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what should we evaluate?—

prioritisation

Heritage Advisory Panel
October 2018
plan change 7

- PC7 was informed by the evaluation of 70 places – taken from a range of projects
- 48 places included in plan change
- hearing completed in October
- questions from PC7 – *why were these place evaluated? & others not?*
- much bigger issue in PC7 than previous processes, including PAUP

* other lessons from the plan changes will be the focus of future presentations*
what we evaluate?

• in short, prioritisation

• one of the first and a VERY important step in the potential protection of a historic heritage place

• for a place to be protected in the UP steps include:
  – identification
  – prioritisation
  – evaluation
  – planning tests (s32 process including reasonable use)
  – then inclusion within a plan change process

• process takes approx 2 years & is resource hungry
what has been identified to date?

• potential places have been identified by:
  – previous pre 1944 survey
  – local board area heritage surveys
  – structure/area planning
  – the public and/or staff

• the places of interest list (spreadsheet) stores information on places that have been identified

• over 2000 entries into the places of interest list

• 500 places from the pre-1944 survey have been identified as a priority for evaluation
workload

• there are more identified places than resources/time

• heritage unit propose to do ~ 10 evaluations a year – which we pick/prioritise

• additional places identified and evaluated with local boards discretionary funding and through area planning work

• plan change to add places to the schedule expected every few years

• is approx 20% of heritage unit workload
Attachment A

Item 7

community lead success

- Remuera Heritage advocated for local board funding
- Ōrākei LB budgeted $25K
- Heritage unit managed process & completed one evaluation
- Consultants completed five evaluations with funding
- Four places (including one area) met threshold for scheduling
prioritisation tool

- tool used to prioritise the 500 places was considered by HAP in 2016
- priority:
  - 1: is at greatest need for evaluation
  - 2: may warrant future evaluation
  - 3: is not recommended for consideration at this time
- criteria to determine priority
  - significance / heritage values
  - integrity
  - appropriateness of potential statutory management
  - information accessibility
  - rarity
  - community esteem
what makes it easier?

the process for the protection of places is easier when:

• owners are in agreement with the potential for protection (or allowed access to the place)

• an evaluation has been completed by nominator and then is peer reviewed by the heritage unit
for discussion

• how do we prioritise?

• how can the public influence what we prioritise?

• how do we provide advice that identification is only a first step?

• how do we ensure the schedule becomes more robust through being more inclusive of different heritage themes?