Date: Tuesday, 9 April 2019
Time: 9.30am
Meeting Room: Reception Lounge
Venue: Auckland Town Hall
301-305 Queen Street
Auckland

Komiti Taiao ā-Hapori Hoki / Environment and Community Committee

MINUTE ITEM ATTACHMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>TABLE OF CONTENTS</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>World Animal Protection New Zealand - how the organisations work relates to Auckland Council.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>9 April 2019, Environment and Community Committee: Item 5.1 - World Animal Protection New Zealand - how the organisation work relates to Auckland Council, Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Boopise (Claudia) Maran - community events and working with communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>9 April 2019, Environment and Community Committee: Item 5.2 - Boopise (Claudia) Maran - community events and working with communities, Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Dr Stuart Jones - Action for Smokefree communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>9 April 2019, Environment and Community Committee: Item 5.4 Dr Stuart Jones - Action for Smokefree communities, Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Cancer Society Auckland Division - Action for Smokefree communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>9 April 2019, Environment and Community Committee: Item 5.3 - Cancer Society Auckland Division - Action for Smokefree communities,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Pacific Health &amp; Welfare Incorporated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>9 April 2019, Environment and Community Committee: Item 5.8 - Pacific Health &amp; Welfare Incorporated, Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Petition - Save Our Unique Landscapes (SOUL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>9 April 2019 Environment and Community Committee Item 4: Petition - supporting document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8  Auahi kore hapori whanui – action for smokefree communities
   A. 9 April 2019, Environment and Community Committee: item 8 - Auahi kore hapori whānui – action for smokefree communities, Presentation

9  Community Facilities Network Plan; revised Action Plan (2019)
#protectihumātao

To: The NZ Government and Auckland Council

SOUL is urgently seeking Government and Auckland Council intervention, to either buy the land at Ihumātao known as SHA62 from Fletcher Building Limited or mandate a process that will enable all affected parties to come up with an outcome everyone can live with.

Why is this important?

The Ihumātao landscape (of which the land in question, Special Housing Area 62, is a part) is a rare cultural heritage landscape that matters because its stories, relationships, built heritage, ecological values and archaeological sites are critical to our understanding of the histories and futures of our city and country.

For mana whenua (local Māori), this place embodies sources of identity and wellbeing as well as family, community and tribal relationships. This area is one of the last remnants of the archaeologically rich stonefields landscapes across Auckland. And is one of the last surviving places where the land and stone walls used by Māori for growing new crops, such as wheat and European vegetables for the Auckland markets prior to 1863, still exists.

The land was confiscated ‘by proclamation’ under the New Zealand Settlements Act in 1863 as part of the colonial invasion of the Waikato that drove mana whenua from their lands, ahead of the settler armies. Overnight they were made landless and impoverished. Now, that existence is further threatened by the commercial development.

The proposed development site is minutes from the Auckland International Airport and should be considered as a promising cultural, heritage and ecotourism location. For many years there have been aspirations for social enterprise, local employment and sustainability initiatives that enable kaitiakitanga and tino rangatiratanga.

Local and central government used the fast-track, developer-friendly provisions of the Special Housing Areas Act 2013 to designate the land. Mana whenua and community concerns were sidelined. Mana whenua have suffered enough for the good of the developing city and every critical account of history agrees with them.

For more than three years, the SOUL campaign to #protectihumātao has engaged in non-violent, direct action to raise awareness and build public support. Our guided walks and events on the land have attracted thousands of visitors. We have presented concerns to the Auckland Council Governing Body and to Parliament, met with politicians and been to the United Nations three times in two years. In 2017 the UN Committee on the Elimination of Racism and Discrimination wrote to the NZ Government recommending that it ensure proper consultation with all affected Maori on this issue.

A recent Environment Court decision showed significant flaws in New Zealand’s heritage legislation that did not allow the Court to consider the values of whole cultural heritage landscape when reviewing Heritage NZ’s decision to grant the company the authority to modify or destroy Maori archaeological and other heritage sites on the land. Gaining that authority doesn’t make the decision right, it simply puts it within the narrow terms of the existing law and allows the developer to proceed.

SOUL has now exhausted every legal means to stop the development. Now we are fast approaching a confrontation on the land but will keep doing everything we can to prevent that from happening. What we need is collective action and innovative thinking to resolve this mounting crisis.

We’re now calling on the public to take a stand for this land. Join us in protecting this unique landscape for all New Zealanders and future generations. Please sign this petition now!
World Animal Protection NZ

Moving the world for animals
Elaine McNee & Christine Rose
Our campaigns

Communities, Disasters

Farming
Animals in the wild, oceans

Pinnawala Elephant Sanctuary - zoos
**Rodeo**

**Ghost gear**

High seas, our seas
Māui dolphins and us

Scarcity and threats - ~57 left, fishing gear and disease
Local population – local taonga.
Threat Management Plan submissions

Thank You
Roadblocks to an Empowered Community

Is this good enough?

E ngaki ana a mua
E tōtō mai ana a muri

If the first group do the work properly, the following group can accomplish the task
I’m here to share my recent experience in trying to throw a community event in a local park. My story will be supported with excerpts from your various council strategies, documents, plans, and 100s of emails with council staff...

This was me:
“At the local level, people are actively involved in improving their areas, they are energised and want to participate and do things for themselves”

I assumed this was council:
“An enabling council is one where EVERY OPPORTUNITY is maximised for council to work in ways that empower communities”

“Build community capacity to do things for themselves”
Parks and Open Spaces
Strategic Action Plan
2013

The Parks and Open Spaces Strategic Action Plan 2013 sets out a ten-year direction for Auckland’s parks and open spaces. Collaborate and work with CCOs from the early stages of projects that have the potential to contribute to improved open space outcomes.

The Panuku approach to Placemaking
2018

“Our principles for Placemaking - to be responsive, adaptive, and attuned to the need of the people.”

“Equity Finding ALL the people that should be involved in a place and engaging with them in an authentic, enthusiastic and respectful manner.”

An action plan is just words on paper unless it is actually put into action.

Event Timeline Oct 2018 to Feb 2019

Oct/Nov - Apply and receive grant from the Waitemata Local Board

Western Park is unavailable 28 Dec 2018 to 15 Feb 2019

Why?

Council Response: “I am not aware of any specific way to find out, but I have just googled it so you can look into Eventfinda or Our Auckland is the platform for it.”
“Auckland Council do not provide support to council events which are not funded by Auckland Council.“

When I said I was interested in putting on public events the Council Events team told me they have eziup and activation equipment etc. after I tracked them down in person at another park event.

Further email responses to request for help, guidance, or general knowledge of processes:

“Unfortunately we will not be able to provide any support to any other unit within Council, nor external. Our resources have already been allocated and there is no event staff available for any extra event that could come up. This first part of the year is very complicated for our team, so unfortunately we cannot offer any support.”

“I would suggest contacting the Local Library probably? And check if they would be able to provide any support? Not sure if this is something they could do though. All the best with the event!”

“The event requirements email is an auto generated email. Which pulls the information from the original application.”
I then went to the site and asked if I could use this closer power box?

On 5/02/2019, at 2:21 PM,

Hi Boopsie

I hope this email finds you well.

Community facilities team have spoken with the electrician and this is not a power source that you will be able to use for this event. As this is used for testing for light pole head and other electrical tests that the technicians carry.

Apologies for the inconvenience. You would need to arrange generator for your power supply for the event.
Item 5.2

THURSDAY FEBRUARY 21
JOIN US FOR AN AFTERNOON
OF NEIGHBOURLY
BEAN BAGS,
LIVE MUSIC,
LAUGHS!

JAZZ
3PM TO 7PM

LIVE MUSIC
BY PACHUCOS
ART COLLECTIVE
ON THE CORNER OF WILLIAMSON AVE AND PONSONBY RD

#LOVEPONSONBY
Item 5.2
Item 5.2
Item 5.2
Was it worth it? **Yes.**

Did it take blood, sweat, tears and even my own money? **Yes.**

Would I do it again in a hurry? **Probably not, but I won’t give up that easily.**
I am not here to point the finger at anyone.

I’m here because I believe that unless you hear these real life cases that people will continue to try, and fail - like I very nearly did and they will feel disempowered and give up.

And because I know that no problem worth solving was ever solved in an email trail.

So what do I suggest?
This is what Community Empowerment should look like.

- Help us navigate the existing systems and layers of council
- Allow for a local approach we can’t expect a corporate model without help
- Future proof resilient communities
- Kanohi ki te kanohi -- Face to Face change and assistance
- Bold Collective and Coordinated (Council, Private Business, and Community)
- Bring back Community Development & Safety. We use Health and Safety as the barrier for community activation and place ownership. Bring back the Safety Team in Council - we have contracted out our SAFETY

A final word from the community.
This is what community sounds like

We have been travelling and live in London. This has been one of our trip highlight! Such a great way to enjoy an evening in Richmond! Please keep doing this!

No Grace & Adam

Having a comfy cozy place to be with the kids.

Thoroughly enjoyed the movie. Need more of these bean bags great for us grandma.

[Signature]

Collecting pine cones

The definition of insanity is doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results
Potential of future care

Nosh Site - Panuku Engagement

Harold Moody Reserve Playground - 30 yrs of neglect
P. 31 partnership promotion advocacy Parks and Open Space strategic Plan

Develop best-practice guidelines and processes for Auckland Council working with community groups, ‘friends of parks’ and other special interest groups to improve parks and open spaces.
Waikato District Council Placemaking strategy

“it involves looking at, listening to and asking questions of the people who live, work and play in a particular space, to discover needs and aspirations. This information is then used to create a common vision for a public space.”

“The Community Placemaking Team have been appointed by Council to help, not hinder, communities in the Waikato District.”
Let’s make Tāmaki Makaurau Smokefree by 2025!

Cross council Smokefree implementation very limited:

- **Signage**
  Poor or non-existent in priority areas.

- **Events**
  Ad hoc promotion and implementation.

- **Leases**
  Renewed without Smokefree clauses.

All Council departments and CCOs need to implement the Smokefree policy.
Regionwide Smokefree public awareness campaign

• Public awareness about Smokefree areas is low, and has significantly decreased.

• Smokefree public awareness campaigns work.

• Budget for a regional Smokefree public awareness campaign.

• Greater public awareness results in higher compliance.

Auahi kore hapori whānui

• Cancer Society strongly supports the intent of a Māori and Pacific Action Plan.

• Don’t duplicate services.

• Strengthen focus of Māori and Pacific plan on the four strands of Smokefree implementation plan.

• Remove the promotion of vaping.
Switch to Quit through Vaping – not Council’s Core Role

- Cancer Society urges a cautionary approach to vaping
- Long-term health risks of vaping are unknown.
- Youth uptake of vaping and thence smoking is a major concern.
- Tobacco industry very involved globally.

Focus on core business -
Promote Smokefree public places.

Recommendations


2. Amend the Māori and Pacific Action Plan to:
   - Focus on the four Implementation Plan strands
   - Remove “Switch to Quit through vaping”
   - Adopt best practice community action principles in community initiatives.

3. In the Smokefree Implementation budget:
   - One FTE to lead Smokefree Implementation Plan internally across all Council departments and CCOs
   - Regional Smokefree public awareness campaign.
Māori and Pacific Smokefree Action Plan

Dr Stuart Jones
Respiratory Physician
NZ Branch President TSANZ (Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand)

E-cigarette Summary

Formal TSANZ position paper not available until May.
The National Academy of Science (NASEM) review is the most thorough and balanced review.

There is no such thing as a ‘safe’ cigarette, e or otherwise.
E-cigarette damage

Federation of International Respiratory Societies (FIRS) have consistently rejected the claim that e-cigarettes are ‘95% safer’. This term should never be used.

Vape: formaldehyde, toxic aldehydes, and metals.

Clear evidence that e-cigarettes damage the airways – they impair the immune function, cause inflammation, and damage airway cells to a similar degree as combustible cigarettes. They potentially cause less oxidative damage and have less ‘known’ carcinogens.

Largest published study to date shows a 5% drop in lung function over 2 years.

E-cigarettes can help stop smoking

Strongest evidence in studies of motivated quitters with comprehensive counselling and follow up.

Benefits will only be seen in complete switchers, not dual users.

Also need to plan to wean off e-cigarettes.

None are FDA approved smoking cessation devices – rates of cessation are no better than currently approved medications that have been through vigorous safety testing.
We need to protect our youth

Our current youth smoking rates are very low.
NASEM report from January – e-cigarettes have become a gateway to smoking in the US.

Recommend

Keep smoke-free areas vape-free.
Actively promote, support and enforce smoke-free areas.
Easier access to culturally appropriate smoking cessation support.
Limit access to cigarettes via specialist R21 shops. E-cigarettes should be regulated similarly.
Recommendations

1. Ensure strong alignment with Council actions (lay strong foundation) and Community actions (depth and value)

2. Pacific Action Plan to focus on:
   - Ethnic specific focus ensuring pan Pacific coverage eg Tongan kava contexts and Cook Islands women
   - Smoking Cessation options that work for Pacific peoples

3. Ensure strong research and publications:
   - Provide robust evidence before, during and post implementation on what works for Council and Pacific Communities working together on SF
Auckland Council: Auahi kore hapori whānui - evidence  
9 April 2019

Ministry of Health – national data

How are we tracking towards Smokefree 2025?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011 (%)</th>
<th>2017/18 (%)</th>
<th>Mid-term targets (%) 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māori</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• tobacco consumption decreased 39% between 2010 and 2018
Auckland progress towards 2025 target
Projected smoking rates by 2025:
- for Māori in Auckland is 12%, will reach 5% by 2030
- for Pacific peoples 10%, will reach 5% by 2031

Hon Jenny Salesa – 4 April press release

- Action for Smokefree 2025 (ASH) 2018 Year 10 Snapshot survey of almost 29,000 Year 10 students on their smoking behaviours and attitudes.
- The data shows just 1.9% of Year 10 students smoked on a daily basis.
- “More than 81 per cent of those surveyed hadn’t smoked at all…
- Almost 6% of Maori girls smoked daily and while that rate has decreased, it’s still higher than the overall rate of 1.9%.
- “According to the ASH survey, Year 10 vaping in New Zealand remains low, and largely among students who smoke (1.8%).”
- “There is still no evidence to suggest vaping is a gateway to cigarette smoking.”
- “Only 0.5% of students who have never smoked vape daily – a drop from 0.8% in 2017.”
Assoc. Prof. Natalie Walker: YOUNG PEOPLE
Highest experimentation/use of cigarettes – Māori and Pacific
Highest experimentation/use of vaping – Māori and Pacific

Year 10 student use of e-cigarettes compared to cigarette smoking in 2018, by ethnicity
- Experimenting with ECs
- Experimenting with Cigs
- Regular use of ECs
- Regular use of Cigs
- Daily use of ECs
- Daily use of Cigs

Regular – at least daily, weekly or monthly

Prof Hayden McRobbie
- An investigator on largest trial to date of vaping as a quit tool
Prof Hayden McRobbie
- An investigator on largest trial to date of vaping as a quit tool
- Overall goal is to reduce death and disease from smoking

**ESTIMATED CANCER RISK**

- There are likely to be some adverse health effects associated with long-term vaping, so concern is warranted.
- However, this concern needs to be balanced with the concerns for the health and wellbeing of people who continue to smoke.
- For smokers, switching to vaping (and stopping smoking completely) is likely to be associated with a reduction in health risks.
- This is supported by the current literature.
- To mitigate concerns over unknown health risks associated with long-term vaping, ex-smokers can be advised to stop vaping as soon as they feel able to return to smoking.
Petrović-van der Deen, Wilson, Crothers, Cleghorn, Gartner, Blakely 2019

- Modeled the health, cost impacts of liberalizing vaping market in NZ
  → Net health gain = 236,000 QALYs (for 2011 population over their lifetimes)
  → Net savings to health system = $3.4billion
  → Similar impact to tobacco tax regime

Recommend: “a fairly permissive regulatory environment”
+ targeted smoking cessation advice,
+ marketing and age limits to prevent uptake in young people
Community Facilities Network Plan
Revised Action Plan (2019)
Environment and Community Committee
9 April 2019

Outline of discussion

• Overview of Community Facilities Network Plan
  • purpose and drivers
  • scope
  • Action Plan
  • Prioritisation criteria

• CFNP Action Plan progress
• Revised CFNP Action Plan (2019)
• Recommended priority action for Tāmaki Pātaka Kōrero-Central City Library
Community Facilities Network Plan

- Guides council’s investment in community facilities
- Helps prioritise demands across the region

**Key drivers:**
Ensuring existing facilities are fit-for-purpose
Addressing gaps or duplication in provision and needs
Meeting future demand arising from population growth and changing user expectations

Warkworth Town Hall – refurbished and re-opened 2017

Community Facilities Network Plan

**Scope:**
- Arts and culture
- Libraries
- Pools and Leisure
- Community centres
- Venues for hire (community or rural halls)

Te Oro, Glen Innes - opened 2015
Community Facilities Network Plan

Action Plan:
- Developed as companion document to CFNP
- Identifies actions and assesses priority for delivery

This update includes:
- Annual updates on progress of actions reported to Environment & Community
- Three yearly review of Action Plan

CFNP Action Plan

Criteria for identifying potential actions:
- Significant fit for purpose or performance issues &/or evidence of demand for additional provision
- Gap or duplication of provision
- Anticipated need arising from population growth
- An external catalyst or opportunity
CFNP Action Plan

Criteria for prioritising actions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sub-category</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>Network contribution</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catchment size</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimisation or divestment potential</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Local Board priority</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Impact in the community</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternative provision</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catalyst/opportunity</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>Size and layout</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical condition</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CFNP Action Plan - Update on progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority Area Based Actions</td>
<td>50 actions</td>
<td>19 completed</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22 underway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-priority Area Based Actions</td>
<td>41 actions</td>
<td>6 completed</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 underway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic improvements</td>
<td>11 actions</td>
<td>5 completed</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 underway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business improvements</td>
<td>5 actions</td>
<td>0 completed</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 underway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Update on progress

Te Manawa, new multipurpose library and community centre

- Opened 26 March 2019
- CFNP Action 40

Update on progress

Albany Stadium Pool, new pool and leisure facility

- Opened 2017
- Action 98
Update on progress

Ellen Melville Hall, refurbishment of an existing community and heritage building

- Opened September 2017
- Action 105

Revised CFNP Action Plan (2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action type</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2019 additions</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strategic improvement</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area based Priority</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area based Non-priority</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business improvement</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tāmaki Pātaka Kōrero-Central City Library
– a priority

A priority action because:

a) Renewals investment is applied to the library on a component basis without an overall understanding of the effectiveness or value of that investment.

b) The importance, age and complexity of the building requires a more planned investment approach to provide certainty for service requirements.

Tāmaki Pātaka Kōrero-Central City Library
– drivers for change

The regional action for Tāmaki Pātaka Kōrero - Central City Library is a priority action.

Drivers:

a) Understanding whole of life asset maintenance and renewal requirements; and

b) Assessment of potential for expansion or redevelopment to meet future service needs.
Tāmaki Pātaka Kōrero-Central City Library

There is a need for an investment strategy to ensure efficient and effective investment as the city centre grows and library and cultural service needs change.

Report recommendation:
- Endorse the Central Library priority action
- Note that the operational funding of $420,000 for the 2019/2020 financial year will be considered in the Annual Plan 2019/2020 process
- This funding is provided for the investigation and business case.
Next steps

- Memo to Local Boards
- Publish revised CFNP Action Plan (2019)
- Communicate and confirm work programmes with teams