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Tidy Kiwi Rebrand

For the rebrand we:

- undertook a significant brand review
- trialed in parks
- trialed in cinemas
- consulted with 16,000 children nationwide
- consulted with over 2,000 adults
Auckland Litter Prevention Steering Group
Vision
A litter free Auckland

Mission
Work collaboratively with key stakeholders towards the reduction of litter offending, including illegal dumping.

Aim
The group’s primary aim is to quantifiably reduce the amount of litter illegally disposed of in the Auckland Region.
Carrot
Re-brand 'Be A Tidy Kiwi' for education and campaign linkage.

Stick
Help coordinate regional enforcement for litter and illegal dumping.

Measure
Use the Clean Communities Assessment Tool (CCAT) to measure effectiveness over time.
Enforcement

We work with the enforcement team at AC, and introduced them to NZTA/AMA/Kiwirail. A renewal of our MoU is now being discussed between the stakeholders.

We have built bridges into senior management at HNZ, only to have them dashed. We have begun again.
Why CCAT?

People litter in response to social and environmental cues that are time and location specific.

CCAT measures:

- quantity of litter
- qualitative survey of graffiti
- qualitative survey of attitudes
- qualitative survey of infrastructure
- qualitative survey of BINfrastucture
CCAT Scores

Mairangi Bay Park
Summary CCAT Score: 75.9
- Context score: 86.2
- Facilities score: 78.1
- Infrastructure score: 79.2
- Bin infrastructure score: 77.5
- Public Perception Score: 62.2
- Attitude to place score: 66.1
- Adequacy of Bin infrastructure: 57.1
- Survey date: 2014-11-10
- Rating percentile: 42.11%

Litter Summary
- Site type: Park
- Hibiscus and Bays Local Board Area
Littering Rates

CCAT secretly observes disposal behaviour, both positive and negative.

The Observed Littering Behaviour Rate = negative disposals/total disposals

OLBR 2015/16 baseline: 38%
OLBR 2018: 29%
Tidy Kiwi Nationwide

Through MfE Waste Levy Funding, BATK has partnered with the packaging Forum to promote the BATK and Love NZ brands nationwide.

Starting this weekend and throughout the summer, we will be asking New Zealanders to take the Be A Tidy Kiwi pledge and share it with their friends and family.
Bea Tidy Kiwi

Tidy Kiwi Pledge TVC
Opportunities for Auckland Council

- leverage BATK on bins, parks and other signage
- leverage BATK in educational programmes
- leverage BATK pledge at events
- BATK signage near illegal dumping hot spots
- What inspires you?
Thank you!
Richard Leckinger
richard.leckinger@beatidykiwi.nz
+64 21 911 755
Good morning, Madam Chair,
Talofa, Deputy Chair
and Kia Ora members of the Environment & Community Committee.
Thank you for the opportunity to speak in support of the whole site civic space at 254 Ponsonby Road, aka ‘Ponsonby Park’.

Ko Chris Bailey ahau and I am Chair of the Community-led design group.

Ponsonby is iconic, diverse and urban.
Our vision for the whole site civic space at 254 Ponsonby Road involves, the contemporary values of democracy, inclusiveness and sustainability.

**A brief history**

A Boffa Miskell report in 2000 confirmed the wider Ponsonby area was under-supplied with public amenity space.

In 2006, the land at 254 Ponsonby Road was purchased.
Since then, Waitamata has become one of the fastest-growing areas in Auckland with the population increasing by 6.7 percent since then.

In July 2013 the Waitamata Local Board released the ‘Draft Ponsonby Road Masterplan’ that included the civic space at 254 Ponsonby Road.

In 2014 a petition, requesting a whole site civic space was presented to the Board and the site was ‘de-coupled’ from the Masterplan and a site specific consultation was held.
77% of the 698 respondents to this consultation chose the whole site civic space option.

In March 2016 the project was devolved to the community by the Board, with a brief to:
‘Develop a design concept with an indicative budget, for a whole site civic space using Community-led Design principles’.

**So we got down to work!**

Firstly, determining what such a process might be in our context and secondly, how to best and effectively engage with our diverse local - as well as the wider community.

**We created a comprehensive communications strategy.** This enabled us to reach and update people, and receive feedback on a routine basis and included:
email, social media, a website, letter-box drops, in-person events, hosting information sessions and, regular updates via local media publications.

We then conducted a series of ‘needs based’ consultation cycles and the responses to these informed the creation of a design brief that was taken up by 11 designers. All working pro bono.

In 2017 we held a final consultation that included a physical exhibition, as well as an online virtual exhibition, of all the submitted designs with the clear majority of the 1,208 respondents choosing the LandLAB design.

In May 2017 we formally presented the LandLAB design, with an indicative budget, to the WLB.

In December 2017 the whole of site project was included in the Auckland 10 Year Long Term Plan. This received strong support from 60% of local residents who responded to the LTP.

In November this year Council Officers presented their report to the Board and recommended:
The development of the whole site (2,326m²) as a civic space with no associated disposal - as their preferred option. ☑

We are delighted to report that also in November, the LandLAB design won the international ‘World Architecture News – Future Civic category’ award and additionally it was shortlisted at the prestigious ‘World Architecture Festival’, in the ‘Future Civic’ category.

Council has over the past several years, engaged with the community and presented a whole site development as an option. And it is this option the community has consistently selected as their preference.
In 2014 - **1,243 people**, including **43 local businesses** signed the initial petition and in 2016 - **1,208** people responded to our final Community-Led Design consultation. The Community has shown significant and sustained engagement for a **whole site civic space outcome**.

And why wouldn’t they when it has so much to offer?

It will:

- Protect our harbours by mitigating storm-water run off
- Be an exemplar for sustainable development by sensitively and adaptively re-using the existing structures on the site and will include a rain garden, green walls and green roof
- It will encourage bio-diversity
- Be a green lung for busy Ponsonby Road
- Provide an educational food forest that is accessible for everyone
- Be a major connector and contributor to the pollinator pathway network
- Reduce the impacts of climate change by providing shade and carbon sequestration

It will also be:

- **A place to build social capital**
- A meeting place for people attending the Christmas lights and a place for the choir of St. Johns Samoan church opposite to rejoice!
- A place for Matariki, Diwali and other cultural celebrations & festivals
- It will be a place to be married
- To learn to ride a bike
- **To rest and relax**
- Or to be energized and entertained
- It will be a place for our hospitality and retail workforce to enjoy a break or to have their lunch
- A tourist destination that will stimulate and support the local business community
- A place for a Farmers market
- **It will be a place of diversity and inclusion** - where EVERYONE is welcome and will ‘buzz with the sound of people’

In short, it will be an urban oasis that will be good for the people, good for the environment and good for Auckland.

On behalf of the community, we respectfully request the Environment & Community Committee support a **whole site civic space at 254 Ponsonby Road**, with **no prerequisite land sale**.

**Nga mihi and thank you**
Item 5.3

Claudia (Boopsie) Maran - regarding Ponsonby Park, 254 Ponsonby Road, Ponsonby, Presentation
Beautiful Ponsonby is a very good place to live in walking distance. Most of Polynesian get on with others no trouble among each other. Everything close to home and nothing to complain about it, and all sudden everything raised up. Rent mortgages and rates, food cost of everything gone up. That's why some Polynesians moved out from Ponsonby.
Ehara taku toa
I te toa takitahi
engari
he toa
takimano

Success is not the work of one but the work of many
Manukau Harbour Forum

The forum's vision

The Manukau Harbour is recognised and valued as a significant cultural, ecological, social and economic taonga. A programme of integrated harbour management will ensure that it has a rich and diverse marine and terrestrial environment that is able to be enjoyed by all.
- Franklin
- Papakura
- Manurewa
- Otara
- Papatoetoe
- Mangere-Otahuhu
- Maungakiekie-Tamaki
- Puketapapa
- Whau
- Waitakere Ranges
The forum's objectives are to:

- raise the profile of the Manukau Harbour
- ensure there is a robust knowledge base to support integrated management
- champion and advocate for the development and implementation of planning frameworks and projects to support the integrated management of the Manukau Harbour
- ensure there are sufficient resources, including staff input and budget, to support the forum to deliver on its vision.
Working with Governing Body

- 2015 LTP governing body funded the development of a hydrodynamic model for the harbour

- Want to work with Governing Body and staff to explore how lift strategic influence and make the most of Auckland Council’s resources
  - Shared local and regional governance opportunities
  - Large scale impactful work programmes

- Advocating today with regards to sediment management
**Small construction site management pilot**

In 2017/18 the MHF contributed to funding a pilot programme with regards small construction sites looking at matters of:

- Sediment runoff
- Concrete and other waste runoff
- Rubbish

13000 dwellings consented in year to May 2018

- Roughly 30% of those in Manukau Harbour catchment
Flatbush area

- Approx. 380 active building sites in area of focus
- 170 building sites visited during programme
  - 35% had poor controls
  - 50% had some controls
  - 15% had good controls

- Subsequent monitoring by compliance staff identified that if there are any issues/breaches then the best course of action is to issue immediate abatement notices to the property owners
**Recommendations align with Sediment Report**

- Compliance is a key concern and increased monitoring is required.
- Endorse overall report and the six work areas identified.
- MHF members strongly support the focus on establishing new and innovative ways of working that:
  - use the collective resources of council to achieve earlier and more sustained compliance on the small sites across the region
  - are embedded in council processes and independent of staff turnover
“Ponsonby Park” - 254 Ponsonby Road

Waitematā Local Board ‘One Local Initiative’
254 Ponsonby Road – Ponsonby Park

Our communities’ vision – whole of site development
Winning awards (already)

MINNER Future Projects: Civic - World Architecture News Awards

image Credit LANDLAB
Attachment A

Clear community aspirations

- Aspiration/Need

- Number of comments regarding this issue

- Shopping
- Protected footpath
- Toilets
- Water feature
- Dog friendly
- Happen soon
- Community garden
- Kick about space
- Cafés dining
- Sustainable design
- Public art
- Play space
- Events
- Markets
- Green space
- Sit and relax
Staged Approach

**Stage One** - $5.5 million (our One Local Initiative)

**Stage Two** – Local Board and Community Group are investigating all funding options
Thank you
8. Optimal size of the civic space at 254 Ponsonby Road
Item 9

Approval of the Auckland Water Strategy discussion document for public consultation

Attachment A - 4 December 2018, Environment and Community Committee: Approval of the Auckland Water Strategy discussion document for public consultation, Presentation
Objectives for engagement

Inform the public about the issues
Establish the values -National Policy Statement: Fresh Water Management
Discover stakeholder views
Set the framework for the next steps
Ecosystems stressors

- **Rural activity**
  - Livestock
  - Fertiliser
  - Forest harvesting

- **Development**
  - Earthworks
  - Paved surfaces
  - Stream modification

- **Faecal contamination**
  - Animals
  - Birds
  - Wastewater leaks

- **Transport**
  - Brake linings
  - Tyre abrasion
  - Road runoff

- **Littering**
  - Litter
  - Chemical spills

**Key impacts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment</th>
<th>Nutrients</th>
<th>Contaminants</th>
<th>Heavy metals</th>
<th>Pathogens</th>
<th>Erosion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Recreational stressors

Modified streams
- Urban development
- Rural land use

Algal Blooms
- Livestock
- Fertiliser
- Warm temperatures

Faecal contamination
- Animals
- Birds
- Wastewater leaks

Boating
- Antifouling
- Chemical Spills
- Sewage

Littering
- Microplastics
- Illegal dumping
## Local Board Views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vision</strong></td>
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<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
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</tr>
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<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issues</strong></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Processes</strong></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Principles</strong></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C: Local board has comments or concern
✓: Informal support
### Issues We Need To Work On

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cleaning up waterways</th>
<th>Meeting future water needs</th>
<th>Growth in the right places</th>
<th>Adapting to a changing water future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>Aquifers</td>
<td>Sediment from development</td>
<td>Flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Metals</td>
<td>Grey water reuse</td>
<td>Development in flood plains</td>
<td>Sea Level Rise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter &amp; plastic</td>
<td>Water recycling</td>
<td>Cost of services</td>
<td>Land Slips &amp; Erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kai Moana</td>
<td>Rainwater</td>
<td>Improve with redevelopment</td>
<td>Water Tanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic Tanks</td>
<td>Dams</td>
<td>Quality compact urban form</td>
<td>Drought</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Swim</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overflows</td>
<td>Water from Waikato</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrient</td>
<td>Managing demand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Includes →
### Processes We Need To Work On

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Creating our water future together</th>
<th>Setting priorities for investment</th>
<th>Achieving net benefits for catchments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education / awareness</td>
<td>Levels of service</td>
<td>Offsetting mitigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polluter pays / User pays</td>
<td>Cost of services</td>
<td>Environmental compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance &amp; monitoring</td>
<td>Cost of growth</td>
<td>Allocations and trading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Responsibility</td>
<td>Setting targets</td>
<td>Alternatives to first in first serve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>Integrated Catchment approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customer value for money</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Circular Economy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Applying a Māori world view
### Principles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recognise that water is a taonga</td>
<td>Focus on achieving right-sized solutions with multiple benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work with natural ecosystems</td>
<td>Work together to plan and deliver better water outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliver catchment-scale thinking and action</td>
<td>Look to the future</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next Steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphic design &amp; engagement preparation</td>
<td>Public Engagement</td>
<td>Framework planning Workshops</td>
<td>Strategic Framework</td>
<td>Water Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion Document Decision Report</td>
<td>Aligned with Annual Budget</td>
<td>March Water Month</td>
<td>Scenario development programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland conversations</td>
<td>Developers Forum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strategic Approach to Sediment

Environment & Community Committee

04 December 2018
### Attachment A

#### Item 10

**PROGRAMME IN CONTEXT - 2018**

**STRATEGIC APPROACH TO SEDIMENT PROGRAMME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>JUN</th>
<th>JUL</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>SEP</th>
<th>OCT</th>
<th>NOV</th>
<th>DEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **A**: Auckland Plan 2050 (development)
- **B**: Auckland's NPS-FM Implementation Programme
- **C**: Auckland Plan 2050 (implementation)
- **D**: Auckland's Waters Strategy (development)
- **E**: Auckland's Climate Action Plan (development)

- **F**: Auckland's Sediment-related Initiatives (e.g., catchments, targeted initiatives)
- **G**: Licensing & Regulatory Compliance
- **H**: Sediment-attribute for sediment (Freshwater)

**Key**

- A: Proposed
- B: Proposed
- C: Proposed
- D: Proposed
- E: Proposed
- F: Proposed
- G: Proposed
- H: Proposed

**Minutes Attachments**

---

**Environment and Community Committee**  
**04 December 2018**

**ACSeeChange Political Reference Group**

**Hauraki Gulf Forum Meeting**

**Manukau Harbour Forum Meeting**

---

**Minute Attachments**

---
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**SIX WORK AREAS AND OUTPUTS**

1. **BETTER INFORMATION**
   - **OBJECTIVE**: Improve Transparency & information sharing
   - **OUTPUTS**:
     1. Resource Exchange Platform, via SharePoint
     2. Case Study: Flat Bush
     3. Gaps analysis and recommendations for research

2. **STRATEGY AND POLICY**
   - **OBJECTIVE**: Enhance Strategy & policy effectiveness
   - **OUTPUTS**:
     1. Effectiveness of AUP-OP (bringing together 3 cross-council workstreams)
     2. Participation in MFE Sediment Expert Group

3. **INTERVENTIONS**
   - **OBJECTIVE**: Strengthen Operational Excellence
   - **OUTPUTS**:
     1. Review of GD05
     2. Achieving compliance on Small Sites.
     3. Good practice incentives
     4. Rural Sediment Mitigation Strategies (e.g. Kaipara)
## WORK PROGRAMME 2019

*Subject to endorsement by E&CC on 04 Dec 2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WAI: Better Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Shared sediment library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Case Study, Flat Bush</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Gap analysis of research activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAI: Strategy and Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Sediment in the Auckland Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Sediment in strategic land development processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 ALWP/District Plan – AUP-CIP Stocktake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Circular Economy and Soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Participation in MFE Initiatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAI: Interventions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Review of GO00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Report on options for small sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Assessment of good practice incentives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAI: Monitoring and Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Sediment Monitoring Strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Scoping Report: evaluation of device effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAI: Coordinating and Building Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1 Review of functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 Sediment Resource Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAI: Communication and Engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1 Shared narrative: internal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2 Shared narrative: external</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3 Shared narrative: research updates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4 Awareness and engagement plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Key:*
- Phase 1: Understanding: finalise identification of issues
- Phase 2: Development of options and recommendations
- Phase 3: Implementation: Delivery of options
QUICK WINS TO DATE – SMALL SITES COMPLIANCE

What is a small site?
• A residential or commercial site - does not require a regional consent
• May have a land use consent, building consent or be a permitted activity

Why is this a problem?
• Small site construction is often poorly run by people who are not experienced in this type of work
• High risk to the environment and to neighbours
• Up to 20,000 small sites across the region at any time
We need a change in approach...
Involving Building Inspectors through:

- Enhanced training
- Notification of erosion and sediment control issues to Targeted Initiatives unit (Regulatory and Compliance) at every inspection, supported by speedy, simple assessment and reporting
- Include erosion and sediment control wording on Site Manager's checklist.

QUICK WINS TO DATE – SMALL SITES COMPLIANCE
Environment and Community Committee
04 December 2018
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11. Auckland Climate Action Plan

Attachment A - 4 December 2018, Environment and Community Committee: Item 11 - Auckland Climate Action Plan, Presentation
Committee Direction

- Approved review and update of Low Carbon Auckland, including adding climate resilience (Feb 2018)
- Workshopped and inputted into framework (July, Sep 2018)
- Endorsed requirement to develop a climate plan consistent with Paris Agreement aspiration of 1.5°C maximum temperature rise (Nov 2018)
What is Auckland’s Climate Action Plan?

Auckland’s Climate Action Plan will set a path to **rapidly reduce greenhouse gas emissions** to keep within 1.5 degrees of warming while ensuring Auckland is **prepared for the impacts of climate change**.
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Nexus with Other Plans and Strategies
Cross-sector Collaboration
Auckland’s Climate Action Plan

SUMMARY
Subject Matter Expert Workshops
20 October 2018
Evidence: Emissions Modelling

The diagram illustrates the emissions models over the years 2016 to 2046. It categorizes emissions into different sectors:

- **I. Stationary Energy**
- **II. Transportation**
- **III. Waste**
- **IV. Industrial Processes and Product Use**
- **V. Agriculture, Forestry, and Land Use**
- **(Remaining emissions)**

The graph shows a decrease in emissions over time, with each sector contributing differently to the overall trend.
What We Know

How will Auckland’s climate change?

**INCREASING TEMPERATURES**
The average annual temperature in Auckland has increased by about 1.6 °C over the past century and is expected to increase through the 21st century.

**INCREASE IN EXTREME WEATHER**
Seasonal rainfall patterns will change with wetter autumns and drier springs. Increasing extreme rainfall intensity is likely because warmer air holds more moisture.

**INCREASING CHANCE OF DROUGHT**
Longer dry spells will mean increased potential for drought conditions. Moisture in our soil is expected to decline due to increased evaporation and changing rainfall patterns.

**SEA LEVEL RISE & OCEANIC CHANGE**
Sea levels around Auckland have risen. This is expected to continue and potentially accelerate.
Framework

A healthy, thriving, and climate-smart Auckland for all

**Climate Ready Systems and Infrastructure:**

**Must have:**
- Evidence informed whole-of-life planning for low impact and resilient built infrastructure
- Resilient interconnected infrastructure, with decentralized, integrated systems where feasible
- Smart systems and applications
- Electrified and low emission transport and supply chain
- Protected and enhanced natural and cultural environments
- Planned and managed coastal and estuarine ecosystems
- Two new city-tack city links featuring active and public transport
- Access to offshore islands, local food

**A Prosperous Economic Future:**

**Must have:**
- Leadership in the circular economy, prioritizing areas with greatest opportunities or risks
- A thriving low carbon industry supported by regional and sectoral partnerships
- Divestment from fossil fuels and oil
- Economic and social resilience

**What we want for Auckland:**

- Low / Zero Emissions
- Climate Resilience
- Healthy People
- A Healthy Environment
- Equity
- Prosperity

**The six levers for action:** Evidence, Policy, Communication and Engagement, Finance, Innovation, Partnerships and Governance

climateaction@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
SME Workshops

**Workshop sessions:**

**Day 1**  Climate Smart Communities

**Day 2**  Healthy and Resilient Buildings, Places and Spaces

**Day 3**  Climate Ready Systems and Infrastructure

**Day 4**  A Prosperous Economic Future

---

**Sectors represented:**

- Central government
- Auckland Council and CCO's
- Health
- Energy
- Transport
- Water
- Construction & Development
- Business
- Universities
- Not for Profit

---

climateaction@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Climate Symposium
Why an Auckland Climate Symposium?

- Expands on cross-sector collaboration & interest continues to build engagement for Climate Plan development
- Creates an opportunity to build in a front-footed approach to implementation of Climate Plan
- Reflects that collaboration across sectors is essential as Auckland Council has limited levers for direct control of the desired outcomes
- Builds broader public engagement and raises awareness on climate change
What Will A Symposium Look Like?

- A 3-day event with participants and leaders across sectors
- Speakers, panel events, leaders forum, sprint sessions
- Criteria used for selection of key topics: level of impact, partnership & collaboration, scalability, existing momentum & evidence, public & stakeholder interest
- Areas & potential actions tested across multiple outcomes (e.g., emissions reduction, resilience, health, economy, Te Ao Māori, equity)
- Further refinement of topics by subject matter experts
- Platform for partner announcements
- Co-funding secured from central government & others
## Seven key Topics For the Symposium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key topics</th>
<th>Priority areas of focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A) A Climate Innovation and Skills Hub</td>
<td>1, 8 &amp; 9, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B) Regional blue and green networks</td>
<td>1, 2, 10, 11 &amp; 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C) Decentralised and local energy solutions for the region</td>
<td>1, 3 &amp; 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D) Community resilience to inundation</td>
<td>1, 7 &amp; 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E) A fossil fuel free, resilient central city</td>
<td>1, 2, 5 &amp; 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F) A circular construction sector</td>
<td>1, 8 &amp; 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G) Embed Mātauranga Māori across summit actions</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What’s Next

- Brief Council Group ELTs and link to org commitments (tomorrow)
- Establish stakeholder teams for topic area development at symposium and on-going development of plan (December 2018)
- Brief stakeholder teams in advance of the symposium (February 2019)
- Environment & Community Committee workshops (February 2019)
- Auckland Climate Symposium (March 2019)
- Collate all input and develop draft plan (March – May 2019)
- Draft plan to Environment & Community Committee for input and adoption (June 2019)
- Public consultation of draft plan (July – August 2019)
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Draft Sport Investment Plan

Environment and Community Committee

4 December 2018
Development of the plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public consultation and stakeholder engagement through the investors' forum, Auckland Council advisory panels, sector advisory groups and sport sector workshops</td>
<td>Parks, Recreation and Sport Committee approved key components of the Sports Facilities Investment Plan and the primary outcomes, principles, focus and scope of sports facilities investment [CP2016/2033]</td>
<td>Environment and Community Committee agreed to develop an outcome measurement tool for sport investment modelled on the Treasury's Cost Benefit Analysis Model (CBAx) and to pilot the tool [CP2019/03041]</td>
<td>Public consultation on the draft plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar—Apr 2016</td>
<td>8 Aug 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td>Early 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshops with all 21 local boards</td>
<td>Environment and Community Committee considered the recommendations to expand the current revenue streams to increase funding for sport investment and agree to seek public feedback on the proposal [CP2017/12378]</td>
<td>Approval of the draft plan by the Environment and Community Committee</td>
<td>Anticipated final approval from the Environment and Community Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scope of the plan

Focus

- Open space
- Recreation
- Play spaces
- Sports fields
- Sport facilities and services
- Pools and recreation centres
- Stadia

Open Space Provision Policy 2016
Active Recreation Investment Plan
Tākaro – Investing in Play
Sport Field Capacity Programme
Sport Investment Plan
Community Facilities Network Plan
RFA Stadia Strategy
Why do we need a new plan?

Auckland’s population is rapidly growing and changing. People have different needs and are participating in different sport and recreation activities.

**Young Adults**
- Competitive sports or activities only: 1%
- Both: 31%
- Non-competitive sports or activities: 63%
- Non-participant: 5%

**Adults**
- Competitive sports or activities only: 2%
- Both: 10%
- Non-competitive sports or activities: 61%
- Non-participant: 27%
Why do we need a new plan?

The current investment does not align with sport participation patterns

(Source: PwC)
A plan that caters for diverse community needs

The goal remains to make ‘Aucklanders more active, more often’
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Future sport investment will focus on meeting the needs of three distinct groups of people:

1. People who already play sport

   There will be more fit-for-purpose facilities and programmes to keep them actively involved in sport

2. People who play a new sport

   Currently there are limited opportunities to play but, in the future, there will be more fit-for-purpose facilities and programmes that cater to new and emerging sports

3. People who do not currently participate in sport

   Auckland Council will create more opportunities and make it easier for them to take up sport

(Vision set in Auckland Sport & Recreation Strategic Action Plan)
There will be changes to the current way we invest
The logic behind the changes

The changes will help the council respond to the challenges currently facing sport provisions in Auckland.

A list of key performance indicators will be used to track progress over time.
The next steps

- Seek approval from the Environment and Community Committee for public consultation
- Conduct public consultation
- Seek formal feedback from local boards
- Seek final approval from the Environment and Community Committee for adoption of the plan
- Commence implementation of the plan in stages

Dec 2018
Feb-Mar 2019
May 2019
June 2019 - June 2021