order to improve water quality under the local board’s Healthy Harbours Fund (Ref 359)
   - **Rural Halls Rodney**: Coatesville Hall and Point Wells Halls were ‘handed over’ to the community for management (Ref 358)

6. Key activities not delivered / not progressed as expected include:
   - **Rodney - renew coastal assets 2018-19**: This project relates to coastal structures in Warkworth. It has been determined that the condition rating of these structures is average and as such a comprehensive renewal is not required at this time. The project has been cancelled (Ref 2641)
• **Kumeu Arts Centre - LDI capital grant - improve assets**: This project has been delayed while various design options were being investigated. A preferred option has been reached in discussion with the Kumeu Arts Centre representatives and this will be reported to the board later in the year (Ref 3297)

• **Kumeu Library - replace roof, external walls & ceiling**: This is a multi-year project which requires confirmation of scope and budget. Assessments of the building have been undertaken and remedial works are being carried out while options for the buildings future are considered (Ref 3044)

7. The 2017/2018 financial performance report is attached. There are some points for the board to note:

- Overall, the operational net cost of service of the board was $11.8 million, which was 93% against the revised budget for the year.
- Revenue was slightly behind revised budget for the year by 19% due to lower than anticipated takings at various community facilities.
- The majority of Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) projects were either completed, or budgets carried forward to 2018/2019 to ensure completion.
- Capital expenditure delivery was $7.2 million against a revised budget of $11.4 million for the year. Major projects completed include the Shelly Beach Seawall, Omaha Groyne renewal, fields 1 and 2 and netball lighting at Warkworth Showgrounds, Rautawhiri Park court renewals, Riverhead War Memorial sand field renewals, Huapai Domain sandfield and lighting renewals, Kowhai Park toilet renewal and a playground renewal at William Fraser Reserve.

8. Performance measures results from the 10 Year Budget Long-term Plan 2015-2025 were included in the previous quarterly performance reports. The results are included as a separate agenda item entitled “Draft Annual Report 2017/2018 – Local Board report”.

**Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s**

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) receive the performance report for the financial quarter and year ending 30 June 2018.

**Horopaki / Context**

9. The Rodney Local Board has an approved 2017/2018 work programme for the following operating departments:

- Arts, Community and Events; approved on 15 June 2017
- Parks, Sport and Recreation; approved on 15 June 2017
- Libraries and Information; approved on 15 June 2017
- Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew; approved on 15 June 2017
- Community Leases; approved on 15 June 2017
- Infrastructure and Environmental Services; approved on 18 May 2017.

**Key highlights for quarter four**

10. The key achievements to report from the quarter four period include:

- Handover of the Point Wells Hall to community management (Ref 358)
• Allocation of the remainder of the Rodney Targeted Event Fund to the Kumeu Brass 2018 Concert services, Arts in the Ville, Mahurangi Winter Festival of Lights and a Matahiki Event (Ref 182)

• Celebration of eight ANZAC day services in Rodney which were supported with local board funding (Ref 157)

• Planting of 248 fruit trees in residential streets throughout Rodney (Ref 2787)

• Consultation events for Greenways Plans held in Kaukapakapa, Helensville and Coatesville. Feedback provided will inform the draft plans (Ref 1115)

• Granting of a lease to the Mahurangi East Tennis Club on the Goodall Reserve (Ref 1442)

**Overall performance against the Rodney Local Board 2017/18 work programme**

11. Fifty-one per cent of the activities in the agreed work programme have been delivered as expected. This percentage includes multi-year projects/activities that have progressed as expected. There were 56 activities undelivered, cancelled, put on hold or deferred.

12. The Red Amber Green (RAG) status reflects the delivery at the end of the financial year: Red = incomplete, Amber = multi-year project/activity which has not progressed as expected for 2017/2018, Green = activity delivered as expected or multi-year project/activity which has progressed as expected for 2017/2018. The year-end RAG status for each department work programme is shown in Table 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAG</th>
<th>Red</th>
<th>Amber</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Percentage of activities delivered as expected (Green RAG status)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On Hold, Deferred</td>
<td>Cancelled*</td>
<td>Not delivered</td>
<td>Activity Status (multi-year activities not progressed as expected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leases</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I&amp;ES</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATEED</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: During the delivery of the work programmes some activities have been combined together for efficiency of delivery. Where this is the case, progress for each of the combined activities has been reported against the primary activity in the quarterly update (Attachment B). The remaining of the combined activities are identified as cancelled with an amber RAG status to differentiate from other cancelled activities and are not included in the overall delivery performance figures or the snapshot (Attachment A).
13. The snapshot (attachment A), shows overall performance against the Rodney Local Board’s agreed 2017/2018 work programmes. Operating departments have provided a quarterly update against their work programme delivery (attachment B).

Key activity achievements from the 2017/2018 work programme

14. The key achievements in the delivery of the local board work programmes for full 2017/2018 financial year include:

- **Kowhai Park - renew walkway, car park and toilet**: Kowhai Park toilets and park redevelopment has been undertaken and a celebration held to re-open the Kowhai Park following recent works including a new parking area, toilets, landscaping and a pedestrian bridge (Ref 3403)

- **Community-led placemaking: Town centre developments**: Huapai Hub consultation, plans were agreed by the community and funds allocated by the local board for implementation of the first stage. A ground breaking working bee was held to mark the commencement of works. Additionally the Warkworth community were supported to compile a Warkworth Aspirations document which outlines community priorities for the town centre (Ref 624)

- **Whangateau Reserve No 3 Floodlighting Renewal**: The Whangateau Reserve renewal of flood lighting has been completed (Ref 3268)

- **Rodney Healthy Harbours Riparian Restoration Fund**: $232,000 has been allocated to undertake riparian planting and stockproof fencing in order to improve water quality under the local board’s Healthy Harbours Fund (Ref 359)

- **Rural Halls Rodney**: Coatesville Hall and Point Wells Halls were ‘handed over’ to the community for management (Ref 358)

- **Rautawhiri Park - renew courts & Rodney and Recreational walkways and bike trails**: Significant work has been undertaken at Rautawhiri Park, Helensville including the completion of the netball court renewal and commencement of work on the tennis court and perimeter path (Ref 2636 and 2640)

- **William Fraser Reserve - renew playground**: William Fraser Reserve Playground in Omaha has been renewed (Ref 3272)

- **Riverhead War Memorial Park: Riverhead Playgroup Incorporated and Sandspit Yacht Club Incorporated**: Granting of leases to the Riverhead Playgroup Incorporated for the Riverhead War Memorial Park and Sandspit Yacht Club were granted (Ref 1430 and 2861)

- **Rodney conservation volunteers programme 2017/2018**: Support provided throughout Rodney for volunteers to undertake planting which included hundreds of hours and thousands of plants (Ref 888)

- **Targeted Events - Rodney**: Allocation of the remainder of the Rodney Targeted Event Fund for the Kumeu Brass 2018 Concert services, Arts in the Ville, Mahurangi Winter Festival of Lights, a Matariki Event, Kowhai Festival as well as a number of A&P Shows and Christmas parades (Ref 182)

Overview of work programme achievement by department

**Arts, Community and Events work programme**

15. The percentage of Arts, Community and Events work programme activities delivered is 100 per cent.

**Parks, Sport and Recreation work programme**

16. The percentage of Parks, Sport and Recreation work programme activities delivered is 50 per cent. There are five activities that are Red / ‘Not delivered’ and no activities that are
Amber/ ‘In progress’ (multi-year projects that have not progressed as expected). Activities that were not completed or progressed as expected (Red and Amber RAG status) are discussed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity name</th>
<th>RAG status</th>
<th>Activity status</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kumeu/Huapai/Helensville indoor sports investigation 2017/2018 (Ref 892)</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Deferred</td>
<td>The feasibility study has been completed and further progress delayed pending the outcome of the boards ‘One Local Initiative’ OLI. This activity will be carried forward for completion in FY2018/2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warkworth: Planning for Swimming Pool Provision 2017/2018 (Ref 895)</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Deferred</td>
<td>Partnership options such as investment for community access outcomes have been tabled with the Mahurangi College Board of Trustees. The project will be completed in FY2018/2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whangateau Harbour: Stage 1: Identifying methods to address coastal erosion (Ref 788)</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>On Hold</td>
<td>Whangateau Harbour, Point Wells site is on hold following increased risks on Whangaparaoa Peninsula which is a higher priority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney project response fund 2017/2018 (Ref 1140)</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>On Hold</td>
<td>The local board did not determine a specific project for this funding, hence no delivery has occurred.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Libraries and Information work programme**

17. The percentage of Libraries and Information work programme activities delivered is 100 per cent.

**Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew work programme**

18. The percentage of Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew work programme activities delivered is 42 per cent. There are 25 activities that are Red / ‘Not delivered’ and 17 activities that are Amber / ‘In progress’ (multi-year projects that have not progressed as expected) including some cancelled projects.

19. Activities with an Amber RAG status are as follows:

- Helensville Library - remedial works from structural review and Kaipara RSA - replace roof (Ref 2625)
- Kaipara RSA - replace roof (Ref 2632)
- Rodney - renew minor park buildings 2017/18 - 2018/19 (Ref 2643)
- Rodney - renew park lighting 2017/18 - 2018/19 (Ref 2646)
- Rodney - renew park roading and car parks 2017/18 - 2018/19 (Ref 2647)
- Rodney - renew park structures 2017/18 - 2018/19 (Ref 2649)
- Rodney - renew park walkway and paths 2017/18 - 2018/19 (Ref 2650)
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- Rodney - renew parks utilities 2017/18 - 2018-19 (Ref 2651)
- Rodney - renew park playspaces 2017/18 - 2018/19 (Ref 2652)
- Warkworth Masonic Hall - underpin main entrance stairs (Ref 2656)
- Wellsford Community Centre - replace part of roof (Ref 2659)
- Rodney - plan and design town centre improvements (Ref 2847)
- Kumeu Library - replace roof, external walls & ceiling (Ref 3044)
- Rautawhiri Park court renewals. This project is a continuation from the 2016/2017 programme (previous ID 3016). This is a carryover project (Ref 2636)
- Riverhead Historic Mill Esplanade Reserve seawall renewal. This project is a continuation from the 2016/2017 programme (previous ID 3017) (Ref 2637)
- Shelly Beach - renew coastal structure (Ref 2653)
- Algies Bay Reserve - renew south-eastern seawalls (Ref 2910)
- Rodney Recreational Walkways - Rautawhiri Park - develop design and planning (Ref 3179)
- Wellsford - renew skate park (Ref 3259)

20. Activities with a Red RAG status are as follows:
- RD: Plan for Greenways plan delivery (Ref 1115)
- Helensville Library - renew car park drainage (Ref 2624)
- Rodney - renew coastal assets 2018-19 (Ref 2641)
- Rodney - create active recreation spaces (Ref 2846)
- Rodney Recreational Walkways - Kowhai Park Reserve - develop design (Ref 3178)
- Whangateau Reserve - renew toilet waste water system (Ref 3267)
- Kumeu Arts Centre - LDI capital grant - improve assets (Ref 3297)
- Rodney - improve town centre (Ref 3375)
- Warkworth Library - refurbish exterior (Ref 3399)
- Huapai Domain - install amenity lighting (Ref 3539)
- Rodney - Challenging Play Spaces Project (Ref 2622)
- Helensville River Walkway - renew seawall (Ref 2626)
- Helensville War Memorial Hall - replace extractor system in toilets (Ref 2627)
- Rodney - Recreational walkways and bike trails (Ref 2640)
- Rodney - renew minor park buildings 2017/18 (Ref 2642)
- Rodney - renew park fencing 2017/18 - 2018/19 (Ref 2644)
- Rodney - renew park furniture 2017/18 (Ref 2645)
- Wellsford Centennial Park - renew sand field on field 2 (Ref 2657)
- Wellsford War Memorial Library - renew furniture, fittings and equipment (Ref 2660)
- Horseshoe Bay Reserve - renew walkways (Ref 3016)
- Kowhai Park - renew walkway, car park and toilet (Ref 3043)
  - Leigh Recreation Reserve (Goat Island) - install retaining wall around tree (Ref 3053)
• Wellsford Centennial Park - replace cricket wicket and sand carpet on field (Ref 3260)
• Martins Bay - renew swimming pontoon (Ref 3392)
• Huapai Service Centre Riverbank - develop community space (Ref 3401)

21. Key activities not delivered / not progressed as expected include:

• **Rodney - renew coastal assets 2018-19:** This project relates to coastal structures in Warkworth. Investigation and Design Staff alongside Community Facilities Coastal Team have inspected both sites and the assets. Overall, it is considered that the condition rating for these structures is more accurately of average condition, which means a comprehensive renewal of these structures is not required (at present time). The assessments noted some minor repairs required for components of the Warkworth structures. These repairs should be undertaken as general maintenance by the Operational Management and Maintenance Team. Therefore the project has been cancelled. (Ref 2641)

• **Kumeu Arts Centre - LDI capital grant - improve assets:** This project has been delayed while various design options were being investigated. However, the project is now progressing well and will be carried forward into the new financial year for full delivery. Four concept options for the building have been arrived at and these have been discussed with representatives of the Kumeu Arts Centre and the local board.

• Next steps include confirmation of the final floor plan and specialist engineering services engaged for the design of the foundations. A formal report will be prepared and presented to the local board in August to approve the design. (Ref 3297)

• **Kumeu Library - replace roof, external walls & ceiling:** This is a multi-year project which requires confirmation of scope and budget. An assessment of the structural and condition surveys has been completed by the Auckland Council Community Facilities Asset Management team and workshoped with the local board to determine desired outcomes. Next steps are to carry out remedial works including filling cracks within the sills and walls. The sub floor area is being dried out using an industrial blower (Ref 3044)

**Community Leases work programme**

22. The percentage of Community Leases work programme activities delivered is 24 per cent. There are 26 activities that are Red / 'Not delivered' and no activities that are Amber/ 'In progress' (multi-year projects that have not progressed as expected).

23. Activities that were not completed or progressed as expected (Red RAG status) and that will be carried forward to the 2018/2019 work programme are listed below. It should be noted that those listed are all of those leases requiring attention as opposed to all those to be completed in the 2017/2018 financial year. These leases are progressed at varying rates depending on matters such as reclassifications required, the need to prioritise resources and strategic work such as the proposed Rodney Open Space Management Plan.

• 307 Leigh Road, Ti Point; Manuhiri Kaitiaki Charitable Trust (formerly Moko Charitable Trust) (Ref 1392)
• Matakana Diamond Jubilee Park: Matakana Branch Pony Club Incorporated (Licence to occupy, renewable on annual basis) (Ref 1393)
• Whangateau Recreation Reserve: Rodney Rams Rugby League and Sports Club Incorporated (Ref 1399)
• Riverhead War Memorial Park: Riverhead Bowling Club Incorporated (Ref 1400)
• Porter Crescent, Helensville: Scouts Association of New Zealand (Helensville Scouts) (Ref 1401)
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- Shoesmith Domain: Warkworth Association Football & Sports Club Incorporated (Ref 1402)
- RNZ Plunket Society Inc (River Valley Branch) (Ref 1404)
- Tapora Domain Recreation Reserve: Tapora Community Sports Centre Incorporated (Ref 1406)
- Bourne Dean Recreation Reserve: The Kaipara Flats Sports Club Incorporated (Ref 1409)
- Naumai Recreation Reserve: Minister of Education: Tauhoa Primary School Board of Trustees (Ref 1410)
- 31 – 35 Mill Road, Helensville: Helensville Enterprises Trust (Ref 1411)
- Wellsford Centennial Park: Wellsford Rugby Football Club Incorporated (Ref 1412)
- Murray Jones Reserve: New Zealand Scouting Association Incorporated (Ref 1413)
- Harry James Reserve: Kumeu District Pony Club Incorporated (Ref 1414)
- Warkworth Showgrounds Reserve: Warkworth Agricultural & Pastoral Society (Ref 1417)
- Warkworth Showgrounds Reserve: Warkworth Rodeo Club Incorporated (Ref 1418)
- Warkworth Showgrounds Reserve: Warkworth Branch Pony Club Incorporated (Ref 1419)
- Opango Creek Reserve: Whangateau Traditional Boatyard Incorporated (Ref 1420)
- Wellsford Centennial Park: Wellsford Agricultural & Pastoral Society (Ref 1421)
- Omaha Drive: Omaha Beach Bowling Club Incorporated (Ref 1422)
- Omaha Reserve: Omaha Tennis Club Incorporated (Ref 1423)
- Huapai Reserve: Norwest United Association Football & Sports Club Incorporated (Ref 1424)
- Shoesmith Reserve: Warkworth Wellsford Pipe Band Incorporated (Ref 1426)
- Market Street, Leigh: Leigh Library (volunteer community library) (Ref 1434)
- Omaha Drive: Omaha Beach Community Incorporated (Ref 1437)
- Manuhiri Reserve and Tuna Place, Omaha Omaha Beach Residents Society Incorporated (Ref 1438)
- Omaha Drive: Omaha: Omaha Beach Golf Club Incorporated (Ref 1439)
- Puhoi Pioneers Memorial Park: The Puhoi Community Forum Incorporated (Ref 1440)

Infrastructure and Environment Services work programme

24. The percentage of Infrastructure and Environment Services work programme activities delivered is 100 per cent.

Local Economic Development work programme

25. The percentage of Local Economic Development work programme activities delivered is 100 per cent.
Deferred activities
26. As part of the local board funding policy, local boards have resolved to defer activities funded through the Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI) operating fund that were not delivered in 2017/2018. The following activities have been deferred to the 2018/2019 financial year:
   - Coastal environmental - $17,400
   - Consultation re indoor sports Kumeu/ Huapai/ Helensville - $30,000
   - Feasibility study for swimming pool - $40,000
   - Greenways Plans - $260,000
   - Parks strategic fund - $27,000
   - Revitalisation of town centres - $60,000
   - Green Road Masterplan - $50,000

27. Undelivered Capex funded activities will be brought to the board by Community Facilities as part of their annual Capex carry forward process.

Financial performance
28. Operating expenditure year to date relating to Asset Based Services (ABS) was under budget due to Project 17 facility maintenance costs while the LDI operations spend was also under budget. This is due to projects carrying on into 2018/2019.

29. Revenue for the year saw small unders/overs at various community facilities and libraries across the local board area.

30. Capital spend of $7.2 million represents investments in the Shelly Beach Seawall, Omaha Groyne and various other coastal asset renewals, fields 1 and 2 and netball lighting at Warkworth Showgrounds, Rautawhiri Park court renewals, Riverhead War Memorial sand field renewals, Huapai Domain sandfield and lighting renewals, Kowhai Park toilet renewal and a playground renewal at William Fraser Reserve. There were also a number of smaller projects completed during the year.

31. The full Rodney Local Board Financial Performance report can be found in Appendix C.

Key performance indicators
32. Performance measures results from the 10 Year Budget Long-term Plan 2015-2025 were included in the previous quarterly performance reports. These are excluded this quarter as they will be presented to the board in Draft Annual Report 2017/2018.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views
33. This report informs the Rodney Local Board of the performance for the quarter ending 30 June 2018 and the performance for the 2017/2018 financial year.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement
34. Māori, as stakeholders in the council, are affected and have an interest in any report on the quarterly financial results. However the recommendation to the local board of receiving the
report has no particular benefit to, or adverse effect on, Māori. One specific work programme items of interest is outlined below.

35. The local board work programme item ‘Rodney Healthy Harbours Riparian Restoration Fund’ involves partnering with Te Uri o Hau regarding the provision of plants from the Te Arai Nursery. The update on this work programme action confirms that “Seven applicants have finished fencing off their waterways. Planting season will begin shortly. The remaining applicants have a mix of planting and fencing projects to complete. Payment for these will be after the upcoming planting season in May - August 2018.”

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

36. This report is provided to enable the Rodney Local Board to monitor the organisation’s progress and performance in delivering the 2017/2018 work programmes and to report this to the public. There are no financial implications associated with the Rodney Local Board receiving this report.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

37. Risk associated with the delivery and/or delay of work programmes have been addressed in the ‘Overview of work programme achievement by department’ section.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

38. The Lead Financial Advisor will action the deferral of identified activities and departments will add these into their 2018/2019 work programmes.
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Local Board Work Programme Snapshot 2017/2018 Q4

Rodney Work Programme Achievement

- **R = Red**: indicates significant issues
- **A = Amber**: warning; some risk or issues, being managed
- **G = Green**: On track

77.51% Red
58.38% Amber
17.11% Green

Rodney Work Programme by Activity Status

- Parks, Sport and Recreation
- Local Economic Development: ATEED
- Libraries
- Infrastructure and Environmental Services
- Community Facilities: Community Leases
- Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew
- Arts, Community and Events

Number of Activity Lines

Rodney Work Programme Activities by Outcome

- Arts, culture and events enhance our communities and express who we are
- Our environment is healthy, cared for and enjoyed by all
- Roads, paths and public transport enable us to get around easily and safely
- Parks and sports facilities are easy to access and enjoy
- Local halls and libraries are familiar, valued and well used community spaces
- Communities are empowered and plan for their own futures

Number of Activity Lines
Rodney Local Board Financial Performance to 30 June 2018

Financial Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Year To Date ($000)</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating revenue (ABS)</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenditure (ABS)</td>
<td>9,579</td>
<td>10,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenditure (LDI)</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>1,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenditure (LGS)</td>
<td>1,134</td>
<td>1,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Cost of Service</td>
<td>11,756</td>
<td>12,721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capital expenditure          | 7,178   | 11,445         | 4,267    | 11,445         | 6,379       |

The Rodney Local Board has invested $7.2m in capital expenditure and $11.8m in net operating expenditure for the year to 30 June 2018.

Net cost of service was $955k below budget for the year. The underspend in asset based services expenditure of $506k related to projects in the Parks, Sports and Recreation and Community Services activities. From the local boards’ Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI) funding, the majority of projects were completed and where required, budget has been carried forward to 2018/2019 to ensure all planned projects can be completed.

Revenue was slightly behind budget for the year and relates to a range of community facilities.

The majority of the capital investment this financial year has occurred in the Parks, Sport and Recreation activity ($6.8m). Projects that have not been completed and will require budget to be carried forward to 2018/2019 have been highlighted in the work programme update to 30 June 2018.
Operating revenue was slightly behind budget for the 2017/2018 year. There are small unders/overs at various community facilities and libraries across the local board area.

Lower than projected revenue has been achieved at Wellsford Community Centre, Kaukapakapa Hall, Coatesville Hall and Leigh Hall due to lower utilisation than anticipated at these facilities. This variance has been partially offset by higher than projected revenue at Helensville Community Centre, Waimauku War Memorial Hall and Mahurangi Community Centre.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Year To Date ($000)</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local community services</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local parks, sport and recreation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Revenue</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Operating Expenditure

Operating Expenditure ($000) for FY 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Year To Date ($000)</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Revised Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local community services</td>
<td>3,458</td>
<td>3,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local environmental management</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local governance</td>
<td>1,134</td>
<td>1,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local parks, sport and recreation</td>
<td>6,845</td>
<td>7,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local planning and development</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Expenditure</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,946</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,957</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall operating expenditure variance was $1.9m below budget for the 2017/2018 year.

Locally driven initiative (LDI) projects were $506k below budget for the year. During the quarter, the local board allocated $50k to stage 1 of the Green Road Reserve master plan and $60k for town centre improvements. The majority of LDI projects were completed within budgets and those projects which were not finished will have budget carried forward to the 2018/2019 year to ensure they can be completed. These projects include coastal environmental improvements, consultation for indoor sports facilities, feasibility study for a swimming pool, greenways plans, town centre revitalisation, Green Road masterplan stage 1 and Atlas site design.

In the parks, sport and recreation activity, there was an underspend related to the new Project 17 full facility maintenance contracts. There has been an expectation of budget variances until baselines at local board level were established following the current financial year and corrected for 2018/2019.

The detailed LDI expenditure by project for year to 30 June 2018 is reflected in the following schedule.
### Locally Driven Initiatives Expenditure – All Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Cost of Service</th>
<th>Year To Date ($000) Actual</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Full Year ($000) Revised Budget</th>
<th>Annual Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACE LDI Staff allocation</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional support for volunteer libraries</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANZAC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts facility grants</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community engagement</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community placemaking initiatives</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community response operating fund</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility study Kumeu arts centre</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and charges subsidy</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenways plans</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local civic functions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local community grants</td>
<td>(28)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local events fund</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalisation of town centres</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Local community services</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Health</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahurangi river dredging</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Local environmental management</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arboriculture improvements</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal environmental improvements</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Road Masterplan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequential opex for depreciation and maintenance re grants (Rodney)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construct footpaths (AT)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultation re indoor sports</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumeu/Huapai/Helensville</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create challenging Playgrounds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility study for swimming pool</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenways plans</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDI Volunteer parks</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks strategic fund</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner with community to design the upgrade of indoor facilities (Rodney)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Local parks, sport and recreation</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locally Driven Initiatives (ATEED)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Local planning and development</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>1,739</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>1,739</td>
<td>1,622</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Capital Expenditure

The Rodney Local Board capital delivery was 63% against an $11.4m total budget for the 2017/2018 year. Major capital projects underway or complete include the Shelly Beach Seawall, Omaha Groyne and various other coastal asset renewals, fields 1 and 2 and netball lighting at Warkworth Showgrounds, Rautawhiri Park court renewals, Riverhead War Memorial sand field renewals, Huapai Domain sandfield and lighting renewals, Kowhai Park toilet renewal and a playground renewal at William Fraser Reserve.

As there is still a large portion of the 3-year Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI) Capex funding unspent, the remaining balance will be carried forward into 2018/19 for projects not completed by 30 June. During the fourth quarter, the board waived the outstanding debt relating to the Warkworth Town Hall restoration, which will come from the LDI Capex fund.

There have been a number of projects that could not be fully delivered in 2017/18 and these are highlighted in the work programme update appendix to this report. Major projects include lighting at Huapai Domain, Kowhai Park Reserve walkway, carpark and toilet renewals, various seawall renewals, park furniture renewals, town centre improvements, the Whangateau Reserve toilet waste water renewal and various walkways renewals.

The detailed capital expenditure by project for the year to 30 June 2018 is reflected in the following schedule.
### Capital Expenditure – all projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Year To Date ($000)</th>
<th>Full Year ($000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Revised Actual</td>
<td>Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local library renewals</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Hall Upgrade (Warkworth)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE - Community house and centre renewals</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library furniture and fitting renewals</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE - Leases renewals</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community services</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Asset renewals</td>
<td>2,978</td>
<td>871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Coastal asset renewals</td>
<td>1,191</td>
<td>1,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locally driven initiatives (LDI Capex)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport development</td>
<td>1,210</td>
<td>(137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Sports fields renewals</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDI funded local board initiatives</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenway and walkway development</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Showgrounds (Warkworth)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainstreet toilet upgrade</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks sport and recreation</td>
<td>8,817</td>
<td>3,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,174</td>
<td>4,270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Auckland Council Annual Report 2017/2018 is being prepared and needs to be adopted by the Governing Body by 28 September 2018. As part of the overall report package, individual reports for each Local Board are prepared.
3. This year there have been some changes to the way we are writing and publishing the reports, to make them more relevant to the local area, to express more strongly the local flavour, and to make them more accessible to residents of each local board area. These changes are outlined in the Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu/analysis and advice section below.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:

a) adopt the 2017/2018 Rodney Local Board Annual Report as set out in Appendix A to the agenda report.

b) note that any proposed changes will be clearly communicated and agreed with the Chairperson before the report is submitted for adoption by the Governing Body by 28 September 2018.

Horopaki / Context
4. In accordance with the Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 and the Local Government Act 2002 each local board is required to monitor and report on the implementation of its Local Board Agreement for 2017/2018. This includes reporting on the performance measures for local activities, and the overall Financial Impact Statement for the local board.

5. In addition to the compliance purpose, local board annual reports are an opportunity to tell the wider performance story with a strong local flavour, including how the local board is working towards the outcomes of the Local Plan.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice
6. This year there have been some changes to the way we are writing and publishing the reports, to make them more relevant to the local area, to express more strongly the local flavour, and to make them more accessible to residents of each local board area. The changes are:
   • The local board annual report will be a standalone publication (rather than being a sub-section of an overall volume of all Local Board Annual Reports) so that the reports are far more accessible for residents.
   • Each local board annual report is being written in plain English, with a more professionally designed layout.
   • The Chairperson’s overview now incorporates a review of performance, highlights and challenges so that a more joined up performance story is told.
• There is a colour group photo of the local board, replacing the individual black and white photos used last year.

• There are two new sections that aim to emphasise the context of the local area that the local board represents:
  o a double page layout of key demographic information which was included as part of the Local Board Plan
  o A ‘Local Flavour’ section, which profiles either a local resident who does great things in the community, a grant that has benefited the community, or a significant project delivered in the community.

7. The report contains the following sections:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Local Board area demographics</td>
<td>A demographic profile of the area (originally presented in Local Board Plans).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Message from the chairperson</td>
<td>An overall message introducing the report, highlighting achievements and challenges, including both financial and non-financial performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Local Board group photo</td>
<td>A group photo of the Local Board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Local Flavour</td>
<td>A profile of either an outstanding resident, grant or project that has benefitted the local community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) How we performed</td>
<td>Provides performance measure results for each activity, providing explanations where targeted service levels have not been achieved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Funding Impact Statement</td>
<td>Financial performance results compared to LTP and Annual Plan budgets, together with explanations about variances.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

8. Local board feedback will be included where possible. Any changes to the content of the final annual report will be discussed with the Chairperson.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

9. The Annual Report provides information on how Auckland Council has progressed its agreed priorities in the Long-term Plan 2015-2025 over the past 12 months. This includes engagement with Māori, as well as projects that benefit various population groups, including Māori.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

10. The annual report reports on both the financial and service performance in each local board area.

Ngā raru ārūpono / Risks

11. The annual report is a legislatively required document. It is audited by Audit New Zealand who assess if the report represents information fairly and consistently, and that the financial statements comply with PBE FRS-43: Summary Financial Statements. Failure to demonstrate this could result in a qualified audit opinion.
12. The annual report is a key communication to residents. Telling a clear and balanced performance story, in plain English, and in a form that is accessible, is important to ensure that council meets its obligations to be open with the public it serves.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

13. The next steps for the draft 2017/2018 Annual Report for the Local Board are:
   - Audit NZ review during August and September 2018
   - report to Finance and Performance Committee on 19 September 2018
   - report to the Governing Body for adoption on 27 September 2018
   - release to stock exchanges and publication online on 28 September 2018

14. Physical copies provided to local board offices, council service centres and libraries by the end of October 2018

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**
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Mihi

Tēnā, ngā mihi te tukuna atu nei ki a koutou e nga manawhenua e kapetake tonu nei i ngā ahikā rea e horapa mai nā ki te taha whakararo o te tipua tāone nei o Tamaki Makaurau. Kia pāoro tānei kaore mā runga i ō maunga whakahi. Kia tīmata ake au i te Kumeo, ka rere whakawaho ki te one tapu o Muriwai. Kia hoki ake ki uta, ko Tauwhare ki te rāwhiti, a Tarawera ki te raki, ki te taha whakaroto ko Tuhiangi rāua ko Te Atuanui. Kia kauhoe ngā mihi mā te moana ki te Araparera ko koe tēnā e Taranaki, ka kapi ngā pou tauawhi i a te Kaipara i hua ai te kōrero, “E tupu i wīwi, i wāwā, tūria i te wera, piri ki te rito o te rengarenga, waho me whakapakari ki te hua o te kawariki.”

Ināiane me māwhiti aku kupu ki Kaiwaka, ki Pukekaroro ki te rarauanga o te tini i mate, te aroha tonutia i muri nei. Kia tahihi iho rā iā ia ki Matakanaka, ko Tamahunga tērē e tūi mai rā i te pae. Ke hikoi aku mihi mā ngā kāhiwhi, kia heke iho ki te avo o Pūhoi. Kia rere aku mihi ki te tuawhenua i noho e te iti me te rahi. Kia ū mai anā taku haere ki Huapai, ā-tangata, ā-whenua. Nō ōtou te whiwhi, nō mātou te whiwhi, kia kō ake ai tātou katoa, “He rohenga tangata, he iwi topuni, mōwai tonu te whenua e takoto nei e.”

Attachment A

Item 17

Our greetings and salutations to you the mana whenua who continue to tend the historical fires that encompass this great city of Auckland. May this message echo across your noble mountains. Let me begin at Kumeo then turn outwards to the sacred sands of Muriwai. I hock inward again where eastward, is Tauwhare, to the north is Tarawera, and inland stands Tuhiangi and Atuanui. Let this greeting travel the inland sea to Araparera where Taranaki completes the guardians of the Kaipara, who inspired the proclamation, “People will grow here and there, upright in the heat, hiding like the shoots of the rengarenga, and maturing like the fruit of the kawariki.”

New let my words cross to Kaiwaka and Pukekaroro, the scene of past losses that are still mourned today. Turning then to Matakanaka, where stands Tamahunga on the horizon. Let this greeting follow the ridgeline down to the Pūhoi River where it can return inland to the valleys where the original settlers came. Our journey ends at Huapai, of people, and land. Their good fortune is our shared providence, so, the axiom, “The community are people in communion, and a calm lies over the land;”
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Ngā Kawekawenga

About this report

This annual report tells the story of how Auckland Council has performed in delivering services in the Rodney Local Board area from 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018.


The report goes beyond this requirement. It also reflects the local flavour of your area. It does this by profiling the make-up of your area – population, people and council facilities. It also features a story about something that council has done with the community that adds special value to the area and demonstrates how

together we’re Auckland.
He kōrero mai i te Heamana
From the chair

I am delighted to present our annual report for the year 2017/2018.

I am pleased to reflect on the achievements of the Rodney Local Board for 2017/2018. We’re proud of what we’ve accomplished for our community this year which wouldn’t be possible without your support.

A major highlight was the adoption of our local board plan which sets our direction over the next three years. We appreciate all the feedback we received and hope you can see yourself and your community reflected in the plan.

Renewing and maintaining ageing assets in our vast area continues to be difficult with the financial pressures faced by Auckland Council. Our need for present and future services, services, facilities and amenities is under pressure from continuing population growth.

We made a significant investment at Rautawhiri Park in Helensville with a new perimeter path and renewal of the tennis and netball courts. We continued to invest in active zones for children and youth with an overhaul and expansion of the Wellsford skate park and new play equipment in Omaha and Murawai. In November, I was proud to open the revitalised Kowhai Park at Warkworth with improved car parking, public access and toilets.

The board leads the way in empowering our communities. We allocated funding for the Kumeu-Huapai community to begin building the Huapai Hub, a community local point designed by the community. We continued working on the design for the expansion of the Kumeu Arts Centre next door. In Warkworth, we supported the community to work with council staff to create the Warkworth Community Aspirations Plan and look forward to providing funding to start delivering a project in that plan in 2018/2019.

Thank you to the many community groups, local businesses, council staff and my fellow local board members - particularly my Deputy Chair, Phelan Finnie - who work tirelessly to achieve these outcomes. We look forward to this continuing as in 2018/2019.

Beth Houlbrooke
Chairperson, Devonport-Takapuna Local Board
Te Rohe ā-Poari o Rodney
Rodney Local Board area

Attachment A

Item 17
A population of nearly
65,000
(4 per cent of the region’s population)
This is expected to grow to 85,900 by 2033

We are home to:
More than
200 local parks

Te mahere ā-rohe O Rodney Local Board
Rodney Local Board

Your board (L to R): Phelan Pirrie (Deputy Chairperson), Louise Johnston, Beth Houlbrooke (Chairperson), Brent Bailey, Tessa Berger, Cameron Brewer, Colin Smith, Brenda Steele, Allison Roe

Office
50 Centreway Road
Orewa
Open Monday-Friday 8.30am-5pm
Closed Saturday, Sunday and public holidays

Postal address
Auckland Council
Private Bag 92300
Auckland 1142

Phone
09 301 0101

Email
rodneylocalboard@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

More information about
Rodney Local Board
aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/rodney
Te āhuatanga ā-rohe
Local flavour

Point Wells Hall is managed by a sub-committee of the Point Wells Community and Ratepayers Association.

Rodney halls are back in community hands.

Coatesville and Point Wells communities are first to operate under a new community-led incorporation model that has been developed for halls in Rodney.

Coatesville Settlers Hall Committee Chairman Alan Curtis says their hall has always been a vital part of the community and all credit to the council for allowing them to continue to make it so.

“We really feel that the new management structure gives the community a sense of belonging.”

Point Wells Hall Committee Chair Laurence Eyles says this is a significant event in the hall’s history.

“It has taken time to decide on the best way to manage the hall but this option looks to the future as we continue the partnerships already established within our community.

“New people are moving into the village all the time and the demand for community activities, especially for toddlers and young people, will continue to grow. Our hall is ideally placed to meet that need.”

Hall committees are responsible for public liability insurance, all bookings, setting hire fees, minor maintenance and cleaning while retaining hall revenue to offset operating costs.

The council is responsible for all capital expenditure and major maintenance. This option gives a community the opportunity to govern, manage and operate the hall.

The local board received feedback from the community that locals wanted different options for managing halls and in response three different options were set up.

“We are keen to support communities that want to manage and operate their halls themselves. It’s an ideal situation when a community decides what is best for its hall.”

Rodney Local Board Chair Beth Houlbrooke says the new structure builds community’s connections with halls.

In Rodney, there are 23 council-owned community halls available for regular use or to hire.
Te pūrongo mō ngā mahi whakahaere
Performance report

Local parks, sport and recreation

Highlights include:

- extensive court renewals and a new path at Rautawhiri Park
- renewal of toilets and carpark at Kawhia Park
- completion of sports field works at Warkworth Showgrounds, Riverhead War Memorial, Wellsford Centennial Park and Huapai Domain.

Provide a range of recreational opportunities catering for community needs on local parks, reserves and beaches

Percentage of residents satisfied with the provision (quality, location and distribution) of local parks and reserves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rodney is experiencing large growth in its townships, particularly Riverhead, Kumeu, Huapai and Warkworth. The development and delivery of new reserves does not always match the growth in housing and could be a timing issue.

Percentage of residents who visited a local park or reserve in the last 12 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Providing sports fields that are fit-for-purpose and cater for community need

Percentage of residents satisfied with the provision (quality, location and distribution) of sports fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The winter supply and needs modelling completed in late 2017 used new population figures. With new population figures there was a change in the needs of some local board areas. There is no shortfall in field capacity and therefore no sports field projects are planned except for the flood lighting of the existing fields to meet the lighting shortfall.

Local community services

Highlights include:

- local libraries continue as thriving community hubs providing free Wi-Fi, programmes and space for people to connect and upskill
- community-led placemaking at town centres in Huapai/Kumeu, Helensville, Warkworth and Wellsford
- funding community development and capacity building initiatives across our area.
Provide safe, accessible, welcoming library facilities that support the delivery of quality learning programmes and services relevant to local communities

Use of libraries as digital community hubs: Number of internet sessions per capita (PC & Wi-Fi)

- **2018 Target:** 3.5
- **2018:** 3.69
- **2017:** 3.7
- **2016:** 3.6

Enable Aucklanders and communities to express themselves and improve their wellbeing through customer-centric advice, funding, facilitation and permitting

Percentage of funding/grant applicants satisfied with information, assistance and advice provided

- **2018:** No result
- **2017:** 63.0%
- **2016:** 52.0%

This measure is not applicable as the board did not have any funding tagged specifically to local community grants.

Deliver a variety of events, programmes and projects that improve safety, connect Aucklanders and engage them in their city and communities

Percentage of Aucklanders that feel connected to their neighbourhood and local community

- **2018:** 63.0%
- **2017:** 61.0%
- **2016:** 59.0%

The target has not be updated to take account of the consistent high level of service provided.

Percentage of attendees satisfied with council-delivered and funded local event

- **2018:** 50.0%
- **2017:** 70.0%
- **2016:** 87.0%

This measure aims to survey two events annually. One event this year achieved a result of 74% (Warkworth Christmas Parade), which is a good for a Christmas event. The other event (Wellsford Santa Parade) achieved a score of 21% reflecting a range of issues - the low number of floats, entertainment options and the overall organisation of the event.
Provider safe, reliable and accessible social infrastructure for Aucklanders that contributes to place-making and thriving communities

Percentage of Aucklanders that feel their local town centre is safe – day time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>2018 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>92.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elements such as crime rates, the built environment, and socio-economic and other similar factors influence how people feel in their town centre. The council does projects and initiatives to improve perceptions of safety. The council is supporting the Huapai Hub in the community input process for the town centre improvements in Kumeu/ Huapai. Funding has been allocated for investigation and design work for a village green project in Warkworth, and the Streetscape and Creek Lane projects in Helensville. These projects and the Weightsford Aspirations initiative will attract more people to the town centres and provide more community activities.

Percentage of Aucklanders that feel their local town centre is safe – night time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>2018 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Facility utilisation: utilisation at peak times for council-managed community centres and venues for hire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>2018 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A regular hirer is no longer booking five days a week at Kaukapakapa Memorial Hall.

Percentage of community facilities bookings used for health and wellbeing related activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>2018 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We didn’t reach target because the Warkworth town hall has a low proportion of health and wellbeing activities. Use of the Warkworth Masonic hall dropped dramatically and two community managed facilities were added to this measure.

Number of visitors to community centres and venues for hire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Visitors</th>
<th>2018 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>85,038</td>
<td>43,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>75,601</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>72,922</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local planning and development

Highlights include:

- all BIDs in our area met their obligations.

Develop local business precincts and town centres as great places to do business

Percentage of Business Associations meeting their Business Improvement District (BID) Partnership Programme obligations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>2018 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local environmental management

Highlights include:

- grants for riparian restoration throughout the board area and initial planning for dredging the Mahurangi River.

Provide leadership & support to protect and conserve the region’s natural environment, historic heritage and Māori cultural heritage

Proportion of local programmes that deliver intended environmental actions and/or outcomes

2018 Target 90.0%
2018 100.0%
2017 100.0%
2016 100.0%

We delivered two successful environmental projects.
### Te tahua pūtea

**Funding impact statement**

For the year ended 30 June 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of operating funding:</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2018</th>
<th>ANNUAL PLAN 2018</th>
<th>LONG-TERM PLAN 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General rates, UAGC, rates penalties</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,487</td>
<td>13,532</td>
<td>15,091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted rates</td>
<td></td>
<td>178</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidies and grants for operating purposes</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and charges</td>
<td></td>
<td>161</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authorities fuel tax, fines, infringement fees and other receipts</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operating funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>13,856</td>
<td>13,946</td>
<td>15,466</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applications of operating funding:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payment to staff and suppliers</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,487</td>
<td>10,396</td>
<td>11,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance costs</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,409</td>
<td>1,409</td>
<td>1,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal charges and overheads applied</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,174</td>
<td>2,174</td>
<td>2,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating funding applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total applications of operating funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,070</td>
<td>13,979</td>
<td>15,607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Surplus (deficit) of operating funding |       | (214)       | (33)             | (141)               |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of capital funding:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subsidies and grants for capital expenditure</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development and financial contributions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in debt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,342</td>
<td>5,412</td>
<td>7,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross proceeds from sale of assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lump sum contributions</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other dedicated capital funding</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total sources of capital funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,392</td>
<td>6,412</td>
<td>7,601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applications of capital funding:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditure:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- to meet additional demand</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,722</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>2,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- to improve the level of service</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- to replace existing assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,366</td>
<td>5,384</td>
<td>3,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in reserves</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in investments</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total applications of capital funding</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7,178</td>
<td>6,379</td>
<td>7,460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Surplus (deficit) of capital funding |       | 214         | 33               | 141                 |

| Funding balance |       | -           | -                | -                   |

**Variance explanation Actual 2018 to Annual Plan 2018:**
1. Increase in debt is higher than anticipated due to capital expenditure being above budget, which resulted in an additional capital funding requirement.
2. Overspend was mainly due to works completed in 2017/2018 at Huapai Domain which was originally planned for the 2016/2017 financial year.
Project Streetscapes

File No.: CP2018/14440

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To seek feedback on Auckland Transport and Waste Solutions streetscape services in the Rodney Local Board area. Streetscape services include:
   • mowing and weed management of berms in urban and rural areas
   • town centre cleaning and loose litter collection, including emptying litter bins

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Streetscapes services are being transferred from Auckland Transport and Waste Solutions to Community Facilities’ Full Facilities suppliers. Streetscape services include mowing and weeding of berms, town centre cleaning and loose litter collection.
3. Community Facilities are seeking feedback from local boards on key local issues or priority areas with streetscape services in their local board area.
4. Where there are known issues, region wide trends can be identified. A strategy will then be developed to see how the assets/services can be brought to an acceptable standard before being transferred to Community Facilities in 2019.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:
   a) identify their top ten local issues with Auckland Transport or Waste Solutions streetscape services in the Rodney Local Board area. Streetscape services include:
      i. mowing and weed management of berms in urban and rural areas
      ii. town centre cleaning and loose litter collection, including emptying bins

Horopaki / Context
5. Auckland Transport and Waste Solutions have been managing streetscape services since amalgamation under contracts awarded in 2011/12.
6. Through Project 17 Full Facilities contracts were granted, which include services such as maintenance of local parks, buildings and open spaces. To ensure integration of services and to deliver value, the streetscape services are to be included in the Full Facilities contracts.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice
7. The Streetscapes project has been set up to ensure the successful transfer of streetscape services from Auckland Transport and Waste Solutions to the Community Facilities’ Full Facilities suppliers. The streetscape services and when they will be transferred are listed in the table below:
### Item 18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>When</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All urban and rural berm mowing and weed management</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td>1 April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Streetscapes' – town centre cleaning and loose litter collection, bin emptying</td>
<td>Auckland Transport and Waste Solutions</td>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td>1 July 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. When the streetscape services are transferred to Community Facilities, the Full Facilities suppliers will be expected to ensure that high levels of services are provided on assets that are of a good condition. The Full Facilities suppliers will need to meet stringent performance indicators.

9. For assets that are not in a good condition, Auckland Transport should upgrade the asset before it is transferred. An approach to address these assets, including how to bring them up to standard, will be discussed between Community Facilities and Auckland Transport. Local boards are being asked for their top ten issues with current streetscape services to inform these discussions.

---

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

10. Streetscape services are important locally as they impact on the cleanliness and tidiness of town centres and related areas. Local board views are being sought through this report to ensure that streetscape services are of a high standard, particularly around the current AT assets and services so that a proper handover takes place.

11. The town centre borders are aligned to the Unitary Plan and while they cannot be further extended, feedback on minimising the borders can be offered.

12. The town centre cleaning services, which are currently in the Full Facilities contract to be implemented 1 July 2019, have been increased. No further feedback on this is required from local boards.

13. Vegetation control, berm mowing, edging and weeding are included in the Full Facilities contracts to be implemented 1 April 2019. No further feedback on this item is required from local boards at this time.

---

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

14. Auckland Council is committed to meeting its responsibilities under Te Tiriti o Waitangi/the Treaty of Waitangi and its broader statutory obligations to Māori.

15. Māori responsiveness requires the collective effort of everyone. Under the new contracts, suppliers will work collaboratively with Auckland Council to achieve better outcomes with Māori and for Auckland.

---

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

16. There is a strong likelihood that due to the improved Streetscape service standards, the current budgets being transferred from Auckland Transport to Community Facilities will not be sufficient.

17. Through negotiations with the Full Facilities suppliers, Community Facilities will strive to ensure that the new Streetscape services are within a suitable range of the current budgets. If additional funding is required, the Financial and Performance Committee will be advised and extra financial support will be requested.
Ngā raro tūpono / Risks

18. The delivery of streetscape services could be impacted if the Full Facilities suppliers take over Auckland Transport contracts which have issues which have not been identified before the transition period. This could mean that the Full Facilities suppliers could spend more time on addressing the problem areas, instead of delivering the new service specifications.

19. To mitigate this risk, local boards are being requested to provide input to known, problematic areas and / or streetscape services currently provided by Auckland Transport and / or loose litter collection.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

20. Following input from local boards, negotiations with suppliers will take place in August /September 2018. Final decisions will be made by the Strategic Procurement and the Finance and Performance Committees in October 2018.

21. The local board engagement and input on the weed management methodologies and on the standardised levels of services will take place October / November 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Cherie Veza - Stakeholder Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Kim O'Neill - Head of Stakeholder and Land Advisory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Governance Forward Work Calendar

File No.: CP2018/14902

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To present to the local board with a governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
1. This report contains the governance forward work calendar, a schedule of items that will come before the local board at business meetings and workshops over the coming months until the end of the electoral term. The governance forward work calendar for the local board is included in Attachment A.

2. The calendar aims to support local boards' governance role by:
   - ensuring advice on agendas and workshop material is driven by local board priorities
   - clarifying what advice is required and when
   - clarifying the rationale for reports.

3. The calendar will be updated every month. Each update will be reported back to business meetings and distributed to relevant council staff. It is recognised that at times items will arise that are not programmed. Local board members are welcome to discuss changes to the calendar.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:

a) note the governance forward work calendar as at August 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Programme as at August 2018</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Robyn Joynes - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Governance Forward Work Calendar as at August 2018

**TIEC – Transport, Infrastructure and Environment Committee, PRC – Parks and Recreation Committee**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Full board or committee</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, 23 August 2018 (Heiensville Hall)</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>Sites of importance to the mana whenua</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, 23 August 2018 (Heiensville Hall)</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>targeted rate discussion</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, 23 August 2018 (Heiensville Hall)</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>Dairy Flat safety improvements</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, 23 August 2018 (Heiensville Hall)</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>RPTP</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, 23 August 2018 (Heiensville Hall)</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>Drainage Districts</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, 6 September 2018 (Tentative at WWTH)</td>
<td>Rodney Local Board</td>
<td>Annual Plan/ Work Programmes; direction setting and advice</td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday, 6 September 2018 (Tentative at WWTH)</td>
<td>Rodney Local Board</td>
<td>Community led small build programme upate</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 19</td>
<td><strong>Governance Forward Work Calendar</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rodney Local Board</strong></td>
<td>16 August 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Facilities Update</th>
<th>Workshop 3: Green Road Projects for Prioritisation</th>
<th>Parks, Sport and Recreation Work Programme</th>
<th>Civic Events ANZAC and other event planning for 2019</th>
<th>Options for the Kumeu Library</th>
<th>GL: Indoor Local Court Facility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, 13 September 2018</td>
<td>Thursday, 13 September 2018</td>
<td>Thursday, 13 September 2018</td>
<td>Thursday, 13 September 2018</td>
<td>Thursday, 13 September 2018</td>
<td>Thursday, 13 September 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>PCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Attachment A**

**Governance Forward Work Calendar as at August 2018**

**Item 19**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Workshop</strong></th>
<th><strong>Workshop</strong></th>
<th><strong>Workshop</strong></th>
<th><strong>Workshop</strong></th>
<th><strong>Workshop</strong></th>
<th><strong>Business Meeting</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, 13 September 2018</td>
<td>Thursday, 13 September 2018</td>
<td>Thursday, 13 September 2018</td>
<td>Thursday, 13 September 2018</td>
<td>Thursday, 20 September 2018 (off site - Waimauku)</td>
<td><strong>Rodney Local Board</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>PCC</td>
<td><strong>North West Community Provision Investigation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 20 September 2018 (off site - Waimauku)</td>
<td>Rodney Local Board</td>
<td>Homelessness Review (tbc) generic</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 20 September 2018 (off site - Waimauku)</td>
<td>Rodney Local Board</td>
<td>Panuku Development Auckland; rationalisation of land at Waimauku</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 20 September 2018 (off site - Waimauku)</td>
<td>Rodney Local Board</td>
<td>potential targeted event report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 20 September 2018 (off site - Waimauku)</td>
<td>Rodney Local Board</td>
<td>Open Space Management Framework</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 20 September 2018 (off site - Waimauku)</td>
<td>Rodney Local Board</td>
<td>Facilities Partnership Policy</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 20 September 2018 (off site - Waimauku)</td>
<td>Rodney Local Board</td>
<td>Oraha Road playground</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 20 September 2018 (off site - Waimauku)</td>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>Rodney Greenways Feasibility Studies (Omaha, Wellsford, Kumeu and Riverhead)</td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal adoption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Governance Forward Work Calendar

#### Attachment A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
<th>TIEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kumeta Arts Extension (formally approve design)</td>
<td>NK2 Update</td>
<td>transport-related research discussion - 1/2 hour</td>
<td>Supporting Growth Alliance (follow up presentation)</td>
<td>Regional Pest Management Plan work</td>
<td>Coastal compartment management plan work</td>
<td>Finance Update</td>
<td>Rodney Local Board</td>
<td>Annual Plan/Work Programme direction setting and advice</td>
<td>Code of conduct - subject to change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
<th>Setting direction / priorities / budget</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formal adoption</th>
<th>Information dissemination</th>
<th>Provide direction on preferred approach</th>
<th>Information dissemination</th>
<th>Information dissemination</th>
<th>Information dissemination</th>
<th>Receive update on progress</th>
<th>Receive update on progress</th>
<th>Define board position and feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**Note:**
- TIEC: Transport, Infrastructure, and Environment Committee
- PRC: Parks and Recreation Committee

---

**Additional Information:**
- **Rodney Local Board**
- **16 August 2018**
<p>| Item 19 |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| <strong>Governance Forward Work Calendar (as at August 2018)</strong> | <strong>Attachment A</strong> | <strong>Rodney Local Board</strong> | <strong>16 August 2018</strong> | <strong>Parks and Recreation Committee</strong> | <strong>TIEC – Transport, Infrastructure and Environment Committee</strong> | <strong>Workshop</strong> | <strong>Business Meeting</strong> | <strong>Business Meeting</strong> | <strong>Business Meeting</strong> | <strong>Business Meeting</strong> | <strong>Business Meeting</strong> |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <strong>Community Facilities Update</strong> | <strong>Green Road Needs Assessment</strong> | <strong>Open Space Management Policies</strong> | <strong>Quarterly Report</strong> | <strong>Sports Facilities Investment Plan</strong> | <strong>Auckland Transport Quarterly Update</strong> | <strong>Targeted Rate First December</strong> | <strong>Warkworth Structure Plan</strong> | <strong>Update on Hi Street Intersection</strong> | <strong>Auckland Waters Strategy</strong> | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Workshop</th>
<th>Workshop</th>
<th>Workshop</th>
<th>Business Meeting</th>
<th>Business Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, 1 November 2018</td>
<td>Rodney Local Board</td>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>Redhead Local Board</td>
<td>Redhead Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>Community Facilities Update</td>
<td>Community Facilities Update</td>
<td>Quarterly Reports</td>
<td>Quarterly Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>as at August 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIEC – Transport, Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Environment Committee, PRC –</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parks and Recreation Committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rodney Local Board Chairperson's Report

File No.: CP2018/14778

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Attached for members' information is an update from the Rodney Local Board chairperson, Beth Houlbrooke, for August 2018.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Rodney Local Board chairperson has provided a report on recent activities for the information of the members.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:

a) note the chairperson’s report for August 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Chairperson's report August 2018</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Robyn Joynes - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rodney Local Board Chair’s Report  
August 2018

The following report covers the activities of the Chair for the month July 2018, including meetings attended outside of regular workshops, business meetings, elected member training/induction, and administrative appointments with local board staff and members.

Meetings of the Governing Body, their Committees and Workshops

July 25 – Joint Governing Body/Local Board Chairs’ meeting, Auckland Town Hall
July 31 – Joint Planning Committee/Local Board Chairs’ workshop, Albert St (with Member Pirrie)

Chairs’ Fora / LGNZ / Political Working Group meetings

July 9 – Local Board Chairs’ Forum, Auckland Town Hall
July 15-17 – LGNZ Conference, Christchurch: my report below

I was fortunate to be selected from the local board to attend the annual Local Government NZ conference held in Christchurch over three days. The conference was attended by local body representatives from all over New Zealand. Auckland Council sent a strong delegation, and we mingled with local councillors, community board members, district councillors and chairs, and mayors, from all around the country.

The most valuable aspect of attending the LGNZ conference is always the networking and sharing of ideas with other elected representatives.

A strong and recurrent theme was ‘localism’, i.e. the devolving of decision making down to the most local base possible.

Among the programme there were a number of very relevant presentations, notably:

Sam Johnson, social entrepreneur (formerly Student Volunteer Army post Christchurch earthquake) – “Building resilience for a vibrant and prosperous future”; and the New Zealand Initiative.


Civic Ceremonies and Events

None this month

Subject matter / Project Lead meetings

July 31 – Joint Planning meeting re Wainui development (with Members Pirrie and Johnston)
Public consultations

None this month

Community group meetings

July 2 – Snells Beach Residents & Ratepayers Association (with Cr Sayers)
July 3 – Whangateau Harbourside Group
July 4 – Omaha Beach Community Inc
July 4 – Warkworth Area Liaison Group
July 5 – Matakana Community Group
July 24 – Mahurangi Winter Festival of Lights Committee debrief
July 25 – Kaua Island Residents & Ratepayers Association, Ponsonby Cruising Club (with Cr Sayers)

Constituent, small group, and on-site meetings

July 24 – Meeting with Matakana School re proposed bus stop locations
July 26 – Puhoe Fire Station

Guest appearances / Event attendances on behalf of the Local Board

July 1 – TOSKI planting day, Tawharanui Regional Park (with Cr Sayers)
July 8 – Puhoe River Park planting day
July 12 – Warkworth Rotary Change of Officers’ Dinner
July 13 – Mahurangi Winter Festival of Lights Gala Dinner (with Member Roe)*
July 14 – Mahurangi Winter Festival of Lights Laser Show

*own ticket purchased

Other

July 31 – Meeting with the Governance Director of Auckland Council re Local Government Commission recommendations follow-up (with Member Pirrie)

Beth Houlbrooke
8 August 2018
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Attached are the Rodney Local Board workshop records for 2 August 2018.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Rodney Local Board and its committees hold regular workshops.
3. Attached for information is the record of the most recent workshop meeting of the Rodney Local Board. The workshops records for the Rodney Local Board’s Parks and Recreation Committee and the Transport, Infrastructure and Environment Committee will appear on the relevant agendas of those committees.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:
 a) note the workshop records for 2 August 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Record workshop meeting 2 August 2018</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Robyn Joynes – Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Item 21**

| Date of Workshop: Thursday, 9 August 2018 |
| Time: 1.00pm |
| Venue: Council Chamber, Orewa Service Centre, 50 Centreway Road, Orewa |
| Apologies: Phelan Pirie |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Proposed Outcome(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00pm</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Kathryn Martin (Senior Local Board Advisor)</td>
<td>An opportunity to discuss various topical matters and provide a steer where necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.05pm</td>
<td>Welcome and apologies</td>
<td>Chairperson; Brent Bailey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1.25pm | 3 | Community Facilities Update | **Attachments:**  
3A – Memo CF Update  
3B – Kumeu Arts Centre PowerPoint presentation  
3C – Muriwai Beach Play concept plan (verbal update)  
3D – Memo Omaha Beach Golf Club (including appendices)  
3E – Memo Wellsford Tennis Club (including appendices)  
Susan Quinn  
(Stakeholder Advisor)  
Oliver Kunzendorff  
(Manager Project Delivery)  
Angela Levot  
(Senior Growth Development Specialist)  
Sarah Jones  
(Principal Project Manager – Park Amenities)  
Janny Thompson  
(Senior Maintenance Co-ordinator)  
Karen Walby  
(Community Lease Advisor)  
Provide direction on preferred approach | An opportunity to receive an update from the Community Facilities Team including an update on:  
- Project delivery and investigation and design  
- Operations Management and Maintenance  
- Community Leases |
| 2.30pm | 4 | Community Empowerment Unit Update | **Attachments:**  
4A - Memo  
Sue Dodds  
(Strategic Broker)  
Keeping informed | An opportunity to receive an update on Community Empowerment Unit progress on key priority areas. |

Break 3pm
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.25pm</td>
<td>Rodney Greenways Feasibility Studies: Wellsford and Omaha</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities, budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Attachments:</strong></td>
<td>An opportunity to receive an update on the Rodney Greenways Feasibility Studies for Wellsford and Omaha. Representatives from Resilio and Urban Solutions will be in attendance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5A – Memo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5B – Wellsford Greenways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5C – Omaha Greenways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Angela Levet</strong> (Senior Growth Development Specialist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Susan Quinn</strong> (Stakeholder Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Jack Haldene-Willis</strong> (Resilio Studio)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Finn Mackesy</strong> (Resilio Studio)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lorenzo Canal</strong> (Urban Solutions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.10pm</td>
<td>Matakana Needs Assessment</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Attachments:</strong></td>
<td>An opportunity to receive an update on the progress of the Matakana Jubilee Park needs assessment, and outline next steps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6A – PowerPoint presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.25pm</td>
<td>Green Road update on findings and criteria for prioritisation</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Attachments:</strong></td>
<td>An opportunity to receive an update in regard to the needs assessment for the future reserve at Green Road, Dairy Flat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7A – PowerPoint</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Shyrel Burt</strong> (Service and Asset Planning Specialist)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Helaina Farthing</strong> (Contractor)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated close of workshop 5.00pm

**Next Parks and Recreation workshop:** 13 September 2018
Role of Workshop:

a. Workshops do not have decision-making authority.
b. Workshops are used to canvass issues, prepare local board members for upcoming decisions and to enable discussion between elected members and staff.
c. Workshops are not open to the public as decisions will be made at a formal, public local board business meeting.
d. Members are respectfully reminded of their Code of Conduct obligations with respect to conflicts of interest and confidentiality.
e. Workshops for groups of local boards can be held giving local boards the chance to work together on common interests or topics.
Deputation/Public Forum Update

File No.: CP2018/14931

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. As part of its business meetings Rodney Local Board has a period of time set aside for Deputations/Presentations and Public Forum during which time members of the public can address the local board on matters within its delegated authority.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Under Standing Orders there is provision for Deputations/Presentations to the local board. Applications for Deputations/Presentations must be in writing setting forth the subject and be received by the Relationship Manager at least seven working days before the meeting concerned, and subsequently have been approved by the Chairperson. Unless the meeting determines otherwise in any particular case, a limit of ten minutes is placed on the speaker making the presentation.

3. Standing Orders allows three minutes for speakers in Public Forum.

4. Requests, matters arising and actions from the Deputations/Presentations and Public Forum are recorded and updated accordingly. The Rodney Local Board Deputations/Presentations and Public Forum Update is attached as Attachment A.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:
a) note the deputation/public forum update for the Rodney Local Board.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Deputation/Public Forum Update</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Robyn Joynes - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Outcomes of Deputations/Presentations and Public Forum

**19 July 2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presenter/Topic</th>
<th>Purpose/Issue</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Rice</td>
<td>Mr Rice outlined a proposal for an ocean swim race in the Leigh/Matheson Bay area and details of the New Zealand Ocean Swim Series including the significant benefits to the area of having an event staged in Rodney</td>
<td>Mr Rice was encouraged to contact council’s events team to discuss the event permit and potential funding opportunities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ward Councillor Update

File No.: CP2018/14933

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. The Rodney Local Board allocates a period of time for the Ward Councillor, Greg Sayers, to update them on the activities of the Governing Body.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:
a) thank Cr Sayers for his August 2018 update to the Rodney Local Board on the activities of the Governing Body.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Robyn Joynes - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>