

**Date: Wednesday, 10 April 2019**

---

**Annual Budget 2019/2020**

**Kaipātiki Local Board**

**WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 3  
(2465– 3426)**

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 12:21

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I want to see more overall support for environmental projects and community development. Also, there needs to be support for community groups who are working together to mitigate and adapt for climate change.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

I would like to see the Kaipatiki Project's Environment Centre at 17 Lauderdale Rd, Birkdale upgraded. It provides an extremely valuable community service as an informal education provider to help people learn about making more sustainable lifestyle decisions. Such education is increasingly crucial as we face so many challenges due to environmental degradation and climate change. Also, they are one of the few places left where you can buy native trees/shrubs for your restoration projects because of their nursery. The Kaipatiki Local Board needs to budget and then build a fit-for-purpose centre to replace the currently leaky and unhealthy building. Sustainable building elements need to be used to model best practice for others to copy. Replacing the building is long overdue and it's time to deliver. I also want to see support for setting up and running a low carbon network in the Kaipatiki Local Board as we need to do more to shift to a low/zero carbon economy.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

We need faster action on these development proposals. Reducing governance duplication could speed up necessary processes.

**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Friday, 15 March 2019 1:37:15 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 13:36

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

My local board, Northcote Brikenhead Area is not on the list

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020  
**Date:** Friday, 15 March 2019 2:27:44 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 14:25

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Kaipatiki Project supports community-led environment programmes and projects that are increasingly important in addressing our environmental challenges. As the current building is leaky and unhealthy for community activities, this great community project is under threat. To continue their important work, they should be supported.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets, so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020  
**Date:** Friday, 15 March 2019 2:37:24 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 14:35

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

I'd like to ask the Local Board to fully fund the proposed Environment Centre at 17 Lauderdale Road. They haven't provided enough funding for this. The current facilities are not fit for purpose - leaky, damp, cramped and mouldy facilities which probably are making the people who work there unwell. The Kaipatiki Project are so important to our area in terms of the environmental programmes and projects they provide to adults and children, and the regeneration of native bush in our area and further afield. This building has been in the pipeline for too long now - time for action!!

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 15:44

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

please speed up collecting food scraps from families as very few compost their food scraps.

**2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

need impose penalty for throwing rubbish and not following the recycling rules (the most annoyed part is people putting plastic bags into recycling bins!!)

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

**Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Kaipatiki Local Board provides good programmes that benefit the community as a whole. Support funding to Kaipatiki Local Board as their building is leaky and unhealthy for activities.

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

need more information before forwarding my opinion.



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Friday, 15 March 2019 5:12:18 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 17:10

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I agree that rates should not be charged on some parts of land owned by religious organisations. Churches and their congregations are still a very important part of our communities, providing social services, fellowship and support to a diverse number of people, whether or not they are actual members of that church. Many church buildings are used during times outside the 'Sunday services' times by community groups. The church office/Minister's office is used for religious purposes - meetings, support for parishioners and others, small group worship services. If faced with an increased rates cost, many churches would no longer be able to provide the community involvement they currently provide.

## **2. What is important to you?**

### **Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

I am always interested in what the council does, and I receive information from my local board. In this case, as a church member, I feel that it is important to 'have my say' as asked.

## **3. Local Board information**

### **Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

## **Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### **What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 19:36

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I am always happy to pay my rates and have slight increases if it can be seen that projects are being addressed which improve life for everyone in Auckland. We need to address waste management in a sustainable way and change our habits. Huge projects such as the America's Cup are great events but not at the expense of other local essential maintenance and our beautiful harbour such not be compromised for bigger cruises vessels.

## **2. What is important to you?**

### **Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

I am seriously concerned about the lack of financial support for my particular local areas of Beach Haven and Birkdale. Maintenance of pathways and bridges has been increasingly poor and my own and other neighbours' efforts to report issues appear to fall on deaf ears. We do not get acknowledgments from the council let alone feedback on progress. This in not good and makes us feel helpless with no voice. Acknowledgment of this would be good but I will be shocked if I get one. Communication must be improved.

## **3. Local Board information**

### **Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

## **Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

Please see comments above. I feel that our area is a partly abandoned corner of an otherwise wealthy North Shore with lots of vocal advocates. Concerned about the potential shelving of the rebuild of the Kaipatiki Project centre in Lauderdale Road. It is mouldy / cold and unhealthy and inadequate for the number of volunteers , corporate groups, schools and adult education which are accommodated there.

## **Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### **What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

Very low opinion of aspects of Panuku developments, not least their communications team who cannot use correct English language - an embarrassment to the city. The funding change may

serve the city better but listen to the people of Auckland- the whole of Auckland.

Auckland Council





Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 20:47

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Panuku and other CCOs have too much power and are too removed from community led development. Better for Council to have control - subject to community-led policy setting.



Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 21:29

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I particularly like the top 2 points. These will help to minimise waste going to landfill.

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No.

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

### Comments

I think the walkway between Tui Park and Shepherd's park is a waste of money. The board should be spending the money upgrading the existing walkways in the bush, many of which are run down and dangerous. This is particularly important because of Kauri dieback where many walks have been closed. I would also like to see the building updated for Kaipatiki Environmental Project.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

It will streamline the governance of these properties. Ultimately saving money.

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Saturday, 16 March 2019 12:26:23 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 16 Mar 2019 00:20

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Rating of religious organisations. I think the proposals are ill conceived and for no good reason. The proposals has very limited impact on overall rate revenue; all it does is spread the rates over more properties. It makes a simple matter far more complex and open to interpretation, adds to administrative effort and leads to ongoing additional expense. I have seen no sound reason for introducing the proposed changes and of course the Rating Powers act does not require such changes. My general perception is resource consent and similar fees are far too high. They in part thwart some of what Council is trying to achieve from both private good and public good perspectives. The 'charge up' model does little to induce Council to develop and implement efficiencies in it is modus operandi. Feedback I hear is the charges are 'well beyond being a joke' and does not serve Council well - quite the reverse acting as a disincentive to make property improvements and to consider new builds.

## **2. What is important to you?**

### **Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

I feel as if 'no one' really cares about my neighbourhood. there are several examples. Road surfaces which are in quite good condition are resealed yet segments known to have real issues are ignore year after year. the same applies to footpaths - there seems to be no needs-based assessments. Some areas have been marked up to four times for footpath remediation yet others seemingly receive no assessments at all. Mowing of berms and similar is a joke - almost always swathes of freshly cut grass is 'sprayed' across footpaths and roads waiting for wind and rain to disperse. Berms condition is often abysmal - soil levels never brought up to grade - toby and valve boxes proud of the soil - soil levels a mess. Reserves where mowers are either driven too fast and cut up the turf, or are too heavy for the conditions leaving the turf difficult to walk or play on. The North Shore Ccemetery is one of the worst I have seen anywhere in NZ or overseas for ground depression - high risk of ankle and other injuries and its been like that for many years. If Council can't or won't look after the assets we already have there seems to be little point in building/developing more. Part of the issues is likely the way contracts are let and managed and the folks who do the work likely not working in their own neighbourhood - there's no reason for them to take pride in what they do.

## **3. Local Board information**

### **Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

## **Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

### In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

I can't really tell! Part of the reason is there is limited insight provided about what they are endeavouring to achieve within their (very limited) mandate long term. How do the proposals fit with the long term goals? Why have the items chosen been given the priority they have been given viv-a-vis other items. Part of the overall issue is the seeming lack of control and influence Local Boards have over their "patch" - The CCO's seem to have the biggest spends and the biggest influence.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Saturday, 16 March 2019 8:09:47 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 16 Mar 2019 08:09

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



extending the food scraps service would be very welcome. I believe that religious organisations should not get exemptions from their rates unless that land is actually being used for religious services - just owning the land shouldn't get them exemptions.

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

some of the proposed changes won't affect my ward. I am hoping that the food scraps one will affect my ward though

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

when outside agencies are involved there is always a risk that their goals and targets are not in the public's interest. in general i would always prefer things to be managed by government rather than outside agencies

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020  
**Date:** Saturday, 16 March 2019 1:40:13 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 16 Mar 2019 13:39

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Religious organisations should be charged rates and shouldn't be excluded from costs.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

**Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Only the food scraps service would be what we're interested in.

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Saturday, 16 March 2019 4:54:18 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 16 Mar 2019 16:53

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

My concern would be with resource consent, building control charges going up they are already expensive and add to the cost of building houses, they people can afford. I feel the council should be helping people to own a house.

## **2. What is important to you?**

### **Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

There should be more work put into looking at roads mainly to exit where now we are over run with parked cars, where some house have up to six people with cars. Auckland Transport need to look at putting yellow lines in more streets, Recently I contacted regarding another car that had sat outside our place since before Xmas and now has and expired licence. This is the third time this has happened. The last time the two cars were removed. Since beginning of March we have another two that sit there night and day.

## **3. Local Board information**

### **Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

## **Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

## **Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### **What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Especially if this saves money.



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 16 Mar 2019 23:19

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I am writing on behalf of the Birkenhead Methodist Church which is in the Kaipatiki Local Board area. I would like to comment in favour of the proposal to not charge rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and support the Auckland Council in “recognising the importance of religious organisations and the services they provide to our community.” I am making some comments under the following headings: + presbytery/manse used to house clergy which is onsite or adjacent to the place of religious worship We do not currently own a presbytery/manse either onsite or adjacent to the church, however, if we were to purchase one in the future, it would be unlikely for something suitable to become available right next door to the present church, and we might purchase something in the local area, but not necessarily adjacent. (Note: We are currently discussing a possible amalgamation with another nearby church, and it could be possible that we ended up as a new church entity which included a church on one site and a manse, on another site a few streets away.) + halls and gymnasiums used for community not-for-profit purposes At present, groups that use our premises include: • a women’s badminton club, (hall) • a pre-school playgroup, (meeting room) • two Alcoholic Anonymous groups, (meeting room) • two dance classes, (hall) • a Girls’ Brigade company, (meeting room, hall) • a seniors drop-in group, (meeting room, hall) • a Samoan choir, (meeting room) • a Samoan exercise class, (hall) • a Samoan youth group, (hall) • a Korean choir, (church auditorium) • a Korean fellowship group (meeting room) • a Parkinson’s support group (meeting room) • a jazz brass band, (church auditorium) • an after-school tutoring programme. (meeting room) • Order of St John’s (whole complex except church auditorium) • English language congregation (whole complex) • Samoan language congregation (whole complex) • Korean language congregation (whole complex) None of these groups are charged a market rental for use of our premises, although some pay a contribution towards power, repairs and maintenance. In fact, the church is, by default, subsidising their existence, and thereby providing a valuable community service. However, if the church was charged commercial rates on our premises and had to pass these charges on to our community users, many of these groups would have to close, as there are few other low cost options available in the area. + offices that are onsite, and which exist for religious purposes Our onsite church office (with one part-time worker) is used to support the work and services of the church. These are many and varied, and include religious purposes, but also include social and community purposes. In the office we print the weekly church newsletter, prepare worship sheets with songs and prayers, print handouts for Sunday school children, rosters for volunteers, email and phone communications with members and other churches and community organisations, store foodbank donations, count the offering and process church banking, and co-ordinate use of the premises by church and community groups. It is not a “commercial” office, as we are a not for profit charity. + car parks serving multiple uses but primarily used for religious purposes. We have a car park that is used not only on Sundays “for religious purposes”, but also during the week for a variety of church and community activities, and by all the various users of the premises. This community use should not be rated either.

## **2. What is important to you?**

### **Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Our church was founded in 1880 to provide for the spiritual, social, educational and physical well-being of the Birkenhead community. When Birkenhead Borough was officially formed in 1888, our church building became the area’s Council Chambers, until the construction of the first Council building nearly 18 years later. So, we have a long history of community involvement. The charitable purpose of our church covers much more than just “religious” teaching and observances. Community and hospitality are key Christian values and our church is more than just a place for Sunday worship services. Our communal lifestyle includes weddings, funerals, baptisms, Easter and Christmas celebrations, birthdays, anniversaries, Synod gatherings, workshops and seminars, music and bible study groups, children’s, youth and seniors groups, etc. These events include the sharing of food and cultural socialising, which require use of kitchen, hall, toilets, meeting rooms, as well as a “church” space. We see our role in the community as providing connection, friendship, identity, meaning, belonging and a safe “place to be” for many people of different ages and cultures. This is how we live out Jesus’ teaching to “love your

neighbour". Our land and buildings are a trust bequeathed to us from previous generations for the purposes of present and future generations. In fostering community groups and providing spaces for them to meet, we are also contributing towards the well-being and health (mental, physical and spiritual) of our local Birkenhead community. This is vital work at a time when our society is becoming more fragmented and many people are suffering from loneliness and social isolation. If our church closed, the council would not have sufficient resources to provide alternative meeting places for these community groups. (i.e. women's badminton club, pre-school playgroup, two Alcoholic Anonymous groups, two dance classes, Girls' Brigade company, seniors drop-in group, Samoan choir, Samoan exercise class, Samoan youth group, Korean choir, Korean fellowship group, Parkinson's support group, jazz brass band, after-school tutoring programme, Order of St John's meetings, English language congregation, Samoan language congregation, Korean language congregation.) As our buildings age, it becomes even more expensive to maintain them. In the past, much of this was done by voluntary labour, but an aging congregation and upgraded Health and Safety standards means that volunteers are not always available or qualified to do the work. Most of our groups are not-for-profit, and run by volunteers. It is a struggle for us to recover costs from those using our premises. The church is actually subsidising the existence of these groups because we believe in their value to the wider community. If we have to pay council rates as well, we risk not being able to sustain the buildings as a viable community resource.

Conclusion - I strongly support the council's plan in relation to exemptions from rates for religious organisations, but also believe that religious organisations are exempt from rates on most of these activities under the law as it has been interpreted since 1876. Religious worship is not just Sunday observance, but is a lifestyle. It includes being part of a community that may meet in large groups and in various sub-groups on any day of the week, for fellowship and support, teaching and education, and celebrating Christian and other important cultural festivals and practices. We are not a profit-making business, but a valuable and important community-making and human organisation.

### 3. Local Board information

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

### Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council





Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 17 Mar 2019 08:52

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

**2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Protecting our environment should be a priority

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

**Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Yes, they do a great job and need funds to keep the good work up

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_  
**Date:** Sunday, 17 March 2019 2:35:36 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 17 Mar 2019 14:34

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

We support the waste charge and extending food scraps to achieve the WMM Plan and the goal of Zero Waste- Pare Kore ki Tamaki.

## **2. What is important to you?**

### **Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

We urgently request development of a plan for support and development of community environment centres across Auckland as part of Council partnering approach to achieving its environmental goals.

## **3. Local Board information**

### **Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

## **Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

We support the Board's priorities of environmental activities and goals, and the operational funding for Pest-Free Kaipatiki, including Kauri Dieback and protecting and enhancing kauri habitat. We appreciate the Board's support for Kaipatiki Project as part of the environmental priorities and ongoing operational funding. However, we submit that the Board should advocate for regional support for Kaipatiki Project, as the environment centre of the North Shore. We appreciate the commitment to the renewal of 17 Lauderdale Rd, Birkdale, as the base of operations for Kaipatiki Project. However, in order to deliver a fit-for-purpose environment centre, we urgently request the funding be increased for this project, and there be advocacy for regional support. Key points: • Kaipatiki Project supports community-led environment programmes and projects that are increasingly important in addressing our environmental challenges • The current building is leaky and unhealthy for community activities • This project has been a very long time coming- it's time to deliver! Kaipatiki Project has increased its role over the past three years as a Board partner to deliver its environmental goals and outcomes. Currently this includes with community on: - volunteer training and engagement for restoration and sustainability - water quality work across 5 streams - facilitation of Zero Waste Kaipatiki network and projects - support for establishment and development of Pest Free Kaipatiki - schools environmental education through experience - fun and inspiring events and activities. All of these activities require coordination and 'holding the strings together' which happens out of 17 Lauderdale. Currently this building is not fit for this purpose. It is increasingly urgent to address the failure of this building and the project provides an opportunity to create a valuable asset that can support and host an increased range of activities and groups to enable the next step change for Kaipatiki Project's partnership with the Local Board to deliver environmental outcomes. This project has been discussed and considered and designed and planned over the past 10 years. It is now time to make good on this work and deliver a building we can all be proud of. This will require prioritising more budget than the Board has currently set aside, in order to have it delivered as soon as possible, within the current project timeline, to realise the benefits of the planning and designwork invested already.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Sunday, 17 March 2019 8:51:29 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 17 Mar 2019 20:48

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Disagree with annual waste mgmt charge increase -just another way of increasing rates rather than looking at council spending overall. Agree to extension of urban boundary where urbanised. Disagree with increase in regulatory fees - same reasons as above

## **2. What is important to you?**

### **Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Reduction in speed limits. Tried to give feedback but website seemed to only allow feedback road by road. Questionable as to why it was made so difficult. Roadworks around albert street have got significantly worse with Victoria to Albert st one lane and also victoria st. Getting out of the DurhamSt West carpark is horrendous.

## **3. Local Board information**

### **Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

## **Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

140 free community events pa seems alot for our area. Also governance costs of 1.1m is high given the revenue.

## **Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### **What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

While this is not be the question, reducing quay street to one lane each way is insane,



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_  
**Date:** Sunday, 17 March 2019 10:53:31 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 17 Mar 2019 22:52

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

I support the renewal of the building used by Kaipatiki Project. The current building is totally unsuitable for staff and visiting groups (including schools) as it is unhealthy. This project has been underway for at least 10 years and it is time the rebuild is actioned. This is the only Environment Centre north of the Harbour Bridge and is sorely needed in order to expand their services to schools and community alike. The current funding allocated by the Local Board is grossly under budget. I strongly support additional funding be granted so that the building can commence as soon as possible.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#  
**Date:** Sunday, 17 March 2019 11:22:22 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 17 Mar 2019 23:21

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

1. I have a worm bin and a compost heap. Most people do. We therefore will not use the food scraps service. Please employ the user-pays model, do not inflict the charge on everybody. 2. My salary doesn't increase to keep up with inflation. Please don't increase your rates for anything.

## 2. What is important to you?

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Stop charging a fortune for building inspections, consents, etc.

## 3. Local Board information

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Northcote keeps getting all the redevelopment money. With ferries now going from Beach Haven, put more funding into the Beach Haven Village.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

Sounds ok in theory, but in practice I don't trust the Council with the ownership.

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_  
**Date:** Sunday, 17 March 2019 11:30:09 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 17 Mar 2019 23:28

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

**Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Do not support

I do not have any faith or trust in Panuku , which is an out-of-control organisation made up of unelected and arrogant bureaucrats who forget they should be serving the citizens of Auckland.

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Sunday, 17 March 2019 10:19:13 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 17 Mar 2019 10:18

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I would like to comment in favour of the proposal to not charge rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations. While I strongly support the council's plan in relation to exemptions from rates for religious organisations, I believe that religious organisations are exempt from rates on most of those activities under the law as is has been interpreted since 1876.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback\_ID#  
**Date:** Sunday, 17 March 2019 11:19:02 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 17 Mar 2019 11:18

**Attachment:**

**Language:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.



**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Agree with the \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge.

## **2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

## **3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

## **Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**

18 March 2019 9:17:48 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 09:17

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs -> agree
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available -> agree
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021) -> disagree
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours) -> disagree
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and -> disagree
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs. -> agree

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Do not support


Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)  
To:

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).



## **1. Changes to rates and fees**

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Agree. These changes increase service quality or reduce costs of living.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support



Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 10:13

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Chinese

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Disagree with the increase to the annual waste management charge Disagree with the increase to some regulatory fees Why you do not phase out the rural sewerage service and targeted rate of North Shore? There should be fair treatment for all.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 11:15:22 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback




### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 11:14

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Chinese



### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.



**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

1. Not agree with the increase in annual waste management charge. Disagree with the increase in some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 8:39:40 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 20:38

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I support the changes to rates and fees

## 2. What is important to you?

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

More money needs to be apportioned to improving health of our freshwater and narube aquatic ecosystems

## 3. Local Board information

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Kaipatiki Project supports community-led environment programmes and projects that are increasingly important in addressing our environmental challenges. Kaipatiki Project is essentially the community science hub of our rohe. The current building is leaky and unhealthy for community activities This project has been a very long time coming- it's time to deliver!

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

seems logical, why have overlap of ownership

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 8:40:20 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 20:39

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Keep the rates on land owned by religious organisations. Extend composting initiative. Increase waste water fee. Adjust urban rating boundary

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Fund Kaipatiki community centre rebuild.

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Prioritise Kaipatiki Community Centre rebuild.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 8:40:30 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 20:38

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I do not agree with the "not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organizations": religion organizations have lots of money, more than normal people and they SHOULD give back to the community paying taxes as everyone else.

**2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

you should invest in the recycling of soft plastic

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

**Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

you should support companies in the local area that are involved in recycling (abilities) + teach people to be considerate with their plastic waste and teach them to minimize it

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Do not support

because the council is not trustable enough to not sell these properties to private



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 8:42:21 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 20:40

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



Won't be happy with increase to resource consent

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I look down from the Birkenhead Bus at Northcote Point. and see the low tide is brown sand. When we were kids, that brown sand was covered in kelp, seaweed, King Neptune's necklace and pipi, cockle, shells. Zilch as at low tide on 12th March 2019. No more dolphins in Auckland Harbour, at all.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

### Comments

They do their best. Anything that improves safety of the public, day and night, is good.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Doubling up has shown a lack of strategy. Mr Towns leaves for Tauranga at weekends, and has no input on weekend hoards of people in Quay St.

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 8:45:11 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 20:44

**Attachment:**

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Do not support



Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 20:59

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I have been volunteering at the Kaipatiki Center (Lauderdale Rd) on and off for the last 6 years. During this time it has become obvious that the condition of the building, which supports community-led environment programmes and projects is becoming ever more in need of repair or rebuild. It leaks and the workers there have concerns for their on-going health. This center is used by many people from all over Auckland. It speaks loudly in support of community activities that sustain our forests, native flora and fauna.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 21:25

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

why can some houses pay the same wastewater for 5-6 toilets as houses with only 2?? should be per toilet charge. food scrap bins for everyone of course charge urban rates to new urban areas- no brainer all land should pay rates as they are getting same service- regardless if it is religious consent costs shouldnt rise as it is the same job. mooring costs should as they are using the waterway

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Kaipatiki Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

already owned by the council, just more paperwork to transfer. same people running the show

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 9:40:20 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 21:39

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



Extend the food scraps option to all of the North Shore please

**2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Keep rates as low as possible while maintaining essential services

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

**Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

More money on shade sales for all playgrounds especially shepherds park. Need to upgrade windy Ridge playground and include shade sails. When looking at Glenfield revamp need to consult with public and ensure parking maintained.

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 9:48:41 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 21:47

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

It is urgent to fix water issues in Auckland, so I support the \$20.67 increase in the water rate charge, which is fairly modest in the big scheme of things. The food scrap trial is probably worth it, if it is likely to reduce the impact of our waste on the environment. I strongly oppose any exemptions for religious organisations.

## **2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

No.

## **3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

## **Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

I like the focus on the environment. More work needs to be done in weed reduction and pest prevention in the many pockets of native Bush in our area ( which is the best part of living here). Linley Reserve for example is overgrown with jasmine, wandering willy and other weeds. There are woolly nightshade plants everywhere in Kaipatiki (check out the retaining wall at the Velma Road end of McFeteridge Park! Also when the council plants trees in parks or on germs, why don't they water them over the first summer to help them get Established?

## **Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

If it reduces unnecessary admin costs. However, I will only support it if there is no interference in what they do by other parts of Auckland Council. They have done an outstanding job in Wynyard Quarter and I look forward to seeing their redevelopment of the hideous Takapuna Car Park!

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 22:02

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I agree with all these points except the last. Resource consents are prohibitively expensive now. Do not increase those. If necessary, other regulatory fees, especially for expensive hobbies such as boating, can be increased but not for necessities like shelter. About the religious organisations rates relief, their facilities are often used by community, non church, and church groups for little or no hire fees. They are an important community amenity .

## **2. What is important to you?**

### **Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Solve Eden Park. Either enable the Eden Park managers to get surpluses on events, or force closure and sell it for housing. If the latter, build an all purpose stadium elsewhere that is an architectural draw card.

## **3. Local Board information**

### **Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

## **Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

### Comments

Especially like the focus on controlling weeds and predators in public places and waterways. Like the clean up emphasis.

## **Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### **What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Agree with your reasons above.



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 11:25:10 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 11:24

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Chinese

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Maintain the status quo

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 10:48:21 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 22:45

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



I think this is reasonable

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

**Kaipatiki Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 11:33:31 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

•a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs -> Disagree with the increase •an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs. -> Disagree with the mooring fees increase

**2. What is important to you?****Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Too expensive for school uniform

**3. Local Board information****Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 11:57:01 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Remain the same for the annual waste management charge and regulatory fees.

## **2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

## **3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

## **Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 11:59:31 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Maintain the status quo

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 12:57:54 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 12:57

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.



**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Disagree with the increase to the annual management charge Disagree with the increase to some regulatory fees to cover increased costs.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 1:07:53 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 13:07

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Disagree with the last one. Others are find.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 1:13:33 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 13:13

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 1:23:05 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 13:22

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Agree with the increase to the annual waste management charge

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 1:37:34 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 13:37

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.



**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs -> Disagree
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and -> Agree

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feed  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 4:19:32 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 16:18

**Attachment:**

**Language:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

See attachment for more info

**2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 10:18:40 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 10:17

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Korean

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Very uncomfortable to see these rates increasing by years

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 10:46:26 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 10:45

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Korean

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Do not support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 10:48:06 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 10:47

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.



Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 10:52:26 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 10:51

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Korean

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Suggest managing all expenses within budget without increasing rates

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Do not support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback\_ID#685\_  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 11:10:57 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 11:10

**Attachment:**

**Language:**

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback\_ID#687\_  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 11:12:46 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 11:12

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback\_ID#690\_  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 11:20:47 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 11:20

**Attachment:**

**Language:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.



Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback\_ID#691\_  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 11:22:17 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 11:21

**Attachment:**

**Language:**

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Kaipatiki

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback\_ID#  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 11:35:58 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Council needs to manage all expenses with the current budget. Increasing rates each year will deteriorate our quality of living.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Kaipatiki

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council

