

# REPRESENTATION REVIEW

## SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

### PROPOSAL 2: Split the Manukau ward into two

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
3	Alistair Kendrick	Support	Seems sensible. Allows more targeted local representation
4	Timo-lih Tatui-fricker	Oppose	The proposed split would divide areas which have similar interests and in turn this division may make it harder for each ward to make changes that would benefit the constituents.
5	Ben Ross	Oppose	<p>"Elected members of single wards have a more focussed area to represent" especially for the Manukau Ward is an incorrect statement and technically offensive to the Ward and its two Councillors.</p> <p>Manukau Ward is pinned and tied (historically and currently) to Manukau City Centre which also serves as the civic core for the Ward (and the wider South). Consequently even those in Otahuhu and Mangere have ties to Manukau City Centre which would be lost in a Ward split.</p> <p>Both Councillors represent the two respective LB areas very well and both represent Manukau City Centre as well especially with its current regeneration program. Given the Manukau Node spans both Local Board areas (under the Auckland Plan) having the node in one Ward rather than two allows for unified representation.</p>
8	Swanie Nelson	Oppose	There is nothing wrong with how it is already. I don't even know why you're even proposing to do this! I love how it's operated already and wish it to remain how it is!
9	Lotu Fuli	Oppose	Manukau Ward is made up of 1 community of interest. The 2 LBs within it are very similar - not distinctly different. Therefore the current arrangements meet all the statutory requirements under the Local electoral Act 2001. There is absolutely no legitimate justification for this proposed split and I am opposed to this 100%. The GB should not consider reasons that would make the elected member's job easier as equating to 'effective representation'. That term in the Act relates to the 'communities of interest' (COI) and means that these COI can elect a representative - NOT that the elected person can do their job better... please do NOT confuse the 2 terms. I also do NOT want to see a further watering down of the 'Manukau identity' - if the split happens, Manukau will cease to exist on the political landscape, it will lose significance and may attract less investment and economic development etc. There are many complex issues and possible ramifications that our people have not had enough time to consider. Do not rush this through simply to make the elected member's job easier or to make it less expensive for him to campaign in the 2019 election. I will object to the LG Commission on the same grounds.
10	Will Flavell	Oppose	I listened to the views of the Chairwomen of the local boards in Manukau who are against the proposal to split. It is not an issue and does not need fixing. A potential loss of funding for the area.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
11	Damien Spurdle	Oppose	Having two members at large representing the Ward provides far more benefits for the people there than having single representation for a small area due to the geographic and cultural considerations of the area with large young Pacific population across the Ward and the continued close associations between multiple areas of the Ward. The local boards as that stand are adequate although additional members might be needed but the overall Manukau Ward may need to look towards a third representative with housing development/redevelopment and the overall larger population compared to other wards.
12	Matt Cole	Support	Manukau would benefit from the increased focus and catering to the different nature of the areas in question.
13	Lance Millward	Oppose	Madness. This ward had ppor representation and resources
14	Antoinette Tiatia	Oppose	The current way is fine and our councillors are both visible throughout the Manukau area. We are a collective area that continues to thrive under Manukau as one not two wards.
15	Emily B	Support	This should help voters as the Ward would be aligned with LB boundaries and have consistent naming.
20	Sasha Myles	Support	Makes sense
21	Mayleen Scott	Oppose	I believe that there is strength in numbers and would prefer to have two councilors representing Manukau rather than have two councilors individually representing their local boards at governance level. I believe manukau as a whole is an established and growing community. I live in Mangere, go to church in Papatoetoe, used to attend school in Otahuhu and now work servicing Young People in Otara, Papatoetoe and Mangere. So I identify with being a contributing member of the Manukau community. We have had little to none information as to why this has been proposed and what the pro's and con's are for our community. To me it makes no sense to split the ward. Don't ever submit a consultation online again without giving us enough time and information to process what the hell your trying to do in our community and how that impacts us. Thank you for your time.
23	LJ K.F. Tuua	Oppose	Leave our Manukau alone! Manukau is strong as it is and doing fine. Now we are doing well you want to split us up? Why? Manukau is the only ward that provides any real diversity to the Council - is that why you want to split us up??? Split up all the wards - or none of them. Why are you picking on Southside only????
24	Rosa Titi	Oppose	Manukau is stronger together as one ward with 2 councillors. We work better together because we are very similar with similar goals and issues. Our cultures are similar, in our culture we want to work together as a community - not individualistic like the palagi model of working. We support each other here in Manukau. We love Manukau!!
25	Tapuai Titi Nuã	Oppose	DOn't like this - Manukau is doing great as is.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
26	Random Lasi	Oppose	No way - don't do it! Mannix is the place to be... grew up here, love this place, don't split it up Alf!! Why are you doingthis???
28	Faleata Milo	Oppose	Manaia Manukau - good as it is, no reason for the split. Stronger together!!
29	Will Brydon	Support	Yes, I support this change, provided that ward changes align to the underlying local board boundaries.
31	Reece Autagavaia	Oppose	Otara as a community of interest will be dominated by Papatoetoe voters if Otara-Papatoetoe were to become a ward
32	Pam Morris	Oppose	There is no clear benefit to splitting double member wards into single member wards.
33	Paul Forder	Support	Given its large current size, a reduction in the ward size by splitting it as proposed seems reasonable.  This is conditional on the residents in the current ward finding this proposal acceptable.
34	Ashraf Choudhary	Support	1. Single member wards will be more effective to serve for each Councillor. 2. Each Councillor will have enhanced engagement with community they will serve. 3. Separate wards would be akin to 2 separate MPs of the two constituency. If the combined ward (as now) is good idea then should have 2 combined MPs in the Ward/constituency. 4. Would be cost-effective and time-effective for electioneering for candidates. 5. Separate wards representation would be more reflective of the local diverse communities.
35	Gillianne Ray	Oppose	For me Manukau ward is more identifiable as one. I think the current arrangement is working well and see no reason to change it. I think double member wards is in proportion to single member wards. That's why there are two councillors rather than just the one. There is also a cost in making any changes. So far I believe Manukau is well represented and can't see the need for change. Why fix something when it doesn't need to be fixed.
36	Jonathan Chua	Oppose	All double member wards need to be split into two. Not just Manukau.
37	Erin Wi	Oppose	I would rather keep it as it is .
38	Atonina Qaraniqio	Oppose	Good initiative, just don't think we need it. Why do we need to be split when Papakura and Manurewa are bigger than us (area wise)? They need more focused wards. I'm just wondering why the elected members need a more focused area for this ward? Manukau ward has been fine so far, we have a single elected member for the two separate local boards, I think that's enough. What's the benefit we have from this? And what have the elected members done for us so far?
40	Melissa Giles	Support	More focused on communities in these areas
42	Ricky Autagavaia	Oppose	There is no strong rationale for splitting the ward and the recommendation to split the ward has not been requested by the constituents of the ward

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
44	Rita Unasa	Oppose	Manukau currently meets statutory requirements. No need for the split, not sure why it's being proposed at all. Manukau is one community of interest and has 2 councillors to share the workload so no reason really to split it - certainly nothing to be gained from the split. Is this a case of 'divide and conquer'? Is it because Manukau is the only ward to elect 2 non-European councillors?? If there is no legal reason for the split, what is really motivating it?? (or who? and what are their REAL motives??).
45	Harmon Unasa	Oppose	I like Manukau the way it is. I like having Efeso Collins and Alf Flipana as our councillor. I think we should keep things the way they are. Thank you.
46	Klaudia Unasa	Oppose	Manukau is great! Don't know why you would want to split us up for? Manukau is strong as one ward - please don't make us weak by splitting us up. Splitting it up so that it's easier job for the councillor is pretty extreme and not a good reason for splitting up a whole entire community!!
49	Julia Harkness	Oppose	I don't see how splitting the ward into two would strengthen the area. Our Pacifica and Māori families work well in larger whanau groups and splitting the two would make it harder to have that consultation and strength of team work. Especially as none of the other double councilor wards are going to be spilt.
50	Cynthia Collins	Oppose	Because the representation we have now is sufficient.
51	Ronald Andreassend	Oppose	It works well as it is.
52	Willie Tiuli	Oppose	I believe we are a community of interest whom share a lot of the same issues surrounding our communities. I feel it more effective that the Manukau communities stick together and make the proposed changes that need to be made.
55	Hayley King	Support	This should be done in all double-member wards not just Manukau.
56	Brian Chan	Oppose	Need fewer wards, not more, to allow better prioritisation and investment across a wider area.
59	Stuart Young	Support	I strongly support single member wards rather than multi member wards because multi-member two-vote first-past-the-post elections are extremely unfair electoral systems. I think that Albert-Eden should be split as well.
60	Alphonso Ese	Oppose	I do not see why the Manukau ward needs to be divided as i do not feel enough information has been provided as to why this is a good thing. Also was there consultation with communities before this was planned?
61	Reece Moody	Support	I believe that all local boards should also be a ward. The current system is too confusing. So the split of Manukau is a step towards this.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
62	Vanessa Vete	Oppose	<p>I believe it is important for us to have a united front regarding representation. I dont think having two separate boards to represent the south would not make sense as the manukau word all have similar demographics, culture and socio economic status.</p> <p>Please focus on some real issues and next time you want to put out a consultation please inform our community in advance. Putting out a week before is not good enough.</p> <p>Thanks</p>
64	Naomi Lange	Oppose	<p>We are manukau and it was bad enough being made part of Auckland city council apart from library service. We have two good local boards who need to keep working together. We have two councillors one of whom is better than the other at supporting local causes. People in our area need all the help and representation they can get. This is not going to happen by splitting up these wards. Please keep it as it is. Thank you.</p>
67	Kipi Wallbridge-Paea	Oppose	<p>Generally speaking, the entire ward is similar demographically, culturally and politically. The two Councillors elected will have greater popular support than many of the other single ward councillors. Splitting the ward makes no sense if it is only being done for this ward and not the other 2 Councillor wards. Either split all the wards, or none.</p>
68	Anna Harvey	Support	<p>Smaller ward area means greater detail can go into issues more relevant to peoples location. Manukau has a very large population, with the new ward boundaries appropriate to the geography of South Auckland, and will to give local residents more attention to local matters that matter to them.</p>
69	Ruth Rees	Oppose	<p>This boundary should stay as one ward and that it continues with an over arching view of one Person. The communities in these areas are socially economically similar and therefore should have once voice. More support to manage the Ward should be provided in Tier 2 management. For example - Tier One management for the ward should be by one person and Tier 2 management should be increased to provide the support needed to manage.</p>
70	Vaaiga Autagavaia	Oppose	<p>This is only being down because of the insecurity of a lazy career politician who is now being shown up their laziness by a new councillor who is actually actively advocating for this ward. The councillor who instigated this DOES NOT HAVE THE MANDATE OF HIS CONSTITUENTS. The ward should remain as is.</p>
72	Ross Robertson	Support	<p>More effective representation as no man/woman can serve two masters. More engagement with their Ward rather than cross over boundries. Electors will have a dedicated Councillor who they can address their concerns to just the same as electors currently do with their local MP. More effective and efficient election campaign. More effective relationship with their Local Board.</p>

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
73	David Hopkins	Oppose	<p>This double member ward works well as a double member ward and may not be so well represented if made into two, one member wards.</p> <p>There is so much commonality of interest across the two local board areas. Voting for 2 people is also more democratic than voting for just one person. That's because the number of people who voted for no successful candidate would be more if the wards were split.</p> <p>If you want to think about why this is important, it's because we are intent on building an inclusive city where 'everyone' can feel they can have an influence.</p> <p>For this reason, please retain the 2 member ward.</p>
74	Carl Jones	Oppose	I believe it is far better as it stands, with all 4 communities treated as 1
75	Faqir Gul	Support	This will be useful for better management.
78	Glenn McCutcheon	Oppose	So if the ward is split, that means new boards to be formed, costing the ratepayers even more money. I believe our members of Mangere- Otahuhu work very hard for their constituents, and know the problems and hot spots in the ward. Leave alone if it's working ok.
79	Mary Lam	Support	Makes sense Papatoetoe close to Otara and Mangere to Otahuhu. Would avoid confusion and lessen/easier work to be done.
86	Fern McRae	Support	<p>Overall sounds like a good idea. But there are advantages of having two people working together and sharing problems, issues etc.</p> <p>If the present and past representatives think a split is good, go for it.</p>
87	Jacquelin Mougavalu	Support	The elected member is focussed on the area that they are elected to
90	SAUILUMA TIATIA	Oppose	No I do not think this is a good idea. Leave how it is. We are happy with the councillors we have. We don't need to have both wards split. You'll be making a huge mistake if you do.
92	Patrick Whitaker	Support	<p>although I do think all four of these areas have A LOT of similar history and (land use, good soil, the cultures living there, the type of housing) I can see benefits of having representatives for each area.</p> <p>I'd like to know more information about what else this could affect. As I understand from what I have read so far it is just about elected representatives.</p> <p>Are the council waste collection area boundaries for contracts based on these legacy areas and wards? This would be worth checking. Any other council business based on population measurements (RIMU) part of council would know.</p>
93	Dieuwe de Boer	Support	It will give more direct representation where both halves will have their own councilor, where each can serve a smaller community better.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
94	Kendra Tike	Oppose	Why do something that is better together. Auckland councilors did not even find out if this is something that the local board would even support. They made there own decisions without consultation. I love out west but I was born and breed and spend all my time in The Manukau Ward. There is already a lack of unity shown here how can we be sure now there will be unity after dividing us all. We work better in numbers if it seems to much work for just the two councilors matbe new ones are needed, capable ones not ones who fix something that is and was not broken
95	Nigel Siaopo	Oppose	We need a body that represents the needs and values for all suburbs and peoples of Manukau who are all going through the same struggles. If split into two new single-member wards, the voices of those people will be dampened and diluted. Previously our rates paid for our own water system. Then the single super city thing happened and now our rates have increased to pay for upgrades for the more affluent areas? And all while this is happening, we're getting less services from the council. And now you're proposing to give us less power with future decisions. I wholeheartedly oppose the splitting of Manukau into two.
96	Alice Meredith	Oppose	No. Historically, this is not a viably strong political position for manukau. There are currently two councillorors relevant to the people who are the majority. Please don't split up the ward.
111	Faletufusa Sakalia	No opinion	I discuss more time
136	Patsy Grant	Support	More attention paid to all our people
143	Pearl Segi	Support	Smaller area for elected members to cater for, so hopefully more will be done to support the communities.
146	Mareifua Staosi	Oppose	As a waste of tax payers money what is the benefit of splitting, nothing we do not want any change, we need to improve our living standard
164	William Maxwell	Oppose	Di
171	Toafa Afamata	Support	Better to split we are getting bigger in population
182	Tuhemata Latoa	Oppose	Not keen on costs that may impact my household Changes & restructuring may get complicated
184	Angel Savili	Oppose	It's better like the way it is
187	Junior Faatili	Oppose	Leave as it is
204	Siaupiu Autagavaia	Oppose	If not broken, why change!
213	Saeni Lemalu	Oppose	Same number of seats.
214	Karima Fa'ai	Oppose	If it's broken why try to fix it.
217	Tenique Fale	Oppose	Maintain identity and culture of our community.
218	Taliilagi Sagala	Support	More focus on these specific areas and also help streamline processes.
219	Jeremiah Fale	Oppose	I think Manukau is fine as it is.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
227	Willie Matautia	Oppose	Why the change, no need for change.
229	Karima Fai'ai	No opinion	Need to learn more about the implications this will have.
231	Tausaga Fa'aso'o	Oppose	No need to change what is already working well.
238	Lorriane Autagavaia	Oppose	2 councillors is better than 1. I don't understand why there should be a split, when previously its never been an issue. The proposed 2 new wards are very similar in demographics so I don't know why it needs to be split.
239	Janah Autagavaia	Oppose	No need
242	Ikenna Chukwudumogu	Oppose	There is no strong justification for this proposal. In my opinion splitting it into two wards would lead to an under representation of already marginalised communities in the Manukau ward.
248	Mary Autagavaia	Oppose	Pacific people will just be fairly represented
249	Iulia Autagavaia	Oppose	Don't fix what's not broken
251	Faafiu Lemalu	Oppose	Always been Manukau and there is no need for 2. 1 for all, all for 1
257	Pei Luamanu	Oppose	Less of a voice being heard when split
265	Solo Faamoetawua	Oppose	Because it won't make much difference and may arise cost tax payers more?
266	Carol Taupau	Oppose	Because I want it to stay as it is
267	Faaniniva Aleki Teo	Oppose	Don't agree
272	Tilomai Fa'aso'o	Oppose	Things are already running smoothly. Would be nice to keep as Manukau. More of a community feel.
273	George Sekene	Oppose	There's been no problem with the systems so far so i'd keep it as it is. Plus we might have to change our church name
274	PJ Tamelu	Oppose	it's fine as it is
275	Ulrbn Teofilo	Oppose	Support current system we have
276	Apaula Lafaialii	No opinion	At the moment I would like to know more about the situation. (more information)
277	Dorothy Lafaialii	Support	More decisions will help unite the future economy of NZ as a whole
280	Tupe Fiasoo	Oppose	I'm happy wit Manukau no need to fix
281	Tavita Mika	Oppose	Don't want any changes
287	Aniva Saipani	Support	100% - organised, voice of people to be heard. Great leader that lead by example.
289	Faleupolu White	Support	Because I want to help the people work better

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
290	Karanina Faata	Support	So Manukau ward can get ore attention and well looked after in terms of public service and developments
291	Sonny Faatai	Support	We need to better our public services and housing developments
292	Theresa Magele	Support	Sometimes doctors not enough if we go to the hospital we want like 4 hours to see them.
293	Faafeiai Luiana.Afele	Oppose	If change is its going to off it is going to hard for the people
295	Atofu loane	Support	I support it I think the smaller ward its easier to look after and better
296	Buveta Mauigoa	No opinion	Because I need more time to think
305	Ropeti Vili	Oppose	If we were to split there'd be more indians than islanders
306	William Taoai	Support	Need changes so the local needs are meet
309	Tauasili Anesi	Oppose	Things are good now, why change
310	Ava Palamo	Oppose	There is no need it is fine as it is
313	Tim Samau	Support	So that council can focus on Otara
315	Mariata loane	Support	Need a change, the smaller ward the better
317	Senerita Hendrikse	Support	Needs a new change
318	Lilia Sagala	No opinion	Need time for more consideration
319	Tim Mitipelo	Oppose	Just leave it alone
325	Peuaia lalogafom	Oppose	If it is planning smoothly
326	Lealofi Muliava	Oppose	Because if it is alright as it is why are we changing it?
327	Norman Neemia	Support	Ask yourself
329	Mau Magele	Oppose	No need to change, remain the same
336	greta nakao	Oppose	I am happy with the way things are operating as one ward
337	Karen Robinson	Oppose	I'm happy with the way our ward is operating as one ward
338	Jade Manako	Oppose	Im happy with the way Manukau is operating as one ward
339	Lovana Robinson	Oppose	Im happy with the way Manukau operates as one ward
340	Dylan Nakao	Oppose	I'm happy with Manukau operating as one ward
341	Gino Nakao	Oppose	Im happy with Manukau Ward operating as is.
342	Solomone Langi	Oppose	im happy with the ward operating as is
343	Helen T	Support	I think they should split they are distinct suburbs.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
345	Lai Yeen Tham	Oppose	It's a waste of resources and it is too much work to change the current administration with not much apparent benefit.
355	Sapi Neemia	Oppose	I prefer to leave it as it is. Two leaders can do so much. Manukau is much better now, and I don't really know what's going to happen if splits. God bless
357	Saolele Falefata	Oppose	I like it already
361	Matthew Vaa	Oppose	Manukau is the best place
370	Millisha Fina	No opinion	Not enough time given to fully think about both sides of the proposal
374	Akatu Heather	Oppose	To keep our communities together
376	Winnie	Support	Change is good
378	Kimberly White Falaniko	Oppose	Because all our voices need to be heard, no matter what in circumstance. Because we're all one community
379	Stephanie Falaniko	Oppose	Because our voices will be heard
380	Paul Walker	Oppose	To have an individual voice heard
383	Faaavane Ulipa	Oppose	Manukau is good the way it is
398	Reena Prosad	Support	Personally, Council do more for Manukau region - more focused on community
409	Steve Autogeale	Support	Makes it easier for voices to be heard
413	Rev Famai Kafali	No opinion	More time to discuss
417	Faafee Fafe	No opinion	Need more time
418	Tememane Naga	Oppose	Manukau is beautiful as it is
419	Alofa Aniterea	Support	To make Otara better
426	Anthony Start	No opinion	Haven't looked into the matter. Don't understand the benefits of either option
428	Faivalu Arolupotea	Oppose	I only want to have one voice - one mp
430	Simone Alexiz Haken	Support	Because people now days are not speaking up to all changes
436	Jacob Tauti	Oppose	Just leave it as it is "peace"
438	Grace Ponifasio	Oppose	Don't see any benefit
442	Aolelega Soe	Oppose	I don't wanted
445	Rudi Roodhouse- Hill	Oppose	Sentiment, to earn money, it can be put to better things
460	Croncan Ponifasio	Oppose	No need to change. Waste of tax payer money

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
461	Suaesi Sagala	No opinion	I don't know the ramifications of splitting versus not splitting
462	Naylor Owen	No opinion	Haven't heard enough information we need to know more
463	Monalisa Owen	No opinion	Don't know enough of the benefits
466	Pesa Pesaleli	No opinion	need more facts
473	Ponifasio Fonoti	Support	I support the splitting of Manukau ward so that they can have more facilities for our own people and can take good care them (e.g. Doctors)
479	Stephen Ridley	Oppose	The Manukau community is just as connected as the WaitÄ kere and Howick ones. However there is no suggestion from them to be divided. So I don't see how a justification can be made for this.
491	Jacob Samuelu	Support	More community focus
523	Cristo Tuleia	Support	Easy to look after. For example if you look at the stages of our parks needs growing.
577	Irene Tuisafia	Oppose	I'm already used to it
586	Tracy Hinton	Oppose	strength in numbers
595	Aster Senau	Oppose	why change what is not borken
616	Samuel Ray	No opinion	insufficient knowledge on council - cannot make judgement
623	Moira Salima	Oppose	I don't believe this will make a difference. I do not feel that we should judge based on the population of different cultures in different regions.
630	Neeraj Kumar	Support	very focussed approach to develop Board and people can be benefitted
631	Alice Falemaka	Oppose	Segregation, representing all cultures
632	Marie Falemaka	Oppose	Represent all cultures
634	Tovaega Baker- Mafi	Oppose	there is no difference if we split
635	rajesh ampani	Support	small could be manageable
636	Eliki Soloma Tuan	Oppose	Causing imbalance
640	Daniel Pele	Oppose	Because it should just stay as it is
658	Tangi Mei Moana Oreore	No opinion	I'm not familiar with this
660	James Turua	Oppose	I oppose mainly because we will not have the spokesperson we need to voice our concerns as required
661	eleni moa	Support	better representation
666	Tamasi moa	Support	better representation

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
705	deyonte eliki snoma	Oppose	power imbalance
710	Celia Moore	Support	I think it will provide better representation
722	Jaelyn Hira	Oppose	because it's wrong to do this.
724	Jennifer Gill	Support	Equal representation should apply. Multi-councilor wards does not.
725	Vt Saufoi	Oppose	i feel it's good they way it is
732	ANDREA JORDAN	Support	easier management and focus on issues
734	Mak Makisi	Oppose	Hell nah!!! First you got rid of Manukau city, now you want to get rid of Manukau ward so we will simply disappear?? All the areas are to big - that's because you took away Manukau city council... why split only Manukau and not the others?? Manukau is great as is... if the councillor is too lazy to do his job then he should stop gatekeeping and get out of the way so that the next generation can step up to the job. He should resign if he can't cover all of Manukau anymore - instead he gets his mates the Mayor and others to help him split Manukau up?? What's up with that eh? Leave... and leave Manukau as it is!
736	Geoff K	Support	As long as there is no increase in staff or cost. If so, find the savings from elsewhere first
737	Rodd Eddy	Support	As growth occurs it is time to adopt changes, and better representation..
739	Graeme Moffat	Support	It makes sense for the wards to be more-or-less the same as the local boards. The two current councillors do work together, and that would be unlikely to change. But it would simplify choosing only one representative for each particular area.
744	medina khan khan	Oppose	Fine as it is. Keep our 2 Councillors. We want to keep Manukau identity strong. Split all the wards or none of them - don't just split Manukau, that's discrimination. If people are too old to do the job then you should step aside. West Auckland wards are huge too so is Howick but that's why you have 2 people - so not really a good reason to split up just Manukau.
749	Issy Cheng	Support	It is too big to be managed as one ward with quite different economic and demographic composition
750	Robert Reid	Oppose	Although we have 2 wards we are one area. It is good that we have 2 seats. It allows for more diversity.
751	sukhvinder Singh Basra	Support	More effective representation, less work for the Councillor less expensive for election campaign
752	Sat Pal Singh Dhindsa	Support	More effective representation more contact with local community cheaper for electioneering
755	Joshua Fowkes	Support	This would be more representative.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
761	Hayley Swann	Oppose	Manukau is fine now, no need to waste money on an unnecessary split. There are 2 Councillors so the size of the area is not an issue. Other areas have 2 councillors so why split up Manukau.
762	Afato Vavauoti	Oppose	E leai se uiga ona vaeluaina fua Manukau, leai se penefiki mo Manukau
773	Jennifer Goldsack	Support	Least disruption
774	Aso-Nerisa Vavau	No opinion	Manukau for Manukau. Simple really!
775	Ian zhang	Support	same as proposal1
776	John Rex	Oppose	<p>No compelling reasons given to do this. It is just a political whim, and very few people even know what you are talking about.</p> <p>Local boards are there to represent on local issues, and councillors are meant to represent on regional matters, so why do the councillors want to be more localised representatives? Is the regional stuff just too hard for them to get their heads around?</p> <p>There is no need to erase the Manukau identity, which this proposal is seeking to do.</p> <p>Good to have 2 representatives across Manukau, as more chance of a broader view and more effective representation. What if you get a dud in your smaller ward? At least with 2 councillors you get a higher chance of quality representation.</p> <p>Otara will get marginalised in a single ward. Isn't that why a subdivision exists anyway?</p> <p>It does smack of "doing it to" the people of Manukau, because this is such a short consultation period and only a few elites even understand the complexities of this.</p>
779	Max Robitzsch	Oppose	<p>No - it is better that MORE two-member wards exist, as this gives a more competitive race, less "automatic" incumbency for one particularly popular Council who wins again and again, and thus encourages greater representation.</p> <p>Splitting the ward in to is essentially going more strongly towards First Past The Post, whereas a Two-Member ward keeps something a bit more like MMP at national level. Which is good as it allows more voices to have a say.</p>

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
784	Wesley Tugia	Oppose	<p>I strongly disagree.</p> <p>Your splitting the largest Pasifika population in any city or in the world! If this is recorded on the Auckland Population consensus? Why would you contemplate in doing this?</p> <p>I believe this is a monopoly and if it is projected their will be a million people in 30 years? This is more likely to do with making this city in making a viable city to visit? At the expense of splitting up communities?</p> <p>So, with the Ateed reports aiming to be the most viable city in the World? Manukau near the airport and easy ride to the city (around the peak traffic). I can envision the proposed changes, with the amendments, legislation, and jurisdiction. A nice scuttle approach on applying gentrification. Gently pushing the impoverished and poor. Taking the autonomy from South Auckland to please the capitalists!</p> <p>The proposal is a farce.</p>
785	Rosana Lasi	Oppose	Manukau is good the way it is, keep the status quo. Don't waste money changing something that doesn't need to be changed.
786	Izaiah Tapuai	Oppose	I love Manukau as it is. Don't split it. We are stronger together. Stop picking on our South Auckland communities. Split up the rich areas first!!
787	Cassius Fuli	Oppose	Keep things how they are because they are fine now.
788	Rosa Khan	Oppose	Waste of money and time - don't need it, our councilrs do a good job now.
789	Ao Vavauoti	Oppose	<p>I'm happy with the way Manukau is. We don't want to split Manukau and we don't need it. If the person can't do his job anymore then he should retire. The area is the same as other areas so why is he complaining about it?? When he was in Manukau City council the area was bigger and now he's complaining that its too much for him?? He must be getting too old for the job. Leave Manukau alone. Instead of splitting up Manukau to make your job easier, why don't you just retire? You been there too long. Phil Goff - we vote for you and now you want to split up Manukau?? Don't forget about the South now you are Mayor.</p>
790	Neil Milo	Oppose	<p>Stop wasting our money and our time!! We didn't vote for you so you can get your mates to split up Manukau and make your life easier - wasting money etc. WTH Alf?? You should be trying to get more for our Manukau community instead of running around getting your buddies to vote to split us up. For what?? Do your job. Speak up for us. You were silent when they raised our rates, quiet when they raised our petrol prices - and now you want to be loud?? Nobody in Manukau supports this split bro - you are out of touch!!</p>
793	Morgana Titi	Oppose	Status quo is fine. Don't waste money and resources changing something that doesn't need to be changed.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
794	Tristan Titi	Oppose	No good reason for the split - no real benefit to the people of Manukau. Only benefit is for the councillor that is pushing this split.
795	Connor Titi	Oppose	Status quo is fine.
797	Heather Fowler	Support	Think the areas are quite different in feel and therefore could be better represented- both have manufacturing, logistics and transport hub features and need focused attention
799	Fofoa Afamasaga	Oppose	United we Stand, Divided we Fall.
800	JULIE SASULU	Oppose	As a Pasifika Law student i speak for my people when I say that this is just a way to weaken the voice of our Pacific people who live in these areas. Division is one of the best known ways to subdue a nation, people and community. There is no reason why splitting the ward would benefit Auckland. Pacific people stand by each other and these areas are concentrated with Pacific Islanders who stand together in times of good and bad especially election time. Keep our people United in one ward, there is no need to split.
809	Alison Kroon	Oppose	I see no point in this. It feels divisive and unnecessary.
810	Dawn Trenberth	Oppose	The Manukau ward is already a community of interest with many issues in common. It is well represented with two Samoan/Maori councillors who reelected the community they serve. There is no legal reason and no good reason to split this ward. In fact the actions of the council in proposing this split border on reckless decision making and this decision would very likely be overturned in a Court of Law, as the reasons for splitting the ward do not reflect the law. Pathetic reasons were argued in support of the split by the governing body including the argument it would make it easier for the councillors and make campaigning easier for them. These arguments do not reflect the law. The argument in the consultation documentation that the two new wards would be communities of interest as they are the same as the local boards is a silly one. The current ward is also a community of interest - hence no reason to change! I feel that the supporting documentation of this consultation is very poor and fudges things badly.
814	Suivaiaa Tapu Pritchard	Oppose	The hard work to make our community where it at has become rich in terms of our people
816	Carly Nakao	Oppose	I prefer the ward now as is
820	Edith Moore	Oppose	The voice of Otara community will not be represented
821	Litia Brighthouse-Fuavao	Oppose	What for?
822	Lesley Maipi	Oppose	Our population is large
823	Chillion Sanenvi	Oppose	Nothing wrong with it
825	Christyanna Saufai	Oppose	If its not broken why fix it?

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
856	Janine Campbell	Support	Splitting the ward into two and having a councillor for each will give greater focus on local issues and increase councillor accountability.
857	Julie Segi	Oppose	I think having Manukau as one is the way to go, my family are all over Manukau and the supports we get come from the reps all over Manukau. Wont be the same if its split.
858	James Hita	Oppose	Leave the Manukau community whole.
859	Savai Vavauoti	Oppose	No way!! Hell no! Keep Manukau together - we are stronger as one. NO WAY!!!! Do NOT split up our Manukau please!!! There's no reason for this split - just people trying to make life easier for them but not thinking about how it will affect the people of Manukau. TOTALLY AGAINST 100%
863	Evelyn Christina Faumuina	Oppose	Status quo is fine
865	Te'eva Matafai	Oppose	Status quo is fine
867	Arunesh Roneei	Oppose	It was no clear benefit for us
868	Peta Leitu - AMMI School of Sports & Fitness	Oppose	Manukau already has 2 votes and by splitting Manukau means a weaker voice. The purpose is a political standpoint and it means more than meets the eye without going into racial issues. With the one vote the Auckland City Council will finish what was/is Manukau City. Do not be fooled by historical political tactics. You only have to look at the FOR, after that they'll kick you off Cr Alfred Filipaina. Consult the community and hear OUR voices NOT persuaded by sweet words. Splitting will mean decades of waiting to get things done. Manukau shouldn't remain Manukau, the most booming and prosperous city in Tamaki Makaurau.
870	Sarah Aska	Support	Large population, developing area. Would be better to split into two wards. I get the feeling the workload is high and the pace is slow compared with other parts of Auckland. I've only recently been receiving emails from the Mangere-Otahuhu board about updates and feedback requests(I joined up years ago) and I feel it's only because of the two-ward split proposal.. ie Council members are doing some work. I've enquired about developments in my area a few times and I don't get replies. However when I asked another board about walks, events etc I get a reply straight away.
873	Paul Sherley	Oppose	We have too many politicians already. This will create/require more.
883	Keong Ang	Support	One councillor per ward is the way to go. Then there is no argument about which councillor is the primary representative of the ward.
885	Tony Tasi	Oppose	Stupid stupid idea! Waste of money, no need to split Manukau at all.
887	Fagalei lakopo	Oppose	No way
888	Pisa Fata	Oppose	No - stop wasting our money.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
889	Fono Opetai	Oppose	Manukau is a great place to live. There's no good reason to split us up. It's just a waste of money and resources.
890	Leilala To'atasi	Oppose	Why are we even wasting our time with this decision? Manukau is a great place and it is stronger together as one. If you split it, you will weaken it. People will not want to invest in a small ward and they will stop investing in the area if it's not called Manukau anymore. You will kill the Manukau brand. Fools.
891	Metai Metai	Oppose	Stop wasting our taxes on this. Keep Manukau together!! Tell those Councillors to retire if they too old to do the job. You are ruining our future. You will all be gone in a few years and its us young ones that will suffer from your stupid mistakes.
893	Basil Matana	No opinion	Doesn't affect me
895	Shankar Mahanta	Support	Smaller areas will improve manageability of the two wards.
896	Richard Tapua'i	Oppose	Manukau currently works well. Changing the status quo will just be a waste of money.
907	Muhammad Sohail	Support	it will be good for the area
908	Hope Munro	Support	Hopefully Mangere East will be given a Higher priority in terms of care than what they currently get. The shops are shocking and sections of footpaths damn diabolical. I visit my daughter here often and the same mud and water lies over the footpaths from when I was here last. Come get me and I will show you. I told my daughter she needs to get herself onto the local Board.
922	James Crichton	Oppose	J.C
925	Eleni Moa	Support	Better representation
928	SHARON LEE-TONGATAM A	Support	Sensible reasoning and each single-member is accountable for their work load.
930	Katrina Misa	Oppose	Don't want to lose appropriate + culturally aware representation in our local communities/pap
935	Neesai Kumar	Support	Very focused approach to develop board and people can be benefited
941	FA'ASI'U GAE'E	Support	i support THE PROPOSAL, BUT I WOULD LIKE IT TO SPLIT INTO 3, EASTERN BAYS INCLUDING PAKURANGA AND HOWICK AND BOTANY MUST HAVE OUR OWN WARD REPRESENTED BY OUR OWN COUNCILLORS. IT WOULD BE EASIER THIS WAY. I'M SURE MOST OF OEPOLE LIVING IN THE ABOVE AREAS AGREED WITH ME.
945	Trevor Wilson	Oppose	I believe the M-O Local Board is not in support of this proposal and I also oppose the proposal. Having 2 representatives supporting the whole Manukau ward means we have twice the input into decisions which affect this region. If either of the two current Manukau Ward councilors supports this proposal then they should learn to work more efficiently in the way they do things.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
947	Linda Tolo	Oppose	I believe by splitting the Manukau ward, there will be a sense of distance between the Otara-Papatoetoe ward and the Mangere-Otahuhu ward. Keeping Manukau together is a must. Why change something if there's been progress? There is progress, togetherness, culture, unity in our community, and by splitting us up, will all this remain? By having two councillors overlooking the Manukau ward, works to our advantage. Because one councillor may have qualities the other lacks, which is the beauty of team work. By splitting us up, yes us, we are made even more vulnerable to those outside of the Manukau ward who wants to exclude us and make us feel inferior. We are a Pacific community, and we are stronger together. We are made to break stereotypes. Together we stand, united we fall. And we will forever live according to this quote. Let us stay together. #forweare #strongertogether
948	Alexandra Gasu	Oppose	I personally do not see a reason why we need to split the Manukau ward, Otara-Papatoetoe from Otahuhu-Mangere. We are doing so well as a whole and our communities are enriched because of the diversity we have all together. Most of the people here have lived their whole lives in these areas and its where their stories live. Are you willing to break this connection between the people and their living stories/experiences? We are two Pacifica dominated communities and have had great successes as we work together. Being Manukau as a whole makes us strong and produces such empowering true stories that can definitely inspire the whole of New Zealand and even the world. These true stories cannot be replaced! "United we stand, divided we fall." #StayTogether #StrongerTogether
953	Vaine Puapii Elia	Oppose	Because Otara has needs
954	Gopal Krishnan Raju	Oppose	Smaller areas need some attention and money allocation
967	clare hargrave	Oppose	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. there is no reason for the split , and some advantages will be lost</li> <li>2. the name "Manukau" currently has a significant commercial identity and will lose commercial investment with the loss of that identity. a larger market has the ability to make better deals</li> <li>3. the advantage of having the two councillors working together will be lost, particularly in the case of a new councillor</li> <li>4. substantial cost will be involved in making the change, to no advantage</li> <li>5. local people do not want the change</li> </ol>

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
968	Sanya Baker	Support	<p>With particular reference to my own area, and for the sake of fairness, I support an end to mixed dual and single member wards for Auckland Council.</p> <p>Albert-Eden-Roskill should be split into two to secure fairer representation, more accessible councillors and scope for separate representation to give voice to very different communities of interest. Our neighbouring wards- Whau, Waitemata and Gulf, Orakei and Maungakiekie - Tamaki all are single member.</p> <p>Having said this, I hope my comments on the future of Manukau ward specifically, will have less weight than those of its residents as I am not aware of particular local reasons they may have to retain the current situation there.</p>
971	Thicityree Gasu	Oppose	<p>Mangere - Otahuhu and Otara - Papatoetoe ward is strong as a whole and is statistically stable. I see no reason for why the Manukau ward to split. We are strong as a community and I do not want to see it change. This is about the people and community, lets not let selfishness blind our eyes in trying to make a movement that is unnecessary. "United we stand DIVIDED we fall", Manukau united is strong as a whole however once divided it will fall. Let us not focus on ourselves but rather on the needs of our community.</p>
988	Veisia Maka	Oppose	<p>The Manukau ward is home to many, in order to allow fundamental representation it concerns me that with a split of the board; this creates a decrease in a sense of belonging for many people who call Manukau their home</p>
1006	Mary Solovi	Oppose	<p>Growing up in Manukau has been nothing but a blessing in my life and my family. The diversity in the community which brings different cultures together is such a vibrant thing to witness in Manukau. Manukau has always been Manukau. If you were to split Manukau in two wards, there would be many changes required to adapt due to the split such as post codes, addresses, and when someone asks you where you are from it would be very weird to say "Otara-Papatoetoe".</p> <p>#StayTogether #Manukau</p>
1008	Samina Choudhary	Support	<p>More effective representation of community of interests Better engagement with local community Cheaper election campaign</p>
1017	Lynn Birch	Support	<p>The Papakura and Manurewa wards should be amalgamated into one ward, governed by one Board. These are very small wards with similar communities of interest and issues and combining them, I believe, would be much more cost effective.</p>
1024	Daniel Poe	Oppose	<p>I do not see that splitting the ward will add more value to what we have now.</p>

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
1030	Sui Manase	Oppose	No need to change. The Council and our Reps who we voted into office to be our Voice. They have proven themselves to stand up and Our welfare and Interest their priority. Stay As One. No Split
1039	Harry Fatu Toleafoa	Oppose	I oppose the split due to the lack of consultation and the process by which this issue was brought before council. Procedural issues aside, the status quo does not need to be amended as two councillors are sufficient representation for the proportion of the population that resides within the ward
1043	Teuila Paulaisa	Oppose	i oppose because the diversity in our community is better alone
1061	Warwick Mahon		Division in community/Richmond Rd
1067	Eleanor Whyte	Oppose	Division in the community./Richmond rd
1068	Kim Hegan	Oppose	Breaking up a established community
1076	Don Faurley	Support	Large population
1091	cilla green	Oppose	there is nothing to be gained by the exercise and a lot of cost
1092	phillip smithers	Oppose	this is a make-work project and serves no purpose. the people of Manukau ward want to remain as one ward
1093	Sarah Tokoma	Oppose	Why not split some of the other double-member wards? I'd like to keep the status quo with Manukau ward. I think the two councillors plus the ward as it is does a great job at representing the people of the greater Manukau/South Auckland.
1094	Lisa Shearer	Oppose	Disruptive, devicive and unnecessary
1096	Malo Tokoma	Oppose	Let's keep the Manukau ward as is. I think it will only split the community and representation across the area. Why can't one of the other double councillors ward be split. The people of Manukau ward have not had a fair consultation process.
1110	Maria Meredith	Oppose	I object to the splitting Manukau Ward because this particular area is significantly large and requires two councillors to manage community developments and issues. Manukau is an area that is culturally rich in history with a strong Pacific identity and inclusive of other ethnic groups. With two councillors it gives the community the opportunity to work with them, councillors can offer their skills and knowledge to support community aspirations and local needs. Auckland's population projection indicate the area will grow substantially so will demands of local constituents which will require two councillors to work together to address their needs. It would not be in the best interest of Manuaku community to split the ward and further fragment the community and reduce resources such as funding, access to goods and services.
1134	Graeme Wilson	Support	Sounds good to me

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
1143	Mark Moore-Cobb	Oppose	Because I would rather not move or something like that.
1149	Diva Te Poono	Oppose	Because I do not agree
1157	Nicodemus Vaaelua	Oppose	Let us be together
1158	Corinna Nathan	Oppose	Manuaku is made of a diverse residential base. Nothing wrong with how things are currently operating.
1161	Clynton Tauti	Oppose	We won't have a say/voice anymore
1164	Shyann Raihania	Oppose	Because it is not a decision for the people who live here
1165	Laurence Cook	Oppose	Better if we are a whole, not two separate wards.
1168	Sead Tagaloa-Tagoai	Support	Convenient support for each side/areas at anytime
1169	Nastassja Salt	Oppose	2 heads are better than one.
1170	Hina Mehta	Support	Splitting with various heads of department will make the development of each area faster
1199	Willie Awhitu	Oppose	It is Okay as it is, why change it. "If it ain't broken, don't fix it!!
1205	Muchais Tarawa-von Harder	Oppose	Leave the same
1211	Teau Aiturai	Oppose	It's already good as it is. Why change now?
1214	Cecelia Macgregor	Oppose	We are all one people and community
1215	Karleen Roache	Oppose	No we are stronger as a community together
1217	Marcus Lafu	Oppose	Papatoetoe has stronger representation than Otara and will end up with Otara being ignored.
1230	Moxst Haitoua	Oppose	Just a ploy to break up the largest 'minorities' group - you're not too interested now, split them and you'll be worse! (see my first answer)
1239	John Kunitau	Oppose	Not in favour of change
1242	Aroatacia Sosene	Oppose	No good reason bu "why?"
1245	Roselyn Kunitau	Oppose	Becase I'm OK with current ward
1259	Montie Kunitau	Oppose	need for information of why change