

Date: **Thursday, 11 April 2019**

Annual Budget 2019/2020
Hibiscus & Bays Local Board
WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1
(40 – 868)

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 19 February 2019 5:50:42 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 19 Feb 2019 17:49

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

No need for the increase fee for waste management. The increase in population plus the development contributions will cover this increased costs. Charge rates on religious organisations land Regulatory fees should cover the costs involved.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Spend less on museums and cultural things and more on infrastructure.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:03

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Religious organisations should not receive special treatment. They should be rated (and taxed) the same as any other business entity.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The bins for waste are not user friendly. There needs to be a smaller option. It is a health issue to have rubbish sitting around for more than one week, so putting the bin out every second week is not an option. Council needs to focus on "bread and butter" sewerage, water, etc - nice to have (cultural events etc) can come when we have the basics sorted. Council needs a thorough streamlining as would happen to any business corporate that overspent its budget - and there needs to be more coordination between functions (dig up the road for water, then dig up the road for cabling, then dig up the road for something else - do it once and coordinate all 3). Public transport is not working - need to improve parking at "hubs". I know the intent is to encourage people to catch a bus to the bus station (rather than drive), then catch a second bus, but the logistics of this mean it doesn't happen (and so more people drive total).

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Comments

Document not found - so I couldn't read what the priorities were....poor!

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Reduce cost - but I actually don't believe it when this is said - believe this is being "spun" to give you the answer you want - what are the "cons" of this move?

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#77_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:07:08 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:02

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees)

and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Reduce your costs!!!! Trim high salaries and staff, reduce PR and marketing staff and this will well cover any rate increases, cut council waste to save increase for waste collection! Agree don't charge rates on land used for religious and charitable purposes. DO NOT increase regulatory fees on anything!!!! Its already contributes to high housing and living costs ,making Auckland less liveable, You cut costs..... There is no good reason to increase mooring fees, if you allowed some more well selected moorings that would increase revenue to cover increase costs if you really cant manage your costs.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Slash all salaries over 130k in council by 10%, and use to pay living wage to low paid workers, slash all salaries over 200k by 20%, 300k by 30% etc Only charge rates based on land use, not on zoning, then open up more land for affordable housing, half or 1/3rd the min 5 acre requirement on countryside rural zones/similar to allow affordable housing with virtually no infrastructure costs, and ability to collect more rates of additional properties rather than increasing off existing ones, obviously rates should reduce for existing smaller property after subdivision

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Sound like it reduces costs

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:17:28 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:16

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:20:58 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:19

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

* Increase in annual waste management charge fine IF we are covered for our roadside and inorganic waste

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Sound as if they are locally focused

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Council should decide issues, not a CEO of another organisation - this asset is ratepayer owned.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:21:18 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:19

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I am not keen on further rate or targeted increases. These place more burden on already stretched household budgets. (Re: Petrol tax etc) Cost increases need to be covered by much better council efficiencies.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

An efficiently run, responsive cost effective council that outsources projects that are truly cost effective.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

There is always a need to ensure our town centres and parks, walkways etc are looking good and pleasant for people and tourists to frequent. Litter and grass control should be part of this.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

All for reducing duplication as long as effective management is not compromised.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020
Date: Friday, 15 March 2019 7:17:41 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 15 Mar 2019 19:16

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I believe that the small number of rating and fee charges should be absorbed by the council through better cost management, restructuring and reducing staff numbers etc. The continual increases on mist ratepayers already tight budgets are not acceptable.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

As a ratepayer I expect to see basic council services like park and street mowing, weed control, gardens well maintained, litter pickup and a good response to ratepayers requests for services through the call centers. There is currently considerable delays and responses and sometimes no response when requiring issues like footpath maintenance, tree trimming, drain clearing etc to be done.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

It focuses on what residents would like to see such as more and better playgrounds, parks and tidier and more attractive town centers etc. I believe our local board does well in attempting to provide locals with a better environment to live in. They need a bigger budget.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

The less administration processes, the better.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#136_
20 February 2019 9:33:17 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:28

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations - why? They own the land they pay, simple an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs - the specifics of the increased costs need to be made known and justified, things like this already cost an arm and a leg and it is difficult to see how the arbitrary charges are arrived at.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Getting large corporate business organisation - Westfield - Scentre, property developers etc. to compensate residents and smaller businesses for loss of business, disruption to the enjoyment of normal life. It seems that these outfits can get consent for anything, any amount of disturbance; including noise, dust, vibration and that they can do this for as long as they need when they need and that there is ZERO consideration for the rest of the populace. Oh or are we supposed to be so glad that they are building yet another temple of consumerism?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

They are totally incapable of making meaningful decisions that would have a lasting benefit, for example, the erosion problems at the north end of Orewa beach, they are shagging about coming up with any number of excuses for not installing proper sea defences. I guess that things will only happen when the sea has cut away the shoreline by a kilometre or two that they will get off their respective fat arses, stop crying about spoiling the beach and do some constructive. Slackers.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Sounds like one quango pays another quango to do tab all.

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#143_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:35:47 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:33

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I think auckland council is being greedy. The last valuation has valued properties too high, often in excess of what they are fetching on the market. This in itself will generate a significant increase in revenue. The rates are increased every year by a very significant amount and the proposed increase this year is unacceptable unacceptable. I suggest council gets rid of some of its top heavy managers.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Yes. It is well over time that council prioritized funding for Penlink. The traffic jams are silverdale and whangaparaoa road or horrendous and unbearable.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:37:29 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:36

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Regulatory fees such as resource consent and building control are already far too expensive and are contributing to the city's housing shortage problem. Our experience, having recently undertaken a renovation, and our wider family's experience from recent new-build projects is that the council is extremely inefficient, in this area. It would be irresponsible to consider any fee increase before first looking at inefficiencies within the council processes. Additionally, the knock on effect of council inefficiencies such as construction delays and additional consulting work required are also contributing to the cost of housing by adding substantial unnecessary costs.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The rubbish bin sticker system is frustrating, annoying, inefficient and environmentally unfriendly. It should be replaced by an additional charge on the rates. That would save the financial cost of printing the stickers and the labour required to remove them, as well as the environmental cost of the stickers themselves.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#152_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:41:48 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:40

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The waste services provided by council are worse and worse and no rate increase can be justified. Re-direct funding from other wasteful expenditure in the council budget. Increasing regulatory fees is a tax grab with no justification - no improved services, no improved productivity, exacerbating the housing affordability issue in Auckland, this is does not support a fee increase. Try sacking a few bureaucrats, starting with the Mayor.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Local roading and footpath maintenance is not being done; noise control, dog control and parking enforcement ineffective. Council is not delivering basic services while throwing away ratepayer money on grandiose legacy schemes. Poor budget control and stewardship of public money by the Mayor and council.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

None of the priorities will significantly improve the living environment in the ECBs.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

No cost savings in operating costs; gives direct "political" control over the development of Auckland and removes a safety net in the decision-making process.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#156_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:43:38 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:42

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I don't agree with all of them; why would I pay for services I do not want or receive; for example food scraps or bin collections not in my area. I also object to religious organisations not paying rates on their land; that's a cost they have to take on, not for non-religious peoples to pickup their tab; I strongly object to that. User pays, in my opinion, whether they do a good job for their communities or not. They should be able to apply for grants for community work or services, not double dip on grants and lower rates. Religious organisations need to structure their buildings and services better. Regulatory payments - agree; user pays, especially the mooring fees, which I think should be substantially increased to help clean up the marine environment, including harmful marine organisms and toxic chemicals

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

As above - user pays, but it seems we are going to pay for services that we don't receive.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Completely - Panuku is flying out there with apparent little accountability due to it's current ownership structure



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:46:07 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:44

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Food scraps is a wonderful idea especially for renters.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Waste water increase is fair.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Need to read further into it.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Be able to promote it properly

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:46:29 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:45

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

All seem reasonable

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

This really does seem like pending a lot of effort on fiddling while Rome burns. I ma not against Ak council having direct ownership of the assets but why do we not have the council in direct, hands on control of Panuku anyway which would remove the need for fiddling.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:49:38 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:47

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Regulatory fees increase a bad idea..though not personally affected, many (and I do mean many) complain about the costs already..on top of everything else people are dealing with when building or making alterations increase in "office/staff" fees not welcome and build up real resentment towards a perceived " backdoor" way to cover Council costs. Religious organizations on the whole do use their site for charity and support of wider community, not just Sunday services, so not charging rates on some parts of the land a supportive gesture.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The time taken for consents creates such anti Council feelings this must be tackled, now and not " down the track" sometime. never.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:54:08 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:52

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

resource and building consent is cumbersome and costly enough, no more please.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

auckland rate is rising too highly

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Devonport-Takapuna, Upper Harbour and Waitemata

Devonport-Takapuna Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

[Comments](#)

Upper Harbour Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

[Comments](#)

Waitemata Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

[Comments](#)

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

efficiency > bureaucracy

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:58:49 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:54

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

why are we not charging rates on land owned by religious organisations? i think everyone should be treated equally.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

i think we have a massive problem on our roads, we need to stop letting parents with bad driving practices teaching there children how not to drive. I believe there should be a regulated driving school.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

I think we are focused on Transport which is getting a lot worse.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

I am just a bit confused if Panuku is Auckland Council's agency why does it have to be transferred.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:02:19 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 10:00

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I disagree with all of the proposed changes. Costs are going up because of inefficient management plus unsustainable & uneconomic gross numbers of people still coming to Auckland. Any growth must only happen once what we have got is properly managed fiscally & environmentally. Religious organisations are either a rort or not really "charitable trusts" in the true sense. E.g a major breakfast cereal manufacturer.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

That Auckland is properly managed to achieve the real benefits that were intended under the Super-City. And in a totally transparent way, which is certainly not the case at all levels.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

To much unwarranted secrecy. Far too much extravagant focus on Orewa Boulevard. Too little focus on limiting/sensible planning growth in our area. No one we know wants any more growth. Its only of any benefit to developers. The area cannot sustain any more-certainly not without further deterioration of the qualities we love about our fabulous area.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#203_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:04:20 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 10:02

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Religious organizations should NOT benefit with ANY reductions as there are MANY people in more need

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I compost as much as I can ,but I object to having to pay for green bag to get rid of BAD weeds & yellow rubbish bag for household rubbish. I think each household should have 1 FREE weekly bag !!

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Don,t think we get as much feed back as to what money is spent on.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Council would have better control & use land wisely

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:10:21 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 10:09

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

1. As a young middle income earner who owns a house and has a family, an increase will just make living in Auckland even harder. I am on a teachers salary and currently on maternity leave. Having budgeted for while I am on leave any increase just makes thing more difficult. 2. We live in the Rodney district and we miss out on a lot of benefits from the council. Waste management stops on the north shore and we have to get our own bin at our own cost, yet when we lived in One Tree Hill, this was provided for us. If we are 'one big city' then surely these things such as waste mangament should be the same. We miss out on a lot up here, yet have to pay Auckland rates and Auckland fuel tax, but get little benefit. 3. Do not roll out food scraps to rodney until you roll out unified rubbish collection. 4. Increasing fees for building consents etc just seem another way to affect middle income earners. If you increase the fees, then we expect better service. I have heard a lot of complaints about the process and increasing is just a kick in the teeth.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Increasing rates seems ridiculous when we see little benefit. I understand things need to be done and we need money for that, but the council need to stop pushing back important projects for others. (Penlink for example) To increase rates for waste management which is not unified, rolling out food scrap collection, more stuff for west and central Auckland and nothing again for us up here. It was better when we had smaller councils. Our money went to what was needed in our area.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Where is the penlink?!? Improving playground is a good idea, especially if you provide shade. We had a consultation letter 2 years ago regarding updating the local playground in Arkles Bay. Nothing has happened. Malbough parking and the playground at Milford beach and takapuna beach are great and we need something like that too. Especially the shade. I noticed the document mentions a lot about volunteers with events and planting. This is what makes us great. People give up a lot of their own time and money to make this a great place to live. If you increase rates what benefits will we see.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with

other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

I think it should be council owned but I do not know enough to comment further



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:18:22 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 10:16

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Religious organisations seem to be relatively wealthy yet we continue to subsidise them even when we have no links to them.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

We use private contractors for our waste collection yet it appears that the council wants their pound of flesh also. Try user pays.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Hibiscus Bays covers 2 completely diverse areas which are physically isolated. Although they have similar topography there seems to be very little commonality or sharing of facilities. It is too far to travel by push bike to share facilities.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Why isn't it part of the council rather than a separate entity. Sounds like a lot of duplication of resource and high paid jobs for the boys.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#300_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 11:25:42 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 11:22

Attachment:

:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Totally disagree with wasting money on the food scrap initiative. This is a joke and costs more to implement than saving. Food scraps within the standard rubbish collection aid the brake down in the rubbish dumps they are put into! Increasing Regulatory fees is just another grab. How about getting the council sorted and stop wasting money on feel good projects. We need to realize that if we cant afford it we cant get it. I cant go around hiking my fees just because I want something better and bigger. I have to wait until I can afford it.

2. What is important to you?**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Why do we charge People waste water fees when they have no services and are self contained?

3. Local Board information**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Under Panuku there is a distance from the council. This means both parties are answerable to each other. Under just the council what is stopping a council worker who has never worked in the real world of economics (Having to get a return on money to enable growth!) Giving valuable land away on a never never contract or even arranging a sale helping their back pocket.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 11:52:03 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 11:50

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

If you keep increasing resource consent fees you'll NEVER achieve enough affordable housing for the Auckland population. Reduce your expenses first - decrease top level salaries etc.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Someone needs to have a serious look at Whangaparaoa Plaza as it's dying.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Don't trust Panuku to do what's best for Auckland. They seem to be 'bottom line' driven. Not the best mind set for regional assets.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 12:01:45 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 12:00

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Disagree: not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations
Good: extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Consistency and transparency please

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

reduction of governance duplication and increase in consistency is good



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 12:41:03 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 12:39

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I have reservations about not charging for some parts of land owned by religious organisation as it needs to be limited to legitimate and publicly accepted groups Need more information in respect of increase in regulatory fees

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Parks and Reserves, there seems to be a lack of foresight in looking after our sports grounds. Frankly there are just not enough fields for Sports teams to train. Sunnynook Park progress is very slow

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

More attention to parks and reserves

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

City waterfront is an integral part of Auckland landscape

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#374_v
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 1:15:10 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 13:13

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Hope I will not be charged for the food scraps collection as I compost mine for garden. Religious organisation should not be exempt

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

In council pay as you go, or cut backs is this why our streets are unkempt with litter including plastic bags waiting to get washed out to sea. Council needs to step up in this area

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Council all ready has to many stand alone entity

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#389_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 1:36:31 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Religious groups should contribute to rates. Fees for council services are already huge, do not increase these they add a huge cost to new housing.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

They need to fix the walkway between Mairangi Bay and Murray's Bay and fast track the new Mairangi Bay surf club area. These facilities are used by thousands of people from all over Auckland. The public toilets at Mairangi Bay are a disgrace.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 1:46:42 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 13:45

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

No to the extra waste charge. No to the food scraps targeted rates. People can compost themselves Religious organisations should have to pay rates on the land as well as anybody else. I am not paying to cover some stupid religions land use. No to increased regulatory fees. You already charge way to much for a crap and slow service.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

It is important that the council stops ridiculous spending, streamline the consent process and stops rate increases.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Council should be focusing on cutting costs and cleaning up the waterways

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 2:06:26 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 14:04

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

No more rates, charges or increases. Cut your cloth and get prepared to start reducing your cost. Every business is faced with this.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Not focused enough on reducing rates

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

This is to assist with raising more debt. Not acceptable.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 2:28:27 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 14:27

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I do not agree that religious organisations should not be charged rates. Surely there are many groups in Auckland who do much for others but still have to pay rates.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Reducing duplication of governance seems wise.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 2:39:58 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 14:38

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I am o.k. with most of this, but the last item I think should not happen. You are trying to encourage further building in our city and increasing resource consent and building costs, just adds another burden to preventing people looking at these options for their land and stops building work happening.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Keeping our beaches and parks clean and good for use to everyone that wants to use them. Making sure our streets are clean, and do not have overgrowth of weeds etc. Make sure our roads are well maintained. I drive over an area in Browns Bay that has been partially started with road works and it is now over four months later and the project is still not completed. The road service is poor and the job needs to be completed. Especially in this good weather.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

I think that it should stay independent. I worry when Auckland Council has complete ownership will look to do whatever it wants without consultation with the people who would like a say. Sometimes having independent areas is good.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 3:12:46 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 15:10

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I think a lot of families might struggle with the cost change and them possibly not having the money already for day to day expenses

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

[Comments](#)

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
20 February 2019 4:58:03 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 16:54

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Religious organisations and business owned by charities should pay rates.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Preserve local amenities like our Beaches and stop destruction of our natural environment.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Need for multi storey car park at Albany Park and Ride. Repair damage done at Waiake beach

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#491_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 5:10:03 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 17:08

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations. Disagree on this one as they run like a business and can claim back expenses. It's a case of user pays. They use so should pay.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Penlink needs to get the push forward quicker than it is. Our population is now booming and traffic has increased. There needs to be easier access here .

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

I would want to see more information first

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#499
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 5:24:44 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

OK

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

I can see the advantage in reducing duplication. How will the council balance out the commercial interests of the waterfront properties with the environmental concerns we have about developing them.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#503_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 5:34:03 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 17:31

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I am in support of all charges increasing, and relief proposed to religious organisations. A review should be done of all non essential services to establish a more user pays approach and to ensure commercial competitiveness.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Community assets and places of gathering are being used more and more by communities. There does not appear to be enough investment to ensure these facilities are well maintained and kept. The city appears to be getting dirtier and less pristine. Public transport use is growing, but more park n ride facilities are required. Consideration of a small fee (say \$5 a day max) should be considered for reinvestment back into increasing parking near main hubs. Very soon public transport numbers will decline if people have no where to park and the introduction of parking charges on the street at Albany will be the first decline.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Very little provided by way of key projects to truly understand where significant spend is going. Priority agreed with us Silverdale and Orewa town centre upgrades, and in support of play upgrades but where are those exactly is not clear.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 6:31:24 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 18:29

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I object to any rating relief to Religious Organisations. They should be paying full rates on all their property

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Would like to see the food scraps extended into my area of Browns Bay

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

They seem fair

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

I think it will help operations and make things smoother

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 6:32:16 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 18:29

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

All properties and land that receive council services must pay rates, including religious organisations. I want you to look at your regulatory fee processes and remove waste and inefficiency rather than increase fees.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Why has a lease to the operator of the Takapuna camp ground not yet been put in place. You have had clear direction from the public to do this

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Why are you doing this, what advantage is there to the ratepayers? You need to explain this way better than you have

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#531_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 6:57:03 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 18:55

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Particularly like their plan for Mairangi Bay beachfront improvements

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#544_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 7:48:35 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 19:46

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The Food scraps rate should not be added to all properties. It should be a user only fee/charge.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Panuku had too much control.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 8:18:25 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 20:17

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I disagree with: - \$20.67 increase to waste management - costs continually increase whilst the service provided reduces. This is not value for money. - extending the food scraps targeted rate - a substantial number of people already compost waste and I don't agree with imposing another charge on people who will not benefit from the service. - not charging rates on parts of religious land - these organisations should not be exempt and should contribute to the well-being of the city. - the increase in regulatory fees - these are already out of proportion to the cost of building for small and medium domestic alterations and do not pass any value for money test. I do agree with: - adjusting the urban rating boundary as proposed - this is equitable for all ratepayers.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Too much money is allocated to Governance. Insufficient work is being done with Auckland Transport to encourage use of local centres. These are struggling to survive as parking is gradually being withdrawn and access being made increasingly difficult

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#559_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 8:41:45 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 20:39

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

all good except extending food scraps in North Shore. Most properties here have waste disposals in the kitchen, so it will be an extra cost to the householders which they will pay for & not used. Also collecting scraps is not only messy & smelly but not a great amount of waste being disposed off. This change should NOT be introduced

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

As per above food scraps should not be introduced, since it is of little benefit in amount of waste collected & disposed off

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

food scraps is not appropriate for our local area, since it is not large contributor to the waste. Most homes in the Bays have waste disposal units fitted in the kitchens

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

this will reduce level of bureaucracy & should be more efficient

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#566_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:18:09 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 21:15

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

(A) any increase in waste management only affects those who are trying to control their own waste. (B) unsure who this will help if they have a worm farm most will stick with this. (C)--- (d) rates should be paid by all religious and Maori groups (e) increase Fees eg resource consent should be met by the developer or seller for each section so as not to hold up building and they know they can start their project once they complete their purchase, building control rules must be met by the council and have a time limit on their response, and not have different officers on every property visit when these are met there may be a case to increase fees

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Not at this point but first it is important the ACC meet their present rules. There is no point making extra requirements until they honour their existing requirements

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Panuku must be controlled so if the local boards can deal with the owners which is not happening at present



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 5:21:29 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 05:20

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

I can not see any plan for consultation.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

I have concern that local government will not be able to manage it well. But I do want to see development in the area.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 6:54:53 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 06:53

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

"not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations" I don't understand why religious organisations are given these kinds of dispensations.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#643_
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 10:55:48 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 10:54

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Ok

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#644_
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 10:56:18 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 10:53

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I disagree with the proposal not to charge rates on parts of land owned by religious organisations - religious organisations are usually the ones with the most money. Everyone using the services should pay. Above proposals don't indicate the actual increase amount for regulatory fees so can't comment on whether I agree with the increase amount or not - doesn't explain the reason for the increased costs.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Explaining in more detail what has caused the increased costs to regulatory fees etc and stating what the actual increase to the fees is - means I can comment on whether the proposed increase is justified and reasonable.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Reducing duplication is important; as long as the change of ownership is managed in a responsible, fiscal and efficient manner; time always tell. We are often told change is necessary for the betterment of everyone but quite often proves the opposite due to bad management - always sceptical when it comes to government and their management abilities.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 11:10:41 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 11:06

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Not charging rates on land owned by religious organisations is anachronistic. They are very wealthy, and in my view there is no need for ratepayers to subsidise their existence. The percentage of ratepayers who attend church would be very low, and the exemption is disproportionate and unfair. The proposed \$20.67 increase in the annual waste management charge represents close to 20% more than the current charge. How can costs increase by so much?

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

The document refers to spending a capital spend of \$19.8 million to "renew and develop assets". This doesn't sound right as the renewal of an existing asset is not a capital spend. Renewal work is funded from depreciation, not from capital funding. Also, the document states that \$16.7 million is to be spent to maintain and operate assets, yet the table states that only \$15 million is to be spent. Which is correct?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

The media has suggested that this is being done for to avoid the tax implications from soon to be introduced Government legislation. If that is correct, or even just partially the reason, why not state this?



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 1:02:40 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 13:00

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

not required leave as is

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

keep my rates down

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

spend to budget mentality & not looking for savings to rate payers

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Panuku are not answerable to the rate payers and waist my rates

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:14:54 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 16:13

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Increasing costs for eg. wastewater and regulatory fees while at the same time not charging rates on some parts of land owned by religious organisations seems ridiculous. These organisations shouldn't be given special benefits. Adjusting the urban rating boundary seems fair.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:17:44 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 16:16

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I will not be happy if the food scraps rate is universally applied when rolled out further. This will penalise environmentally minded people who already handle these things sustainably through personal effort. Personally I have no food scraps in my rubbish - in fact I run a scheme in my workplace where I collect and take home food scraps and organic waste and everything goes to my chickens, worm farm or compost heaps (which also take virtually all of my cardboard and paper waste). This takes substantial effort on my part but is rewarded because a) I'm happy it's not going to landfill but critically b) the effort (substantially) reduces the amount of rubbish I throw out and therefore lowers the amount I pay for this explicitly charged service. If a flat rate is applied to every household, you are then penalising those who already handle their waste responsibly and reducing the incentive for them to do so.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:39:44 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 16:38

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Have your say on proposed increase to mooring permit fees >> I maintain my mooring and my boat properly. i also insure the boat in case it sinks or end up on rocks. why do you want me to pay for other peoples boats and moorings that need to be recovered or cleaned up. send the bill to them. If this is the mind set, i might as well also just stop maintaining my boat and mooring since the collective will pay. this is a stupid mindset. you know who owns the moorings and boats. chase them to clean it up. (Our proposal is to increase mooring permit fees by \$57.50 (inc GST). This increase is on top of the annual inflationary adjustment. This adjustment is to help fund the salvage and disposal of abandoned, derelict and wrecked boats from moorings. The number of vessels on moorings that are becoming unseaworthy is increasing and it is becoming a major issue for the Harbourmaster.)

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

to be treated fairly and not being screwed for other peoples lack of care.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:52:34 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 16:50

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

More detail on the \$20.67 for annual waste management. Why cant costs be cut?

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

General rates to decrease. Save on costs, cut costs, cut employees.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Parks need more maintenance.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Thursday, 21 February 2019 5:02:35 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 17:01

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I am against having further increases to our rates (we're impacted by the \$20.67) for a couple of reasons: 1. The current valuations are unjustifiably high so we're already paying more than we should and 2. I don't see why I have to cover the extra waste management charges from my rates, when I am already paying separately for this service.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 5:03:14 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 17:01

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

increase of annual waste charge - ok Extending food scraps trial - not necessary - people can do this them selves on their own property with worm farms / composting which the council run classes about - it is a waste of money we live in a rural area and have no water or sewage connection and now you'd like to charge the same as urban homes - I don't think this is fair unless rural homes can have all the services of urban households Not charging rates on religious or community buildings - fine Increase of fees - the fees are already outrageous and the performance of people handling the consents is poor and lengthy!!! I find it hard to believe you want to increase these fees further

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

It would be great if the council operated as a business that looks out for its customers and gave great service. As a ratepayer I feel the council takes little or no notice of ratepayers opinions. The council continues to raise rates as their first option before looking at how they could cut costs within the organisation. Stream line work, employ people who actually want to help rather they put up barriers, reduce the number of overpaid council workers and contractors!!! I am happy to pay for necessary council services but I see so many areas where the council wastes ratepayer money and then decides to put up rates it is quite infuriating.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

If it makes good business sense and reduce duplication which there is a lot of in the council it is probably a good idea

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 5:59:48 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 17:57

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

These fees should not be increased or added. There should be efficiencies that can counteract these cost increases - which is exactly what Phil Goff said he would look for and implement when he was elected and we have seen ABSOLUTELY NOTHING OF. The proposed increases in the regulatory fees are outrageous increases and should not be required when much of this work can be managed electronically.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The Hibiscus Coast bus station has been sitting half finished for 3 months now and I am told it is not due to be finished until well into mid winter. In the meantime all the people who use this station have to get soaked to the skin waiting for buses because there is no adequate cover. GET YOURSELVES ORGANISED AND GET THIS BUS STATION FINISHED NOW.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Focus on improving public transport and the facilities for this, and traffic congestion plans for coping with the burgeoning number of houses now being build in the Orewa, Millwater, Wainui, Silverdale and Milldale areas.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Reduce costs by amalgamating resources and eliminate duplications. And do it tomorrow. We have been waiting for streamlining and council efficiencies since Phil Goff said he would achieve these when becoming mayor and nothing has happened.

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:

21 February 2019 9:31:48 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 21:28

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The increase in mooring fees I believe is due to disposal costs of abandoned boats therefore mooring fees should be based on the weight of the boat.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Religious organisations should pay the same rates as any other land owner.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Havent read the priorities and there is no link to the consultation document.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

The Council should be on top of making sure there is no further waterfront encroachment into the harbour by POAL.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 10:05:59 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 22:03

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I appreciate this information very much and I will take time to read it again. What I miss is the capital gain tax that New Zealand needs for better education. It will solve a lot of problems esp. that young uneducated people have to find their way and satisfaction. The gap between a small part of the riches they control everything and the poor ones has to be a bit equalized.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 10:38:18 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 22:36

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support the proposals

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Balanced between culture, town centre renewal and improvements. Not sure what happened to the Mairangi Bay beach area upgrade though?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 5:20:42 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 05:18

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

All sounds reasonable but cost containment through improved efficiency still needs to be a focus

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Focus on an improved living environment for the future and retaining the character of the area

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Reduction in Council duplication (equals improved efficiency) Council will take a wider view of competing interests in development of the properties

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#838_
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 7:47:38 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 07:46

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Fine.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Dog access - I am disgusted by the continuing targeting of dogs and dog owners through ever increasing restrictions at beaches and tracks/walkways. I completely respect the need for there to be restrictions at certain places/times but increasingly it feels like dogs and their owners are becoming unwanted pariahs in our own city. Dogs provide a massive benefit to individuals and communities - the research on this is extensive and well known. And dog ownership rates are increasing in this country. Responsible dog owners don't mind paying registration fees but are becoming more and more disgruntled as we get less and less in return every year. Start targeting those who abuse those rights instead of those that are a positive element in the community. Honestly Auckland, so much for wanting to be the most livable city in the world! What a JOKE!!! Right now I can't wait to move out of this city, you obviously don't want me or my dog!

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Hibiscus and Bays

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

See comments re dog access/restrictions in question 2.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

