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1 Welcome

2 Apologies

Lemauga Lydia Sosene and Tafafuna‘i Tasi Lauese have tabled their apologies for absence.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 21 November 2018, as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

- Sincere Condolences to the untimely passing of Matua Bobby Clark who was the Matua assigned to attend many events, blessings and matters for Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board. The Board has worked with Matua Bobby for a number of years. We thank him and his whanau for the extensive knowledge of Tikanga matters, the aroha, and especially strong support of our business relationship with Matua Bobby. Rest in Peace.

- Sincere Condolences to the Stallworthy family, specific to our colleague Ben Stallworthy Auckland Transport, the passing of his father Mr Stallworthy. We express our thoughts and sympathy are with you and your family at this difficult time. Rest in Peace.

- Acknowledge the closing of the Old Mangere Bridge, 104 years old, was closed on Monday 26 November 2018, dawn ceremony. The underpass on SH20 is now the accessway from Mangere Bridge end to Onehunga end. NZTA have reported it will take approximately two and half years to build the new Mangere Bridge part to replace the old Mangere Bridge. A very moving final dawn service to commemorate this ICONIC feature and important asset in our local community.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.
8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 Deputation - Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. Carmel Claridge and Julie Chambers from the Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum would like to present on the following to the local board:
   - who/what is Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum (TEEF)?
   - TEEF purpose
   - recent achievements
   - where is TEEF heading?
   - how does TEEF relate to Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board plan?

Ngā tūtuhunga / Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) Receive the presentation from members of the Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum and thank them for their attendance.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,

   (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

   (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:
“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Governing Body Member Update

File No.: CP2018/18031

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. A period of time (10 Minutes) has been set aside for the Manukau Ward Councillors to have an opportunity to update the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board on regional matters.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receive the verbal reports from Cr Alf Filipaina and Cr Efeso Collins.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Purpose of the report

1. This item allows the local board members an opportunity to present verbal and written updates on their lead roles, such as relevant actions, appointments and meetings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Impact Forum for Kohuora Corrections Facility</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Bridge BID</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Town Centre BID</td>
<td>Tafafuna’i Tasi Lauese</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere East Village BID</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otahuhu Business Association</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Harbour Business Association BID</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott</td>
<td>Makalita Kolo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft Noise Community Consultative Group</td>
<td>Tafafuna’i Tasi Lauese</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamaki Estuary Environmental Forum</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Connections South Local Governance Group (3 members)</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien, Makalita Kolo, Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Tauanu’u Nick Bakulich (appointed 15 March 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori input into local board decision-making political steering group (1 lead, 1 alternate)</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Pukaki Tapu O Poutukeka Historic Reserve &amp; Associated Lands Co-Management Committee</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambury Park Centre</td>
<td>Christine O’Brien</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere Mountain Education Trust</td>
<td>Lemauga Lydia Sosene</td>
<td>Togiatolu Walter Togiamua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government New Zealand Zone One Committee</td>
<td>Carrol Elliott (appointed 21 March 2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Local Board Leads

| Local planning and heritage lead – includes responding to resource consent applications on behalf of board | Togiatolu Walter Togiamua (Planning) Carrol Elliott (Heritage) | Lemauga Lydia Sosene |

| Local planning and heritage lead – includes responding to resource consent applications on behalf of board | Togiatolu Walter Togiamua | Lemauga Lydia Sosene |

| Local planning and heritage lead – includes responding to resource consent applications on behalf of board | Togiatolu Walter Togiamua | Lemauga Lydia Sosene |

| Local planning and heritage lead – includes responding to resource consent applications on behalf of board | Togiatolu Walter Togiamua | Lemauga Lydia Sosene |
### Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) receive the verbal and written updates from the local board members.

### Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

### Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chairpersons Report and Announcements

File No.: CP2018/18037

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This item gives the Chairperson an opportunity to update the local board on any announcements and for the local board to receive the Chairperson’s written report.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) receive the verbal update and written report of the local board Chair.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Chairs report December</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Acknowledgements

- Sincere Condolences to the untimely passing of Matua Bobby Clark who was the Matua assigned to attend many events, blessings and matters for Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board. The Board has worked with Matua Bobby for a number of years. We thank him and his whanau for the extensive knowledge of Tikanga matters, the aroha, and especially strong support of our business relationship with Matua Bobby. Rest in Peace.

- Sincere Condolences to the Stallworthy family, specific to our colleague Ben Stallworthy Auckland Transport, the passing of his father Mr Stallworthy. We express our thoughts and sympathy are with you and your family at this difficult time. Rest in Peace.

- Acknowledge the closing of the Old Mangere Bridge, 104 years old, was closed on Monday 26 November 2018, dawn ceremony. The underpass on SH20 is now the accessway from Mangere Bridge end to Onehunga end. NZTA have reported it will take approximately two and half years to build the new Mangere Bridge part to replace the old Mangere Bridge. A very moving final dawn service to commemorate this ICONIC feature and important asset in our local community.

- Congratulations to Auckland Teaching Gardens for their Bronze Award and recognition from Department of Corrections, for making a difference in participant’s lives with their programme. DOC thanks ATG for their outstanding contribution.

- Eye on Communities Neighbourhood Support, Mangere CPO Toni Helleur for her extensive work in the community in her role; thanks Toni for your passion to work with Dave Fearon in the area of Community Safety in Mangere Town Centre and surrounding areas of the precinct, setting up of Mangere Connect and supporting community initiatives.

- Congratulations to all the recent graduates out and about in the community, namely Georgina Ngatoko the Resource Recovery Specialist Mangere East Family Service Centre for her Business Management qualification.

- Congratulations to the recent graduation of community leader Europa To’omalatai Lusa of his qualification of becoming a new Primary School Teacher in Mangere.

- Manager Ane Karika from Mangere Mountain Education Trust who is working hard with MMET Team providing education of the Mangere Mountain to over 8,000 students 2018 year.

- Heamana Ngati Tamaoho Trust Dennis Kirkwood with the notification that their Kauwhakahaere Christine Herzog will be moving on from March 2019, we would also thank Ngati Tamaoho for their work in our community in 2018.

- Congratulations to Mamas for their recent opening Exhibition Ile Mangere Arts Centre Te Rima o te Metua Vaine collective, which is made up of two local Mamas groups, Tiare Taine and Polynesian Creative Artists.
Item 13

- Congratulations to Mama Tukua for her Creative Design with the designer
  Karen Walker. The exquisite garment was created by Mama Tukua, handmade with
  the Kuki Arani Mamas Collective. The garment was showcased in Buckingham Palace
  England 2017, showcased in the New York Designer Fashion show 2018, and has now
  been gifted to Te Papa Museum Wellington in the recent event Michael Lett Arts
  Centre in Auckland.

- Thank you and appreciation to Director Peter Rakanui, Prison Fellowship NZ and
  Angeltree Aotearoa, and Maatata Marae, organising their recent Family Day with
  whanau of prisoners – this annual event was held this year locally for the children
  ‘Christmas Wonderland’ huge attendance and a welcomed event for these families.

- Congratulations to Inspector Naila Hassan for her recent promotion to a senior role
  in Waitemata Policing District. Insp Naila Hassan has resigned from being District
  Commander in Counties Manukau West area Mangere Otahuhu and Papatoetoe and
  has moved to the central part of the region. We thank her for her support and work
  in the local community and wish her all the best in the new role.

- December 2018 Nga Manga o Mangere Forum, thanks to the organiser Harry from
  Mangere Community Law Centre for their ongoing work with Mangere providers and
  the many agencies across the District.

- Thanks to the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet and Ministry of Health for
  their recent local community meeting hosted in Mangere East at the Mangere East
  Hawks clubrooms, a successful event attended by the mix of Community Health
  providers and officials of over 120 individuals for this cluster inaugural meeting, and
  to the young people of Mangere East, community leaders Hone Fowler and Ernestina
  Bano who joined me in welcoming and opening this forum on the day. The event
  was focused on the Child and Wellbeing policy discussion currently on the agenda of
  the Labour Government.

- Congratulations to the Team and funding partners, Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board
  and Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board for the Pop Up Manukau Economic Event
  currently being held in Manukau for two weeks, well attended by Maori and Pasifika
  small business entrepreneurs free event. The final day will be this Friday 7
  December 2018.

- Congratulations to the Sosaiete Aoga Amata Samoa I Aotearoa (S.A.A.S.I.A) for the
  recent 30 year celebration of the existence of their organisation – they now have a
  location at the Old school reserve, Kirkbride Road Mangere.

- Congratulations to PACIFICA for their annual event I Fale Samoa Mangere, and the
  continued contribution of the PACIFICA South Auckland membership across the
  many spheres of Business, Community and Families.

- Thanks to the officers in their recent work of the Auckland Council Health and
  Hygiene Bylaw Review, our Board has worked closely with Otara Papatoetoe Local
  Board and the Pasifika People’s Advisory Panel of the topic of TATAU. Work will
  continue in 2019.

- Thanks to officers for their work also in presenting Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board
  submission to the Auckland Council Annual Plan process.

- Acknowledgement to Housing New Zealand Mangere for the recent Tour of the
  brand new houses being built across Mangere Otahuhu.

- Acknowledge the extensive work of the Tupuna Maunga Authority across the
  Maunga in Tamaki Makaurau. The Love your Maunga event will be held at Mangere
  Mountain in 16 February 2019 11am-3pm, inviting the local communities and
  Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board. There will be a number local musical artists and
  local schools involved in the day.
Summary

It has been a full year of business activity including December.

On behalf of the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board, the Members extend a very Merry Christmas and a safe New Year into 2019. Local Board Members and staff will be taking a well-deserved break through the Festive Season 2018.

We thank the Local Board staff of Mangere-Otahuhu for their extensive commitment to the everyday business of the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board, it has been a busy time in the scheduled calendar of Auckland Council, Community Meetings, Community Events and Regional Events.

We also thank all the Partners with the Auckland Council and Council Controlled organisations for all your work in 2018.

Our community of Mangere-Otahuhu, we thank you for your vibrance, commitment and support on the numerous activities in and around our local communities including the Town Centre areas of Mangere Town Centre, Mangere Bridge Village, Mangere East Village and Otahuhu Town Centre Business Areas.

The Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Christmas Morning Tea event is being held on Friday 14 December 2018, 10.30am-12pm please rsvp to Marie.Prasad@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz.

The Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board office will close on 24 December 2018 and re-open in the new year 7 January 2019.

Manuiia le Kerisimasi ma le Tausaga Fou

Fa’afetai ma la Manuiia

Lemauga Lydia Sosene

Chair

Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board

5 December 2018
Otahuhu Portage Cultural Heritage Presentation

File No.: CP2018/23682

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To seek Mangere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board approval to use the Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) operational budget for Heritage Initiatives to develop a Cultural Heritage Video to support the development of the Ōtāhuhu Portage project.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Totūia – Ōtāhuhu Portage project started in 2016. The project’s aim is to make the historic Ōtāhuhu Portage route an open accessible area for walking, cycling and open for the public. This historic route is part of the Mangere-Ōtahuhu Greenways plan.
3. A key objective of the work is to raise visibility of the historic route, to tell the story for current and future generations and to showcase this narrative.
4. Developing a video will help tell this story and will enable a clear and compelling communications strategy to be rolled out as part of the community co-design process scheduled to begin early 2019.
5. The board has an LDI budget of $45K for heritage related initiatives which this project would like to access to commission a Cultural Heritage Video of the Ōtāhuhu Portage.
6. The use of the local board’s LDI budget tagged for heritage initiatives is closely aligned to this project. The purpose of raising community awareness of the underlying value of the site and its cultural and historic significance in the form of a video will lead to a much better informed community and in turn better buy-in for the development and delivery of the larger project.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) approve the full allocation of its heritage initiatives budget of $45,000 to develop a Cultural Heritage Video to support the development of the Ōtāhuhu Portage project.

Horopaki / Context
7. The Ōtāhuhu Portage is one of the key components of the Tāmaki region-wide network of portage areas which provided tūpuna Māori and very early settlers with a means for traversing our region and connecting inter-regionally. This portage network remains one of Tāmaki’s defining and least known cultural and heritage landscape features.
8. The 1.2km open space reserve connection of the Ōtāhuhu Portage Canal Reserve site sits strategically within a busy light industrial and residential context, with the potential of connecting the Manukau and Waitematā harbours. Historically and currently however, the site is interrupted by north-south transport infrastructure, temporary lease land users, and is hidden with no public presence. Once a key ‘connector’ for Tāmaki Makaurau, this historically and culturally significant site has been left in isolation.
9. A transformation opportunity exists to re-establish the site’s physical connection and also support a broad range of council objectives by re-connecting cultural, historical, social and environmental association and use of the site.
10. The key objectives of this proposal are to:
   - celebrate the heritage and cultural values of this significant national taonga
   - rehabilitate the natural environment to improve water quality, biodiversity and ecological function
   - develop a new community hikoi providing pedestrian and cycling connectivity from coast to coast and linking to esplanade walkway networks on both the Manukau and Waitematā harbours
   - improve general amenity values, safety and surveillance of the area and help create sense of place
   - develop a community asset with the potential to attract regional, national and international audiences.

11. The key benefits of this proposal are:
   - elevation of heritage, cultural, and recreational activities in the Mangere-Ōtāhuhu area
   - improved water quality, biodiversity and ecological function
   - improved recreational access for pedestrians and cyclists on safe, shared walkways
   - improved connectivity across the isthmus linking key transport hubs via passive transport modes
   - improved safety and passive surveillance opportunities
   - improved open space quality and general amenity values
   - activation of underused council owned land
   - potential economic growth through the attraction of national and international visitors to the area.

12. Work has been underway on this project over the past 18 months and to date the following milestones have been achieved:
   - establishment of project name ‘Totōia’
   - establishment of project vision
   - establishment of project structure
   - establishment of Project Steering Group (PSG).

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

13. A recent hui was held (November 16, 2018) with the PSG to seek approval to proceed with preliminary investigations and design work.

14. A suggestion was made at the hui that as part of the community engagement and communications strategy, a heritage report and/or visual representation of the site’s history could be commissioned to help communicate the heritage and cultural significance of the project to the wider community.

15. This would facilitate the next phase of the project development which is a community co-design process whereby council will be seeking the local community’s views and aspirations for this development.

16. A visual representation of the cultural heritage of the site will help inform the community of the underlying value of the site and its cultural and historic significance.
17. An existing LDI operational budget for heritage initiatives is held by the local board and is not currently allocated to any particular outcome.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

18. The success of this project lies in mobilising the local community to engage in the design process and take ownership of the final portage crossing.

19. The use of this local board discretionary budget for the purpose of raising community awareness of the cultural heritage of this site will lead to a much better informed community and in turn better buy-in for the development and delivery of the project.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

20. The Ōtāhuhu Portage has been nominated by a number of mana whenua groups as a site of significance within the current Māori Heritage work programme, and will be scheduled within the Unitary Plan.

21. The Auckland Plan includes the Outcome: Māori Identity and Wellbeing. Within this Outcome, this project will specifically deliver on:

- Direction 4: Showcase Auckland’s Māori identity and vibrant Māori culture
- Focus Area 6: Celebrate Māori culture and support te reo Māori to flourish
- Focus Area 7: Reflect mana whenua mātauranga and Māori design principles throughout Auckland.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

22. An LDI operational budget for Heritage initiatives of $45K has been carried forward to the current financial year of 2018/2019.

23. This budget is sufficient to commission a Cultural Heritage Video of the Ōtāhuhu Portage to facilitate communication of the cultural heritage of this project to the community.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

24. Without suitable material to communicate the cultural heritage of this project the community may fail to realise the significance of the opportunity putting at risk a genuine community led outcome.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

25. Following local board approval of this funding a Cultural Heritage Video will be commissioned to enable a clear and compelling communications strategy to be rolled out as part of the community co-design process scheduled to begin early 2019.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**

There are no attachments for this report.

**Ngā kaihaina / Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Tim Keat - Senior Growth Development Specialist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Rod Sheridan - General Manager Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approval of additional projects for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board 2018/2019 local environment work programme

File No.: CP2018/23143

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To allocate $35,000 toward a community upcycling initiative, Pūkaki Crater restoration and weed education in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area. These projects will be delivered as part of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s 2018/2019 local environment work programme.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. At the request of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board, Infrastructure and Environmental Services staff have identified opportunities for enhancing the board’s 2018/2019 local environment work programme through additional environmental projects.

3. Staff from Infrastructure and Environmental Services have scoped three projects for the board’s consideration, to be implemented as part of its 2018/2019 local environment work programme. These projects are recommended by staff as they will enhance the current work programme, and further contribute towards achieving the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan outcome of ‘protecting our natural environment and heritage’. The recommended projects are:
   - $15,000 for a community upcycling initiative
   - $15,000 for Pūkaki Crater restoration phase two
   - $5,000 for weed education in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

4. This report recommends that the board approve these additional projects to be delivered within the 2018/2019 financial year, as part of the board’s local environment work programme.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendations
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) allocate $35,000 from the board’s Community Response Fund toward a community upcycling initiative, Pūkaki Crater restoration and weed education project to enhance the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s 2018/2019 local environment work programme as follows:
   - $15,000 for a community upcycling initiative
   - $15,000 for Pūkaki Crater restoration phase two, and
   - $5,000 for weed education in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area

Horopaki / Context
5. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board allocated $214,000 to its local environment work programme to achieve its local board plan outcome of ‘protecting our natural environment and heritage’ as well as ‘being a well-connected area’ (resolution MO/2018/98).
6. The current projects being delivered as part of the 2018/2019 local environment work programme are:

- Pest Free Ihumatao ($35,000)
- Pūkaki Crater restoration ($30,000)
- Business waste minimisation education programme ($20,000)
- Tāmaki Estuary Environmental Forum coordinator ($5,000)
- Manukau Harbour Forum ($10,000)
- Restoring mauri of the Oruarangi Creek and Tararata Creek ($24,000)
- Healthy rentals ($30,000)
- Wai Care schools ($35,000)
- Community capacity building for resource recovery ($25,000).

7. In November 2018 the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board invited the Infrastructure and Environmental Services department to scope further projects to be delivered as part of the 2018/2019 local environment work programme. It was requested that further projects would enhance the work being delivered through the current local environment work programme.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

8. At a workshop on 3 October 2018, the board indicated an interest in reprinting a general weed brochure specifically customised to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

9. At a further workshop on 17 October 2018 the board requested that the 2019/2020 local environment work programme projects are enhanced to further deliver on the its local board plan outcomes. An additional project around recycling or waste minimisation was requested to empower the community to participate in such initiatives.

10. Staff have developed the following project options for the board’s consideration, while taking into account the time remaining in the financial year for project delivery as part of the board’s 2018/2019 local environment work programme:

Community upcycling initiative - $15,000

11. This project will involve contracting ME Family Services to deliver 20 upcycling workshops in the local board area that promote and build skills to use waste as a resource. The project will also involve working with a local primary school to develop a programme for children to gain experience and skills in composting, upcycling and understanding what the circular economy is through practical activities. In addition the project budget will cover contracting a local resident to enable the extension of the ME Family Services pick-up service for inorganic goods for trade and exchange. This initiative will complement the grant awarded by the board for ME Family Services to purchase a resource recovery vehicle in August 2018 (resolution MO/2018/132).

Pūkaki Crater restoration - $15,000

12. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board fund the Pūkaki Crater restoration initiative annually. This project has involved revetegating the south-west crater rim for the last two years to protect the sacred urupā and to enhance biodiversity values of the site. The project is co-delivered with mana whenua (Te Akitai Waiohua). A further $15,000 investment in this project will enable the extension of farm fencing, to accommodate the planting of an additional 10,000 native trees and shrubs next winter.
Weed education - $5,000

13. This project will re-run an education campaign from the 2016/2017 financial year to raise awareness and encourage residents to control weeds on their property. 3,000 copies of a general weed brochure specifically customised to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area will be printed and distributed at key sites around the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area such as the local board office, council libraries, and letterboxes targeting areas of high biodiversity value.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

Local impacts

14. The local board plan objective to ‘reduce waste by improving waste management practices’ is reflected in the proposed community upcycling initiative as it will support both adults and children to use waste as a resource and divert waste from landfill.

15. The proposed additional projects also respond to the local board plan objective to ensure ‘local heritage is protected, enhanced and recognised’ through the Pūkaki Crater restoration. This initiative protects and enhances heritage as the site is an outstanding natural feature, between 80,000 to 100,000 years old.

Local board views

16. The projects noted above align with the local board plan outcome of ‘protecting our natural environment and heritage’.

17. The proposed projects have been discussed at a high level with the board at workshops in October 2018 and interest was shown in such projects being presented the board’s approval as part of future work programmes.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

18. It is recognised that environmental management, water quality and land management has integral links with the mauri of the environment and concepts of kaitiakitanga.

19. Table 1 below outlines how each of the projects contributes towards Māori outcomes.

Table 1. Māori impact assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Māori impact assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community upcycling initiative</td>
<td>This project is aimed at upskilling and assisting residents to see and use waste as a resource. Almost 16 per cent of residents in Māngere-Ōtāhuhu are Māori and will be actively engaged in the project. ME Family Services value manaakitanga and whakawhanaungatanga and work closely with mataawaka, Papatuanuku Marae, and Makaurau Marae.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pūkaki Crater restoration</td>
<td>All proposed work at Pūkaki is undertaken in consultation with Pūkaki Marae. This project is co-delivered with iwi (Te Akitai Waiohua).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed education</td>
<td>No engagement with Māori has been undertaken. Strategic advocacy will highlight key threats to important taonga species and ecosystems and waahi tapu.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications
20. The proposed additional projects to be included as part of the 2018/2019 local environment work programme will see the allocation of $35,000 from the board’s Community Response Fund. This amount can be accommodated within the board’s total budget for 2018/2019. As such, the board’s approval will not have significant financial implications unless projects experience a significant overspend or underspend. Regular quarterly updates on projects will be provided to the board tracking expenditure and identifying any projects at risk of non-delivery, over or underspend.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
21. If the additional projects to be included as part of the 2018/2019 local environment work programme are not approved at the board’s December 2018 business meeting, there is a risk that the proposed projects may not be able to be delivered within the 2018/2019 financial year.

The risks and proposed mitigation measures associated with each of the proposed projects have been outlined in Table 2 below:

Table 2. Project risks and mitigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Risks</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community upcycling initiative</td>
<td>Low up-take of people to engage in workshops</td>
<td>ME Family Services will promote the workshops with their extensive community networks to encourage participation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schools may not have the capacity to engage in the project</td>
<td>Early engagement with a local primary school will be undertaken to ensure time scheduled for the school to participate in the programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pūkaki Crater restoration</td>
<td>Archaeological features may be disturbed through the installation of fencing</td>
<td>An expert archaeologist will supervise the installation of farm fencing, as required under resource consent and Heritage New Zealand Authority Permit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed education</td>
<td>Members of community may have strong views in relation to recommended weed control methods</td>
<td>Several options for weed control will be provided in advocacy material including chemical and manual options.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps
22. Subject to the board’s approval, the delivery of these projects will commence in January 2019. Regular reporting on project delivery will be provided through the Infrastructure and Environmental Services’ contribution to the board’s quarterly performance report.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
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Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To fund, part-fund or decline applications received for Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Quick Response Grants, Round Two 2018/2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
3. This report presents applications received in Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Quick Response Round Two 2018/2019 (see Attachment B).
4. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has set a total community grants budget of $284,578 for the 2018/2019 financial year. A total of $84,648 has been allocated in one local grant and multi-board round.
5. In Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Quick Response Round One, a total of $13,604 has been allocated.
6. This leaves a total of $186,326 to be allocated to two quick response rounds and one local grant and multi-board round for the 2018/2019 financial year.
7. Nineteen applications were received for Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Quick Response Round Two 2018/2019, requesting a total of $40,250.00.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendations
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) agree to fund, part-fund or decline each application in Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Quick Response Round Two 2018/2019 listed in Table One.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application ID</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Main focus</th>
<th>Requesting funding for</th>
<th>Amount requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-202</td>
<td>Louisa Marsters</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards arts and crafts materials for the Seventh Day Adventist adventurer club.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-203</td>
<td>Te Oranga Kaumatua Kuia Disability Support Services Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards the cost of the Whanaungatanga Project presenters.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-210</td>
<td>Good Seed Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards wages and vehicle costs for the community playgroup.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-212</td>
<td>Psquared Baller Summer camp</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards venue hire cost for the Psquared Baller Summer camp.</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-213</td>
<td>Youthline Auckland Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards the helpline volunteer manager costs for Youthline.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-214</td>
<td>Bettalifestyle Fitness Limited</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Toward the purchase of equipment required to run the fitness project.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-217</td>
<td>Pacific Aotearoa Women’s Sport Incorporated</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards a set of rugby jerseys and shorts for the girls’ sports teams.</td>
<td>$1,955.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-221</td>
<td>Joshua Iosefo</td>
<td>Arts and culture</td>
<td>Towards airfares from Christchurch for the lead actress in the stage production “Old Daphane.”</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-226</td>
<td>South Harbour Business Association Incorporated.</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards the purchase of a Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) for the corner of Hastie Ave and Mahunga Drive harbour areas.</td>
<td>$845.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-228</td>
<td>Alcohol Healthwatch Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards costs associated with conducting a compliance audit of off-licence premise signage in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board area.</td>
<td>$1,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-229</td>
<td>New Zealand Tag 20 Silver Team</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards team uniforms for the Oceania Heritage Cup from 29 November to 2 December 2018.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-232</td>
<td>Manaui Media Limited</td>
<td>Arts and culture</td>
<td>Towards promotion, and advertising for the online publication Manaui: The People of Oceania.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-233</td>
<td>Parenting Place Charitable Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Toward the school presentation costs for the attitude programme.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-235</td>
<td>New Zealand View Incorporated</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards accessible transport subsidies for women who are blind or have low vision to attend a support group.</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-236</td>
<td>Mangere East Rugby League Football Club and Sports Incorporated</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards trophies and medals for the Mangere East Hawks Kiwi Tag Competition 2018/2019.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-237</td>
<td>Life Education Trust Counties Manukau</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards the Life Education Trust workbooks for schools and communities in the local board area.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-239</td>
<td>Tom Tai Malo</td>
<td>Sport and recreation</td>
<td>Towards venue hire and fitness instructor costs.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-240</td>
<td>Seed 2 Harvest Trust</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Towards stationary, and sports equipment. cost of the adolescent programme.</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QR1909-241</td>
<td>Apraxus Beauty Pageant</td>
<td>Arts and culture</td>
<td>Towards venue and stage hiring costs for the Miss Apraxus Beauty Pageant.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Cost | $40,250.00 |

**Horopaki / Context**

8. The local board allocates grants to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders and contribute to the vision of being a world class city.

9. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy supports each local board to adopt a grants programme.

10. The local board grants programme sets out:
   - local board priorities
   - lower priorities for funding
   - exclusions
   - grant types, the number of grant rounds and when these will open and close
   - any additional accountability requirements.
11. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board adopted their grants programme for 2018/2019 on 18 April 2018 and will operate three quick response and two local grants rounds for this financial year.

12. The community grant programmes have been extensively advertised through the council grants webpage, local board webpages, local board e-newsletters, Facebook pages, council publications, radio, community networks and workshops.

13. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has set a total community grants budget of $284,578 for the 2018/2019 financial year.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

14. The aim of the local board grant programme is to deliver projects and activities which align with the outcomes identified in the local board plan. All applications have been assessed utilising the Community Grants Policy and the local board grant programme criteria. The eligibility of each application is identified in the report recommendations.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

15. Local boards are responsible for the decision-making and allocation of local board community grants. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board is required to fund, part-fund or decline these grant applications against the local board priorities identified in the local board grant programme.

16. The board is requested to note that section 48 of the Community Grants Policy states "We will also provide feedback to unsuccessful grant applicants about why they have been declined, so they will know what they can do to increase their chances of success next time."

17. A summary of each application received through Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Quick Response Round Two is provided (see Attachment B).

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

18. The local board grants programme aims to respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to improving Maori wellbeing by providing grants to individuals and groups who deliver positive outcomes for Maori. Auckland Council’s Maori Responsiveness Unit has provided input and support towards the development of the community grant processes. Five organisations applying in this round has indicated their project targets Maori or Maori outcomes.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

19. The allocation of grants to community groups is within the adopted Long-Term Plan 2018-2028 and local board agreements.

20. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has set a total community grants budget of $284,578 for the 2018/2019 financial year. A total of $98,252 has been allocated in one local grant and multi-board round and quick response grant round one leaving a total of $186,326 to be allocated to two quick response rounds and one local grant and multi-board round for the 2018/2019 financial year.

In Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Quick Response Round One 2018/2019, 19 applications were received, requesting a total of $40,250.00.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

21. The allocation of grants occurs within the guidelines and criteria of the Community Grants Policy and the local board grants programme. The assessment process has identified a low risk associated with funding the applications in this round.
Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

22. Following the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board allocating funding for quick response round two, Commercial and Finance staff will notify the applicants of the local board’s decision.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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</tr>
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<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Community Grants Programme 2018/2019

Our Community Grants Programme aims to provide contestable community grants to local communities.

Outcomes sought from the local grants programme

Our grants programme will be targeted towards supporting the following outcomes, as outlined in our local board plan:

**A strong local economy**
- Improve skills training, increase employment opportunities for the local workforce especially Maori and Pacific youth

**Māngere-Ōtāhuhu is the heart of Maori and Pasifika arts and culture**
- Our diverse communities enjoy arts and cultural facilities that are vibrant, creative hubs for connecting, engaging and learning, and expressing identity
- Increase opportunities for Maori and Pasifika arts and cultural expression

**A place where environment and heritage are protected, enhanced and preserved**
- Manukau Harbour and its coastline is clean, improved and protected.
- Local heritage is protected, enhanced and recognised.
- Reduce waste by improving waste management practices in the local area.

**A well - connected area**
- Attractive, accessible and safe cycleways and walkways
- Safe, attractive and well-maintained streets for all

**Facilities to meet diverse needs**
- Collaboration and best use of local community assets and resources is encouraged.

**A place where communities thrive and belong**
- Increase the sense of safety in neighbourhoods and reduce harm from gambling, alcohol and synthetic drugs
- Increase opportunities for active living and community involvement and connectedness
- Young people are engaged and have a voice and contribute positively in local matters

**Higher priorities for grants**

Projects which demonstrate:
- Smoke free messages
- Zero waste practices
- Projects that promote alcohol and drug free messages and practices

**Lower Priorities:**

We will also consider applications for other services, projects, events and activities. However, these may be considered a lower priority.
- Commercial entities and promotion of commercial entities
• Ticketed events
• Activities that primarily benefit communities outside the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.
• Activities that primarily benefit a third party (e.g., activity to gain money for an organisation)
• Grants to support the purchase of, or maintenance associated with, motor vehicles
• Wages or operational costs
• Grants to support equipment or items for one-off events

The local board will not fund:
• Exclusion one: Applications for Liquor licenses
• Exclusion two: Core activities and tasks of business entities
• Exclusion three: Hiring of facilities for religious purposes
• Exclusion four: Applicants who have already had two successful grants applications within the current financial year. However, these applicants may be eligible to receive funding if they are;
  o collaborating with other groups
  o contributing significantly to the project

Investment approach
The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has allocated budgets to support the local grants programme as follows:

Quick Response Grants
• $2000 maximum amount per grant

Local Grants:
• Above $2000 per grant, two contestable rounds per annum

Event Grants
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board will, at their discretion, fund a few community events, projects or initiatives as part of regular calendar of events that align with the priorities of the local grants programme. Recipients are required to put in an application and provide accountability for the funding they have received in that financial year. Report on the progress they have made and demonstrate they are meeting any key performance indicators before funds will be released for the following year. E.g., applicants are to provide information on expected number of participants and final numbers who attended.

These grants will be allocated through the events work programme and will be administered by the relevant departments:

• Ōtāhuhu Family Fun Day
• Māngere East Cultural Festival
• St. Patrick’s Day
• World Diabetes Day
• Māngere Town Centre Arts Festival
• Māngere Bridge Food and Wine Festival
• Counties Manukau Sporting Excellence Awards
• Eye on Nature
• Ōtāhuhu Ethnic Food Festival
Application dates
Grant rounds for 1 July 2018 to 30 June 2019 will be as follows:

Quick Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 rounds</th>
<th>Grant opens</th>
<th>Grant closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>20 August 2018</td>
<td>14 September 2018</td>
<td>17 October 2018</td>
<td>1 November 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>9 October 2018</td>
<td>2 November 2018</td>
<td>12 December 2018</td>
<td>17 December 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round three</td>
<td>15 April 2019</td>
<td>10 May 2019</td>
<td>19 June 2019</td>
<td>1 July 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 rounds</th>
<th>Grant opens</th>
<th>Grant closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>25 June 2018</td>
<td>3 August 2018</td>
<td>19 September 2018</td>
<td>1 October 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round two</td>
<td>11 February 2019</td>
<td>22 March 2019</td>
<td>15 May 2019</td>
<td>1 June 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multi-board funding
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board will also consider funding multi-board grant applications in collaboration with other local boards. Applicants will need to clearly demonstrate how their intended project, event and/or activities will specifically benefit people and communities in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018/2019 Multi-board Grant rounds</th>
<th>Grant opens</th>
<th>Grant closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round one</td>
<td>18 June 2018</td>
<td>17 August 2018</td>
<td>17 October 2018</td>
<td>1 October 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accountability measures
The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board requires that all successful applicants to provide:
- success and outcomes of the project against local board outcomes and priorities
- photos and anecdotal narratives as supporting information
- Standard financial accountability, e.g. receipts and comparison of spending against budget.
- information on community contributions
- invite to events directly contributed to by the local board grant.
Request for extension to alcohol ban in Mangere Bridge

File No.: CP2018/22649

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To seek a decision on whether to extend the existing Māngere Bridge Town Centre and Kiwi Esplanade Reserve 24 hours seven days a week alcohol ban (existing 24/7 alcohol ban).

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. To enable a decision on whether to extend the existing 24/7 alcohol ban, staff have assessed the request against legislative criteria and have identified two options:
   - Option one: Status quo - no extension to existing 24/7 alcohol ban
   - Option two (Recommended): Extension - extend the existing 24/7 alcohol ban to include Coronation Road, Māngere Bridge boat ramp (wharf) and boardwalk to the southern end of Old Māngere Bridge. This area would exclude Old Māngere Bridge.

3. Staff recommend the local board adopt Option two: Extension. Taking this approach will assist the New Zealand Police (police) in addressing high levels of alcohol related disorder evidenced in areas not covered by the existing 24/7 alcohol ban.

4. If Option two is adopted, no new signs will be needed. Signs currently exist on Coronation Road, wharf and boardwalk. The police will be responsible for enforcement.

5. This assessment excludes the request to include Old Māngere Bridge because the bridge is to be formally closed for demolition on 25 November 2018. Work on a replacement bridge is scheduled to commence in early 2019 and expected to take more than two years to finish.

6. Staff also recommend that the map for the existing 24/7 alcohol ban be amended to correct errors identified during the processing of this request.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) adopt an extension to the existing 24 hours seven days a week alcohol ban at Māngere Bridge Village and Kiwi Esplanade Reserve as shown in Attachment A that:
   i) includes Coronation Road north of Waterfront Road Reserve, Māngere Bridge boat ramp (wharf) and boardwalk
   ii) excludes Old Māngere Bridge.

b) corrects errors to the alcohol ban map adopted in resolution MO/2015/157 to include parts of Kiwi Esplanade Reserve, Kiwi Esplanade road reserve, Waterfront Road Reserve carpark and the public toilet block.

Horopaki / Context

The police have requested an extension of an existing alcohol ban for Māngere Bridge

7. On 14 September 2018, the police requested an extension to the existing alcohol ban at Māngere Bridge Town Centre and Kiwi Esplanade Reserve (Attachment B).
8. The request is for the area of Coronation Road north of Waterfront Road Reserve (Coronation Road) to the northern Ōnehunga end of Old Māngere Bridge. This area includes the Māngere Bridge boat ramp (wharf) and boardwalk between Coronation Road and the southern end of Old Māngere Bridge.

9. The police request indicates that the problem area is mainly on Coronation Road where people are drinking in vehicles. The inclusion of the wharf, boardwalk and Old Māngere Bridge is to prevent displacement.

10. Alcohol ban signage has (possibly since 2015) been incorrectly installed on lamp posts and footpaths on Coronation Road, wharf and boardwalk. Police were enforcing the alcohol ban until the error was identified and resulted in this request. There is no alcohol ban signage on Old Māngere Bridge.

11. The existing alcohol ban at Māngere Bridge Town Centre and Kiwi Esplanade Reserve (Attachment C) applies 24 hours seven days a week (existing 24/7 alcohol ban). The existing 24/7 alcohol ban area ends on Coronation Road about 100 metres north of the public toilets on Waterfront Road Reserve. Coronation Road continues for about another 180 metres to the southern end of Old Māngere Bridge.

12. This assessment excludes the request to include Old Māngere Bridge because the bridge is to be formally closed for demolition on 25 November 2018. Work on a replacement bridge is scheduled to commence in early 2019 and expected to take more than two years to finish.

Alcohol bans prohibit alcohol, are adopted by local boards and enforced by the police

13. Alcohol bans prohibit the consumption or possession of alcohol in specified public places during specified times.

14. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has authority to adopt alcohol bans in its local board area under the Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 (GB/2014/121). This does not include the northern half of Old Māngere Bridge because it is located in the Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board area.

15. A Local Board decision to adopt an alcohol ban must meet Local Government Act 2002 and Auckland Council Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 criteria (Table 1 and Attachment D).

16. Police enforce alcohol bans using powers of search, seizure, arrest and $250 infringement fines. Police also have powers to address crime or disorderly conduct under the Summary Offences Act 1981 and Crimes Act 1961 whether or not alcohol is involved.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

Staff have assessed the request against assessment criteria

17. Staff have assessed the information provided in the request against the legislative and bylaw criteria in Attachment D. Table 1 provides a summary of this assessment.

Table 1: Summary assessment of alcohol ban request against statutory and bylaw criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Staff assessment</th>
<th>Criteria Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is there evidence of a high level of crime or disorder caused or made worse by alcohol consumed there?</td>
<td>The police provided evidence of a high level of disorder which is shown to have been linked to alcohol consumption on Coronation Road, wharf and boardwalk. Examples include litter, disorderly behaviour and smashed bottles.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the request appropriate in light of the evidence?</td>
<td>An alcohol ban would likely help reduce the level of alcohol related disorder, but not to a level that would be considered low or desirable to residents. Alternative solutions are being investigated which are complimentary, as opposed to a substitute, to an alcohol ban.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Staff assessment</th>
<th>Criteria Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the request proportionate in light of the evidence?</td>
<td>Extending the existing 24/7 alcohol ban on Coronation Road to the wharf and boardwalk is proportionate due to the high level of alcohol related disorder and because there are no discernable boundaries between the existing and requested areas.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the request a justifiable and reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms?</td>
<td>The limitation of rights or freedoms on people drinking on Coronation Road, wharf and boardwalk are justified, given: - the high level of alcohol-related disorder already evidenced in the area - the area is adjacent to an existing 24/7 alcohol ban with no discernible boundary between the two areas - general police and community support.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Staff have identified two options in response to the assessment**

18. Staff have identified the two options in response to the assessment. The options are compared in Table 2 below:

- Option one: Status quo - no extension to existing 24/7 alcohol ban (Attachment C)
- Option two (Recommended): Extension - extend the existing 24/7 alcohol ban to include Coronation Road, Māngere Bridge boat ramp (wharf) and boardwalk to the southern end of Old Māngere Bridge. This area would exclude Old Māngere Bridge (Attachment A).

**Table 2: Comparative assessment of options to alcohol ban request**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option one: Status quo</th>
<th>Option two: Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pros</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No further limitations on people rights and freedoms to consume alcohol responsibly in public places.</td>
<td>Existing alcohol ban extended to areas experiencing high levels of alcohol-related disorder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cons</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continued high level of disorder caused, or made worse, by people drinking alcohol. Fragmented enforcement and public confusion as only part of Coronation Road near Waterfront Road Reserve has an alcohol ban. Police only able to intervene on other parts of Coronation Road when the disorder reaches a criminal threshold. Cost to remove signage not covered by existing alcohol ban.</td>
<td>An alcohol ban alone is unlikely to reduce the level of alcohol related disorder to a level that would be considered low or desirable to residents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risks</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Council perceived to have allowed harm to occur and created public confusion and a fragmented police enforcement. <strong>Mitigation</strong> Public communication that the local board considered an extension of the existing 24/7 alcohol ban was not required.</td>
<td>Local Board perceived to have ignored risk of displacement onto Old Māngere Bridge. <strong>Mitigation</strong> Public communication that the bridge is to be formally closed for replacement on 25 November 2018 and will not reopen for more than two years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Staff recommend alcohol ban on Coronation Road, wharf and boardwalk

19. Staff recommend Option two: Extension (excludes Old Māngere Bridge) due to:
   - the high level of alcohol-related disorder on Coronation Road, wharf and boardwalk
   - the disorder being of a type that an alcohol ban would likely help reduce with increased police enforcement (although unlikely to levels that would be considered low or desirable to residents)
   - the area is adjacent to an existing 24/7 alcohol ban area and there is no discernible boundary between the existing and requested areas
   - the general police and community support for alcohol bans.

Staff recommend the map of the existing 24/7 alcohol ban be amended to correct errors

20. Staff recommend the map of the existing 24/7 alcohol ban be amended to correct errors identified during the processing of this request. These areas are shown in Attachment A and include parts of Kiwi Esplanade Reserve, Kiwi Esplanade road reserve, Waterfront Road Reserve carpark and toilet block.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

21. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has previously considered alcohol-related disorder in Māngere Bridge and retained the 24 hours seven days a week alcohol ban for Māngere Bridge Town Centre and Kiwi Esplanade Reserve in 2015 (resolution MO/2015/157).

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

22. Managing alcohol-related harm increases opportunities for health and wellbeing and is consistent with the Māori Plan for Tāmaki Makaurau. Iwi have been widely consulted on the use of alcohol bans in the past and have to date been supportive of their use.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

23. If Option two: Extension (excludes Old Māngere Bridge) is adopted, there is no cost of implementation. Alcohol signage already exists on Coronation Road, wharf and boardwalk. Police are responsible for enforcement. Auckland Council Community Facilities is responsible for maintenance of signs.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

24. There is a legal risk that Option two: Extension (exclude Old Māngere Bridge) could be perceived to ignore possible displacement on Old Māngere Bridge. This risk can be mitigated with public communication that the bridge is to be formally closed for replacement on 25 November 2018 and will not reopen for more than two years.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

25. If the local board adopts Option two: Extension (excludes Old Māngere Bridge), staff will notify the Police and Auckland Council Community Facilities and update the council website.
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Recent changes to the law mean that there has to be documented evidence that shows a high level of crime or disorder contributed to by alcohol consumption in that area before a new permanent alcohol ban can be made.

If you would like to request an alcohol ban for more than one area you will need to complete a separate form for each area.

Once you have completed this form please return it to the attention of the Social Policy and Bylaws unit, Level 21, Albert Street:

- Via email to enquiry@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
- In person at your nearest customer service centre
- Via post to Auckland Council, Social Policy and Bylaws Unit, Private Bag 92300, Victoria Street West, Auckland 1142

Section 1: Your details

We may need to contact you for further information so please provide a phone number or email address.

Title:  Mr. ☐  Mrs. ☐  Miss ☐  Ms. ☐  Dr. ☐

First name:  Constable Felix  Surname:  Ellis

Organisation (if applicable):  New Zealand Police

Postal address:

Email address:  fej48@police.govt.nz

Phone number:  0212209724

Declaration: (You must complete this declaration in order for your request to be considered.)

I declare that the following information provided in this form is a true and accurate account of alcohol related crime or disorder that I have experienced.

Signature:  

Date:  14/9/18
## Section 2: Your evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is the address, suburb, and name (if relevant) of the area where the alcohol related crime or disorder is occurring?</th>
<th>Mangere Bridge Wharf; some of this area is already marked on council maps as an alcohol ban area but unfortunately a significant part has been left off. This request is specifically in relation to the wharf/footbridge from the edge of the current ban area until the end of the footbridge on the Onehunga side. This area is subject to the same level of alcohol harm as the area currently zoned 'liquor ban'.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please provide a full description of the area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please draw in the box below (or attach separately) a map of the area where alcohol related crime or disorder is occurring.

![Map of Mangere Bridge Wharf area]

- X = Alcohol Harm
- Toilets
- Onehunga
- Mangere Bridge
Please record in the table below as many specific instances of alcohol related crime or disorder as you can.

In order for an alcohol ban request to be considered, it must be supported by site specific evidence clearly identifying how alcohol has caused or contributed to the crime and disorder experienced or observed.

Please update this log for one month, recording as much information as possible about the alcohol related crime and disorder you experience. (You may also use this form to record past instances, where details are known).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time incident started</th>
<th>Time incident finished</th>
<th>Please describe, in as much detail as possible, the alcohol related crime and disorder witnessed/experienced (i.e, drinking and noise/music, alcohol related litter)</th>
<th>How did drinking alcohol in the location contribute to the crime and/or disorder experienced?</th>
<th>Did you call or notify the police? (Y/N)</th>
<th>If yes, please provide details</th>
<th>Recorded by (name)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Police calls for service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time incident started</td>
<td>Time incident finished</td>
<td>Please describe, in as much detail as possible, the alcohol related crime and disorder witnessed/experienced (i.e. drinking and noise/music, alcohol related litter)</td>
<td>How did drinking alcohol in the location contribute to the crime and/or disorder experienced?</td>
<td>Did you call or notify the police? (Y/N) If yes, please provide details</td>
<td>Recorded by (name)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item 17
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time incident started</th>
<th>Time incident finished</th>
<th>Please describe, in as much detail as possible, the alcohol related crime and disorder witnessed/ experienced (i.e. drinking and noise/ music, alcohol related litter)</th>
<th>How did drinking alcohol in the location contribute to the crime and/or disorder experienced?</th>
<th>Did you call or notify the police? (Y/N)</th>
<th>If yes, please provide details</th>
<th>Recorded by (name)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Please see attached CPTED report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please continue on a separate sheet, if necessary.
Section 3: General comments

Are there any other measures that could be taken to help prevent the alcohol related crime or disorder you are witnessing/experiencing?
(e.g. more bins, better lighting, locking gates)

I understand the street lighting is adjustable. It is very dim at the moment which I imagine is also the reason not to have the glass in the windows. Because it makes the drivers susceptible and dangerous for pedestrians after dark. Particularly for those travelling alone, I would suggest more bollards opening at the same lighting level to prevent. Better street lighting would also be good for the fishermen further along the bridge as well as for people who cycle and walk.

Getting a gate that has an opening and closing system so if/when the gate is opened, it would stop people using it as a late night drinking location whilst allowing fishermen bring levels out of the water for ability to continue to use the ramp for its original purpose instead.

Are you aware of any community based initiatives in your local board area to reduce alcohol related crime or disorder?
(e.g. Maori and Pacific Wardens)

No. Reel benders are patrolled by the Constabulary to stop them crossing the railings to fish from. Other than that I've seen no other initiatives although anyone under the influence of alcohol have been climbing on the wharf.

Do you have any further comments to support this request?

It is also that the council to address some of the problem with alcohol use in this area. There is seen in the current state of the bridge area, I don't know why it was decided to step the bridge level area and yet stop and use a lower level area up until the last ramp behind it is. We are referring this matter to the planning and I ensure the ramp goes beyond the boards of the liquor side area.

Evidence shows clearly that alcohol beam is occurring regularly and profitably along the bridge until the last ramp itself where it most frequently. To this end please the liquor side area so it aligns with the entire area which.

Request for extension to alcohol ban in Mangere Bridge
Counties Manukau Police
District Prevention Unit

Problem Profile: Kiwi Esplanade / Mangere Bridge Boat Ramp

Introduction:

This document is sent to Auckland Transport and Auckland Council in regards to social and crime issues being encountered by Police and the public in the Mangere Bridge area – specifically on and near the Mangere Bridge Boat Ramp.
Counties Manukau Police have received a number of complaints from the public pertaining to anti-social activities occurring on the Mangere Bridge Boat Ramp, generally between Thursday evenings and Sunday mornings. Common factors include alcohol consumption, disorderly behaviour and excessive noise. Those persons responsible appear to be from outside of the Mangere Bridge area and are using the boat ramp as an assembly point to socialise.

Given the regular frequency of complaints from the area with regards to this anti-social activity, an analysis has been conducted to examine the frequency of calls for service received by Police. This analysis has found a large number of 111 calls have been made in relation to the activity at the Mangere Bridge Boat Ramp. Between 1 January 2017 and 22 June 2017, Police received 250 calls for service for incidents on or near the boat ramp that principally relate to disorder / breach of the public peace and liquor ban breaches.

Further analysis has been conducted over temporal dates of 23 June to 23 November 2017.

Over this period, Police received a total of 376 calls for service. These calls predominately relate to 1R- breach of the peace followed by 3985 – breach of liquor ban and 3530- disorder.

There are a large number of Mental Health reports, however they all relate to the same caller who appears to suffer from dementia so these calls have not been included in the review.

In total there have been 626 calls for service over the period mentioned has warranted a closer examination of the area. Based on this examination, a number of recommendations for environmental change are suggested within this document.

This closer examination had led to Police deploying to the boat ramp area to determine what activity is occurring there – it is not uncommon to locate large numbers of vehicles parked on and near the area with occupants consuming alcohol and behaving in an antisocial manner.

The report below is originally authored by [Redacted] with the addition of new data by [Redacted] of the District Prevention Unit. Depending on the direction given by Auckland Council / Auckland Transport, Police may be able to assist in gathering further information to enable positive environmental change that will reduce the calls for service made by the public in the area which would be indicative of a safer area for the community.
Any feedback can be sent to me as needed.

**Problem Profile: Disorder at Kiwi Esplanade.**

**Intelligence**

A Police event search was used for calls for service this year (01/01/17 - 22/06/17 and 23/06/17 – 23/11/17) within the defined boundary at the Mangere Bridge Boat Ramp. The red spots on the map images below are an approximate location in relation to the calls for service and the identified problem area for each call.

A large majority of these calls relate to breach of the peace, followed by disorder and breach of liquor ban – total calls 331 for an approximately 6 month period.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row Labels</th>
<th>Count of Type Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1R - Breach Of The Peace</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3530 - Disorder</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43M - Directed Patrol</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97T - Turnover</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3995 - Breach Of Local Council Liquor Ban</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C - Car/Person Acting Suspiciously</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1U - Traffic Offending</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N - Noise Control</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2P - Public Relations</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3I - Information</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Q - Enquiry/Investigation</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C - Crime Prevention Advice</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7610 - Bylaw Breaches</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 - Unauthorised Street And Drag Racing</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1K - Drunk Custody/Detox Centre</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3910 - Liquor Offences</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D - Domestic Dispute</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Row Labels:**
- 1R - Breach Of The Peace
- 3530 - Disorder
- 43M - Directed Patrol
- 97T - Turnover
- 3995 - Breach Of Local Council Liquor Ban
- 1C - Car/Person Acting Suspiciously
- 1U - Traffic Offending
- 1N - Noise Control
- 2P - Public Relations
- 3I - Information
- 4Q - Enquiry/Investigation
- 3C - Crime Prevention Advice
- 7610 - Bylaw Breaches
- 61 - Unauthorised Street And Drag Racing
- 1K - Drunk Custody/Detox Centre
- 3910 - Liquor Offences
- 1D - Domestic Dispute
A dip sample of the breach of the peace and disorder calls for service indicates majority relate to people gathering near the waterfront and playing loud music and drinking. These calls predominantly occur more during weekends between 2200-0200 hours.

23/06/2017 – 23/11/2017
## Attachment B

### Item 17

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row Labels</th>
<th>Count of Type Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1R - Breach Of The Peace</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3M - Directed Patrol</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M - Mental Health</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3T - Turnover</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - Information</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Q - Enquiry/Investigation</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C - Car/Person Acting Suspiciously</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2P - Public Relations</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3985 - Breach Of Local Council Liquor Ban</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - Blockage/Breakdown On Highway</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3530 - Disorder</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2W - Arrest Warrant (Other)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N - Noise Control</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F - Foot Patrol</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1U - Traffic Offending</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6I - Unauthorised Street And Drag Racing</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D - Domestic Dispute</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4120 - Burglary</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSEC - No Speech Emergency Call</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1710 - Intimidation/Threats</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3910 - Liquor Offences</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5210 - Endangering/Interfering</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4370 - General Theft</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**

Dip sample graph below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typicode</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1R - Breach Of The Peace</td>
<td>CORONADE ID MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td>BREACH OF LIQUE No signs PARKED ON THE OLD BRIDGE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3M - Directed Patrol</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1M - Mental Health</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3T - Turnover</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - Information</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Q - Enquiry/Investigation</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C - Car/Person Acting Suspiciously</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2P - Public Relations</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3985 - Breach Of Local Council Liquor Ban</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - Blockage/Breakdown On Highway</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3530 - Disorder</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2W - Arrest Warrant (Other)</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N - Noise Control</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3F - Foot Patrol</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1U - Traffic Offending</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6I - Unauthorised Street And Drag Racing</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1D - Domestic Dispute</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4120 - Burglary</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSEC - No Speech Emergency Call</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1710 - Intimidation/Threats</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3910 - Liquor Offences</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5210 - Endangering/Interfering</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4370 - General Theft</td>
<td>MANGERE BRIDGE AUCKLAND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The below graph is a combined total of calls of service across both time periods and the grand total as of 23/11/2017.
Physical Check of Environs

At 2150hrs and 0216hrs on the 31st of March 2017 and 2200hrs on the 1st of April 2017 Police from the District Prevention Unit walked through Kiwi Esplanade and made observations regarding the type of activities occurring in the area, the amenities and lighting present and the existing liquor ban signage.

As of 7th of November: Same two alcohol ban signs as before, up high and small. Possibly one new one in corner reserve at entry to car park.

No other signs. Two cameras halfway up wharf, unsure if active. Wharf had liquor bottles everywhere, the rubbish bin was full of bottles and mangroves were covered with bottles/cans/boxes. Also a significant amount of graffiti. This was pointed out to [redacted] who was going to take photos. Issue they have is they can only really use water because council don’t want chemicals leaching into waterway.

I have broken the problematic region into four main areas:
- Area A and Area B: The parks at the entrance to the bridge area road.
- Area C: The large park with the public toilets and boat storage.
- Area D: The bridge area and road (including the entrance from the Onehunga end).

**Overview of the area.**

Mangere Bridge is a waterfront community which is mostly residential, with a small town centre consisting of local businesses, food outlets and a bank.

There is a large park along the Mangere Bridge side of the water stretching from SH20/Crawford Avenue to Ambury Park at the western end of Kiwi Esplanade.

There is a public boat ramp and pedestrian bridge connecting Mangere and Onehunga.

This area is frequently used for fishing, both during the day and at night, and occasionally people sleeping in their vehicles overnight.

At the intersection of Coronation Road, Waterfront Road and Kiwi Esplanade are two small recreational areas. Area A is a field with large trees and car parking surrounding but no picnic tables. Area B has a small car park with picnic tables and some signage about the walkways in the area.

Further north along Coronation Road there is street parking, a large car park, public toilets and an enclosed area where boats are secured. This is noted as Area C.

The road ends in a cul de sac just prior to the pedestrian bridge commencing. This bridge is blocked by bollards at the Onehunga end to prevent vehicles crossing, but there are no major obstructions from the Mangere end.
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The Bridge Area and Road

The area along the road to the boat ramp is poorly lit with light poles on opposite sides of the road spaced at approx 50 metre intervals.

There is no lighting on the pedestrian bridge itself.

The road gives open access to the public boat ramp leading from Mangere. There is only foot and bike access from the Onehunga end. Bollards at the Onehunga end of the bridge prevent motor vehicle traffic crossing – there are no major bollards at the Mangere end but vehicle traffic is obstructed through design of the area.

There are only two signs indicating the area is a liquor ban. One sign was located on the ground lying in the grass at the gate leading to the car park at Area C, and the other sign was right at the top of a pole at Area A. Both signs are A4 in size. This are indicated in the opposite map by a star.

There are two security (cctv) cameras located half way along the Coronation Road between the bridge and the intersection with Kiwi Esplanade. There is no signage indicating the cameras are in operation or who is operating them.

As indicated by the liquor ban map obtained from the Auckland Council website the pedestrian bridge and the Northern half of the Coronation Road (between the bridge and Kiwi Esplanade) are not covered by the liquor ban.

There are public toilets in Area C but they are closed a night at varying times depending on the season. (Photo attached)
Figure 2 Auckland Council Liquor Ban Map – Red areas indicate liquor ban in place

**Area A – Park opposite the gas station.**

This area has no designated lighting, and is only lit by surrounding street lights. It is very dark at night, as large trees block most light.

There is street parking around the area.

There is one rubbish bin, and no picnic tables.

At the south-west corner of the area there is a liquor ban sign. This sign is about the size of an A4 piece of paper and is about 3-4 metres above the ground on a pole, so visibility of the sign is poor.

**Area B - The park at the entrance to the wharf area.**

This area has no designated lighting. The only lighting is from the surrounding street lights.

There are benches and rubbish bins, and a sign indicating local walks along the esplanade.

There were no visible liquor ban signs in this area.

The gates to the small car park are locked at night.

**Area C – Large field, carpark and boat parking.**

This area consists of a large car park and public toilets that are locked at night, and a secure enclosed area for rowing boats to be stored.

The area is only lit with street lights coming from Coronation Road. There is no designated lighting for this area, which is very dark at night.

At the time of visiting, Police identified one liquor ban sign which was on the ground covered in grass near the car park exit gate.
Area D – Boat ramp access and pedestrian bridge.

This area is part public road and part pedestrian bridge.

The road is the northern end of Coronation Road, and ends in a cul de sac. There is no parking at the end of the cul de sac but there is parking along the road side.

At the Mangere end of the pedestrian bridge there is little in the way of traffic stops, apart from a small box bollard and a kerb.

At the Onehunga end there are bollards in place to prevent vehicle access to the bridge, but allow pedestrian and cycle access.

The liquor ban does not cover the entire area, instead stopping at about level with the public toilets (as estimated from the map).

There are street lights along Coronation Road, but no lighting on the bridge itself.

There are two ‘wings’ on the bridge either side. One side was locked when Police attended, the other side was open and being used for nighttime recreational fishing. It is very difficult to see what is happening on the bridge itself.

INITIAL RECOMMENDATIONS

Area A and B.

- More lighting is required in this area.
- More liquor ban signs. The entry to the car park at Area B would be an ideal area for liquor ban signs and map as it is a picnic area with foot traffic and has a clear entry and exit point, pre-existing signage and amenities. The surrounding car parking spaces at Area A can have signs added or signs painted onto the road or footpath.
- Clear and/or thin some of the bushes and low hanging branches in both areas to remove dark places for drinkers to surreptitiously drink and dispose of rubbish.

Area C and D – the Bridge Area and Road.

- Consider restricting vehicle access to the boat ramp area overnight.
- More liquor ban signs. These signs need to be larger and more visible upon entry to the area and within the area. Consideration needs to be taken into how people enter the area – pedestrians see the signs differently to drivers and both viewpoints would need to be accounted for.
- These signs will need to be placed at the entrance from the Onehunga end as well.
- Is it possible to have the liquor ban sign painted on the footpath/roadway and bridge as well?
- Have an associated map of the liquor ban area with the signs (at entrances) so people can understand the total area encompassed.
- Identify that the area is under surveillance.
- Lighting along the road and bridge needs to be improved.
- Consider lighting the big field in Area C, particularly around the toilets, boat storage and along the roadside (facing into the park).

General.

- The liquor ban area needs to be extended to cover the entire bridge from the Onehunga entrance to the intersection of Kiwi Esplanade, Coronation Road and Waterfront Road.
- The map needs to be updated on the council website.

**Short Term**

- Liquor ban signage needs to be increased.
- Utilise social media and usual media outlets to advertise that the area is a liquor ban area so the public are duly informed.
- Increase of community patrols.

**Interim**

- Barrier arm to be installed / considered. **Response from Auckland Transport:** 

---
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Figure 3 Pedestrian bridge entrance from Mangere Bridge end.

Figure 4 Pedestrian Bridge.
Figure 5 Looking north towards the start of the pedestrian bridge.

Figure 6 Public toilet signage.
Figure 7 Area C showing boat storage.
Figure B Area C.
Figure 9 Liquor ban signage at car park exit at Area C.
Figure 10 Picnic table present in Area B.
Request for extension to alcohol ban in Mangere Bridge
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Figure 11 entrance to Area B.
Request for extension to alcohol ban in Mangere Bridge
Figure 13 Alcohol Ban sign entrance to Area B.
Figure 14 view of Mangere Bridge Wharf North to Onehunga.
Figure 15 view of Mangere Bridge Wharf North to Onehunga
Figure 16 Intersection of Waterfront and Coronation Road showing an alcohol ban sign south towards Mangere Bridge town centre.
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Figure 17 alcohol ban sign at Area A.
New clear way signs and alcohol signs as at 27/06/18
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Figure 20
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Figure 21
Figure 22 Clear way sign does not exclude cars with boat trailers as recommended.
Request for extension to alcohol ban in Mangere Bridge
### Attachment D: Assessment of alcohol ban request

**Request:** Permanent 24/7 alcohol ban extension at Māngere Bridge Wharf

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Explanation of evidence criteria</th>
<th>Assessment of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Is there evidence of crime at the location? OR | Crime includes infringement notice, arrest or conviction records of any offences where a person can be proceeded against⁷, for example:  
   - offences against public order (disorder)²  
   - offences against persons or property³  
   - loitering and trespass⁴  
   - offences resembling nuisance⁵  
   - litter⁶  
   - excessive noise⁷. | No information provided. The police information relates to service calls as opposed to infringement notices, arrest or conviction data. |
| 2. Is there evidence of disorder at the location? AND | Disorder means “the breakdown of peaceful and law-abiding public behaviour⁸, for example:  
   - witness accounts of violence, dangerous driving, offensive or threatening behaviour  
   - photos of litter, damage or vandalism. | The police consider that service calls for breach of the peace, breach of an alcohol ban and disorder are often caused or made worse by alcohol.  
   - The police received 242 calls for service in the 11 months to 23 November 2017 in relation to the break of the peace. |

---

1. Section 5 of the Crimes Act 1961
2. Summary Offences Act 1981, including disorderly behaviour, offensive behaviour or language, disorderly assembly, and fighting in a public place
3. Section 32 of the Summary Offences Act 1981
4. Section 29 of the Summary Offences Act 1981
5. Section 32 of the Summary Offences Act 1981
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Explanation of evidence criteria</th>
<th>Assessment of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3. Is there evidence that this is caused or made worse by drinking alcohol there AND | Evidence crime or disorder is associated with alcohol may include:  
- witness accounts that offenders were drinking at the location before or at the time of the disorder  
- photos of alcohol containers at the location. **Discount any incidents not directly linked to alcohol.** | breach of peace, disorder and breach of alcohol bans.  
- A breakdown of 52 of those service calls identified 40 (73 per cent) that specifically mention disorder such as loud music, punching and kicking over rubbish bins, rowdy people, smashed bottles, car burnouts, loud yelling and swearing.  
- Of 52 service calls identified above, 12 (23 per cent) specifically mention alcohol consumption resulting in loud music, rowdy people, smashed bottles, car burnouts, loud yelling and swearing. | ✓ |
| 4. Is there evidence of a high level of crime or disorder caused or made worse by drinking alcohol there? | Assess using the Crime or Disorder Categories in Appendix 1 and the Evidence Assessment Matrixes in Appendix 2. Evidence falling in the orange or red areas are considered high or very high level. | ✓ |

**Is there evidence of a high level of crime or disorder at the location caused or made worse by alcohol consumed at the location?**  
The police provided evidence of a high level of disorder which is shown to have been linked to alcohol consumption on Coronation Road, the wharf and boardwalk. Examples include litter, disorderly behaviour and smashed bottles.  

✓
### Criteria

5. Are incidents of a type that would likely be prevented by an alcohol ban? **AND**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Explanation of appropriateness criteria</th>
<th>Assessment of appropriateness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This could include the types of incidents, for example:</td>
<td>• sex in cars, drug use or dealing is likely to continue without alcohol</td>
<td>• Incidents identified by the police are of a type that could be prevented by an alcohol ban. The police have indicated that people drink in vehicles causing litter and excessive noise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• alcohol-related litter, public urination, excessive noise and perceived threats are more likely to be prevented</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or the reasons why the location is used, for example:</td>
<td>• incidents relating to parties at a private residence next to the location are likely to continue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• incidents relating to groups arriving specifically to drink in that location are more likely to be prevented</td>
<td>• incidents relating to homeless or rough sleepers may result in displacement to more isolated locations and further harm.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Will enforcement of the alcohol ban likely prevent the crime or disorder? **AND**

<p>| This includes consideration of the nature of the offenders and any indication of likely police enforcement, for example: | • signage and publicity can have a preventative effect for otherwise law-abiding members of the public | • Alcohol ban signage is currently installed on Coronation Road, wharf and boardwalk and has been enforced by the police as an alcohol ban area. |
| • alcohol bans are less effective if police are only able to respond to incidents of crime and disorder after they occur. They already have powers to address those incidents | • despite this, high levels of alcohol-related disorder continue to occur. This has been due to a lack of police resourcing in patrolling the area during peak times when drinking occurs. |
| • alcohol bans may be effective where police indicate they will patrol the location for a period after the alcohol ban is adopted to change behaviours | • an alcohol ban does however provide police with an additional regulatory tool to prevent or address alcohol-related disorder when resources are available. |
| • alcohol bans are most effective where police patrol the location on an on-going basis. | • the absence of an alcohol ban (and removal of signage) could also result in fragmented enforcement and public confusion as only part of Coronation Road near Waterfront Road Reserve would have an alcohol ban. Police are only able to intervene on other parts of Coronation Road when the disorder reaches a criminal threshold. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Explanation of appropriateness criteria</th>
<th>Assessment of appropriateness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7. Is the cost of implementation justified by the likely effect? AND | Consider:  
- what the cost of signage will be  
- how this compares with the likely effect of the alcohol ban | There is no cost for alcohol ban signs on Coronation Road, the wharf or boardwalk because signage already exists (possibly since late 2015). |
| 8. Is an alcohol ban a better option than any alternative solution? | Consider:  
- what has been tried prior to the request and was it effective (unlikely given a request has been made)?  
- does the request make any suggestions, how would it be implemented, and would it be more effective?  
- could a Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design assessment (CPTED) by Community Facilities provide suggestions? If an alternative may be better than an alcohol ban, consider an option to investigate alternatives before making a decision on the request. If an alternative may be complementary to an alcohol ban, consider an option to investigate alternatives in addition to adopting an alcohol ban. | The police, in response to the high level of disorder, undertook a CPTED assessment in 31 March 2017.  
- That assessment made recommendations around street lighting, trimming vegetation, restricting vehicular access to the boat ramp area overnight, adding surveillance signage, social media awareness campaign and increased community patrols.  
- Correspondence indicates that police are in discussion with Auckland Transport on these recommendations.  
- Clearway signage was also installed in June 2018.  
- The need to extend the current alcohol ban to at least include the areas already signposted was also identified around the time of the CPTED assessment.  
- These solutions are complementary (as opposed to viable alternatives) to an alcohol ban. |
### Criteria: Is the request appropriate in light of the evidence?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Explanation of appropriateness criteria</th>
<th>Assessment of appropriateness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An alcohol ban would likely help reduce the level of alcohol related disorder, but not to a level that would be considered low or desirable to residents. Alternative solutions are being investigated which are complimentary (as opposed to an alternative) to an alcohol ban.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Criteria: 9. Is the area requested proportionate in light of the evidence?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consider:</th>
<th>Assessment of proportionate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whether boundaries are clearly defined</td>
<td>There is currently an existing 24/7 alcohol ban on part of Coronation Road near Waterfront Road Reserve. The police are seeking to extend this to the end of Coronation Road and to the wharf and boardwalk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The likelihood of displacement to other locations</td>
<td>Evidence of disorder is almost exclusively on Coronation Road from people drinking in their vehicles. This is despite the road already being signposted as an alcohol ban area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How long a ban should be in place (for example, recurring temporary alcohol bans).</td>
<td>Any potential displacement from Coronation Road will most likely extend to the wharf and boardwalk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**If the area is considered disproportionate, consider an option that is proportionate.**

### Criteria: 10. Is the duration requested proportionate in light of the evidence?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consider:</th>
<th>Assessment of proportionate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The times when drinking started (this will be earlier than when the problems occurred)</td>
<td>While the evidence suggests problems are confined to Thursday evenings and Sunday mornings, adopting a 24/7 alcohol ban is considered proportionate because:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The standard time-frames for an alcohol ban for regional consistency (refer clause 7(2)(i) of the Bylaw)</td>
<td>- The adjoining area has a 24/7 alcohol ban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For temporary alcohol bans for events, any set-up and pack-down periods, and whether they should be recurring or one-off</td>
<td>- There are no discernable boundaries on the ground, meaning applying a different time could cause confusion for the public and police making the alcohol ban less effective.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**If the duration is considered disproportionate, consider an option that is proportionate.** Consider the Alcohol Control Bylaw 2014 standard timeframes.
### Request for extension to alcohol ban in Mangere Bridge
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Explanation of proportionate criteria</th>
<th>Assessment of proportionate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is the request proportionate in light of the evidence?</strong></td>
<td>Extending the existing 24/7 alcohol ban on Coronation Road to the wharf and boardwalk is proportionate due to the high level of alcohol-related disorder and because there is no discernible boundary between the two areas.</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Explanation of justified criteria</th>
<th>Assessment of justified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 11. Is there sufficient information to make a decision having regard to the significance of the decision? | Consider:  
- whether council has enough information on community views – i.e. what are the views of Police, Māori, owners/occupiers of the area be affected, other users of the area (e.g. New Zealand motor caravan association)  
- whether council has sufficient information on alternatives. |  
- Police support the request.  
- Iwi and Māori health advocacy organisations have previously expressed general support for the use of alcohol bans to reduce alcohol-related harm to the community and the environment.  
- Strong community support in 2015 in favour of retaining current alcohol bans in the area.  
- Recent media coverage (2018) includes articles which indicate strong community support for enforcement. | ✓ |
| 12. Will the benefits of the alcohol ban outweigh the loss of freedom to those who use the space? Examples: | Will the benefits of the alcohol ban outweigh the loss of freedom to those who use the space? Examples:  
- A request for a street or carpark is more easily justified than a reserve by the beach - intended for recreation such as gatherings and picnics where people may choose to drink alcohol.  
- A request with evidence of very high levels of crime or disorder is more easily justified.  
- An alcohol ban that is likely to be effective at reducing harm is more easily justified.  
- A request supported by Police, Māori, and owners/occupiers of area more easily justified. | The request prevents responsible alcohol consumption.  
- This limitation is justified on Coronation Road, wharf and boardwalk, given:  
  - the high level of disorder already evidenced in the area  
  - the area is adjacent to an existing 24/7 alcohol ban with no discernible boundary between the two areas  
  - general police and community support. | ✓ |

---

9 Significance is determined in council’s [significance and engagement policy](#) and guides whether the decision-maker thinks it has sufficient information to make a decision and whether to consult the public. For alcohol ban decisions, there is no express requirement to consult the public. Consultation for individual requests may be appropriate where general public support is unclear (for example, a 24/7 alcohol ban on a beach in a local board area that currently has no beach alcohol bans).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Explanation of justified criteria</th>
<th>Assessment of justified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Is the request a justifiable and reasonable limitation on people’s rights and freedoms? | The limitation of rights or freedoms on people drinking on Coronation Road, wharf and boardwalk are justified, given:  
- the high level of alcohol-related disorder already evidenced in the area  
- the area is adjacent to an existing 24/7 alcohol ban with no discernible boundary between the two areas  
- general police and community support. | ✓ |
## Appendix 1 Crime or disorder categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuisance</th>
<th>Perceived threat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May include:</td>
<td>When a person or groups of people drinking behave in a threatening and/or abusive manner towards each other. Residents may have a perceived fear of safety resulting in an unwillingness to use public spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• alcohol related litter</td>
<td>May include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• broken alcohol bottles</td>
<td>• verbal threats of physical violence (directed towards other people in the group who are drinking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• excessive noise related to the consumption of alcohol in the area</td>
<td>• offensive and/or aggressive behaviour (directed towards other people in the group who are drinking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• disorder (including property damage, public urination) resulting from drinking alcohol in the area</td>
<td>• intimidation (directed towards other people in the group who are drinking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• abusive language (directed towards other people in the group who are drinking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• threatening actions (directed towards other people in the group who are drinking)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual threat</th>
<th>Physical harm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When a person or groups of people drinking behave in a threatening and/or abusive manner towards members of the public outside of the drinking group.</td>
<td>Where people experience actual physical harm. This could be a member of the drinking group (including cases of self-harm), or members of the public outside of the drinking group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May include:</td>
<td>May include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• verbal threats of physical violence (directed towards members of the public outside of the drinking group)</td>
<td>• fighting, street brawls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• offensive and/or aggressive behaviour (directed towards members of the public outside of the drinking group)</td>
<td>• personal injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• intimidation (directed towards members of the public outside of the drinking group)</td>
<td>• assault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• abusive language (directed towards members of the public outside of the drinking group)</td>
<td>Extreme examples include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• threatening actions (directed towards members of the public outside of the drinking group)</td>
<td>• Sexual violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Assault with a deadly weapon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Murder, manslaughter, attempted murder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2 Evidence Assessment Matrixes

### Permanent Alcohol Bans Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>One-off</th>
<th>Occasionally (monthly)</th>
<th>Public holidays</th>
<th>Frequently (weekly)</th>
<th>Daily</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nuisance</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived threat</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual threat</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical harm</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Temporary Alcohol Bans Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>0-5 incidents</th>
<th>5-10 Incidents</th>
<th>10-15 Incidents</th>
<th>10-25 Incidents</th>
<th>25+ Incidents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nuisance</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived threat</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual threat</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical harm</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**
- Evidence in **green** areas are low or moderate levels of alcohol-related crime or disorder
- Evidence in **orange** areas are high levels of alcohol-related crime or disorder
- Evidence in **red** areas are very high levels of alcohol-related crime or disorder
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To update the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board on Panuku Development Auckland (Panuku) activities within the local board area for the six months from 1 May to 31 October 2018.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Panuku was established in September 2015 by the merger of two council controlled organisations, Waterfront Auckland and Auckland Council Property Limited.
3. Panuku helps to rejuvenate parts of Auckland, from small projects that refresh a site or building, to major transformations of town centres or neighbourhoods.
4. Panuku manages around $2 billion of council’s property portfolio; which is continuously reviewed to find smart ways to generate income for the region, grow the portfolio, or release land or property that can be better used by others.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) receive the Panuku Development Auckland Local Board update for 1 May to 31 October 2018.

Ngā Mahi ā-Hapori / Local Activities
Portfolio Management
5. Panuku manages ‘non-service’ properties owned by the council and Auckland Transport (AT). Non-service properties are those that are not currently needed for service or infrastructure purposes. These properties were generally being held for planned future projects that are no longer required, such as road construction, park expansion or development of future town centres.
6. As at 30 June 2018, the property portfolio comprises 1437 properties, containing 1119 leases. The current portfolio includes vacant land, industrial buildings, warehouses, retail shops, cafes, offices, medical centres, and a large portfolio of residential rental homes.
7. The return on the property portfolio for the period ending 30 June 2018 was above budget, with a net surplus to council and AT shareholders of $3.9 million ahead of budget.
8. The average monthly tenantable occupancy rate for the six-month period is more than 98 per cent, which is above the statement of intent target of 95 per cent.

Properties managed in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Area
9. Panuku currently manages 18 commercial and 12 residential interests within the local board area.

Business interests
10. Panuku also manages the commercial return from business interests on the council’s behalf. This includes two forestry enterprises, two landfills and four quarries.
11. There are currently no managed business interests in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu local board area.

**Portfolio strategy**

**Optimisation**

12. Optimisation is a self-funding development approach targeting sub-optimal service assets approved in 2015. The process is a tri-party agreement between Community Facilities, Panuku and local boards and is led by Panuku. It is designed to equal or enhance levels of service to the local community in a reconfigured form while delivering on strategic outcomes such as housing or urban regeneration with no impact on existing rate assumptions.

13. Using optimisation, underperforming assets will have increased utility and efficiency, with lower maintenance costs, operating costs as well as improved service delivery benefiting from co-location of other complimentary services or commercial activities. Optimisation will free up a vast range of undercapitalised development opportunities such as air space, full sites, or part sites and in many cases will result in intensification of land use, particularly residential, supporting Auckland Plan implementation.

14. Local boards are allocated decision making for the disposal of local service property and reinvestment of sale proceeds in accordance with the service property optimisation approach.

**Portfolio review and rationalisation**

**Overview**

15. Panuku is required to undertake ongoing rationalisation of the council’s non-service assets. This includes identifying properties from within the council’s portfolio that may be suitable for potential sale and development if appropriate. Panuku has a focus on achieving housing and urban regeneration outcomes. Identifying potential sale properties contributes to the Auckland Plan focus of accommodating the significant growth projected for the region over the coming decades, by providing the council with an efficient use of capital and prioritisation of funds to achieve its activities and projects.

**Performance**

16. Panuku works closely with Auckland Council and Auckland Transport to identify potential surplus properties to help achieve disposal targets.

17. **Target for July 2017 to June 2018:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio review</td>
<td>$60 million disposal recommendations</td>
<td>$88 million as at 30 June 2018 (includes $62 million from the Papatoetoe, Avondale and Panmure priority locations)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. **Target for July 2018 to June 2019:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio review</td>
<td>$30 million disposal recommendations</td>
<td>$4.8 million as at 31 October 2018.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Process**

19. Once identified as no longer delivering the council service use for which it was acquired, a property is taken through a multi-stage rationalisation process. The agreed process includes engagement with council departments and CCOs, the local board and mana whenua. This is followed by Panuku board approval, engagement with the local ward councillors and the Independent Māori Statutory Board and finally, a Governing Body decision.

**Under review**

20. There are no properties currently under review in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

**Acquisitions and disposals**

21. Panuku manages the acquisition and disposal of property on behalf of Auckland Council. Panuku purchases property for development, roads, infrastructure projects and other services. These properties may be sold with or without contractual requirements for development.

**Acquisitions**

22. Panuku does not decide which properties to buy in a local board area. Instead, it is asked to negotiate the terms and conditions of a purchase on behalf of the council.

23. Panuku purchased 12 properties for open space across Auckland in the last financial year at a cost of $27 million and bought eight properties for storm water use at a value of $4.9 million.

24. Panuku purchased 8 properties for open space across Auckland in the 2018-19 financial year at a cost of $33 million and bought one property for storm water use at a value of $188,000.

25. No properties were purchased in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area during the reporting period.

**Disposals**

26. The disposals team has sold 6 properties, realising $31.8 million of unconditional net sales proceeds, in the current financial year. The Panuku 2018/19 disposals target is $24 million for the year. The disposals target is agreed with the council and is reviewed on an annual basis. The disposals team achieved $15.06 million of net sale proceeds in 2017/18.

27. No properties were sold in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

**Housing for Older People**

28. The council owns 1412 units located in 62 villages across Auckland, which provide rental housing to low income older people in Auckland.

29. The Housing for Older People (HfOP) project involved the council partnering with a third-party organisation, The Selwyn Foundation, to deliver social rental housing services for older people across Auckland.

30. The joint venture business, named Haumaru Housing, took over the tenancy, facilities and asset management of the portfolio, under a long-term lease arrangement from 1 July 2017.

31. Haumaru Housing was granted community housing provider (CHP) status in April 2017. Having CHP registration enables Haumaru to access the government’s Income Related Rent Subsidy (IRRS) scheme.

32. Auckland Council has delegated Panuku to lead a new multi-year residential development programme.
33. The first new development project is a 40-unit apartment building on the former Wilsher Village site on 33 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson. Once completed in mid-2019, this development will increase the council’s portfolio to 1452 units.

34. The following HFOP villages are located within the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Number of units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Court</td>
<td>7 Coronation Road, Mangere Bridge</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Town Village</td>
<td>23 Court Town Close, Mangere</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambie Court Village</td>
<td>11 Yates Road, Mangere East</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topping Court</td>
<td>13a Ashley Avenue, Mangere East</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā Mahi ā-Rohe / Regional Activities

35. Over the year, Panuku achieved key project milestones and performance results in our priority development locations. Panuku categorises three types of priority locations:

- **Transform locations** – Panuku ‘transforms’ locations by creating change through urban regeneration. Panuku leads the transformation of select parts of the Auckland region working alongside others and using the custodianship of land and planning expertise. The catalytic work Waterfront Auckland led at Wynyard Quarter is a great example of the transformation of urban locations

- **Unlock locations** – Panuku ‘unlocks’ development potential for others. By acting as a facilitator; using relationships to break down barriers and influence others, including the council family, to create development opportunities

- **Support locations** – Panuku plays a ‘support’ role to ensure council is making the most of what it already has. Intensification is a key driver in the Auckland Plan. Panuku will support housing demands by enabling development of council-owned land.

Transform locations

36. The **Wynyard Quarter** is undergoing rapid change both commercially and residentially, with thousands of Aucklanders using this space every week.

37. Panuku has partnered with Willis Bond to deliver a total of 500 homes in Wynyard Quarter over several stages, the first of which – Wynyard Central Pavilions – is now complete. This first stage of the new precinct offers a mix of 113 residencies comprising 25 free-stranding pavilions, 8 townhouses and 80 apartments with retail space on the ground floor. Willis Bond is also leading the delivery of 51 apartments at 132 Halsey, which is expected to be complete in Spring 2018. At the same time, it is anticipated that works will begin on the second stage of Willis Bond’s residential development at 30 Madden Street with the construction of 90 apartments and six townhouses as well as ground floor retail. This residential construction is due to be completed by the end of 2020.

38. Precinct are due to commence construction on their next commercial building at 10 Madden Street in Spring 2018, with an anticipated completion date towards the end of 2020. This seven-level building will provide approximately 8,500m² of commercial space including ground floor retail.

39. The east-west connection between Halsey and Daldy Streets, Tiramarama Way, was completed in June of this year, with the street opening on Friday 29 June 2018 receiving much positive feedback.
40. Transform Manukau covers over 600 hectares and is the largest of the Panuku priority locations. The Auckland Plan sees Manukau as the commercial centre of southern Auckland, but the significant investment in transport and community amenities has not been matched by intensification of the adjacent land to provide more homes and jobs. The area contains over 6 hectares of undeveloped council land in the town centre that is suitable for residential and commercial development. There is also significant Crown land held by both HNZC and the Counties Manukau DHB that can provide significant additional housing.

41. Panuku is focussing on taking the development sites to market to test the appetite for private sector investment. Currently there is a 300 home development on Barrowcliffe Place already underway. We are also focussing on public realm projects that will enhance the overall environment and liveability of the area. Panuku is working closely with The Southern Initiative and ATEED to develop integrated actions to benefit the local community.

42. The Framework Plan to guide the Onehunga transformation on a similar scale to Wynyard Quarter and Manukau was approved in May 2018. The plan was completed involving significant consultation with the community. Panuku is leading the redevelopment of strategic council-owned land, and works in partnership with government and others, to deliver positive outcomes for the local community. The East-West link and proposed light rail, which affects the wharf and southern parts of the area, is currently being reassessed by the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA). Panuku is expecting amended plans later this year. Further refinement of the Framework Plan will occur once this can be reviewed. Working with the local board and key stakeholders, Panuku has advanced plans on the town centre and the Onehunga wharf precinct where possible.

**Unlock locations**

43. In the unlock Takapuna project, results from recent consultation and independent representative survey have shown support for a new town square and revitalisation on the central Takapuna car park 40 Anzac Street. The project led by Panuku will now progress with a town square between Hurstmere Road and Lake Road.

44. In Northcote, we are continuing to build on the urban regeneration concepts outlined in the November 2016 Framework Plan and have progressed our engagement and co-design with HLC for the Awataha Greenway project and other key projects. The information kiosk continues to provide a ‘shop front’ for the community to walk in and ask any questions. With the 2018 LTP signed off by Council in late-June, Panuku is now able to commence implementation of the first-year’s projects including the Greenslade Reserve stormwater detention project.

45. At the Airfields, Hobsonville Point, six of the seven super-lots have now settled with AV Jennings with the remaining super-lot due for settlement October 2018. Forty-two housing units have been completed to the end of June 2018. In stage 2, the development by Avanda will result in 510 dwellings. The tier 1 roads which are Wallace Road, Waka Moana Drive and Commanders Avenue are progressing well and are on target for practical completion December 2018. The first housing development is anticipated to start in December 2018.

46. The council’s Planning Committee approved the over-arching plans to redevelop Old Papatoetoe in June. Construction on the Mall has been completed and we are now focussed on leasing the remaining tenancies. The supermarket construction is progressing, however we have been advised that this is unlikely to be completed by Christmas. We are working closely with Foodstuffs on the new plaza space. The Panuku Board has now approved the Programme Business Case which details how new housing in the town centre will be enabled. The temporary food hub proposal for the old netball clubrooms is progressing well.
47. The overall plan for **Henderson** was approved in May 2017 by Governing Body. The 2018-2021 Unlock Henderson work programme was endorsed by the local board and approved by the Panuku board in June 2018. The vision is for Henderson is for it to grow into an urban eco-centre. This vision will guide planning and development with an outcome towards 'liveable growth' by creating a safe, attractive and vibrant mixed-use environment with a uniquely west Auckland identity.

48. The opportunity to revitalise **Avondale** has been given the green light in November 2017 with the approval of the over-arching plan for its regeneration by the Planning Committee. The vision for Avondale will be enabled through a number of key moves. Panuku will work closely with the local board and community to implement a retail strategy that attracts new businesses, increasing diversity of products and services. The train station, upgraded bus network and new cycle ways offer great transport options and we will continue to strengthen connections between these activity hubs and the town. A focus for the regeneration of Avondale is working with developers to build quality residential neighbourhoods that offer a mix of housing types, including terraces and apartments. A number of significant developments are already underway in the area.

49. Located within the Tāmaki Transformation area, **Panmure** town centre is well-located with excellent public transport links to the wider Auckland area. Panmure was chosen as a location for regeneration due to large areas of underused, council-owned land in the town centre that represent significant redevelopment opportunities. The project area covers 43ha and encompasses land owned by council, TRC, the Crown and Auckland Transport (AT), who Panuku will work in partnership with to facilitate the staged transition of sites for development.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views**

50. This report is for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board’s information.

51. Panuku requests that all feedback and/or queries you have relating to a property in your Local board area be directed in the first instance to localboard@developmentauckland.co.nz

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

52. Tāmaki Makaurau has the highest Māori population in the world with one in four Māori in Aotearoa living here.

53. Māori make up 12% of the region's total population who mainly live in Manurewa, Henderson-Massey, Papakura, Ōtara-Papatoetoe, Māngere-Ōtahuhu and Franklin. Māori have a youthful demographic with 50% of Māori in Tāmaki Makaurau under the age of 25 years. 5% of the Māori population in the region are currently 65 years and over.

54. There are 19 Mana Whenua in the region, with 13 having indicated an interest in Panuku lead activities within the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

55. Māori make up 16 percent of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board population, and there are eight marae located within the local board area.

56. Panuku work collaboratively with Mana Whenua on a range projects including potential property disposals, development sites in the area and commercial opportunities. Engagement can be on specific individual properties and projects at an operational level with kaitiaki representatives, or with the Panuku Mana Whenua Governance Forum who have a broader mandate.
57. Panuku will continue to partner with Māori on opportunities which enhance Māori social and economic wellbeing.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**
There are no attachments for this report.
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<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Helga Sonier - Senior Engagement Advisor, Panuku Development Auckland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-ReX - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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Annual Budget 2019/2020 consultation

File No.: CP2018/23820

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To agree a local engagement event and adopt local content and supporting information for consultation as part of the Annual Budget 2019/2020 process.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. Auckland Council is required to have a local board agreement (as agreed between the Governing Body and the relevant local board) for each local board area for each financial year. The local board agreement will be included in the Council’s Annual Budget 2019/2020.

3. Consultation on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 will take place from 17 February – 17 March 2019. Local boards will be consulting on their areas of focus for their 2019/2020 local board agreement.

4. In December, the Governing Body will consider whether to consult on a proposal to transfer legal ownership of waterfront land and related assets to the council parent. If the Governing Body decides to consult on that proposal, the consultation would take place at the same time as the consultation on the Annual Budget 2019/2020. As a result, the consultation on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 would require the use of the special consultative procedure.

5. There will also be concurrent consultation on the Auckland Water Strategy discussion document. A report will be going to the Environment and Community Committee on 4 December 2018 to approve the discussion document for public consultation.

6. This report seeks agreement from local boards on the Have Your Say event that will be held in their local board area during the consultation period, to give Aucklanders an opportunity to provide face-to-face feedback. It also seeks approval of their local content and supporting information for consultation.

7. The Governing Body and local boards will agree regional and local items respectively for consultation by December 13. The regional and local consultation items will then be incorporated into the annual budget consultation document and supporting information, which will be approved by the Governing Body on 13 February 2019.

8. The consultation and deliberations will inform the drafting of a local board agreement which will need to be approved by the local board in early June 2019. This report also recommends an additional local board business meeting on 5th June 2019 to be agreed by the local board so that it can be included in the schedule of public meetings for 2019.

9. The local board will continue its policy enabling adults to use the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu swimming pools without charge. The targeted rate for the 2019/2020 year is estimated at $32.71 per local household, a slight increase on the current year’s targeted rate of $31.94.
Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) agree, subject to approval by the Governing Body, to hold the following Have Your Say event in the local board area during the Annual Budget 2019/2020 consultation period:
   - Ōtāhuhu pop up information stand, Thursday 7th March 2019 from 12.00pm to 2.00pm at Criterion Square, Ōtāhuhu.
   - Māngere pop up information stand, Saturday 9th March 2019 from 10.00am to 1.00pm, Māngere Town Centre Market

b) delegate to the following elected members and staff the power and responsibility to hear from the public through “spoken (or New Zealand sign language) interaction” in relation to the local board agreement at the council’s public engagement events during the consultation period for the Annual Budget 2019/2020.
   i) local board members and chairperson
   ii) General Manager Local Board Services, Local Board Relationship Manager, Local Board Senior Advisor, Local Board Advisor, Local Board Engagement Advisor
   iii) any additional staff approved by the General Manager Local Board Services or the Chief Financial Officer.

c) adopt Attachment A: local content for consultation and Attachment B: local supporting information for consultation.

d) delegate authority to the local board chair to approve any final changes required following review by the council’s legal team of the consultation content of the Annual Budget 2019/2020 prior to publication, including online consultation content.

e) agree to hold an additional local board business meeting on Wednesday 5th June 2019 at 12pm, in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board office, 93 Bader Drive, Māngere, to consider the local board agreement 2019/2020.

Horopaki / Context

10. Auckland Council is required to have a local board agreement (as agreed between the Governing Body and the relevant local board) for each local board area for each financial year. The local board agreement will be included in the Council’s Annual Budget 2019/2020.

11. Local Board agreements set out (among other things) how the council will, in the year to which the agreement relates, reflect the priorities and preferences in the local board’s plan in respect of the local activities to be provided in the local board area.

12. For the purposes of consulting on each local board agreement to be included in the council’s Annual Budget, the consultation document for the Annual Budget must include content relating to each agreement.

14. In December, the Governing Body will consider whether to consult on a proposal to transfer legal ownership of waterfront land and related assets to the council parent. If the Governing Body decides to consult on that proposal, the consultation would take place at the same time as the consultation on the Annual Budget 2019/2020. As a result, the consultation on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 would require the use of the special consultative procedure, as a decision to proceed with the proposal would require an amendment to the council’s long-term plan. Where an amendment to the long-term plan is being consulted on at the same time as consultation on the Annual Budget, the Local Government Act 2002 requires the council to use the special consultative procedure in relation to both matters.

15. There will also be concurrent consultation on the Auckland Water Strategy discussion document. A report will be going to the Environment and Community Committee on 4 December 2018 to approve the discussion document for public consultation.

16. Aucklanders will be able to provide feedback during the consultation process through a variety of channels which include verbal (or face-to-face), written and social media.

17. The local board will continue its policy enabling adults to use the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu swimming pools without charge. The local board believes that universal access to swimming delivers important social and public health benefits. As in previous financial years, the local board supports a targeted rate on local residential properties for this purpose. The targeted rate for the 2019/2020 year is estimated at $32.71 per local household, a slight increase on the current years targeted rate of $31.94. The estimated figure may vary slightly between now and May 2019, when the final rates calculation takes place.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

18. The special consultative procedure requires the council to provide an opportunity for people to present their views to the council in a manner that enables "spoken (or New Zealand sign language) interaction" between the person and the council’s decision-makers, or their official delegates. The council provides for this through its ‘Have Your Say’ events where people can have a face-to-face dialogue with elected members or other council representatives with an appropriate delegation. The Have Your Say event recommended to be held in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area are:

- Ōtāhuhu pop up information stand, Thursday 7th March 2019 from 12.00pm to 2.00pm at Criterion Square, Ōtāhuhu.
- Māngere pop up information stand, Saturday 9th March 2019 from 10.00am to 1.00pm, Māngere Town Centre Market.

19. Local boards held workshops during October and November 2018 to determine their key activities for their 2019/2020 local board agreement. Boards are now requested to agree their local content and supporting information for consultation, as attached in Attachment A and B.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

20. Local boards will have further opportunities to provide information and views as the council progresses through the Annual Budget 2019/2020 process.

21. Aucklanders will have the opportunity to give feedback on regional and local proposals contained in the Annual Budget 2019/2020. All feedback received from submitters residing in the local board area will be analysed by staff and made available for consideration by the board, prior to finalising their local board agreement.
**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

22. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the annual budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate the council's responsiveness to Māori. Local board plans, which were adopted in September and October of 2017, form the basis for local priorities.

23. The approach to Māori engagement for the Annual Budget will be finalised once consultation topics are confirmed, including development of bespoke materials subject to interest level of topics and confirmation of budget.

24. Regionally supported local Māori engagement in the South and West will be provided subject to interest level of topics and confirmation of budget, this will be integrated with Water Strategy engagement.

25. Mana Whenua engagement on the Water Strategy is already underway, and will run throughout the March consultation period, annual budget discussions will be integrated with this process.

26. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and where relevant the wider Māori community. Ongoing conversations will assist local boards and Māori to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in the council’s decision-making processes.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

27. Event associated costs include venue hire and catering.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

28. Local boards must agree their local consultation content and supporting information by 13 December 2018, in order for it to be formatted and reviewed in time to be incorporated into the Annual Budget 2019/2020 consultation document and supporting information.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**


30. Following consultation, the Governing Body and local boards will make decisions on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and Local Board Agreements 2019/2020 respectively.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Local content for consultation</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Local supporting information for consultation</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā kaihina / Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Beth Corlett – Strategic Project Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultation document

Local boards

This section sets out the key proposed activities for each local board area for 2019/2020. We are seeking your feedback on whether we have got the focus right.

For more information relating to your local area, please see section xx of the supporting information for this consultation document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Board</th>
<th>Key focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mangere-Otahuhu local board</td>
<td>In 2019/2020 we plan to invest $15 million to renew and develop assets and $15.2 million to maintain and operate assets as well as provide local programmes and initiatives. We will continue to deliver the projects you have already identified as being local priorities through the local board plan, and we are not proposing major changes to existing budgets or work programmes for 2019/2020. Through previous consultations we’ve heard that protecting the environment for future generations is important. We’re investing further in community projects that advocate for reducing waste and supporting local waste management initiatives. We have a young population compared to the rest of the Auckland region. We are proposing more youth capacity building and participation by partnering with local youth groups, support local libraries to provide children and youth with programmes that encourage learning literacy and numeracy, and to refresh how we can support local youth into quality employment. We acknowledge our partnership with mana whenua and our commitment to the Treaty of Waitangi is the delivery of the local board’s Māori responsiveness programme in accordance to our local board plan’s outcome: We are the heart of Māori and Pasifika culture.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supporting information – Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Each year we deliver activities and services in your local board area. These are based on our 2017 local board plan, which sets our three-year direction for the local board.

3.1 Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Message from the chair
Talofa lava and greetings,

I am pleased to share the Board’s priorities for the 2019/2020 financial year. When we approved the 2017 local board plan and have set about successfully delivering many aspects of the plan. We continue to deliver with a key focus on progressing youth initiatives, Māori outcomes and the local environment.

Given Māngere-Ōtāhuhu’s relatively young population, our strategic aim is so youth can connect to sports and recreation, health and wellbeing programmes and the arts. The community grant programme has key objectives including to achieve quality employment and educational opportunities for young people.

The ‘Māori input into local board decision making’ initiative captures the essence of Te Ao Māori (Māori world view) and informs building commitment to Māori. This has commenced in projects like delivering the mauri of the Tararata and Ōtuataua Creeks projects. Te Reo Māori will be celebrated at our local libraries and support will be maintained for Pukaki Crater co-management and restoration projects. Other key initiatives include; Māori naming of local reserves and facilities, development of the Ōtuataua Stonefields Reserve concept plan to ensure youth schemes are supporting Māori youth.

To further enhance the local environment, support for community projects reducing local waste, improve water quality and an educational programme to influence people’s behaviour in protecting our natural resources.

We want to continue to provide our locals with options to participate in healthy activities and make use of our recreational and leisure centres. Our board wants to continue to provide free access for all to our local swimming pools through a targeted rate.

We request your feedback on all these initiatives or additional thoughts you may have to improve the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu local area.
What we propose in your local board area in 2019/2020

In 2019/2020 we plan to invest $15 million to renew and develop assets and $15.2 million to maintain and operate assets as well as provide local programmes and initiatives. The budget in the local area is allocated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key areas of spend</th>
<th>Capital spend 2019/2020</th>
<th>Operating spend 2019/2020</th>
<th>Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Services</td>
<td>$9.6m</td>
<td>$13.3m</td>
<td>Renewals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Services</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$0.2m</td>
<td>Parks maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>$5.4m</td>
<td>$0.7m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$1m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: the final budgets are subject to change as the council reviews the detailed costs and timing of delivering planned services and investment.

What do you think?

1. What are the youth initiatives that you would like to see take place in our local board area?
2. What support would you like to see to reduce local waste and increase recycling?

Do you have any other feedback?
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board the opportunity to provide formal feedback on the proposed Regional Public Transport Plan.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Auckland Transport is required to review its ten year Regional Public Transport Plan every three years. The plan describes the services that are integral to Auckland’s public transport network for the next 10-year period. This report requests the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board give feedback on the plan, with special emphasis on the following four focus areas:
   - Expanding and enhancing rapid and frequent networks
   - Improving customer access to public transport
   - Improving Māori responsiveness
   - Harnessing emerging technologies.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) provides the following feedback on the Regional Public Transport Plan focus areas of:
   i) Expanding and enhancing rapid and frequent networks
   ii) Improving customer access to public transport
   iii) Improving Māori responsiveness
   iv) Harnessing emerging technologies

b) provide additional feedback on local specific interests.

Horopaki / Context
3. The Regional Public Transport Plan (RPTP) is a requirement of the Land Transport Management Act. It sets out the changes to Auckland’s public transport. Transport is a key component of a city’s success. Auckland is growing and as more people live and visit here, the number of trips taken on our transport networks is increasing. The space available for transport networks is finite. This means that we need as many people as possible to travel using efficient forms of transport; such as walking, cycling and public transport. These alternative transport options take less space and are more environmentally sustainable than private motor vehicles. With less pressure on the road network there is more capacity available for critical vehicles that need the road, including road-based public transport, emergency services and freight.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice
4. Attached is the summary document for the Regional Public Transport Plans. This document outlines the main changes proposed over the next ten years by focusing on four key areas:
Focus area one

Expanding and enhancing rapid and frequent networks

5. Planning an enhanced rapid transit network through four main city-shaping projects to dramatically increase the speed and coverage of the rapid transit network:
   - City Rail Link
   - City to Mangere Light Rail and Northwest Light Rail
   - SH20B upgrade and Puhinui Interchange
   - Eastern Busway.

6. Implementing improvements on key arterial routes to move more people. This will include bus priority, safety improvements and cycling and walking facilities. Increasing services on the rapid and frequent networks, with the aim to have services every 10 minutes during peak travel times. Using the rapid and frequent networks to help make great public spaces.

Focus area two

Improving customer access to public transport

7. Continuing to deliver improved wayfinding sign systems across the public transport network to make it easier for people to find their way across the network. Increasing and improving the walking and cycling and other choices for access to public transport services, focussing on improving safety. Changing park and ride facilities to meet public demand.

Focus area three

Improving Māori responsiveness

8. Partnering with mana whenua to trial services such as on-demand ride share connecting to marae, which are hard to access by conventional public transport. Ensuring te reo Māori audio announcements and signs for rapid transit stations (train and busway) and extending this across all public transport.

9. Applying Te Aranga Principles when designing major interchanges and stations, with future potential to apply in the planning and design of the Light Rail Transit projects, Puhinui upgrade and stormwater management. Securing opportunities for Māori and local community employment, training and business development when constructing major public transport projects.

Focus area four

Harnessing emerging technologies

10. Improving customer insights and data, and undertaking more thorough analytics of travel data to directly inform service improvements. Continuing to evolve AT mobile apps to meet increasing customer needs. Providing simpler and improved payment options for fares to make travel easier. Using new transport modes generated by new digital technology to supplement and complement existing services, increasing access. Ensuring we future proof for mobility-as-a-service models, which will change how people make travel choices.

11. The full text of the proposed Regional Public Transport Plan can be accessed through Auckland Transport’s website on www.at.govt.nz/rptp.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views
12. Public transport has an impact right across the Auckland Region and therefore impacts all local boards in some way. This report seeks feedback from local boards on the most appropriate enhancements to Auckland’s public transport over the next ten years.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement
13. The proposed Regional Public Transport Plan has a focus area of Improving Māori Responsiveness and includes initiatives such as: trialing new modes connecting marae with the public transport system, te reo announcements and signage across the public transport system and incorporating Te Aranga design principles into new facilities, such as bus and rail stations.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications
14. The report has no financial implications for local boards.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks
15. The report has no direct risks for local boards. Each individual project has its own set of unique risks and these will be dealt with as these projects are developed and implemented.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps
16. In terms of what happens to the proposed plan.
   - Public consultation runs until December 14
   - Feedback will be analysed over mid to late January 2019
   - The draft plan will be amended
   - The final proposed plan will go to the Auckland Transport Board in February or March 2019.
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WHAT IS THE RPTP
AND WHY DO WE NEED IT?

A WELL-USED PUBLIC TRANSPORT SYSTEM IS FUNDAMENTAL TO THE SUCCESS OF AUCKLAND. IT IS CRITICAL THAT WE HAVE A PLAN TO IDENTIFY PUBLIC TRANSPORT NEEDS AND OPPORTUNITIES, AND TO SET OUT THE SOLUTIONS.

The Regional Public Transport Plan (RPTP) is a requirement of the Land Transport Management Act. It sets out the changes to Auckland’s public transport system for a 10-year period. This document is a summary of the RPTP, for the full draft plan visit at.govt.nz/rptp

Transport is a key component of a city’s success. Auckland is growing and as more people live and visit here, the number of trips taken on our transport networks is increasing.

The space available for transport networks is finite. This means that we need as many people as possible to travel using efficient forms of transport; such as walking, cycling and public transport. These alternative transport options take less space and are more environmentally sustainable than private motor vehicles.

With less pressure on the road network there is more capacity available for critical vehicles that need the road, including road-based public transport, emergency services and freight.
HOW DOES THE RPTP FIT IN WITH OTHER PLANS?

The RPTP complements and follows on from other plans, including the recently completed Regional Land Transport Plan. It is consistent with the new Government Policy Statement on Land Transport (2018) and the Auckland Plan 2050, as well as AT’s Statement of Intent (2018-21).

Regional Public Transport Plan describes the services that are integral to Auckland’s public transport network for a 10-year period and is reviewed every three years.
In line with the 2015 RPTP, we’ve redesigned the public transport network to provide better customer service and delivered key changes including:

- New network with simpler, more frequent and better connected services.
- A fairer fare system, based around zones, supported by the smart AT HOP card, meaning customers are no longer penalised for transfers.
- New double decker buses across the network.
- New, improved wayfinding around major hubs; more real-time information displays and consistent bus branding.
- Parnell Station, Panmure Interchange, Ōtāhuhu Interchange and Manukau Interchange: with the interchanges incorporating Te Aranga Principles.

- Upgraded Pukekohe Station.
- New electric bus and train fleet with unaided accessible boarding.
- Built a new ferry terminal at Half Moon Bay and improved the Downtown Ferry Terminal.
- Created 52 new operator contracts, which have dramatically increased service kilometres and capacity.

In addition, we’ve started work on City Rail Link and Light Rail Transit projects.

**THE RESULTS OF THESE CHANGES**

**INCREASED CUSTOMER SATISFACTION**

Combined all public transport modes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Jan 12</th>
<th>Jan 13</th>
<th>Jan 14</th>
<th>Jan 15</th>
<th>Jan 16</th>
<th>Jan 17</th>
<th>Jan 18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INCREASED PUBLIC TRANSPORT USE

Over a decade of investment in the public transport system has resulted in a rapid increase in public transport boardings from 79 million in June 2013 to 92 million in June 2018. Customer satisfaction has also increased from 84% to 97% during this period.

This increase in patronage reflects the major investment AT has made over the past few years through Central and Local Government. It also reflects AT’s focus on improving the frequency, reliability and improved overall customer experience.

Together, rapid and frequent services accounted for 90% increase in passengers over this period.

* When a person travels to their destination they may need to change modes of public transport or change to another service. Each time they change this is counted as a separate “boarding”, eg Sally travels from her home in Sandringham to work in Mt Wellington, to do this she catches a bus and then a train and then another bus. Sally’s journey is made up of three boardings.
THE CHALLENGES

OUR CHALLENGE IS TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO USE PUBLIC TRANSPORT AS THEIR MAIN CHOICE OF TRAVEL.

The public transport network is now in a strong position to absorb future demand and shape Auckland’s future growth, however there are a number of challenges:

• Increasing the public transport system’s contribution to overall travel
• Enabling safe, convenient customer access to public transport (in the first and last legs of a journey)
• Keeping pace with demand and providing capacity for customers
• Meeting unreliable customer travel times
• Achieving value for money for customers and funders
• Meeting the needs of diverse customers, including the transport disadvantaged
• Making the overall network sustainable
• Using innovation
• Serving customers in new growth areas
• Serving areas of low demand
• Creating safer streets.
OUR VISION FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORT

WE WANT TO PROVIDE AUCKLAND WITH SEAMLESS END-TO-END CUSTOMER JOURNEYS THAT ARE SAFE, ACCESSIBLE AND RELIABLE.

THIS PLAN SETS OUT HOW AT PROPOSES TO MEET THE CHALLENGES FOR THE NEXT 10 YEARS. CUSTOMERS ARE THE CENTRE OF OUR PLAN, UNDERPINNED BY FOUR FOCUS AREAS.

1. Expanding and enhancing rapid and frequent networks
   - more major improvements
   - faster and more reliable travel
   - more bus priority to reduce congestion.

2. Improving customer access to public transport
   - more signs and customer information
   - safer walking and cycling connections
   - better park and ride facilities.

3. Improving Māori responsiveness
   - FIT announcements and signs in te reo Māori
   - apply Te Aranga Principles to design
   - employ Māori and procure local talent in transport projects.

4. Harnessing emerging technologies
   - analyse more data to inform how we provide services
   - easier payment options
   - easier journey planning and more app capabilities.
**WHAT WE’RE DOING OVER THE NEXT THREE YEARS?**
**HIGHLIGHTS FROM THE RPTP**

After the rapid changes since 2015, the next few years represent a period of consolidation. Construction of the four main rapid transit network projects is well underway.

Within the four focus areas, we are implementing a range of exciting initiatives that will continue to enhance customer experience. These are outlined in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOCUS AREA 1: EXPANDING AND ENHANCING RAPID AND FREQUENT NETWORKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning an enhanced rapid transit network through four main city-shaping projects to dramatically increase the speed and coverage of the rapid transit network:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• City Rail Link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• City to Mangere Light Rail and Northwest Light Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SH208 upgrade and Puhinui Interchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Eastern Busway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementing improvements on key arterial routes to move more people. This will include bus priority, safety improvements and cycling and walking facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing services on the rapid and frequent networks, with the aim to have services every 10 minutes during peak travel times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using the rapid and frequent networks to help make great public spaces.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOCUS AREA 2: IMPROVING CUSTOMER ACCESS TO PUBLIC TRANSPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuing to deliver improved wayfinding sign systems across the public transport network to make it easier for people to find their way across the network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing and improving the walking and cycling and other choices for access to public transport services, focusing on improving safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing park and ride facilities to meet public demand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOCUS AREA 3: IMPROVING MĀORI RESPONSIVENESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnering with mana whenua to trial services such as on-demand ride share connecting to marae, which are hard to access by conventional public transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring te reo Māori audio announcements and signs for rapid transit stations (train and busway) and extending this across all public transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying Te Aranga Principles when designing major interchanges and stations, with future potential to apply in the planning and design of the Light Rail Transit projects, Puhinui upgrade and stormwater management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securing opportunities for Māori and local community employment, training and business development when constructing major public transport projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOCUS AREA 4: HARNESSING EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improving customer insights and data, and undertaking more thorough analytics of travel data to directly inform service improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing to evolve AT mobile apps to meet increasing customer needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing simpler and improved payment options for fares to make travel easier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using new transport modes generated by new digital technology to supplement and complement existing services, increasing access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring we future proof for mobility-as-a-service models, which will change how people make travel choices.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the four focus areas we are also working to deliver:

**Improvements to ferry services**

This includes:

- increased services on some routes to meet growing demand
- improved timetable and fare integration between ferries and bus/train services to facilitate transfers
- Downtown Ferry Terminal upgrade
- planning options for the future evolution of the ferry system.

**A low carbon transport future**

This includes:

- completing electrification of the rail network by 2024/25
- continuing low emissions bus trials and moving to purchase only zero emission buses from 2025
- investigating low emission ferries.

For more details on what we’re doing over the next three years, read the full draft Auckland Regional Public Transport Plan on our website at at.govt.nz/rtp
HOW WILL WE FUND PUBLIC TRANSPORT?

WE HAVE PLANNED TO SPEND $28 BILLION ON PUBLIC TRANSPORT OVER THE NEXT 10 YEARS. AROUND $17 BILLION WILL BE SPENT ON CAPITAL AND IT WILL TAKE $11 BILLION TO PAY FOR OPERATING COSTS AND TO RENEW OUR ASSETS.

Funding comes from several sources including central Government, fares and the new regional fuel tax (RFT).

Auckland's public transport network will cost around

$28 BILLION over the next decade

$1.5 BILLION from regional fuel taxes will help fund these key projects

- Improved bus network across Auckland
  - more bus lanes
  - T2 and T3 transit lanes
  - signal pre-emption to increase overall speed and reliability of buses
  - new busway station at Rosedale.

- Better city centre bus infrastructure
  - more bus interchanges in Downtown

- Better access to Auckland Airport
  - improved bus services from New Lynn, Mt Roskill, Onehunga and Botany to the airport
  - new bus/rail interchange at Puhinui.

- Eastern Busway
  - urban busway between Panmure and Botany
  - bus stations at Pakuranga and Botany
  - park and ride facilities at some bus stations.

- Improved train network
  - 20 more electric trains
  - new maintenance and storage facilities
  - City Rail Link.

- Improved ferry network
  - upgrade Downtown Ferry Terminal to hold more ferries and improve customer experience.

- Extra park and ride facilities in areas with little or no feeder buses
  - 1900 new parking spaces across Auckland.

- Light rail
  - a new mode of travel
  - city to Mangere line
  - city to Northwest line.
### AT’s Investment in Bus Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Buses Operating in Peak Times</th>
<th>Kilometres Travelled</th>
<th>Dollars Spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>44 million</td>
<td>$257 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>59 million</td>
<td>$280 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* (Refers to the cost of the network on Auckland Island)
We'll monitor our performance against a range of measures including:

- Increased patronage and cost per customer
- Service reliability and punctuality
- Customer satisfaction
- Increased public transport patronage
- AFC Hop card and AT tickets use
- Priority of the population for public transport modes (bus, train, ferry)
- Boarding per annum across all public transport modes (bus, train and ferry)
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TALK TO US AT
A DROP-IN SESSION!

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT OUR PLAN FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORT, COME AND TALK TO US.

TUESDAY 27 NOVEMBER:
4.30-6.30pm,
Takapuna War Memorial Hall, 7 The Strand, Takapuna.

SATURDAY 1 DECEMBER:
10am-12pm,
Manurewa Library, 7 Hill Road, Manurewa.

WEDNESDAY 5 DECEMBER:
5-7pm,
New Lynn Community Centre main hall, 45 Totara Avenue, New Lynn.

SATURDAY 8 DECEMBER:
10am-12pm,
Ellen Melville Centre, Betty Wark Room, 2 Freyberg Place, Auckland Central.

Public feedback is open until Friday 14 December 2018.
When public consultation for the draft RTP is complete, we will incorporate changes and publish the final version in early 2019. The RTP will give Auckland Transport the detail, clarity and comprehensiveness it needs to focus on our customers and will help make sure we are ready to serve Auckland’s growth and changing needs.
# Feedback Form

What do you think about our plan?
We're keen to hear what you think about our draft Regional Public Transport Plan for 2018-2028. You can read and download the full plan from at.govt.nz/ptp

How do I provide feedback?
- Go online to AT.govt.nz/haveyoursay and fill in the online survey
- Complete the freepost feedback form on the next page.

If you have difficulty completing the forms, you can call us on (09) 355 3553 and our contact centre staff will fill in the feedback form with you over the phone.

What will we do with your feedback?
After the public consultation period closes, we will consider all feedback and use it to refine the plan.
We will publish the final RPTP on our website in early 2019.
If you provide your contact details when you give us feedback, we will notify you when the plan is available.

## Personal Information

Name

Business/organisation

Street address

Suburb

Post code

Email

Phone

Providing personal details is optional. Providing your postal or email address ensures that we can contact you with updates to the project.

PRIVACY: AT is committed to protecting our customer/personal information.

## 1. What do you think of our approach to the four main focus areas?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus Area 1: Expanding and enhancing rapid and frequent networks</th>
<th>Focus Area 3: Improving Māori responsiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus Area 2: Improving customer access to public transport</th>
<th>Focus Area 4: Harnessing emerging technologies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RPTP Consultation
Auckland Transport
Private Bag 92250
Victoria Street West
Auckland 1142

Project: RPTP CONSULTATION

---

5. How did you hear about this plan? (PLEASE MARK ALL THAT APPLY)
- I use public transport to travel to/from work
- I use public transport to travel to/from school/school
- I use public transport in the week
- I use public transport to travel to/from work
- I use public transport in the weekends
- I never use public transport
- I occasionally use public transport
- Other (please specify)

Information posted/emailed to me
- Auckland Transport website
- Auckland Council
- Greater Auckland
- Social media & e.g. Facebook
- Neighbour
- Other (please specify)

Please add any other comments about the draft RPTP.

---

the activities listed under each focus area?

3. Do you have any other comments about the draft RPTP?
Regional Public Transport Plan 2018

Background:
The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu board’s three year Local Board Plan 2017 states ‘A well-connected area’ as a key outcome for local communities. The priorities delivered by Auckland Transport’s Regional Public Transport Plan (RPTP) are essential to achieve the board’s objectives.

The Auckland Transport’s Regional Public Transport Plan (RPTP) is open for public consultation from 19 November to 14 December 2018. The RPTP sets out the 10-year plan for Auckland’s public transport system (Ref. www.at.govt.nz/rptp):
- a 10-year scope
- covers all PT services operating in Auckland and
- the infrastructure required to operate those services.
- focus is on the urban area. It will include a list of services and network maps for 2018 and 2028.

Once completed, the RPTP will provide:
- Clear strategic priorities for PT in Auckland and set out the plan for the next ten years
- Services, infrastructure and projects agreed with NZTA for future funding bids
- The key actions to support the priorities and establish the framework for monitoring.

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board provides the following points as feedback:

a) The board supports the four focus areas of the RPTP, their intent and purposes. These serve the board’s objectives that ‘Māngere-Ōtāhuhu is well connected by public transport, cycleways and walkways, making it easy for everyone to get around.’

b) notes the bulk of major infrastructure outlined in this RPTP will be delivered and operational post 2024

c) support the key strategic driver for Auckland’s transport system being guided by population growth and development in existing urban areas and greenfield growth areas.

d) support the position that to increase the capacity of the transport network and provide customers with a more reliable journey, more road space must be allocated to public transport, active modes (e.g. cycling and walking) and alternative vehicle share modes (such as car share, bike share).

e) On Focus area 1: Expanding our public transport network to improve the frequency and reliability of services
   i. Expand southern public transport network: The board asks that a higher priority is given to expanding the southern public transport network. The connections, frequency and reliability of movement to and from the key locations of Māngere town centre, Māngere East, Favona and Puhinui interchange needs to be delivered in line with residential growth.
   ii. Enhanced rapid transport network: The board strongly supports and asks for speedy delivery through the four main city-shaping projects to increase the speed and coverage of the rapid transit network:
      o City Rail Link
      o City to Mangere Light Rail and Northwest Light Rail
      o SH20B upgrade and Puhinui Interchange
iii. Rail link city to Māngere (and airport): The board notes that it supported the Regional Fuel Tax as it believed the transport proposals identified in the Regional Land Transport Plan as a step towards solving Auckland’s congestion and transport issues. The light rail rapid transit project from the CDB, Māngere town centre, Airport, Manukau and through to Botany network is of high priority for this local board. There are gains here for the wider city population, the local communities and visitors to Auckland. The board wants aligned and integrated planning with the ongoing investments on transport developments in the area – e.g. Bader drive improvements through the Local Transport Capital Fund.

iv. Aligned investments for Māngere East area: That the RLTP priorities and its details are aligned to council’s 10-year budget and the local board’s focus on transforming Māngere East town centre area as a vibrant community hub. Transport connectivity and accessibility are vital for the area e.g. completion of Route 32, Massey Road going towards Sylvia Park.

v. That AT invest in public transport infrastructure around the Māngere East town centre Investment timed through joined-up planning with Council’s 10-year budget will lead to beneficial results for the wider southern area Auckland.

vi. Connections between east and west: That public transport connections between east and west be progressed with urgency. The Auckland Manukau Eastern Transport Initiative (AMETI) needs to be fast tracked to match the growth and development in these parts of the city.

vii. Reduce cost barriers of public transport: That investments in major projects such as the Ōtāhuhu Bus train interchange are a waste if cost barriers to the use of public transport is not resolved. An additional barrier is the limited facilities for ‘topping-up’ HOP cards for commuters. In the recent past the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu board funded an Auckland Transport programme designed to encourage increased use of the AT HOP card in the local area. AT must develop larger projects to address this gap to boost uptake of HOP cards and use of public transport.

viii. Pilot projects can be delivered by AT in South Auckland where there is a very high youth population who depend on public transport for a getting to school, college/university or part-time employment. AT must design projects which support local and young people in the southern areas to overcome barriers and help them use public transport with ease. For example, subsidised PT or for every high school student to get a hop card with $10.00 on it at the beginning of year 9. These are the people who are most in need and dependent on PT in daily life.

ix. Timing and frequency: If buses and trains stop at 1 am it poses a significant barrier for young people from southern parts of the city working the central city, on apprenticeships, training, hospitality / bars and clubs. For that reason, night services of trains and buses are needed.

f) On Focus area 2: Making it easier for people to get to and from public transport

i. Way finding: The board supports the focus area and asks for delivery at high standards for accessibility through good signage, applying principles of place making that connects people to place for walking, cycling and community.
Signage carrying simple and understandable information is required, and speedy replacement of damaged signs.

ii. **Safety:** The issue of public safety is paramount and a key factor that can make or break use of public transport. Safety must be ensured in all transport nodes such as Puhinui station, and Māngere town centre to boost public confidence to use buses and trains at all times without fear. Walking and cycling networks need to be accessible and safe for young people, particularly girls, women and older people. Park and Ride facilities that are well lit and safe is also essential for the public to confidently access public transport.

g) **On Focus area 3:** Improving Māori input into public transport, which includes applying Te Aranga Principles to design.

The board supports the idea of bi-lingual PT announcements and to apply Te Aranga Principles to design.

h) **Focus area 4:** Using technology to make it easier and more accessible for people to use public transport such as on-demand travel and easier payment options.

i. The board supports the focus area.

ii. The board asks AT to deliver on the local initiative in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board Plan 2017 - Partner with Te Wānanga o Aotearoa to use digital technology to popularise and increase use of new paths.

i) **Other feedback comments:**

iii. **Social procurement:** The board strongly advocates that AT apply a social procurement approach for major projects e.g. rapid transit network from Airport to Botany, Airport to CBD, Mill Road, AMETI; to employ Māori and as well as Pacific people and procure local talent for these projects.

iv. **Fare Box Recovery:** that the board strongly advocates to AT for a decrease in the rate of ‘Fare Box Recovery’ to allow an increase in the subsidies on PT but would allow the ticket prices to be lower level. The board understands that there are significant financial considerations but is keen to advocate for lower priced PT.

v. **Environmental impacts:** the board requests AT to work with council to develop actions to mitigate road pollution and sedimentation in local waterways that are compounded because of infrastructure development.
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. This report provides an update on the community-led response to alcohol licensing.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu and Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Boards have resolved to support their local communities to make objections to alcohol licence applications (work programme ID 1392). This report is based on information supplied by Dr Grant Hewison, the consultant leading the implementation of this work programme.

3. A highlight in the last period has been objections to the renewal of tavern-style licences where the primary activity is gambling. The Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act requires that the business of a tavern must be principally providing alcohol and other refreshments and not gambling. Members of the community have been making observations of taverns and objecting where the principal activity appears to be gambling. Objections and hearings have resulted in the closure of The Opal Lounge/Curlew Bar (South Ōtāhuhu) and Graces Place/Hi Sports Bar (Māngere East).

4. A further highlight has been the objections and further work of the Māori Wardens across both local board areas, especially in raising the negative harm caused by alcohol to the Māori community. The Wardens have also lodged a claim to the Waitangi Tribunal that the Crown has not upheld its obligations under the Treaty of Waitangi to actively protect Māori in the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012. This will be heard in 2019. Opposition to the Curlew Bar from the Māori Wardens resulted in the applicant withdrawing their objection.

5. Of significant concern, however, have been several decisions of the Auckland District Licensing Committee to refuse the right of groups, such as the Otara Gambling and Alcohol Action Group (OGAAG) to make objections. Unfortunately there is also no right to appeal such a decision under the Act.

6. After five years of development and hearings, on 12 October 2017, Auckland Council provided its amended Local Alcohol Policy to the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority. However, two appeals have been lodged. Council officers have indicated that the Auckland Local Alcohol Policy may not take effect until 2019.

7. Louisa Wall’s Private Member’s Bill, the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill was drawn from the Parliamentary ballot and submissions were made by the community. Further progress has been postponed until 1 April 2019.

8. Noting the increasing concerns about alcohol being consumed in alcohol ban areas, the community would like to re-paint alcohol free footpath stencils that the board approved in October 2015.
Ngā tūthunga / Recommendation/s

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:

a) note the community-led response to alcohol licensing update report November 2018.

b) approve funding of $1,000, from the Community Response Fund, to the Communities Against Alcohol Harm Inc to support the community re-paint of alcohol free footpath stencils in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

Horopaki / Context

9. On 18 December 2013, the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 (Act) came into force. The Act gives communities more of a voice over the sale and supply of alcohol in their area. In particular, it expands the criteria upon which communities may object to a licence application.

10. In July 2014, the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu and Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Boards resolved to support their local communities to make objections to alcohol licence applications (including new applications and renewals) with the idea of limiting new applications and seeking better conditions with regard to existing licences (such as a reduction in advertising and ‘no single sales’). In June 2018 the boards resolved to continue this support for the period 1 July 2018 to 30 June 2019 (work programme ID 1392 for Māngere-Ōtāhuhu and work programme ID 993 Ōtara-Papatoetoe).

11. In March 2018, the local board received a report on the activities of the community-led response to alcohol licensing for the prior year. This report provides an update.

Alcohol Licensing

12. Since March 2018, objections have been made to the following notifications made for new and renewal licences in the Māngere- Ōtāhuhu Local Board area:

- New: Hi Sport Bar (5/1 Savill Drive, Māngere East)
- Renewal: Thirsty Liquor Vine Street (64 Vine Street, Māngere East)
- Renewal: Pacific Bar and Restaurant (12 Tidal Road, Māngere)
- Renewal: Club Rio (435 Great South Road, Ōtāhuhu)
- Renewal: Milestone Bar (7 Avenue Road, Ōtāhuhu)

13. The objections heard or negotiated (from March 2018 to October 2018) have been for the Hi Sport Bar (5/1 Savill Drive, Māngere East).

14. Some of the decisions are available at:

https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/have-your-say/hearings/find-hearing/Pages/find-district-licensing-committee-hearing.aspx

The recent the decision of ARLA to uphold the DLC Decision to refuse the application for Hi Sport Bar (Māngere East) was a significant success for the community and agencies. Objections were also made to a second entrance from Liquor Spot Māngere Town Centre into the Mall, which resulted in the second entrance being closed off.

Community Empowerment

15. A wide network of objectors has developed, with regular objectors covering Māngere, Ōtāhuhu, Ōtara and Papatoetoe. With repeated experiences of hearings, the skill levels and confidence of objectors has grown. In addition, objectors are now training each other, passing on experiences and supporting each other through the hearings processes.
16. All licence notifications are being identified and posted on Facebook, with people coming forward to make objections.

17. This experience over the past four years, has led to increasing frustration from the community and a view that the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 has not led to any significant change from the previous Sale of Liquor Act 1989 in terms of off-licences.

18. In October 2015, the Turehou Maori Wardens organised an event to celebrate the success of the community led response to alcohol licensing project and the review of alcohol bans in the local board areas. The Turehou Maori Wardens got together with the Otara Gambling Alcohol Action Group (OGAAG); Respect Our Community Campaign (ROCC) and individual submitters. Non-permanent alcohol ban stencils were painted on the footpaths in town centres and outside major parks with alcohol bans.

19. The community participants in this project would like to repaint the alcohol ban stencils on the footpaths and are asking the board and the Ōtara-Papatoetoe local board for funding to buy more paint and stencils.

Conditions and Undertakings

20. Following DLC decisions from late 2015, objectors had begun to take an approach of making an objection, but making it clear that the objection would be withdrawn if the applicant was willing to sign the usual undertakings. However, more recently the DLC has decided not to accept this approach. It seems the DLC is saying that unless there is direct and strong evidence of poor practices by the applicant they will not accept Undertakings. As a consequence, community objectors have stopped taking this approach. Nonetheless, where conditions were achieved, a similar approach is being taken to retain the conditions.

Supermarkets

21. Although objections had been made to a number of supermarket renewal licences, these were put on hold awaiting a Court of Appeal and a further High Court decision. As these matters have now been resolved, licences for supermarkets are beginning to be notified again.

Auckland Provisional Local Alcohol Policy

22. Hearings were held on the Auckland Provisional Local Alcohol Policy (PLAP), at which community members from Māngere and Ōtāhuhu gave evidence for Alcohol Healthwatch and submissions were made by the Otara Gambling and Alcohol Action Group (OGAAG). The experiences of hearings, the skill levels and confidence of objectors developed through the community-led response to alcohol licensing made a significant difference in the evidence they could give.

23. On 12 October 2017, Auckland Council provided its amended Local Alcohol Policy to the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority. Two appeals have been lodged. A memorandum providing an update on legal proceedings involving the PLAP and Auckland Council’s submission on the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal Licences) Amendment Bill No. 2 is attached. Council officers have indicated that the Auckland Local Alcohol Policy may not take effect until 2019.

Private Member’s Bill - Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill

24. Louisa Wall’s Private Member’s Bill, the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill was drawn from the Parliamentary ballot.

25. The Bill provided that a licence may be refused where the renewal of the licence would be inconsistent with any relevant Local Alcohol Policy. In addition, it provided that conditions may be imposed consistent with any relevant Local Alcohol Policy on the renewal of a licence.
26. Submissions were made by members of the community who raised significant concerns. Auckland Council submission on the bill highlighted the legal challenges faced in bringing a local alcohol policy into force. For the bill to have any effect, a local alcohol policy is required.

27. The select committee report provided that the committee was unable to agree on whether the bill should proceed. Further progress has been postponed until 1 April 2019.

Other matters

28. In addition to objecting to alcohol licences, the community participants in this project also:

- Laid a complaint with the Advertising Standards Authority regarding a new chocolate beer product made by Whittakers and The Garage Project
- Made a presentation to the Auckland Council Community Development and Safety Committee regarding the undermining of the Council Gambling Policy ‘sinking-lid’ policy and Graces Place
- Questioned Auckland Council over the rating of land occupied by clubs with liquor licences
- Questioned Auckland Council over the $1.4M shortfall of licence fees being paid by alcohol applicants to cover Council costs (which is resulting, in effect, that the ratepayer is subsiding the cost)
- Provided a report to Professor Louise Signal, University of Otago, on alcohol off-licence signage regulation
- Held a workshop with Dr Liz Gordon to provide community input into her project for the Law Commission on community involvement in alcohol licensing

Conclusions

29. Community members, supported by the local boards have achieved success together with Auckland Council alcohol inspectors on opposing tavern licences where the main activity is actually gambling/pokies.

30. However, of significant concern, are several decisions of the Auckland District Licensing Committee to refuse the right of groups, such as the Otara Gambling and Alcohol Action Group (OGAAG) to make objections. Unfortunately there is also no right to appeal such a decision under the Act.
Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Memo - update on the Provisional Local Alcohol Policy and Auckland Council's submission on the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal Licences) Amendment Bill No. 2</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item 21
Memorandum

16 October 2018

To: Regulatory Committee Members and Local Board Members

Subject: Update on legal proceedings involving Auckland Council’s Provisional Local Alcohol Policy and Auckland Council’s submission on the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill No.2

From: Kataraina Maki, General Manager, Community & Social Policy

Purpose
1. To provide an update on:
   • legal proceedings involving Auckland Council’s Provisional Local Alcohol Policy (Alcohol Policy). This follows the email update of 22 August 2018
   • Auckland Council’s submission on the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill No. 2 (Bill).

Key Messages

Provisional Local Alcohol Policy – legal proceedings
• The council was successful in the preliminary hearing. The Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority agreed to not allow the 9.00pm maximum closing time for off-licences to be re-litigated.
• Foodstuffs North Island Limited has appealed the Authority’s decision to the High Court. Foodstuffs’ appeal is to be heard on 5 March 2019.
• Progress of the appeals in the Authority requires Foodstuffs’ appeal to be heard first.
• The judicial review proceedings are set down for the week starting 11 February 2019.

Submission on the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill No. 2
• Council submitted on the bill and highlighted the legal challenges faced in bringing a local alcohol policy into force. For the bill to have any effect, a local alcohol policy is required.
• The select committee report provided that the committee was unable to agree on whether the bill should proceed. Further progress has been postponed until 1 April 2019.
• A briefing on the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 has been added to the business for the committee. No further information has been made available about this briefing.

Auckland Council’s Provisional Local Alcohol Policy

Progress of proceedings in the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority – council successful in preliminary hearing
1. The change made to the maximum trading hours for off-licences in October 2017 was appealed by three parties.
2. In December 2017, the council sought an order from the Authority to narrow the scope of the appeal and exclude re-litigating the evening closing time of 9.00pm.
3. The council was successful with the Authority agreeing to not allow the 9.00pm maximum closing time for off-licences to be re-litigated.
4. Redwood has now withdrawn its appeal.

High Court appeal lodged by Foodstuffs North Island Limited against Authority’s preliminary decision
5. Foodstuffs has lodged an appeal in the High Court against the Authority’s preliminary decision.
6. Foodstuffs’ appeal is to be heard on 5 March 2019.
7. Foodstuffs’ appeal will delay progress of the proceedings in the Authority.
Summary of legal proceedings involving the Alcohol Policy

8. A summary of the legal proceedings involving the Alcohol Policy and further information is included in Figure 1.

Figure 1 - summary of legal proceedings involving the Alcohol Policy

PROCEEDINGS IN ALCOHOL REGULATORY AND LICENSING AUTHORITY

May & June 2015 - PLAP appealed by eight parties including Foodstuffs North Island Limited, Progressives Enterprises Limited (now Woolworths New Zealand Limited) and Redwood Corporation Limited

February & March 2017 - Appeals heard by Authority

July 2017 - Authority decision released. Council successful with majority of PLAP. Five elements to be reconsidered

September & October 2017 - PLAP amended with four elements deleted and the element relating to all licence trading hours amended. The 9.00am trading hour was amended to 7.00am:
  - The Authority's decision of 19 July 2017 found the 9.00am opening hour restriction was unreasonable.
  - The Authority indicated that it did not consider the closing hour restriction of 9.00pm was unreasonable. PLAP resubmitted to Authority

November 2017 - Appeals lodged by Redwood, Foodstuffs and Woolworths

May 2018 - Date set for preliminary hearing

July 2018 - Redwood discontinued appeal in Authority

August 2018 - Council successful in preliminary decision to limit scope of appeals (to not re-litigate 9pm)

Still to occur - Appeals to be set down and heard by Authority

PROCEEDINGS IN HIGH COURT

March 2018 - Application made for stay of Authority proceedings

May 2018 - Council successfully defends application to stay appeals proceedings in Authority to continue

September 2018 - Appeal of Authority's decision filed in High Court by Foodstuffs

March 2019 - Appeal to be heard

February 2019 - Judicial review proceedings to be heard

JUDICIAL REVIEW

November 2017 - Judicial reviews of Authority decision filed by Redwood, Foodstuffs and Woolworths

May 2018 - Date set down for judicial review proceedings to be heard
Auckland Council’s submission on the Sale and Supply of Alcohol (Renewal of Licences) Amendment Bill No.2

Bill introduced into Parliament by Louisa Wall to enable the refusal of a renewal of an alcohol licence in certain circumstances

9. In May 2018, the council made a submission on the Bill which had been introduced into Parliament by Louisa Wall as a private member’s bill.

10. The Bill allowed a district licensing committee or the Authority to refuse to renew an alcohol licence if it would be inconsistent with a local alcohol policy. A supplementary order paper was also tabled which provided for the Bill to only apply to off-licences.

11. For the Bill to have any effect, a local alcohol policy must be in force.

12. Council’s submission on the Bill provided that:
   - it was in favour of the principle behind the Bill
   - it wanted to ensure minimisation of unintended consequences
   - questioned the practical application of the Bill
   - highlighted the legal challenges it has faced throughout development of its Alcohol Policy
   - sought for a review of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012.

Select committee has been unable to agree on whether the Bill should proceed

13. The report of the Governance and Administration Committee was presented to Parliament in August 2018. The committee was unable to agree on whether the Bill should proceed.

14. Further progress of the Bill has been postponed until 1 April 2019.

15. A briefing on the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 has been added to the business for the committee. No further information has been made available about this briefing.

Next Steps

16. An update on both matters will be provided when further information is available.
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To present the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board with its updated governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The governance forward work calendar for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board is in Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly, reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff.

3. The governance forward work calendars were introduced in 2016 as part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aim to support local boards’ governance role by:
   - ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   - clarifying what advice is expected and when
   - clarifying the rationale for reports.

4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) notes the Governance Forward Work Calendar.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>November/December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>December/February 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>30 January 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>30 January 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>13 February 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>13 February 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>February/March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>February/March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>December/February 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>February/March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>February/March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>February/March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>March/April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Early March 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>March/April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Early May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>May/June 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Early June 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. Attached are the notes for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board workshops held on 7, 14 and 28 November 2018.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board:
a) receive the workshop notes from the workshops held on 7, 14 and 28 November 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7 November workshop notes</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>14 November workshop notes</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>28 November workshop notes</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Authorisers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Janette McKain - Local Board Democracy Advisor</td>
<td>Carol McKenzie-Rex - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 7 November 2018, commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene
Deputy Chairperson: Walter Togiamua
Members: Nick Bakulich, Carrol Elliott, Tafafuna'i Tasi Lauese

Absent: Makalita Kolo, Christine O’Brien

Also present: Carol McKenzie-Rex, Janette McKain, Rina Tagore, Daniel Poe, Liz Muliaga

Member Togiamua opened the workshop in prayer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1 Performance Report</td>
<td>Rina Tagore, Daniel Poe, Audrey Gan</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed the Q1 performance report. The report will be on the 21 November business agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-16 High Street Optimisation Project</td>
<td>Sophie Bell, Peter Loud, Leo Foley</td>
<td>Local initiatives and specific decisions</td>
<td>The board discussed and gave feedback on the consideration of options for the potential optimisation of the High Street property and provision of a fit-for-purpose community facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road naming</td>
<td>Trevor Cullen, David Snowden</td>
<td>Keeping Informed</td>
<td>The board had an overview of the Resource Consents Unit structure, the consenting process, how this relates to road naming and the developer’s role and decision-making, as well as proposed improvements to the process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services</td>
<td>Natalie Hansby – CEU Operations Manager</td>
<td>oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board reviewed local board spending on community safety initiatives - Reimaging Community Safety Project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Local board investment in community safety initiatives</td>
<td>Kylie Hill – Business Advisor Duncan McLaggan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reimaging Community Safety Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Ben Stallworthy</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board were updated on the Old Mangere Bridge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Current Situation – NZTA discussed the bridge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Outline Work Programme – NZTA discussed future plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services Parks, Sport &amp; Recreation #934 Facility</td>
<td>Rose Ward, Debra Langton</td>
<td>Local initiatives and specific decisions</td>
<td>The board discussed options to assess sport and recreation facility development projects in 2018/2019 and confirmed the process and time line for the allocation of grants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>partnership grants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 14 November 2018, commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Lemauga Lydia Sosene
Deputy Chairperson: Walter Togiamua
Members: Carrol Elliott, Tafafuna'i Tasi Lauese, Makaita Kolo, Christine O’Brien
Absent: Nick Bakulich
Also present: Carol McKenzie-Rex, Janette McKain, Rina Tagore, Daniel Poe, Audrey Gan, Shoma Prasad

Member Togiamua opened the workshop in prayer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development (ATEED)</td>
<td>Paul Robinson, John Norman</td>
<td>Setting direction, priorities and budgets</td>
<td>To discuss with board members the changes this will be required in the delivery of LDI programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- AEED Revised SOI and future delivery of Local Economic Development Work Programs - Auckland Film Protocols (Draft)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update on Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Area Plan progress</td>
<td>Roger Eccles, Marc Dendale</td>
<td>Input into regional decision-making, policies, plans and strategies</td>
<td>The board discussed the progress on actions contained within the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Area Plan (adopted spatial plan for each local board). Action: Book workshop May/June 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Community Volunteer Awards</td>
<td>Cicilia Dwe, Shoma Prasad</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board gave direction and advice on the event planning of the community volunteer awards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure &amp; Environmental Services - Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Healthy rentals project</td>
<td>Madison Little, Liz Ross - Low Carbon Specialist</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board discussed a summary report of the 2017/2018 Māngere-Ōtāhuhu healthy rentals project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngahere Knowing phase - progress update</td>
<td>Howell Davies, Senor Urban Forest Advisor, Debra Langton, Gwendolyn Leitataua</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board were updated on the Ngahere work and if it is covering the right areas of concern for the local board. The details on what has been found with data analysis will be discussed, and any early results available presented to board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Land Exchange</td>
<td>Richard Mann</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board discussed an exchange of private land in Mangere.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Workshop record of the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board held in the Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board Office, Wednesday 21 November 2018, commencing at 1.00pm

PRESENT
Chairperson: Walter Togiamua
Members: Nick Bakulich
Tafatufai Tasi Lauese
Christine O’Brien
Absent: Lemauga Lydia Sosene, Makalita Kolo and Carrol Elliott for absence and Carol McKenzie-Rex for lateness.
Also present: Carol McKenzie-Rex, Janette McKain, Rina Tagore, Daniel Poe, Shoma Prasad

Member Bakulich opened the workshop in prayer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities</td>
<td>Yusuf Khan, Raymond Tan, Tai Stirling Greg Hannah Marcus Tali Scott Malcolm Tai Stirling Jasmine Samuel</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board were informed on the asset condition and discuss options related to the continued occupation of 19 Hall Avenue, Ōtāhuhu. The board discussed the work programme, leasing and maintenance delivery and Sturges Park update.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Facilities Update</td>
<td>Debra Langley, Steve Owens</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>The board discussed and gave feedback on the direction on criteria for tree planting programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Ben Stallworthy</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board were updated on Integrated Corridor Plans. This is an Auckland Transport initiative to collate all work being done along major ‘corridors’ then deliver it in a fully integrated way. This improves economy and efficiency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Community Services Arts, Community & Events (ACE) | Sarah Edwards, Daylyn Braganza | Keeping Informed        | The board discussed the following:
1. To provide details on the POP series for Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board 2019. Outcome:
   For the board to know when the events for POP will take place.
2. Youth conference and youth scholarship.
3. To update the board on the youth conference and youth scholarships. |
| SIMs Fire Update                   | Steve McDowell                   | Keeping informed        | The board were updated the board on the findings from the work on learnings from the SIM’s fire. |
| Feedback on workshop sessions      | Carol McKenzie-Rex               | Oversight and monitoring | The board discussed local board workshop sessions. |
Exclusion of the Public: Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987

That the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

a) exclude the public from the following part(s) of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution follows.

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C1</th>
<th>Acquisition of open space - Māngere East</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</td>
<td>Particular interest(s) protected (where applicable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7.</td>
<td>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities. In particular, the report identifies land that council seeks to acquire for open space purposes. s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations). In particular, the report identifies land that council seeks to acquire for open space purposes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>