

REPRESENTATION REVIEW

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

PROPOSAL 3: Move the Rodney Local Board subdivision boundaries

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
9	Lotu Fuli	Support	The rationale is sound under the Act.
15	Emily B	No opinion	I feel this issue is part of a broader problem. As someone who works with boards, and the council I found this question confusing, so, for a member of the public without any experience in this area, they would probably have little idea what is going on.
17	Rosemary Greenwood	Support	We have lived on the same property for 56 years, my husband for over 70. When we built a new house for us to live in on the property it's driveway access is off Smith Road. By moving 500 Metres from our other dwelling we changed from being in Warkworth Subdivision to Wellsford. We have always shopped, gone to Dr etc. Children gone to secondary school in Warkworth. We felt voting for someone in Wellsford was ridiculous and will welcome the change.
19	sioux Anich	Oppose	My husband and I (only 2 people) live at 483 Kaipara Hills Road, our letterbox is 6 km away from the house (They won't deliver because the public road is too dangerous). We are R.D.4 WARKWORTH and have always done dentists doctors etc in Warkworth. We are on the boundary of the changes that would put us making choices and decisions that are not relevant to us. We want to STAY in the Warkworth Ward. Regards Sioux Anich
21	Mayleen Scott	No opinion	No interest. Don't live in the area
32	Pam Morris	Support	The affected communities would identify with the local boards proposed in the change.
37	Erin Wi	Oppose	Keep it as it is
54	Grant kirby	Oppose	I can not find a community of interest study that supports extending the wellsford boundary south to include the coastal strip along the east coast of the Kaipara Harbour. I note that no local board members dwell within the area to be transferred. When the representation commission extended the Helensville electorate boundary north along the kaipara harbour coastline it had the services of the chairman of the local government commission to advise on community of interest, which is a key criteria for that commission. Option 2 for the Warkworth subdivision is based on that community of interest decision. I have the advantage over Rodney local board members in that I have lived at Kakanui in the coastal strip for 20 years. My observations and local discussions tell me that the correct community of interest solution is option 2, the Kumeu subdivision inclusion. The only interest people in the area under examination would normally have with wellsford could be use of the stock saleyard, but there is also a saleyard at Kumeu. A study of pupils at Kaipara and Rodney colleges will verify the correct interest split at the helensville electoral boundary.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
57	Mary O'Connell	Support	In my opinion this is a sensible balance
61	Reece Moody	Support	Yes. Matakana is more inclined to Warkworth than Wellsford. And Makarau is on the wrong side of the hills from Warkworth.
290	Karanina Faata	No opinion	not sure
291	Sonny Faatai	No opinion	Not sure?
345	Lai Yeen Tham	Oppose	Too much work, too little benefit and waste of resources.
361	Matthew Vaa	No opinion	Not interested
418	Tememane Naga	No opinion	Don't care really
477	Gill Adshead	Oppose	<p>The proposed change does not reflect the community of interest for many people in this area. For example:-</p> <p>Schooling- children from Hoteo River south mostly attend Kaukapakapa School.</p> <p>There are few "farms" remaining in the area south of the Hoteo River. Many properties are lifestyle blocks and many of these people work in Albany, Silverdale and the North Shore. There are good farming support services in Helensville. Less stock is traded through sale yards these days so that the position of the sale yards in relation to the area of change is of little consequence.</p> <p>Retail services- with the huge expansion of housing in the Hibiscus Coast area there has been a huge expansion of retail services- only 40 mins drive from Glorit.</p> <p>Sports-</p>
520	Alec van Helsdingen	Support	I support these boundary changes but am very surprised that the Wellsford subdivision being just slightly under quota got so much more attention than the Botany subdivision of the Howick Local Board being more significantly over-quota.
733	colin cattanach	No opinion	Does not affect me and unsure of impact or justification
737	Rodd Eddy	No opinion	I don't see any benefit of shifting this - perhaps there are more pressing issues to address in the district.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
738	Nick de Witte	No opinion	The proposed changes do not affect me, however I do believe there need to be adjustments made to the Dairy Flat/Kumeu boundary. The current boundary should be extended to the west of Tender Road to Horseshoe Bush Road and include properties in Burne Road back to Blackbridge Road. Generally all these properties are accessed from the east and most children are schooled in Dairy Flat as well as most residents in that area identify with living in Dairy Flat.
740	grant scurr	Oppose	Dairy flat and the area west of Orewa and Waiwera should be part of Albany ward because of their similar nature. The area between Lonely Track Road and Wilks Road should remain rural and not subdivided into residential properties. This is to halt urban sprawl. Then we would have Albany City and Orewa City connected by upgraded public transport links. These two areas would allow for higher densities.
753	Jason Walker	Oppose	You state the reason for the change is: "In order to better recognise similar communities of interest" - that is a one liner with no depth and looks like nothing more than spending money moving boundaries for no real reason, more transparency is needed as to the reason(s) why.
756	Noel TAYLOR	Support	makes sense to lump communities together
759	Danielle Hancock	Support	It appears to be well thought out
771	Keith Stone	Support	The proposed changes look logical.
775	Ian zhang	Support	let dairy flat to be clear.
778	Sue Monk	Support	Warkworth is intended to have huge population growth in next few years. Adding the area near Matakana looks logical for purpose of managing housing development and infrastructures. Kaipara area in pink appears closer aligned to Wellsford activities. Suggested change seems to make sense re the physical geography of the area.
783	David Hodge	Oppose	At the moment we have one Local Board member serving an already large subdivision. Adding to this subdivision without the necessary support will add to an already overburdened workload.
810	Dawn Trenberth	Support	This seems fair enough
871	Karen Lennon	Oppose	We have one Board member who is already stretched trying to support the current area well. He is doing a fantastic job by the way. The extended area covers a lot of rural area, less population in the larger geographical area, more miles to travel to reach the populous. It also includes 4 Marae which takes more time to support. Extending the area without increasing our Board member representation will make it impossible to do the job well.
876	Richard Elliott	Support	Supportive of more effective representation
879	Glenice Hursthouse	Support	Yes smaller areas can address the concerns pertinent to the area.

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
943	nicky jordan	Support	Because we use warkworth as our local area not wellsford so much. This would be true for many/ most of the rural residents that live in smith rd or the govan. I know this because we are mostly all friends or acquaintances.
954	Gopal Krishnan Raju	Oppose	as above
959	Stephanie Railey	Oppose	<p>Increasing the area for the one local board member to cover is unfair on both the representative and the community. For this reason the requirement for fair representation across all subdivisions of the Rodney Local Board are not met within the Wellsford ward</p> <p>The requirement for effective representation of communities of interest within the Rodney Local Board area is not met with just one local board member tasked with representing such a huge geographical area. There has been no consultaion and there are no optional choices. I object to this change of boundary.</p>
961	Wendy Walker	Oppose	<p>Increasing the area for the one local board member to cover is unfair on both the representative and the community. For this reason the requirement for fair representation across all subdivisions of the Rodney Local Board are not met within the Wellsford ward</p> <p>The requirement for effective representation of communities of interest within the Rodney Local Board area is not met with just one local board member tasked with representing such a huge geographical area. There has been no consultation and there are no optional choices. I object to this change of boundary.</p>
963	Geoff W Campbell	Oppose	<p>I oppose these changes for similar reasons stated in my submission on the proposed no change to the Rodney Ward Boundaries.No attempt has been made to explain what evidence supports "In order to better recognise similar communities of interest" for either this proposal or the no change to Rodney Ward boundaries.</p> <p>The coastal communities have similar interests which do differ to those further West which are more rural in nature and likely to be more closely linked to "the land".</p> <p>Why not acknowledge this feature and include sufficient coastal land to conform with the rule rather than ring fencing the coastal area around Orewa and fiddling around with the Matakana area.There is a natural West to East demographic difference which could be acknowledged and acted on and yet for unstaed reasons is not followed</p>

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
990	Richard Gardner - Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Oppose	<p>Three factors have to be considered when deciding representation arrangements: communities of interest; effective representation; and fair representation. In relation to all three factors, Option 2, moving the Kumeu Subdivision northwards along Kaipara Harbour aligning with Helensville Parliamentary Electorate is the most appropriate option, and is the option Federated Farmers supports.</p> <p>Communities of Interest:</p> <p>Federated Farmers understands that two options were considered by the Rodney Local Board when it made its recommendation on representation arrangements for the Rodney Local Board:</p> <p>Option 1: Move Wellsford Subdivision southwards along Kaipara Harbour, the Option recommended by the Rodney Local Board</p> <p>Option 2: Move Kumeu Subdivision northwards along Kaipara Harbour aligning with Helensville Parliamentary Electorate, which would extend the northern boundary of the Kumeu subdivision to the southern extent of the Wellsford subdivision at the Hoteo River</p> <p>The demographics of the area indicate that the community of interest for the area in question lies mainly to the south, to Kaukapakapa and Helensville, although parts of the area lying closer to Warkworth seem to have better connections with Warkworth, but with only the most northern parts of the area perhaps having better connections with Wellsford. The schooling of the children of the area lies to the south or east, rather than to the north, and for those that live and work in the area, shopping also lies to the south and east. Those in the area who commute to work commute south to, and towards, metropolitan Auckland.</p> <p>Thus Federated Farmers submits that the "Option 2" should be adopted.</p> <p>Federated Farmers also submits that, should Option 2 not be adopted, then the status quo should prevail, with Option 1 considered the least preferred option.</p> <p>Effective Representation:</p> <p>The Wellsford subdivision is represented by a single member, the Warkworth subdivision by 3 members and the Kumeu subdivision by 4 members. The area affected is roughly equidistant from the 3 population centres of Wellsford, Warkworth and Helensville. Thus residents of the area in question are likely to be more effectively represented by the multiple representatives who represent the Kumeu and Warkworth Subdivisions, than they are by the single representative of the Wellsford Subdivision, with no disrespect towards the incumbent members intended.</p> <p>Fair Representation:</p>

Sub #	Full name	Response	Comments
			<p>It is understood that both Option 1 and Option 2 result in subdivisions that comply with the "10% rule" .</p> <p>As regards the "status quo" option (which assumes the inclusion of the proposed change moving the boundary between Warkworth and Wellsford north to include a small area north of Matakana Road in the Warkworth Subdivision), it is submitted that, although the representation of the Wellsford subdivision would appear to fall outside the range required by the "10% rule" , the representation can be justified in that Option 1 would limit the effective representation of the relevant community of interest by splitting that community of interest.</p>
1068	Kim Hegan	Oppose	Breaking up an established community
1134	Graeme Wilson	No opinion	Do what they want
1141	Carole Ann Withey	Oppose	Best as is