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1 Welcome

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 21 February 2019 as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

7.1 Leigh bus petition

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. Mr and Mrs Enderby requested the opportunity to present a petition regarding a bus service between Warkworth and Leigh.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) thank Mr and Mrs Enderby for their attendance

b) receive the petition in relation to a bus service between Warkworth and Leigh

c) request that the petition be forwarded to Auckland Transport for their attention and action.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Rodney Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations
can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

### 8.1 North-West Business Improvement District deputation

**Te take mō te pūrongo**

**Purpose of the report**

1. The North-West Business Improvement District requested a deputation to provide an update on activities to the local board.

**Ngā tūtohunga**

**Recommendation/s**

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) Thank Mr Tony Furlong from the North-West Business Improvement District for his presentation.

### 8.2 Mens Shed Warkworth deputation

**Te take mō te pūrongo**

**Purpose of the report**

1. Ms Angela Gibbons has requested a deputation to discuss the Mens Shed in Warkworth

**Ngā tūtohunga**

**Recommendation/s**

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) thank Ms Gibbons for her presentation.

### 9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

### 10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and
(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
Proposed kauri dieback mitigation in local parks in the Rodney Local Board area

File No.: CP2019/01929

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To endorse high level kauri protection actions for local parks and reserves within the Rodney Local Board area, ahead of the development of a detailed kauri dieback mitigation programme in June 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. To prevent the spread of kauri dieback and protect healthy kauri, staff have analysed all local parks and reserves in the Auckland region and developed recommended high level mitigation measures for each park.

3. This interim report provides the results of the prioritisation of local parks and reserves in the Rodney Local Board area. The report also seeks endorsement of the recommended high level kauri protection actions prior to the development of a detailed programme of works.

4. A detailed kauri dieback mitigation programme will be presented to the board for approval at a mid-2019 business meeting. The report will identify specific works for each park and the associated implementation costs and timeframes.

5. There are approximately 350 local parks throughout the Auckland region that contain kauri. Protection of healthy kauri is the primary objective of council’s kauri dieback management approach.

6. Following the introduction of the natural environment targeted rate, additional funding is available to support the management of kauri dieback in the Auckland region. This funding is prioritised to support the protection of high value (Category A) kauri ecosystems and minimise the risk of spreading kauri dieback.

7. In the Rodney Local Board area there are 44 local parks or reserves that contain kauri ecosystems. All of these parks have been analysed and allocated to one of four kauri dieback management categories, as summarised in this report.

8. Ten parks in the local board area are classified as Category A. These parks are of high recreational value and contain a high value kauri ecosystem. Investment will be required to ensure that healthy kauri are protected and any diseased kauri are contained and do not become a source of infection.

9. Recommended mitigation measures are high level and focus on asset solutions, which could include track upgrades, re-alignment or re-routing of tracks, installation of boardwalks, and installation of hygiene stations. Non-asset solutions such as awareness raising and education will also be undertaken.

10. A list of all the local parks in the local board area that contain kauri, and recommended high level kauri dieback mitigation measures is appended to this report as Attachment A. Copies of the recreational assessments for selected Category A parks have been appended to this report as Attachment B.

11. Further investigations are required to determine the exact nature of the necessary kauri protection works for each park in the local board area, as well as the costs and achievable timelines. These investigations will be completed in April 2019 and the results presented to the board as part of the detailed kauri dieback mitigation programme at a mid-2019 business meeting.
Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) endorse the following high level kauri protection measures for local parks and reserves:
   i) undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation measures (such as track upgrades, track re-alignment, track re-routing, or other physical works), and consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed to protect symptom free high value kauri ecosystems in the following Category A parks:
      A) Brick Bay Drive
      B) Brick Bay Drive - Puriri Place Reserve
      C) Currys Bush Reserve
      D) Kowhai Park
      E) Leigh Harbour Cove Walkway
      F) Martins Bay Holiday Park
      G) McElroy Reserve
      H) Omeru Scenic Reserve
   ii) undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation measures (such as track upgrades, track re-alignment, track re-routing, or other physical works), and consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed to prevent potentially infected kauri ecosystems in the following Category A parks from becoming a source of infection:
      A) Matheson Bay Reserve
      B) Parry Kauri Park
      C) Duck Creek, Warkworth (noting that this is an identified priority route in the Puhoi to Pakiri Greenways Plan, and there is a live proposal to undertake detailed feasibility into a new trail connection.)
   iii) discourage public access through barrier planting and signage in the following Category B parks:
      A) Kiwitahi Road, Paehoka
      B) Makarau Bridge Reserve
   iv) discourage public access through barrier planting and signage in the following Category C park:
      A) Whangateau Domain Recreation Reserve (bush area only)
   v) consider installing hygiene station(s) at strategic locations in the following Category C parks:
      A) Buckleton Beach Reserve
      B) Sesquicentennial Walkway
   vi) note that the remaining Category C parks and all of the Category D parks are considered to be low value kauri ecosystems with low value recreational use, thus making them a lower priority for mitigation investment at this stage.

b) note that a detailed kauri dieback mitigation programme with costs and timelines will be developed and submitted to a local board business meeting in mid-2019 for
Horopaki
Context

Natural environment targeted rate funding to support the management of kauri dieback in local parks

12. In June 2018 the governing body adopted Auckland Council’s ten-year budget for the period 2018-2028. This included a natural environment targeted rate which will provide $311 million of additional investment towards environmental outcomes over the next decade (resolution GB/2018/91).

13. Funding has been identified within the plant pathogen workstream of the natural environment targeted rate programme to support the management of kauri dieback. Along with existing local board renewals budgets, this funding will support the provision of physical works such as upgrades of tracks in parks with high-value kauri across the local parks and reserves network.

14. Funding from the natural environment targeted rate will be integrated with existing renewals budgets where available. This funding will be focused on tracks, or sections of tracks where kauri are located within 30 metres of the track, and where the park has been identified as high priority (Category A) in accordance with the categorisation process detailed in the analysis and advice section of this report.

Overview of the kauri dieback mitigation programme

15. There are approximately 350 local parks throughout the Auckland region that contain kauri. The funding available from the natural environment targeted rate will not be able to provide for the protection of all kauri in the region.

16. To manage investment across the region, a risk-based prioritisation approach has been applied. Local parks have been analysed in terms of kauri ecosystem value, recreational value and kauri health status, noting that the council’s primary objective is the protection of healthy kauri.

17. This report focuses on the results of the prioritisation of local parks and reserves and the high level kauri protection measures recommended for each prioritisation category.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Establishing kauri dieback management prioritisation categories for local parks

18. There are 44 local parks and reserves within the Rodney Local Board area that contain kauri.

19. Each park was assessed and prioritised on the following basis:
   • the value of the kauri ecosystem, which was classified as high, medium or low. A kauri ecosystem value was assigned by council ecologists based on the work undertaken by Singers et al (2017): Indigenous terrestrial and wetland ecosystems of Auckland
   • the health status of the kauri, which was noted as infected, possibly infected or symptom free. This information was sourced from the council’s active surveillance programme, which includes soil sampling
   • the recreational value of the park, which was identified as high, medium or low. Staff analysed key recreational activities such as recreational trails, active transport, visitor destinations, volunteer activity and sports and recreation use. Reviews of reserve
management plans (if applicable) and any other relevant strategic documents were undertaken.

20. Each local park has been assigned to one of four kauri dieback management prioritisation categories, as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Kauri dieback management prioritisation categories.](image)

21. Recreational assessments for Category A parks in the local board area have been appended to this report as Attachment B. These assessments have also identified mana whenua and key stakeholders with interest in the parks. For high and medium recreational value sites, the assessments also describe service outcomes. Examples of service outcomes include connections, access to existing leased areas and facilities, and any planned development.

**Identifying high level kauri dieback mitigation measures for local parks and reserves**

22. In the context of kauri dieback mitigation, kauri-safe means that a track has a dry, mud-free surface 100 metres along the track before and after the location of kauri or kauri roots. This can be achieved in a variety of ways including boardwalks, box steps, applying soil confinement membranes and providing aggregate cover.

23. All 44 of the local parks that contain kauri within the local board area have been analysed and allocated to one of four kauri dieback management categories, as summarised in Table 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Kauri ecosystem value</th>
<th>Park recreational value</th>
<th>Number of parks</th>
<th>Proposed mitigation measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>High to medium</td>
<td>High to medium</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Upgrade or re-align tracks or track sections where needed or undertake other works to protect kauri. Temporary closure may be required until works are completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>High to medium</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th></th>
<th>completed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>High to medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Indefinite closure of tracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High to medium</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Install hygiene stations at strategic locations if needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>No mitigation measures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24. Further investigations are required to determine the exact nature of the works recommended, their costs and feasible timelines for delivery. Investigations will be undertaken by a team of asset management experts and biosecurity staff.

25. Category A parks are those with high to medium kauri ecosystem value and high to medium recreational value. Selected tracks in these parks will be upgraded and/or provided with asset solutions that meet recreational outcomes and are kauri-safe. Category A parks in the Rodney Local Board area are:
   - Brick Bay Drive
   - Brick Bay Drive - Puriri Place Reserve
   - Currys Bush Reserve
   - Kowhai Park
   - Leigh Harbour Cove Walkway
   - Martins Bay Holiday Park
   - Matheson Bay Reserve (*kauri has been identified as possibly infected*)
   - McElroy Reserve
   - Omeru Scenic Reserve
   - Parry Kauri Park (*kauri has been identified as possibly infected*)
   - Duck Creek, Warkworth (*infected kauri*)

26. To protect healthy kauri and help prevent Matheson Bay Reserve and Parry Kauri Park from becoming sources of infection, recommended actions for Category A parks may include temporary closure until mitigation works are completed.

27. Investigations began in February 2019 and will be completed by April 2019. Findings will inform the development of a detailed kauri dieback mitigation programme which will be submitted to a local board business meeting in mid-2019 for consideration and approval.

28. Category B parks are those with high or medium kauri ecosystem value and low recreational value. Tracks in these parks will generally be recommended for indefinite closure. Category B parks in the local board area are:
   - Hoteo River Wayby Valley
   - Kiwitahi Road, Paehoka
   - Makarau Bridge Reserve
   - Morrison Scenic Reserve
   - Thomas Grace Scenic Reserve

29. Category C parks contain low value kauri ecosystems with high recreation values. Tracks in these parks will generally be recommended to have hygiene stations installed at strategic locations. Some track upgrades may also be required when kauri are in close proximity to the track.

30. Category D parks contain low value kauri ecosystems with low recreation values. No action will be recommended for these parks. A list of all the local parks in the local board area that contain kauri and recommended high level kauri dieback mitigation measures is appended to this report as Attachment A.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

31. The recommendations in this report have been developed through collaboration between council’s Environmental Services department, Parks, Sports and Recreation department and Community Facilities department.

32. Representatives from these key departments are working as part of a dedicated and ongoing project team to ensure that all aspects of the kauri dieback mitigation programme are undertaken in an integrated manner.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

33. In September 2018 a workshop was held with the local board on the natural environment targeted rate work programme and included discussion on kauri dieback management. The board were supportive of protecting kauri and preventing the spread of kauri dieback disease within their local board area.

34. Closing tracks in parks or reserves will have an impact on recreational activities available to communities in the local board area. Recreational assessments were undertaken to help determine the recreational value of each local park. Along with kauri ecosystem value and health status, park recreational values form the basis of the categorisation process used to determine suitable kauri dieback mitigation measures.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

35. Kauri is a keystone species that supports a distinct New Zealand forest ecosystem, sustaining indigenous flora and fauna. Kauri is a taonga species. Auckland Council, in partnership with mana whenua, have a responsibility for the protection of the spiritual, economic and ecological values associated with this taonga and the ecosystems it supports.

36. Tāmaki Makaurau mana whenua kaitiaki kaimahi representatives have stressed the importance of the kauri species and expressed a desire to work more closely with the council and the Department of Conservation. Staff will work with mana whenua on the approach to kauri dieback on a site by site basis, where appropriate.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

37. In May 2018, the Governing Body approved a natural environment targeted rate to support environmental initiatives, including addressing kauri dieback. The rate will raise $311 million over the duration of the Long-term Plan 2018-2028 (resolution GB/2018/91).

38. The costs of the mitigation measures proposed in this report will be supported by the natural environment targeted rate. Where track works are already programmed in the renewals budget, additional works required to protect kauri, such as removing muddy sections of track where kauri are at risk, will be funded by the natural environment targeted rate.

39. Detailed design work will be undertaken as part of the kauri dieback mitigation programme development for the local board area. This work will identify the level of funding required and clarify funding sources for the recommended works.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

40. Closing tracks in parks and reserves, whether temporary (until upgrade works are completed) or indefinitely (where upgrade works are not recommended) will have an impact on the recreational activities available in the local board area. This may result in additional recreational pressure on other parks and reserves.
41. To mitigate this risk, information will be provided to the public about alternative recreational activities. As part of the kauri dieback education campaign, the public will be provided with information about the reasons for the closures and the objectives of the kauri dieback mitigation programme.

42. There is also a risk of non-compliance, where mitigation measures are disregarded by the public, particularly with respect to track closures (where tracks continue to be used despite closure notices) and hygiene stations (where hygiene stations are not used, or not used correctly).

43. Risk mitigation includes the provision of appropriate information and effective implementation of track closures, including signage, physical barriers and other site-specific measures such as barrier planting.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

44. Following the local board’s decision on the recommendations provided in this report, staff will develop a kauri dieback mitigation programme for each park in the local board area. The recommended mitigation measures will include an indicative cost and delivery timeframe.

45. A report detailing the recommended kauri dieback mitigation measures for local parks in the Rodney Local Board area will be submitted to the board for decision at a mid-2019 business meeting.
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## Attachment A

**List of local parks and reserves in the Rodney Local Board area and recommended high-level kauri dieback mitigation measures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Park Name</th>
<th>Prioritisation Category</th>
<th>Kauri Dieback Status</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brick Bay Drive</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed. Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation (upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to protect kauri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick Bay Drive - Puriri Place Reserve</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed. Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation (upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to protect kauri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currys Bush Reserve</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed. Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation (upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to protect kauri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kowhai Park</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed. Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation (upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to protect kauri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leigh Harbour Cove Walkway</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed. Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation (upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to protect kauri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martins Bay Holiday Park</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed. Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation (upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to protect kauri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matheson Bay Reserve</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Possibly Infected</td>
<td>Consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed. Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation (upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to prevent park becoming a source of infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McElroy Reserve</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed. Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation (upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to protect kauri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omeru Scenic Reserve</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed. Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation (upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to protect kauri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Name</td>
<td>Prioritisation Category</td>
<td>Kauri Dieback Status</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parry Kauri Park</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Possibly Infected</td>
<td>Consider temporary closure until mitigation works are completed. Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to prevent park becoming a source of infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck Creek Warkworth</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Infected</td>
<td>Undertake detailed investigations to determine appropriate mitigation (upgrade, re-alignment, re-routing, other physical works) to prevent park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>becoming a source of infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotoo River Wayby Valley</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Possibly Infected</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiwitatih Road, Paehoka</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Possibly Infected</td>
<td>Discourage public access through barrier planting and signage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NOTE – No formal access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makarau Bridge Reserve</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Discourage public access through barrier planting and signage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison Scenic Reserve</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Infected</td>
<td>Approach adjacent landowner to arrange for removal of style.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NOTE - No public access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Grace Scenic Reserve</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NOTE - No public access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckleton Beach Reserve</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Install hygiene station(s) at strategic locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course Reserve</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huapai Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumeu River</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri is on public land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray Jones Reserve</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puhoi Cemetery</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puhoi Pioneers Memorial Park Domain</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverhead War Memorial Park</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Name</td>
<td>Prioritisation Category</td>
<td>Kauri Dieback Status</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesquicentennial Walkway</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Install hygiene station(s) at strategic locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoesmith Domain Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri present. No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whangateau Domain Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Discourage public access to the bush area through barrier planting and signage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Dennis Reserve</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri present. No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baddeleys Creek</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Rd River Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri present. No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Rd Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haururu Stream Monowai Road</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri present. No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepburn Creek Road</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahurangi River Sandspit Road</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahurangi West Road Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri present. No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildred Amy Kerr-Taylor Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>No mitigation. NOTE - No public access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohenui Stream Coatesville</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri present. No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omaha Golf Course Bush</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>No mitigation. NOTE - No public access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotary Grove (Warkworth)</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Non Symptomatic</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri present. No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamahunga Stream Leigh Road</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri present. No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>View Road Bush Reserve</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitoki Stream Kahikatea Flat Road</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri present. No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whanganpo Valley Rd Espl Reserve</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodcocks Kawaka Reserve</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>Confirm whether kauri present. No mitigation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recreational Assessment: Matheson Bay, Rodney Local Board

Site Description and Use

Matheson Bay Reserve is a busy coastal reserve, that is a visitor destination in summer. The beach front area of the reserve includes picnic areas, playground, toilets, changing facilities, car parking and a swimming pontoon. This contributes to Matheson Bay being a popular beach destination.

The future stream bank that funds every from the beach is popular with visitors and locals, as a recreational trail and off-road walking access to the beach, there is also a viewing platform which provides significant views of waterfalls and pools in the stream.

Management Plan 1998

The Management Plan sets out the key outcomes for the reserve and highlights the need to cater for visitor pressure over summer while maintaining the natural and historic character of the reserve. The Management Plan includes a development plan the summarises the outcomes sought for the reserve.

Key Active Volunteer Groups & Stakeholders

There is an active community group Matheson Bay neighbourhood association that has historically managed the reserve and has been involved in track upgrades and weed control. The group has also expressed interest in managing PTA stations.

Mara Wheroa

Te Karanga o A Maui, Ngati Manawatu

Volunteer Hours

8, this is expected to increase over the next 12 months

Service Outcome Statement

Matheson Bay provides a mixture of coastal and bush experiences that make it a visitor destination. It is important to maintain and enhance the character of the reserve so that the iconic New Zealand bush experience can be experienced by visitors.

Recreational Value Score = High

Service Outcomes:

1. Retain and enhance access to the facilities that provide for visitors to the beach, including access to open grass areas for picnicking and overflow car parking.
2. Retain and enhance the connection along Kauri Stream between high road and the beach reserve.
3. Maintain the scenic and recreational features provided along the Kauri Stream Gully.
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**Kowhai Park**

**Site Description**

Kowhai Park is separated by a stream from State Highway One on its western border, there are two bridges on the walking tracks that cross the stream. The Eastern edge of the reserve connects to a housing development and a retirement village. Recreational trails run north to south through the reserve linking the main entrance with the residential properties and there are plans for a future link to be Warkworth Showgrounds to the North. The reserve also has several historical sites, including the ruins of a lime kiln.

The reserve carpark has a dump station for motor homes and picnic area for visitor and people travelling on state Highway one.

**Management Plan 2003**

The management plan identifies the key pedestrian link between Warkworth Town Centre and the recreational facilities at the Warkworth showgrounds, as well as the recreational value provided by the trails and the passive recreation provide by the picnic area at the front of the reserve.

**Network Connections Plan – Greenways**

Identified as a greenway connection in the Puketiro Puhinui Greenways Plan map 4.

**Key Reserve and Local Linkages**

The reserve has key connections between the residential area to the east and the Warkworth Town Centre to the south. There are also plans for a new connection to the Warkworth showgrounds to the North.

**Key Active Volunteer Groups & Stakeholders**

- Warkworth Lions – Track improvements
- Warkworth War On Weeds - plant pests
- Mana Whenua
- Ngati Manukau

**Volunteer Monthly Hours**

48

**Service Outcome Statement**

Kowhai Park has two very active volunteer groups and provides a key active transport link between the showgrounds town centre and residential area. It also provides passive recreation and picnic areas to visitors to Warkworth and people travelling north on State Highway One.

**Recreational Value Score**

**Service Outcomes**

1. Maintain and enhance the walking trails to provide for active transport and recreational walking. Connections between the town centre, residential development and showgrounds are critical.
2. Provision of a passive recreation and associated amenities are to be retained at the front of the reserve.
3. The experience provided by the recreational walking trails though established native bush and historic sites is retained and improved.
Proposed kauri dieback mitigation in local parks in the Rodney Local Board area

Martins Bay Reserve is made up of three distinct parts. The Martins Bay Holiday Park which has over 200 hundred tent and caravan sites as well as some cabins. The native bush and wetlands at the rear of the reserve there are some walking tracks through this area that allow visitors to experience native bush. Finally, the foreshore area with a boat ramp, playground, changing and toilet facilities, basketball court and public carparking. The remainder of the reserve is subject to a legacy grazing arrangement.

Management Plan 2002
The management plan focuses on enhancing the native bush area and maintaining and improving the campground and the general recreational value and amenity of the main public reserve area.

Network Connections Plan – Greenways
There are some opportunities for connection identified in the Puhoi to Parkiri Greenways Plan on p. 72

Key Reserve and Local Linkages
There is a pedestrian link to Scandretts Regional park along the foreshore to the North East and with the batch community to the South.

Key Active Volunteer Groups & Stakeholders
The Auckland Council Holiday Park Team

Mana Whenua
Ngati Maniapoto

Volunteer Monthly Hours
0

Service Outcome Statement
Martins Bay is a holiday destination. Many visitors enjoy the activities and experience provided by the beach front reserve. The boat ramp and water access for recreation alongside the natural character of the location are the main experiences sought by visitors. The area of bush and associated walking tracks adds to the rural and natural experience. The Holiday Park allows for more people to experience the location.

Recreational Value Score = Medium

Service Outcomes:
1. Natural charter of the reserve is protected and visitor’s ability to connect with nature through walking tracks is maintained.
2. The recreational opportunities provided by the beach front reserve are improved to provide the best possible experience for all visitors.
3. The Holiday Park operation is supported and Martins Bays Reserve continues to be highly attractive to visitors.
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Site Description
Parry Kauri Park is an increasingly popular visitor destination, with an active community run museum. There is a well-formed car park with a public toilet and viewing platforms providing access to native bush and two significant kauri trees. The reserve is approximately 40% bush and is a good example of remnant and regenerating native ecosystem.

Management Plan 2001
The Management plan highlights the need to manage the significant Kauri, but also identifies the visitor experience and museum key parts of the reserve future.

Network Connections Plan - Greenways
Parry Kauri Park is on an existing greenway route identified in the Puhinui to Pakiri Greenway Plan Map 4.

Key Reserve and Local Linkages
There is a driveway linking the reserve car park and Museum with Thompson Road. There are also existing loop tracks with the reserve in area 1.

Key Active Volunteer Groups & Stakeholders
The Kauri Bushman’s Association
Wainuihau Museum - Liaise Holder

Mana Whenua
Ngati Manuhinu

Volunteer Monthly Hours:
38

Service Outcome Statement
The Reserve is a destination for local and international visitors who want to see mature Kauri. The well-formed car park, picnic areas and public amenities provide an attractive area for passive recreation. The Museum also attract visitors has a key educational role providing information on the history of the area.

Recreational Value Score = Medium

Service Outcomes:
1. The ability for visitor to experience remnant native bush and view mature Kauri should be maintained and enhanced.
2. Access to the reserve for passive recreation is maintained
3. The Museum activities continue to be supported.
Allocation of funding to Citizens Advice Bureaux

File No.: CP2019/03388

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To seek local board feedback on the proposed new model for allocating funding to Citizens Advice Bureaux and on increasing the baseline grant to Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated by $200,000 in 2019-2021.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. There are 32 Citizens Advice Bureaux sites in the Auckland region, operated by 11 separate Citizens Advice Bureaux organisations. Citizens Advice Bureaux staff and volunteers offer free information, advice, referral and client advisory service to local communities.
3. Local boards hold relationships with their local Citizens Advice Bureaux, which report on service usage and other matters of interest to the community.
4. In 2018/2019, council granted $2.067 million to Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated conditional on staff and Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated jointly developing a new funding model to be agreed by 1 April 2019.
5. The new model developed with Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated is population-based with a deprivation factor to reflect communities with high needs and access constraints.
6. Feedback is sought from the local board on the new funding model and the retention of the additional $200,000 to baseline funding of $1.867 million provided to Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated in 2018/2019.
7. Local board feedback will be included in the report to the Environment and Community Committee in May 2019 to approve a new funding model for Citizens Advice Bureaux.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:
a) approve feedback on the new model for allocating funding to Citizens Advice Bureaux (based on 90 per cent population and 10 per cent deprivation) and on increasing the baseline grant to Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated by $200,000 in 2019-2021 (refer to Attachment A to the agenda report).

Horopaki

Context
8. Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated (ACABx) was established in 2012 to provide a support structure for funding from council and other bodies and the development of a strategic direction for the service in the Auckland region.
9. Currently there are 32 Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux (CAB) sites in 18 local board areas (refer Attachment B to the agenda report). There are no sites in Franklin, Great Barrier and Upper Harbour.
10. Auckland CAB are members of ACABx and Citizens Advice Bureau New Zealand (CABNZ). The role of CABNZ is to support membership standards and influence development of social policies and services at a national level.

11. In 2018, a joint working group of council staff and ACABx was established to develop a new funding model for Auckland CAB.

**Auckland Council funding of CAB**

12. In 2018/2019, council granted $1.867 million to ACABx, which distributes funding to CAB to provide services across Auckland.

13. Through council’s 10-year Budget 2018-2028, an additional one-off grant of $200,000 was approved to maintain and develop the service in the 2018-2019 financial year (resolution number GB/2018/91). The ACABx board have distributed $90,300 of this grant to bureaux facing financial pressure (Helensville, CAB Auckland City, Māngere). The remaining funds will be used to test new ways of delivering the service and develop a regional network provision plan.

14. Council provides accommodation for CAB at minimal cost under community lease arrangements, which is equivalent to a $641,000 subsidy per annum. In addition to the funding through ACABx, some local boards also provide grants to CAB for specific purposes.

15. The Environment and Community Committee set four conditions for the 2018/2019 operational grant, as shown in Table 1 (resolution number ENV/2018/48).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding condition</th>
<th>Progress to date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A joint review between Auckland Council and ACABx of the funding model | • Joint working group established  
• Considered what to include in the funding model  
• Agreed a new funding model and transitional arrangements |
| Updated Strategic Relationship Agreement to include the development of a regional network provision plan | • Revised Strategic Relationship Agreement signed between Auckland Council and ACABx with scoping and timeline for regional network provision planning to be agreed by June 2019 |
| Improved reporting and access to consistent data on the service provided at regional and site level | • Council, CABNZ and ACABx jointly developed new report templates for CAB to provide data and commentary on their activities  
• CABNZ is currently rolling out an improved database and data collection system (CABNET) which will impact the Q3/Q4 reports for 2018/2019 |
| Strengthened and more strategic relationships between local boards and CAB | • The revised Strategic Relationship Agreement sets out guidance on the relationship between local boards and CAB |

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

**Current funding model**

16. The current model allocates the funding received by ACABx to sub-regional clusters of CAB based on 2013 census population data.
17. The current funding model was implemented by ACABx with support from council as an interim approach to transition from legacy council funding to regional funding.

Council staff model
18. In April 2016, the Regional Strategy and Policy Committee resolved to:

seek information from staff regarding a review of the service after consultation with the 21 local boards on the issues raised by the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board regarding Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporate funding, to achieve greater equity and fairness, taking into consideration social issues in local communities across Auckland (resolution number REG/2016/22).

19. A review of CAB services was undertaken, and council staff developed a revised funding allocation model using 70 per cent population, 25 per cent client usage, and five per cent deprivation. This model responded to the review findings and focused on funding to achieve greater equity and fairness.

20. This model was not supported by ACABx who strongly objected to the introduction of client usage as a funding factor.

New model from joint working group
21. The joint working group have developed a new funding model for bureaux using:

- 90 per cent population, using annual Statistics New Zealand population estimates
- 10 per cent deprivation to increase the capacity of CAB to meet the complex needs of communities with higher levels of deprivation. This will support the development of new service approaches to increase the access to CAB services within these communities.

22. ACABx advise that this model will be difficult to implement at the current funding level as funding will be reduced to CAB in the north, central and Ōtara. Some CAB are experiencing financial pressure and any decline in funding would lead to a significant reduction in services.

23. If the annual grant of $1.867 million is increased by $200,000 per annum, ACABx have indicated that the new funding model could be implemented without service reductions if bureaux continue to receive funding at the 2018-2019 level at a minimum. To further moderate the redistribution impacts of the new funding model at a bureau level, population-based increases in funding would be capped at 10 per cent for 2019-2021.

24. The application of the new funding model is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: New funding model allocations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bureau</th>
<th>2018/2019 Actual</th>
<th>New model (current funding)</th>
<th>New model (plus $200,000)</th>
<th>Percentage difference between 2018/2019 Actual and New model plus $200,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helensville</td>
<td>$40,365</td>
<td>$36,872</td>
<td>$40,365</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus Coast</td>
<td>$51,712</td>
<td>$58,713</td>
<td>$58,920</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellsford</td>
<td>$38,298</td>
<td>$36,770</td>
<td>$38,298</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB North Shore (5 sites)</td>
<td>$309,030</td>
<td>$250,153</td>
<td>$309,030</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaiCAB (5 sites)</td>
<td>$298,746</td>
<td>$300,401</td>
<td>$344,999</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABAC (10 sites)</td>
<td>$499,901</td>
<td>$487,965</td>
<td>$553,597</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māngere (3 sites)</td>
<td>$169,849</td>
<td>$179,162</td>
<td>$190,494</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manurewa (2)</td>
<td>$82,775</td>
<td>$114,454</td>
<td>$118,217</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau</td>
<td>2018/2019 Actual</td>
<td>New model (current funding)</td>
<td>New model (plus $200,000)</td>
<td>Percentage difference between 2018/2019 Actual and New model plus $200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ōtara</td>
<td>$86,927</td>
<td>$78,215</td>
<td>$86,927</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakuranga</td>
<td>$98,662</td>
<td>$109,188</td>
<td>$109,262</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papakura</td>
<td>$86,471</td>
<td>$110,844</td>
<td>$112,628</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,762,737</td>
<td>$1,762,737</td>
<td>$1,962,737</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Based on 2018-2019 funding allocated. Excludes provision for premises lease payments to Auckland Council and ACABx fee (2 per cent)

25. The new funding model developed by the joint working group is the preferred model for implementation in 2019-2021. During this period, the regional network provision plan will be developed, and council’s level of investment can be confirmed and provided for as part of the 10-year Budget 2021-2031.

   - Focus area two – Accessible services and social and cultural infrastructure that are responsive in meeting people’s evolving needs
   - Focus area six – Focusing the council’s investment to address disparities and serve communities of greatest need.

27. The criteria applied to assess the models were developed by the joint working group. Consideration is given to equity, responsiveness to population growth and community need. Under the Auckland Plan 2050, adopting an equitable approach means prioritising the most vulnerable groups and communities to achieve more equitable outcomes. An assessment of the funding allocation model is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Funding model assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Current model</th>
<th>Council staff model</th>
<th>New model from joint working group (preferred model)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population growth</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community need</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>ACABx used the current funding model to transition to regional funding from legacy arrangements</td>
<td>Uses latest population estimates to reflect future growth Deprivation factor supports equity for populations with complex issues and access constraints Client usage as a proxy indicator of</td>
<td>More equitable across Auckland with population-based funding covering all the region except Great Barrier Island Uses latest population estimates to reflect future growth Targeted deprivation factor (NZDep2013, deciles 8-10) supports equity for populations with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td>Current model</td>
<td>Council staff model</td>
<td>New model from joint working group (preferred model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ does not meet</td>
<td>✓ partially meets</td>
<td>✓ fully meets</td>
<td>community need which takes into consideration that service users do not necessarily just access services in the area they live</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disadvantages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current model</th>
<th>Council staff model</th>
<th>New model from joint working group (preferred model)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The legacy cluster funding arrangements do not provide for service development</td>
<td>Not supported by ACABx because client usage data does not consider the range of complexity in interactions from simple information requests to lengthy interviews Redistribution of CAB funding within current funding envelope could lead to service reduction unless alternative funding is sourced</td>
<td>Redistribution of bureaux funding within current funding envelope could lead to service reduction unless alternative funding is sourced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relies on 2013 census data</td>
<td>Redistribution of CAB funding within current funding envelope could lead to service reduction unless alternative funding is sourced</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not target funding for populations with complex issues and access constraints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28. A comparative overview of the funding models is provided in Attachment C to the agenda report.

29. ACABx support the new model conditional on the retention of the additional 2018-2019 one-off funding of $200,000 as part of the baseline operational grant. This increased funding level would ensure that no CAB would lose funding when the model is implemented in 2019-2020. Increased funding enables the inclusion of the Franklin population in the new funding model.

30. ACABx has indicated that the funding for deprivation will be allocated to CAB for initiatives to enhance access to services and to trial the development of new service models.

31. Staff support the new model as it starts to address equitable services across Auckland through population-based funding and targeted deprivation. The model provides a platform for future service growth and the development of a regional network provision plan for CAB services.

32. Staff support the addition of $200,000 to the ACABx baseline grant from 2019-2020 to implement the new model and extend service funding across the region. All CAB will receive their current or increased level of funding if council increases baseline funding by $200,000.
33. Council staff from across the business work cooperatively on matters concerning CAB. Council departments and units that were consulted on the new model and involved in regional network provision planning include:

- Community Facilities and Community Places – administration of the leases for CAB premises and facility operation
- Libraries and Information – some CABs are co-located with libraries
- Service, Strategy and Integration – expertise in service design and integrating service offers.

34. Local boards provided input to the 2017 review of CAB services, which has informed the development of the new funding model. Local boards generally agreed that a higher level of funding was required to maintain services, and that any funding model needed to consider the area’s demographics and other needs in addition to overall population.

35. Overall, local boards reported that they had a good relationship with bureaux, that they were providing valuable, wide-ranging services to the community, and that there was room to improve reporting, service responsiveness and equity of funding.

36. Feedback is sought from the local board on the proposed new funding model and the retention of the additional $200,000 to baseline funding of $1.867 million provided to ACABx in 2018-2019.

37. Local board feedback will be included in the report to the Environment and Community Committee in May 2019 to approve a new funding model for CAB. The report will also reflect views on the implementation of the new model from ACABx consultation with CAB.

38. Citizens Advice Bureaux services are available to all, and there is currently limited targeting of services to groups, including Māori.

39. Staff and ACABx have worked together on development of the new model and no specific engagement with Māori was undertaken.

40. It is recommended that the additional $200,000 is retained in the ACABx baseline grant, bringing the annual total to $2.067 million in 2019-2021. This excludes any consumer price index adjustment.

41. The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board has provided funding from 2015-2018 to Māngere CAB to operate a CAB agency in Ōtāhuhu. Reliance on the local board funding would reduce under the new model, as the Māngere CAB would receive increased funding of 12 per cent if the $200,000 is retained in the ACABx baseline grant.

42. In applying the new model without the retention of the additional $200,000, funding to the following CAB would decline:

- Helensville (-nine per cent)
• Wellsford (-four per cent)
• CAB North Shore (-19 per cent)
• CAB Auckland City (-two per cent)
• Ōtara (-10 per cent).

43. If the grant is increased by $200,000 per annum, no CAB would face a reduction in funding.

44. There is a risk of reduction in CAB services in some communities if funding declines to bureaux. To mitigate this risk, it is recommended that the additional $200,000 is retained.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

45. Local board feedback will be included in a report to the Environment and Community Committee in May 2019 to approve a funding model.

46. Staff will work with ACABx to scope a regional network provision plan project by June 2019. The plan development will involve CAB and other stakeholders in setting the direction for the CAB service from 2021 onwards.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Local board feedback template</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Map of CAB locations by local board</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Comparative overview of funding models</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
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<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment A - Request for local board for feedback

Citizens Advice Bureau (CAB) Funding allocation model - February 2019

Local Board

Please provide feedback on the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>The basis of funding allocation, including the population estimates and deprivation index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Bureau areas served (eg alignment with local board boundaries)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Other local funding considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Any other local feedback regarding CABs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For further information or questions, please contact:

Paul Prestidge
Specialist Advisor
Community Empowerment Unit
Ph 0226761409
Email paul.prestidge@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Attachment B

Map of bureaux locations by local board

CAB network in Auckland

Citizens Advice Bureau NZ

Auckland Citizens Advice Bureaux Incorporated (ACABs)
### Attachment C

#### Comparative overview of funding models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Current model</th>
<th>Council staff model</th>
<th>New model from joint working group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date</strong></td>
<td>2013-current</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basis of allocation and data sources</strong></td>
<td>100% Population (Census 2013)</td>
<td>70% Population (Annual population estimates - Statistics NZ) 25% Client usage (Bureau statistics report) 5% Deprivation (Dep13 Deprivation index)</td>
<td>90% Population (Annual population estimates - Statistics NZ) 10% Deprivation (Dep13 Deprivation index Dep. B-10, targets 30% highest level deprivation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model coverage</strong></td>
<td>Excludes Franklin and Great Barrier Island</td>
<td>Excludes Great Barrier Island</td>
<td>Excludes Great Barrier Island</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Local board boundaries**         | Local board boundaries to cluster level  
 Hibiscus and Bays – split between clusters 1 and 2  
 Upper Harbour – in cluster 2  
 ACARE maintains historic funding relativities between bureaux in clusters 1, 6 | Local board boundaries to cluster level  
 Hibiscus and Bays – split between clusters 1 and 2  
 Upper Harbour – 50:50 split between clusters 2 and 3  
 Franklin – included in cluster 6 | Local board boundaries and board subdivisions where applicable to individual bureau level  
 Hibiscus and Bays – split between clusters 1 and 2  
 Upper Harbour – split at Greentree bridge between 2 and 3  
 Howick – south west area to Ōtaua; balance to Pakuranga  
 Franklin – north east area to Pakuranga; balance to Papakura |
2019 Local Government New Zealand Conference and Annual General Meeting

File No.: CP2019/02330

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To inform local boards about the Local Government New Zealand Conference and Annual General Meeting in Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington, from Sunday 7 July to Tuesday 9 July 2019, and to invite local boards to nominate elected members to attend.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Local Government New Zealand Conference and Annual General Meeting takes place at the TSB Arena, Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington from 1.30pm Sunday 7 July to 12.30pm on Tuesday 9 July 2019.

3. Local board members are invited to attend the conference. As the venue for 2019 is in Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington and given the cost of elected member attendance, staff recommend that one member per local board attend.

4. The Governing Body can select up to five Governing Body members to attend the conference.

5. In addition to the official delegates, Local Government New Zealand requires prior notice of which local board members plan to attend the Annual General Meeting. Members wishing to attend are asked to register their intention with the Kura Kāwana programme by Friday 12 April 2019 so that this information can be provided to Local Government New Zealand.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:

a) nominate one elected member to attend the Local Government New Zealand 2019 Conference and Annual General Meeting in Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington, from Sunday 7 July to Tuesday 9 July 2019.

b) confirm that conference attendance, including travel and accommodation, will be paid for in accordance with the current Auckland Council Elected Member Expense Policy.

c) note that any members who wish to attend the Annual General Meeting must provide their names to the Kura Kāwana programme team by Friday 12 April 2019 to ensure that they are registered with Local Government New Zealand.

Horopaki
Context
6. This year, the Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) conference and Annual General Meeting (AGM) will be held at the TSB Arena, Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington, from Sunday 7 July to Tuesday 9 July 2019. The AGM will commence at 1.30pm on Sunday 7 July 2018, with the conference programme commencing at 4.30pm on that day and concluding at 12.30pm on Tuesday 9 July 2019.
7. The conference programme has the theme ‘Riding the localism wave: Putting communities in charge’. The high-level programme is attached (refer Attachment A).

8. The AGM takes place on the first day of the conference. The LGNZ constitution permits the Auckland Council to appoint four delegates to represent it at the AGM, with one of the delegates being appointed as presiding delegate.

9. Elected members who hold LGNZ roles are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mayor Phil Goff</th>
<th>Metro Sector representative on the National Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Penny Hulse</td>
<td>Chair of Zone One and Zone One representative on National Council, Member Conference Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore</td>
<td>Auckland Council representative on Regional Sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Wayne Walker</td>
<td>Auckland Council representative on Zone One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Alf Filipaina</td>
<td>LGNZ Te Maruata Roopu Whakahaere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Councillor Richard Hills</td>
<td>Member Policy Advisory Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitematā Local Board Chair Pippa Coom</td>
<td>Member Governance and Strategy Advisory Group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Traditionally the four AGM delegates have been the Mayor, the Chief Executive and two Governing Body members who hold LGNZ roles.

11. The Governing Body will consider an item on AGM attendance at its meeting on 28 March 2019 which includes the recommendation that Mayor Phil Goff be the presiding delegate and the other three delegates be comprised of either:

- two members of the Governing Body who hold a formal representation role with LGNZ and the Chief Executive, or
- one member of the Governing Body who holds a formal representation role with LGNZ and the Chief Executive, and a local board member.

12. Delegates in 2018 were:

- Mayor Phil Goff
- Deputy Mayor Bill Cashmore
- Councillor Penny Hulse
- Local Board Chairperson Pippa Coom.

Tātoritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

13. Local board members are invited to attend the conference. As the venue for 2019 is in Te Whanganui-a-Tara Wellington and given the cost of elected member attendance, it is recommended that one member per local board attend.

14. This means that a maximum of 26 Auckland Council elected members would attend the conference.

15. Delegates who attend are encouraged to report back to their local boards.
16. In addition, local board members can attend the AGM as observers, or as a delegate (depending on the Governing Body decision), provided their names are included on the AGM registration form, which will be signed by the Mayor.

17. LGNZ requires prior notice of which local board members plan to attend the AGM. Members wishing to attend are asked to register their intention with the Kura Kāwana programme by Friday 12 April 2019 so that this information can be collated and provided to LGNZ.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
18. The Governing Body will also consider an item on conference attendance at its meeting on 28 March 2019 which includes the recommendations that the Mayor is appointed presiding delegate to the AGM and that three other delegates be appointed (one of which may be a local board member). It is recommended that these delegates also attend the LGNZ conference, along with any other Governing Body members up to a total of five attendees.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
19. The LGNZ Conference has relevance to local board members and their specific roles and responsibilities and is in line with the purpose provided for in the elected member development budget.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
20. The LGNZ National Council has a sub-committee, Te Maruata, which has the role of promoting increased representation of Māori as elected members of local government, and of enhancing Māori participation in local government processes. It also provides support for councils in building relationships with iwi, hapu and Māori groups. Te Maruata provides Māori input on development of future policies or legislation relating to local government. Councillor Alf Filipaina is a member of the sub-committee. Te Maruata will hold a hui on 6 July 2019.

21. Any local board member who identifies as Maori on the local board can request to attend the Te Maruata hui on the 6th July 2019. Any request for funding to attend the hui would need to be submitted to the General Manager Local Board Services for consideration and approval. If approved, attendance would be funded from the elected member’s development budget.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
22. The normal registration rate is $1410 (early bird) or $1510 (standard).

23. Costs of attendance for one member from each local board are to be met from the elected members’ development budget, as managed by the Kura Kāwana Programme.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
24. The key risk is of delayed decision-making impacting costs and registration choices.

25. The sooner the registration for the nominated local board member can be made, the more likely it is that Auckland Council can take advantage of early bird pricing for the conference and flights, all done via bulk booking.

26. Delayed information may also impact registration into preferred conference streams or events.
Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

27. Once members are confirmed to attend, the Kura Kāwana programme will co-ordinate and book all conference registrations, as well as requests to attend the AGM.

Ngā tāpirihanga
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<table>
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<tr>
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<th>Page</th>
</tr>
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Appendix A
LGNZ 2019 Conference and AGM Programme

Sunday 7 July 2019

Registration desk open
9:30am
Barista coffee available at the Connect Lounge in the exhibition hall from 2.00pm
Kindly sponsored by Fonterra

Council hosted tours
10.00am
- Laneway Discovery
- Craft Beer Tour
- Mountain biking Adventure
- Weta Studio Tour
- Hangi Lunch at Karaka Cafe (from 12.15pm)

Ticketed event. For more information click here.

National Council meeting
10.30am
followed by lunch
Members only

Young Elected Members catch up
11.00am
Members only

Local Government New Zealand AGM
1.30pm
followed by a photo of Mayors and Chairs
Members only

Afternoon tea
3.30pm

Powhiri and hui opening ceremony
4.30pm
Justin Lester, Host Mayor, Wellington City Council

Government's address
5.00pm

LGNZ President's address
5.15pm
Dave Cull, President, LGNZ

Te Maruata address
5.30pm
Cr Bonita Bigham, Chair, Te Maruata
Opening keynote
5.40pm
Local leader to talk about Maori's view on localism:
Hon Dame Tariana Turia, former Leader of the Maori Party

Welcome from Simpson Grierson
6.10pm
Jonathan Salter, Partner, Simpson Grierson

Simpson Grierson Welcome Reception
6.30pm
Te Papa

Optional - Showcasing Wellington’s progressiveness
7.30pm - 10.30pm
A progressive dinner at three of Wellington’s renowned culinary establishments within Courtenay Place, the Laneeways and Cuba St.
Ticketed event. For further information click here.

Monday 8 July 2019

Breakfast session
7.00am Lisa King, Founder, Eat My Lunch and social entrepreneur
Ticketed event. For further information click here

Registration desk open
7.30am Barista coffee available
Kindly sponsored by Fonterra

Master of Ceremonies
Patrick Gower

Localism: No.8 wire fixes to New Zealand's challenges
8.35am Tania Tapsell, Councillor, Rotorua Lakes Council
Dr Lance O’Sullivan, founder and chair of The MOKO Foundation

Localism - what’s your natural advantage?
Incentivising communities to take a more proactive approach to local development
9.30am Christopher Luxon, Chief Executive Officer, Air New Zealand
Kindly sponsored by NZ Transport Agency

Morning tea
10.15am

Climate change - a stitch in time
11.00am Alternative thinking to mitigating and adapting to the changing climate.
John Mauro, Chief Sustainability Officer, Auckland Council
Leader of the Opposition’s address
11.45am
The Leader of the Opposition will present his party’s view on localism and response to LGNZ’s localism discussion paper.
Hon Simon Bridges, Leader, National Party

12.00pm Zone of Origin' crocodile bike race

12.30pm Lunch

1.15pm Have you drunk the central government Kool-Aid?
An ex-local government back bencher view on localism.

1.45pm Minister of Local Government address
Hon Nanaia Mahuta, Minister of Local Government

Housing and building in New Zealand - can we fix it?
Ensuring our infrastructure is not just fit-for-purpose but supports sustained improvement in the quality of life for all New Zealanders, now and into the future.
Justin Lester, Mayor, Wellington City Council
Adrian Orr, Governor, Reserve Bank of New Zealand
Kindly sponsored by Chorus

2.30pm Afternoon tea

Walkshops
Wananga hikoi
Delegates choose one workshop tour to attend
Social well-being
- Housing affordability: Making it happen
Economic well-being
- Transforming the way local government works digitally
Environmental well-being
- Bringing back our native flora and fauna
Cultural well-being
- What the arts and cultural well-being means for communities
For further information click here

2.45pm End of conference day

Conference dinner and LGNZ EXCELLENCE Awards

4.45pm TSB Bank Arena
Ticketed event. For further information click here
Tuesday 9 July 2019

Registration desk open
8.00am Barista coffee available
Kindly sponsored by Fonterra

9.00am Master of Ceremonies
Patrick Gower

Managing fresh water - best practice, breakthroughs in innovation
and barriers
We all have a role to play - the government, the farming sector, the
businesses who use freshwater, communities and individuals - and if we
all work together we can make a big difference.
Doug Leeder, Chair, LGNZ Regional Group
Terry Copeland, Chief Executive, Federated Farmers
Kindly sponsored by GHD

9.15am Morning tea

Out of the Shadows
Raising awareness of, and community support for, a greater investment
in your community’s cultural and natural heritage.

10.00am Natalie Palmer, Communications and Marketing Manager, Hamilton City
Council
2019 Fulton Hogan EXCELLENCE Award for Community Engagement
recipient - Out of the Shadows: Bringing Waipa’s heritage to life.

Creating change: Inspiring leadership in local communities
11.30am Leadership in our communities
Colin D Ellis

12.15pm Early bird registration prize draw
Kindly sponsored by New Zealand Motor Caravan Association

12.20pm Poroporoaki | Closing ceremony

12.30pm Lunch

Member meetings Members only
1.30pm - 3.30pm
• Mayors Taskforce for Jobs AGM
• Regional Sector meeting
• Young Elected Members meeting
Addition to the 2019 Rodney Local Board Business Meeting Schedule

File No.: CP2019/02270

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek approval for a meeting date to be added to the 2019 Rodney Local Board meeting schedule in order to accommodate the Local Board Agreement 2019/2020 and the fees and charges schedule.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Rodney Local Board adopted the 2019 meeting schedule on 17 October 2018.
3. At that time the specific times and dates for meetings for the local board agreement 2019/2020 were unknown.
4. The local board is being asked to approve a meeting date as an addition to the Rodney Local Board meeting schedule to adopt the local board agreement 2019/2020 and the fees and charges schedule.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:
a) approve the meeting date of Thursday, 6 June 2019 at 8.30am be added to the 2019 Rodney Local Board meeting schedule to adopt the local board agreement 2019/2020 and the fees and charges schedule.

Horopaki
Context
5. The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) and the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA) have requirements regarding local board meeting schedules.
6. In summary, adopting a meeting schedule helps meet the requirements of:
   - clause 19, Schedule 7 of the LGA on general provisions for meetings, which requires the chief executive to give notice in writing to each local board member of the time and place of meetings. Such notification may be provided by the adoption of a schedule of business meetings.
   - sections 46, 46(A) and 47 in Part 7 of the LGOIMA, which requires that meetings are publicly notified, agendas and reports are available at least two working days before a meeting and that local board meetings are open to the public.
7. The Rodney Local Board adopted its business meeting schedule at its Thursday 18 October 2018 business meeting.
8. The timeframes for local board decision making in relation to the local board agreement which is part of the 10-year Budget 2018-28 were unavailable when the meeting schedule was originally adopted.
9. The local board is being asked to make decisions in early May and early June to feed into the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 process. These timeframes are outside the local board’s normal meeting cycle.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

10. The local board has two choices:
   i) add the meeting as additions to the meeting schedule.
   or
   ii) add the meeting as an extraordinary meeting.

11. For option one, statutory requirements allow enough time for these meetings to be scheduled as additions to the meeting schedule and other topics may be considered as per any other ordinary meeting. However, there is a risk that if the local board agreement 2019/2020 and fees and charges schedule change or the information is not ready for the meeting there would need to be an additional extraordinary meeting scheduled anyway.

12. For option two, only the specific topic local board agreement 2019/2020 and fees and charges schedule may be considered for which the meeting is being held. There is a risk that no other policies or plans with similar timeframes or running in relation to the local board agreement 2019/2020 and fees and charges schedule process could be considered at this meeting.

13. Since there is enough time to meet statutory requirements, staff recommend approving this meeting as an addition to the meeting schedule as it allows more flexibility for the local board to consider a range of issues.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

14. This report requests the local board’s decision to schedule an additional meeting and consider whether to approve it as an extraordinary meeting or an addition to the meeting schedule.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

15. There is no specific impact for Māori arising from this report. Local boards work with Māori on projects and initiatives of shared interest.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

16. There are no financial implications in relation to this report apart from the standard costs associated with servicing a business meeting.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

17. There are no significant risks associated with this report.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

18. Implement the processes associated with preparing for business meetings.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Robyn Joynes - Democracy Advisor - Rodney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New road names in the C and R Property Development subdivision at 217 Matua Road, Huapai

File No.: CP2019/02466

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To approve new road names in the C and R Property Development subdivision at 217 Matua Road, Huapai.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.

3. The applicant, C and R Property Development, has submitted the following preferred names for the new public road and jointly owned access lot serving the new subdivision at 217 Matua Road, Huapai.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Road</th>
<th>Jointly Owned Access Lot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McIndoe Road</td>
<td>Cutfield Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(preferred)</td>
<td>(preferred)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hainsworth Road</td>
<td>Doleman Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(alternative)</td>
<td>(alternative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norton Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(alternatives)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. The following three existing roads are proposed to be extended with their existing names being used.
   - Lewis Younie Road
   - Madden Avenue
   - Lockyer Road

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) approve:
   i) the name McIndoe Road for a new public road;
   ii) the name Cutfield Lane for a jointly owned access lot; and,
   iii) the extensions of Lewis Younie Road, Madden Avenue and Lockyer Road

for the C and R Property Development subdivision at 217 Matua Road, Huapai, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 and as referenced in Attachment A and B to the agenda report.
Horopaki

Context

5. The staged subdivision into 114 residential lots at Huapai has been approved and the council reference is BUN60066552.

6. A condition of the subdivision consent was to suggest to council names for the new roads.

7. In accordance with the national addressing standard, the jointly owned access lot requires a road name as it serve more than five lots.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu

Analysis and advice

8. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road names for the local board’s approval.

9. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect:
   - A historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   - A particular landscape, environment or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   - An existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.
   - The use of Māori names is actively encouraged.

10. The applicant has submitted the following names for consideration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McIndoe Road</td>
<td>Private Albert McIndoe left for overseas service in WW1 in 1915 with the NZEF 3rd Reinforcements Infantry Battalion. Listed on Waimauku WW1 Memorial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Names</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hainsworth Road</td>
<td>Hainsworth and Norton fought in WW1. Listed on Waimauku WW1 Memorial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norton Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferred Name</td>
<td>Meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutfield Lane</td>
<td>Trooper Gerald Cutfield left for overseas service in WW1 in 1914 with the Auckland Mounted Rifles. Listed on Waimauku WW1 Memorial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Names</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doleman Lane</td>
<td>No information available.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. It is considered that the three roads to be extended should retain their existing names.

12. The adjoining roads to the east have been named after World War One soldiers from the Waimauku area so the proposed names are in keeping with this theme.

13. The Road Naming Guidelines require that an effort be made to contact family members to gain their support for the use of the chosen names.

14. The applicant has written to the RSA in Waimauku but has not had a reply from them.

15. The applicant has searched the names on the Auckland Museum War Memorial cenotaph and the New Zealand War Grave Project but has not found any family information.
16. The officer considers that sufficient effort has been made to find living relatives to gain their approval for the use of the names.

17. The applicant has contacted Ngati Whatua o Kaipara and sought their comment. Iwi supports the proposed names.

18. The officer acknowledges that where possible the use of Maori names is encouraged in the Auckland Plan.

19. Land Information New Zealand has confirmed that the proposed road names are unique and acceptable.

20. The proposed names are deemed to meet the council’s road naming guidelines and the officer’s recommendation is to approve the applicant’s choice.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

21. The decision sought for this report does not trigger the significance policy and is not considered to have any immediate impacts on any council groups.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

22. The decision sought for this report does not trigger the significance policy and is not considered to have any immediate impacts on the community.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

23. The applicant has consulted with local iwi, Ngati Whatua O Kaipara, who have given their support to the new names.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

24. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

25. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

26. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand which records them on its New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>217 Matua Road Scheme Plan</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>217 Matua Road Locality Map</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Ngā kaihaina
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Trevor Cullen - Team Leader Subdivision</td>
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New road names in the C and R Property Development subdivision at 217 Matua Road, Huapai
New road names in the Adams Development Limited subdivision at 335 Taupaki Road, Taupaki

File No.: CP2019/02469

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To approve new road names in the Adams Development Limited subdivision at 335 Taupaki Road, Taupaki.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.
3. The applicant, Adams Development Limited, has submitted the following names for the two jointly owned access lots serving the new subdivision at 335 Taupaki Road, Taupaki.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Names</th>
<th>Alternative Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EJ Osborne Lane</td>
<td>Hanoverian Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livery Lane</td>
<td>Bascule Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bridle Lane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:

a) approve the new road names EJ Osborne Lane and Livery Lane, for the Adams Development Limited subdivision at 335 Taupaki Road, Taupaki, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 and as referenced in Attachment A and B to the agenda report.

Horopaki
Context
4. The staged subdivision into 14 residential lots at Taupaki has been approved and the council reference is BUN60307171.
5. A condition of the subdivision consent was to suggest to council names for the new roads.
6. In accordance with the national addressing standard, the jointly owned access lots require a road name as they each serve more than five lots.
7. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road names for the local board’s approval.

8. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect:
   - A historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   - A particular landscape, environment or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   - An existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.
   - The use of Māori names is actively encouraged

9. The applicant has submitted the following names for consideration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EJ Osborne Lane</td>
<td>EJ Osborne historically purchased the land, reclaimed it and farmed it. He was the last owner’s father.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livery Lane</td>
<td>The property was once a livery stable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Names</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hanoverian Lane</td>
<td>Name from the Hanoverian breed of horse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bascule Lane</td>
<td>A bascule is a horse jump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridle Lane</td>
<td>A bridle helps to steer the horse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. As the property was largely used as horse livery stables, the proposed road names relate to equine activity and the historical owner of the property.

11. The last owner wished to honour his deceased father for his dedication to the land by having his name as one of the road names.

12. The applicant has contacted all relevant iwi for their comments and the only ones who replied deferred to Ngati Whatua O Kaipara, who did not reply.

13. The officer acknowledges that where possible the use of Maori names is encouraged in the Auckland Plan.

14. Land Information New Zealand has confirmed that the proposed road names are unique and acceptable.

15. The proposed names are deemed to meet the council’s road naming guidelines and the officer’s recommendation is to approve the applicant’s choice.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

16. The decision sought for this report does not trigger the significance policy and is not considered to have any immediate impacts on any council groups.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

17. The decision sought for this report does not trigger the significance policy and is not considered to have any immediate impacts on the community.
18. The applicant has written to all local iwi, and those who responded say they defer to Ngati Whatua O Kaipara, who did not respond.

19. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

20. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process with consultation being a key part of the process.

21. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand which records them on its New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>335 Taupaki Road Locality Map</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>335 Taupaki Road Scheme Plan</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frank Lovering - Senior Subdivision Advisor

Trevor Cullen - Team Leader Subdivision
Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager
New road names in the Adams Development Limited subdivision at 335 Taupaki Road, Taupaki

335 Taupaki Road Locality Map
New road names in the No 82 Limited subdivision at 82 Falls Road, Warkworth

File No.: CP2019/02472

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To approve new road names in the No. 82 Limited subdivision at 82 Falls Road, Warkworth.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Auckland Council has road naming guidelines that set out the requirements and criteria of the council for proposed road names. These requirements and criteria have been applied in this situation to ensure consistency of road naming across the Auckland Region.
3. The applicant, No 82 Limited, has submitted the following preferred names for the new public roads and jointly owned access lot serving the new subdivision at 82 Falls Road, Warkworth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public road one</th>
<th>Public road two</th>
<th>Jointly owned access lot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Champers Way (preferred)</td>
<td>Alfred Peter Crescent (preferred)</td>
<td>Hikuwai Lane (preferred)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culley Way (alternative)</td>
<td>Rosalie Crescent (alternative)</td>
<td>Awaawa Lane (alternative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camelia Way (alternative)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:

a) approve the two new road names Champers Way and Alfred Peter Crescent, and the name Hikuwai Lane for a jointly owned access lot, for the No 82 Limited subdivision at 82 Falls Road, Warkworth, in accordance with section 319(1)(j) of the Local Government Act 1974 and as referenced in Attachment A and B to the agenda report.

Horopaki
Context
4. The subdivision into 33 residential lots at Warkworth has been approved and the council reference is BUN60304636.
5. A condition of the subdivision consent was to suggest to council names for the new roads.
6. In accordance with the national addressing standard, the jointly owned access lot requires a road name as it serve more than five lots.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

7. The Auckland Council Road Naming Guidelines allow that where a new road needs to be named as a result of a subdivision or development, the subdivider/developer shall be given the opportunity of suggesting their preferred new road names for the local board’s approval.

8. Auckland Council’s road naming criteria typically require that road names reflect:
   - A historical or ancestral linkage to an area;
   - A particular landscape, environment or biodiversity theme or feature; or
   - An existing (or introduced) thematic identity in the area.
   - The use of Māori names is actively encouraged.

9. The applicant has submitted the following names for consideration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Champers Way</td>
<td>Name with a special meaning to the developer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Names</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Culley Way</td>
<td>Long-standing family name from Warkworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camelia Way</td>
<td>A plant to be used through the development to make it aesthetically pleasing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alfred Peter Crescent</td>
<td>A relative of the developer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosalie Crescent</td>
<td>A relative of the developer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hikuwai Lane</td>
<td>Source of stream of Ngati Manuhiri (referring to iwi sacred awa (stream))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Name</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Awaawa Lane</td>
<td>Referring to the stream connections from Mahurangi to Warkworth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. The names Hikuwai and Awaawa, offered by iwi, relate to the streams that run across Falls Road. The streams run from Mahurangi to Warkworth and out to the central and northern Hauraki Gulf. Iwi understands the reasoning behind the developer’s name choices but would prefer an iwi name in this area.

11. The officer acknowledges that where possible the use of Maori names is encouraged in the Auckland Plan.

12. Land Information New Zealand has confirmed that the proposed road names are unique and acceptable.

13. The proposed names are deemed to meet the council’s road naming guidelines and the officer’s recommendation is to approve the applicant’s choice.
Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
14. The decision sought for this report does not trigger the significance policy and is not considered to have any immediate impacts on any council groups.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
15. The decision sought for this report does not trigger the significance policy and is not considered to have any immediate impacts on the community.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
16. The applicant has consulted with local iwi, Ngati Manuhiri, who understands the developer’s choice of the proposed names but have offered their own suggestions as they would prefer to have an iwi name in this area.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
17. The applicant has responsibility for ensuring that appropriate signage will be installed accordingly once approval is obtained for the new road names.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
18. There are no significant risks to council as road naming is a routine part of the subdivision development process with consultation being a key part of the process.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
19. Approved road names are notified to Land Information New Zealand which records them on its New Zealand wide land information database which includes street addresses issued by councils.

Ngā ūpihiranga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>82 Falls Road Locality Plan</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>82 Falls Road Scheme Plan</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frank Lovering - Senior Subdivision Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trevor Cullen - Team Leader Subdivision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New road names in the No 82 Limited subdivision at 82 Falls Road, Warkworth
New road names in the No 82 Limited subdivision at 82 Falls Road, Warkworth
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. Attached for members' information is an update from the Rodney Local Board chairperson, Beth Houlbrooke, for March 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Rodney Local Board chairperson has provided a report on recent activities for the information of the members.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:
a) note the chairperson's report for March 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Chairperson's report March 2019</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Robyn Joynes - Democracy Advisor - Rodney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rodney Local Board Chair’s Report

The following report covers the activities of the Chair for the month of February 2019, including meetings attended outside of regular workshops, business meetings, elected member training/induction, and administrative appointments with local board staff and members.

Meetings of the Governing Body, their Committees and Workshops

February 27 – presentation to hearings panel of Regulatory Committee on Freedom Camping Bylaw review
February 27 – Joint Governing Body and Local Board Chairs’ workshop

Chairs’ Fora / LGNZ / Political Working Group meetings

February 11 – Local Board Chairs’ Forum, Albert Street

Subject matter / Project Lead meetings

February 8 – Meeting with Auckland Transport, One Warkworth Business Association Members, and Cr Sayers, re Malakana Link Road
February 11 – Meeting with council officers from Infrastructure & Environmental Services re presentation to Warkworth Town Hall talk on Warkworth weir and whitebait recovery
February 13 – Warkworth Town Hall talk re Warkworth weir and whitebait recovery (with Cr Sayers and Member Berger)

Public consultations

None this period.

Community group meetings

February 5 – Sandspit Residents & Ratepayers Assn
February 7 – Malakana Community Group (with Cr Sayers)
February 12 – Mahurangi Community Sports & Recreation Collective
February 13 – Warkworth Area Liaison Group (with Cr Sayers)

Constituent, small group, and on-site meetings

February 8 – Monthly clinic in Warkworth with Cr Sayers
February 19 – Meeting at Kaipara Flats Sports Club re ground lease and future plans
February 23 – Constituent meeting at Omaha re charging heavy Electric Vehicles
February 23 – Visit to volunteers working on Kohuroa Stream track, plus walkabout with Matheson Bay Assn members to look at maintenance issues on the reserve
February 26 – Meeting with Omaha Beach Community Inc committee re Freedom Camping Bylaw review

Civic Ceremonies and Events

February 17 – Rautawhiri Park opening (with Members Pirrie, Bailey, Steele, Smith, and Cr Sayers)
February 22 – Warkworth Water Treatment Plant opening (with Mayor Goff and Cr Sayers)
February 24 – Launch of Warkworth-Whaitiri bus service (with Member Smith)

Guest appearances / Event attendances on behalf of the Local Board

None this period.

Beth Houlbrooke
12 March 2019
Rodney Local Board workshop records

File No.: CP2019/00154

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. Attached are the Rodney Local Board workshop records for 7 March 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Rodney Local Board and its committees hold regular workshops.
3. Attached for information is the records of the most recent workshop meeting of the Rodney Local Board. The workshop records for the Rodney Local Board’s Parks and Recreation Committee and the Transport, Infrastructure and Environment Committee will appear on the relevant agendas of those committees.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:
a) note the workshop records for 7 March 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Workshop record 7 March</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

Authors          Robyn Joynes - Democracy Advisor - Rodney
Authorisers      Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager
Rodney Local Board Workshop Record

Workshop record of the Rodney Local Board held in the Council Chamber, Orewa Service Centre, 50 Centreway Road, Orewa on Thursday, 7 March 2019, commencing at 9.00am

PRESENT
Chairperson: Beth Houlbrooke
Members: Brent Bailey
Tessa Berger
Cameron Brewer (from 9.10am)
Louise Johnston (from 9.45am)
Phelan Pirrie
Colin Smith
Brenda Steele

Apologies: Allison Roe
Also present: Jonathan Hope (Senior Local Board Advisor), Ben Molmoi (Local Board Advisor), Lesley Jenkins (Relationship Manager), Robyn Joynes (Democracy Advisor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chairperson’s welcome and apologies</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Chairperson opened the workshop and noted the apologies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Matters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Hope (Senior Local Board Advisor)</td>
<td>Local initiatives and specific decisions</td>
<td>Mr Hope discussed administrative matters and sought feedback from local board members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work programmes workshop two</td>
<td>Setting direction/priorities/budget</td>
<td>Auckland Council staff were in attendance to discuss the timetable and processes for agreeing the annual work programmes for 2019/2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scene Setting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Hope (Senior Local Board Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Molmoi (Local Board Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Purdie (Lead Financial Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rodney Local Board workshop records Page 81
### Libraries and Information
Megan Grimshaw-Jones  
(Manager Library Operations)

### Service, Strategy and Integration (SS&I)
Shyrel Burt  
(Service and Asset Planning Specialist)

### Parks, Sport and Recreation (PSR)
Linda Smith  
(PSR Portfolio Manager)
Jeff Lyford  
(Parks & Spaces Specialist)
Nick Harris  
(Sport & Recreation Lead)

### Infrastructure and Environmental Services (I&ES)
Maddie Little  
(Relationship Advisor)

### Arts, Culture and Events (ACE)
Chade Julie  
(Team Leader Event Facilitation)
Sue Dodds  
(Strategic Broker)
Jo Heaven  
(Rural Hall Advisor)

### Community Facilities (CF)
Susan Quinn  
(Stakeholder Advisor)
Angie Bennett  
(Work Programme Lead)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Next steps</th>
<th>Setting direction/priorities/budget</th>
<th>Mr Moimoi and Mr Purdie outlined the next steps for signing off the final work programmes in June 2019.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ben Molmoi (Local Board Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Purdie (Lead Financial Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Workshop closed 12.10pm
Governance forward work calendar
File No.: CP2019/00168

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present to the Rodney Local Board with a governance forward work calendar.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
1. This report contains the governance forward work calendar, a schedule of items that will come before the Rodney Local Board at business meetings and workshops over the coming months until the end of the electoral term. The governance forward work calendar for the local board is included in Attachment A to the agenda report.
2. The calendar aims to support local boards’ governance role by:
   • ensuring advice on agendas and workshop material is driven by local board priorities
   • clarifying what advice is required and when
   • clarifying the rationale for reports.
3. The calendar will be updated every month. Each update will be reported back to business meetings and distributed to relevant council staff. It is recognised that at times items will arise that are not programmed. Local board members are welcome to discuss changes to the calendar.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:
 a) note the governance forward work calendar as at March 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Governance forward work calendar</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Robyn Joynes - Democracy Advisor - Rodney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Governance Forward Work Calendar as at 21 March 2019

Rodney Local Board including, TIEC – Transport, Infrastructure and Environment Committee and PRC – Parks and Recreation Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Full board or Committee</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 4 April 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Achievements Report</td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 4 April 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Local board agreement - consultation, advocacy, fees and charges, KPI’s</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 4 April 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Understanding local rates and their implications</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 4 April 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Existing service levels report/governance framework review</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 4 April 2019</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>RLBTTTR update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 4 April 2019</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>AT’s Community Safety Fund</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 4 April 2019</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>AT Speed Bylaw public consultation review of feedback</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 4 April 2019</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>Drainage Districts</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Governance Forward Work Calendar as at 21 March 2019

Rodney Local Board including, TIEC – Transport, Infrastructure and Environment Committee and PRC – Parks and Recreation Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Topic/description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 4 April 2019</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>Baxter Street Carpark</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Receive update on progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 11 April 2019</td>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>CF Update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 11 April 2019</td>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>Rodney Boat Ramp Access Study</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 11 April 2019</td>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>CEU update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 11 April 2019</td>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>Potential indoor multi-sport facility Upper Harbour</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 18 April 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Annual Plan advocacy and feedback into regional issues</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 18 April 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Open Space Management Framework</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 18 April 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Rodney West Greenways Plan</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 18 April 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Homelessness Review</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 18 April 2019</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>AT Update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 2 May 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Improving process to develop regional policy</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Provide direction on preferred approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 2 May 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Finalise work programmes</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Confirm budget allocations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 2 May 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Finalise draft local board agreement</td>
<td>Setting direction / priorities / budget</td>
<td>Confirm budget allocations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 2 May 2019</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>AT's Community Safety Fund</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Information dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 2 May 2019</td>
<td>TIEC</td>
<td>AT Speed Bylaw public consultation review of feedback</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Thursday 9 May 2019</td>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>CF Update</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Check in on performance / inform future direction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Governance Forward Work Calendar as at 21 March 2019
Rodney Local Board including, TIEC – Transport, Infrastructure and Environment Committee and PRC – Parks and Recreation Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Meeting</th>
<th>Thursday, 16 May 2020</th>
<th>RLB</th>
<th>Quarterly Report</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
<th>Check in on performance / inform future direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 16 May 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Achievement Report</td>
<td>Local initiative / preparing for specific decisions</td>
<td>Formal approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 16 May 2019</td>
<td>RLB</td>
<td>Auckland climate action plan (previously Low Carbon Auckland)</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>Thursday, 16 May 2019</td>
<td>PRC</td>
<td>Draft Golf Facilities Investment Plan</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>Define board position and feedback</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. As part of its business meetings Rodney Local Board and its committees (Transport, Infrastructure and Environment, and Parks and Recreation) has a period of time set aside for deputations/presentations and public forum during which time members of the public can address the local board on matters within its delegated authority.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Under Standing Orders there is provision for deputations/presentations to the local board. Applications for deputations/presentations must be in writing setting forth the subject and be received by the relationship manager at least seven working days before the meeting concerned, and subsequently have been approved by the chairperson. Unless the meeting determines otherwise in any particular case, a limit of ten minutes is placed on the speaker making the presentation.

3. Standing Orders allows three minutes for speakers in public forum.

4. Requests, matters arising and actions from the deputations/presentations and public forum are recorded and updated accordingly. The Rodney Local Board deputations/presentations and public forum update is attached as attachment A to the agenda report.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Rodney Local Board:

a) note the deputation and public forum update for 21 February 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Deputation-public forum update 21 February 2019</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Robyn Joynes - Democracy Advisor - Rodney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Rodney Local Board
### Outcomes of deputations/presentations and public forum
#### 21 February 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presenter/Topic</th>
<th>Purpose/Issue</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christine Rose from World Animal Protection NZ</td>
<td>To provide an update on the proposed Threat Management Plan for Maui and Hector’s dolphins</td>
<td>The local board thanked Ms Rose for her presentation and acknowledged her efforts to educate communities on the work she does for World Animal Protection NZ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Heaven</td>
<td>To provide an update on the proposed Puhunui Trail (Snells Beach to Warkworth walkway)</td>
<td>The local board thanked Mr Heaven for his presentation. The local board were very impressed with the work done to date and support the continuation of the planning needed to bring this great community asset to fruition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. The Rodney Local Board allocates a period of time for the Ward Councillor, Greg Sayers, to update them on the activities of the Governing Body.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation/s

That the Rodney Local Board:

a) thank Cr Sayers for his update on the activities of the Governing Body.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Robyn Joynes - Democracy Advisor - Rodney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Lesley Jenkins - Relationship Manager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>