

Annual Budget 2019/2020 and Proposed Amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers consultation feedback report

Annual Budget 2019/2020 consultation feedback report for Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

1. Purpose

This report summarises feedback relating to the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board received through the Annual Budget 2019/2020 consultation. This includes:

- Feedback on the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board priorities for 2019/2020
- Feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 from people or organisations based in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area

The feedback received will inform the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board decisions on allocation of their local budgets in their local board agreement for 2019/2020. It will also inform the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board's input and advocacy on regional budgets and proposals that will be agreed at their business meeting on 17 April 2019 and subsequently discussed with the Finance and Performance Committee on 8 May.

2. Executive Summary

This report summarises consultation feedback on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 (including on local board priorities for 2019/2020).

Council received feedback in person at community engagement events, through written forms (including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters) and through social media.

In summary the local board received the following feedback:

Platform	Numbers	Comment
Hard copy	44	Submissions <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Online 39• Hard copy 3• Non-form 2
Events	194	Attended only 118 comments received from all three events
Social media	12	'Likes' only

Feedback on Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board priorities for 2019/2020

The local board consulted on the following priorities:

- Priority 1: Youth initiatives
- Priority 2: Reduce local waste and increase recycling

In total 44 submissions were received on Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board priorities for 2019/2020, showing 56% partial support, 35% support and 9% did not support.

Feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area

Out of the 2,953 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020, 34 submissions were from people living in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area. The breakdown of changes to rates and fees are as follows:

Changes to rates and fees

Annual waste management changes	Feedback total 8	Support 3	Not support 5	
Food scraps targeted rate	Feedback total 4	Support 1	Not support 3	
Regulatory fees	Feedback total 8	Support 0	Not support 8	
Religious properties rates	Feedback total 14	Support 6	Not Support 6	Partial support 2

No feedback received for the following three issues: Draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2019/2020, Waitākere rural sewerage targeted rate and Urban boundary rating

Feedback on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers

Out of the 2,533 submissions received on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers, 30 submissions were from people living in the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

A total of 29 responses to this question showing the majority of submitters support (66%) and partially supported (28%) the amendment to the 10-year budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers.

10 Year Budget Amendment	Code	Count	%
What is your opinion about this proposal?			
	Support	19	66%
	Partially support	8	28%
	Do not support	2	7%

3. Context

Auckland Council consulted on its Annual Budget 2019/2020 and a proposed Amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers between 17 February and 17 March 2019.

The Annual Budget 2019/2020 must include a Local Board Agreement for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board which sets out our priorities and how we're going to pay for them.

Auckland Council also consulted on the Our Water Future discussion document at the same time. The feedback received on this discussion document will be presented at a later date.

Types of feedback

Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 5,249 people in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:

- Written feedback – 1,113 hard copy and 2,423 online forms, emails and letters
- In person – feedback was received through 14 have your say events and 51 community events.
- Social media – 25 comments were received through Facebook and Twitter.

4. Feedback received on Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board priorities for 2019/2020

The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board consulted on the following priorities:

- Priority 1: Youth initiatives
- Priority 2: Reduce local waste and increase recycling

Key themes across all feedback received (through written, events and social media channels) were:

- more initiatives for our young people
- deliver education programmes and promotion on recycling and composting waste
- provide segregated rubbish bins at our facilities and town centres.

A summary of the feedback received through each channel is provided below.

Feedback received through written submissions

All 44 submissions were received on Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board's local priorities for 2019/2020 the results were as follows:

Count	Position
35% (12)	Support
56% (19)	Partially support
9% (3)	Do not support

Here are comments compiled of what was commented on the local board priorities and other themes:

1. Youth initiatives

Support

- a. 787 - You seem to address the right policies
- b. 1603 - A deeper focus on housing in the local area. More initiatives on safety — given the increase in criminal activities in Mangere bridge
- c. 1957 - Important that the Mangere East community hub is progressed. Tourist trail? Lot needs to be done in our communities first. Assistance and resources for Maori and Pasifika people to set up businesses.

Partially support

- a. 437 - I want to see more involvement and programs for youth. Internships/cadetships to show our young people pathways to earning higher incomes. Involvement in developments in area i.e: HLC and using local businesses to do some work. Push on with the Rail to Airport that crosses through Mangere, ensure this is a priority, to improve public transport for the area
- b. 592 - Unsure of how these changes affect Otahuhu, —Mangere ratepayers. It would help if everyday examples were given to elucidate each proposed changeea.
- c. 1132 - for having a young population we need some adventurous parks like other areas of Auckland
- d. We'd like to see more emphasis on dedicated youth spaces. These spaces would promote our community youth existing strengths for start—ups. Our youth are very entrepreneurial if given the space and resources. (Submission 592)
- e. Ask our youth what they want: go into the schools, target the ones that hang around the town centres and bus stops causing grieve, our marae and kura children and include the parents and families if you can. (Submission 1358)
- f. I think we are doing the best we can with our youth, how about free regular dance, music and acting classes. Whatever you start needs to be long term and sustainable, we don't want to make promises that can't be kept. (Submission 1358)

Do not support

- a. 1507 - How many people use the cycle lanes around Mangere Town Centre, I can tell you its between zero and none except when mormon missionaries are in town. What standard are the public play grounds around Mangere and Otahuhu compared to other areas of Auckland?
- b. 2251 - Money is wasted on feel good projects not important ones.

2. Waste reduction and increased recycling

Support

- a. 2039 - Community educational programmes in various languages. Recycling models displaced in town centres so people can see 'how to do it' — could be huge posters or videos? Working with community groups to push the message out.
- b. 492 - Increased availability and access to services. User pays will not work effectively in this area.
- c. 787 - More advertising.
- d. 1324 - Continue to have the red, blue and yellow bins at all local board sponsored events.

Partially

- a. 437 - Have separate park bins separating recycling and general waste. Faster response to collection of rubbish in local areas. If left to build up then others will join in the dumping in that area. Install cameras in high dumping areas. Or have a quarterly street clean up, or a quarterly chipper for area to get rid of green waste and trees. Then use mulch for gardens.
- b. 672 - More bin sets (the ones that have recycling, compost & landfill).
- c. 954 - I want to see a local resource recovery centre, get the youth involved, challenge is getting a site, there must be somewhere that is handy to people, get the shop set up and hiring local people then start the fix it /reuse cafe and zero waste hub.
- d. 1707 - traditional methods of recycling incorporated into modern techniques. for example, what to do with vegetable peels — compost — how?

- e. 592 - Councils to subsidise composting resources and tools for families in the Mangere—Otahuhu. Reducing organic waste, transforming it into compost. However, the affordability of initially starting the process can be a challenge.

Do not support

- a. 1507 - You need to make it cheaper for people to avoid dumping otherwise it will continue as it has been for years.
- b. 2251 - It is fine as it is.

Feedback received through events

The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board hosted two Drop-in events and one local community fono.

Event	Location	Number of people attended (total 194)	Total comments received from all three events
Drop-in	Otahuhu	60	118
Drop-in	Mangere	128	
Local community fono	Mangere East	6	

- The key themes from these events are: changes to rates and fees (26), Mangere – Otahuhu Local Board (50), religious properties rates (13), Regional community services (10) other regional services (14).

Here are feedback examples received on the key themes from the local events:

- Changes to rates and fees
 - a. Changing religious organisations for "commercial uses, and not charging for the ministers house. Believe that no charge should be on the chapel, hall etc. but their own residence should be charged. That there isn't a lot of Maori land left in Auckland area
 - b. Support non-payment of rates for all churches
 - c. Need a more simplified approach in explaining the rates proposal - increase and how ordinary people understand them
 - d. Waste charges going up
 - e. Want more elaboration to understand the different roles that happen on church lands.
 - f. Want - yellow bin/ red bin. China not seeing food scrap service
- Mangere–Otahuhu Local Board
 - a. free community class run here on recycling - in different languages. Also can you share the type of rubbish we should recycle and how. Maybe do a poster and put it on the walls at the town centre and library
 - b. can someone paint the towncentre please. It looks very old.
 - c. What can the council do on all the youth fighting in Mangere. Can we have some sort of plan for these young people. Can there be a working group looking after this matter with police?
 - d. The students need assistance with travel discounts, esp. if the use of public transport is being promoted widely. Maybe AT can work out some deals with schools and offer hop cards from schools?
 - e. Otahuhu Town centre regeneration: do not support the removal of car parks.
 - f. we need better, cleaner parks in Otahuhu with more recycle bins to support the high use of parks. There needs to be different types of bins as well - bottle, recycle and general rubbish. Can a clothing bin also be placed near the park.

- Religious properties rates
 - a. Believes that if the ministers house is further away from church land – then it should not be rated.
 - b. Ministers houses being further away – it does not matter as long the ministers house is still on church land.
 - c. A minister’s house should be non-ratable – because he is a servant of God/still going the churches bidding.
 - d. Want more elaboration to understand the different roles that happen on church lands.
- Regional community services
 - a. There’s a lights issue in south Auckland parks. Putting lights up might help communities to be more active because they’d feel safer to train in public places with lights, wouldn’t feel too dangerous.
 - b. Playgrounds in Auckland aren’t being taken care of as well as other parts of Auckland.
 - c. Need to put water in to our parks.
 - d. Having water fountains and parks (healthy kai, healthy families etc)
 - e. Need for lights in public parks/making things more visible (white lights)
 - f. Need for lights in South Auckland parks.
 - g. One parent urged the need for a Youth Strategy. With crime rates among youth on the rise, its important for us to start including youth (aged 9 and above) to start including them in these conversation so we can find ways forward. Theres nothing specific in place for youth aged 9-10yr olds (which is the age group that are most susceptible to crime and peer pressure).
- Local Community Services
 - a. When connecting with Council, can there be a local person that we can talk to about our issues, rather than a customer services centre. These people don’t know about our area, or our people. It is often difficult to follow up too
 - b. We would like to see programme for young parents - mum and Dad's in our local area. They are often faced with mental health issues. How can local board assist them and their wellbeing?
 - c. Can we have free gym passes for senior citizens please. Can this be linked to our gold card? Maybe 4 times a month, we can go to a gym and use the machine or spa
 - d. thank you for building a good bus station for us
- Other regional services
 - a. One of the participants asked whether or not council support tangata whenua in lhumatao. Council representative responded that they have always advised against building on lhumatao land.
 - b. Participants from Mangere wished that the Fono could have been hosted in areas more accessible to members of the community, especially in areas that are being largely affecting by water and waste issues such as Mangere and Otara.
 - c. The Fono wasn’t well advertised. Participant also asked whether or not a notice had been sent out to schools and churches etc.
 - d. Discussions like this or the meetings like this should be implemented in primarys / high schools. The younger voices need to be heard
 - e. issues around rubbish/litter
 - f. Prevention might be more effective if young people were more informed – we need to include them in initiatives and allow more of an input from younger age groups.

Feedback received through social media channels

Feedback was received from the following social media channels:

A total of 25 comments were received through these platforms region wide.

- 12 people from Facebook
- 13 people from Twitter

The feedback received were varied commenting on transport, changes to rates and fees, regional community services organic waste management, council activities like, Movies in the Park, and animal management.

Twitter feedback

- a. How do I make a submission against the plan to encroach on the harbour for the Americas Cup? I can't find it within the Have Your Say page. And if submissions finish tonight, then please take this as a submission AGAINST any further encroachments into the harbour. For the future's sake.
- b. Please maintain path between Great South Road and Beaumonts way extension Manurewa. Safe ways to get to buses and trains are a must. #akhaveyoursay
- c. The petrol tax in AKL. That's what we have paid more for. What is the SLA to get this infrastructure completed. Takanini is a disaster. I dont use this every day but I know so many commuters do. Especially when AKL workers are travelling from South to AKL. When we personally go away south during holiday periods its not that good. I'm sure a lot of commuters just going too and from work in AKL every day feel the same.

Facebook feedback


- a. stop spending our money on free entertainment. Scrap movies and music in parks is a good start. Council is there to use OUR money provide essential services to the city. Want to put on events, make sure they turn a profit.
- b. #akhaveyoursay I would like Council to reconsider the On-Line Accomodation Provider Targetted Rate (APTR) Bands in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 such that the lower Banding is brought into line with the Inland Revenue Departments value of 100 booking nights being considered the threshold for Business / Commercial rating.
- c. No to 91 million for a cricket arena.. no to Eden park 120 million debt bailout no to stadium on Port of Auckland. Address needs first

Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board area: The local board's Facebook only received 'likes' to the Annual Plan topic.


Information on submitters

The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.


How feedback was received from 44 submissions




By submitter type from 44 submissions:




By gender from 44 submissions:



By age from 44 submissions:



By ethnicity from 44 submissions:



5. Overview of feedback received on the Annual Budget from Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area

The Annual Budget 2019/2020 sets out our priorities and how we're going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed Annual Budget focused on changes to rates and fees:

- Changes to rates and fees
 - Annual waste management changes
 - Food scraps targeted rate
 - Waitākere rural sewerage targeted rate
 - Urban boundary rating
 - Religious properties rates
 - Regulatory fees

The 35 submissions received from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area is summarised below, along with an overview of other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

Changes to rates and fees

Aucklanders were asked about a proposed small number of rating and fee changes.

Question 1: We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020

These changes include:

- *a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs*
- *extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2000 properties in the North Shore former trial area to whom the service is available*
- *phasing out the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020-2020/2021)*

- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes

The tables below give an overview of the responses from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area. This table shows that 36 comments were received to changes to rates and fees:

Question 1	Code	Count
Rating & Fee changes	Comments	36

This table shows the submission that support, partially support, do not support the changes to rates and fees:

MANGERE-OTAHUHU				
Changes to rates and fees	TOTAL	Support	Partially	Do not support
Changes to rates and fees (generally)	4	3	0	1
Waste management targeted rate	8	2	1	5
Food scraps collection targeted rate (North Shore trial area)	4	1	0	3
Waitakere rural sewerage service	0	0	0	0
Urban rating area	0	0	0	0
Rating of religious use properties	14	6	2	6
Regulatory fees (generally)	5	0	0	5
Resource consent fees	3	0	0	3
Building consent fees	2	0	0	2
Harbour master fees	0	0	0	0
Animal management fees	0	0	0	0
Changes to rating and fees (generally)	2	0	2	0

In summary most of local the submissions received are opposed to increased in regulatory fees. The local results reflects that of the regional trend in opposing the proposals. Here are the examples of comments from submissions received.

- 12 - "Not Acceptable. Auckland is unaffordable there is to be no increase as salaries and wages have not increased like this in real terms. It is no wonder why there is a shortage of teachers, nurses & police officers in Auckland"
- 492 - Sometimes we need to realise with a strong population, we need to be more sustainable.

- c. 418 - "Due to a greater number of houses why do we have to have a rate increase? Council are collecting double rates off many properties and providing nothing more. Church Land should pay rates as many churches are making money."
- d. 466 - "PLEASE REOPEN THE PORTAGE ROAD, WESTFIELD TRAIN STATION IN OTAHUHU"
- e. 492 - I am really angry that rates are so high, how about the council looking overall at the way our money is spent.No more increases in rates should be necessary.
- f. 571 - Waste should not be increasing by such a large percentage!
- g. 592 - I don't know enough to comment

Feedback on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2019/2020

The local board did not receive feedback on the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2019/2020.

Feedback on other regional proposals with a local impact

Feedback was received from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area on other regional proposals

The graph below shows the areas covered by this feedback.

Theme	Count
5-Transport	6
6-Water	2
7-Regional Planning	3
8-Regional Community Services	3
9-Other Regional Services	9
10-Rating & Funding	7
11-Revenue & Finance	0
12-Rates Remission and Postponement	0
13-Tupuna Maunga	0
14-Council Controlled Services	1
15-General Comments	1

1. Transport

- a. 466 - PLEASE REOPEN THE PORTAGE ROAD, WESTFIELD TRAIN STATION IN OTAHUHU. LOCAL RESIDENTS IN THIS AREA NEED ACCESS TO AN EXTRA TRAIN STATION AS THE OTAHUHU STATION IS TOO FAR TO WALK TOO UNLESS YOU GET DROPPED OFF.
- b. 1957 - That people and communities are treated fairly with an even distribution of resources. At the moment people seem to well resourced in metropolitan Auckland while those in South Auckland struggle to get simple things like a bus shelter.
- c. 2617 - The proposed works included the removal of 117 street car parks. Despite a submission strongly objecting to their removal which was supported by letters from 77 local shop keepers, there has been no information on the outcome. The objection to the removal remains valid especially in view of (1) the projected significant increase in the Otahuhu population, (2) the opening of Apartment blocks where a majority of residents need to park on streets or public car parks because of lack of provision for on site parking, and (3) the increased need for train commuters to park on streets in the absence of any present or future park and ride facility.

2. Water

- a. 3409 - Water rate very expensive.

3. Regional Planning

- a. 492 - The noise issues in Mangere Bridge need sorting. A short, medium and long-term solution to the issues.
- b. 787 - Freedom camping in the Auckland region
- c. 2910 - should be lower to speed up housing needs

4. Regional Community Services

- a. 1707 - More programmes for seniors, esp free gym sessions, or events in the parks
- b. 1957 - That the threshold for rate rebates are lowered so more senior citizens can apply for them especially those in a single living arrangement. That people living in apartments especially aged apartments are not charged for removal of waste when they pay this to be done privately through their Body Corp fees...
- c. 3409 - My street need more-light as it too dark

5. Other Regional Services

- a. 292 - Sometimes we need to realise with growing population, we need to be more sustainable
- b. 1132 - the people at council who instigate their own personal agendas when carrying out council work too many people & too many layers of bureaucracy council having no control over the businesses of council
- c. 1707 - More community awareness on the importance of waste minimization
- d. 1957 - Assistance and resources for Maori and Pasifika people to set up businesses
- e. 2547 - Rubbish charges will lead to more dumping of rubbish.
- f. 2910 - "don't agree with extending wharf for huge cruise ships just

6. Rating and Funding

- a. 12 - That there be an ongoing programme of reduction of costs for the end user over a long term. People are foregoing food to cover these crazy costs.
- b. 470 - "I am really angry that rates are so high.
- c. 634 - The cost of rates. Also in my street there are homes where there are many, many incomes earners. calculated by all the cars parked outside and, on the street, yet that household pays only the same rates I do.
- d. 1324 - I have been thinking for some time that the resources required to address issues we see from alcohol sold by off—licences could or should be raised by way of a targeted rate on off—licence premises, including supermarkets. Targeted rates are used widely in local government (including Auckland Council and even the Mangere—Otahuhu Local Board – swimming pool targeted rate)...Targeted rates can be used to discourage problematic behaviour or promote desired outcomes...
- e. 1957 - Assistance and resources for Maori and Pasifika people to set up businesses
- f. 2251 - When is the council going to trim its costs to meet rates collected. It seems that this will continue to rise until the council takes all our money.
- g. 2473 - Fair and equitable distribution of costs, acknowledgment of tax paying residents and their contributions, recognition and support of those most in need.

- 7. Rates and Remission
 - a. 2457 - Increase in rates
- 8. Council Controlled Services
 - a. 2910 - "don't agree with extending wharf for huge cruise ships; just leave us with their waste to deal with"
- 9. General Comments
 - a. 634 - As the example I have given in the previous question, the contracting out of public services to private company's, is in SERIOUS Need of economic INVESTIGATION!! I have heard it said by council employees that the 'SUPER CITY ,is hemorrhaging money like a DAM BURST ,

6. Overview of feedback received on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers from Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area

The question and text below is directly from the feedback form. The feedback from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area is set out under the question.


Question 1:

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board area.

10 Year Budget Amendment	Code	Count	%
What is your opinion about this proposal?			
	Support	19	66%
	Partially support	8	28%
	Do not support	2	7%



Here are written feedback examples received under support, partial support, do not support, in each category on the key regional themes.

Support

- a. 592 - Strategic city assets owned by that city that should be kept in the hand of future residents. The council should be seen as guardians of the assets. Find ways to generate revenue for the city, then reinvested.
- b. 634 - I am absolutely opposed to the contracting out of public services to the private sector, this ECONOMIC THEORY, has failed dramatically, & NO ONE is addressing this" Elephant in the room"
- c. 672 - Sounds like it makes sense to cut out the middle man. I don't use the waterfront often so I don't really care too much.
- d. 787 - I've lived in Auckland all my life and it's awesome seeing the waterfront area being developed
- e. 954 - These are an important asset so should be in public ownership, that is only if council can carry out the job efficiently and more so than panuku
- f. 1132 - if it cuts duplication and the takes the control from bureaucrats great

Partially support

- a. 466 - "PLEASE REOPEN THE PORTAGE ROAD, WESTFIELD TRAIN STATION IN OTAHUHU. FURTHER, DONT MAKE THESE AREAS JUST AS BAR AND DRINKING AREAS, THEY SHOULD ALSO CATER FOR FAMILIES AND YOUNG PEOPLE SO THERE IS SAFE AND ACCESSIBLE ENJOYMENT FOR ALL."
- b. 1324 - I support as long as the costs of transferring the properties and ongoing costs bring about long-term benefits including cost benefits.
- c. 1358 - "Cost is a concern, is the work that they do going to be of any financial and environmental benefit to ratepayers. Currently we seem to be paying so many people in council which are or can be doing those jobs. The amount of money we are spending on administration, salaries and contracts is ridiculous is not cutting down our rates."
- d. 1957 - "We believe that some of the port area should be more accessible to the people of Auckland for recreation and not filled with car holding lots. Return the coast to the people not fill it with cruise ships beside dolphins,"
- e. 2473 - "Will there be any limitations for public access? I would hope that any developments would not disrupt current views and/or change natural beauty of area"
- f. 2910 - Not if it gives all rights to council
- g. 3169 - No damage to our harbour the tanewhia is a force to be recond with...

Do not support

- a. 470 - Any changes are way over administered and costly.
- b. 2457 - Streamline processes; make it simple to understand both for public and government employees. Ownership and management equates to accountability. Split these and finger pointing starts when things go wrong.