

For clarity, the resolution is:

That the Governing Body, as part of the review of the Auckland Council's representation arrangements:

- a) receive the recommendations of the Joint Governance Working Party as contained in this report, including the feedback from local boards and the report of the councillor for the Waitematā and Gulf Ward.
- b) note that the Governing Body has taken into account:
 - i) the requirement for fair representation across all governing body wards and, within each local board, across all subdivisions
 - ii) the requirement for effective representation of communities of interest
 - iii) the requirement for ward boundaries to coincide with local board boundaries as far as is practicable
 - iv) that local board boundaries cannot be changed as part of the review
 - v) the existing communities of interest identified by the Local Government Commission in 2010.
- c) propose that, for the Waitematā and Gulf ward to comply with the fair representation requirements, its boundaries, and those of adjacent wards, are changed as shown in the map in Attachment C with the result that:
 - i) the communities of Parnell and Newmarket become part of the Ōrākei ward
 - ii) the communities in Westmere and west of Surrey Crescent become part of the Albert-Eden-Roskill ward
 - iii) the community in Eden Terrace, south of the motorway, become part of the Albert-Eden-Roskill ward

and that, as a consequence of these changes:

- iv) parts of Ellerslie and St Johns, currently in the Ōrākei ward, become part of the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki ward
- v) part of Mt Roskill currently in the Albert-Eden-Roskill ward become part of the Whau ward
- vi) part of an area close to Royal Oak and Onehunga, currently in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki ward become part of the Albert-Eden-Roskill ward

noting that the Governing Body has particularly taken into account:

- vii) the requirement for ward boundaries to coincide with local board boundaries as far as is practicable, and that this proposal will lead to the boundaries of the affected wards not being fully aligned with local board boundaries
 - viii) local board boundaries cannot be changed as part of the review
 - ix) that there is minor under-representation in the Ōrākei and Maungakiekie-Tāmaki wards but that, due to the Rodney ward having non-complying over-representation it is reasonable to allow small under-representation in other parts of Auckland.
- d) propose that the Manukau ward be split into two separate wards with boundaries that align with the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu and Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board areas, named the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu ward and the Ōtara-Papatoetoe ward, noting that the Governing Body has particularly taken into account:
 - i) the existing communities of interest as recognised by the Local Government Commission in 2010, particularly in terms of current local board boundaries

- ii) that the Manukau ward is the only double-member ward that can be split into single-member wards along local board boundaries and comply with the fair representation requirements
 - iii) at the local level the communities of Papatoetoe and Ōtara have guaranteed representation through the subdivision arrangements of the respective local boards
 - iv) creating two single-member wards based on the existing boundaries of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board and the Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board will allow more effective representation of those communities with each ward member having a smaller constituency to support and only one local board to liaise with.
- e) propose that the boundaries of the Manurewa-Papakura ward are not changed, noting that this needs confirmation by the Local Government Commission as it is a non-complying decision, and that the Governing Body has particularly taken into account:
- i) the existing communities of interest as recognised by the Local Government Commission in terms of current ward and local board boundaries set by the Commission in 2010
 - ii) the requirement for ward boundaries to coincide with local board boundaries as far as is practicable
 - iii) local board boundaries cannot be changed as part of the review
 - iv) the non-compliance is very minor and it is preferable to maintain alignment with local board boundaries to avoid confusing residents and to avoid creating complexities in the administration of elections.
- f) propose that the Rodney ward boundaries are not changed, noting that this needs confirmation by the Local Government Commission as it is a non-complying decision and that the Governing Body has particularly taken into account:
- i) the existing communities of interest as recognised by the Local Government Commission in 2010 in terms of current ward and local board boundaries set by the Commission in 2010
 - ii) options for increasing the population of the Rodney ward result in splitting communities of interest or joining disparate communities of interest
 - iii) the requirement for ward boundaries to coincide with local board boundaries as far as is practicable
 - iv) local board boundaries cannot be changed as part of the review.
- g) propose that the subdivision boundaries of the Rodney Local Board are changed as shown by the map in Attachment D to better recognise communities of interest and to improve compliance with the requirement for fairness by:
- i) extending the Wellsford subdivision boundary south to meet the Kumeu subdivision boundary at Makarau
 - ii) moving the boundary between Warkworth and Wellsford north to include a small area north of Matakana Road in the Warkworth subdivision;
- noting that the Governing Body has taken into account:
- iii) the requirement for fair representation across all subdivisions of the Rodney Local Board
 - iv) the requirement for effective representation of communities of interest within the Rodney Local Board area

- h) propose that the boundaries of the subdivisions in the Howick Local Board area are not changed noting that this needs confirmation by the Local Government Commission as it is a non-complying decision and that the Governing Body has taken into account:
 - i) the existing communities of interest as recognised by the Local Government Commission in 2010 in terms of current ward and local board boundaries
 - ii) the northern boundary of the Botany subdivision is close to the Botany Town Centre and that any options for moving the northern boundary of the Botany subdivision southwards will result in splitting communities which have more of an interest in the Botany area than in the Howick or Pakuranga area
 - iii) the population in the Botany subdivision is continuing to grow and once the non-compliance is more substantial, a future review should investigate all options, including an additional subdivision or additional board members.
- i) propose that the name of the Great Barrier Local Board is changed to Aotea Great Barrier Local Board to acknowledge the intended re-naming of Great Barrier Island as a result of the settlement with Ngāti Rehua - Ngātiwai ki Aotea.
- j) propose that all other representation arrangements that were in place for the 2016 elections remain unchanged.
- k) hold an additional meeting on Thursday 18 October 2018 at 9.30 am to consider the report of the Joint Governance Working Party on submissions and to make the council's final proposal for public notification.
- l) delegate to the Joint Governance Working Party the responsibility and power under section 19M (3) of the Local Electoral Act 2001 to hear and consider submissions and to report recommendations to the Governing Body to take into account when making its final proposal.

Attachments

- A 26 July 2018, Governing Body - Item 10: Review of Auckland Council's representation arrangements for the 2019 elections, Additional feedback Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board
- B 26 July 2018, Governing Body - Item 10: Review of Auckland Council's representation arrangements for the 2019 elections, Additional feedback Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board

The meeting adjourned at 12.54pm until 1.26pm.

Councillors R Clow, E Collins, Sir J Walker, John Watson, G Sayers and D Newman not present when the meeting reconvened.

11 Referred from the Audit and Risk Committee - Quarterly Health and Safety Performance Report

- Cr R Clow returned to the meeting at 1.28 pm.*
- Cr D Newman returned to the meeting at 1.31 pm.*
- Cr E Collins returned to the meeting at 1.32 pm.*
- Cr G Sayers returned to the meeting at 1.32 pm.*
- Cr J Watson returned to the meeting at 1.32pm.*