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1. Welcome

The Chair will open the meeting and welcome everyone present.

2. Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3. Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4. Confirmation of Minutes

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Tuesday, 11 December 2018 as true and correct.

5. Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6. Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7. Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8. Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Franklin Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1 Deputation - Franklin Family Support

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. Jessica Timmins, General Manager Franklin Family Support, will be in attendance to share plans for a community hub in Franklin.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the presentation regarding a community hub proposal and thank Jessica Timmins from Franklin Family Support for her attendance.
9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

9.1 Public Forum - CM Sport - Russell Preston

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. Russell Preston from CM Sport will be in attendance to update the Franklin Local Board on CM Sport activities.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. Russell Preston from CM Sport will provide a brief update on projects of interest, and will introduce the new Franklin Community Broker.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) thank Russell Preston from CM Sport for his attendance and for introducing the new Community Broker to the board.

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time
when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Auranga Open Space Development

File No.: CP2019/00044

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval for the proposed development of the Auranga Esplanade Reserve and Playground 1 at Bremner Road, Drury, Auckland.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Development of the Auranga Esplanade Reserves and Playground 1 will be carried out by Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd.

3. The esplanade and recreation reserve have been created as part of the residential development at Bremner Road and includes a boat jetty with viewing platform; shared path network; dog park; entrance signage; ‘Feeding the People’ feature; and playground with tower and slide, in-ground trampolines and mound play.

4. Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd have prepared concept plans for the Auranga Esplanade Reserves and Playground 1 in consultation with council staff and the local board following a local board workshop held on 20th November 2018. These are provided for the local board’s consideration and approval.

5. The proposed development of the Auranga Esplanade Reserves and Playground 1 form part of the wider Auranga open space network. The new network includes four 3000m² reserves, one 6000m² reserve and 5km of esplanade reserve along the north coastline and through the center of the development area.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) approve the Esplanade Stage 3 Landscape Development and Narrative Plans, prepared by LA4 Landscape Architects (Attachment A of the report entitled ‘Auranga Stage 3 Reserves and Playground’)

b) approve the Stage 1 Playspace and Café Concept Design Plans, prepared by Bespoke Landscape Architects (Attachment B of the report entitled ‘Auranga Stage 3 Reserves and Playground’).

c) delegate the approval of engineering detailed design to Community Services and Community Facilities.

Horopaki
Context

6. Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd, a land development organisation formed in March 2014, are overseeing the Auranga development in Drury, Auckland. The development of this land was initiated in 2016 when the site was rezoned through the Housing Accord and Special Housing Areas Act. The wider development involves the creation of approximately 1000 new homes across 85 hectares. The development will also include five new neighbourhood reserves, five kilometres of esplanade walkways and a neighborhood centre. The overall vision for Auranga is to provide residents with a connection to the outdoors Kiwis love.
7. The site is located 40km south of Auckland city and directly west of the Southern Motorway and Drury township. An additional 83 hectares of land south and west the subject site has been rezoned for development in a Plan Change granted in December 2018.

8. Earthworks have taken place over much of the site and civil works are currently underway.

9. Stage 1 of the development includes upgrades to Bremner Road, which is the main entry point to the Auranga development. Place making signage is proposed to welcome visitors to Auranga. The signage will be made from Corten Steel Strips with the word ‘Auranga’ cut out.

10. Stage 3 of the development includes the vesting of 9.5 hectares of open space along Drury Creek and the Ngakoroa Stream. Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd propose to develop this open space with a shared path network, boat jetty and viewing platform, dog park at the headland and ‘Feeding the People’ feature.

11. Stage 8 of the development includes the vesting of open space east of the esplanade reserve and adjacent to a commercial site (proposed cafe). Within this open space, a destination playground is proposed. This playground will include a tower and slide, in-ground trampolines and mound play. Picnic tables and a shade structure are also part of the playground proposal.

12. Parts of the esplanade reserve area are in council ownership and the relevant Land Owner Approvals have been sought. The remaining open space to vest is currently in private ownership and will be transferred to Auckland Council as part of the residential subdivision. This means the new assets within the reserves to vest will be owned and maintained by Auckland Council long term.

13. The development of the esplanade and playground will be completed by Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd in accordance with the Esplanade Stage 3 Landscape Development and Narrative Plans, prepared by LA4 Landscape Architects (Attachment A) and the Stage 1 Playspace and Café Concept Design Plans, prepared by Bespoke Landscape Architects (Attachment B). The esplanade and playground developments meet the Auckland Council standards and Auckland Council will be responsible for the ongoing management and maintenance of these reserves following handover to council.

14. The Esplanade Stage 3 Landscape Development and Narrative Plans, prepared by LA4 Landscape Architects (Attachment A) and the Stage 1 Playspace and Café Concept Design Plans, prepared by Bespoke Landscape Architects (Attachment B) have been developed following consultation with council staff and the Franklin Local Board at a workshop held on 20th November 2018.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

15. The proposal has been assessed from a maintenance, safety, accessibility and design perspective by council staff across Community Services and Community Facilities.

16. The land to be acquired for open space has been assessed against the Open Space Provision Policy 2016 and meets the criteria.

17. Parks, Sport and Recreation and Community Facilities staff have discussed the concept plans and support the preferred concept for the esplanade and playground development at Auranga. The landscape architecture team are satisfied that the design is acceptable for maintenance and has a robust design. Parks & Places are also supportive of the overall Channel Reserve proposal. The jetty has approval from the harbour master and all relevant resource consents have been obtained.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

18. Following consultation with the Auckland Council Landscape Architecture, Community Facilities Asset Management and Parks and Places, the following improvements to the concept plans have been made:
   a) Platforms for benches/seats have been widened to allow a wheelchair to sit adjacent to the bench/seat.
   b) The proposed fence south of the dog park has been replaced with signage and a natural visual cue.
   c) A dog bowl has been added to the water fountain. The water fountain has also been relocated west so it is within the sight line from the park-edge road.
   d) The timber edging has been removed from the hoggin path to reduce maintenance costs.
   e) Puriri Trees are now set back further from the shared path to avoid root intrusion.
   f) Rubbish bins are setback 5m from benches/seats to improve amenity.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe Local impacts and local board views

19. The proposal for the Esplanade and Playground Development was presented to the local board in a workshop on 20th November 2018. Feedback from the local board was supportive of the overall proposal. The following matters were raised at the workshop and have been considered and taken on board by Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd:

Esplanade Development

a) Jetty and viewing platform: The board raised the potential maintenance cost of the detail of the harbour on the viewing platform. Community Facilities will work through this with the developer at detailed design and discuss how materials and construction methodologies can achieve the desired outcome.

b) Dog Park at headland: The board suggested including a pool fence camouflaged within the riparian planting area to keep dogs out of the water and protect plants and habitats: This will be reflected in the detailed design.

c) Feeding the people: The wooden figures may be difficult to maintain so the board asked the developer to consider options for figures to be made of corten steel and attached to wooden posts. The developer agrees this is a good idea and is progressing design with the use of corten steel. Further, the developer has clarified that specific people will not be referenced on the stakes. Instead, figures that represent groups historically at this site will be referenced.

d) The board raised that wind and birds raise potential for litter to escape bins. The bin design has been updated to include a cage to ensure rubbish remains in bins.

Playground

e) The board were supportive of the concept plan and see this as a destination playground that people will drive to visit.

f) It is noted that the planter boxes for community vegetables will now be part of the café site and, therefore, will be privately maintained.
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Item 11

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori  
Māori impact statement

20. No direct impacts on iwi arising from this development have been identified.

21. Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd have undertaken 32 hui with Ngati te Ata, Akaitai, Ngati Tamaoho through the development of the Auranga site. Iwi have provided a Cultural Impact Statement (CIA) looking at the development as a whole. Key aspects of CIA relevant to the proposed development include support for vesting of esplanade reserve; the riparian margins being planted; park edge roads being developed adjoining the esplanade reserve; and 3m wide shared paths being developed along the coastline. Iwi have also been supportive of the development of the Local Centre opposite the esplanade reserve where the playground is proposed. Iwi have been presented the overall landscape masterplan for the site, which establishes an integrated design masterplan for the location of recreation amenity. Overall, the CIA is supportive of the approach by Auranga and monthly hui continue to take place to discuss project updates.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea  
Financial implications

22. The majority of the esplanade and playground development area is currently in private ownership. This excludes the area of existing esplanade along the coastline. It is agreed that all land with the proposed park assets will be transferred to Auckland Council upon subdivision. All recreational assets within the reserve will be owned by Auckland Council and the local board has delegated decision making responsibility for the development of the open space.

23. All construction costs will be funded and carried out by Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd. The annual operational costs of maintaining the proposed esplanade development (including boat jetty and dog park) has been estimated by Community Facilities to be between $7,800 and $12,800 per annum, subject to detailed design. The annual operational costs of maintaining the proposed playground development has been estimated by Community Facilities to be between $30,000 and $35,000 per annum, subject to detailed design. The funding for this will come from the operational expenditure budget. Council’s obligation for maintenance of the park assets will commence upon the completion of the assets. The procedure for inspections and handover to Community Facilities will be outlined in the Infrastructure Funding Agreement. There will be one agreement for the esplanade development and a second for the playground so that these may be delivered in stages.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga  
Risks and mitigations

24. Risks associated with the proposed esplanade and playground development primarily sit with Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd. In the unlikely event that Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd went into receivership the work could be left uncompleted. A performance bond will be taken by council prior to construction to cover this risk, as set out in the Infrastructure Funding Agreement. It is also noted that this is a low risk as the developer has a proven track record.

Ngā koringa ā-muri  
Next steps

25. The developer wishes to develop the Esplanade and the Playground in March 2019. Once approval for the concept plan has been provided, council staff will work with Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd on engineering details of the proposed developments to ensure the proposal meets the council’s park construction standards and is appropriate in regards to maintenance.
26. An Infrastructure Funding Agreement between Auckland Council and Karaka & Drury Consultant Ltd will also be entered into before works commence to manage asset handover and manage risk.

27. Parks Planning and Community Facilities staff (from the Landscape Architecture; Parks & Places and Asset Management teams) have reviewed the concept design and provided their approval.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
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<td></td>
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<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Under Separate Cover)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Stage 1 Playspace and Café Concept Design Plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(Under Separate Cover)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories
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Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Franklin Local Board on transport-related matters in their area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. A decision is not required this month but the report contains information about the following matters:
   - The wider 'context' involving a summary of the strategic projects or issues affecting the Franklin Local Board area, including information about the Integrated Corridor Management and Road Safety and Speed Management Programmes and the new Local Communities Road Safety Fund.
   - A brief update on the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF).
   - A response to Franklin Local Board's resolution FR/2018/48 that requested Auckland Transport investigate the cost of re-locating the Pukekohe Station.
3. Normally the monthly report includes a Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) project update but this month detailed information is included in a separate report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the report entitled ‘Auckland Transport update to the Franklin Local Board – February 2019’.

Horopaki
Context
4. Auckland Transport is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. Auckland Transport reports on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in the Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within and on behalf of their local communities.
5. Auckland Transport continues to deliver a number of strategic projects in the South and they are discussed below.

Integrated Corridor Management Programme (ICP)
6. Auckland Transport is working hard to develop a more integrated and strategic approach to the delivery of Auckland’s transport network. An early example of this approach was the New Network that re-modelled public transport services across Auckland.
7. The ICP continues this approach aiming to improve Auckland’s network of arterial roads in a planned and coordinated way. Figure 1 is a map showing the network of road ‘corridors’.
8. Although the ICP’s only direct impact on the FLB area is the work on Great South Road, this work will have significant indirect benefits as arterial routes are upgraded in a planned and coordinated manner. It is important that people are aware of the plan that was developed from the Auckland Transport Alignment Project (ATAP). After defining the transport infrastructure required to support growth in the ATAP, Auckland Council, Auckland Transport and central government worked together to develop the Regional Land Transport Plan that funds building infrastructure. The ICP project is the next step and is Auckland Transport’s method for maximizing economy and effectiveness delivering new transport infra-structure.

**Road Safety and Speed Management**

9. Road safety across Auckland and in the Franklin Local Board area has deteriorated over the last five years for a variety of reasons, including population growth, new demands on the road network, and more people walking, cycling and motorcycling.


11. Auckland Transport’s Board and Executive Leadership Team have recently endorsed an increased three-year investment in road safety engineering, a Speed Management Plan and behaviour change activities to reduce road trauma, including in the Franklin Local Board area.

12. In December 2018 the Auckland Transport Board gave permission to consult on proposed speed limit changes across the Auckland Region including in the Franklin Local Board area. This consultation will culminate in a set of changes to speed limit bylaws.
13. Last year Auckland Transport staff met with the Franklin Local Board and provided information about the proposals. The proposals are aligned with national policy that is designed to introduce safer speeds across the country.

14. The Franklin Local Board and the wider Franklin community will have an opportunity to formally provide their thoughts on the new speed bylaw soon. Consultation is planned to start in February or March 2019 and run for four weeks. Auckland Transport will make sure that it is well advertised. It is very important that the Franklin Local Board and community take advantage of this opportunity to state their views of the proposed changes, because after consultation, changes will start in August 2019.


Airport to Botany Rapid Transit Network (RTN)

16. A key part of Auckland Transport's public transport strategy is the plan to provide an east-west RTN that links Auckland Airport with Botany via Manukau. This project involves the New Zealand Transport Agency, Auckland Airport and Auckland Transport working together over a number of years to plan and build a bus or light rail link between these points.

17. After briefing southern local boards, Auckland Transport spent late 2018 analysing the merits of a shortlist of routes. During November and December 2018, the project team attended nine community open days across south and east Auckland.

18. Approximately 850 people attended and recorded around 500 written comments. The team had staff who spoke English, Tongan, Samoan, Maori, Chinese, Hindi and Urdu to ensure a variety of languages were available for people not fluent in English.

19. Key themes captured in feedback included; safety, connectivity, integration of the projects with other infrastructure in the southeast and southwest Auckland, and the impacts of growth and development.

20. There was strong support for the future RTN route to run from Botany to the Airport.

21. Technical work to confirm the preferred rapid transit route is ongoing.

22. The project also includes early improvements projects, including the new Puhinui Interchange, and the project has progressed from concept to preliminary design. The preliminary design process will be finished at the end February 2019.

23. In summary, this RTN, combined with the proposed Airport to CBD light rail route, gives people the ability to move quickly and efficiently both north-south to the Airport and CBD, as well as east-west. Benefits to Franklin will come from the new Puhinui interchange that will link rail commuters from the South to the new east-west connection, making it a more workable option to use public transport to travel from Franklin to work or other activities at the Airport or Botany.

Road Safety Funding for local communities

24. Road safety across Auckland is a priority. Auckland Transport is planning to allocate approximately $20 million over the 2019-20 and 2020-21 financial years to fund safety projects identified by local communities.

25. The Franklin Local Board will receive approximately $1 million in total. In March 2019, Auckland Transport will provide more details of the programme; the aim being that by June 2019 local boards working with Auckland Transport will have identified an initial programme of work.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF)

26. The Franklin Local Board’s LBTCF funding availability is summarised below.

Table 1 – LBTCF Financial Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funds available in current political term (includes 2019/20 FY)</th>
<th>$2,856,450</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</td>
<td>$1,654,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining budget left available to allocated by the end of the current political term</td>
<td>$1,202,396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. The board’s current or recently completed LBTCF projects are included in Table 2, below (NB: ROC = rough order of costs, and FEC = firm estimate of cost).

Table 2 – LBTCF Activity Update

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Progress/Current Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade of Beachlands town centre gardens</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• FEC estimate of $279,396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Spend to date = $263,063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First View Avenue, Beachlands</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation of new kerb and channel between Sunkist Bay and Wakelin Roads (both sides)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• FEC estimate of $347,097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Final cost = $315,339</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second View Avenue, Beachlands</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation of new kerb and channel between Puriri and Cherrie Roads (north side)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• FEC estimate of $340,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Final cost = $331,265</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station Road parking and pedestrian improvements</td>
<td>On 25-Sep-18, the board approved the project for detailed design and costs based on the ROC of $181,104.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A project to formalise and improve parking on Station and Birch Roads, and improve pedestrian safety by providing new footpath on Station Road, Pukekohe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ROC estimate of $181,104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pukekohe Flagtrax</td>
<td>The proposal has been investigated and was not supported by Auckland Transport. FLB supports the project but has given it a lower priority based on this advice and will continue to work with Auckland Transport to achieve the aim of having light poles with easily changeable flags,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A project to install Flagtrax banner arms on lampposts in the Pukekohe Town Centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ROC $147,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential LBTCF projects – rough orders of cost (ROC)

28. During late 2018 the Franklin Local Board identified a range of new LBTCF projects for investigation. In December 2018 ROC were reported to the Franklin Local Board. On
February 2019 the Franklin Local Board workedshopped the projects with officers from Auckland Transport and Auckland Council.

29. Table 3 (below) is a summary of the projects discussed at previous meetings and at the workshop.

### Table 3 – Potential LBTCF Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Estimated ROC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Ronald Avenue improvements, Glenbrook Beach**                                     | **Option 1:** $625,000 to $725,000  
  • Install only footpaths on both sides of Ronald Ave and one side of Fleet Street  
**Option 2:** $1,430,000 and $1,650,000.  
  • Kerb and channel on both sides of Ronald Ave, plus parking bays and footpaths both sides, plus stormwater infrastructure  
**Option 3:** $1,510,000 and $1,740,000  
  • Kerb and channel and footpath down both sides of Fleet Street  
**Fleet Street Angle Parking**  
  • Auckland Transport did not support angle parking on Ronald Ave. Adding an angle parking area on Fleet Street for approx. 8 cars costs an extra $40,000 to $50,000 |
| **172-174 Princes Street West kerb & channel, Pukekohe**                             | **Option 1:** $150,000 to $175,000  
  • Install 50m of kerb and channeling  
  • Build 130m of footpath along the reserve’s frontage |
| **Awhitu Road intersection flag lighting, Awhitu**                                   | **Option 1:** $165,000 to $190,000.  
  • New kerb and channel on both sides between Karaka and Beachlands intersections(110m) including re-instatement of the berms |
| **Tourist Road-Monument Road intersection electronic warning signage, Clevedon**     | **Option 1:** $170,000 to $200,000  
  • New kerb and channel on the north side only in front of the domain (227m) including re-instatement of the berms |
| **Shelly Bay Road kerb & channel, Beachlands**                                      | **Option 1:** $460,000 to $540,000  
  • New kerb and channel on both sides between Bell and Pohutukawa intersections (311m) including re-instatement of the berms |
| **First View Avenue kerb and channel, Beachlands**                                  | **Option 1:** $215,000 to $250,000  
  • New kerb and channel on the south side only between Cherrie and Sunbist intersections (286m) including re-


30. The outcomes from this workshop were that the Franklin Local Board will consider:
   
a) Combining the four Beachlands kerb and channel projects into one larger project and start detailed design work; the aim of which is to develop a firm estimate of the potential cost of delivery.

b) Progressing the installation of electronic signage at the Monument and Tourist Road intersection and start detailed design work.

c) Not progressing the kerb and channel work proposed on Princes Street West or Ronald Ave, pending further information from AT on timing for footpathing in this area as part of the growth-funded footpaths programme, developer-funded plans for footpaths in this area, and information on the role of footpaths in the development of the park in the area.

31. A detailed decision report is included in this month’s agenda that outlines the Board’s considerations and provides draft recommendations for resolution.

Responses to resolutions

32. At the Franklin Local Board business meeting in April 2018, the board passed the following resolutions:

   Resolution number FR/2018/48

   That the Franklin Local Board:

   b) request Auckland Transport to commission a full building investigation/structural report on the old station building and, if the building is deemed possible to relocate, also estimated costs for both relocating the building and reinstating it on a new site with upgrades as necessary to comply with building code standards.

   c) request Auckland Transport to provide any previous assessment reports on track placement behind bus location, to allow station to remain in current position.

33. The Franklin Historical Society and supporting organisations have appealed to the Franklin Local Board to investigate options for preserving Pukekohe's rail history by retaining the station building (or parts of it) on or in the vicinity of the rail platform.

34. The current Pukekohe rail station cannot stay in its current location. Auckland Transport and Kiwi Rail are improving rail services in this area and the existing platform will have approximately 3m cut off one side to allow for installation of a new rail track running through the station. The new line is required to keep pace with increasing demand for rail services from the Waikato. The requirement to reduce the width of the platform to enable better rail services means that the existing station building will no longer fit on the platform.

35. Auckland Transport commissioned a $15,000 study from GHD Architects to respond to the request made by the Franklin Local Board in this resolution. The study is included in this report as Attachment A.

36. The total cost of moving the station to a new location and refurbishing it was estimated at approx. $2.7 million dollars. This cost did not include purchase or lease of a site. Full details of the costs are in the attached report.

37. The board have requested that Auckland Transport pay for further investigation in consultation with the community about opportunities to retain elements of the station either on or near the Pukekohe Train Station platform.

38. Meeting this request on the platform will be difficult because of the platform’s reduced size and would require development of bespoke (or ‘one-off’) designs for the new shelters. Auckland Transport is not funded to deliver a bespoke design solution.
39. Development of bespoke design solutions, from initial design to the technical design stage is estimated at $80,000 over and above the $15,000 already spent on the relocation feasibility work. The estimated cost considers that bespoke projects require considerable technical input to design well, and the size of the reduced platform and predicted increased use of the facility will present significant space challenges.

40. Auckland Transport believes that it is unlikely that a cost-effective design solution can be developed for using parts of the demolished station on the new platform. The most likely way to achieve the Franklin Local Board’s intent is by using parts of the station in nearby parts of Pukekohe.

41. Auckland Transport is unwilling to create an impression through consultation or funding of further design work that suggests that a bespoke solution can be delivered on the platform. Auckland Transport’s position is that the most cost-effective solutions will be delivered off the platform. It is important to remember that Auckland Transport’s purpose is to deliver Auckland’s Transport network. Therefore paying to investigate using parts of the old station in or around other parts of Pukekohe may be a questionable use of transport funds.

42. Auckland Transport is, however, willing to support further third-party or board-led exploration into opportunities to celebrate or retain Pukekohe’s rail heritage at the discretion of the local board by;
   - Providing advice to any Franklin Local Board or other third party-funded design study.
   - Negotiating the preservation of parts of the station during demolition so that they can be removed to storage and re-used (providing the total cost of demolition remains the same).
   - Incorporating pictures provided via the Franklin Local Board on the new shelter’s translucent protective coating that captures the history of rail in the area.

Local projects and activities

Pukekohe Travel Demand proposal

43. The Franklin Local Board has asked if Auckland Transport can support a project within the Pukekohe area that would promote a change in travel behaviour and encourage more people to use the public transport options available, particularly local bus services but also the train.

44. Discussions have been held with Auckland Transport’s Travel Demand Manager, who has indicated that his team would be keen to work on a travel demand management plan/approach for Pukekohe. The exact form of that plan or approach will need to be developed.

45. It is proposed that the Travel Demand Team develops a draft Plan of Action, which will now be ready to share in February/March 2019, with a view to implement the plan by the end of June 2019.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

46. The impact of the information in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

47. Auckland Transport provides the Franklin Local Board with the opportunity to comment on transport projects being delivered in the local board area.

48. The board’s views on any proposed schemes are taken into account during consultation on those proposals.

Auckland Transport consultations

49. Over the holiday period, Auckland Transport has not invited the local board to provide their feedback on any projects.

Traffic Control Committee resolutions

50. Traffic Control Committee (TCC) decisions from December 2018 and January 2019 are reported in this section of the report. (See Table 4)

Table 4 Traffic Control Committee Decisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Twilight Road, Brookby Road</td>
<td>Brookby</td>
<td>No Right Turn, Lane Arrow Markings,</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flush Median, Edge Line, Give-Way Control,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Passing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Lachlan Drive</td>
<td>Beachlands</td>
<td>60kmph Permanent Speed Limit</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfriston Road, Brookby Road,</td>
<td>Ardmore</td>
<td>Lane Arrow Markings, Edge Lines, Flush</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfriston-Ardmore Road</td>
<td></td>
<td>Median, Give-Way Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

51. The proposed decision of receiving the report or requesting cost estimates has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori, or consideration of impacts and opportunities, will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

52. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no financial implications.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

53. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no risks.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

54. Auckland Transport will provide another update report to the board at the next monthly meeting.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Auckland Transport has advised the Franklin Local Board that the Pukekohe Station Building will need to be either demolished or removed prior to electrification. As the Franklin Local Board would like to see the building saved rather than demolished, it passed a resolution as follows:

Resolution number FR/2018/48

MOVED by Member A Cole, seconded by Deputy Chairperson A Baker:

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the report entitled ‘Auckland Transport update to the Franklin Local Board – April 2018’.

b) request Auckland Transport to commission a full building investigation/structural report on the old station building and, if the building is deemed possible to relocate, also estimated costs for both relocating the building and reinstating it on a new site with upgrades as necessary to comply with building code standards.

c) request Auckland Transport to provide any previous assessment reports on track placement behind bus location, to allow station to remain in current position.

CARRIED

1.2 Initial Scope of Work Proposed by GHD

GHD was requested to undertake investigations and provide a cost estimate to re-locate the Pukekohe Station building. A proposal was provided to AT to undertake detailed investigation to enable development of a cost estimate.

The proposal included:

- Review of past reports and liaison with Kiwi Rail on logistics of working on their site
- An as-built of the building and site features in CAD
- Structural and building condition survey with respect to building re-location
- Options for re-location
- A cost estimate for the relocation by a Quantity Surveyor.
1.3 Agreed Scope of Work

The proposal was discussed further and it was agreed that a high-level cost estimate was to be prepared rather than a detailed cost estimate on the basis that a high-level cost estimate would determine whether further detailed assessments were necessary.

1.4 Purpose of this report

The purpose of this report is to provide a high-level cost estimate for the re-location of the Pukekohe Rail Station building to enable a decision on the future of the building.

1.5 Scope and limitations

This report has been prepared by GHD for Auckland Transport and may only be used and relied on by Auckland Transport for the purpose agreed between GHD and the Auckland Transport as set out in section 1.2 of this report.

GHD otherwise disclaims responsibility to any person other than Auckland Transport arising in connection with this report. GHD also excludes implied warranties and conditions, to the extent legally permissible.

The services undertaken by GHD in connection with preparing this report were limited to those specifically detailed in the report and are subject to the scope limitations set out in the report.

The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on conditions encountered and information reviewed at the date of preparation of the report. GHD has no responsibility or obligation to update this report to account for events or changes occurring subsequent to the date that the report was prepared.

The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on assumptions made by GHD described in the report (refer section 1.3. of this report). GHD disclaims liability arising from any of the assumptions being incorrect.

GHD has prepared this report on the basis of information provided by Auckland Transport and others who provided information to GHD (including Government authorities), which GHD has not independently verified or checked beyond the agreed scope of work. GHD does not accept liability in connection with such unverified information, including errors and omissions in the report which were caused by errors or omissions in that information.
2. **Key Considerations and Limitations**

The following criteria and limitations were considered at the commencement of the investigations:

1. Auckland Transport is responsible for the building, however it is owned by Kiwi Rail. Prior to any investigation work, GHD/AT is required to obtain an agreement from Kiwi Rail’s representative for site assessments. GHD has liaised with Kiwi Rail with respect to the scope of work for a detailed assessment and agreed that a meeting on site with the Kiwi Rail engineering team would be beneficial in terms of considering site constraints and access out of the site.

2. At this stage, a relocation address has not been confirmed. This is an important future consideration as the building will need to be re-sited at a suitable site with access to drainage (water, sewage, stormwater and other services) and relocation costs can vary depending on the location. GHD has been advised to assume that relocation will be at a level site where all services are available. It has also been assumed the building will not be utilised as part of the rail network corridor operations therefore no consideration is required for works in the rail corridor with respect to re-siting of the building.

3. GHD have been advised by AT that the building is not a Heritage building. However, this needs to be re-confirmed as there are varying points of views on this issue. A separate assessment by a specialist and a report would be required for Heritage buildings.

4. The building will need to be re-assembled at the new site to its original design. GHD assumes this includes the veranda and the existing columns and also expect a concrete platform will be required.

5. From previous records on investigations of the relocation of the building, a report states that $1.5 million was estimated, however, this is assumed to be a high level estimate based on similar work for the Papakura station building which was carried out at the time for the report.

6. It has been assumed that Kiwi Rail will require the site to be made good after the building is re-located.

3. **Methodology**

The methodology adopted to develop a high-level cost estimate included the following:

1. Review of background information;

2. Arrangements with re-location company representatives, structural engineers experienced with building relocations, building surveyors, Kiwi Rail and Quantity Surveyors;

3. Site meeting, building inspection and assessment of re-location options;

4. Development of methodologies and costing by structural engineers and re-location company representatives; and

5. Development of overall high-level cost estimate by Quantity Surveyor.

4. **Site Inspection and Assessment**

A site inspection was undertaken by GHD, structural engineers, relocation company representatives, building surveyors and quantity surveyor.
The building dimensions were measured to ascertain the method of removal. The building condition was visually inspected to ascertain feasibility of the method of removal. Structural assessment was undertaken to determine temporary structural strengthening to enable lifting of the building. A relocation methodology was developed to enable estimating the costs of removal.

A brief report by CoveKinloch is provided in Appendix A summarising the outcomes of the assessment.

5. High Level Cost Estimate

A high-level cost estimate was developed by Quantity Surveyors from Rawlinsons and a summary is provided in Table 1 below. A detail breakdown is provided in Appendix A.

Table 1 High Level Cost Estimate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheduled Items</th>
<th>Cost Estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Removal to Storage Facility</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move station and make good</td>
<td>$398,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Contractors OH&amp;P</td>
<td>$128,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and Consents</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$721,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GST</strong></td>
<td>$108,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Including GST</strong></td>
<td><strong>$829,725</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reinstatement and Refurbishment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport to new location</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siteworks, infrastructure and foundations</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building refurbishment</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verandah Refurbishment</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Contractors OH&amp;P</td>
<td>$126,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and Consents</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,671,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GST</strong></td>
<td>$250,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Including GST</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,922,225</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL FOR BOTH STAGES</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,751,950</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exclusions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage facility Costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation from July 2018 (programme unknown)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ref: AKL11160-2

26 July 2018

GHD Ltd
GHD Centre Level 3
27 Napier Street, Freemans Bay
Auckland 1010

Attention: Vijesh Chandra
Email: Vijesh.chandra@ghd.com

Re: Pukekohe Station – Building Re-location Summary

Further to your request dated 11th July 2018, the cost estimate for relocating the Pukekohe Station building was carried out to the following process:

- An initial site inspection with a Building Removal Company, Structural Engineer and a Quantity Surveyor.
- The Building Removal Company prepared a basic lift plan describing the method to remove and relocate the building.
- Structural review and recommendations in relation to the lifting and jacking plan by a Structural Engineer.
- The Quantity Surveyor prepared a high level cost estimate for the removal, relocation and set up of the station building in a new location, and inputted costs from the Building Removal Company. Please refer to the attached cost estimate for limitations.

This summary report collated information from a combination of the Building Removal Company and the Structural Engineer. The Lifting plan and Jacking plan diagrams are a basic representation on the relocation methodology.

It is recommended that any further works would require as-built drawings, a condition report, and a structural assessment to provide a detailed methodology and costs for relocation, should the client wish to proceed.

The estimated cost for Removal to Storage Facility: $721,500 Excl. GST
The estimated cost for Reinstatement and Refurbishment: $1,671,500 Excl. GST
TOTAL FOR BOTH STAGES: $2,393,000 Excl. GST

We recommend meeting with our team to discuss possible avenues for cost savings.

Yours sincerely

Thraim Viggosson
Building Consultant
On behalf of Covekinloch New Zealand Ltd
Pukekohe Railway Station Relocation Summary

Building Description:

- The building is 30.0m long x 5.3m wide with a 3.0m cantilevered canopy overhang on one side and a self-supporting canopy on the other side.
- The building is approximately 3.2m high to the eaves and 5.3m high to the apex.
- The building is all timber framed with a lightweight roof and wall cladding, and a timber floor on timber subfloor and piles.
- The roof framing appeared to be in a reasonably good condition and dry. There were ceiling joists spanning between side walls, rafters spanning between side walls and a ridge board at the apex and with a mid-span strut supported in the ceiling joists. i.e. a possible crude truss type of roof arrangement.
- The subfloor was not fully accessible except for one corner of the building. Most visible floor/subfloor members appeared to be in a bad condition with obvious signs of rotting, however this may not be representative for the entire floor/subfloor structure. A closer examination is required when the structure is jacked. There were 4 lines of bearers running along the building at equal spacing but could not measure the span. The bearers were 90x70 so I would assume the span would have been within 1.5m give or take at the very most referring to NZS3604.
- The wall framing could not be accessed however there were no visual signs of deterioration.
Proposed Relocation Scheme:

- The self-supporting canopy will be separated and transported in pieces.
- The main building then will be split in equal halves in the across direction and transported separately.
- 4 Tonne SWL jacks are proposed to be placed under the perimeter and internal bearers of each section and spaced at 2.5m centres with provision to spread the loads under the wall plates/bearers. Refer to Figure 1.
- Once jacked and assuming no serious damage to the subfloor structure, a steel frame will be placed below the subfloor and lifted into the back of a moving truck using a 4 point lift set by way of an H pattern frame. Please refer to Figure 2.
- The top spreader will be set at 9m in length. The two 6m spreaders will be hung off of each end, from there 4m strops will suspend four independent 17m boat slings. Refer to Figure 2.
- These slings will be cantilevered off of each end wall approx. 1.5m and the 2nd sling from each end will approximately be at a 2.5m centre.
- The buildings once airborne will then be swung out of the rail area and each onto a hydraulic platform trailer.
- Transport to storage facility within 25km radius.

Structural Modifications:

- One cross bracing frame at the cut end of each section and one cross braced frame in the middle of each section. I.e. total 4 cross bracing frames.
- The proposed lifting frame is required to support the existing floor bearers at no more than 2.5m centres. Please refer to Figure 2 mark ups in red. The steel frame will be delivered to site in kits and will be site welded/bolted as necessary so that the frame can fit between the jacks. The four point lifting can be maintained.
- It is proposed to cut the top part of the roof in order to comply with the maximum height of the transportable section, and temporary collar ties will be added at the top of the rafters directly below the cut.

Further Structural Modifications:

For the basis of this exercise it has been assumed it is likely that the floor will need to be completely replaced due to its existing condition and difficulty meeting current Building Code requirements of B1 and B2 on a new site.
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GENERAL

1.1 Introduction

This estimate is for the removal of the Rail station to an intermediate storage facility followed by a secondary move to a new site (TBC) along with a refurbishment back to original standards

1.2 Executive Summary

The Estimated Costs are

**Removal to Storage Facility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Move station and make good</td>
<td>$398,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Contractors OH&amp;P</td>
<td>$128,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and Consents</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$721,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GST</strong></td>
<td>$108,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Including GST</strong></td>
<td>$829,725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reinstatement and Refurbishment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transport to new location</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siteworks, infrastructure and foundations</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building refurbishment</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verandah Refurbishment</td>
<td>$126,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Contractors OH&amp;P</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees and Consents</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$1,671,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GST</strong></td>
<td>$250,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Including GST</strong></td>
<td>$1,922,225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL FOR BOTH STAGES** $2,751,950

**Exclusions**

- Storage facility Costs
- Land costs
- Legal costs
- Inflation from July 2018 (programme unknown)
CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

2.1 Estimate Inclusions and Assumptions

This Estimate includes allowances for the following:

- Construction Costs
- Demolition Costs
- Design and Local Authority Fees
- Contingency allowance

2.2 Estimate Exclusions

This Estimate makes no allowance for the following:

- Storage facility Costs
- Land costs
- Legal costs
- Inflation from July 2018
## Preliminary Cost Estimate

### Puketoke Rail Station

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Removal to Storage Facility</strong></td>
<td>1.5 m³</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide all access ways and safety net fencing and/or hoardings necessary for the protection of the public and property. Allow to obtain all necessary permits from statutory bodies and comply with all local byelaws.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow to dig to expose foundations and dispose off site</td>
<td>150 m²</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$45,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Removal to storage facility as per quote</td>
<td>1 item</td>
<td>$126,000.00</td>
<td>$126,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisional allowance for replace/reinforce external bearings</td>
<td>1 item</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow to remove all foundations and make good platform</td>
<td>500 m²</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Contractors Profit Margin</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>$48,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>$105,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Costs</td>
<td>1 item</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Fees</td>
<td>15 %</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$721,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total incl GST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,402,225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reinstatement and Refurbishment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allow to transport from storage facility to new location</td>
<td>1 item</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of land purchase excluded</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>note</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare new site with groundwork and infrastructure.</td>
<td>500 m²</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundations for building</td>
<td>200 m²</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow to refurbish/replace the building with similar materials to original including code compliance and new services</td>
<td>200 m²</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow to reinstatement both verandahs including replacement of roofs, heating and new timber barge boards etc. Ornate steelwork to be reused</td>
<td>230 m²</td>
<td>$550.00</td>
<td>$126,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Contractors Profit Margin</td>
<td>15 %</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>$130,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Costs</td>
<td>1 item</td>
<td>$25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Fees</td>
<td>15 %</td>
<td>$1,050,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,671,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total incl GST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,322,225.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Exclusions
- Storage Facility costs
- Land costs
BASIS OF ESTIMATE

3.1 Basis of Estimate

This Estimate has been based on the following documentation:

- Site Visit
- Craig Walker Building Removal quote

3.2 Estimate Details

Refer to the following pages for estimate details.

3.3 Estimate Benchmarking

Rates used are a mix of quotes from specialists along with benchmarking against historical timber properties.
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Franklin Local Board Transport Capital Fund

File No.: CP2019/01227

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of this report is to support decisions about Franklin Local Board’s Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) projects.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Transport manages the LBTCF on behalf of the Franklin Local Board. On an as required basis, Auckland Transport reports on progress, provides advice and supports decision-making.
3. This month the board needs to make decisions in relation a number of LBTCF projects.
4. The options were workshopped on 5 February 2019 and this report records the options discussed and provides the opportunity for the board to formalise its intentions by resolution.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) accept the rough order of cost provided by Auckland Transport of $60-80,000 for installing electronic warnings signs and ‘smart studs’ at the intersection of Monument and Tourist Roads, and request that Auckland Transport conduct detailed design then report back with a firm estimate of cost for the board to consider.

b) request that Auckland Transport combine the following four projects into one project known as “Beachlands Kerb and Channel Improvements 2019”, incorporating:

   i. new kerb and channel on both sides of Shelley Bay Road between Karaka and Beachlands Road intersections.

   ii. new kerb and channel on the north side of Karaka Road in front of Beachlands Domain.

   iii. new kerb and channel on both sides of First View Avenue between the Bell and Pohutukawa Road intersections.

   iv. new kerb and channel on the south side of Second View between Cherrie and Sunkist Road intersections

   a) accept the rough order of cost provided by Auckland Transport of $1.01 – $1.18 million for installing new kerb and channel in four locations across Beachlands in a project known as “Beachlands Kerb and Channel Improvements 2019”, and request that Auckland Transport conduct detailed design then report back with a firm estimate of cost for the board to consider.

Horopaki
Context
5. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport
infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme. Projects must also:

- Be safe.
- Not impede network efficiency.
- Be in the road corridor (although projects running through parks may be considered if they support a transport outcome).

6. Franklin Local Board’s LBTCF currently has approximately $2.8 million, of which approximately $1.6 million is allocated to a number of projects. This leaves approximately $1.2 million able to be allocated. See Table 1 (below) for detailed figures.

7. The Franklin Local Board’s LBTCF funding availability is summarised below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 – LBTCF Financial Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funds available in current political term (includes 2019/20 FY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining budget left available to allocated by the end of the current political term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. The large amount of LBTCF still unallocated by the Franklin Local Board creates a risk because time for delivery means that the board may not spend all of its allocated LBTCF. If the board does wish to fully utilise its funds it should make decisions as early as possible.

9. This report records the results of the workshop on 5 February 2019.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

10. During late 2018 the Franklin Local Board identified a range of new LBTCF projects for investigation. In December 2018 rough orders of cost (ROC) were reported to the board for all but one of these projects. A ROC is a quick estimate of the project’s feasibility and possible cost.

11. Current information about these potential projects including the ROC is listed below in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 – Potential LBTCF Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Avenue improvements, Glenbrook Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- New kerb &amp; channel (k&amp;c), formalised parking bays and footpaths (400m each side)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 13

$1,650,000.
- Note: This does not include any allowance for stormwater quality treatment. Auckland Transport advises that there may be significant stormwater drainage issues in the area.

#### Option 3
- Kerb and channel and footpath down both sides of Fleet Street
- The pre-concept ROC ranges between $1,510,000 and $1,740,000.
- Note: This does not include any allowance for stormwater quality treatment. Auckland Transport advises that there may be significant stormwater drainage issues in the area.

*Note: The cost of a full investigation of stormwater drainage issues is likely to cost between $50,000-$80,000*

#### Option 4
- To add in an angle parking area on Fleet Street for approx. 8 cars, add $40,000 to $50,000 to other options.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>172-174 Princes Street West kerb &amp; channel, Pukekohe</th>
<th>ROC ranges from $150,000 to $175,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Install 50m of kerb and channeling</td>
<td>Note: there are potential drainage issues in the area; the ROC does not include any drainage works additional to those normally required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Build 130m of footpath along the reserve’s frontage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awhitu Road intersection flag lighting, Awhitu</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Installing flag lighting at remaining intersections that aren’t lit</td>
<td>This project was not discussed because although Counties Power has been asked to provide advice on the most cost effective method of providing flag lighting, advice was not available on the day.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tourist Road-Monument Road intersection electronic warning signage, Clevedon</th>
<th>The ROC ranges from $40,000 to $50,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Motion-activated warning signs on each of the Tourist Road approaches to the intersection, supported with smart studs on the centreline approaches</td>
<td>If smart studs are not required, the ROC may be reduced to $20,000 to $30,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shelly Bay Road kerb and channel, Beachlands</th>
<th>The ROC ranges from $165,000 to $190,000.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- New kerb and channel on both sides between Karaka</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **12.** Over time working together with Auckland Transport and Auckland Council officers the Franklin Local Board has identified a range of reasonably practicable options for the achievement of the board’s objectives. The information provided in Table 2 is an early estimate of the costs including options to help with consideration by the board.  

**13.** Auckland Transport and Auckland Council officers supported the Franklin Local Board to assess the options and further prioritise them in terms of their advantages and disadvantages at a workshop on 5 February 2019. The analysis of options is detailed below under each project heading.  

**14.** Study of the options indicates that none involve a significant decision in relation to land or a body of water, so iwi consultation was not undertaken at this time. Projects that continue will be reviewed again, and if required iwi will be consulted and any concerns or suggestions considered in planning.  

**15.** At the end of the workshop, the Franklin Local Board indicated a number of recommendations and the following paragraphs record these.  

**16.** **Electronic Signage at the Intersection of Monument and Tourist Roads**  
The local board discussed this project and felt that the safety concerns at this intersection warranted immediate action by the Franklin Local Board.  
Waiting and investigating other funding options (i.e. requesting Auckland Transport road safety budget) were discussed, and officers provided advice to members about Auckland Transport’s road safety programme including funding the project from that source. The key advantage of using the LBTCF was that work on this intersection would start sooner and the speed of delivery could be influenced by the Franklin Local Board.  
The Franklin Local Board indicated support for this project and a recommendation to release LBTCF money for detailed design is included above.  

**17.** **Ronald Avenue Improvements** |
The local board discussed this project at length and three different levels of delivery were provided to the local board before the meeting for consideration.

Key technical advice was that this area has storm-water drainage issues. Building kerb and channel changing footpaths changes disturbs water flow so requires integration with any planned storm-water work. Franklin Local Board and officers thought that this meant that to address the problems the area faces, it was more sensible not to fund this project at this time. The Board will work with Healthy Waters to confirm plans for the area, then, when planning is confirmed, they will decide whether or not support from the LBTCF is required.

18. **Beachlands Kerb and Channel**

The local board discussed four separate projects in this area:

i. new kerb and channel on both sides of Shelley Bay Road between the Karaka and Beachlands intersections.

ii. new kerb and channel on the north side off Karaka Road in front of Beachlands domain.

iii. new kerb and channel on both sides of First View Avenue between the Bell and Pohutukawa Road intersections.

iv. new kerb and channel on the south side of Second View between the Cherrie and Sunkist Road intersections.

After discussion of the projects and consideration of a range of options, the members felt that it made sense to combine these projects and consider them together. The map in Figure 2 shows the locations of the proposed projects.

Combining the projects maximizes economy of scale during design and delivery. This means that more work should be able to be completed in less time and at lower cost. The board felt that installing more kerb and channel in Beachlands was a sensible idea and decided to support the combined project and recommendations. Combining the existing projects and requesting detailed design start and releasing money from the LBTCF is included above.
19. **New Kerb and Channel 172-174 Princes Street West**

Franklin Local Board discussed using the LBTCF to build new kerb and channel along a small section of Princes Street West. The members did not prioritise this project at this time because they felt it is not urgent and that other funding streams are likely to be available. The members believe that these options needed to be fully investigated before LBTCF was used and requested that Auckland Transport return with more information.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

20. The projects discussed in this report were workshopped with the Franklin Local Board on 5 February 2019 and possible impacts on other Council groups highlighted. The investigation and design process will draw out any impacts and these will be reported in future documentation and reports.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

21. The projects discussed in this report were workshopped with the Franklin Local Board on 5 February 2019 and their views are recorded above.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

22. The projects discussed are at an early stage and do not require iwi liaison yet. If the Franklin Local Board decided to invest in more detailed design work then there may be a requirement for iwi liaison.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

23. The requests have a financial implication to the Franklin Local Board. Generally, the cost of detailed design is estimated at approximately ten per cent of the overall cost. This means that the board could be committing to investing up-to approximately $120,000 of the LBTCF in design work. In this case the projects are technically simple and in the road corridor, and Auckland Transport advice is that the design costs are likely to be lower.

24. If these projects are delivered the board will probably have allocated its full LBTCF. Detailed design will provide a clearer picture of the final costs.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

25. There are no significant risks. However, it should be noted that if both projects are at the higher end of the rough cost estimate there may be a requirement reduce the size of the Beachland kerb and channel improvements. The local board and officers noted this risk and at the workshop the members prioritized the Beachlands work. The priority is:

   i. New kerb and channel on the north side of Karaka Road in front of Beachlands Domain
   ii. First View Avenue kerb and channel
   iii. Shelly Bay Road kerb and channel
   iv. Second View Avenue kerb and channel

26. If a project needs to be postponed because of a higher-than-expected firm cost estimate, this list clearly shows the projects with lowest priority that would be delayed.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

27. If the recommendations are approved, Auckland Transport will immediately start work to fulfil the requests. Auckland Transport will report on progress monthly.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ben Stallworthy, Elected Member Relationship Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon, Manager Elected Member Relationship Manager, Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback on draft Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039

File No.: CP2019/00877

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report


Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. To respond to population growth and demographic change, Auckland Council has developed a plan for how it will invest in sport for the next 20 years.

3. The Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039 seeks to:
   - increase participation in sport by targeting communities of greatest need and addressing disparities
   - deliver a broad range of programmes, services and facilities that respond to the diverse needs of Auckland’s communities
   - address population growth and changing sport preferences through regular assessments of, and changes to, programmes, services and facilities to maximise participation.

4. The draft plan was endorsed by the Environment and Community Committee in December 2018 for public consultation [CP2018/07771].

5. This report invites local boards to formally indicate their support for the draft plan and any additional feedback on the draft plan they would like the committee to consider prior to the plan’s adoption.

6. Staff have engaged with local boards informally at various stages throughout the development of the plan. Local boards members’ views and concerns have helped shape the key components of the plan.

7. A summary of consultation feedback will be prepared for the Environment and Community Committee for consideration. Final decision on the adoption of the plan will be sought in May 2019.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Franklin Local Board:

a) endorse the draft Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039 and provide any additional feedback for consideration of the Environment and Community Committee.

Horopaki
Context

The plan sets out a new investment approach, which better responds to growth and the changing needs of Aucklanders
8. Auckland is experiencing rapid population growth and social change. Our diverse communities have different preferences and requirements for sport and recreation activities.

9. The draft Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039 is the council’s response to these changes. It sets out a new approach to regional investment in sports facilities, programmes and services for Aucklanders over the next 20 years.

10. The plan will:
   - target communities of greatest need and address disparities
   - deliver a broad range of programmes, services and facilities that respond to the diverse needs of Auckland’s communities
   - address population growth and changing sport preferences through regular assessments of, and changes to, programmes, services and facilities.

11. The draft plan has three main sections:
   - Section one: ‘Why we invest’ explains the reasons for having an investment plan and the strategic context.
   - Section two: ‘What we invest in’ explains the outcomes sought from the council’s sports investment, the scope and focus of that investment and the principles that will guide future investment decisions.
   - Section three: ‘How we will work’ explains the investment framework that will be adopted to achieve the outcomes.

12. The development of the draft plan involved several Governing Body decisions, local board engagement, public consultation and research. The attached draft plan provides the chronological timeline for developing the plan.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

Adoption of the plan will contribute to multiple strategic outcomes

13. Sport is one of the key areas the council invests in to deliver on the ‘Belonging and Participation’ outcome in Auckland Plan 2050.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Belonging and Participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Focus Area 7</strong> – Recognise the value of arts, culture, sports and recreation to quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direction 1</strong> – Foster an inclusive Auckland where everyone belongs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direction 2</strong> – Improve health and wellbeing for all Aucklanders by reducing disparities in opportunities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. The draft plan focuses on delivering a single outcome: increasing Aucklanders’ participation in community sport. This aligns with the vision of the Auckland Sport and Recreation Strategic Action Plan 2014-2024: ‘Aucklanders: more active, more often.’

15. The multiple benefits delivered to local communities through increased sport participation will also contribute directly to the health, social and economic outcomes for Aucklanders as set out in the local board plans.

Achieving the desired outcomes requires putting people at the heart our investment

16. The plan sets out a targeted, people-centric approach to increase sport participation in Auckland. Future sport investment will focus on meeting the needs of three distinct groups of people:
1. **People who already play sport:**
   There will be more fit-for-purpose facilities and programmes to keep them actively involved in sport.

2. **People who play a new sport (like futsal)**
   Currently there are limited opportunities to play, but in the future, there will be more fit-for-purpose facilities and programmes that cater to new and emerging sports.

3. **People who currently do not participate in sport**
   Auckland Council will create more opportunities and make it easier for them to take up sport.

17. A key step towards increasing sport participation rates in these targeted groups is through addressing disparities.

18. Decision-makers will use a set of principles to prioritise multiple investment projects. ‘Equity’ has the highest weighing to ensure all Aucklanders enjoy the same outcomes. The full list of principles includes:
   - Equity (40 per cent of assessment) – Sport investment should ensure equity of outcomes across the population regardless of age, gender, ethnicity, socio-economic status or where people live.
   - Outcome-focused (30 per cent) – There needs to be a clear line of sight between each investment and the outcomes it delivers.
   - Financial sustainability (30 per cent) – Investment decisions need to be financially sustainable for council and sports organisations.
   - Accountability (10 per cent) – Auckland Council has responsibility to act in the best interests of Auckland.

**There will be changes to the way we currently invest in sport**

19. The draft plan sets out four key shifts in our approach as illustrated in Figure 1 below.
Figure 1: Key shifts

20. Figure 2 below explains the reasons for these key shifts, the problems they will tackle and the benefits for Aucklanders.
21. The plan will ensure robust, evidence-based decision-making and ongoing monitoring of benefits delivered to our communities.

22. Performance data will be collected to measure the return on investment. This will be shared with investors and ratepayers.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views**

23. Once adopted, the plan will have a direct impact on council’s internal investment processes, particularly regarding how future projects will be assessed and monitored.

24. Parks, Sport and Recreation staff have been engaged throughout the development of the draft plan. Their feedback, particularly regarding how the proposed changes can be adopted in practice, has helped shape the investment framework and the scenarios in the draft plan.

25. Parks, Sport and Recreation staff have also facilitated the council’s engagement with the sport sector through Aktive, the council’s strategic partner for sport. The general feedback is supportive of the new investment approach to improve participation and deliver better outcomes for Aucklanders.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

The local boards have an important role to play in shaping the draft plan

26. The local boards have an important role to play in the council’s sport investment. They:

- set outcomes and priorities for local sport investment through local board plans
- identify local sports facility and programmes needs and advocate for investment through the Long-term Plan and Annual Budget processes
- have allocated decision-making responsibility for local sport facilities and initiatives including:
  - the specific location, design, build and fit-out of new local sports facilities within budget parameters agreed with the Governing Body
  - the use of local sport facilities and initiatives including leasing and changes of use
  - local recreation and sports programmes
- manage local and sub-regional facility partnership relationships, funding or lease agreements and performance reporting
- work together to support facilities that benefit several local board areas.

27. Staff have engaged with local boards informally at various stages throughout the development of the draft plan. Local boards members’ views and concerns have helped shape the key components of the plan.

Previous engagement with local boards showed general support for an investment plan

28. Staff met with local boards between February and April 2018 and sought informal feedback on the key components of the plan.

29. Feedback from local boards was generally supportive of a new investment plan for sports facilities to ensure consistency, efficiency, transparency and outcome delivery.

30. Challenges relating to population growth, land supply and budgetary constraints were highlighted. Local boards also noted challenges specific to their areas and populace.

31. Feedback showed a strong preference to have a flexible approach to accommodate diverse local needs.

Formal local board feedback is sought between February and March 2019

32. Staff are seeking to understand local boards’ views on the draft plan and request a formal decision at local boards’ business meetings during February and March 2019.

33. Staff would particularly value local board feedback on the following parts of the draft plan (refer Attachment A), which are likely to have the most bearing on local board decision-making:

- the key shifts in the council’s future investment approach in sport (page 4)
- the benefits of sports to Auckland communities, particularly Te Ao Māori (page 9)
- the difference between equity and equality in the context of sport investment (pages 22-23)
- the proposed investment framework (pages 24-36).

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

34. Sport participation contributes directly to the following ‘Māori Identity and Wellbeing’ outcome in Auckland Plan 2050:
Māori Identity and Wellbeing

- **Direction 1** – ‘Advance Māori wellbeing’
- **Focus area 1** – ‘Meet the needs and support the aspirations of tamariki and their whanau’

35. According to Sport New Zealand data weekly sport participation of Māori in Auckland (76.8 per cent) is similar to European (76.3 per cent), but higher than Asian (70.7 per cent) and Pasifika (69.1 per cent).

36. Research also show pockets of sedentary Māori who do not have adequate opportunities to participate in sport. They will be a target group for investment.

37. Feedback from the Mana Whenua Forum and Aktive Māori Advisory Group during public consultation on the discussion document informed the development of the plan.

38. A key area of focus was the delivery of outcomes through increased Māori participation. Means of achieving this goal include partnerships with iwi and Māori organisations, to manage demand efficiently and to use sports programmes and facilities as a social hub to strengthen cultural and community connections.

39. Partnerships will draw on Māori-centric models and collective models of learning so that key Māori concepts become embedded in sport service design and delivery.

40. Staff will seek further feedback from the Mana Whenua Forum and Aktive Māori Advisory Group as part of the consultation on the draft plan.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

41. Once adopted, the plan will guide all council investment in sport.

42. An immediate focus will be to establish a clear and contestable process for the allocation of the $120 million Sport and Recreation Facilities Investment Fund established in the Long-term Plan 2018-2028.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

43. Staff have identified reputational and operational risks associated with the adoption of the draft plan. These risks will be mitigated through regular communication and engagement with key stakeholders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reputational</td>
<td>Some people might worry the plan will change arrangements already in place, or ongoing council investment.</td>
<td>Clear communication with key stakeholders and funding recipients that the plan will guide decisions on future investment only, unless a current project is already scheduled for review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>The transition to the new investment approach will be operationally complex. It impacts multiple teams across the council, and new business processes, guidance and forms will need to be designed to support it.</td>
<td>Detailed implementation planning will be required to ensure the transition is as smooth as possible. Changes will be phased in over the next three to five years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

44. Staff will undertake public consultation from February to March 2019.

45. The consultation will be conducted in various forms:
   - local boards will be asked to formally indicate their support for the draft plan during business meetings and provide any additional feedback
   - the public will be invited to submit their feedback via ShapeAuckland
   - targeted interviews will be conducted with community groups including Māori, Pasific and Asian people
   - the sport sector will be invited to provide their formal views through Aktive.

46. A summary of consultation feedback and a final plan will be prepared for consideration and adoption by the Environment and Community Committee around May 2019.

47. Implementation of the plan will occur in stages over the next three to five years, depending on council budgetary and planning processes. An indicative timeline of the potential changes is presented in section three of the draft plan.

48. Figure 3 below shows the next steps in a flow chart.

![Figure 3: Next steps](image)

This plan will inform the development of other investment plans

49. The draft plan sets out an overarching investment framework to help decision-makers prioritise investment between different sports.

50. Separate, but related plans are being developed to guide council’s investment in golf and play facilities. These plans will be consistent with the overarching framework set out in Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Draft Increasing Aucklanders’ Participation in Sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039 (Under Separate Cover)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Nancy Chu - Principal Policy Analyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Paul Marriott-Lloyd, Senior Policy Manager, Parks and Recreation Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins, RM – Rodney, &amp; Hibiscus &amp; Bays, Acting GM – Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New road name in the subdivision at 120 Monument Road, Clevedon by Birch Surveyors

File No.: CP2019/00974

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval from the Franklin Local Board for a road name for one new public road at 120 Monument Road, Clevedon by Birch Surveyors.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the Council for the proposed road name. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.

3. The applicant has submitted the following names for consideration for the new public road at 120 Monument Road, Clevedon:
   Road 1:
   - Benjamin Place (preferred name)
   - Okiwa Place (alternative name)
   - Clara Place (alternative name)

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:
a) approve the new road name ‘Benjamin Place,’ for the new public road in the subdivision at 120 Monument Road, Clevedon, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974.

Horopaki
Context
4. A 23-lot residential subdivision of 120 Monument Road was granted on 2nd March 2018 (referenced BUN60304877). The subdivision will be accessed by a new public road from Monument Road, and a private accessway (which does not require a road name).

5. In accordance with the national addressing standards the jointly owned access lots require a name because they serve more the 5 lots.

6. A locality plan and scheme plan can be found in Attachments 1 and 2 respectively.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
7. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road name for the Franklin Local Board’s
approval.

8. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect:
   - A historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   - A particular landscape, environment or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   - An existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.
   - The use of Māori road names is actively encouraged

9. The Applicant has proposed the following names for consideration for the new road and at 120 Monument Road, Clevedon:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Proposed New Road Name (Road 2)</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Benjamin Place</td>
<td>A family name of significance to the developer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Alternative</td>
<td>Okiwa Place</td>
<td>The Māori word for ‘wind that blows down the valley of the river’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Alternative</td>
<td>Clara Place</td>
<td>A family name of significance to the developer. It is the feminine form of the late Latin name Clarus which means clear, bright, famous.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) has confirmed that all of the proposed names are acceptable and not duplicated elsewhere in the region.

11. The proposed suffix ‘Place’ is deemed acceptable for the new public road.

12. The names proposed by the applicant are deemed to meet the road naming guidelines.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

13. The decision sought for this report does not trigger the significance policy and is not considered to have any immediate impacts on the Council Groups.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

14. The decision sought for this report does not trigger any significant policy and is not considered to have any immediate impact on the community.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

15. The applicant consulted with local iwi including Ngai Tai ki Tamaki, Ngati Maru, Ngāti Paoa, Ngāti Tamaterā, Ngāti Whanaunga, Te Ākitai Waiohua, and Waikato-Tainui.

16. Ngāti Whanaunga preferred the inclusion of Māori road names and objected to the other proposed road names. Furthermore, Ngāti Whanaunga outlined alternative road names instead of the proposed road names outlined in this report.

17. No further responses have been received to date from any other local iwi group.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
18. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road name.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
19. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
20. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand who records them on their New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Locality Plan</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Scheme Plan</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ryan Patterson - Planner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Trevor Cullen - Team Leader Subdivision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Site locality plan; 120 Monument Road, Clevedon.
Figure 2: Scheme Plan; 120 Monument Road, Clevedon.
Franklin Local Board Quarter 2 Performance Report FY19
File No.: CP2019/00377

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Franklin Local Board with an integrated quarterly performance report for quarter two, 1 October – 31 December 2018.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report includes financial performance, progress against work programmes, key challenges the board should be aware of and any risks to delivery against the 2018/2019 work programme. This is a retrospective report intended to provide a transparent overview and reflect the progress of how the Franklin Local Board work programme is tracking at the end of quarter two 2018/2019.
3. The work programme is produced annually and aligns with the Franklin Local Board Plan outcomes.
4. The key activity updates from this quarter are:
   - Work programme ID 2698: Pest Free Franklin capacity building programme has distributed traps and other pest control equipment through a series of free community-led workshops held in communities.
   - Work programme ID 1375: The Hunua Trail application for “Heartland Ride” was successful ahead of schedule. Heartland Ride accreditation will promote the trail route and is part of the government funded NZ Cycle Trail initiative.
   - Work programme ID 375: Develop Trails in Franklin. The board adopted the Pukekohe Paths Plan.
5. All operating departments with agreed work programmes have provided a quarterly update against their work programme delivery. Most activities are reported with a status of green (on track) or amber (some risk or issues, which are being managed). There are no activities reported as being of red or grey (cancelled, deferred or merged) status this quarter.
6. The report recommends that the board cancel work programme ID 1782: Co-ordination of Franklin Skills Network, and reallocate the $25,000 budget to work programme ID 1375: Hunua Trail. The recommendation is informed by the progress of two programmed activities within the local economic development work programme.
7. The financial performance report compared to budget 2018/2019 is attached. There are some points for the local board to note.
8. Financial operating performance for Franklin Local Board area is overall almost to budget for the half year ending December 2018. Operating expenditure is within two per cent of budget with community halls, active recreation, parks services and facility contracts all moderately over budget in response repairs, while grants and community response funds in the locally driven initiatives operating expenditure (LDI Opex) are still to be allocated through normal funding rounds. Operating revenue is above budget arising from overall increased hire and rental receipts. Capital expenditure is ahead of budget, achieving delivery against the full year of forty-eight per cent.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receive the performance report for the financial quarter ending 31 December 2018

b) cancel work programme ID 1782: Co-ordination of Franklin Skills Network and reallocate the $25,000 budget to work programme ID 1375: Hunua Trail, to accelerate project development.

Horopaki
Context

9. The Franklin Local Board has an approved 2018/2019 work programme for the following operating departments:

- Arts, Community and Events;
- Parks, Sport and Recreation;
- Libraries and Information;
- Community Facilities: Build Maintain Renew;
- Community Leases;
- Infrastructure and Environmental Services;
- Local Economic Development;
- Plans and Places.

10. Work programmes are produced annually, to meet the Franklin Local Board outcomes identified in the three-year Franklin Local Board Plan. The local board plan outcomes are:

- Outcome 1: A well-cared for natural environment
- Outcome 2: A thriving local economy
- Outcome 3: An improved transport system
- Outcome 4: Growth is dealt with effectively
- Outcome 5: Communities feel ownership and connection to their area

11. The graph below shows how the Auckland Council delivered work programme activities meet Local Board Plan outcomes. Activities that are not part of the approved work programme but contribute towards the local board outcomes, such as advocacy by the local board or Local capital transport projects, are not captured in this graph.

Graph 1: work programme activities by outcome
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Local Board Work Programme Snapshot

12. The work programme activities have two statuses; RAG status which measures the performance of the activity (amber and red show issues and risks); and activity status which shows the stage the activity. These two statuses create a snapshot of the progress of the work programmes.

13. The graph below identifies work programme activity by RAG status (red, amber, green and grey). It shows the percentage of work programme activities that are on track (green), in progress but with issues that are being managed (amber), and activities that have significant issues (red) and activities that have been cancelled/deferred/merged (grey).

Graph 2: Franklin Work Programme by RAG status

14. The graph below identifies work programme activity by activity status and department. The number of activity lines differ by department as approved in the local board work programmes.

Graph 3: work programme activity by activity status and department
Key activity updates from quarter two

15. Key achievements in quarter two by outcome include;

   a) Outcome 1: A well-cared for natural environment

      Pest control equipment has been provided to community-led environmental groups including Awhitu Landcare, Whakapoko Landcare, Friends of Te Wairoa and the newly formed Southern Wildlink Group. These groups are hosting a number of free workshops across Franklin where traps (purchased in quarter 1) and information on pest control methods is provided.

   b) Outcome 2: A thriving local economy

      The Hunua Trail application for “Heartland Ride” was successful ahead of schedule. Heartland Ride accreditation will promote the trail route and is part of the government funded NZ Cycle Trail initiative. As a result, staff have recommended that budget is reallocated from within the local economic development work programme to the Hunua Trail project to accelerate project development.

   c) Outcome 4: Growth is dealt with effectively

      - $21,500 of school pool community grants were allocated to enable public use of school facilities outside of school hours.
      - Karaka Sports Park Concept Plan, which the board have promoted as an initiative for governing body investment, has been updated by the Karaka Sports Trust and will be presented to the Franklin Local Board for approval in Quarter 3.

   d) Outcome 5: Communities feel ownership and connection to their area

      - $91,973.70 in grants was allocated to enable local community projects and initiatives.
      - The Pukekohe Paths Aspirational Plan has been completed and endorsed by the board with next steps to be considered in Quarter 3.

Activities with significant issues

16. There are no red status activities (activities with significant issues) reported in quarter two.

Activities on hold

17. The following work programme activities have been identified by operating departments as on hold:

   a) Work programme ID 1963: Clarks Beach (Stevenson’s Reserve) play space renewal. Activity on hold pending a reconciliation with a range of other projects planned within this reserve e.g. Skatepark, toilet renewal.

   b) Work programme ID 1992: Clarks Beach (Stevenson’s Reserve) skatepark renewal.

      See work programme ID 1963) above. Activity on hold pending reconciliation with toilet and play space renewals projects as these may alter the scope and timing of the project.


      Activity on hold pending further discussion with the Franklin Local Board on the facility in context with other recreational facilities in Beachlands.

   d) Work programme ID 2931: Umupuia playground renewal

      Activity on hold pending further direction following completion of the Umupuia service needs assessment.

   e) Work programme ID 2679: Puni Rugby Football Club lease renewal

      Activity in hold pending establishment of the club as a legal entity (incorporation) as required for a community lease.
Changes to the local board work programme

Deferred activities
18. There are no activities deferred from the 18/19 work programme.

Cancelled activities
19. There are no cancelled activities in the 18/19 work programme however staff are recommending that the board cancel work programme ID 1782 Co-ordination of Franklin Skills Network due to a lack of resource to co-ordinate the activity and reallocate the $25,000 to the work programme ID 1375 Hunua Trail to support an acceleration of project delivery.

Activities merged with other activities for delivery
20. There are no merged activities within the 18/19 work programme.

New activities
21. The following activity has been added to the work programme since quarter 1:

- Work programme ID 3225 Sunkist Bay Reserve – renew seawall.

A renewal of the Sunkist Bay seawall was brought forward in response to damage incurred during the 2018 storm events. Activity status at time of report is ‘on hold’ pending direction from the local board on the project.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

Council group impacts and views
22. This report recommends that the board cancel work programme ID 1782 Co-ordination of Franklin Skills Network due to a lack of resource to co-ordinate the activity and reallocate the $25,000 to the work programme ID 1375 Hunua Trail to support an acceleration of project delivery.

23. This recommendation has been informed by ATEED who are responsible for delivering both work programme items. There are no other identified impacts on any other parts of the council group.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views
24. The recommendation to cancel work programme ID 1782 Co-ordination of Franklin Skills Network due to a lack of resource to co-ordinate the activity and reallocate the $25,000 to the work programme ID 1375 Hunua Trail to support an acceleration of project delivery was workshopped with the board 5 February 2019. Direction from this workshop has informed the recommendation.

25. This report informs the Franklin Local Board of the performance for the quarter ending 31 December 2018.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori

Māori impact statement
26. The following work programme activities have been identified as having direct Māori impacts:

a) Work programme ID 985: In December 2018 the community empowerment unit workshopped a draft Māori Responsiveness action plan that considered the findings of the early “Foundational Work for a Māori Aspiration Plan”. It is expected that a Māori Responsiveness Action Plan will be presented to the board for adoption in Quarter 3.

b) Work programme ID 2931: Umupuia playground renewal, adjacent to the Umupuia Marae is on hold pending further direction following completion of the Umupuia service needs assessment.
c) Work programme ID 2705: McShane Reserve play space renewal project engaged with community at the Nga Hau e Wha Marae Christmas event (30 November) to inform the form and function of a renewed playground. This event was also supported by work programme ID 500 the Out and About active parks programme via provision of a play activation.

d) Work programme ID 2706: Whitford Point Reserve play space renewal Mana Whenua consultation to inform design and planning completed.

e) Work programme ID 2709: Maraetai Park Junior play space renewal Mana Whenua consultation to inform design and planning completed.

f) Work Programme ID 369: Awakura Restoration Project. Mana Whenua representatives maintained newly planted trees along the lower reaches of the Awakura.

g) Work programme ID 1096: Whakatipu i te reo Maori – Franklin. Staff visited Pukekohe North School on several occasions in the Waka Bus. Kia Maia te Whai programme offered a Te Reo option to customers and a number of Te Reo activities were presented to the community through Waiuku and Pukekohe libraries.

h) Work programme ID 397: Umupuia Coastal Reserves service level review has not progressed.

i) Work programme ID 885: Wai-o-Maru Reserve management plan. The drafting of the management plan to project the site as part of co-management is being progressed with Ngai Tai ki Tamaki.

j) Work programme ID 987: Youth Connections Franklin engaged 40 Franklin Rangitahi in employment, education or training through the Mahi Gains Accelerator Work Readiness Programme.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

Financial implications

27. This report recommends that the board cancel local economic development work programme ID 1782 Co-ordination of Franklin Skills Network due to a lack of resource to co-ordinate the activity and reallocate the $25,000 to the work programme ID 1375 Hunua Trail to support an acceleration of project delivery.

28. The remainder of this report is for information only.

Financial Performance

29. Operating expenditure overall is close to budget with overspend mainly for facility response maintenance and underspend in grants payments, bringing the total overspend to $41,000, less than one per cent.

30. Operating revenue is $66,000 above budget arising from increased hire and rental receipts in the halls.

31. Capital Expenditure of $3.1 million is ahead of budget achieving delivery against the full year of forty-eight per cent.

32. Locally Driven Initiative (LDI) Capex unallocated budget balance at 31 December for the years 2019-2021 is $2.3m.

33. The Franklin Local Board Financial Performance report is in Attachment B.

Ngā raru túpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

34. While the risk of non-delivery of the entire work programme is rare, the likelihood for risk relating to individual activities does vary. Capital projects for instance are susceptible to
more risk, as on-time and on-budget delivery is dependent on weather conditions, approvals (e.g. building consents) and is susceptible to market conditions.

35. Information about any significant risks and how they are being managed and/or mitigated is addressed in the ‘Activities with significant issues’ section.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

The local board will receive the next performance update following the end of quarter three (March 2019).

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Franklin Quarter 2 Work programme update <em>(Under Separate Cover)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Franklin Quarter 2 Operating Performance update <em>(Under Separate Cover)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Georgina Gilmour – Senior Local Board Advisor Franklin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Franklin Local Board three-year engagement strategy
February 2019

File No.: CP2018/20000

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To approve the Franklin Local Board three-year engagement strategy February 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Franklin Local Board (FLB) is required by legislation to engage with the local community and consider their views and preferences.
3. The community has a low level of engagement with FLB, and with the wider Auckland Council. Māori and youth were under-represented in the most recent 10-year budget and local board plan consultations, with older people over-represented.
4. The engagement advisor is tasked with developing a three-year engagement strategy for the local board. This strategy will cover the three years immediately following adoption (2019-2021) and will be supported by an annual programme of engagement.
5. The amount and timing of engagement activities each year will be influenced by the three-year electoral cycle, existing regional special consultative procedures, availability of subject matter experts and commitment of the FLB members.
6. The three-year engagement strategy will include special consultative procedures relating to the Annual Plan, 10-year budget and the Franklin Local Board Plan.
7. The board will continue with elected member led community clinics at Clevedon and Waiuku.
8. The proposed new engagement activities for the FLB include:
   - clinics at Beachlands, Pukekohe and Patumahoe
   - community forum – topic or sector-based information sharing sessions run by local board services in conjunction with council departments and council-controlled organisations.
9. Engagement will be evaluated to ensure effectiveness and to identify improvements.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:
a) approve the Franklin Local Board three-year engagement strategy February 2019.

Horopaki / Context
10. The Local Government (Auckland Council) Act 2009 requires local boards to:
   - communicate with community organisations
   - communicate the interests and preferences of people in relation to strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws (to the governing body)
   - consider the views and preferences of persons likely to be affected by, or to have an interest in, a local board decision
use the local board plan process to provide an opportunity for people to participate in decision-making processes on the nature and level of local activities to be provided by council within the local board area

11. The Local Government Act 2002 establishes engagement principles:
   - a local authority should conduct its business in an open, transparent, and democratically accountable manner and give effect to its identified priorities and desired outcomes in an efficient and effective manner.
   - a local authority should make itself aware of, and should have regard to, the views or all its communities
   - when deciding, a local authority should take account of the diversity of the community, and the community’s interests, within its district or region; and the interest of future as well as current communities; and the likely impact of any decision on them
   - a local authority should provide opportunities for Māori to contribute to its decision-making processes

12. Auckland Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy 2014:
   - identified how and when communities can expect to be engaged in, or specifically consulted on, decisions about issues, proposals, assets, decisions and activities
   - enables the council and our communities to understand the significance that council places on certain issues, proposals, assets, decisions, and activities

13. Auckland Council’s strategy to become a great council has six steps up. Step 1 relates directly to engagement: “Engage and Enable our Communities”.

Figure 1 – Auckland Councils Strategy

14. Engaged and enabled communities will be measured through trust and confidence in council. This is measured quarterly through a survey conducted by an independent agency. The engagement strategy for FLB will help to meet the council wide target of 35 per cent trust in council for 2018-2019.

15. Local Board Services has agreed an approach to improve engagement undertaken by local boards during the 2017-2019 electoral term. Engagement undertaken by specific local boards needs to be informed by this approach. The approach has three parts:
   - establish an engagement evaluation framework
   - identify approaches to improve engagement practice
   - identify approaches to co-ordinate engagement activities
16. Local government has obligations to Māori through legislation and Auckland Council is committed to honouring Te Tiriti o Waitangi/the Treaty of Waitangi.

17. Māori are a diverse group, with the local board having responsibilities to two key groups:
   - mana whenua – currently represented by 19 tribal authorities in Auckland
   - matāwaka - which includes individuals, whanau and organisations

18. Evaluation of engagement across the wider council and at the local board level is needed to ensure reflective practice and ongoing improvement.

19. Engagement Advisors have been employed permanently in 2018 across the 21 local boards. A key responsibility is to develop a three-year engagement strategy and an annual programme of engagement for each local board.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

20. In 2013 the population of the Franklin Local Board was 65,322, comprising 4.6 per cent of Auckland’s total population. It is anticipated that data from the 2018 census will show population growth with potential for changes to the ethnic and age profiles of the population.

21. Demographic data from submissions on the 10-year budget 2018 and Local Board Plan 2017 demonstrates an overall low level of engagement with council and the local board. There were 1100 local submissions on the 10-year budget 2018, representing 1.7 per cent of the population. For the Local Board Plan 2017 the board received 181 submissions, representing 0.28 per cent of the population.

22. A summary of submitters by ethnicity in relation to percentage of the population can be found below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Population in Franklin Local Board area (%)</th>
<th>Submitters on 10-year budget 2018 (%)</th>
<th>Submitters on Local Board Plan 2017 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māori</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern, Latin American, African</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23. The data shows that all ethnicities are represented. However, Māori are under-represented as submitters, comprising 13.2 per cent of the population but only 7 per cent and 4 per cent of submitters for the 10-year budget and local board plan.

24. A summary of submitters by age in relation to percentage of the population can be found below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Population in Franklin Local Board area (%)</th>
<th>Submitters on 10-year budget 2018 (%)</th>
<th>Submitters on Local Board Plan 2017 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
25. This data shows that while most age groups are represented, older people are over-represented as submitters, with the highest degree of over-representation being in the 65+ age group. There is a general trend towards under-representation for people aged under 35, with particularly low youth engagement (15-24yrs). People under 15 were not represented at all.

26. A summary of attendance at board run engagement events for the 10-year budget can be found below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>No. of engagements or attendees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pukekohe Market</td>
<td>Raising Awareness</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiuku Service Centre</td>
<td>Roundtable</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clevedon Market</td>
<td>Raising Awareness</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pukekohe Town Hall</td>
<td>Roundtable</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunua Market Day</td>
<td>Existing Event</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beachlands Countdown</td>
<td>Raising Awareness</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karaka Vintage Day</td>
<td>Existing Event</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beachlands Memorial Hall</td>
<td>Roundtable</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drury Hall</td>
<td>Drop in Session</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. This data shows that attendance at board run face to face engagement events is very low. Raising awareness at existing events generates the highest level of face to face interactions.

28. An increasing proportion of submitters are now doing so online. Online submitters made up 59 per cent of submissions for the Franklin Local Board area for the 10-year budget 2018. This compares with 62 per cent of online submission for the Local Board Plan 2017. Paper forms are still preferred by a significant part of the population including 29 per cent of submitters on the local board plan and 11 per cent of submitters for the 10-year budget.

Advice

29. The proposed Franklin Local Board three-year engagement strategy February 2019 identifies five objectives (Attachment A):
   - take a strategic approach to engagement
   - increase the reach of engagement
   - strengthen relationships
   - inform communities
   - evaluate effectiveness

30. The amount and timing of engagement activities each year will be influenced by the three-year electoral cycle, annual special consultative procedures, work programme related consultation, the availability of subject matter experts and Council Controlled Organisation (CCO) related project engagement.

31. The FLB will continue engagement in relation to the Annual Plan (incorporating the Local Board Agreement), the 10-year budget (3 yearly) and the Local Board Plan (3 yearly). The board will, where necessary, support council departments and CCOs doing project specific engagement within the local board area.

32. Board members will continue to run community clinics in Clevedon and Waiuku with administrative support from the Engagement Advisor and communications support from the Local Communications Advisor.

33. The strategy introduces new engagement activities, which will require commitment from board members as follows:
   - community clinics in Beachlands, Pukekohe and Patumahoe:
o members have already held sessions at Beachlands; however, there is a need to formalise and promote these sessions

o the existing Pukekohe Ratepayers group meetings have very poor attendance. Members have requested support to establish a community session for Pukekohe members to interact with wider Pukekohe community

o Patumahoe is a growing community with no current ratepayer or residents' group. It is proposed to begin clinics in this location with a view to transitioning the community to forming its own self-sustaining residents' group

- community forums – topic or sector-based information sessions where the board partners with other council departments or CCOs to present information about topics of interest to the community or hear from a specific sector group. These sessions will be in response to need and will require significant co-operation from staff outside of Local Board Services.

34. Greater youth representation will be addressed by supporting the Franklin Youth Advisory Board to deliver engagement activities, liaising with the Strategic Broker to identify youth focussed community groups and developing relationships with local schools. The Engagement Advisor will collaborate with other parts of the council organisation to encourage the use of digital and innovative engagement strategies.

35. Improving engagement with Māori will address two groups – mana whenua and matāwaka.

36. The mana whenua groups whose rohe includes the Franklin Local Board are:
   
   Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki, Ngāti Tamaoho, Te Akitai Waiohua, Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua, Te Ahiwaru, Ngāti Paoa, Ngāti Whanaunga, Ngāti Maru, Ngāti Tamatera, Te Patukirikiri, Waikato.

37. The Engagement Advisor will liaise with Te Waka Angamua to support the Franklin Local Board in developing relationships with mana whenua.

38. Improved engagement with matāwaka will be achieved by collaborating with the Strategic Broker to identify and build relationships with local matāwaka groups.

39. The Engagement Advisor will deliver targeted engagement with Māori during development of the Local Board Plan.

40. There is a need to educate the wider community about the Auckland Governance model, the role of local boards, as well as decisions that are the responsibility of the regional governing body and central government agencies. This will be addressed initially through static display resources circulated around community venues.

41. The Engagement Advisor will collaborate with the Local Communications Advisor to align local engagement activities with a planned approach to local communications that makes proactive use of available communications channels.

42. Growing the database of people who receive the FLB e-newsletter and follow the FLB Facebook page will a key focus.

43. Evaluation of engagement activities annually and in response to ineffectiveness will ensure a constant focus on improvement.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

44. The local board is committed to engagement and has delivered local engagement events across all subdivisions for the local board plan, annual plan and the 10-year budget. Board members hold regular community clinics. Members attend the meetings and annual general meetings of many local organisations.

45. The board has expressed the desire to improve engagement in the FLB area and recognises that new and innovative approaches will be needed, including the use of digital strategies.
46. The Franklin Local Board has been involved in the development of the Franklin Local Board engagement strategy November 2018 through the following activities facilitated by the Engagement Advisor:

- completing an online survey post the 2018 long term plan
- completing an online survey about their existing engagement activities in the community
- attending an initial engagement workshop in July 2018 where the board gave feedback and direction
- receiving information about the range of engagement activities currently being undertaken by other local boards
- attending a subsequent engagement workshop in September 2018 at which the board indicated support for the proposed engagement activities

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement**

47. Improved engagement with Māori will allow more Māori input into local decision making to address the views and preferences of the Māori community, and ensure the local board meets its statutory obligations to Māori.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications**

48. The board’s engagement activities are supported by the Engagement Advisor on a half time basis shared with Howick Local Board.

49. A modest budget to purchase prudent innovative engagement resources, static display materials and produce a short video will be made available from the board’s governance budget.

50. Additional promotion and communication costs associated with promotion of the board’s engagement activities in the community will be met through the local communications budget.

**Ngā raru tūpono / Risks**

51. Poor engagement can lead to poor decision making when the FLB does not understand the views and preferences of all the groups of people within the local board area.

52. The board could suffer a loss of reputation if the local population perceives the board is out of touch with the community.

53. Failure to meet statutory obligations regarding consultation could lead to disruption and delay in delivery of work programmes.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps**

54. The Engagement Advisor will develop an annual calendar of engagement activities for the 2019 calendar year.

**Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board three year Engagement Strategy February 2018</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Lucy Stallworthy - Local Board Engagement Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Take a strategic approach to engagement | • develop an up to date ‘picture’ of the community using 2018 census data | • demographic profile on the Franklin Local Board population on the webpage & included in static display resource  
  • wall map in office and meeting room showing local board area showing parks, community facilities, roads, townships, reserves  
  • visual display in office and meeting room showing mana whenua marae and rohe  
  • complete a stakeholder and community group mapping exercise to identify communities of interest – geography, sector, interest, age, ethnicity  
  • develop a series of one page ‘snapshots’ of communities and sectors that shows key groups, Facebook pages and community contacts – to share with council staff doing engagement in communities to inform their engagement strategies  
  • ongoing updates of the Franklin Local Board database | • resources available that show the demographic profile of the local board population  
  • wall map of local board area in meeting room and office  
  • mana whenua display in office and meeting room  
  • community ‘snapshots’ available for:  
    • Awhitu Peninsula, Waiuku, Glenbrook & Glenbrook Beach, Clarks Beach, Waiau Pa, Pukekohe, Patumahoe, Kawakawa Bay/Onere Point, Bombay, Beachlands, Maraetai, Clevedon, Hunua/Ararimu/Paparimu  
    • youth, ethnic, landcare and environment groups, sport and recreation  
    • māori – mana whenua and matatūwaka | • the local board and local board services staff have an accurate picture of the:  
  • demographic profile of the population within the local board area  
  • communities of interest  
  • the area and facilities governed by Franklin Local Board  
  • mana whenua in the local board area |
| proactively forward plan engagement activities through an annual programme of engagement | • live annual programme of engagement covering the period January – December, to include following engagement activities: Annual plan, 10-year budget, Local Board Plan, elected member led activities (clinics), community forums, community and stakeholder meetings, work programme related engagement, Council Controlled Organisation engagements, regional engagements and key local events | • live annual programme of engagement | • a co-ordinated and proactive approach to engagement activities with clear expectations for elected member involvement |
| make better use of the feedback received from the Annual Plan, Local Board Plan and 10-year budget processes | • complete review of feedback from Local Board Plan 2017 and 10 year budget 2018 by June 2019 | • spreadsheet and/or map of collated feedback | • a long-term picture of community feedback is created that allows identification of trends and themes in community feedback |
| make better use of the feedback received from engagement activities across the wider council departments | • council staff share ‘out of scope’ feedback from work programme consultations with local board services  
  • system in place to manage ‘out of scope’ feedback  
  • request demographic data from work programme consultations  
  • request demographic data from regional consultations | • number of submitters on work programme consultations  
  • number of pieces of out of scope feedback that result in changes or additions to the work programme | • a holistic approach to receiving feedback that ensures feedback received in all formats and forums informs decision making |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 17</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve ‘closing the loop’ processes</td>
<td>• all consultations have closing the loop information on the have your say website&lt;br&gt;• submitters are emailed (or written to) to advise the outcome of all consultations including variety of opinions in the community, thinking behind the board’s decision-making and the influence of feedback on the decision made&lt;br&gt;• closing the loop is completed using the through Ubiquity platform</td>
<td>• number of consultations on the Have Your Say site where submitters also receive a closing the loop email/letter&lt;br&gt;• length of time between close of consultation and final closing the loop email/letter&lt;br&gt;• number of closing the loop events&lt;br&gt;• number of click throughs from closing the loop events using engage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure regional consultations are made relevant to the local context</td>
<td>• every regional consultation is promoted through e-news and given local context&lt;br&gt;• Facebook is used to promote regional consultations, particularly those of relevance to the local area</td>
<td>• number of regional consultations promoted through e-newsletter&lt;br&gt;• number of regional consultations promoted through local Facebook&lt;br&gt;• number of local submissions received on regional consultations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build competency and capacity of council staff to understand the Local Board and the community, and the priorities of both</td>
<td>• provide advice to council departments and Council Controlled Organisations delivering engagement activities in the local area&lt;br&gt;• all staff are aware of Franklin Local board Principles of Engagement and Expectations for Engaging in Franklin Local Board&lt;br&gt;• all engagements are recorded in the engagement calendar</td>
<td>• principles of engagement in the Franklin Local Board area&lt;br&gt;• expectations for engaging in the Franklin Local Board area&lt;br&gt;• number of work programme related engagements&lt;br&gt;• number of submitters on work programme related consultations&lt;br&gt;• number of engagements in the engagement calendar compared with number of engagements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the reach of engagement</td>
<td>• every regional consultation is promoted through e-news and given local context&lt;br&gt;• Facebook is used to promote regional consultations, particularly those of relevance to the local area</td>
<td>• total number of submissions from all sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue to run local engagement activities for the annual plan, 10-year budget and local board plan, including partnering with existing community</td>
<td>• provide a written engagement plan for annual plan, 10-year budget and local board plan&lt;br&gt;• focus effort and emphasis on delivering a significant Local Board Plan engagement&lt;br&gt;• deliver local engagement events for the local board</td>
<td>• number of people who submit on the 10-year budget, annual plan and local board plan&lt;br&gt;• number of engagement events run by local board services&lt;br&gt;• number of attendees at local board services run events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 17</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board three-year engagement strategy February 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>groups to deliver engagement events</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- liaise with council departments and Council Controlled Organisations to deliver topic or sector-based community forums as needed</td>
<td>• deliver a maximum of three forums per calendar year, as influenced by three-year electoral cycle</td>
<td>• number of community forums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• number of attendees at community forums</td>
<td>• local communities have access to information about matters of interest to the local community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>improve engagement with māori</strong></td>
<td>• liaise with Te Waka Angamua to support the Local Board in developing relationships with mana whenua</td>
<td>• number of submissions from Māori on the Local Board Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• liaise with the strategic broker to identify mātauranga groups, and build relationships with these groups</td>
<td>• number of events with Māori during Local Board Plan consultation period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• deliver targeted māori engagement for the Local Board Plan</td>
<td>• there is an ongoing and sustainable relationship between the local board and mana whenua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>support elected members to run ‘Let’s talk local’ sessions (community clinics) in each subdivision</strong></td>
<td>• Provide administrative support to book rooms and organise communications for elected member led engagement activities</td>
<td>• number of let’s talk local sessions (clinics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• All ‘let’s talk local’ sessions are promoted through Facebook and e-newsletter</td>
<td>• number of attendees at let’s talk local session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Members have access to a ‘kit’ of relevant local resources to support their local sessions</td>
<td>• communities across all three subdivisions in Franklin have opportunities to speak directly with their elected members in a forum that allows for open and two-way communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Continue to support clinics in Waiuku and Clevedon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Set up new clinics for Pukekohe and Patumahoe (with a view to self-sustaining residents’ group for Patumahoe)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>aim for greater engagement with youth</strong></td>
<td>• liaise with the Strategic Broker and Youhtown contractor to:</td>
<td>• number of submissions from people ages 15-24 yrs. on the 10-year budget, Annual Plan and Local Board Plan compared with proportion of 15-24-year olds in the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o request Franklin Youth Advisory Board report to board on a biannual basis</td>
<td>• ongoing relationship between the local board and the Franklin Youth Advisory Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o support Franklin Youth Advisory Board to deliver engagement activities, collate engagement data and make submissions on behalf of Franklin youth</td>
<td>• the views and preferences of youth inform the decision making of the local board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o facilitate council staff attending FYAB meetings to engage on relevant local issues</td>
<td>• the views and preferences of youth are reflected in the local board work programmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o identify other youth focussed community groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o develop relationships with local schools and use student focus groups where appropriate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengthen relationships</strong></td>
<td>• board members regularly attend community and stakeholder groups meetings</td>
<td>• number of community meetings attended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• work with the Strategic Broker to:</td>
<td>• feedback from community meetings informs local board decision-making processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o support board members to attend community group meetings</td>
<td>• ongoing and sustainable relationships between board members and community groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o establish a method for members to share with the rest of the board and staff the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 17</td>
<td>Feedback from Community Groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Franklin Local Board</strong></td>
<td><strong>February 2019</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attachment A</strong></td>
<td><strong>Item 17</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Franklin Local Board three-year engagement strategy February 2019</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inform communities</strong></td>
<td><strong>work with local communications to identify work programme items, including local and regional consultations, ensuring that communications planning presents a complete and accurate picture of the board’s objectives and activities.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>aim to partner with community groups to deliver engagement events</strong></td>
<td><strong>work with the Strategic Broker to:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o conduct a stakeholder mapping exercise to identify local community groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o evaluate community groups as potential partnership organisations and raise POs/set up as vendors where appropriate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o deliver partnership events for Local Board Plan and 10-year budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>number of partnership events delivered</strong></td>
<td><strong>number of attendees at partnership events</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>community groups are empowered to run their own engagement activities</strong></td>
<td><strong>list of work programme items to promote through e-newsletter and Facebook</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>make proactive use of available communication channels to increase engagement with the local board</strong></td>
<td><strong>support local communications to deliver 15-20 Facebook posts per month that showcase the local boards work programme, regional consultations and other relevant local matters</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>support local communications to deliver local content for Our Auckland</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ensure all local plans are uploaded to the local board webpages</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>liaise with Digital Services regarding potential improvements to the local board webpages to improve the user experience</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>contribute to and support delivery of E-newsletter every 4-6 weeks following a business meeting, to include information from each subdivision, update from business meeting, links to Have Your Say opportunities, grants dates, events, sign up section to share with others</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ensure council staff working in the local board area receive the e-newsletters, and have the opportunity to suggest content</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>increase the number of people who receive the e-newsletter by ensuring:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o online signup form on local board webpage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o promotion of how to sign up to e-newsletter at local service centres, rural halls and other community facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o all Have Your Say consultations have option to sign up to Franklin Local Board database</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o update new citizen letter to invite people to receive the e-newsletter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o collate a list of small local community-based publications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>monthly local communications update to the board</strong></td>
<td><strong>the local community can access information regarding local board activities from a variety of sources</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>more people know about the activities of the local board</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>elected members have oversight of local communications</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educate the community about the role of local boards</td>
<td>Be reflective with a view to ongoing improvements to engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In conjunction with engagement and local communications teams:</td>
<td>Annual evaluation of engagement in the Franklin Local Board area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develop a set of static display resources to promote role of local boards</td>
<td>Annual review of three-year engagement plan and principles of engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Investigate a short video that could include:</td>
<td>Annual review of progress against the three-year engagement plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The role of local boards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Information about the CCO family and who to contact for types of issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- How to progress an idea for council, or get support from council to do something in the community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Annual calendar of roaming static displays at council venues and other community focussed venues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ensure the support of Franklin Local Board is evident at events through use of banners and signage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Franklin Local Board achievements on display in the meeting room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of locations where static resources are displayed</td>
<td>Number of workshops between local board and engagement advisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of events with Franklin Local Board signage evident</td>
<td>Number of amendments to engagement plan in response to evaluation and local board feedback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities understand the role of a local board, the governing body and other agencies, and who to contact in relation to issues or potential projects</td>
<td>Engagement is conducted in such a way that it accessible and relevant to the local community</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communities know how their local board can and does support activities in the local area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Revoke funding for Pukekohe Performing Arts Association relocation

File No.: CP2019/01337

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To revoke resolution number FR/2018/155 that allocated $7,000 from the Community Response Fund to Panuku Development Auckland for the 2018/2019 rent for Pukekohe Performing Arts at 17 Massey Road, Pukekohe.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. On October 23 2018 Franklin Local Board resolved as follows

“That the Franklin Local Board:

a) approves allocating $7,000 from the Community Response Fund to Panuku Development Auckland for the 2018/2019 rent for Pukekohe Performing Arts at 17 Massey Road, Pukekohe, subject to:

i) confirmation from Panuku that the condition of the building is sufficient to enable occupation, and

ii) on the understanding that Pukekohe Performing Arts will seek any future support through the contestable Community Grant programme.”

3. The Pukekohe Performing Arts Association has subsequently advised by letter that the group had assessed the location as unsuitable and had decided not to relocate to these premises.

4. On the basis that Pukekohe Performing Arts no longer requires support for this purpose, it is recommended that the Franklin Local Board revoke the resolution and return the allocated funding to the Community Response Fund.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) revoke Resolution FR/2018/155 that allocated $7,000 from the Community Response Fund to Panuku Development Auckland for the 2018/2019 rent for Pukekohe Performing Arts at 17 Massey Road, Pukekohe.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Georgina Gilmour – Senior Local Board Advisor Franklin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 18

Revoke funding for Pukekohe Performing Arts Association relocation
Urgent Decision: Waiuku skate park (Massey Park) enhancements

File No.: CP2019/01405

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To report an urgent decision made since the December 2018 business meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. In December 2018, staff sought to gain approval from the Franklin Local Board for the allocation of additional Local Development Initiative (LDI) Capital budget to deliver Waiuku Skatepark Enhancements via business meeting report.

3. Due to delays in the report approvals process, the report was unable to be included within the business meeting agenda and heard at the December business meeting.

4. The report recommendation, to allocate $6,866.00 capital budget to enable delivery of Waiuku skate park enhancement, was subsequently approved by the Franklin Local Board Chair, Deputy Chair and Relationship Manager as an urgent decision (attachment A).

5. The urgent decision is being retrospectively reported for approval as required by the urgent decision process.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:

a) receives the urgent decision approved December 2018 to allocate $6,866.00 to enable the completion of the Waiuku skate park (Massey Park) enhancements.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Urgent Decision: Waiuku Skatepark Enhancements 20181112</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

Author | Georgina Gilmour – Senior Local Board Advisor Franklin
Authoriser | Nina Siers - Relationship Manager
Urgent decision: Franklin Local Board

11 December 2018

Nina Siers
Relationship Manager

Re: Waiuku Skatepark (Massey Park) enhancements budget allocation.

1. Subject
Staff are seeking urgent allocation of Local Development Initiative capital expenditure budget (LDI Capex) to enable delivery of the Waiuku skatepark (Massey Park) enhancements project.

2. Background
The Waiuku skatepark is an LDI Capex funded project. During project delivery, a number of enhancements were identified and scoped. LDI Capex funding of $11,154.00 was secured to deliver this enhanced scope by urgent decision in April 2018.

Due to contractor availability issues, enhancements were not able to be delivered as part of the original project delivery window. This meant that savings anticipated in enhancement costings were not realised and that the cost to deliver has increased to $16,020.00.

This report (attached) seeks additional funding of $6,866.00 LDI capex to enable project delivery.

The report and associated recommendation have been reviewed and approved by the following Community Facilities staff:

- Ian Milnes, Manager Work Programme Development, 5/12/2018
- Rodney Klaassen, Stakeholder Advisor, 7/12/2018
- Rob Cairns, Head of Investigation & Design, 7/12/2018
- Rod Sheridan, GM Community Facilities, 10/12/2018.

3. Reason for urgency
This decision is required before the local board’s next scheduled business meeting for the following reasons:

i. Delays in project approval will significantly delay the project given the Xmas break period i.e. the next business meeting will not take place until 28 February 2019.
ii. Urgent approval will enable delivery of the project within the imminent high-usage seasonal period.
iii. Local board members have indicated their intent to have this project delivered urgently to enable immediate community enjoyment.

4. Recommendation
Staff recommend that

a) Franklin Local Board approve the allocation of $6,866.00 (LDI) capital to enable delivery of the Waiuku Skatepark enhancements project.
Authorised for release:

Signed by Nina Siers
Relationship Manager, Franklin Local Board

Date 11 December 18

Signatories

Angela Fulljames
Chairperson, Franklin Local Board

Date 11 December 18

Andrew Baker
Deputy Chairperson, Franklin Local Board

Date 11/12/18
Waiuku Skatepark (Massey Park) – Enhancements budget

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To gain approval from the Franklin Local Board for the allocation of additional Local Development Initiative (LDI) Capital budget to deliver Waiuku Skatepark Enhancements.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The Franklin Local Board funded the delivery of a new skatepark for Massey Park, Waiuku which was delivered in partnership with the Waiuku Lions Club and completed in June 2018.
3. During the delivery of the project, potential enhancements were identified that would benefit park users and neighbours.
4. The local board subsequently requested that community facilities investigate delivery of the following enhancements:
   i) one additional waste bin
   ii) one drinking fountain
   iii) one picnic bench seat
   iv) one informational sign.
5. In April 2018, the local board approved by urgent decision the allocation of $11,154.00 locally driven initiative (LDI) capital toward the delivery of enhancements, however this budget estimate was insufficient to enable delivery.
6. Community Facilities has subsequently priced the total budget required as $18,020.00.
7. This report seeks additional funding of $6,866.00 (LDI) capital to enable project delivery.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That tha :
b) Franklin Local Board approve additional locally driven initiative capital funding of $6,866 to undertake physical works in financial year 2018/19 to complete the Waiuku Skatepark (Massey Park) enhancements including;
   i) one additional waste bin
   ii) one drinking fountain
   iii) one picnic bench seat
   iv) one sign.

Horopaki / Context
8. The Waiuku Skatepark enhancements are intended to address the issues associated with limited access to drinking water, existing rubbish bin capacity issues and seating limitations not anticipated at the time of project scoping.
9. The sign is intended to deliver to Outcome five of the Local Board Plan, enable communities to feel ownership and connection to their area by sharing the story of the community partnership facilitated by the Waiuku Lions Club that informed the design and delivery of the skatepark.
Franklin Local Board
26 February 2019

10 December 2018

10. Community Facilities sought and received local board approval for LDI Capex of $11,154.00 via urgent decision in April 2018 (subsequently resolved as FR/2018/107) to deliver the enhancements.

11. Urgent decision was sought to enable contract works to be undertaken by an existing contractor already undertaking works on-site and for cost efficiencies to be achieved.

12. Subsequent to approval the on-site contractor confirmed they were no longer in a position to undertake the enhancement project contract works.

13. Community Facilities re-quoted the project taking into consideration the need to engage a separate contractor to manage this as a stand-alone project.

14. Community Facilities has discussed updated costings and discussed the source and style of enhancement features via workshops between July and November 2018.

15. At the November workshop, the board indicated satisfaction with the enhancements project proposal and pricing and directed staff to seek formal approval and allocation of locally driven initiative capital budget without delay.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

16. Community feedback, together with an assessment of existing skatepark infrastructure, suggested a need for greater access to drinking water, seating and for a bin to enable responsible litter disposal.

17. The local board have requested a sign be installed to tell the story of the community partnership approach to skatepark development and delivery. This is aligned with the Local Board Plan, Outcome five.

18. Sign content development will be supported by the Community Empowerment team in Community Services as part of their mandate to lead placemaking initiatives in Franklin.

19. A final sign design is to be presented and approved by the local board.

20. A proposed renewal of the Massey Park playground, currently located approximately 160M South of the Skatepark. The project manager will consider the playground concept plan when recommending the position of enhancements.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe /

Local impacts and local board views

21. This project was discussed at the local board workshop held 20 November 2018. Local board members were in support of advancing this project investigation.

22. This project will assist with positively impacting the well-being of people across the community by ensuring the provision of clean and accessible drinking water, rest and drinking waste infrastructure.

23. This project positively supports the following Franklin Local Board outcomes;
   - Outcome one: A well-cared for natural environment
   - Outcome five: Communities feel ownership and connection to their area.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

24. Community Facilities have confirmed there are no aspects of this proposed project that will have an impact on sites of importance to mana whenua.

25. The local board have requested that Ngāti Te Ata be consulted in the development of the sign to ensure that opportunities to share a mana whenua perspective on the site are considered and assess if the opportunity to promote Te Reo would be suitable.

26. Te Ao Māori values have been noted within all aspects of the proposed project.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

27. Total project costs are up to $18,020.
28. Locally Driven Initiatives (LDI) capital of $11,154.00 has been allocated and approved.
29. Additional locally driven initiative (LDI) capital of $6,866.00 is required to enable delivery.
30. Any savings achieved will be returned to local board’s locally driven initiative capital budget for reallocation.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

31. A contingency has been included to accommodate the possible requirement to install a water meter associated with the fountain and to accommodate any unforeseen additional plumbing and/or drainage works.
32. If approval to allocate funding is not secured prior to Christmas, contract works delivery will be delayed as the next available business meeting, 28 February 2019. This may compromise the ability of staff to deliver the project within the 2018/2019 financial year and/or within the peak-use (summer) period.

Ngā korōa ā-muri / Next steps

33. Following local board resolution the next steps are to:
   - assign a project manager and engage the contractor to undertake contract works.
   - implementation is planned to be completed by March 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Mathew Glanfield, Community Led &amp; LDI Specialist, Community Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Ian Milnes, Manager Work Programme Development, Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rodney Klaassen, Stakeholder Advisor, Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rob Cairns, Head of Investigation &amp; Design, Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rod Sheridan, GM Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alteration of the Franklin Local Board business meeting schedule for April and September 2019

File No.: CP2019/00416

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval to alter the business meeting schedule for the Franklin Local Board in two instances:
   a) By moving the April business meeting from Tuesday April 23 2019 to Tuesday April 16th 2019.
   b) By moving the September business meeting from 24 September 2019 to Tuesday 17 September 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. On 13 December 2016 the Franklin Local Board adopted its business meeting schedule (resolution number FR/2016/222).
3. Due to the Easter public holidays and ANZAC day grouping together in week four of April 2019, it is proposed to move the April business meeting from Tuesday 23 April to Tuesday 16th April.
4. Due to the 2019 Local Government election timeframes it is proposed to move the September business meeting from Tuesday 24th September 2019, to Tuesday 17th September 2019.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:
   a) approve the following alterations to the business meeting schedule for the Franklin Local Board, due to public holidays and the Local Government election timeframes:
      i) move the April 23 2019 business meeting to April 16 2019
      ii) move the September 24 2019 business meeting to September 17 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Denise Gunn - Democracy Advisor - Franklin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To consider the monthly update of the Franklin Local Board governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. This report provides an update on the Franklin Local Board governance forward work calendar. A schedule of key decisions that will come before the board at business meetings over the next year is attached (Attachment A).
3. The calendar aims to support the local board’s governance role by:
   i) Ensuring advice on agendas and workshop material is driven by local board priorities
   ii) Clarifying what advice is required and when
   iii) Clarifying the rationale for reports.
4. The calendar will be regularly updated to ensure that formal reporting milestones for new projects are added to the schedule. Sitting behind the publicly reported calendar is a less formal but more detailed meeting schedule, which will help to coordinate the work of staff on local board projects and ensure that previous resolutions are acted upon.
5. At its business meeting on 6 June 2017, Franklin Local Board resolved that the governance forward work calendar would be reported monthly to enable greater public transparency on forthcoming local board key decision timescales (Resolution number FR/2017/82).

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:
 a) note the February 2019 update of the Franklin governance forward work calendar (Attachment A to the report entitled ‘Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar’).

Horopaki / Context
6. The governance forward work calendar brings together reporting on all of Franklin Local Board’s projects and activities previously approved in the local board plan, long-term plan, departmental work programmes and through other board decisions. It includes governing body policies and initiatives that call for a local board response. Inclusion on a formal business meeting agenda will allow greater transparency for the public.
7. Sitting behind the publicly reported calendar is a more detailed meeting schedule, which will help to coordinate the work of staff on local board projects and ensure that previous resolutions are acted upon.
8. The forward work calendar is arranged in three columns: ‘Topic’, ‘Purpose’ and ‘Governance Role’:
    i.) Topic describes the items and may indicate how they fit in with broader processes such as the annual plan.
ii.) Purpose indicates the aim of the item, such as formally approving plans or projects, hearing submissions or receiving progress updates.

iii.) Governance role is a high-level categorisation of the work of local boards.

9. At its business meeting on 6 June 2017, Franklin Local Board resolved that the governance forward work calendar would be reported monthly to enable greater public transparency on forthcoming local board key decision timescales (Resolution number FR/2017/82).

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu / Analysis and advice

10. This report is an information report providing the governance forward work programme for the next six months.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe / Local impacts and local board views

11. All local boards have been receiving governance forward work calendars on their business meeting agendas. This will support more effective management of the local board’s governance work.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori / Māori impact statement

12. The projects and processes referred to in the governance forward work calendar will have a range of implications for Māori which will be considered when the work is reported.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications

13. There are no financial implications relating to this report.

Ngā raru tūpono / Risks

14. This report is a point in time of the governance forward work calendar. It is a living document and updated month to month. It minimises the risk of the board being unaware of planned topics for their consideration.

Ngā koringa ā-muri / Next steps

15. Staff will review the calendar each month and will report an updated calendar to the board.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board Governance Forward Work Calendar</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Denise Gunn - Democracy Advisor - Franklin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Governance Forward Work Programme – February update 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month/Quarter</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feb/March</td>
<td>Sports Facility Investment Plan</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>Draft Resilient Recovery Strategy (tbc)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March/April</td>
<td>Homelessness review (tbc)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>Local board agreement - Advocacy and Finances</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April/May</td>
<td>Open Space Management Framework</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>Auckland Waters Strategy</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>Draft Golf Facilities Investment Plan</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/June</td>
<td>Auckland climate action plan (previously Low Carbon Auckland)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 6 June</td>
<td>Local board agreement - adoption</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Adopt local board work programmes</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Signage Bylaw 2015 (tbc - or cluster workshops)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Franklin Local Board workshop records

File No.: CP2018/25304

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To receive the Franklin Local Board workshop records for workshops held on 4 and 11 December 2018, 5 and 12 February 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. Workshop records for the Franklin Local Board are attached for 4 and 11 December 2018, 5 and 12 February 2019.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Franklin Local Board:
a) receive the Franklin Local Board workshop records for 4 and 11 December 2018, 5 and 12 February 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board Workshop record 4 December 2018</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board Workshop record 11 December 2018</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board Workshop record 5 February 2019</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Franklin Local Board Workshop record 12 February 2019</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Denise Gunn - Democracy Advisor - Franklin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Nina Siers - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held in the Franklin Local Board Chamber, 82 Manukau Road, Pukekohe on 4 December 2018, commencing at 9.30 am.

## Present

**Chairperson:** Angela Fulljames  
**Members:** Andy Baker, Malcolm Bell (till noon), Alan Cole, Brendon Crompton, Shariene Druyven (till 2.30 pm), Amanda Hopkins (till noon), Murray Kay, Niko Kloeten (from 10.30 am)  
**Apology:** -  
**Also present:** Karen Gadomski (Senior Local Board Advisor), Georgina Gilmour (Local Board Advisor), Denise Gunn (Democracy Advisor), Coral Timmins (Strategic Broker), Lucy Stallworthy (Engagement Advisor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACE – Community readiness for emergency</td>
<td>Local Initiatives/ specific decisions</td>
<td>An audit of Franklin’s facilities and assets was presented to the board. A general ACE update and FYAB engagement activities were presented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Halls equity funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Timmins, Julie Radford-Poupart and Alex Woodley, (Point Research) Jane Cain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Sportsfield upgrades | Local Initiatives/ specific decisions | A review of sportsfields upgrades and lighting was presented. Four key grounds were identified.  
**Actions:**  
- Note board commentary regarding field layouts and baseball popularity (emerging code). |
| Kishor Mistry, Scott Malcolm, Debra Langton, Ali Farrell | | |
| Panuku – “unlock Pukekohe” | Local Initiatives/ specific decisions | The process for the next six months was discussed.  
**Actions:**  
- Establish a working group for fortnightly meetings for next six months  
- Report monthly to the whole board |
<p>| Helga Sonier, Richard Devison | | |
| Karaka Sports Park | Input to regional decision-making | The team reported on the needs assessment and strategic alignment work, which has not yet been finalised. A timeline will be provided next year, and the completed case will go to committee mid-2019. |
| Ruth Woodward, Jordan Hamilton, Adam Nicolson, Debra Langton | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Litter</td>
<td>Local Initiatives/ specific decisions</td>
<td>The proposed litter campaign was reviewed, and the board requested further public education on recyclable material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiuku ‘old New World’ carpark</td>
<td>Local Initiatives/ specific decisions</td>
<td>Resurfacing plans for the carpark were reviewed and supported by the board.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 2.49 pm.
Franklin Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held in the Franklin Local Board Chamber, 82 Manukau Road, Pukekohe on 11 December 2018, commencing at 9.30am.

PRESENT

Chairperson: Angela Fulljames
Members: Andy Baker, Malcolm Bell, Alan Cole, Brendon Crompton, Sharlene Druyven, Amanda Hopkins, Murray Kay, Niko Kloeten

Apology

Also present: Karen Gadomski (Senior Advisor), Georgina Gilmour (Senior Advisor from 10 December 2018), Vileeia Naidoo (Advisor), Coral Timmins (Strategic Broker), Denise Gunn (Democracy Advisor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southern Corridor Initiative update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The board were updated on the achievements of the Southern Corridor motorway programme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Kaye, Andrew Gibb, Rochelle Gill, Lucy Timmers - SCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenni Wild</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Updated public transport patronage figures were provided for the board’s information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenni Wild</td>
<td></td>
<td>The current engagement plan was provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The issues register was tabled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A new AT Relationship Manager will commence February 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maori Responsiveness update</td>
<td>Local initiative/specific decisions</td>
<td>The Strategic Broker reviewed a plan of action with the board to move forward in 2019, and the board provided general agreement to the proposed plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Timmins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 12.10 pm.
Franklin Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held in the Local Board Chambers, Pukekohe, on Tuesday 5 February, commencing at 9.30 am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Angela Fulljames
Members: Andy Baker, Alan Cole, Murray Kay, Niko Kietten (from 10.25 am), Malcolm Bell (from 10.10am), Amanda Hopkins, Brendon Crompton (from 10.07 am), Sharlene Druyven
Apologies: Andy Baker (absent on Council business pm), Malcolm Bell (lateness), Niko Kietten (lateness), Brendon Crompton (lateness)
Also present: Nina Siers (Relationship Manager), Georgina Gilmour (Senior Advisor), Denise Gunn (Democracy Advisor), Lucy Stallworthy (Engagement Advisor), Coral Timmins (Strategic Broker)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACE update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>The rural halls funding dashboard was reviewed, with highlights and challenges noted. A community mapping project update was provided from Civil Defence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Timmins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Airport flight</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Members were informed of the upcoming flight path trials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte Day (Auckland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Turner (Airways)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justin Tighe Umbers,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BARNZ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Members reviewed options for utilising the Transport Capital Fund and discussed the Pukekohe Rail Station building.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Stallworthy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 2.00 pm.
Franklin Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Franklin Local Board held at 125 Albert St Level 14 on Tuesday 12 February, commencing at 10.45 am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Angela Fulljames
Members: Alan Cole, Murray Kay, Andrew Baker, Malcolm Bell, Amanda Hopkins, Sharlene Druvven
Apologies: Brendon Crompton, Niko Kloeten
Also present: Nina Siens (Relationship Manager), Georgina Gilmour (Senior Advisor), Vileceo Naidoo (Advisor), Denise Gunn (Democracy Advisor), Coral Timmins (Strategic Broker)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panuku Update on Unlock Pukekohe</td>
<td>Local initiatives/ specific decisions</td>
<td>Staff presented an update to the board on work to prepare the scope of the Unlock Pukekohe project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helga Sonier, Richard Davison, Jacob Marshall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I&amp;ES – Update on contract negotiations with Zero Waste Waiuku</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Staff provided an update on work programme items.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miriam Knox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 12.12 pm