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Panuku Programme Update
Unlock Panmure

Figure 1: Proposed Unlock Panmure project area to include Bill McKinley Park.
Assessment criteria for new Unlock locations

1. Location is a government priority (years 1-10) and we have been invited to partner with the Crown
2. Aligned with Auckland Plan Development Strategy 2018 (1-10 years)
3. Council or Crown land holdings of sufficient scale to make an impact
4. Crown funding is available (for acquisitions, amenity upgrades and place-making) if limited Council surplus land
5. Demonstrable market interest and demand.
6. Aligned with public transport and proposed investment.
7. Potential to add value beyond current approaches and initiatives.
# Summary evaluation and comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Manurewa</th>
<th>Takanini</th>
<th>Papakura</th>
<th>Pukekeha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government priority (1-10 yrs)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes – Aki-Ham Corridor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment with revised Auckland Plan Development Strategy (yrs 1-10)</td>
<td>No (11-30 yrs)</td>
<td>No (20-30 yrs)</td>
<td>No (11-30yrs)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council land available for redevelopment of sufficient scale to make an impact</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown funding available for acquisitions, amenity upgrades &amp; place making [if limited council land]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment with strategic public transport investment/s</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrable market interest and demand</td>
<td>Some</td>
<td>Some</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for Panuku to add value beyond current approaches</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unlock Pukekohe

- Support location
- Council government priority (1-10 yrs)
- Rural node in Development Strategy
- Large number of sites with development potential including council office building
- Strong market interest
- Major growth area
Strategic Outcomes – Transform, Unlock, Support

Transform
Creating change through urban regeneration.

Unlock
Unlocking development potential for others.

Support
Making the most of what we’ve got.

Regeneration - longer term social, economic, cultural, environmental outcomes as well as built form and financial outcomes.

- Holistic, integrated programme
- Objectives - social and cultural wellbeing, economic prosperity, environmental enhancement.
- Larger range of tools likely to be used – JVs, acquisition, PWA
- High level of master planning and community engagement
- Catalyst and exemplar projects
- Significant investment in public good (amenity, strategic outcomes, activation)
- Working with Government, the broader Council and partners, particularly in relation to social and economic outcomes

Property-led redevelopment focus in Unlock locations on accommodating growth (residential, commercial, mixed use) and unlocking opportunities.

- Smaller programme scale
- Objectives more limited to those that can be achieved on development sites - design, density, quality.
- Fewer partners and tools
- Transactional and co-ordinating function over a shorter period of time
- Typically lower level of master planning and community engagement and lesser investment in public realm and activation
- In some cases a significant contribution to broader town centre outcomes and revitalisation from the cumulative effects of multiple projects.

Support - enabling development of council-owned surplus land to support implementation of the Auckland Plan and return revenue.
Panuku working beyond priority locations

Outside the Transform, Unlock and Support framework, Panuku is driving urban redevelopment opportunities in a large number of areas through:

- Service property optimisation (green)
- Auckland Transport property development (blue)
- Council corporate accommodation programme (pink)
Attachment A
Updating the City Centre Masterplan

George Weeks
Principal Urban Designer, Transport and Land Use integration
City Centre Unit, Auckland Design Office
Attachment A

1) Developing a Mana Whenua Outcomes Plan for the City Centre

2) Integrating it into the City Centre Masterplan

Māori Outcomes

Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum:

Planning Committee
27/11/2018
Grafton Gully
Better Port-Motorway links
Improve connections to eastern suburbs
Improve walking & cycling environment
Unlock landholdings
Deliver new workplaces
Enable regional rail
Responds to Ports Master Plan
LIGHT RAIL IS COMING
Attachment A

Item 9

THIS MEANS CHANGE FOR QUEEN STREET
Access for Everyone
Attachment A

Item 9

VEHICLES CAN ENTER A SERIES OF ZONES

1
THROUGH-TRAFFIC GOES AROUND THE CITY
Attachment A

Item 9

VEHICLES ENTERING A ZONE LEAVE THE SAME WAY

EXCEPT FOR SPECIAL VEHICLES
THIS FREES UP SPACE FOR PEOPLE ON PUBLIC TRANSPORT
Item 9

Attachment A

DELRERIES, LOADING & SERVICING

NIGHT VEHICLES (MIDNIGHT TO 6AM)

URBAN CONSOLIDATION CENTRES

DELIVERY LOCKERS
14 November 2017

Minister Shane Jones
Minister of Forestry, Infrastructure & Regional Economic Development
Private Bag 18988
Parliament Buildings
Wellington

Dear Minister Jones,

It was good to see you recently, and I appreciated the opportunity to discuss the major infrastructure issues facing Auckland as we continue to grow at pace.

As we discussed, I am very keen to work closely with you and other relevant ministers in pursuing our shared objectives. Close engagement on these issues, particularly in relation to infrastructure, will be crucial to Auckland and New Zealand’s success.

As discussed last week, I welcome the Government’s commitment to a feasibility study on the options for moving the Ports of Auckland.

Auckland Council formally received the Port Future Study last month at its Planning Committee. The Council resolved to proceed to scope a process to investigate location area options in the Manukau Harbour and Firth of Thames and to encourage central government to pursue an Upper North Island Port Strategy.

I believe the key first step to developing a strategy on the feasibility of moving the Ports of Auckland would be a study of the three Upper North Island Ports to determine what future configuration would most benefit Auckland, the region and New Zealand. A study should examine all options including those set out in the Ports Future Study. The Ports Future Study found that future growth in the region would mean that neither Northport, Tauranga nor Auckland would by themselves be able to meet the increase in freight. That is why it’s proposed looking at options on the Hauraki Gulf and the Manukau Harbour to replace the Auckland CBD Port. A full study is necessary given the major investment involved in relocation of the port.

It would make sense for this study to take a broad approach, which includes looking at the broad set of infrastructure and transportation issues related to the Upper North Island ports. Given the growth in the region and the changing demands on New Zealand’s ports, such a study would provide a useful platform for decisions around when and where the Ports of Auckland should be moved to and also consider the future activities of Northport and Ports of Tauranga.
The Ports of Auckland belong to the people of Auckland and return to Auckland's residents around $50 million a year in dividends. In any decision taken as a result of the intended study, Auckland clearly needs to be a partner in that decision and its economic interest in the port recognised in any outcomes.

As part of my campaign for the mayoralty, I indicated I do not support the reclamation of more land for port activities in Auckland. At the point the Port reaches capacity on its current footprint, the Port will need to move. While that point might not be reached for 30 years, I am aware studies indicate that relocation of a port of this nature could be done in a lesser timeframe, perhaps as soon as 15 years. An Upper North Island Port feasibility study that includes Northport and Port of Tauranga will, in my view, be critical to making informed decisions on this.

I look forward to continuing to work with you and relevant Ministers to progress this issue.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

Phil Goff
MAYOR OF AUCKLAND

CC: Rt Hon Jacinda Ardern, Prime Minister
Rt Hon Winston Peters, Deputy Prime Minister
Heather Simpson
Auckland Plan 2050
Update since adoption
Agenda

1. Six monthly update
2. Auckland Plan 2050 monitoring framework
3. Building the foundation for next LTP
Six monthly update

Reforms and initiatives since adoption

Outcome

Homes and Places
Ngā kāinga me ngā wāhi haere noa

Urban Growth Agenda (UGA)
Government’s approach — planning growth and change in urban environments

Focus area 2: Increase security of tenure and broaden the range of tenure models, particularly for those most in need

Focus area 3: Improve the built quality of existing dwellings, particularly rental housing

- Residential Tenancies Act
- Healthy Homes Standards

Auckland Council
Six monthly update

Updating the digital plan

Infographics and trends to reflect:
- Quality of Life survey 2018 results
- Consented dwellings 2017/2018 marked increase

Census 2018 & Stats NZ Te Kupenga – to come
- Population growth estimates
- Working age population
- Internal migration
- Health of te reo Maori

Proportion of consented dwellings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Monitoring Framework

Baseline for measures

- Available on digital plan
- Spatial view where possible

Under development for the digital plan
Building the foundation for the next LTP

Delivering the right things

Operationalising the Plan
Lining up what we need to deliver (when, where, how) and having quality information

Service and Asset Management Plans

Funding programme

Auckland Council
Building the foundation for the next LTP

Making a difference in the right areas

Monitoring, evaluating and reporting financial and outcome reporting to provide one source of truth

Funding programme

Progress towards outcomes

Auckland Plan reporting (Whole of Auckland)

Annual Report (Auckland Council)
Proposed Plan Change 14 – Improving the consistency of provisions within the Auckland Unitary Plan – Changes to the Volcanic Viewshfts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay

Planning Committee – 27 November 2018
Background

- The Auckland Unitary Plan contains a suite of measures to maintain and enhance the Auckland’s volcanic maunga including:
  
  - Open Space zoning of land not in private ownership
  - Outstanding Natural Features overlay
  - Historic Heritage overlay
  - Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas overlay
Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas

- The Auckland Unitary Plan includes 80 Volcanic Viewshafts
- Volcanic Viewshafts protect important views of the maunga from defined public places by limiting the heights of buildings and other structures
- Height Sensitive Areas are mapped around many of Auckland’s volcanic cones
- Height Sensitive Areas help maintain the overall visual integrity of the maunga and enable more immediate views by limiting the heights of buildings and other structures generally to 9 metres
Temporary construction and safety structures

Issue

- Currently permitted to intrude into Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas for up to 30 days
- Insufficient time for many construction activities

Proposed change

- Permitted up to 12 months
- Restricted discretionary between 12 months and two years
- Non-complying after two years
Buildings that intrude into a viewshaft but are not visible due to a landform

**Issue**
- Buildings that intrude into a Volcanic Viewshaf but are not visible due to the presence of a landform are permitted, subject to confirmation by a registered surveyor.
- Current drafting suggests this provision applies even where a building does not intrude into a Volcanic View shaft.

**Proposed change**
- Clarify that this provision only applies where a building intrudes into a Volcanic View shaft.
Network Utilities

Issue

• New infrastructure that does not comply with standards, or upgrades to existing infrastructure that do not comply with standards, are non-complying activities with compulsory public notification

Proposed changes

• Provide for new infrastructure assets that do not comply with standards and are less than 9m in height as discretionary activities (restricted discretionary for Locally Significant Viewshafts).
• Provide for upgrading of existing infrastructure that does not comply with standards as discretionary activities.
Traffic signals

Issue
• Currently some uncertainty whether traffic signals are permitted where they intrude into Volcanic Viewshafts or are located within Height Sensitive Areas

Proposed change
• Clarify that traffic signals are permitted up to 5.3m in height where they intrude into Volcanic Viewshafts or are located within Height Sensitive Areas
Relationship between Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas

Issue

- Differing opinions as to whether buildings are permitted generally up to 9 metres within height sensitive areas, even whether they intrude into a Volcanic Viewshaft

Proposed change

- No changes proposed at this stage

- Further discussions required with Tūpuna Maunga Authority and other interested parties to establish the best way to address the relationship between Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas
A: Proposed amendments to Chapter D
Overlays, Chapter E Auckland

Advice note:
This attachment sets out the content of the proposed plan change with cross references to the part of the Section 32 Evaluation report which contains the explanation for the proposed amendment.
The proposed additions are shown in underline and the proposed deletions are shown in strikethrough.
Where a proposed amendment has legal effect upon notification of the plan change under Section 86B(3) of the Resource Management Act 1991 this is shown in grey highlight.
DRAFT
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ATTACHMENT A.1 – NATURAL HERITAGE

Showing proposed amendments as tracked changes for Chapters:
D14. Volcanic Viewshfts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay

D14. Volcanic Viewshfts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay

D14.4 Activity table [rcp/dp]

Table D14.4.1 specifies the activity status of land use and development activities in the Volcanic Viewshfts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay pursuant to sections 9(3) and 12 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

- The rules that apply to network utilities and electricity generation in the Volcanic Viewshfts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay are located in Section E26 Infrastructure.

Table D14.4.1 Activity table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Activity status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings, and fences and walls where their height does not exceed 2.5m, (where they intrude into a scheduled volcanic viewshaft), excluding network utilities, electricity generation facilities, broadcasting facilities and road networks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshaft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A1) Buildings that do not intrude into a viewshaft scheduled in Schedule 9 Volcanic Viewshfts Schedule</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A1A) Fences and walls, where their height does not exceed 2.5m, that comply with standard D14.6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A2) Temporary activities—construction and safety structures that comply with standard D14.6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A2A) Temporary construction and safety structures for a duration of between 12 and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Attachment B

**Item 16**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A2B)</td>
<td>Temporary construction and safety structures for a duration exceeding 24 months</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A3)</td>
<td>Buildings, except for fences and walls, up to 9m in height</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A4)</td>
<td>Fences and walls, where their height does not exceed 2.5m</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A5)</td>
<td>Towers associated with fire stations operated by the New Zealand Fire Service Fire and Emergency New Zealand that are no higher than the height allowed as a permitted activity in the zone</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A6)</td>
<td>Buildings not otherwise provided for or that do not comply with the standards under D14.6</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>RD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Buildings in a height sensitive area, excluding network utilities, electricity generation facilities, broadcasting facilities and road networks**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A7)</td>
<td>Buildings up to 9m in height except as specified in Standard D14.6.3.</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A8)</td>
<td>Buildings up to 13m in height in the areas identified in Figure D14.10.1</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A9)</td>
<td>Temporary activities construction and safety structures that comply with standard D14.6.4</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A9A)</td>
<td>Temporary construction and safety structures for a duration of between 12 and 24 months</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A9B)</td>
<td>Temporary construction and safety structures for a duration exceeding 24 months</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A10)</td>
<td>Towers associated with fire stations operated by the New Zealand Fire Service Fire and Emergency New Zealand that are no higher than the height allowed as a permitted activity in the zone</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A11)</td>
<td>Buildings not otherwise provided for or that</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D14.6 Standards

All activities listed as permitted and restricted discretionary in Table D14.4.1 must comply with the following standards.

D14.6.2 Buildings, fences and walls that do not intrude into a viewshaft scheduled in Schedule 9 Volcanic Viewshafts Schedule but are not visible from the identified viewpoint or line due to the presence of landform

(1) Compliance must be confirmed by a report from a registered surveyor that the building, fence or wall intruding into the scheduled viewshaft is not visible from the identified viewpoint or line due to the presence of a landform, does not intrude into the scheduled viewshaft (from the identified viewpoint or line) because of the presence of landform. Vegetation is not to be taken into account when confirming compliance and the report shall include identification of the landform used to confirm compliance.

D14.8.4 Temporary construction and safety structures

(1) Temporary construction and safety structures, associated with the construction of buildings and structures, must be removed within 30 days from the viewpoint and height sensitive area or upon completion of construction works, or within 12 months of being erected, whichever is the lesser time period.

D14.8.2 Assessment criteria

(1) For temporary construction and safety structures for a duration of between 12 and 24 months the Council will consider the relevant assessment criteria from the list below:

(a) having regard to the viewshaft or height sensitive area statement in Appendix 20 Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Area – Values Assessment whether the temporary construction and safety structure adversely affects the visual integrity of the maunga;

(b) whether there are practicable alternatives that will not intrude into, or will minimise the intrusion into the viewshaft or exceedance of the maximum height of a height sensitive area, and

(c) The extent to which identified adverse effects on the visual integrity of the maunga can be minimised through:

(i) measures to avoid or reduce night time illumination;

(ii) recessive colours and low reflectively; and

(iii) the configuration of construction cranes.
(2) For all other restricted discretionary activities, The Council will consider the relevant assessment criteria for restricted discretionary activities from the list below:

(1) All restricted discretionary activities;

(a) having regard to the viewshaf or height sensitive area statement in Appendix 20 Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas – Values Assessments, whether the nature, form and extent of the building adversely affects the visual integrity of the maunga;

(b) whether the proposed building has a functional or operational requirement to be in the location proposed and the proposed height of the building is consistent with that requirement;

(c) whether there are practicable alternatives available that will not intrude into, or will minimise the intrusion into the viewshelf or exceedance of the maximum height of a height sensitive area;

(d) whether the proposed building will impact on Mana Whenua values associated with the maunga; and

(e) the relevant objectives and policies in B4.3, D14.2 and D14.3
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ATTACHMENT A.5 - INFRASTRUCTURE

Showing proposed amendments as tracked changes for Chapters:

E26 Infrastructure

Changes to E26 Infrastructure include consequential changes from Chapter D14, Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas

E26. Infrastructure

E26.1 Introduction and other relevant regulatory requirements

E26.1.1 Introduction

...

E26.11 Network utilities and electricity generation – Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay

...

E26.11.3. Activity table

Table E26.11.3.1 Activity table specifies the activity status of land use and development activities in D14 Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay pursuant to section 9(3) of the Resource Management Act 1991:

- these rules apply to network utilities and electricity generation facilities within the Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay; and
- network utilities include road network activities within the legal road and its formation width, unless otherwise stated in the activity table.

Table E26.11.3.1 Activity table - Network utilities and electricity generation – Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Activity status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshaft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Network utilities and electricity generation activities that intrude into a scheduled viewshaft or are located in a height sensitive area

(A152) Buildings and structures for network utilities and electricity generation facilities that do not intrude into a viewshaft

Buildings and structures for...
## DRAFT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>RD</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A153)</td>
<td>Operation, maintenance, renewal and repair of network utilities and electricity generation facilities and like for like replacement</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A154)</td>
<td>Minor infrastructure upgrading</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A154A)</td>
<td>Minor infrastructure upgrading that does not comply with Standard E26.11.5.1(2)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A155)</td>
<td>Minor upgrading of road network utilities activities</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A155A)</td>
<td>Minor upgrading of road network activities that do not comply with Standard E26.11.5.1(3)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A156)</td>
<td>Minor utility structure</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A157)</td>
<td>Service connections</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A158)</td>
<td>Antennas and aerials</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A158A)</td>
<td>Antennas and aerials that do not comply with Standard E26.11.6.1(6)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A159)</td>
<td>Small and community scale electricity generation facilities</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A160)</td>
<td>Road network activities comprising road lighting and associated support structures</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A160A)</td>
<td>Road network activities comprising road lighting and associated support structures that do not comply with Standard E26.11.5.1(7)(a)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A161)</td>
<td>Road network activities comprising traffic and direction signs and road name signs</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A162)</td>
<td>Road network activities</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 16</td>
<td>Network utilities and electricity generation facilities that do not comply with permitted activity standards E26.11.6.1(1)–(7) E26.11.6.1(1), (1A), (4), (6) and (7)(b) and the height does not exceed 9 metres</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 16A</td>
<td>Network utilities and electricity generation facilities that are not provided for and the height does not exceed 9 metres</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 16B</td>
<td>Network utilities and electricity generation facilities not otherwise provided for</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**E26.11.4. Notification**

1. Any application for resource consent for any non-complying activity in Table E26.11.3.1 Activity table must be publicly notified.

2. Any application for resource consent for an activity listed in Table E26.11.3.1 Activity table and which is not listed in E26.11.4.1 above will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the Resource Management Act 1991.

3. When deciding who is an affected person in relation to any activity for the purposes of section 95E of the Resource Management Act 1991 the Council will give specific consideration to those persons listed in Rule C1.13(4).

**E26.11.5 Standards**

All activities listed as permitted in Table E26.11.3.1 Activity table must comply with the following permitted activity standards.

**E26.11.5.1 Permitted activity standards**

1. Height must be measured using the rolling height method.

2. Buildings and structures for network utilities and electricity generation facilities that intrude into a viewshaft scheduled in Schedule 9 Volcanic...
DRAFT

Viewshafts Schedule but are not visible from the identified viewpoint or line due to the presence of landform:

(a) compliance must be confirmed by a report from a registered surveyor for a building or structure for network utilities and electricity generation facilities that intrudes into a scheduled viewshaft, but is not visible from the identified viewpoint or line due to the presence of landform; and

(b) vegetation is not to be taken into account when confirming compliance and the report shall include identification of the landform used to confirm compliance.

(7) Road network activities must comply with the following standards:

(a) maximum height of 25m for road lighting and associated support structures; and

(b) maximum height of 5.3m for traffic and direction signs, road name signs, traffic safety and operational signals, traffic signals, traffic information signage and support structures including interactive warning signs, real time information signs, lane control signals, ramp signals, cameras, vehicle identification and occupancy counters.

E26.11.7 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities

E26.11.7.1 Matters of discretion

The Council will reserve its discretion to all of the following matters when assessing a restricted discretionary resource consent application:

(1) all restricted discretionary activities:

(a) effects on the visual integrity of the view of the volcanic maua from the identified viewing point or line;

(b) location, nature, form and extent of proposed works;

(c) mana whenua values associated with the maua; and

(d) the functional or operational need for any infrastructure in the location proposed and any alternatives considered to achieve that need without the intrusion into the viewshaft or exceeding the maximum height limit of a height sensitive area.

E26.11.7.2 Assessment criteria

The Council will consider the relevant assessment criteria below for restricted discretionary activities:
DRAFT

(1) all restricted discretionary activities:

(a) having regard to the viewshaf or height sensitive area statement in Appendix 20 Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas – Values Assessments, whether the nature, form and extent of the building adversely affects the visual integrity of the maunga;

...
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Councillor Chris Darby
Chair
Planning Committee
AUCKLAND COUNCIL

Tēnā koe Chris

PROTECTING TŪPUNA MAUNGA VIEWSHAFTS

The Tūpuna Maunga Authority appreciates the Planning Committee’s agreement on 6 November 2018 to pause the notification process for significant amendments to the Chapter D Overlays in the Auckland Unitary Plan (Proposed Plan Change A).

As directed by the Committee, Council and Tūpuna Maunga Authority representatives have engaged on the proposed changes with various agreements reached. This has been a constructive process and the Authority appreciates the collaborative approach of Council management.

First, Council management has advised Council will defer proceeding with those proposed amendments that impact upon the declaratory proceedings currently before the Environment Court.

Second, a comprehensive review of the height sensitive area provisions will be undertaken to establish whether a better approach exists with a view to introducing a plan change towards the end of 2019.

Third, various agreements have been reached with some of the other proposed amendments to the Chapter D Overlays.

Finally, the outstanding provisions that have not yet been agreed, and the amendments sought by the Tūpuna Maunga Authority, are attached as Appendix A to this letter (and shown in blue).

The main area of disagreement relates to cranes and construction structures.
As the attached photograph shows, cranes etc can have a significant adverse affect on the viewshfts (Appendix B). Especially where projects stall for long periods of time, for example the Alexander Park development.

The current council management draft before the Planning Committee would allow for such structures to be a permitted activity for up to 24 months, and for temporary construction structures to be removed upon completion of construction or within 24 months of being erected (whichever time period is the lesser). This proposal is not appropriate.

The Authority does not oppose a permitted regime for a 12 month period, following which construction structures would need to be consented within viewshfts for a duration of 12-24 months following a restricted discretionary consent process. This would enable a consideration of, for example, whether the operation is following best project management processes.

Cranes and other construction structures should not impinge upon the viewshfts for any longer than absolutely necessary and are properly managed on a sound policy basis. The Authority considers proper controls are necessary as there are regularly dozens of cranes and other similar structures impinging upon viewshfts for long periods. Remembering they do not start at the same time, ie the period of impact can last for many years as different projects commence.

Noho ora mai

Paul Majurey

Chair
Tūpuna Maunga Authority
APPENDIX A: Tūpuna Maunga Authority proposed Unitary Plan amendments

ATTACHMENT A.1 – NATURAL HERITAGE

Showing proposed amendments as tracked changes for Chapters:
D14. Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay

Tūpuna Maunga Authority amendments in blue highlighted text

D14. Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay

D14.4 Activity table [rcp/dp]

Table D14.4.1 specifies the activity status of land use and development activities in the Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay pursuant to sections 9(3) and 12 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

- The rules that apply to network utilities and electricity generation in the Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay are located in Section E26 Infrastructure.

Table D14.4.1 Activity table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Activity status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings, and fences and walls where their height does not exceed 2.5m, (where they intrude into a scheduled volcanic viewshaft), excluding network utilities, electricity generation facilities, broadcasting facilities and road networks)</td>
<td>Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshaft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A1) Buildings that do not intrude into a viewshaft scheduled in Schedule 9 Volcanic Viewshafts Schedule</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A1A) Buildings that comply with standard D14.6.2</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A1A) Fences and walls, where their height does not exceed 2.5m, that comply with standard D14.6.2</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 16</th>
<th>Attachment C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A2)</strong></td>
<td>Temporary activities, construction and safety structures that comply with standard D14.6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A2A)</strong></td>
<td>Temporary construction and safety structures for a duration of between 12 and 24 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A2B)</strong></td>
<td>Temporary construction and safety structures that do not comply with standard D14.6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A3)</strong></td>
<td>Buildings, except for fences and walls, up to 9m in height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A4)</strong></td>
<td>Fences and walls, where their height does not exceed 2.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A5)</strong></td>
<td>Towers associated with fire stations operated by the New Zealand Fire Service, Fire and Emergency New Zealand that are no higher than the height allowed as a permitted activity in the zone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A6)</strong></td>
<td>Buildings not otherwise provided for or that do not comply with the standards under D14.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Buildings in a height sensitive area, excluding network utilities, electricity generation facilities, broadcasting facilities and road networks

| **(A7)** | Buildings up to 9m in height except as specified in Standard D14.6.3. | P |
| **(A8)** | Buildings up to 13m in height in the areas identified in Figure D14.10.1 | P |
| **(A9)** | Temporary activities, construction and safety structures that comply with standard D14.6.4 | P |
| **(A9A)** | Temporary construction and safety structures for a duration of between 12 and 24 months | RD |
| **(A9B)** | Temporary construction and safety structures that do not comply with standard D14.6.4 | NC |
| **(A10)** | Towers associated with fire stations operated by the New Zealand Fire Service | RD |
Fire and Emergency New Zealand that are no higher than the height allowed as a permitted activity in the zone

(A11) Buildings not otherwise provided for or that do not comply with the standards NC

D14.6 Standards

All activities listed as permitted and restricted discretionary in Table D14.4.1 must comply with the following standards.

... D14.6.2. Buildings, and structures fences and walls that do not intrude into a viewshaft scheduled in Schedule 9 Volcanic Viewshafts Schedule but are not visible from the identified viewpoint or line due to the presence of landform

(1) Compliance must be confirmed by a report from a registered surveyor that the building, fence or wall intruding into the scheduled viewshelf is not visible from the identified viewpoint or line due to the presence of a landform at 23 November 2013... does not intrude into the scheduled viewshelf (from the identified viewpoint or line) because of the presence of landform. Vegetation is not to be taken into account when confirming compliance and the report shall include identification of the landform used to confirm compliance.

D14.6.4 Temporary construction and safety structures

(1) Temporary construction and safety structures, associated with the construction of buildings and structures, must be removed within 30 days from the viewshelf and height sensitive area or upon completion of construction works; or within 24 months of being erected, whichever is the lesser time period.

D14.8. Assessment – restricted discretionary activities

D14.8.1. Matters of discretion

The Council will restrict its discretion to the following matters when assessing a restricted discretionary resource consent application:

(1) all restricted discretionary activities:

(a) effects on the visual integrity of the view of the maunga from the identified viewing point or line;

(b) location, nature, form and extent of proposed works;

(c) mana whenua values associated with the maunga; and
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(c) the functional or operational need for the proposal and any alternatives considered to fulfil that need without the intrusion into the viewshaft or exceeding the maximum height limit of a height sensitive area.

D14.8.2. Assessment criteria

(1) For temporary construction and safety structures for a duration of between 12 and 24 months the Council will consider the relevant assessment criteria from the list below:

   (a) having regard to the viewshaft or height sensitive area statement in Appendix 20 Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas – Values Assessments, whether the temporary construction and safety structures adversely affects the visual integrity of the maunga;

   (b) the functional or operational requirement for the temporary construction and safety structure;

   (c) whether there are practicable alternatives available that will not intrude into, or will minimise the intrusion into the viewshaft or exceedance of the maximum height of a height sensitive area; and

   (d) the extent to which identified adverse affects on the visual integrity of the maunga can be minimised through:

      (i) measures to avoid or reduce night time illumination;

      (ii) recessive colours and low reflectivity; and

      (iii) the configuration of construction cranes.

(2) For all other restricted discretionary activities, the Council will consider the relevant assessment criteria for restricted discretionary activities from the list below:

   (a) having regard to the viewshaft or height sensitive area statement in Appendix 20 Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas – Values Assessments, whether the nature, form and extent of the building adversely affects the visual integrity of the maunga;

   (b) whether the proposed building has a functional or operational requirement to be in the location proposed and the proposed height of the building is consistent with that requirement;

   (c) whether there are practicable alternatives available that will not intrude into, or will minimise the intrusion into the viewshaft or exceedance of the maximum height of a height sensitive area;
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(d) whether the proposed building will impact on Mana Whenua values associated with the maunga; and

(e) the relevant objectives and policies in B4.3, D14.2 and D14.3
ATTACHMENT A.5 - INFRASTRUCTURE

Showing proposed amendments as tracked changes for Chapters:

E26 Infrastructure

Changes to E26 Infrastructure include consequential changes from Chapter D14. Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas

E26. Infrastructure

E26.1 Introduction and other relevant regulatory requirements

E26.1.1 Introduction

... 

E26.11 Network utilities and electricity generation – Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay

... 

E26.11.3. Activity table

Table E26.11.3.1 Activity table specifies the activity status of land use and development activities in D14 Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay pursuant to section 9(3) of the Resource Management Act 1991:

- these rules apply to network utilities and electricity generation facilities within the Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay; and
- network utilities include road network activities within the legal road and its formation width, unless otherwise stated in the activity table.

Table E26.11.3.1 Activity table - Network utilities and electricity generation – Volcanic Viewshafts and Height Sensitive Areas Overlay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Activity status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshaft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network utilities and electricity generation activities that intrude into a scheduled viewshaft or are located in a height sensitive area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A152) Buildings and structures for network utilities and electricity generation facilities that do not intrude into a viewshaft</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings and structures for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>RD</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A153)</td>
<td>Operation, maintenance, renewal and repair of network utilities and electricity generation facilities and like for like replacement</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A154)</td>
<td>Minor infrastructure upgrading</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A154A)</td>
<td>Minor infrastructure upgrading that does not comply with Standard E26.11.5.1(2)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A155)</td>
<td>Minor upgrading of road network utilities activities</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A155A)</td>
<td>Minor upgrading of road network activities that do not comply with Standard E26.11.5.1(3)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A156)</td>
<td>Minor utility structure</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A157)</td>
<td>Service connections</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A158)</td>
<td>Antennas and aerials</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A158A)</td>
<td>Antennas and aerials that do not comply with Standard E26.11.5.1(5)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A159)</td>
<td>Small and community scale electricity generation facilities</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A160)</td>
<td>Road network activities comprising road lighting and associated support structures</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A160A)</td>
<td>Road network activities comprising road lighting and associated support structures that do not comply with Standard E26.11.5.1(7)(a)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A161)</td>
<td>Road network activities comprising traffic and direction signs and road name signs</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A162)</td>
<td>Road network activities</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### Attachment C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 16</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A63)</strong></td>
<td>Temporary construction and safety structures</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A64)</strong></td>
<td>Network utilities and electricity generation facilities that do not comply with permitted activity standards E26.11.5.1(1) – (7) E26.11.5.1(1), (1A), (4), (6) and (7)(b) and the height does not exceed 9 metres</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A64A)</strong></td>
<td>Network utilities and electricity generation facilities that are not provided for and the height does not exceed 9 metres</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(A65)</strong></td>
<td>Network utilities and electricity generation facilities not otherwise provided for</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**E26.11.4. Notification**

1. Any application for resource consent for any non-complying activity in Table E26.11.3.1 Activity table must be publicly notified.

2. Any application for resource consent for an activity listed in Table E26.11.3.1 Activity table and which is not listed in E26.5.4 E26.11.4.1 above will be subject to the normal tests for notification under the relevant sections of the Resource Management Act 1991.

3. When deciding who is an affected person in relation to any activity for the purposes of section 95E of the Resource Management Act 1991 the Council will give specific consideration to those persons listed in Rule C1.13(4).

**E26.11.5 Standards**

All activities listed as permitted in Table E26.11.3.1 Activity table must comply with the following permitted activity standards.

**E26.11.5.1 Permitted activity standards**

1. Height must be measured using the rolling height method.

   1A. Buildings and structures for network utilities and electricity generation facilities that intrude into a viewshaft scheduled in Schedule 9 Volcanic...
Viewshafts Schedule but are not visible from the identified viewpoint or line due to the presence of landform:

(a) compliance must be confirmed by a report from a registered surveyor for a building or structure for network utilities and electricity generation facilities that intrudes into a scheduled view shaft, but is not visible from the identified viewpoint or line due to the presence of landform; and

(b) vegetation is not to be taken into account when confirming compliance and the report shall include identification of the landform used to confirm compliance.

(7) Road network activities must comply with the following standards:

(a) maximum height of 25m for road lighting and associated support structures; and

(b) maximum height of 5.3m for traffic direction signs, road name signs, traffic safety and operational signals, traffic information signage and support structures including interactive warning signs, real time information signs, lane control signals, ramp signals, cameras, vehicle identification and occupancy counters.

E26.11.7 Assessment – restricted discretionary activities

E26.11.7.1 Matters of discretion

The Council will reserve its discretion to all of the following matters when assessing a restricted discretionary resource consent application:

(1) all restricted discretionary activities:

(a) effects on the visual integrity of the view of the volcanic maunga from the identified viewing point or line;

(b) location, nature, form and extent of proposed works;

(c) mana whenua values associated with the maunga; and

(d) the functional or operational need for any infrastructure in the location proposed and any alternatives considered to achieve that need without the intrusion into the view shaft or exceeding the maximum height limit of a height sensitive area.

E26.11.7.2 Assessment criteria

The Council will consider the relevant assessment criteria below for restricted discretionary activities:
(1) all restricted discretionary activities:

(a) having regard to the viewshaft or height sensitive area statement in Appendix 20 Volcanic Viewshfts and Height Sensitive Areas – Values Assessments, whether the nature, form and extent of the building adversely affects the visual integrity of the maunga;

...
Proposed plan change to rezone land in the Tāmaki Regeneration Area.
Background

• Request from the Tamaki Regeneration Company to rezone land from residential to open space and vice versa in the Tamaki Regeneration Area

• The proposed change seeks to rezone land associated with the following reserves/open spaces:
  - Taniwha Reserve
  - Maybury Reserve – West
  - Boundary Reserve

• Initially part of Aug 2018 Plan Change but withdrawn pending community consultation on Open Space Network Plan (which has now been completed)

• Local Board & community support of the proposed changes

• Will improve the quality and safety of the respective reserves

• Other open space changes and road re-alignments associated with the Tamaki regeneration project in the future - subject of future plan changes.
Taniwha Reserve

Narrow pedestrian linkage to Harlow Place – rezoned to THAB (Map 1)

Rezoning lots fronting Epping Place to Open Space – Informal (Recreation (Maps 2 & 3))

Rezoning some of the existing park to THAB (Map 4)
Taniwha Reserve
Taniwha Reserve
Item 18

Maybury Reserve – West

Attachment A
Maybury Reserve – West
Item 18

Maybury Reserve – West

Attachment A
Boundary Road Reserve

Rezoning the site of the former Wainui Sea Scouts to Residential – Mixed Housing Suburban

Map 6
Boundary Road Reserve