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1 Welcome
Member Julie Fairey will deliver the welcome message.

2 Apologies
At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest
Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 21 February 2019, as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence
At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements
At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions
At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations
Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Puketāpapa Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum
A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

10 Extraordinary Business
Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:
“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Puketāpapa Public Toilet Provision Assessment

File No.: CP2019/03149

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To seek adoption of the Puketāpapa Public Toilet Provision Assessment.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. Auckland Council has a role to play in the provision of public toilets within public open space. Toilets enable people to spend longer in a reserve, particularly for families with young children, seniors and those with specific access needs.

3. In FY18/19 the Puketāpapa Local Board tasked Parks Services with the production of a provision assessment focusing on public toilets in open space in their local board area. The assessment considered toilets within parks and other public spaces such as town centers.

4. The aim of the provision assessment was to identify where public toilets are currently located across the network and suggest possible locations which will provide a robust public toilet network in Puketāpapa.

5. Initial investigations found the Puketāpapa Local Board area currently has a good network of public toilet facilities, with seventeen toilets across the local board area.

6. The Public Toilet Provision Assessment (Attachment A) recommends seven sites where new toilets could be considered over the short and long term, and outlines priorities for delivery.

7. Should the board adopt the attached assessment the recommended next step is that the board consider funding investigation and design of a new toilet at Turners Reserve.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) adopt the Public Toilet Provision Assessment document as per Attachment A to this report.

Horopaki

Context

8. Public toilets are an important part of park development. They enable increased use of public open space by allowing the public to spend longer within a reserve. This is particularly important for families with young children, seniors and those with specific access needs.

9. In FY18/19 the Puketāpapa Local Board tasked Parks Services with the production of a provision assessment focusing on public toilets found within public open space in their local board area. The assessment considered toilets within parks and also in other public spaces such as town centers.

10. The aim of this provision assessment was to identify where public toilets are currently provided across the network, and suggest possible locations for additional toilets to create a robust network in Puketāpapa.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

11. There are currently seventeen public toilets installed within public open space across Puketāpapa (see Attachment A). An additional four toilets are located just over the border in other local board areas which are likely also serving Puketāpapa residents. This is a good level of provision when compared with the Auckland network.

12. The only clear gaps in the existing network are found within the growth areas of Roskill South and Three Kings Quarry, and also at Waikowhai and east of Keith Hay Park.

13. New public toilets facilities require significant investment for their construction and ongoing maintenance. As such, they must provide significant benefits to the community for investment to be justified.

14. To identify appropriate locations for new public toilets, a range of principles were used as outlined below:

- The open space has a high level of visitation, or is a destination space.
- Open space users stay at the park for a significant amount of time.
- The park holds organised sporting activity.
- There is a significant playground or play space at the park.
- The park is situated on an important active transport / walking route.
- There is a lack of other toilet facilities nearby (e.g. businesses, malls, sports clubs).
- There is an appropriate location at the site to ensure safety and security.

15. The Parks and Open Spaces Strategic Action Plan (POSSAP) suggest that public toilets are provided within suburb parks, which are parks which serve a wider catchment of the community throughout a local board area. This was also taken into account when identifying new toilet locations.

16. Application of these principles resulted in the identification of seven sites where new toilets should be considered (see Attachment A). Details can be found in the attachment.

17. The lack of toilet facilities within the Roskill South area, combined with planned housing development, and existing youth facilities at Turners Reserve, made this park the highest priority for installation of a new toilet. It is recommended that a new toilet at Turners Reserve is considered by the board for inclusion in a future work program.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

Council group impacts and views

18. The Community Facilities Department will be involved in the next steps of this project, specifically in the investigation, design and delivery stages.

19. Future delivery of public toilets will also impact the Community Facilities Operations, Management and Maintenance team, who are responsible for maintenance and safety of parks and assets such as toilets.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views

20. Improvement in toilet provision will help to meet outcome two and six of the Puketāpapa Local Board Plan 2017, “improved wellbeing and safety” and “vibrant and popular parks and facilities” through the provision of services supporting healthy and active lifestyles.

21. This will also directly respond to a need highlighted within the 2018 Accessibility in Parks study for improved provision of, and access to fit for purpose public toilet facilities and improve the usability of parks for those with access needs.
22. Workshops were held with the local board to discuss this assessment on 28 November 2018 and on 14 February 2019. Feedback from these meetings has helped to shape the final plan.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**  
Māori impact statement  
23. It is not anticipated that this project will impact Māori disproportionately than people of other cultures within Auckland.  
24. This project was discussed with mana whenua representatives at the Parks Sport and Recreation hui in December 2018. No specific feedback was given by mana whenua at the time.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**  
Financial implications  
25. Further investigation and design work will be required to determine more accurate costings for the design and build of a new public toilet. Development of any new toilet is subject to funding available.  
26. Investigation, design and delivery of outcomes identified in the Public Toilet Provision Assessment is included in the draft Community Facilities three year work programme as a proposed project, for discussion with the local board in early 2019.  
27. New toilets identified in the document may be delivered as part of larger projects with alternative funding sources, such as town centre upgrades, significant housing development or planned projects on parks.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**  
Risks and mitigations  
28. Following further investigation and design work, the construction of a toilet at a proposed site may not be considered feasible due to factors outside of the scope of this study. This has been mitigated by the addition of several park options of varying priority for new toilet installations should one not prove achievable.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**  
Next steps  
29. Staff will include high priority sites for the board to consider for progression into the investigation and design phase in the Community Facilities FY19/20 work programme.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**  
Attachments
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Background:

Public toilets are an important component to park development. They enable increased use of public open space by allowing the public to spend longer within a reserve then they otherwise could have. This is particularly important for families with young children, seniors and those with specific access needs.

In FY18/19 the Puketāpapa Local Board funded a provision assessment focusing on public toilets found within public open space in their local board area. The assessment considered toilets within parks and also in other public spaces such as town centres.

The aim of this provision assessment is to identify where public toilets are currently provided across the network, and suggest possible locations for additional toilets to create a robust network across Puketāpapa.

Current State:

The Puketāpapa Local Board area has a comprehensive network of public toilet facilities.

Currently there are seventeen public toilets within the local board area, mapped on the following page. These are located at:

- Walmsley Park
- Mt Roskill War Memorial Park
- Dominion Road
- Fearon Park
- Big King – Te Tautua a Riukiuta
- Three Kings Reserve
- Monte Cecilia Park
- Seymour Park
- Hillsborough Park
- Keith Hay North
- Keith Hay South
- Richardson Road
- Taylors Bay Reserve
- Waikowhai Park
- Lynfield Reserve
- Margaret Griffen Park
- Lynfield Cove Reserve

Public toilets located just over the border in other Local Board areas are also likely serving Puketāpapa residents. These are located at:

- Ovairaka Park (Albert-Eden)
- Taumanu Reserve (Maungakiekie Tamaki)
- Cornwall Park (Maungakiekie Tamaki)
- Valonia Reserve (Whau)

The only clear gaps in the existing network are found within growth areas at Roskill South and Three Kings Quarry. There is a slight lack of provision in the Waikowhai suburb, and east of Keith Hay Park. There has been a request from the public to install a toilet at the western end of Stoddard Road.
Attachment A

Current Public Toilet Provision 2018
Puketapapa Local Board

Item 11
Creating a Robust Network:

To build on the existing network of public toilets, you must consider principles of where a public toilet would best enhance public use of the space.

New public toilets facilities require significant investment for their construction and ongoing maintenance. As such, they must provide significant benefits to the community for investment to be justified.

The principles used for this assessment to identify appropriate locations for the development of new public toilets are as outlined below:

- The open space has a high level of visitation, or is a destination space.
- Open space users stay within the park for a significant amount of time.
- The park holds organised sporting activity.
- The park provides a significant playground or play space for children.
- It is situated on an important active transport / walking route.
- There is a lack of other toilet facilities nearby (e.g. businesses, malls, sports clubs).
- There is an appropriate location at the site to ensure safety and security.

Long term Provision:

Additional public toilet sites were recommended for the following sites, also shown on a map on the following page:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mt Roskill War Memorial South</td>
<td>This suburb park is a significant park for the area, providing community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>outcomes and hosting organised sports, as well as play and passive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>recreation opportunities. The southern end of the park does have a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>changing room facility with toilets, however these are not always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>available to park users. The hall also provides toilets, however these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>are only available to hall users. It is recommended that facilities are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>upgraded upon their renewal and made available at all times to public at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>this end of the park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Kings Quarry Development</td>
<td>Significant housing development within this area as well as development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of new sports fields at this site necessitates a toilet and changing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>room facility. While toilets are available at the nearby Three Kings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reserve, these are inaccessible to users due to the topography of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>quarry site, with the new park below ground level. Growth funding has</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>already been flagged to enable toilets and a changing room to be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>delivered at this park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turners Reserve</td>
<td>Turners Reserve is already a significant space for youth, which includes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a large playground, basketball half courts and a <code>learn to ride</code> bike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>track. This park forms the recreational heart of the Roskill South housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>development led by HLC and HNZ, and is likely to increase in use and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>importance to the community. A toilet is required to enable improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>utilisation of the park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manukau Domain</td>
<td>Manukau Domain is an important open space along the Walkowhai Walkway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Situated roughly halfway between Lynfield Cove and Walkowhai Park along</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the walkway (both which have toilets), this park presents the perfect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>opportunity to provide an additional toilet stop along the Walkowhai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walkway. The walkway is predicted to increase in usage as a regionally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>significant walk, as its development continues and exposure grows. As a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>largely undeveloped park, a toilet should be considered in addition to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>other assets as play and recreation in a concept plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molley Green Reserve &amp; West</td>
<td>These two sites are very popular neighbourhood parks which include</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>provision of play features as well as active recreation through</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>basketball. Adding toilets would provide extended the use of each park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for the community. Given the significant investment required, these two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sites should be considered long term options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoddard Road West</td>
<td>Local businesses would like to see a public toilet installed in this area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>However, there are no appropriate locations without necessitating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>removal of parking areas. In addition, a toilet in this area would result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in limited public benefit and meets few of the principles outlined above.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment A

Proposed Long Term Public Toilet Provision
Puketāpapa Local Board

Key
- = Proposed New Toilet
= = Improving Toilet Access
= = Proposed Toilet (Planned)
= = Proposed New Toilet (long term)
Prioritisation:

The six sites suggested for public toilets within Puketāpapa have been prioritised below to provide a suggested order for future delivery. Sites were prioritised based on how many of the principles previously described for where a toilet should be installed were met.

- **Mt Roskill War Memorial Park**
  The existing toilets at Mt Roskill War Memorial Park South should be upgraded upon renewal. Effort should also be made in the short term to improve accessibility of these toilets by ensuring that they are unlocked every day and not only on match days. These actions will ensure that the required provision is met at this site.

- **Three Kings Quarry**
  The proposed new toilets within the Three Kings Quarry Development are already funded through growth funding as part of the development of the Quarry. The Local Board should continue to advocate for these facilities to ensure that they are delivered as planned.

- **Molley Green & West Reserves**
  These are popular neighbourhood parks within areas of under provision of public toilets. However, the current use of these parks does not justify the significant expense of a toilet. Council guidance is to only consider toilets in parks of high use. As such, these sites should instead be flagged as possible options for the long term.

- **Manukau Domain**
  A toilet here would provide benefit to users of the Waikowhai Walkway, ensuring that toilet facilities are available the length of the walkway in key locations. It will also help to serve a growing population in an area of the local board with low public toilet provision. However, the park is currently under-developed, and is not well used. In addition, the Waikowhai Walkway will continue to largely bypass the park until the coastal route is developed further. A toilet should be therefore considered alongside other assets in a concept plan for potential delivery in the future.

- **Turners Reserve**
  The lack of toilet facilities within the Roskill South area, combined with significant housing development in this area, and existing youth facilities at Turners Reserve, make this park a high priority for installation of a toilet. While there is limited space within this park, it is recommended that a new toilet at this site is considered for delivery in the short term. This should also be included in ongoing discussions with HLC, who are undertaking significant housing development in the area that should unlock growth funding opportunities.

Next Steps:

Investigation and Design for a new toilet within Turners Reserve should be included in future work programmes.

Future renewal of existing toilet facilities across the local board area should consider improvements to accessibility and additional wayfinding signage to increase public awareness.
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek adoption of the Puketāpapa Drinking Fountain Provision Assessment.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has a role to play to increase the availability of drinking water in public open spaces in order to help reduce the impact of sugary drinks on our communities.
3. In FY18/19 the Puketāpapa Local Board tasked Parks Services with the production of a provision assessment focusing on drinking fountains found within public open spaces in their local board area.
4. The aim of this provision assessment was to identify where drinking fountains are currently installed across parks and open spaces, and suggest possible locations for additional drinking fountains to create a robust network across Puketāpapa.
5. Any improvements to the drinking fountain network within Puketāpapa will result in:
   • Improved access to tap water in public open spaces.
   • Behavioural change to reduce consumption of sugary drinks.
   • Better physical and oral health and reduced levels of obesity.
   • Less plastic waste and improved environmental health.
6. The Drinking Fountain Provision Assessment (Attachment A) includes a prioritised list of twenty proposed drinking fountains, and outlines a staged approach to implementation over the next five to ten years, focusing initially on high priority sites.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
a) adopt the Drinking Fountain Provision Assessment document as per Attachment A, to this report.

Horopaki
Context
7. In recent years increased importance has been placed on community health and wellbeing, with a focus on the issue of obesity. Access to unhealthy sugary drinks has been shown to have contributed to the rise of obesity. As a result, the Ministry of Health strongly encourages the consumption of water over sugary drinks. This is reflected in the National Healthy Food and Drink Policy 2018.
8. Auckland Council has a role to play to increase the availability of drinking water in public open spaces. While a proposed level of service for drinking fountains in public open spaces is in development, there is currently no policy as to where and why drinking fountains should be considered for installation in parks and open spaces.
9. In FY18/19 the Puketāpapa Local Board tasked Parks Services with the production of a provision assessment focusing on drinking fountains found within public open space in their local board area.

10. The aim of this provision assessment is to identify where drinking fountains are currently installed across parks and open spaces, and suggest possible locations for additional drinking fountains to create a robust network in Puketāpapa.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

11. There are currently ten drinking fountains installed across eight parks within Puketāpapa (see Attachment A). An additional five drinking fountains are located just over the border in other local board areas which are likely also serving Puketāpapa residents.

12. Parks Services staff considered relevant literature around the provision of drinking fountains and the needs of park users, and have developed a list of principles and locations for new drinking fountain installations.

13. The principles for this assessment are that:
   - The park is used for organised or informal sporting / active recreation purposes.
   - The park is on an active transport / walking route or identified in a greenways plan.
   - The park is used as a community gathering or picnic space.
   - There is a significant playground or play space at the park.
   - The park supports large events.
   - There are no drinking fountains nearby (such as at businesses or schools).
   - The park concept plan indicates a drinking fountain.

14. New drinking fountains were proposed for twenty sites, and prioritised for delivery in Attachment A.

15. The rough cost of a single installation is subject to further investigation and design work. Costs are expected to vary dependent on model, design, drainage, water connection, meterage and other factors. The final onsite location of new drinking fountains will also require further investigation, which will take into account drainage requirements, user needs (people & dogs), cultural impacts (distance from toilet) and level of use.

16. The delivery of a robust network of drinking fountains across Puketāpapa will help to reduce the impact of sugary drinks in the local community, and in combination with other programmes such as Wai Auckland, will help to turn the tide on obesity in Auckland.

17. In order to deliver a robust network of drinking fountains throughout the Puketāpapa Local Board area, the local board should consider funding an ongoing programme of delivery, focusing initially on high priority sites before moving down the list over time.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

18. The Community Facilities Department will be involved in the next steps of this project, specifically in the investigation, design and delivery stages.

19. Future delivery of drinking fountains will also impact the Community Facilities Operations, Management and Maintenance team, who are responsible for maintenance and safety of parks and assets such as drinking fountains.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

20. Improvement to the drinking fountain network will help to meet outcome two and six of the Puketāpapa Local Board Plan 2017, “improved wellbeing and safety” and “vibrant and
popular parks and facilities” through the provision of services supporting healthy and active lifestyles.

21. Improvement to the drinking fountain network aligns with the infrastructure strategy of the Wai Auckland initiative. This is a collaborative initiative between the Ministry of Health, Auckland District Health Board’s, Auckland Council, and Healthy Auckland Together (made up of 21 other key stakeholders and organisations within Auckland). It aims to displace sugary drinks through improving accessibility to potable water and through education and community engagement.

22. Improvement to the drinking fountain network will also align with one of the key outcomes in the Healthy Puketāpapa Action Plan (access to water).

23. Improvement to the drinking fountain network in combination with work by organisations such as Wai Auckland will help to reduce the impact of sugary drinks on the local community, resulting in health benefits including a reduction in obesity.

24. Workshops were held with the local board to discuss this assessment on 28 November 2018 and again on 14 February 2019. Feedback from these meetings has helped to shape the final plan.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

25. Obesity is an issue which disproportionately impacts Māori and Pacific communities within Auckland. Improved access to healthy eating and drinking options for Māori is a key outcome in the 2017/18 Māori Health Plan for the Auckland and Waitemata District Health Boards.

26. This project was discussed with mana whenua representatives at the Parks Sport and Recreation hui in December 2018. No specific feedback was given by mana whenua at the time.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

27. The rough cost of installation is expected to vary dependent on model, design, drainage, water connection, meterage and other factors. Further investigation and design work will be required to determine more accurate costs.

28. Investigation, design and delivery of outcomes identified in the Drinking Fountain Provision Assessment is included in the draft Community Facilities three year work programme as a proposed project, for discussion with the local board in early 2019.

29. Drinking fountains identified in the document may be delivered as part of larger projects with alternative funding sources, such as town centre upgrades, significant housing development or planned projects on parks.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

30. Several of the medium and long priority drinking fountain sites identified in the plan are located on parks and open space which are not under the governance of the Puketāpapa Local Board. This includes land managed by the Tupuna Maunga Authority and the New Zealand Transport Authority. Early engagement with these groups during the investigation and design stage will be required.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

31. Staff will include high priority sites for the board to consider for progression into the investigation and design phase in the Community Facilities FY19/20 work programme.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
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Puketāpapa Drinking Fountain Provision Assessment 2018

**Background:**

In recent years an increased importance has been placed on community health and wellbeing, with the issue of obesity attracting particular interest. Access to unhealthy sugary drinks has been shown to have contributed to the rise of obesity. As a result, the Ministry of Health strongly encourages the consumption of water over sugary drinks. This is reflected in their National Healthy Food and Drink Policy 2018.

The Auckland Council has a role to play in increasing the availability of drinking water in public open spaces. While a proposed level of service for drinking fountains in public open spaces is in development, there is currently no guidance as to where and why drinking fountains should be considered for installation in parks and open spaces.

In FY18/19 the Puketāpapa Local Board funded a provision assessment focusing on drinking fountains found within public open space in their local board area.

The aim of this provision assessment is to identify where drinking fountains are currently installed across parks and open spaces, and suggest possible locations for additional drinking fountains to create a robust network across Puketāpapa.

Any improvements to the drinking fountain network within Puketāpapa will help to result in:

- Improved access to tap water in public open spaces.
- Behavioural change to reduce consumption of sugary drinks.
- Better physical and oral health and reduced levels of obesity.
- Less plastic waste and improved environmental health.

Improvement to the drinking fountain network aligns with the infrastructure strategy of the Wai Auckland initiative. This is a collaborative effort between the Ministry of Health, Auckland DHB’s, Auckland Council, and Healthy Auckland Together (21 other key stakeholders and organisations within Auckland). This initiative aims to displace sugary drinks through improving accessibility to potable water and via education and community engagement.

This will involve working across the whole system, including urban planning, water infrastructure, policy, beverage supply and retail, community, health, education, and sport to make water the easy and first choice of beverage.

The delivery of a robust network of drinking fountains across Puketāpapa will help to reduce the impact of sugary drinks in the local community, and in combination with other programmes such as Wai Auckland, will help to turn the tide on obesity in Auckland.
Current State:

Currently there are ten drinking fountains within the local board area, mapped on the following page. These are located at:

- Walmsley Park (new)
- Underwood Reserve (new)
- Mt Roskill War Memorial Park x2
- Fearon Park
- Turners Reserve
- Keith Hay Park x2
- Lynfield Reserve
- Taylors Bay Reserve

Drinking fountains located just over the border in other Local Board areas are also likely serving Puketāpapa residents. These are located at:

- Ōwairaka Park (Albert-Eden)
- Sandringham Reserve (Albert-Eden)
- Taumanu Reserve (Maungakiekie Tamaki)
- Cornwall Park (Maungakiekie Tamaki)
- Valonia Reserve (Whau)

There is a distinct lack of drinking fountain facilities in the east and south of the local board area.
Creating a Robust Network:

To build on the existing network of drinking fountains, you must consider principles of where a drinking fountain would be considered a beneficial service to park users.

Parks Services staff considered relevant literature around the provision of drinking fountains, and the needs of park users to produce a list of principles to identify appropriate locations for new drinking fountain installations.

The principles used for this assessment are as outlined below:

- The park is used for organised or informal sporting / active recreation purposes.
- The park is on an active transport / walking route or identified in greenways plan.
- The park is used as a community gathering or picnic space.
- There is a significant playground or play space at the park.
- The park supports large events.
- There are no drinking fountains nearby (such as at businesses or schools).
- The park concept plan indicates a drinking fountain.

Long term Provision:

New drinking fountains were recommended for the following twenty sites, listed in no particular order, and also shown on a map on the following page:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Faulkner Reserve</td>
<td>Active Recreation/Sports</td>
<td>Hillsborough Cemetery</td>
<td>Community/Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain Road</td>
<td>Transport/Walking Community/Picnic Space</td>
<td>Morley Green Reserve</td>
<td>Community/Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Long Reserve</td>
<td>Play</td>
<td>Waiwhetu Park</td>
<td>Transport/Walking Play</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big King - Te Tatua o Ruihua</td>
<td>Transport/Walking</td>
<td>Manukau Domain</td>
<td>Transport/Walking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarry Sports Field</td>
<td>Active Recreation/Sports</td>
<td>Lynfield Cove Reserve</td>
<td>Transport/Walking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Kings Reserve</td>
<td>Active Recreation/Sports Community/Picnic Space</td>
<td>Margaret Griffin Park</td>
<td>Active Recreation/Sports Play</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monti Cecilia</td>
<td>Community/Picnic Space Play</td>
<td>Manore Overbridge</td>
<td>Transport/Walking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seymour Park</td>
<td>Active Recreation/Sports</td>
<td>Puketapapa</td>
<td>Transport/Walking Community/Public Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Reserve</td>
<td>Play</td>
<td>Keith Hay Overbridge</td>
<td>Transport/Walking Active Recreation/Sports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsborough Park</td>
<td>Active Recreation/Sports</td>
<td>Hillsborough Road</td>
<td>Transport/Walking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Prioritisation:**

The twenty sites suggested for new drinking fountains within Puketāpapa have been prioritised on the following page to provide a suggested order for future delivery. Sites were classed as either high priority for delivery in the short term, medium priority or low priority for delivery in the long term.

The principles used for prioritisation are outlined below:

- In order to ensure equitable investment across the local board area and help work towards a robust network of drinking fountains, installations in areas lacking drinking fountain provision were given the highest priority.

- The types of activities occurring in each location were also used to prioritise sites for delivery. Sites providing active recreation and sport were given the highest priority as this activity results in the greatest need for access to potable water. This was followed by active transport / walking. Finally, sites which provided community or play value were given a lower weighting.

- The cost of a drinking fountain installation can vary widely dependent on a number of factors. Most importantly however is the access to potable water. Sites which had access to existing water lines (e.g. near toilets or buildings) were given a higher priority given they will more affordable and thus provide more immediate benefits to the network.

- Locations in parks with existing concept plans which refer to possible drinking fountain installations were given priority, given there is some existing level of community expectation. Those sites with active or planned projects as part of the community facilities work programme were also prioritised, given there may be opportunity to include drinking fountains in the scope of each project.

- Locations in parks with higher usage were prioritised over smaller parks. The addition of a drinking fountain will positively impact a larger number of people in these sites.

**Next Steps:**

In order to deliver a robust network of drinking fountains throughout the Puketāpapa Local Board area, the Local Board should consider funding an ongoing programme of delivery, focusing initially on high priority sites before moving down the list over time.

The rough cost of a single installation will vary dependent on model, design, drainage, water connection, meterage ect. It is estimated that the average installation costs between $5500-$6500, or higher if access to water on site is limited.

The final in-park location and design of installed drinking fountains should take into account considerations such as drainage requirements, user needs (people & dogs), cultural impacts (distance from toilet) and level of use (determines model).
## Prioritised List of Drinking Fountains:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Margaret Griffin Park</td>
<td>Popular sports park, with high levels of activity on the upper fields and at the playground and leased facilities. Poor current provision in area.</td>
<td>Drinking fountain to be identified in concept plan. Access to existing water lines at toilet and leased buildings. Consider two installations at either end of park. Could be included in Freedom Walking project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Three Kings Reserve</td>
<td>This site is very well used, including the courts, sports fields and community facilities. Poor current provision in area.</td>
<td>Location near courts and fields. Potential for a second installation near library. Access to existing water lines available. Consider future Town Centre Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Waikowhai Park</td>
<td>This is a well used park with playground and is a key stopping point on the Waikowhai Walkway. Poor current provision in area.</td>
<td>Drinking fountain identified in concept plan. Access to existing water lines near toilet available. Could be included in existing projects happening within the park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Monte Cecilia</td>
<td>This is a destination park and an important space for the community. Long term ambition for significant playground.</td>
<td>Access to existing water lines near toilet and buildings. Already identified in park and playground concept plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Lynfield Cove Reserve</td>
<td>This well used site includes a playground and is the start of the Waikowhai Walkway.</td>
<td>Access to existing water lines near toilet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Molley Green Reserve</td>
<td>A popular neighbourhood park, with users staying for an extended time. Includes basketball and a playground.</td>
<td>Access to water could be a limiting factor. If this results in significant price increase and difficulty, then fountain parking could be a long term installation with a future toilet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Arthur Faulkner Reserve</td>
<td>There is a small tennis club and public tennis courts at the reserve however this is not a significant park for the area.</td>
<td>Drinking fountain identified in concept plan, and existing projects planned for park. Access to water through leased buildings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Harold Long Reserve</td>
<td>This is a significant new play space for the area and has expected high use.</td>
<td>Stage 3 works is forecast for FY19/20. Could be included in existing project. Access to water lines through leased building.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Seymour Park</td>
<td>Smaller sized sports park.</td>
<td>Access to existing water lines near toilet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Hillsborough Park</td>
<td>Smaller sized sports park.</td>
<td>Access to existing water lines near toilet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Big King - Te Tautu o Rukutau</td>
<td>Very well used site by dog walkers. Access to existing water lines by toilet.</td>
<td>Managed by Tūpuna Maunga Authority. Would require their approval. Await management plan for the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Puketāpapa</td>
<td>This is a popular destination, and also includes the SH20 Cycleway running through the site.</td>
<td>Managed by Tūpuna Maunga Authority. Would require their approval. Await management plan for the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Keith Hay Overbridge</td>
<td>A key intersection along the SH20 Cycleway. Would also serve children from nearby schools and sport users.</td>
<td>Access to water could be a limiting factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Quarry Sports Field</td>
<td>New sports park to serve a brand new community. Access to existing water lines.</td>
<td>Potential to be included in existing sports field establishment project. Projects a few years away.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>West Reserve</td>
<td>A popular neighbourhood park, with users staying for an extended time.</td>
<td>Possible access to water through leased play centre building. Park is also in consideration for a new public toilet in the long term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Hillsborough Road</td>
<td>A key intersection along the SH20 Cycleway. Will require collaboration with AT and NZTA.</td>
<td>Access to water could be a limiting factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Maori Overbridge</td>
<td>A key intersection along the SH20 Cycleway. Will require collaboration with AT and NZTA.</td>
<td>Access to water could be a limiting factor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Hillsborough Cemetery</td>
<td>Not used for active recreation. A connector to the Waikowhai Walkway. Not highly used currently.</td>
<td>Drinking fountain to be identified in concept plan. Access to existing water lines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Dominion Road</td>
<td>This is a town centre and also a hub for public transport. Presence of a toilet would make installation easier.</td>
<td>Upgrade to transport interchange several years away. Should consider shifting of the toilet (and a drinking fountain) to a better location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Manukau Domain</td>
<td>This park is a stopping point along the Waikowhai Walkway, however is</td>
<td>Consider drinking fountain alongside further</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Landowner approval for the installation of new permanent artwork in Fearon Park

File No.: CP2019/01973

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek landowner approval from the Puketāpapa Local Board for the installation of a piece of public artwork at Fearon Park, Three Kings.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. The Auckland Council Public Art team recently installed artwork in the southern area of the Fearon Park, off Akarana Avenue, where the main vehicle and pedestrian entrance to the park is located. This was completed as a wider upgrade of Fearon Park.

3. The public art team would like to install another artwork in Fearon Park. The new artwork is proposed at the Fearon Avenue entrance, alongside an existing footpath.

4. The proposed artwork will be funded from the 2018/19 Regional Public Art Capex budget.

5. Landowner approval is required from the Puketāpapa Local Board to allow the permanent installation of this artwork.

6. The public art team plan to install the artwork and maintain it in perpetuity. Specialists in the Community Facilities and Community Services departments support the proposal.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) approve the installation of artwork by the Auckland Council Public Art team in Fearon Park, near the Fearon Avenue entrance.

Horopaki
Context

7. The public art team have requested landowner approval to install new artwork within Fearon Park. The artwork has been proposed at the entrance of the park between 21 and 23 Fearon Avenue, Three Kings (see Attachment A).

8. The 2017 Puketāpapa Local Board Plan recognises the value of vibrant and popular parks. The purpose of the artwork is to enhance the entrance points to the park. Phase one of the project was focused on the main entry area located on the corner of Keystone Avenue and Akarana Avenue, Mount Roskill. The artwork was installed in June 2017. The artwork that is proposed at the Fearon Avenue entrance is phase two of the project.

9. The works have been created by artist Chris Bailey and feature three large scale stone carved sculptures that reference historic collection and working of stone. Each sculpture
reflects a tool that would have been used in the area by mana whenua. The artwork will be placed within a low elevated basalt wall. This is in keeping with the theme of the phase one artwork.

10. The scale of the artwork is such that there are no anticipated effects on neighbouring properties. The largest element of the artwork is approximately 2.2 metres tall. The boundary fence between the park and neighbouring property is 1.8 metres high. In addition to the sculptures, the artist has developed a planting plan for the immediate area and the plantings will overtime negate any visual effects for the neighbouring properties.

11. The basalt edging that borders the artwork will be approximately 0.2 metres high. The border is intended to frame and protect the artworks from mowing damage and to contain low level native plantings that form a part of the artists design. The materials proposed for the artwork are Auckland region sourced basalt rock. This is in keeping with the phase one artwork and references the historic use of basalt within the local area, pre and post colonisation.

12. Two new Ti Kouka trees will be planted within the terraced area to tie in with the existing trees.

13. The public art team engaged iwi representatives at the commencement of the phase two artwork design process. The iwi representatives met with the artist on site at the beginning of the process and confirmed that the phase one artist’s brief was to be used to guide the development of the phase two artwork.

14. Minor earthworks will be required during construction of the basalt wall and landscaping. Pedestrian access will be maintained during the works.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**Land status**

15. The area in which the phase two artwork is proposed is legally described as Allotment 249 Section 10 Suburbs of Auckland. The land is a classified recreation reserve, subject to the Reserves Act 1977. The land is part of the Mt Roskill Domain and is owned by the Crown and vested in the Auckland Council, in trust, for recreation purposes. Auckland Council holds power under the Reserves Act 1977 to grant any action it sees fit to take place on the reserve.

**Staff views**

16. The parks and places specialist was supportive of the application and raised no concerns. The maintenance delivery coordinator had no issues with the proposal and noted that maintenance of the reserve will not be greatly impacted upon. The senior arboriculture and eco specialist was supportive and advised it is highly unlikely that there is any major root activity in the area.

17. The phase one artwork was completed in June 2017. To date, there have been no reported issues with the work and the council have not received any complaints regarding the project.

**Options**

18. The Puketāpapa Local Board has delegated authority to approve or decline this landowner approval application.
19. The recommended option is for the Puketāpapa Local Board to approve the land owner approval application as it aligns with the local board plan and enhances the entrance to Fearon Park.

20. The other option is for the Puketāpapa Local Board to decline the request for land owner approval. The public arts team will not be permitted to establish this artwork if this option is chosen.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

21. The proposed artwork will be located within the Fearon Park boundary. There are no Watercare assets near the proposed artwork. There are no Auckland Transport owned or managed assets that will be impacted by the artwork.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe Local impacts and local board views

22. The 2017 Puketāpapa Local Board Plan recognises the value of vibrant and popular parks. An outcome of the local board plan seeks to achieve parks that are pleasant to look at, that can provide something for everyone in the community. It is considered that the proposed artwork achieves by providing artwork for the public to enjoy.

23. In general, public artworks have a positive effect on local communities. Experience has shown that public artworks that reflect local stories, contribute to creating a sense of place and pride for communities.

24. The proposed phase two artwork is a continuation of the artwork completed at the Akarana Avenue entry to the park and is intended to reinforce the narrative the artist has communicated with the completion of the phase one artwork. The narrative is related to the historic extraction use of stone in the local area.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori Māori impact statement

25. During the development of the phase one artwork the following iwi were engaged to develop that artist’s brief and to provide direction relating to local narratives that could be reflected in the artworks:

- Ngati Whatua Orakei
- Te Akitai Waiohua
- Te Kawerau A Maki
- Nga Tai Ki Tamaki

26. The public art team engaged the same iwi representatives at the commencement of the phase two artwork design process. The iwi representatives met with the artist on site at the beginning of the process and confirmed the same artist’s brief was to be used to guide the development of the phase two artwork.

27. The iwi representatives reviewed the concept design for the phase two artwork and endorse the design produced by the artist.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

28. The project is being funded from 2018/19 Auckland Council Regional Public Art CAPEX budget, and there are no known ongoing financial implications for the Puketāpapa Local Board, associated with the installation of the work at this location.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

29. There are no major anticipated risks associated with the installation of the artwork. No concerns have been raised by the public regarding phase one of the project which is similar to the proposed phase two artwork.

30. Public artwork generally has a positive effect on local communities. However, there is a possibility the artwork would not appeal to the entire community.

31. The ongoing maintenance of the artwork will be the full responsibility of council’s public arts team.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

32. If the local board approves the proposal, the public art team will be provided with a letter outlining the conditions of approval which will include installation, health and safety, and insurance responsibilities.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
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<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Phase Two Artwork proposal – Fearon Park

Figure 1 – Location of artwork. Phase one artwork has been installed. Phase two artwork has been proposed.
Attachment A

Item 13

Figure 2 – Detailed plan of artwork area

Figure 3 – Cross section of artwork area
Figure 4 – Dimensions of proposed artwork area.

Figure 5 – Phase one completed design at main entrance of Fearon Park
Auckland Transport's Monthly Update

File No.: CP2019/02673

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Puketāpapa Local Board (the Board) on transport related matters in their area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. This report informs the Board of progress on its funded local board capital fund projects (LBTCF) and notes that a decision report on additional LBTCF projects is also to be considered by the Board in this agenda. There is currently $676,123 left in the LBTCF for allocation before July 2019.
3. It provides an update to the Board on significant Auckland Transport (AT) projects in the Board area.
4. Relevant consultations and any decisions of the transport control committee are noted, as they affect the Puketāpapa Local Board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport March 2019 report.

Horopaki
Context
5. AT is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. It reports on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in its Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within and on behalf of their local communities.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
6. A separate report on this agenda provides advice to the Board on further projects that the Board has proposed, using its LBTCF.
Update on Puketāpapa Local Board Transport Capital Funded Projects

7. The table below reflects the status of projects which have been supported by resolution and are progressing using the LBTCF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Projected Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Richardson Road carpark realignment and Lighting project</td>
<td>A project to shift parking in the carpark to facilitate the construction of a shared path past the kindergarten. This will complete the missing link in the Mt Roskill safe route scheme.</td>
<td>Construction is well advanced and should be substantially complete in March 2019.</td>
<td>$220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Hay Park Lighting – Southern Section</td>
<td>Continuing the lighting project from the northern section to the southern end of the park past Noton Road carpark to Richardson Road.</td>
<td>Contract for the work has been awarded with work to take place April to June 2019 to meet stakeholders’ requirements.</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenway Cycling Project – Route D (modified)</td>
<td>This route includes shared paths on Frost Road and then a combination of traffic calming measures, signs, markings along Britton and Dornwell Roads, shared paths through the laneways and then traffic calming on Hayr Road and Haughey Avenue through to, but not across Hillsborough Rd.</td>
<td>Material for public consultation is being prepared.</td>
<td>$600,000 (allocated)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Progress being made on significant investigations and projects in the Board area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safer Communities 2018-21</td>
<td>This programme focuses on walking improvements in the Mount Roskill community. It aims to create safer walking environments for local people to get to and from key destinations such as schools, public transport hubs, shops, community centres and more, on foot.</td>
<td>The project team is scheduled to discuss with the Board proposals for Mt Albert Road/Hayr Rd area and the analysis of the first consultation at it’s March workshop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Roskill Village Upgrade/ Dominion Road Double Decker Route</td>
<td>Changes are being made to the bus stops in the village area to accommodate double decker buses. The Board approved a draft streetscape design on 16 August 2018. Some changes arose from Auckland Transport internal feedback, an independent road safety audit and a hui. Key design</td>
<td>The work in the village is planned to integrate with other changes needed to accommodate double decker buses. Most of the work to accommodate double deckers in Mt Roskill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Project Details</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Carlton Street        | AT has reviewed the safety audit completed by consultants and provided responses to the matters raised at the May 2018 public meeting.  
AT has designed bus-friendly infrastructure designs that will ensure all vehicles travel slowly on Carlton Street. | Consultation on changes to the speed calming infrastructure in Carlton Street went live in early March, 2019. |
| Mt Eden Road Buslane  | Tender documents have been finalised and approval from the Traffic Control Committee has been obtained. The work in the Puketāpapa Board area between Mt Albert Road and Landscape Road is on hold.  
Mt Eden Road reseal in the same area will be confined to the traffic lanes and flush median. | The work around Mt Eden village will proceed in Q1 2019.  
The work in the Puketāpapa Local Board area is on hold.  
AT will update the Board in March 2019. |
| Duke Street Traffic   | Speed calming devices will be constructed on Duke Street similar in design to the existing devices.                                                                    | A consent for a vehicle crossing has held up delivery of this project.  
This is being worked through. |
| Queenstown Road       | The provision of a mid-block signalised crossing to replace the existing pedestrian refuge.                                                                                | Work on the signalised crossing is underway.                                                      |

### Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

**Council group impacts and views**

8. The impact of information in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the Council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

### Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

**Local impacts and local board views**

**Local Board Workshop – February 2019**

9. AT held a workshop with the Board in February 2019. This provided an opportunity for the Board to discuss further projects it might like to consider with its local board transport capital fund and upcoming public consultations.
### Auckland Transport Consultations

10. AT provides the Puketāpapa Local Board with the opportunity to comment on transport projects being delivered in their area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Dominion Road                  | AT is proposing changes to the pedestrian crossing at the southbound approach of the Dominion Road and Denbigh Avenue roundabout in Mount Roskill.  
This is expected to improve safety, specifically for pedestrians crossing the road in Mt Roskill. The current layout can block visibility. It is also a double-lane crossing, making it difficult to cross for some. Therefore we are proposing to reduce this approach to a single lane, and create a side-island to shorten the crossing distance.  
AT also aims to improve the safety on the southern and eastern approach, by proposing to allow heavy vehicles to occupy both lanes on those two legs. This should reduce the risk of a collision taking place. |
| Carlton Street, Hillsborough   | Auckland Transport (AT) is making changes to Carlton Street in order to encourage safer vehicle speeds and improve access to public transport. We would like your feedback on these proposed changes.  
The improvements proposed include:  
- replacing the existing speed tables with new speed tables that are more evenly spaced to encourage safer vehicle speeds  
- making the new speed tables longer than the current speed tables, this results in less jarring for bus passengers however still slows down other road users  
- installing four new bus stops on Carlton Street which will enable more people to access public transport  
- removing 12 public car park spaces on Carlton Street to make room for the bus stops and new speed tables  
Note the existing crossing used by the walking school bus outside Hillsborough Park will remain and will be painted with the zebra crossing lines. |
Traffic Control Committee resolutions

11. These are the decisions of the Traffic Control Committee that affected the Puketāpapa Local Board area in February 2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street/Area</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belfast Street, Hillsborough</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes Combined</td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times, SSP Restriction, P15 Parking, Lane Arrow Markings, Stop Control, School Crossing Point, School Patrol, Road Hump, Traffic Island, Shoulder Marking</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arundel Street, Mt Roskill</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes Combined</td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times, Angle Parking, Bus Stop, Mobility Parking, Pedestrian Crossing</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oriana Avenue, The Avenue, Lynfield</td>
<td>Permanent Traffic and Parking changes Combined</td>
<td>No Stopping At All Times, P10 Parking, AT Metro Bus Parking, Bus Shelter, Removal of Bus Shelter, Give-Way Control, Traffic Island</td>
<td>Carried</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori

Māori impact statement

12. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori, or consideration of impacts and opportunities, will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

Financial implications

13. There are no financial implications that result from receiving this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

14. There are no risks associated with receiving this report.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

15. The Puketāpapa Local Board will consider recommendations in regard to its transport capital fund in March. AT will provide a further update report next month.
Item 14
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Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To consider the Puketāpapa Local Board’s (the Board) allocation of the Auckland Transport Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF) to projects in its area.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Local Boards can use the Local Board Transport capital fund (LBTCF) to deliver transport infrastructure projects that are not part of Auckland Transport’s (AT) work programme. There is $676,123 in the Board’s fund that needs to be allocated by June 2019.

3. The Board requested in November 2018 that Auckland Transport (AT) provide it with costings for six projects in its board area:
   - installation of tetra traps in the Puketapapa portion of the Onehunga Bay catchment
   - installation of tetra traps in the Te Auaunga Stream area, near May Road
   - an improvement to bus stop 8345, on Dominion Road
   - a traffic calming project on Potter Avenue, Wesley
   - a traffic calming project on The Avenue, Lynfield
   - two pedestrian facilities on Hillsborough Road – one in the vicinity of Haughey Street and one in the vicinity of Goodall Street

4. The budget for the two tetra trap projects has been refreshed and stands at $135,000 for the Onehunga Bay area and $35,000 for the smaller Te Auaunga Stream project.

5. The rough order of costs for the improvement to the bus stop 8345 on Dominion Road is $14,400 and AT is recommending that the Board proceed with this work.

6. AT has recommended to the Board that they do not proceed with single-street traffic calming as AT’s new approach to traffic calming is to treat an area, rather than one street in isolation.

7. If the Board was to consider area-wide traffic calming, the Wesley area is more suitable for the Board to fund due to its more compact nature. However, Hobsonville Land Company’s (HLC) plans on redevelopment in the area need to be more fully understood before area-based traffic calming can proceed here.

8. In the meantime, AT is recommending that the Board consider requesting rough order of costs for a speed feedback sign in The Avenue, Lynfield and that suitable safety measures on Potter Avenue to support Wesley Primary School are considered from the upcoming Community Safety Fund.

9. The pedestrian facility recommended for Hillsborough Road near Goodall Street is a signalised crossing. The rough order of costs for this project is $338,000.

10. The pedestrian facility recommended for Hillsborough Road near Haughey Street/Delargey Avenue is a pedestrian refuge with a rough order of costs of $90,000.

11. If the Board were to proceed with all these projects, the total cost is estimated to be $612,400 and would leave $63,723 remaining in the Board’s transport capital fund. This would still allow for a speed feedback sign to be installed in the The Avenue, Lynfield, if this was supported.
Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) receive this report.
b) allocate $338,000 for the installation of a signalised crossing on Hillsborough Road in the vicinity of Goodall Street.
c) allocate $90,000 for the installation of a pedestrian refuge on Hillsborough Road, vicinity of Haughey Street/Delargey Avenue.
d) allocate $14,400 for improvements at bus stop 8345 on Dominion Road, including extending the hard surface and area and the provision of a new seat, after successful discussions with the business owner.
e) allocate $135,000 for the installation of tetra traps in the Onehunga Bay Catchment.
f) allocate $35,000 for the installation of tetra traps in the area around Denny Avenue, May Road and Gifford Avenue to support the Te Auaunga Stream.
g) consider safety measures around Wesley Primary School with its Community Safety Fund allocation.
h) request a rough order of costs for a speed feedback sign on The Avenue, Lynfield and recommendations for its location.

Horopaki Context
12. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport (AT). Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of AT’s work programme. Projects must also:
   • be safe
   • not impede network efficiency
   • be in the road corridor (although projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome).
13. This report gives details on the financial position of the Board’s LBTCF and asks the Board to consider if they wish to support projects relating to improved pedestrian facilities, stormwater improvements and an improvement for bus passengers.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
14. At its November meeting, the Board asked AT to provide rough order of costs for six projects for the Board to consider using its LBTCF.

Tetra Traps
November 2018 Resolution:

i) request Auckland Transport to confirm the rough order of costs to install tetra traps to complete the Puketāpapa Board area of Onehunga Bay catchment, previously reported as $120,000.
ii) **request Auckland Transport liaise with Healthy Waters to confirm a rough order of costs to install tetra traps in the Denny Avenue, May Road and Gifford Avenue area to help prevent litter entering nearby waterways, particularly Te Auaunga.**

**Onehunga Bay Catchment Area**

15. In 2017, Puketāpapa Local Board considered using the transport capital fund to install tetra traps in its part of the Onehunga Bay catchment area. This project was to complement work already carried out by Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board in their part of the Onehunga Bay catchment area.

16. Tetra traps provide physical barriers within catchpits to prevent floatable pollutants such as plastic bags and bottles from entering the receiving environment. They deliver most benefit when installed in areas of high public use and where the receiving environment is ecologically sensitive.

17. To complete the tetra trap installation in the Puketāpapa area of the Onehunga Bay catchment, AT previously estimated that the rough order of costs would be $120,000.

18. After reviewing this costing, AT now confirms that with cost escalations since 2017, the rough order of costs for this work is now $135,000.

**Tetra Traps in Te Auaunga**

19. In 2017, the Puketāpapa Local Board also considered a smaller tetra-trap programme.

20. Healthy Waters were asked to provide advice for priority areas for treatment with tetra traps in the Board area. The advice received from Healthy Waters supported installation of tetra-traps in the area around Denny Avenue, May Road and Gifford Avenue and suggested a budget of $30,000.

21. AT has refreshed this rough order of costs and it is now $35,000.

**Dominion Road Bus Stop Improvement**

*November 2018 resolution:*

iii) **request Auckland Transport to provide a rough order of costs to provide an increased concrete footing at the inbound bus stop at Dominion Rd/Denbigh Ave Roundabout, 1077 Dominion Rd (Stop 8435).**

22. Stop 8345 is a popular bus stop with high boarding numbers. Those waiting for the bus take shelter under the shop canopy if it is wet, but as this area is grassed, it gets very wet and muddy in the winter.

23. The road corridor in this instance extends right up to the wall of the building. If undertaking this work, AT considers it prudent to continue the concrete pad to the driveway as there is little point in leaving such a small section of grass that would need mowing.

24. AT understands that the Superette has been approached and is supportive of the possibility of extending the concrete area as the area is muddy and unsightly in the winter.

25. The rough order of costs for the installation of a new concrete pad and a new seat is $14,400. A third of this cost can be attributed to traffic management costs, as this Dominion Road is a main arterial route with high volumes of traffic.
Hillsborough Road Pedestrian Facilities

November 2018 resolution:

iv) request Auckland Transport to provide a rough order of costs for two crossing points on Hillsborough Road; one in the vicinity of Goodall Street and the other in the vicinity of Haughey Avenue.

26. Hillsborough Road is a very busy route especially in peak hours and there are limited opportunities for pedestrians to cross safely.

27. AT has been investigating areas where pedestrian facilities may be introduced safely as there are difficulties with the often narrow footpaths, undulating nature of the road and the many existing driveways.

28. At the Board’s request, AT has looked in particular at the two areas the Board has requested, in the vicinity of Goodall Street and Haughey Street.

Hillsborough/Goodall Street

29. There is a straight section of road here promoting visibility. Putting it closer to the intersection with Richardson Road was considered, but the very narrow footpath in this area made this unsafe.

30. The recommendation is for a signalised crossing rather than a pedestrian refuge. Vehicles in this area may have just transitioned from the motorway and speeds in the past have been an issue. A speed camera nearby has helped to slow traffic down in this location and a signalised crossing is recommended for safety reasons. (See Attachment A)

31. The rough order of costs for a signalised crossing in this area is $338,000.

Hillsborough/Haughey Street

32. This pedestrian facility will support the Board’s cycle route (Greenways D) for access to Monte Cecilia Park and enable pedestrians to cross between the bus stops, near Delargey Avenue.

33. AT recommends a pedestrian refuge in this location and the rough order of costs for a pedestrian refuge in this location is $90,000. (See Attachment B)
34. The majority of this cost is to provide new street lighting at the refuge site to provide a compliant crossing point on an arterial road.

Traffic Calming In The Avenue, Lynfield and Potter Avenue, Wesley

v) request Auckland Transport to provide a rough order of costs for traffic calming and pedestrian safety measures on Potter Avenue, in the vicinity of Wesley Primary School.

vi) request Auckland Transport to provide a rough order of costs for a traffic calming project on The Avenue, Lynfield between the intersection with Commodore Drive and the southern intersection with Halsey Drive.

35. Cars speeding through residential streets is a common concern for Aucklanders and each year Auckland Transport receives more than 1,000 requests for speed calming to be installed on their street.

36. As part of Auckland Transport’s commitment to road safety, AT is looking to reduce speeds in residential areas. AT has adopted an area-based approach, as this is considered more effective in achieving survivable speeds and positively influencing driver behaviour to recognise the residential environment.

37. This is a change in approach from a street-by-street approach to area-based traffic calming. This change in approach has been adopted because:

- The street-based approach only allowed for the annual prioritisation of a small number of streets, approximately two to four. In contrast, an area based focus not only enables speed calming measures to be implemented on a larger number of streets, it would also enable any future reduction of the speed limit to 30km/h https://at.govt.nz/projects-roadworks/reducing-speed-limits/ within the area boundary.

- It is often observed that when speed calming is undertaken on one street, ‘rat-runners’ use other side streets to bypass congested roads and traffic signals. ‘Rat-runners’ are drivers using local roads to avoid streets that are perceived to be busier, such as arterials. However, since arterials are primarily designed to accommodate high traffic volumes and facilitate movement between key centres, it is preferred that the bulk of traffic uses arterial roads for travel.

- An area-based approach prevents such behaviour by reviewing all streets and the use of the road. This provides a more balanced use of the network for all users.

38. Previously, we had assessed the suitability of individual streets for the installation of speed calming measures such as speed tables and humps. AT will now assess the suitability of streets within an area, or clusters of streets.

39. AT has embraced Vision Zero principles, (https://at.govt.nz/projects-roadworks/reducing-speed-limits/) and with the increased funding enabled by the Regional Fuel Tax, we have been able to shift our focus from street-based to area-based speed calming. This enables us to consider a wider range of measures to support speed reduction including speed humps, speed tables including zebra crossings where required, raised intersections and/or kerb build-outs.

40. For these reasons, AT does not support speed calming on individual streets, even when funded by local boards as it goes against the area-based approach which has been adopted.

41. AT is currently developing the website which will clearly state how we have prioritised areas for speed-calming, and the shortlist of prioritised areas in the Auckland region. Local Boards can choose to fund from their LBCTF those areas that have come up in their local board boundary as needing intervention.

42. AT is still working on its priority list but both areas highlighted by the resolution are on this list but nearer to the end of the list, with most of the prioritised areas in the west and south.
43. The Wesley and Lynfield areas will not be built by AT in the next three years. AT will review the priority list in around two years’ time, but by then it is possible that a different set of sites may emerge that have a higher priority.

44. As it is unclear that either of these areas will be delivered beyond the three-year timeframe by AT, the Board could consider adopting one of these areas for future treatment. Then the areas could be developed ensuring that there is consistency in design and consultation process between all residential speed management areas.

45. Looking at both areas, the Wesley area would be more suitable for funding by the Board as it is more compact and already has some traffic calming features. However if HLC is planning a redevelopment in this area, it may be prudent to understand their timeframe. In the meantime, the Board could look at safety measures to support the Wesley Primary School located in Potter Avenue. It is likely that this could be funded by the Board’s allocation of the Community Safety Fund.

46. The area around The Avenue in Lynfield would require a significant investment to deliver effective traffic calming. AT recommends that this is best considered when the Board receives its next allocation of funding in the new term.

47. As an alternative to traffic calming, the Board could install a speed feedback sign in an appropriate location on The Avenue. These can be effective in helping motorists drive to the speed limit.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

48. The impact of information in this report is confined to Auckland Transport and does not impact on other parts of the Council group. Any engagement with other parts of the Council group will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

49. The Board has workshopped the projects described in this report.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

50. The proposed decision has no impacts or opportunities for Māori. Any engagement with Māori, or consideration of impacts and opportunities, will be carried out on an individual project basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

Local Board Transport Capital Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Puketapapa Local Board Transport Capital Fund Financial Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funds Available</strong> in current political term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount committed</strong> to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remaining Budget left</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

51. If the Board were to proceed with all these projects, the total cost is estimated to be $612,400 and would leave $63,723 remaining in the Board’s transport capital fund.
52. This would still allow for a speed feedback sign to be installed in the The Avenue, Lynfield, if this was supported by the Board.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

53. The “Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea / Financial implications” section above summarised the Board’s LBTCF financial position. There is a significant amount of money in the LBTCF that still needs to be allocated before June 2019.

54. There are risks that the Board must consider before making decisions on the project described in this report.

**Tetra Traps**

- No risks have been identified in proceeding with this project.

**Hillsborough Road/Goodall Street Pedestrian Facility**

- The recommendation for a signalised crossing in this location represents a considerable financial outlay for the Board.
- AT is considering improving crossing facilities near Waikowhai School, which may also be a signalised crossing. This is not expected to be delivered until at least the 2020/2021 financial year.
- Some removal of parking may be necessary near Goodall Street.

**Hillsborough Road/Haughey Street Pedestrian Facility**

- Some removal of parking might be necessary near Delargey Avenue.

**Dominion Road Bus Stop Improvement**

- No risks have been identified to date with proceeding with the project though its noted that further discussions with the affected business will be necessary.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

55. That the Board is to allocate funds to the projects it intends to support.

56. That the Board consider safety measures on Potter Avenue, Wesley to support Wesley Primary School once the Community Safety Fund is finalised and consider requesting a rough order of costs for a speed feedback sign in The Avenue, Lynfield.
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Attachment A

Item 15
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To adopt the Healthy Environments Approach Principles (attachment A) and Events Guide (attachment B) for use across Puketāpapa Local Board funded events and Auckland Council run events in the local board area.
2. To endorse Community Facilities (leases) as a priority area for the Healthy Environment Approach.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
3. Healthy Families New Zealand is the Government’s major investment into reducing preventable chronic disease in New Zealand. It is based around a systems approach to health that addresses the environmental influences of health where people live, learn, work and play.
4. Healthy Families South Auckland has begun developing a Healthy Environment Approach to support council’s responsibility to provide spaces in the community that facilitate social, environmental and cultural outcomes, including wellbeing. Potential settings to be included in the overall approach include local board funded events, community grants, leisure centres, community facilities (lease’s) and community places.
5. The approach is intended to support Auckland Council officers, Local Boards and community to increase healthy choices and options through various settings to increase the availability and accessibility of healthy food and drink choices, reduce smoking, alcohol and drug related harm and increase opportunities that encourage movement.
6. Healthy Families South Auckland has completed its development of Healthy Environment principles (attachment A) and an event guide (attachment B) that targets events using a co-design methodology. This includes a range of options regarding Wai (water), Kai (food), Smokefree, Alcohol & Drug free and Movement which has been supported by the Auckland Council Events Team.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) adopt the Healthy Environment Approach principles and event guide for use at Puketāpapa Local Board funded events and Auckland Council run events in the Puketāpapa Local Board area commencing in the 2018/2019 events season.

b) endorse Healthy Families South Auckland to work with Community Facilities (leases) to create a Healthy Environment lens across settings that leasing has control over.

Horopaki

Context
7. The approach has been developed using co-design methodology. By starting with the lived experience of people, co-design can help explore, imagine and test new ideas and involve the people closest to the issues.
8. The co-design approach has assisted staff to determine what would be in scope regarding Healthy Environments. It helped create clarity from complexity, presented ideas to test and identified opportunities for collaboration.

9. The principles and guide is for everyone involved in delivering Auckland Council events. Our 4 practical principles are designed to be easy to implement and come with options, tips and plenty of resources (because we know it might mean doing things a bit differently). The following table provides an overview of activity:

Table 1: Co-design activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FRAME</th>
<th>EXPLORE</th>
<th>IMAGINE</th>
<th>TESTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identified three local board funded events to test ways of improving access to healthy food and drink choices, reducing smoking and alcohol related harm and encouraging more movement.</td>
<td>Over a six-month period, staff worked with event organisers to understand what it would take to create a healthy environment at an event. Event one (large scale) 1,750 participants and over 2250 spectators Event two (small scale) 186 registered participants Event three (medium scale) 1,500 people Staff engaged with 653 different people, gaining real time feedback from event attendees, event organisers and vendors.</td>
<td>From the feedback, staff were able to make the necessary improvements for the next event. This approach enabled staff to adapt and learn quickly.</td>
<td>Ongoing learnings led to more efficient prototypes. These were tested during Auckland Council selected events. For example, staff adjusted the location of water to increase accessibility and uptake, prototyped types of water stations, and ensured promotion was clear and visible to event participants.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Healthy Families South Auckland understands the need to balance freedom of choice with offering healthy opportunities. It has sought to achieve the right balance that allows a common-sense approach and has taken this into consideration when applying the Healthy Environment lens over an event setting.

11. The benefits of adopting a Healthy Environment lens across a range of Auckland Council settings include:

- an increase in the availability and accessibility of healthy food and drink choices to reduce the risk of obesity and non-communicable diseases and improve life expectancy of Puketāpapa residents
- reduced smoking, alcohol & drug related harm to Puketāpapa residents
- increased opportunities that encourage movement for healthier living

12. A Healthy Environment Approach will enable the Puketāpapa Local Board to support residents to live healthier, more active lives and contribute to the fabric of community life in the local board area.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

13. Healthy individuals live, work and play in thriving healthy communities and Councils play a key role in creating these environments via partnership with key stakeholders around healthy eating, improved physical and mental health and wellbeing, as well as social connections and providing an avenue for sharing between various culturally diverse groups.

14. We know that conversations with communities about weight and the food children eat, can be sensitive and difficult, and we recognise how the realities can present challenges. That is why we seek to enable, empower and support communities by building on existing strengths and assets to help deliver improved outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADVANTAGES</th>
<th>DISADVANTAGES</th>
<th>OPTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan and prioritise action to improve environments, based on current needs and opportunities.</td>
<td>Current built environments continue to grow a negative attitude to, and experience of food, physical activity etc.</td>
<td>Event organizers continue with the status quo and deliver a standard event as determined by them i.e. may not contribute to the context of the health and wellbeing of the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst to begin conversations in Council about its role in supporting healthy environments.</td>
<td>Continue to be reactive instead of implementing preventative and/or effective community interventions.</td>
<td>Operationalize Healthy Environments into events for sustainability, event organiser accountability and greater collaboration with external stakeholders regarding health and wellbeing outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affirm current Council practices in relation to healthy environments.</td>
<td>Continue with the status quo and our children are not equipped with the knowledge to make positive choices, both for themselves and as the potential parents of the future.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy tool to work proactively with other internal and external stakeholders to implement effective and sustainable strategies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information and advice delivered at the right time and in the right way about food, physical activity etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

15. Over the past year, Healthy Families South Auckland has been working with event organisers (Auckland Council and Community) to test the Healthy Environment principles. Using the four stages of the co-design process, Healthy Families South Auckland and Auckland Council events team developed the Healthy Environment Approach principles and guide.

16. The Healthy Environment Approach that has been developed has a universal applicability across council settings. Staff have started using the Healthy Environment principles and event guide with event organisers.

17. The Event Facilitation Team are supportive of sharing and prompting the Healthy Environment Principles and Guide to community event organisers who apply for event permits, to empower the community to make healthier choices.
Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

18. A review of 55 studies on childhood obesity concluded that the most effective strategies to reducing obesity include improving the supply of healthier options, environments that support healthier options and reducing the promotion of unhealthy options. Success in reducing obesity requires as many interventions as possible by a range of private and public agencies. Local impacts such as obesity is a major risk factor for a wide range of non-communicable disease, including heart disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes, cancer, osteoarthritis and depression.

19. The Healthy Environment Approach is directly aligned to the Puketāpapa Local Board plan and can specifically contribute to Outcome; Improved wellbeing and safety. Objective; Provision and promotion of opportunities and services supporting healthy and active lifestyles.

20. Healthy Families South Auckland presented at a local board workshop on 31 January 2019 to seek input and feedback on the approach. Feedback received at the workshop was positive. This report has taken into account all feedback received to date.

21. Puketāpapa Local Board Support Healthy Families South Auckland to work with council’s community leasing staff to evaluate the settings that the leasing team advise on and implement, to progress the Healthy Environments Approach.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

23. Healthy Families South Auckland has partnered with Māori through the development of the approach. This includes prototyping Healthy Environments in local events and testing Healthy Environment principles such as making drinking water more accessible and promotion of water as the beverage of choice.

24. Staff have been able to gather insights and learnings from participants and spectators who attended local events in the south (a combined audience of over 4000 people), of which a high number were Māori. These insights contributed to the development of a Healthy Environment Approach.

25. The prototypes have also contributed to Māori wellbeing via augmented reality technology to bring Māori knowledge and physical activity together and enable participants to learn about some of the Manukau landmarks such as the Puhinui awa (stream) and about the tohu (signs) of spring according to the Maramataka (Māori lunar calendar). This has been very successful and enabled the community to experience an interactive process that supports health and wellbeing from a Te Ao Māori perspective.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

26. The guide is a prototype which will develop over time, this is a learning and development process therefore there are no financial implications associated with the Puketāpapa Local Board adopting the Healthy Environment Approach. Healthy Families South Auckland will continue the design and development of the process while it is embedded with operational staff across the organisation.

27. Healthy Families South Auckland are keen to learn from event organisers experiences by testing the principles and event guide via local board funded events. We will do this by approaching and supporting event organisers who are willing to engage with the testing and evaluation over the 2018/2019 events season.

28. Events have recommended to the Healthy Families South Auckland team that the Healthy Environments Approach be fed through the 2019/2020 Community Grants process so that up front when community groups apply for funding, it can be identified how the community
group intends to meet the Healthy Environment Approach. This will give the local board clearer information when making decisions of what groups to fund and would go further to support the initiative. The Auckland Council events team are in support of the Healthy Environment Approach principles and event guide.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

29. The risk of cost for implementation will be mitigated via the Community Grants process.

30. The Events team are not resourced to lead in-depth discussions such as ‘Explore how to increase the amount of healthy food and drink available with vendors’ this would be mitigated via subject matter experts such as Auckland Regional Public Health Services (ARPHS) team and/or Healthy Families resource.

31. The Events team are not equipped or able to offer operational resources such as mobile water stations, this would be mitigated via the event guide resource.

32. All aspects of the event to reduce the impact of waste will be mitigated via the event Funding Agreement ‘Objectives and Measures’ section to take a proactive approach towards the goal of Zero Waste.

33. There are no risks associated with this report. The local board is being asked to adopt the Healthy Environment Approach principles and event guide for use at Puketāpapa Local Board funded events and Auckland Council run events in the Puketāpapa Local Board area. Any operational matters associated with this will be managed by staff.

**Ngā koringa ā-muri**

**Next steps**

34. Healthy Families South Auckland will identify and work with both Auckland Council and Community event organisers who are willing to test and evaluate the Healthy Environment Approach principles and event guide.

35. Healthy Families South Auckland will initiate discussion with Community Facilities (leases) to create a Healthy Environment lens for use across settings that leasing has control over.

36. Healthy Families South Auckland will provide quarterly memo updates to the Puketāpapa Local Board regarding progress.
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THE VISION: OUR SPACES
ENABLE AND PROMOTE
ACTIVE, HEALTHY &
FLOURISHING COMMUNITIES
PRINCIPLE 1.

WAI (WATER) IS THE EASIEST CHOICE

It’s easier to choose water over Sugar Sweetened Beverages (SSB’s) because it is PROMOTED, made more VISIBLE & ATTRACTIVE, and more ACCESSIBLE (it is also free and/or cheaper).
PRINCIPLE 2.

GOOD KAI (FOOD) FOR ALL

Kai (food) is nutritious, inclusive, accessible, affordable, celebrated and sustainable
PRINCIPLE 3.

CHAMPION SMOKEFREE, ALCOHOL & DRUG FREE

Our community champions and leaders continue to promote smokefree, alcohol free & drug free (where appropriate)
PRINCIPLE 4.

ENCOURAGE MOVEMENT

We look for ways to nudge people to walk, cycle, play, and dance instead of driving or sitting
A GUIDE TO CREATING A
HEALTHY ENVIRONMENTS
EVENT PROTOTYPE
INTRODUCTION

This guide is for everyone involved in delivering Auckland Council events.

Our 4 practical principles are designed to be easy to implement and come with options, tips and plenty of resources (because we know it might mean doing things a bit differently than norm)

**Principle 1:** Wai (water) is the first choice

**Principle 2:** Good kai (food) for all

**Principle 3:** Champion smokefree, alcohol and drug free

**Principle 4:** Encourage movement

Yes it’s a prototype! We are keen to learn from your experiences so watch for a friendly followup call after your event.

Why a healthy environments event? research shows that the spaces we live, work and play in have a big part to play in supporting our general health.

THE VISION:

OUR SPACES ENABLE AND PROMOTE ACTIVE, HEALTHY AND FLOURISHING COMMUNITIES
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### Principle 1: Wai (Water) is the First Choice

#### 1.1 Free water is provided
- Set up mobile water stations (great for summer events) OR mobile water bar stations (suited to events focused on food)
- Set up ‘bring your own water bottle’ stations

**Tips:** Pre-plan the number of water stations/water bars for the size of the event – allow at least 1 water station/water bar or water bottle station per 500 people. Don’t forget to utilise existing council owned buildings and facilities to access free water.

**Resources:** [https://healthyauklandtogether.org.nz/good-food-kai-pai](https://healthyauklandtogether.org.nz/good-food-kai-pai)

#### 1.2 Free water is promoted & accessible
- Promote water using flyers, flags or site maps
- Work with the event MC/host to actively promote free water
- Include ‘free water’ and ‘bring your own water bottle’ messaging in all advertising and promotion

**Tips:** It’s all very well providing free water, but your event-goers also need to know where it is and that it exists!

#### 1.3 Water is the most prominent drink on display
- Where vendors are also supplying non-wai (water) beverages, use the Auckland Council Food & Beverage Guidelines to implement the minimum bronze level

**Tips:** Encourage water as the cheapest option

**Resources:** [https://healthyauklandtogether.org.nz/good-food-kai-pai](https://healthyauklandtogether.org.nz/good-food-kai-pai)

#### 1.4 Re-usable water bottles for sale
- Encourage people to drink more water by offering re-usable water bottles for sale at your event

**Tips:** This is a great way to cut down on waste at your event

---

**Key:**
- Minimum standard: choose one, some or all of the options below
- Great for large events
- No to low cost
- Med to high cost

---
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## Principle 2: Good Kai (Food) for All

### 2.1 Vendors align to Food & Beverage Guidelines for events
- Select appropriate food vendors using the approved vendors list
- Pre-plan practical examples for food vendors to demonstrate bronze level using Good Food, Good Times – Stall Holder Guide
- Meet with the approved vendors to ensure compliance prior to the event

**Tips:** Incentivize healthy food & drink providers by giving them prime locations at the event  

### 2.2 Limit snack, deep fried, confectionery & ice-cream
- Use the Good Food, Good Times – Stall Holder Guide to ensure snacks, deep fried, confectionery and ice-cream options are a choice rather than the only option. This group should make up less than 20% of items available on sale.

**Tips:** Avoid having vendors selling ‘snack or treat’ food located near the main entrances and main event attractions. 20% could look like 1 out of 5 vendors provide healthy options OR about 20% of each vendor’s offer.  

### 2.3 Use healthy food alternatives for free food promotions
- If using food to promote as prizes or free menu add-ons make sure it’s healthy e.g. corn on a cob, free salad on the side, brown bread for a sausage sizzle


### 2.4 Community-led
- Explore how to increase the amount of healthy food and drink available with your vendors, look for ways that benefit both vendors and eventgoers e.g. a healthy menu challenge

**Tips:** Take an active role to promote healthy menu options, start by using the Good Food, Good Times Stall Holders Guide.  

### Key:
- Minimum standard: choose one, some or all of the options below  
- Good for large events: No to low cost  
- Med to high cost
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## Principle 3: Champion Smokefree, Alcohol & Drug Free

### 3.1 Advertise and promote Smokefree, Alcohol and Drug Free event

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>&lt;$</th>
<th>$$$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Include Smokefree, Alcohol and Drug free messaging in all pre-event advertising and promotion</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signage on the day promotes Smokefree, Alcohol and Drug free event</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Resources:**

### 3.2 Brief your MC/host on healthy environments activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>&lt;$</th>
<th>$$$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meet with the event MC/Host and provide a full brief on the healthy environments activities and key messages for the event</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet with the events staff and volunteers to provide a full brief on the healthy environments activities and key messages for the event to support their understanding</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tips:** The work of our frontline staff is very important for communicating key messages – they are the people directly interacting with event-goers

---
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**PRINCIPLE 4: ENCOURAGE MOVEMENT**

### 4.1 Plan activities to get people moving

Plan multiple sporting activities such as bike blend, bike art, relays, bouncy castles and 3 on 3 basketball

Plan opportunities where people can connect with others such as puzzles, chess, storytelling, poetry slam, debates and cultural performances

**Tips:** Some activities will be better suited to some events than others. Use your discretion as to whether it is applicable to you and whether you have space. This is also a great way for people to connect socially and has flow-on effects for their mental wellbeing.


### 4.2 Promote the movement / cultural activities

Be sure to also promote the activities you are planning above

**Tips:** Including activities in your communication materials mean event-goers can plan accordingly (should they wear sport shoes?). Frame it positively, allowing event-goers the time and space to participate as they choose (not because they have to).

### 4.3 Promote active ways of getting to the event like walking & cycling

Consider providing links to walking and cycling maps in pre-event signage

Where it is a larger event, consider promoting specific event paths and walkways

Encourage the use of walking, cycling or event paths/walkways e.g. foot path stencils, activating through entertainment or giveaways

**Tips:** Auckland City has a number of awesome off-road cycle and walkways perfect for getting eventgoers to local events – it’s a great way for family and friends to connect and also means less cars on the road


---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key:</th>
<th>Minimum standard: choose one, some or all of the options below</th>
<th>Great for large events</th>
<th>No to low cost</th>
<th>Med to high cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ø</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$$$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Conditions for Success

#### 5.1 Sponsors and/or partners align with the Health Promoting principles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsorship Level</th>
<th>&lt;$</th>
<th>$$$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Align with local businesses, health organisations or agencies that can support you with delivering the Healthy Environments principles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.2 Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Level</th>
<th>&lt;$</th>
<th>$$$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have a plan in place for evaluating these principles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**
- Minimum standard: choose one, some or all of the options below
- Great for large events
- No to low cost
- Med to high cost

---
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EXTRA FOR EXPERTS

This guide is designed to be a first step towards creating a healthy environments.

Principles are therefore quite practical and straightforward and do not overtly acknowledge the role that more complex social factors like inequity, diversity and culture have on health.
We hope to incorporate this in phase two.

But in the meantime, to truly help our communities thrive, consider protective factors such as:

• Social Connectedness
  Connection to self, place, whanau and community

• Celebrating Diversity
  People from different backgrounds feel welcome and safe

• Cultural Identity
  People have strong connections to culture and we respect and celebrate people from different cultures to ours.

When planning your event.

That’s it for now!
Good luck on an event that’s good for our community & we hope good for you too! we look forward to hearing about it.
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. This report provides the Puketāpapa Local Board with highlights of ATEED’s activities in the Puketāpapa Local Board area for the six months 1 July to 31 December 2018.
2. This report should be read in conjunction with ATEED’s Quarter 1 report to Auckland Council (available at www.aucklandnz.com) and the forthcoming Quarter 2 report to the Auckland Council CCO Finance and Performance Committee (available 11 March). Although these reports focus primarily on the breadth of ATEED’s work at a regional level, much of the work highlighted has significant local impact.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
3. This report provides the Puketāpapa Local Board with relevant information on the following ATEED activities:
   • Locally-driven initiatives: Puketāpapa business engagement and Young Enterprise Scheme (YES)
   • Supporting local business growth
   • Filming activity
   • Youth Connections
   • Local and regional destination management and marketing
   • Delivered, funded and facilitated events.
4. Further detail on these activities is listed under Analysis and advice.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
a) receive ATEED’s update to the Puketāpapa Local Board – February 2019.

Horopaki
Context
5. ATEED has two areas of focus:
   Economic Development – including business support, business attraction and investment, local economic development, trade and industry development, skills employment and talent and innovation and entrepreneurship.
   Destination - supporting sustainable growth of the visitor economy with a focus on destination marketing and management, major events, business events (meetings and conventions) and international student attraction and retention.
6. These two portfolios also share a common platform relating to the promotion of the city globally to ensure that Auckland competes effectively with other mid-tier high quality of life cities.
7. ATEED works with local boards, Council and CCOs to support decision-making on local economic growth, and facilitates or co-ordinates the delivery of local economic development activity. ATEED ensures that the regional activities that ATEED leads or delivers are fully leveraged to support local economic growth and employment.

8. In addition, ATEED’s dedicated Local Economic Development (LED) team works with local boards who allocate locally-driven initiatives (LDI) budget to economic development activities. The LED team delivers a range of services such as the development of proposals, including feasibility studies that enable local boards to directly fund or otherwise advocate for the implementation of local initiatives.

9. ATEED delivers its services at the local level through business hubs based in the north, west and south of the region, as well as its central office at 167B Victoria Street West.

10. Additional information about ATEED’s role and activities can be found at www.aucklandnz.com/ateed

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Economic Development
Locally Driven Initiatives:

11. **Puketāpapa business engagement:** The Local Board requested a realignment of this programme in July. A subsequent tender process received two proposals. Following the tender close, the Local Board gave direction at a workshop in September to step back from pursuing a/multiple Business Association(s). The Pop-up Business School has been recommended as an alternative project for this financial year. ATEED staff are awaiting the Board's decision.

12. **Young Enterprise Scheme (YES):** The Auckland Chamber of Commerce invoiced for the allocated funds from Local Boards and payment was made during Q2. During the period, there were 56 schools participating in the Auckland YES programme, representing 1,334 students completing the programme. Marcellin College and Onehunga High School are the two schools from the Puketāpapa Local Board area participating in the YES programme.

Supporting Local Business Growth

13. This area is serviced by the Business and Enterprise team in ATEED’s central office, located at 167B Victoria Street West, Auckland CBD. The team comprises of two Business and Innovation Advisors and administration support. The role of this team is to support the growth of Auckland’s key internationally competitive sectors and to support to provide quality jobs.

14. A key programme in achieving this is central government’s Regional Business Partnership Network (RBPN). This is delivered by ATEED’s nine Business and Innovation Advisors (BIA), whose role is to connect local businesses to resources, experts and services in innovation, R&D, business growth and management.

15. ATEED’s BIAs engage 1:1 with businesses through a discovery meeting to understand their challenges, gather key data, and provide connections / recommendations via an action plan.

16. Where businesses qualify (meet the programme criteria and/or align to ATEED’s purpose as defined in the SOI) the advisors facilitate government support to qualifying businesses, in the form of:
   - Callaghan Innovation R&D grants (including Getting Started, project and student grants (https://www.callaghaninnovation.govt.nz/grants)

---

1 This activity is subject to local boards prioritising local economic development, and subsequently allocating funding to local economic development through their local board agreements.
• Callaghan Innovation subsidised innovation programmes (https://www.callaghaninnovation.govt.nz/innovation-skills)
• RBPN business capability vouchers (NZTE), where the business owner may be issued co-funding up to $5,000 per annum for business training via registered service providers. Voucher co-funding is prioritised to businesses accessing this service for the first time, in order to encourage more businesses to engage with experts to assist their management and growth.
• NZTE services such as Export Essentials (https://workshop.exportessentials.nz/register/)
• Referrals to NZ Business Mentors via The Chamber of Commerce.

17. During the reporting period, ATEED Business and Innovation Advisors met with 14 businesses in the Puketāpapa Local Board area, six for innovation advice and services and 10 for business growth and capability advice and services (two were returning clients). From these engagements:
• Two connections were made to Callaghan Innovation services and programmes
• Four RBPN vouchers were issued to assist with business capability training
• Four referrals were made to Business Mentors New Zealand
• Seven connections were made to ATEED staff and programmes
• Thirty-eight connections were made to other businesses or programmes.

Other support for new businesses
18. During the period, ATEED also ran workshops and events aimed at establishing or growing a new business and building capability. Five people from the Puketāpapa Local Board area attended an event as below:
• Starting off Right workshop - 1
• Business clinic – 4.

Filming activity within the Puketāpapa Local Board area
19. ATEED’s Screen Auckland team facilitates, processes and issues film permits for filming activity in public open space. This activity supports local businesses and employment, as well as providing a revenue stream to local boards for the use of local parks.
20. Between 1 July and 31 December 2018, a total of 312 film permits were issued in the Auckland region, five of these permits were issued in the Puketāpapa Local Board area. During the period, 75 permits were issued for TV commercials in the Auckland region, making up 32 per cent of permits issued. A third of total TVCs are destined for an international market.
21. The Puketāpapa Local Board area’s share of film permit revenue was $1,113.04 for the period (total for all boards combined was $46,729.13).
22. Some of the key film productions that were issued permits to film in the Puketāpapa Local Board area were:
• The Gulf
• The Luminaries.

Youth Connections – transfer to The Southern Initiative (TSI) / Western Initiative (WI)
23. Following an independent review, and with support from the major philanthropic funder, the Youth Connections programme transferred to TSI in November 2018. This transfer reflects the high numbers and concentrations of Auckland youth who are not in education, training or employment (NEET) in the southern and western initiative areas. ATEED supported the independent review, and is now working with the TSI/TWI team to support the transfer. In

---
2 This does not reflect all filming that takes place in studio, private property or low impact activity that wouldn’t have required a permit.
3 This includes Local Board fees only, other permit fees directed to Auckland Transport (Special Events) and Regional Parks. Figures exclude GST and are as per the month the permit was invoiced, not necessarily when the activity took place.
2019, we will partner to evolve the ATEED-led Youth Employer Pledge with Auckland-region employers.

Local Jobs and Skills Hubs

24. ATEED is the regional partner for the network of Auckland Jobs and Skills Hubs. These multi-agency hubs support employers at developments where there is a high and sustained demand for local labour and skills development. The Auckland network includes Ara (Auckland Airport development), CBD (Wynyard Quarter and city centre development), and Tāmaki hubs. During 2018, the Hubs placed nearly 400 people into work (more than half came off benefit), and over 1,800 people were trained. ATEED is the backbone organisation for the CBD Jobs and Skills Hub, where Māori represented over a third (37 percent) of job placements, and a further 30 percent were Pasifika.

25. The Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment commissioned a review of the hubs in late 2018 which found that these pilots have been a success, and made recommendations to scale up and strengthen hubs operations in Auckland and nationally. Two new hubs will be open by June 2019, in Kaipātiki (Northcote), and Manukau (town centre) to support local employment and upskilling for these developments. ATEED supports employers and local communities to design and benefit from hub services, and is leading a schools-engagement project in CBD Jobs and Skills Hub, which will identify options for connecting local schools and employers to grow awareness of employment and career pathways.

Destination

Local destination management and marketing activity

26. In early September, ATEED supported the Ngā Iwi o Tāmaki Tourism hui, presenting insights on tourism opportunities in Tāmaki Makaurau and contributing to the direction of an iwi-led and iwi-driven Tāmaki Makaurau tourism strategy for 2030 and beyond. ATEED will continue to support this group as they develop the strategy and deliver on these aspirations.

27. ATEED presented to and is supporting a collective of around 27 urban marae (Te Kotahi o Tāmaki – Marae Collective) based around Tāmaki Makaurau that have come together to seek opportunities and work collaboratively to support our Māori communities.

28. ATEED is a part of the Council-wide Te Kete Rukuruku – beneath our feet project to support mana whenua-led sharing of stories that raise awareness of and curiosity about the wide range of cultural stories and unique Māori identity of Tāmaki Makaurau.

Regional destination management and marketing activity

29. Regional dispersal continues to be a priority for ATEED. During the period, ATEED’s Winter Campaign, Made for Winter showcased Auckland’s food and beverage stories from across the Auckland region, targeting domestic visitors. Traditionally, this campaign focussed on CBD restaurants, however for the past two years, it has extended to the wider Auckland region. There were six videos in total, each one highlighting a specific Auckland F&B theme.

30. The videos all feature Sir John Kirwan talking to the creators of Auckland’s F&B industry, getting to the heart of who they are, their story, their product and what makes Auckland’s F&B proposition unique and special. The following businesses were featured in the videos:

- Gourmet Hāngi video – featuring Rewi Spraggon, Hāngi Master
- HIPGROUP – featuring Mike de Vries (Executive Chef)
- Matakana Markets and Village - featuring stallholders, Nico from Honest Chocolat
- Sawmill Brewery – featuring Mike Sutherland and Kirsty McKay (owners)
- Ben Bayley at The Grounds
- Kai Pasifika.

31. As part of the spring media programme, ATEED partnered with travel, foodie and leisure influencers, bloggers and media personalities to create high-end, inspirational and visually impactful content illustrating Auckland as a top spring/summer destination. The core
narrative was Auckland’s unique food and beverage offering, complimented further by entertainment experiences. Influencers took their audience on a journey as they explored some of the greater Auckland region’s food experience and offerings, such as the Ōtara markets, Auckland Airport region, Chelsea Bay, Takapuna, Matakana, Kumeu, Kingsland, Mission Bay and a number of other Auckland areas. The influencer content were supported with an editorial partnership with Fairfax and NZME highlighting the wider region’s experiences.

Delivered, funded and facilitated events

32. During the period, ATEED delivered the Auckland Diwali Festival which was held at Aotea Square and Upper Queen Street from 20-21 October 2018. There was an attendance of 59,900 down by 7 per cent from the 2017 festival, due mainly to the event being held on Labour Weekend. Results from the customer survey showed an overall customer satisfaction score of 87 per cent, with 89 per cent of people surveyed agreeing that the festival increased pride in Auckland and 95 per cent agreeing that the festival makes Auckland a more enjoyable place to live.

33. During the period, residents of the Puketāpapa Local Board area were also able to enjoy events funded or facilitated by ATEED across the Auckland region, including the FIBA Basketball World Cup 2019 Asian Qualifier, Pan Pacific Youth Water Polo Festival, New Zealand International Film Festival, Auckland On Water Boat Show, Rugby League New Zealand vs Australia and Australia vs Tonga games, Auckland Diwali Festival, ASB Auckland Marathon, Auckland Tuatara Baseball home games, the ITM Auckland SuperSprint, Monster Energy S-X Open Auckland, Farmers Santa Parade, and the Wondergarden.

34. A full schedule of major events is available on ATEED’s website, aucklandnz.com

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

Council group impacts and views

35. ATEED assesses and manages our initiatives on a case-by-case basis and engages with the Council group where required.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views

36. Local Board views are not sought for the purposes of this report. Local Board views were sought for some of the initiatives described in this report.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori

Māori impact statement

37. The proposed decision to receive the six-monthly report has no impact on Māori. ATEED assesses and responds to any impact that our initiatives may have on Māori on a case-by-case basis.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea

Financial implications

38. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no financial implications.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga

Risks and mitigations

39. The proposed decision to receive the six-monthly report has no risk. ATEED assesses and manages any risk associated with our initiatives on a case-by-case basis.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

40. ATEED will provide the next six-monthly report to the Local Board in August 2019 and will cover the period 1 January to 30 June 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Samantha-Jane Miranda - Operational Strategy Advisor (ATEED)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>James Robinson - Head of Strategy and Planning (ATEED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback on draft Increasing Aucklanders' participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039

File No.: CP2019/03401

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. The purpose of the report is to seek the Puketāpapa Local Board’s feedback on the Draft Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Puketāpapa Local Board was asked for feedback on the draft *Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039* at the 21 February 2019 Puketāpapa Local Board Business meeting. The board resolved:

“Resolution number PKTPP/2019/7

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) note that the draft *Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039* is going out to public consultation.

b) note that the Puketāpapa Local Board will provide feedback once it has been briefed on the plan”.

The board was briefed by officers at their Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop on Thursday, 7 March 2019 and were able to formulate their feedback. This report incorporates the feedback to go into the draft of the *Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039*.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) provide their feedback on the draft *Increasing Aucklanders’ participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039*

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketapapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
 Allocation of funding to Citizens Advice Bureaux

File No.: CP2019/03392

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek local board feedback on the proposed new model for allocating funding to Citizens Advice Bureaux (CAB) and on increasing the baseline grant to Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated (ACABx) by $200,000 in 2019-2021.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. There are 32 CAB sites in the Auckland region, operated by 11 separate CAB organisations. Bureaux staff and volunteers offer free information, advice, referral and client advisory service to local communities.

3. Local boards hold relationships with their local bureaux, which report on service usage and other matters of interest to the community.

4. In 2018/2019, council granted $2.067 million to ACABx conditional on staff and ACABx jointly developing a new funding model to be agreed by 1 April 2019.

5. The new model developed with ACABx is population-based with a deprivation factor to reflect communities with high needs and access constraints.

6. Feedback is sought from the local board on the new funding model and the retention of the additional $200,000 to baseline funding of $1.867 million provided to ACABx in 2018/2019.

7. Local board feedback will be included in the report to the Environment and Community Committee in May 2019 to approve a new funding model for CAB.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) approve feedback on the new model for allocating funding to Citizens Advice Bureaux (based on 90 per cent population and 10 per cent deprivation) and on increasing the baseline grant to Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated by $200,000 in 2019-2021 (refer to Attachment A to the agenda report).

Horopaki
Context

8. ACABx was established in 2012 to provide a support structure for funding from council and other bodies and the development of a strategic direction for the service in the Auckland region.

9. Currently there are 32 Auckland CAB sites in 18 local board areas (refer Attachment B). There are no sites in Franklin, Great Barrier and Upper Harbour.

10. Auckland bureaux are members of ACABx and Citizens Advice Bureau New Zealand (CABNZ). The role of CABNZ is to support membership standards and influence development of social policies and services at a national level.
11. In 2018, a joint working group of council staff and ACABx was established to develop a new funding model for Auckland bureaux.

**Auckland Council funding of CAB**

12. In 2018/2019, council granted $1.867 million to ACABx, which distributes funding to bureaux to provide services across Auckland.

13. Through council’s 10-year Budget 2018-2028, an additional one-off grant of $200,000 was approved to maintain and develop the service in the 2018-2019 financial year (resolution number GB/2018/91). The ACABx board have distributed $90,300 of this grant to bureaux facing financial pressure (Helensville, CAB Auckland City, Māngere). The remaining funds will be used to test new ways of delivering the service and develop a regional network provision plan.

14. Council provides accommodation for bureaux at minimal cost under community lease arrangements, which is equivalent to a $641,000 subsidy per annum. In addition to the funding through ACABx, some local boards also provide grants to bureaux for specific purposes.

15. The Environment and Community Committee set four conditions for the 2018/2019 operational grant, as shown in Table 1 (resolution number ENV/2018/48).

**Table 1: Funding conditions 2018/2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding condition</th>
<th>Progress to date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A joint review between Auckland Council and ACABx of the funding model           | • Joint working group established  
|                                                                                  | • Considered what to include in the funding model  
|                                                                                  | • Agreed a new funding model and transitional arrangements                                                                                     |
| Updated Strategic Relationship Agreement to include the development of a regional network provision plan | • Revised Strategic Relationship Agreement signed between Auckland Council and ACABx with scoping and timeline for regional network provision planning to be agreed by June 2019 |
| Improved reporting and access to consistent data on the service provided at regional and site level | • Council, CABNZ and ACABx jointly developed new report templates for bureaux to provide data and commentary on their activities  
|                                                                                  | • CABNZ is currently rolling out an improved database and data collection system (CABNET) which will impact the Q3/Q4 reports for 2018/2019 |
| Strengthened and more strategic relationships between local boards and bureaux    | • The revised Strategic Relationship Agreement sets out guidance on the relationship between local boards and bureaux |

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**Current funding model**

16. The current model allocates the funding received by ACABx to sub-regional clusters of bureaux based on 2013 census population data.

17. The current funding model was implemented by ACABx with support from council as an interim approach to transition from legacy council funding to regional funding.
Council staff model

18. In April 2016, the Regional Strategy and Policy Committee resolved to:

seek information from staff regarding a review of the service after consultation with the 21 local boards on the issues raised by the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board regarding Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated funding, to achieve greater equity and fairness, taking into consideration social issues in local communities across Auckland (resolution number REG/2016/22).

19. A review of CAB services was undertaken, and council staff developed a revised funding allocation model using 70 per cent population, 25 per cent client usage, and 5 per cent deprivation. This model responded to the review findings and focused on funding to achieve greater equity and fairness.

20. This model was not supported by ACABx who strongly objected to the introduction of client usage as a funding factor.

New model from joint working group

21. The joint working group have developed a new funding model for bureaux using:

- 90 per cent population, using annual Statistics New Zealand population estimates
- 10 per cent deprivation to increase the capacity of bureaux to meet the complex needs of communities with higher levels of deprivation. This will support the development of new service approaches to increase the access to CAB services within these communities.

22. ACABx advise that this model will be difficult to implement at the current funding level as funding will be reduced to bureaux in the north, central and Ōtara. Some bureaux are experiencing financial pressure and any decline in funding would lead to a significant reduction in services.

23. If the annual grant of $1.867 million is increased by $200,000 per annum, ACABx have indicated that the new funding model could be implemented without service reductions if bureaux continue to receive funding at the 2018-2019 level at a minimum. To further moderate the redistribution impacts of the new funding model at a bureau level, population-based increases in funding would be capped at 10 per cent for 2019-2021.

24. The application of the new funding model is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: New funding model allocations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bureau</th>
<th>2018/2019 Actual</th>
<th>New model (current funding)</th>
<th>New model (plus $200,000)</th>
<th>Percentage difference between 2018/2019 Actual and New model plus $200,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helensville</td>
<td>$40,365</td>
<td>$36,872</td>
<td>$40,365</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus Coast</td>
<td>$51,712</td>
<td>$58,713</td>
<td>$58,920</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>$38,298</td>
<td>$36,770</td>
<td>$38,298</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB North Shore (5 sites)</td>
<td>$309,030</td>
<td>$250,153</td>
<td>$309,030</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaiCAB (5 sites)</td>
<td>$298,746</td>
<td>$300,401</td>
<td>$344,999</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABAC (10 sites)</td>
<td>$499,901</td>
<td>$487,965</td>
<td>$553,597</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere (3 sites)</td>
<td>$169,849</td>
<td>$179,162</td>
<td>$190,494</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manurewa (2 sites)</td>
<td>$82,775</td>
<td>$114,454</td>
<td>$118,217</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtara</td>
<td>$86,927</td>
<td>$78,215</td>
<td>$86,927</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bureau</th>
<th>2018/2019 Actual</th>
<th>New model (current funding)</th>
<th>New model (plus $200,000)</th>
<th>Percentage difference between 2018/2019 Actual and New model plus $200,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pakuranga (2 sites)</td>
<td>$98,662</td>
<td>$109,188</td>
<td>$109,262</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura</td>
<td>$86,471</td>
<td>$110,844</td>
<td>$112,628</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,762,737</td>
<td>$1,762,737</td>
<td>$1,962,737</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Based on 2018-2019 funding allocated. Excludes provision for premises lease payments to Auckland Council and ACABx fee (2 per cent)

25. The new funding model developed by the joint working group is the preferred model for implementation in 2019-2021. During this period, the regional network provision plan will be developed, and council’s level of investment can be confirmed and provided for as part of the 10-year Budget 2021-2031.

   - Focus area two – Accessible services and social and cultural infrastructure that are responsive in meeting people’s evolving needs
   - Focus area six – Focusing the council’s investment to address disparities and serve communities of greatest need.

27. The criteria applied to assess the models were developed by the joint working group. Consideration is given to equity, responsiveness to population growth and community need. Under the Auckland Plan 2050, adopting an equitable approach means prioritising the most vulnerable groups and communities to achieve more equitable outcomes. An assessment of the funding allocation model is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Funding model assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Current model</th>
<th>Council staff model</th>
<th>New model from joint working group (preferred model)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X does not meet</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ partially meets</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ fully meets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population growth</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community need</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>ACABx used the current funding model to transition to regional funding from legacy arrangements</td>
<td>Uses latest population estimates to reflect future growth</td>
<td>More equitable across Auckland with population-based funding covering all the region except Great Barrier Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deprivation factor supports equity for populations with complex issues and access constraints</td>
<td>Uses latest population estimates to reflect future growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Client usage as a proxy indicator of community need which takes into</td>
<td>Targeted deprivation factor (NZDep2013, deciles 8-10) supports equity for populations with complex issues and access constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Current model</td>
<td>Council staff model</td>
<td>New model from joint working group (preferred model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X does not meet</td>
<td></td>
<td>consideration that service users do not necessarily just access services in the area they live</td>
<td>Provides platform for Auckland Council and ACABx to work together to develop a regional network provision plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ partially meets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supported by ACABx if additional funding is retained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ fully meets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Redistribution of bureaux funding within current funding envelope could lead to service reduction unless alternative funding is sourced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>The legacy cluster funding arrangements do not provide for service development</td>
<td>Not supported by ACABx because client usage data does not consider the range of complexity in interactions from simple information requests to lengthy interviews</td>
<td>Redistribution of bureaux funding within current funding envelope could lead to service reduction unless alternative funding is sourced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relies on 2013 census data</td>
<td>Redistribution of bureaux funding within current funding envelope could lead to service reduction unless alternative funding is sourced</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does not target funding for populations with complex issues and access constraints</td>
<td>Redistribution of bureaux funding within current funding envelope could lead to service reduction unless alternative funding is sourced</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28. A comparative overview of the funding models is provided in Attachment C.

29. ACABx support the new model conditional on the retention of the additional 2018-2019 one-off funding of $200,000 as part of the baseline operational grant. This increased funding level would ensure that no bureaux would lose funding when the model is implemented in 2019-2020. Increased funding enables the inclusion of the Franklin population in the new funding model.

30. ACABx has indicated that the funding for deprivation will be allocated to bureaux for initiatives to enhance access to services and to trial the development of new service models.

31. Staff support the new model as it starts to address equitable services across Auckland through population-based funding and targeted deprivation. The model provides a platform for future service growth and the development of a regional network provision plan for CAB services.

32. Staff support the addition of $200,000 to the ACABx baseline grant from 2019-2020 to implement the new model and extend service funding across the region. All bureaux will receive their current or increased level of funding if council increases baseline funding by $200,000.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera Council group impacts and views

33. Council staff from across the business work cooperatively on matters concerning CAB. Council departments and units that were consulted on the new model and involved in regional network provision planning include:
   - Community Facilities and Community Places – administration of the leases for CAB premises and facility operation
   - Libraries and Information – some CABs are co-located with libraries
   - Service, Strategy and Integration – expertise in service design and integrating service offers.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe Local impacts and local board views

34. Local boards provided input to the 2017 review of CAB services, which has informed the development of the new funding model. Local boards generally agreed that a higher level of funding was required to maintain services, and that any funding model needed to consider the area’s demographics and other needs in addition to overall population.

35. Overall, local boards reported that they had a good relationship with bureaux, that they were providing valuable, wide-ranging services to the community, and that there was room to improve reporting, service responsiveness and equity of funding.

36. Feedback is sought from the local board on the proposed new funding model and the retention of the additional $200,000 to baseline funding of $1.867 million provided to ACABx in 2018-2019.

37. Local board feedback will be included in the report to the Environment and Community Committee in May 2019 to approve a new funding model for CAB. The report will also reflect views on the implementation of the new model from ACABx consultation with bureaux.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori Māori impact statement

38. CAB services are available to all, and there is currently limited targeting of services to groups, including Māori.

39. Staff and ACABx have worked together on development of the new model and no specific engagement with Māori was undertaken.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea Financial implications

40. It is recommended that the additional $200,000 is retained in the ACABx baseline grant, bringing the annual total to $2.067 million in 2019-2021. This excludes any consumer price index adjustment.

41. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has provided funding from 2015-2018 to Māngere CAB to operate a CAB agency in Ōtāhuhu. Reliance on the local board funding would reduce under the new model, as the Māngere CAB would receive increased funding of 12 per cent if the $200,000 is retained in the ACABx baseline grant.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga Risks and mitigations

42. In applying the new model without the retention of the additional $200,000, funding to the following bureaux would decline:
• Helensville (-9 per cent)
• Wellsford (-4 per cent)
• CAB North Shore (-19 per cent)
• CAB Auckland City (-2 per cent)
• Ōtara (-10 per cent).

43. If the grant is increased by $200,000 per annum, no bureaux would face a reduction in funding.

44. There is a risk of reduction in CAB services in some communities if funding declines to bureaux. To mitigate this risk, it is recommended that the additional $200,000 is retained.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

45. Local board feedback will be included in a report to the Environment and Community Committee in May 2019 to approve a funding model.

46. Staff will work with ACABx to scope a regional network provision plan project by June 2019. The plan development will involve bureaux and other stakeholders in setting the direction for the CAB service from 2021 onwards.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Local board feedback template</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Map of bureaux locations by local board</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Comparative overview of funding models</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sibyl Mandow - Advisor - ACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment A - Request for local board for feedback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB) Funding allocation model - February 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide feedback on the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What are the local board views on the preferred model for allocating funding to CABs, including:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The basis of funding allocation, including the population estimates and deprivation index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bureau areas served (eg alignment with local board boundaries)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Other local funding considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Any other local feedback regarding CABs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For further information or questions, please contact:

Paul Prestidge  
Specialist Advisor  
Community Empowerment Unit  
Ph 0226761409  
Email paul.prestidge@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Attachment B

Map of bureaux locations by local board

CAB network in Auckland
## Attachment C

### Comparative overview of funding models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current model</th>
<th>Council staff model</th>
<th>New model from joint working group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>2013-current</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis of allocation and data sources</td>
<td>100% Population (Census 2013)</td>
<td>70% Population (Annual population estimates- Statistics NZ)</td>
<td>90% Population (Annual population estimates- Statistics NZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25% Client usage (Bureau statistics report)</td>
<td>10% Deprivation (Dep13 Deprivation index Dep. 8-10, targets 30% highest level deprivation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5% Deprivation (Dep13 Deprivation index)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model coverage</td>
<td>Excludes Franklin and Great Barrier Island</td>
<td>Excludes Great Barrier Island</td>
<td>Excludes Great Barrier Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local board boundaries</td>
<td>Local board boundaries to cluster level</td>
<td>Local board boundaries to cluster level</td>
<td>Local board boundaries and board subdivisions where applicable to individual bureau level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays – split between clusters 1 and 2</td>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays – split between clusters 1 and 2</td>
<td>Hibiscus and Bays – split between clusters 1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Harbour – in cluster 2</td>
<td>Upper Harbour – 50:50 split between clusters 2 and 3</td>
<td>Upper Harbour – split at Greenhithe bridge between 2 and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACABx maintains historic funding relationships between bureaux in clusters 1, 6</td>
<td>Franklin – included in cluster 6</td>
<td>Howick – south west area to Ōtara; balance to Pakuranga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Franklin – north east area to Pakuranga; balance to Papakura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Albert-Eden-Roskill Ward Councillor Update

File No.: CP2019/02674

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To enable the Albert-Eden-Roskill Ward Councillors to verbally update the Board.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) thank Albert-Eden-Roskill Ward Councillors Cathy Casey and Christine Fletcher for their update.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketapapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chairperson's Report

File No.: CP2019/03049

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To provide the Chairperson, Harry Doig, with an opportunity to update board members on the activities he has been involved with since the last meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. It is anticipated that the Chairperson will speak to the report at the meeting.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
a) receive Chair Harry Doig's report for February 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Chair Harry Doig's report, 01 February - 28 February 2019</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketāpapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Auckland Council workshops, meetings and briefings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 Feb</td>
<td>Meet with advisory group re Carols 60th anniversary debrief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Feb</td>
<td>PA/Chair catch-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Feb</td>
<td>Board Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 Feb</td>
<td>Freedom Camping site visits with Engagement Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Feb</td>
<td>Annual Budget 2019/2020 Consultation briefing for Local Board Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Feb</td>
<td>Local Board Chairs only meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Feb</td>
<td>Local Board Chairs Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Feb</td>
<td>Chair / Deputy Chair catch up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Feb</td>
<td>Chair catch-up with member Kumar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Feb</td>
<td>Infrastructure &amp; Heritage Cluster meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Feb</td>
<td>Community Forum – Freedom Camping (part)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Feb</td>
<td>Board Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Feb</td>
<td>LFA/Chair meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Feb</td>
<td>Communications meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Feb</td>
<td>Local Board Members Cluster workshop – Manukau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Feb</td>
<td>Meeting with Cr Hulse, Albert-Eden Chair, member Easte and DOC re confidential item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Feb</td>
<td>PA/Chair catch-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Feb</td>
<td>Chair / Deputy Chair catch up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Feb</td>
<td>Meeting with members on ToR for redesigned cluster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Feb</td>
<td>Chair/ Deputy Chair meeting with advisors and relationship manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Feb</td>
<td>Attend AELB Business Sustainability final report back with Deputy Chair Fairley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Feb</td>
<td><strong>PLB Business Meeting</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Feb</td>
<td>Catch-up with Cr Casey and Albert-Eden Local Board Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Feb</td>
<td>Joint Liaison Body Meeting JWAT/Auckland Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Feb</td>
<td>Citizenship Ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Feb</td>
<td>Te Auaunga tohunga Governance hui</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Feb</td>
<td>Address Freedom Camping bylaw hearing panel with Deputy Chair Fairley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Feb</td>
<td>Joint Governing Body/ Local Board Chairs meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Feb</td>
<td>Board Workshop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other meetings, events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07 Feb</td>
<td>Meet with community societies re Three Kings quarry development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Feb</td>
<td>Lantern Festival Opening Ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Feb</td>
<td>Wairakei Stream working bee with Deputy Chair Fairley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Feb</td>
<td>Jessica Phuang’s promotion celebration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Feb</td>
<td>Meet with constituent re bollard obstruction in Lynfield walk ways</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Issues/challenges
Bollard obstruction of walk-ways

Disclosures
No additional disclosures

Recommendation/s
a) That the report be received.

Signatories
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Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report

1. To provide an update to the local board members on the activities they have been involved with since the last meeting.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary

2. It is anticipated that Board members will speak to their reports at the meeting.
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Anne-Marie Coury’s monthly report
Report covering the period 1st – 28th February 2019

Auckland Council workshops, meetings and briefings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7th Feb</td>
<td>PLB Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th Feb</td>
<td>PLB Community Forum in Lynfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th Feb</td>
<td>PLB Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th Feb</td>
<td>PLB Community Cluster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st Feb</td>
<td>PLB Business Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th Feb</td>
<td>attended Regional Cluster Local Boards meeting in Takapuna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28th Feb</td>
<td>PLB Workshop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Meetings

On the 18th February, I attended the celebration of the appointment of Jessica Phaung to the new role the NZ Police have created to cover the ethnic communities needs in Auckland. Jessica’s title is Ethnic Responsiveness Manager for Tamaki Mākaurau. It was a majestic occasion with the Chinese Lion Dance being performed alongside, presentations to senior Police managers, Jessica has worked under and even a presentation by the District Commander, Karen Malthus, to her husband for his diligence in maintaining their home, relieving Jessica of many duties, so she can devote herself, to her work amongst the ethnic communities of Auckland. A fitting celebration at the time of Chinese New Year! All three District Commanders are women and were present in support of Jessica’s promotion.

Jessica Phaung celebrating with other women community leaders across Auckland, like Nanette Nathoo QSM, from Mahatma Gandhi Centre on the left, Iris Cheng, and myself, along with two other Asian women all of whom attend Jessica’s regular Community Safety Forums at the Fickling Centre. The banner behind us, carried the logos of many community groups, and signatures from all those attending on the day. Several Board members were present including our Chair, Harry Doig.
On 26th February, I participated in the art workshop session for Nga Herenga Waka led by Tamati Patuwhai from Mad Ave, with members of Auckland Greypower, from a variety of cultural backgrounds aged from 68 to 98 years of age. The links each of these seniors had with the carvers, and the stories they told illustrated by their drawings were enriching for all. The next phase of the Nga Herenga Waka is being awaited with some anticipation and excitement. The ways in which groups are participating in this project and the depth of the sharing has been exceptional; definitely a strong community building exercise. The pou whakairo will be a symbolic piece of public art shared with the community as part of the Arts Festival.

On 27th February, I attended the regional meeting of Auckland Grey Power, involving the eight Association representatives across the region. This time we were meeting on Waiheke, electing a new director for the region and focusing on remits to be supported to take to the Federation’s AGM in May. It is important for me to understand how seniors’ needs are being tackled in other parts of the region, and what changes in policies are emerging from debates and experiences that other seniors are having across the region.

Other issues/challenges
Illegal dumping has died down somewhat over the past three months, but in Roskill, there have been two glaring examples lately, one beside State Highway 20 behind Mt Roskill Grammar School, sizeable dump of furniture, paper and rubbish. The other was two old pieces of furniture in front of the stone sculptures at the entrance to Feaon Park in Keystone Street.

There has been an increase in community groups working together, sharing some aspects of projects together, so collaboration becomes the new norm. Experiences, like shared grief over the deaths of Hashem Saimankhe, and Rima Fernando Sikei, have been the catalysts to stimulate more coming together in solidarity. The community spirit is alive and well in Puketapapa.

Disclosures
My on-going focus when I am advocating on behalf of seniors in community forums, representing Auckland Grey Power members, is reflecting the evidence base that has been used to develop current Grey Power policies. I make sure to clarify I am not representing the Board. I am usually wearing my official status badge as President of Auckland Greypower on such occasions.

This month I was invited to comment on how the housing crisis is impacting on seniors by Herald reporter, Simon Collins. I also subsequently was interviewed for television 6 pm news by Newshub, the next day. The points I made were unaffordability of rents for those renting, living only on NZ Super, forced to rent in the private sector because of the dire shortage of one and two bedroom social housing units. The interview explored the many ways seniors have ended up in shoddy housing situations, even couch surfing; through loss of finances in the financial company collapses, through marriage break-ups in their 50’s with little chance of saving and borrowing again to purchase in the Auckland market, and through leaky homes.

It is important to note that the aims and objectives of Grey Power are to advocate across all political parties, and elected representatives, when seeking to improve welfare and wellbeing outcomes for seniors. Therefore, I remain non-aligned to any particular political party.
As a member of the Auckland Art Gallery, I enjoy using my guest pass to invite community members into the gallery to view exhibitions, they may otherwise be unable to access.

I volunteer at the Puketapapa Community Driving School, as a mentor to older women migrants requiring their drivers licence to allow them to legally drive members of the families to school and home.

My membership of the Auckland Women’s Centre, over a number of years has been to support community development strategies for women.

Recommendation/s

a) That the report be received.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Anne-Marie Coury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**Report Name:** Board Member Julie Fairey’s report
Report covering the period 8th February to 7th March 2019

### Auckland Council workshops, meetings and briefings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 Feb</td>
<td>Attended Annual Budget 19/20 briefing for elected members in person, with Chair Doig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Feb</td>
<td>Attended Chairs’ Forum as observer, with Chair Doig, from 11.30am to 2.30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Feb</td>
<td>Regular catch-up with Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Feb</td>
<td>Attended Infrastructure &amp; Heritage Cluster with Member Holm, Chair Doig, Members Kaushal and Kumar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Feb</td>
<td>Facilitated monthly community forum, this month on Freedom Camping bylaws, also attended by Member Kaushal, Member Coury from 6.40pm, Member Holm from 6.45pm, Chair Doig from 6.55pm, Member Kumar from 7.30pm (photo via Shail Kaushal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Feb</td>
<td>Attended regular local board workshop with Chair Doig, Member Holm, Member Coury, Member Kaushal from 9.34am, Member Kumar from 9.42am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Feb</td>
<td>Attended monthly session with Local Board Financial Advisor, with Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Feb</td>
<td>Catch-up with Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Feb</td>
<td>Attended monthly comms meeting with Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Feb</td>
<td>Attended central sub-regional cluster workshop with elected members from other local boards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Feb</td>
<td>Regular catch-up with Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Feb</td>
<td>Regular advisor catch-up with Chair Doig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Feb</td>
<td>Draft minutes meeting with officers and Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Feb</td>
<td>Attended Albert-Eden sustainable business network session with Chair Doig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Feb</td>
<td>Regular board business meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Feb</td>
<td>Attended Keith Hay Park carpark works meeting from 1.10pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Feb</td>
<td>Attended Unitary Plan Viewer training in Takapuna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Feb</td>
<td>Attended Tohu Governance session for Te Auaunga / Oakley Creek with Chair Doig, Members Holm and Kumar, and members from Albert-Eden and Whau, also mana whenua, Friends of Oakley Creek and council officers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Feb</td>
<td>Assisted Chair Doig with presentation on behalf of the local board to the Freedom Camping bylaw sub-committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Feb</td>
<td>Regular catch-up with Member Kaushal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
28 Feb  
Attended regular board workshop with Chair Doig, Members Holm, Kaushal and Kumar, and Member Coury from 9.37am

1 Mar  
Meeting with Senior Local Board Advisor and Chair Doig about regional proposal for Citizens’ Advice Bureau funding model

1 Mar  
Brief catch-up with advisor about Te Auaungar project openings

6 Mar  
Regular catch-up with Chair
6 Mar  
Regular advisor catch-up with Chair
6 Mar  
Attended Have Your Say community forum for Puketāpapa Local Board area with Chair Doig, Members Coury, Holm and Kumar, and Governing Body members Fletcher and Casey. (photo)

7 Mar  
Attended local board workshop with Chair Doig, Member Holm, Member Coury until 12noon, Member Kumar from 10.35am.

Other meetings, events

15 Feb  
Drop in to Hillsborough Kindergarten to discuss impact of Keith Hay Parks works

16 Feb  
Attended part of the Wairaki Stream volunteer day, with Chair (photo)

17 Feb  
Dropped in to Wild Streets Festival of Play at Three Kings Reserve

24 Feb  
Caught the third running (8.20am) of the new 191 service, from Lynfield to Blockhouse Bay (photo)

26 Feb  
Visit to Te Tatua a Rangi, from the Graeme Breed Dr entrance, to check on access issues raised by constituents

6 Mar  
Attended Keith Hay Park Users’ Group meeting

7 Mar  
Attended Mt Roskill War Memorial Park Users’ Group meeting, with Member Kumar
Other issues/challenges

Over the reporting period I have worked on issues in response to constituent queries or my own observations in regard to the following list of areas. This has usually involved seeking and supplying information, a request for service, and/or forwarding to relevant Board members/officers for their action/information. Often these are conversations on social media (Facebook and Twitter, sometimes Neighbourly).

- Illegal dumping
- Local resource consent applications and alcohol licences monitoring
- Promoting submissions to AT consultations, in particular Carlton St
- Keith Hay Park sports fields, clubroom development, parking enforcement
- Mt Roskill War Memorial Park needs assessment and concept plan
- Noton Rd and Richardson Rd carpark projects (part of Keith Hay Park) (photo)
- Diversion for 252 and 253 buses until Ian McKinnon Dr cleared for double deckers
- Friends of Wairaki Stream Reserve
- Arthur Faulkner Reserve concept plan implementation, including pickleball and VHF club
- Walkwhai Coast boardwalk Stage 2
- Fearon and Harold Long Park project progress
- Maintenance of walkways between roads
- Footpath clearance eg weeds
- Faded parking lines, particularly mobility parks
- Mowing issues in Ernie Pinches area, on Housing NZ property (photo)
- SH20 cycleway maintenance including Frost Rd spiral
- New bus route 191, route and timetabling
- Bamfield Park/Rendcomb Pl steps, fencing and handrail
- Tree pruning/removal and mowing in parks
- Weed management in parks, road corridor and open spaces
- Bus parking on footpath (photo)
- Parking issues on Cape Horn Rd
- Mt Roskill Village upgrade works

Thank you to our PA Liaison and other officers and elected members, including AT, for their assistance with these and other matters

Disclosures

I am an individual member of the Auckland branch of the National Council of Women. During the reporting period I did not attend any events in this role. www.ncwnz.org.nz

I am a trustee, and board secretary, for The Aunties, a charity established to expand and make sustainable work done to meet the needs of families dealing with domestic violence. During the reporting period I had a 25th February board meeting. www.aunties.co.nz

I am also a trustee on the HE Fairey Family Trust, which gives grants to people with disabilities through CCS/Disability Action, but I am not currently one of the two “active” trustees.
I will be travelling to Wellington, with my three children, return, from 15th March to 17th March, funded by Parliamentary Services to accompany my husband in his work.

Recommendation/s

a) That the report be received.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Julie Fairey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Report Name: Elected Member’s Report – Shail Kaushal  
Report covering the period 8th February 2018 to 7th March 2019

Auckland Council workshops, meetings, briefings and events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8th February</td>
<td>Attended Board Workshop alongside Members Doig, Fairey, Coury, Holm and Kumar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th February</td>
<td>Attended Infrastructure and Heritage Cluster meeting alongside Members Holm, Doig, Fairey and Kumar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th February</td>
<td>Attended Community Forum alongside Members Doig, Fairey, Coury, Holm and Kumar (Photo →).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th February</td>
<td>Attended Board Workshop alongside Members Doig, Fairey, Coury, Holm and Kumar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th February</td>
<td>Attended Sub-regional workshop alongside Member Doig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th February</td>
<td>Attended Members only cluster alongside Members Doig, Fairey and Coury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st February</td>
<td>Attended Board Business Meeting alongside Members Doig, Fairey, Kumar, Holm and Coury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th February</td>
<td>Attended and spoke at Local Citizenship Ceremony alongside Members Doig, Holm and Kumar (Photo →).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27th February</td>
<td>Catch-up with Member Fairey on Planning issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27th February</td>
<td>Attended RFA Function for Local Boards alongside Member Holm (Photo →).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28th February</td>
<td>Attended Board Workshop alongside Members Doig, Fairey, Coury, Holm and Kumar.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other meetings and events

16th February  
Attended and spoke at a Community Vigil at Aotea Square condemning the terror attack in Pulwama, Kashmir.

18th February  
Attended Jessica Phuang’s promotion celebration alongside Members Doig, Coury, Peter Haynes (Albert-Eden) and Pippa Coom (Waitemata).

23rd February  
Attended Puketapapa Lantern Festival alongside Local MP Michael Wood (Photo →).

Other issues/challenges

None to be reported.

Disclosures

- In the reporting period, I have held my weekly CAB shifts for constituents on Fridays 1-4pm, regarding immigration support and other matters related to Citizens Advice Bureau Mt Roskill. These shifts were held on 22nd February and 1st March.

Recommendation/s

a) That the report be received.

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shail Kaushal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Report Name: Board Member Ella Kumar report
Report covering the period 27th November 2018 to 28th February 2019

Auckland Council Workshops, meetings and briefings

28th November   Green Cluster
29th November   Local Board Workshop
29th November   Community awards
1st December    Christmas festival
6th December    Business Meeting
7th December    Youth Awards
12th December   Business meeting
12th December   Citizenship Ceremony
23rd January    Dare to Explore Library event
31st January    Local board workshop
                - Review
                - Citizenship ceremony
                - Ceremonial Offerings
                - FY 18/19 Quarterly Work Plan Budget, reallocation discussions
                - Healthy Environments, events Principals
                - Introducing Nicky Welch

7th February    Local Board Workshop
                - Strategic Relationships Grant Accountability Update
                - Project Streetscape
                - Community Facilities
                - Reserve Classification of Keith Hay Park
                - FY 18/19 Work Program update budget reallocations
                - Calendar and board information update

13th February   Infrastructure and Heritage Cluster

13th February   Puketāpapa Forum

14th February   Local Board Workshop
                - Agenda run through
                - Toilets and drinking fountain provisions assessment
                - Children/Youth Empowerment/Youth Employment – CEU WP
                - Maori Responsiveness/Seniors/Social Enterprise
                - Community Empowerment Unit
- Wesley Community Centre/RYZ update 19/20 work plan
- Brief Local board events for 2019

21st February
Business Meeting

25th February
Citizenship Ceremony

26th February
Tohu Governance meeting

28th February
Local board Workshop
- Shared Spaces
- ACE events
- Panuku
- Three Kings Quarry Development
- HLC Quarterly Update
- Calendar Information

Other

Attended and volunteered at the Lantern Festival 2019

Disclosures:

Contractor for YMCA as an aerobics instructor to deliver fitness classes. (Cameron Pools Leisure Centre and Lynfield Leisure and Recreation Centre is situated in PLB area who own the buildings).

Roskill Together Committee Member.

I volunteered for many years before being on the local board and will continue with community as requested in my personal capacity in various ways like events, support, fitness or as required at many organisations where the board may have funded or will fund in the future and will declare these situations as they arise and applications come to the local board and when local board engages and fund groups.

Recommendations

a) That the report be received.

Signatories

| Author | Ella Kumar |
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To inform local boards about the Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) Conference and Annual General Meeting (AGM) in Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington, from Sunday 7 July to Tuesday 9 July 2019, and to invite local boards to nominate elected members to attend.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The LGNZ Conference and AGM takes place at the TSB Arena, Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington from 1.30pm Sunday 7 July to 12.30pm on Tuesday 9 July 2019.
3. Local board members are invited to attend the conference. As the venue for 2019 is in Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington and given the cost of elected member attendance, staff recommend that one member per local board attend.
4. The Governing Body can select up to five Governing Body members to attend the conference.
5. In addition to the official delegates, LGNZ requires prior notice of which local board members plan to attend the AGM. Members wishing to attend are asked to register their intention with the Kura Kāwana programme by Friday 12 April 2019 so that this information can be provided to LGNZ.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) nominate one elected member to attend the Local Government New Zealand 2019 Conference and Annual General Meeting in Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington, from Sunday 7 July to Tuesday 9 July 2019.

b) confirm that conference attendance, including travel and accommodation, will be paid for in accordance with the current Auckland Council Elected Member Expense Policy.

c) note that any members who wish to attend the AGM must provide their names to the Kura Kāwana programme team by Friday 12 April 2019 to ensure that they are registered with Local Government New Zealand.

Horopaki
Context
6. This year, the LGNZ conference and AGM will be held at the TSB Arena, Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington, from Sunday 7 July to Tuesday 9 July 2019. The AGM will commence at 1.30pm on Sunday 7 July 2018, with the conference programme commencing at 4.30pm on that day and concluding at 12.30pm on Tuesday 9 July 2019.

7. The conference programme has the theme ‘Riding the localism wave: Putting communities in charge’. The high-level programme is attached (refer Attachment A).
8. The AGM takes place on the first day of the conference. The LGNZ constitution permits the Auckland Council to appoint four delegates to represent it at the AGM, with one of the delegates being appointed as presiding delegate.

9. Elected members who hold LGNZ roles are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elected Member</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Phil Goff</td>
<td>Metro Sector representative on the National Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Penny Hulse</td>
<td>Chair of Zone One and Zone One representative on National Council, Member Conference Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore</td>
<td>Auckland Council representative on Regional Sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Wayne Walker</td>
<td>Auckland Council representative on Zone One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Alf Filipaina</td>
<td>LGNZ Te Maruata Roopu Whakahaere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Richard Hills</td>
<td>Member Policy Advisory Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitematā Local Board Chair Pippa Coom</td>
<td>Member Governance and Strategy Advisory Group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Traditionally the four AGM delegates have been the Mayor, the Chief Executive and two Governing Body members who hold LGNZ roles.

11. The Governing Body will consider an item on AGM attendance at its meeting on 28 March 2019 which includes the recommendation that Mayor Phil Goff be the presiding delegate and the other three delegates be comprised of either:

- two members of the Governing Body who hold a formal representation role with LGNZ and the Chief Executive, or
- one member of the Governing Body who holds a formal representation role with LGNZ and the Chief Executive, and a local board member.

12. Delegates in 2018 were:

- Mayor Phil Goff
- Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore
- Councillor Penny Hulse
- Local Board Chairperson Pippa Coom.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**  
**Analysis and advice**

13. Local board members are invited to attend the conference. As the venue for 2019 is in Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington and given the cost of elected member attendance, it is recommended that one member per local board attend.

14. This means that a maximum of 26 Auckland Council elected members would attend the conference.

15. Delegates who attend are encouraged to report back to their local boards.

16. In addition, local board members can attend the AGM as observers, or as a delegate (depending on the Governing Body decision), provided their names are included on the AGM registration form, which will be signed by the Mayor.
17. LGNZ requires prior notice of which local board members plan to attend the AGM. Members wishing to attend are asked to register their intention with the Kura Kāwana programme by Friday 12 April 2019 so that this information can be collated and provided to LGNZ.

18. The Governing Body will also consider an item on conference attendance at its meeting on 28 March 2019 which includes the recommendations that the Mayor is appointed presiding delegate to the AGM and that three other delegates be appointed (one of which may be a local board member). It is recommended that these delegates also attend the LGNZ conference, along with any other Governing Body members up to a total of five attendees.

19. The LGNZ Conference has relevance to local board members and their specific roles and responsibilities and is in line with the purpose provided for in the elected member development budget.

20. The LGNZ National Council has a sub-committee, Te Maruata, which has the role of promoting increased representation of Māori as elected members of local government, and of enhancing Māori participation in local government processes. It also provides support for councils in building relationships with iwi, hapu and Māori groups. Te Maruata provides Māori input on development of future policies or legislation relating to local government. Councillor Alf Filipaina is a member of the sub-committee. Te Maruata will hold a hui on 6 July 2019.

21. The normal registration rate is $1410 (early bird) or $1510 (standard).

22. Costs of attendance for one member from each local board are to be met from the elected members’ development budget, as managed by the Kura Kāwana Programme.

23. The key risk is of delayed decision-making impacting costs and registration choices.

24. The sooner the registration for the nominated local board member can be made, the more likely it is that Auckland Council can take advantage of early bird pricing for the conference and flights, all done via bulk booking.

25. Delayed information may also impact registration into preferred conference streams or events.

26. Once members are confirmed to attend, the Kura Kāwana programme will co-ordinate and book all conference registrations, as well as requests to attend the AGM.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Programme for 2019 LGNZ conference and AGM</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Linda Gifford – Programme Manager Kura Kawana</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A
LGNZ 2019 Conference and AGM Programme

Sunday 7 July 2019

9:30am
Registration desk open
Barista coffee available at the Connect Lounge in the exhibition hall from 2.00pm
Kindly sponsored by Fonterra

Council hosted tours

10.00am
- Laneway Discovery
- Craft Beer Tour
- Mountain biking Adventure
- Weta Studio Tour
- Hangi Lunch at Karaka Cafe (from 12.15pm)

Ticketed event. For more information click here.

10.30am
National Council meeting
followed by lunch
Members only

11.00am
Young Elected Members catch up
Members only

Local Government New Zealand AGM
Members only
followed by a photo of Mayors and Chairs

1.30pm
Afternoon tea

3.30pm
Powhiri and hui opening ceremony
Justin Lester, Host Mayor, Wellington City Council

4.30pm
Government’s address

5.00pm
LGNZ President’s address
Dave Cull, President, LGNZ

5.15pm
Te Maruata address
Cr Bonita Bigham, Chair, Te Maruata

5.30pm
Opening keynote
Local leader to talk about Maori's view on localism
Hon Dame Tariana Turia, former Leader of the Maori Party

Welcome from Simpson Grierson
Jonathan Saltair, Partner, Simpson Grierson

Simpson Grierson Welcome Reception
Te Papa

Optional - Showcasing Wellington’s progressiveness
7.30pm - 10.30pm
A progressive dinner at three of Wellington’s renowned culinary establishments within Courtenay Place, the Laneways and Cuba St. Ticketed event. For further information click here.

Monday 8 July 2019

Breakfast session
7.00am Lisa King, Founder, Eat My Lunch and social entrepreneur
Ticketed event. For further information click here

Registration desk open
7.30am Barista coffee available
Kindly sponsored by Fonterra

Master of Ceremonies
Patrick Gower

Localism: No.8 wire fixes to New Zealand's challenges
Giving local people the power to make local decisions
8.35am Tania Tapsell, Councillor, Rotorua Lakes Council
Dr Lance O'Sullivan, founder and chair of The MOKO Foundation

Localism - what's your natural advantage?
Incentivising communities to take a more proactive approach to local development
9.30am
Christopher Luxon, Chief Executive Officer, Air New Zealand
Kindly sponsored by NZ Transport Agency

10.15am Morning tea

Climate change - a stitch in time
11.00am Alternative thinking to mitigating and adapting to the changing climate.
John Mauro, Chief Sustainability Officer, Auckland Council
Leader of the Opposition’s address
11.45am
The Leader of the Opposition will present his party’s view on localism and response to LGNZ’s localism discussion paper.
Hon Simon Bridges, Leader, National Party

12.00pm Zone of Origin’ crocodile bike race

12.30pm Lunch

1.15pm Have you drunk the central government Kool-Aid?
An ex-local government back bencher view on localism.

1.45pm Minister of Local Government address
Hon Nanaia Mahuta, Minister of Local Government

Housing and building in New Zealand - can we fix it?
Ensuring our infrastructure is not just fit-for-purpose but supports sustained improvement in the quality of life for all New Zealanders, now and into the future.
Justin Lester, Mayor, Wellington City Council
Adrian Orr, Governor, Reserve Bank of New Zealand
Kindly sponsored by Chorus

2.30pm Afternoon tea

Walkshops
Wananga hikoi
Delegates choose one workshop tour to attend
Social well-being
- Housing affordability: Making it happen
Economic well-being
- Transforming the way local government works digitally
Environmental well-being
- Bringing back our native flora and fauna
Cultural well-being
- What the arts and cultural well-being means for communities
For further information click here

2.45pm End of conference day

Conference dinner and LGNZ EXCELLENCE Awards

6.45pm TSB Bank Arena
Ticketed event. For further information click here
Tuesday 9 July 2019

8.00am  Registration desk open
        Barista coffee available
        Kindly sponsored by Fonterra

9.00am  Master of Ceremonies
        Patrick Gower

Managing fresh water - best practice, breakthroughs in innovation and barriers
We all have a role to play - the government, the farming sector, the businesses who use freshwater, communities and individuals - and if we all work together we can make a big difference.
        Doug Leeder, Chair, LGNZ Regional Group
        Terry Copeland, Chief Executive, Federated Farmers
        Kindly sponsored by GHD

9.15am  Morning tea

Out of the Shadows
Raising awareness of, and community support for, a greater investment in your community’s cultural and natural heritage.

10.45am Natalie Palmer, Communications and Marketing Manager, Hamilton City Council
        2018 Fulton Hogan EXCELLENCE Award for Community Engagement recipient - Out of the Shadows: Bringing Waipa’s heritage to life.

11.30am Leadership in our communities
        Colin D Ellis

12.15pm Early bird registration prize draw
        Kindly sponsored by New Zealand Motor Caravan Association

12.20pm Poroporoaki | Closing ceremony

12.30pm Lunch

1.30pm - 3.30pm
        Member meetings  Members only
        - Mayors Taskforce for Jobs AGM
        - Regional Sector meeting
        - Young Elected Members meeting
Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To present the Puketāpapa Local Board with its updated governance forward work programme calendar (the calendar).

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The calendar for the Puketāpapa Local Board is in Attachment A. The calendar is updated monthly, reported to business meetings and distributed to council staff.
3. The calendar was introduced in 2016 as part of Auckland Council’s quality advice programme and aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   - ensuring advice on meeting agendas is driven by local board priorities
   - clarifying what advice is expected and when
   - clarifying the rationale for reports.
4. The calendar also aims to provide guidance for staff supporting local boards and greater transparency for the public.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:
   a) receive the governance forward work programme calendar for March 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Programme Calendar, March 2019</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketapapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Puketapapa Local Board Governance Forward Work Programme - March 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Meeting</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Governance Role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Reporting Officer(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>6 December 2018</td>
<td>BTA First Quarter Report 2018-2019</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
<td>Judy Lamlay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>13 December 2018</td>
<td>Three Kings Sand/Carpent Budget Reallocation</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Confirm budget allocations</td>
<td>Grant Burke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>21 February 2019</td>
<td>Auckland climate change action plan - Low Carbon Auckland</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
<td>Carol Stewart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>21 March 2019</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Report</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Lorna Stewart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>8 April 2019</td>
<td>Puketapapa Youth Board Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
<td>Tanya Moredo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>16 May 2019</td>
<td>Ngahere Strategy</td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
<td>Howell Davies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>20 June 2019</td>
<td>Adopt Local Board Work Programme FY20</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
<td>Renee Burgers/Christie McFayden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>18 July 2019</td>
<td>Puketapapa Youth Board Report</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
<td>Tanya Moredo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 August 2019</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Report</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Lorna Stewart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>15 September 2019</td>
<td>Auckland Transport Report</td>
<td>Oversight and monitoring</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
<td>Judy Lamlay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Record of Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Notes

File No.: CP2019/03346

Te take mō te pūrongo / Purpose of the report
1. To provide a summary of Puketāpapa Local Board (the Board) workshop notes.

Whakarāpopototanga matua / Executive summary
2. The attached summary of workshop notes provides a record of the Board’s workshops held in November 2018 to February 2019.
3. These sessions are held to give an informal opportunity for board members and officers to discuss issues and projects and note that no binding decisions are made or voted on at workshop sessions.

Ngā tūtohunga / Recommendation/s
That the Puketāpapa Local Board:

a) receive the Puketāpapa Local Board workshop records for 1, 8, 22 November 2018.

Ngā tāpirihanga / Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record, 14 February 2019</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record, 28 February 2019</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record, 07 March 2019</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina / Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Selina Powell - Democracy Advisor - Puketapapa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Victoria Villaraza - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Puketāpapa Local Board held in the Puketāpapa Local Board office, 560 Mt Albert Road, Three Kings, 14 February 2019 commencing at 9.30 am.

**PRESENT**
- Chairperson: Harry Doig
- Members: Anne-Marie Coury, Julie Fairey, David Holm, Shail Kaushal from 9.34 am Item 1, Ela Kumar from 9.42 am Item 2

**Apologies:** Mary Hay
**Also present:** Victoria Villaraza, John Adams and Salina Powell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declarations of Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td>David Holm declared an interest for his involvement with Roskill Together and took no part in discussion on those matters. Ela Kumar declared an interest for her involvement with Roskill Together and took no part in discussion on those matters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 1: Agenda Run Thru</td>
<td></td>
<td>The board ran through the agenda items and noted questions for officers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name: Harry Doig</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ACT:</strong> Democracy Advisor to follow up on questions with officers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Chair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Item 25: Toilets and drinking fountain provision assessment

**Thomas Dixon**  
Parks & Places Specialist  
Parks Sports and Recreation  
Park Services  

**Pippa Sommerville**  
PSR Portfolio Manager  
Parks Sports and Recreation  
Services Programmes  

- **Local Initiative/Specific decision**

Officers discussed with the board the principles and prioritisation around drinking fountains and discussed where additional drinking fountains could be placed within Puketāpapa.

**ACT:** Officer to work with AT and Community Facilities to come back to the board with a Rough Order of Costs for drinking fountains. A report to go to a Puketāpapa Local Board business meeting.

## Item 3: Children/Youth Empowerment/Youth Employment – CEU WP

**Juanita de Senna**  
Strategic Broker  
Arts Community and Events  
Community Empowerment  

**Tanya Moredo**  
Advisor  
Arts Community and Events  
Community Empowerment  

**Ronelle Baker**  
Practice Manager - Operations  
Arts Community and Events  
Community Empowerment  

- **Setting direction/priorities/budget**

The officers spoke to the presentation on Youth Employment TSI/Youth Connections Programme.

A recap was provided on the 18/19 FY for Youth Connections.

The Youth Board work programme line was discussed for FY 19/20.

The Childrens’ Panel work programme line was discussed for FY19/20.

**ACT:** A further workshop with the board and Youth Connections officers to take stock of Youth Connections FY 18/19.

**ACT:** The officer to provide the board with a budget breakdown on the spend for the Puketāpapa Local Board Youth Board FY 19/20.

**ACT:** The officer to provide the board with a budget breakdown of the spend for the Childrens’ Panel FY 19/20.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 4: Maori Responsiveness/Seniors/Social Enterprise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Juanita de Senna</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Broker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ronelle Baker</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice Manager - Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rosetta Fuimaono</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanya Moredo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Setting direction/priorities/budget**

The officer proposed a new budget line for the FY 19/20 for Maori Responsiveness.

The officer gave an update on the Seniors Line.

The officer gave an update on Social Enterprise bringing members attention to the 19/20 work programme.

**ACT:** A workshop to be scheduled to discuss work programme lines for FY 19/20.

**ACT:** Officer to provide a report to the board on the Seniors programme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 5: Community Empowerment Unit Work Programme update excluding integrated lines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Juanita de Senna</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Broker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tanya Moredo</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sunita Kashyap</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rosetta Fuimaono</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Empowerment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Setting direction/priorities/budget**

The officer gave an update.
Item 6: Wesley Community Centre/Roskill Youth Zone update and 19/20 work plan

Michael Matheson
Place Mgr (Puketāpapa Albert/Eden)
Arts Community and Events
Community Places
Christine Waugh
Continuous Improvements Advisor
Arts Community and Events
Community Places
Juanita de Senna
Strategic Broker
Arts Community and Events
Community Empowerment

- Setting direction/priorities/budget

The officer gave the board an update of the events happening at the centre since the last update in October.

Item 7: Calendar and board information update

Name: Delwyn Burke
Role: PA/Liaison

The board was updated on events in their calendar for the next fortnight.

The workshop concluded at 3.01 pm.
Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Puketāpapa Local Board held in the Puketāpapa Local Board office, 560 Mt Albert Road, Three Kings, 28 February 2019 commencing at 9.30 am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Harry Doig
Members: Anne-Marie Coury
Julie Fairey
David Holm
Shail Kaushal
Ella Kumar

Apologies:
Also present: Mary Hay, John Adams and Selina Powell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declarations of Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 1: Shared Use of Space</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Local initiative/specific decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name: Peter Loud</td>
<td></td>
<td>The board was updated on the progress of the 2018/2019 Shared Spaces Project for Puketāpapa. The board was introduced to the contractor who briefed the board on the groups she had been in contact with so far.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anja Thomas</td>
<td></td>
<td>ACT: A further workshop in late May 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 25: ACE Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Robyn Henry</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Manager Civic Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events, Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tess Landworthy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Event Organiser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events, Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Angela Radosits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Event Organiser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event Production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events, Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mikaela Otene</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Team Leader Event Facilitation, Central, Events, Arts Community and Events, Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sherry Bekhet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Event Facilitator, Event Facilitation, Central, Arts Community and Events, Events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local initiatives/specific decisions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The Events Manager introduced the new Civic Organiser to the board. The events team discussed the following with the board:
  - ANZAC Parade for 2019.
  - A debrief of the Carols by candlelight held December 2018.
  - Started the conversation on Carols by candlelight for December 2019.
  - Permitted and facilitated events.

**ACT:** A further workshop with the Board.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 3: Panuku</th>
<th>Item 4: Three Kings Quarry Development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Carlos Rahman**  
Role: Senior Engagement Advisor  
Governance Relations  
Corporate Affairs  
Panuku Development  
Auckland  
**Marian Webb**  
Manager Portfolio Strategy & Business De  
Portfolio Strategy  
Strategy & Operations  
Panuku Development  
Auckland  
**Moria Faumuia**  
Role: Portfolio Research Analyst  
Portfolio Strategy  
Portfolio Review  
Strategy & Operations  
Panuku Development  
Auckland  |  
- Local initiatives/specific decisions  
The board was given an update by officers on the work that Panuku is currently doing and to look at possible opportunities for optimisation and redevelopment in the Puketāpapa Local Board area.  
**ACT:** A further workshop to look at opportunities for optimisation and redevelopment for the area.  
- Oversight and monitoring  
The board was updated by the officer on the Three Kings Quarry Development project to date.  
**ACT:** Further information to be provided. |

**Ian Kloppes**  
Manager Funding Delivery Development Programme Office  
Auckland Council COO  
**Bruce Tupp**  
Development Programmes Senior Lead Development Programme Office  
Auckland Council COO  
**Nick FitzHerbert**  
Relationship Advisor Relationship Management Unit, Auckland Council COO
### Item 25

**Item 5: HLC Update (quarterly)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joby Barham</th>
<th>Oversight and monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Role: Development Programme Lead, Development Programme Office, Auckland Council COO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alina Wimmer</td>
<td>The board was provided with an overview of the HNZC/HLC redevelopment of Roskill South, landowner approvals and Freeland Reserve update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Manager Development Strategy Development Programme Office Auckland Council COO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick FitzHerbert</td>
<td>ACT: A further workshop to keep the board informed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Relationship Advisor Relationship Management Unit, Auckland Council COO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Kerr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Senior Healthy Water Specialist, Healthy Waters, Auckland Council Coo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Little</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Manager Landscapes Architecture, Community Facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirsten Fryer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Community Engagement Manager, Housing New Zealand (HLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karla Beazley (HLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirsty Charles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role: Strategic Relationships and PlaceMaking Manager, Homes Land and Community (HLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Development Manager, Roskill South (HLC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 3.05pm.
Puketāpapa Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Puketāpapa Local Board held in the Puketāpapa Local Board office, 560 Mt Albert Road, Three Kings, 07 March 2019 commencing at 9.30 am.

PRESENT
Chairperson: Harry Doig
Members: Anne-Marie Coury left at 12.10 Item 4.0
         Julie Fairey
         David Holm
         Elia Kumar from 10.35 am
Apologies: Shail Kaushal, Victoria Villaraza
Also present: Mary Hay, John Adams and Selina Powell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declarations of Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td>Member Holm declared an interest for his involvement with Roskill Together and took no part in discussion on those matters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Member Kumar declared an interest for her involvement with Roskill Together and took no part in discussion on those matters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Member Fairey declared an interest in Item 2.0 Community Facilities discussion on Waikowhai Playground time 10.46 am.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 1: Grant Programme review

**Moumita Dutta**  
Role: Senior Grants Advisor  
Arts Community and Events  
Community Places

**Marion Davies**  
Grants Operations Manager  
Operations – Commercial and Finance Operations Support  
Auckland Council COO  
Arts Community and Events  
Community Empowerment

- Local initiative/specific decision/budget

The board reviewed the grant programme for the 2019/2020 financial year

**ACT:** A report to go to the Puketapapa Local Board Business meeting in April 2019.

---

### Item 2: Community Facilities

**Rod Klaassen**  
Stakeholder Advisor, Community Facilities  
Stakeholder & Land Advisory, Auckland Council, COO

**Jody Morley**  
Manager Project Delivery  
Community Facilities, Project Delivery, Auckland Council COO

**Katrina Morgan**  
Work Programme Lead  
Community Facilities  
Investigation & Design  
Auckland Council COO

**Justin Cash**  
Senior Maintenance Delivery Coordinator  
Community Facilities  
Operational Management & Maintenance, Auckland COO

- Oversight and monitoring

The board was updated by officers in the areas of expertise on the following:

- Project delivery work - playground areas were discussed.
- Operational maintenance the following was noted:
  - West Reserve
  - Lynfield Reserve – bollards
  - Maiao Street cycleway, clean up works
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 3: CEU 19/20 Puketāpapa Local Board Work Programme: Social Cohesion &amp; Diversity and Inclusion and Building Capacity in the Community</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Juanita De Senna</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Broker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events Community Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Council COO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sunita Kashyap</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events Community Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rosetta Fuimaono</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events Community Empowerment,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Council COO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setting direction/priorities/budget</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The board was updated on the new proposed activities for the proposed 19/20 work programme delivery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACT:</strong> Officers to put forward their requests to the board at the workshop session to be held on the 14 March 2019.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 4: Update on Youth Connections 18/19 Work Programme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tania Pouwhare</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSI Social Entrepreneur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills &amp; Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Council COO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sue Travaglia</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager Shared Prosperity Southern Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Prosperity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Council CPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setting direction/priorities/budget</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The board was updated on the Youth Connections 18/19 Work Programme line to date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACT:</strong> A further workshop to be scheduled to discuss this line further.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 25

**6.0 Building Capacity for Out and About**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gill Plume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts Community and Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Council COO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yasmeen Hayes
Role: Transition Manager
Arts Community and Events
Auckland Council COO

- **Local initiative/specific decision**

The board was updated on the progress of this project. The contractor for the project was introduced to the board.

**ACT:** A further workshop to be scheduled in April to discuss the project in more detail.

### 7.0 Feedback on draft increasing Aucklanders' participation in sport: Investment Plan 2019-2039

**Nancy Chu**
**Ruth Woodward**
**John Adams**

- **Input into Regional Decision making.**

Following the Puketāpapa Local Board Business meeting held on 21 February part b of resolution PKTPP2019/7 was that the board would be briefed by officers and would then provide feedback. This workshop session was for officers to brief the board and then the board to formulate their feedback that would be included in a report to go to the Puketāpapa Local Board Business meeting in March 2019.

**ACT:** Board feedback to be included in a report for the Puketapapa Local Board Business meeting in March.

**The workshop concluded at 3.06 pm.**