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Report to Mangere Otahuhu Local Board 2018/2019

Serving Mangere’s commercial and industrial businesses
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Māngere light and heavy industrial zones
Partnership Agreement between

Auckland Council and South Harbour Business Association

Business Improvement District Partnership Programme

South Harbour Business Association (the Business Association) is registered under the Incorporated Societies Act 2005 and is a not-for-profit body. The Business Association is an associational body that represents the interests of local businesses and encourages the sustainability of the South Harbour Business Improvement District (BID).

Auckland Council (the Council) is the local government body for the Auckland Region and is responsible for the delivery of services and infrastructure to the region.

The purpose of this Partnership Agreement is to establish a framework for collaboration between the Business Association and the Council in order to achieve the aims of the Business Improvement District Programme.

The Business Association and the Council agree to work together to:

1. Support the objectives of the Business Improvement District Programme.
2. Develop and implement strategies to improve the economic and social well-being of the District.
3. Foster a sense of community and business involvement in the District.
4. Promote the District as a desirable place to live, work, and do business.

This Partnership Agreement is subject to the following:

- The Business Association agrees to pay a fee of $X per annum to the Council to cover the cost of administering this Agreement.
- The Council agrees to provide assistance and support to the Business Association in implementing the objectives of this Agreement.
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Item 8.1
He aronga poto i tā mātou mahere
Our plan at a glance

We will focus on six outcomes to guide our work and make Māngere-Ōtāhuhu a better community for all. Our aspirations are outlined below.

Outcome 1: A strong local economy
Our area attracts and supports businesses. Our community has a range of opportunities to gain skills and employment.

Outcome 2: We are the heart of Māori and Pasifika culture
We celebrate, showcase and share our many cultures, which attract visitors to our area. Our cultural diversity and distinct Māori and Pasifika identities are reflected in, and enhance, the everyday life of our community.

Outcome 3: Protecting our natural environment and heritage
Our environment is respected. Our spectacular natural heritage sites are national treasures. They are protected and enhanced for everyone to enjoy now and in the future.

Outcome 4: A well connected area
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu is well connected by public transport, cycleways and walkways, making it easy for everyone to get around.

Outcome 5: Facilities to meet diverse needs
Our community spaces are part of a first-class network. They are popular gathering places used for a variety of activities led by diverse local communities with many interests. They offer choices for people from different cultures and life stages to take part in local life.

Outcome 6: A place where everyone thrives and belongs
Our communities have safe and healthy neighbourhoods. Our actions ensure positive prospects for the future of children and young people. We come together to celebrate our cultures. We are active and involved in local matters.
Outcome 1: A strong local economy

Our area attracts and supports businesses. Our community has a range of opportunities to gain skills and employment.

Informing our business community:
Website, Facebook, newsletters, site visits i.e.

- Employment legislation
- Health and safety
- Economic updates
- Policy and plan proposals
- Emergency management
- Crime prevention
Auckland Economic Update – January 2019

Data is the most available as at the start of the month, and is for the Auckland region unless otherwise stated.
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**Outcome 2: We are the heart of Māori and Pasifika culture**

We celebrate, showcase and share our many cultures, which attract visitors to our area. Our cultural diversity and distinct Māori and Pasifika identities are reflected in, and enhance, the everyday life of our community.

**Leveraging** our connections:

- Nga Manga to ensure we are properly connected with our host communities and informed about key community imperatives.
- BID meetings, draft plans and policies.
- Social services providers etc.
Contd.

**Advocating** for our business community by submitting to local and central government on plan and policy proposals.

**Mentoring** business owners

- NZ Business Mentors
- Beauty products and art – MAC exhibition?
- IT platform development
- Traffic Management

**Liaising** with business owners and ITOs to engage employers and potential employees.

- Ara
- InWork
- Te Puea Marae
- MIT, AUT, YES
Outcome 3: Protecting our natural environment and heritage

Our environment is respected. Our spectacular natural heritage sites are national treasures. They are protected and enhanced for everyone to enjoy now and in the future.

Protecting the environment including cross-boundary issues.
- Neighbouring business issues
- Operations impacting on residents and the wider community
- CPTED through removal of dumping, raising tree canopies, pruning,
- graffiti removal
- Vegetation mowing and spraying
- AC Snap, Send Solve app
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Outcome 4: A well connected area

The transport corridor to Auckland International Airport and its associated businesses creates significant pressure on local roads.

Continuing strong advocacy to AT, the Governing Body and the NZ Transport Agency on major projects that deliver a comprehensive transport network for young people and a working population.

Competing interests in regional transport planning can compromise local interests.
Informing stakeholders of transport initiatives:

- Southwest Gateway programme.
- National Road Carriers liaison.
- AT mobile apps.
- AT HOP.
- Other
**Outcome 5: Facilities**

Our community spaces are part of a first-class network. They are popular gathering places used for a variety of activities led by diverse local communities with many interests. They offer choices for people from different cultures and life-stages to take part in local life.

**Actively** contributing to the Greenway project through continuing our consultation with business owners bordering the Mangere inlet.

- Leveraging local infrastructure such as boundary fencing and CCTV to expand the capacity and capability of security and safety of our businesses, and community spaces.

- Sharing relevant information on our website and Facebook page.


**Outcome 6: A place where everyone thrives and belongs**

*Our communities have a strong cultural and family orientation and we want to support activities that encourage participation, healthy lifestyles and active living. We will maintain a child-friendly focus throughout our programmes.*

**Partnering** with community organisations such as Mangere Connect through regular catchups, and, sharing relevant community initiatives on our Facebook page.

- Our website and Facebook pages are primary outreach tools of South Harbour Business Association.
- Our audience is growing and becoming more and more responsive, as evidenced through iPage website ‘hits’ and Facebook ‘insights’ and shares.
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A great result for All Scantolding. The company had 98% of scaffolding...
Additional Reporting Notes from Mangere Connect (contracted coordinated role)

Mangere Connect is currently completing park activations at Yates Reserve, Miami Park, Sutton Park and Vine street shops. This contract finishes in June and a final report is due July. A snippet of what I have taken place already.

Yates Park

Have held two Story Time in the park events with Mangere East Library. The second event was held during Pasifika Week. Also, an introduction to Yoga in the Park with ReleaseWorks.
Miami Park

Residents have voiced events cause havoc with street parking and becomes more of an annoying issue. So as the park is equipped for sports, a link to more local sports teams was created.

Niue Zone Athletics youth team

Pasifika Invitational Tag training for Oceania Cup tournament

Mangere East Incredible Hawks team Neighbours Day BBQ and training

Vine Street shops and Sutton Park

We helped with collecting residents’ submissions to oppose the new liquor shop application. We also have an event coming up on Saturday 20th April.
The Neighbours Day Aotearoa seed funding, we received a total of 12 Neighbours Day Aotearoa submissions. Spanning from Otahuhu, Mangere to Mangere Bridge. These came from individuals, groups and a local school. I am still receiving event pictures from those who partook. We also did a Neighbours Day Aotearoa Street BBQ at Yates Park.

**Yates Park with Mr T and Bike Fit crew our Mangere East Neighbourhood Support members**

**Enuamanu Atiu Nui Maruarua Society inc Enuamanu Youth group**

Street BBQ and fun day, 29th March
Waterlea School PTA

Students handmade cards to deliver to their neighbours.

Gurus Street Fun Day

23rd March

Being the Neighbourhood Area Coordinator for Mangere requires me to attend bi-monthly Neighbourhood Support District meetings, which takes place at Manukau Police Station. Currently our Counties Manukau District group have taken on a project with Auckland Council Emergency Services to see how we are able to assist in community emergencies. How this will look, what will be involved and how easy will it be to prepare our community when the time comes is the projects goals. This has involved attending 4-6 planning meetings with our other Counties Manukau District areas.

I was also invited to a planning meeting by Middlemore’s CEO with their security and safety teams and Police, to discuss possible solutions around community and hospital safety. This will tie in with helping Jeff Brown with a campaign against community gangs and violence that he has expressed would like to organise as a result in his nephews’ death on Vine Street.

An overview of Mangere Connect, was a name created by me and local NPT Constable Aaron Charles as an easy way to involve and oversee both Neighbourhood Support and Community Patrolls (CPNZ). It is a fully volunteer community lead group and we aim to keep the structure this way to attract likeminded people who have a passion for the community and not let money be their motivator. I am the main coordinator for
Mangere Connect and Neighbourhood Support, Shelley Leef is our coordinator for CPNZ. Neighbourhood Support is still more an organisation that is a membership model which is free. Mangere is divided into 4 areas to make it more manageable to coordinate new members and events, supported by the following community individuals and groups:

- Favona - Resident Ray Linton-Brow
- Mangere East - Mr T / Andrew and Eirenei Tauai / Middlemore hospital (new)
- Mangere South - Mia King and Toni Helleur
- Mangere Central - Mangere Town Centre

CPNZ is a group of community volunteers, that must be vetted and trained to learn how to patrol, report and collects intel. We currently have one patrols car, that is in operation Thursday – Sunday 7pm-3am. It requires 2x personnel to operate each patrol. Day patrols are in operation also.
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Ngaahi fehu’i fekaukauki mo e Patuseti Fakaturu 2019/2020

Question 1: Our values
People value water for different reasons. We have identified five broad categories that we will use to evaluate our progress on water issues.

Do these values match what you value about water?

a. Ecosystems: Healthy water systems nourish the natural environment.
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Strongly

b. Water use: We can meet our everyday water needs safely, reliably and efficiently.
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Strongly

c. Recreation and amenity: We enjoy being in, on and near the water at beaches, lakes and streams.
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Strongly

d. Culture: Water contributes to our identities and beliefs as individuals and as part of communities.
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Strongly

e. Resilience: Our communities, catchments and coasts are resilient to natural hazards and the impacts of climate change.
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Strongly

Please tell us why, and if there is anything else you value about water.

That helps us be hydrated.

Question 2: The big issues: what we need to work on
We have identified four issues that are at the heart of Auckland’s water future.

How concerned are you about these issues?

a. Cleaning up our waters, dealing with the pollution of our beaches and waterways, e.g., sediment, nutrients and contaminants from rural and urban activities and roads, litter and faecal contamination.
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Very concerned

b. Growth in the right places, thinking about the water and wastewater impacts of where and how we grow.
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Very concerned

c. Meeting future water needs, identifying how we will provide clean drinking water to a growing population, with a range of options to develop including more efficient water use, rainwater and stormwater collection and storage, water re-use, or other water sources.
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Very concerned

d. Adapting to a changing water future, planning for changing water conditions, e.g., higher probabilities of droughts, floods, storms and coastal inundation, and making sure our communities and our infrastructure are resilient to the changes.
   - Not at all
   - Somewhat
   - Very concerned

Please tell us why, and if there is anything else you value about water.

Have clean water.

Question 3: Meeting our future water needs
As we develop options for meeting our future drinking water needs, we want to understand which criteria you think are most important.

Which of the following criteria are most important to you? (Select your top two)

- Safety and quality of drinking water
- Reliable supply of drinking water
- Cost of infrastructure needed to provide drinking water
- Environmental impacts of the infrastructure for supplying drinking water
- Becoming less reliant on water sources outside the region by being more efficient and exploring other sources

Please tell us why these criteria matter to you, or if there are others you think are more important.

I think they are important because dirty water can cause sicknesses, then cause death.
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WE WANT YOUR FEEDBACK

This feedback form contains questions relating to the:
- Annual Budget 2019/2020
- Proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers
- Our Water Future

Feedback must be received by Sunday 17 March 2019.
Please read the consultation document available at akhaveyoursay.aucklandcouncil.gov.nz or at any library, service centre, local board office or by phoning 09 301 0101 before you give feedback. It has more information about the issues and choices that we want your feedback on.

All of the questions below are optional. We encourage you to give feedback online at akhaveyoursay.aucklandcouncil.gov.nz, or you can complete this form and return it to us using one of the options below.

Email
Scan your completed form and email it to akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.gov.nz.

By post
Place your completed form in an envelope and send it to Freepost address: AK Have Your Say Auckland Council Freepost Authority 162382 Private Bag 92 305, Auckland 1142

Questions relating to the Annual Budget 2019/2020
To answer the following questions, please read pages 16 and 17 of the consultation document.

To see how your rates may change go to our rates guide at akhaveyoursay.aucklandcouncil.gov.nz. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1: We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020
These changes include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2000 properties in the North Shore former trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Watitana rural sewage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020-2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building central and mooring fees) to cover increased costs.

We don't want these changes
Question 2: Do you have any feedback on any other issues?
[Please comment.]

Question 3a:
Which local board does your feedback relate to?
[Monkau]

Questions 3b:
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
[ ] Yes  [ ] No  [ ] Partially

Please comment:
[I don't want any changes to this.]

Question relating to the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers
To answer the following questions please refer to pages 34 to 45 of the consultation document.

Question 1:
What is important to you?
[Please comment.]

Question 2:
Our water future - consultation questions
To answer the following questions about our water future please view the full discussion document or executive summary at ahkew@yoursite.nz.

All of these questions are optional.

Question 1: Our values
People value water for different reasons. We have identified five broad categories that we will use to evaluate our progress on water issues.

Do these values match what you value about water?
[a. Ecosystems: Healthy water systems nourish the natural environment.  [ ] Not at all  [ ] Somewhat  [ ] Strongly
[b. Water use: We can meet our everyday water needs safely, reliably and efficiently.  [ ] Not at all  [ ] Somewhat  [ ] Strongly
[c. Recreation and amenity: We enjoy being in, on and near the water at beaches, lakes and streams.  [ ] Not at all  [ ] Somewhat  [ ] Strongly
[d. Culture: Water contributes to our identities and beliefs, as individuals and as part of communities.  [ ] Not at all  [ ] Somewhat  [ ] Strongly
[e. Resilience: Our communities, catchments and waterways are resilient to natural hazards and the impacts of climate change.  [ ] Not at all  [ ] Somewhat  [ ] Strongly

Please tell us why, and if there is anything else you value about water?

I like drinking water

Question 2: The big issues: what we need to work on
We have identified four issues that are at the heart of Auckland’s water future.

How concerned are you about these issues?
[a. Clearing up our waters, dealing with the pollution of our beaches and waterways, e.g., sediment, nutrients and contaminants from rural and urban activities and roads, litter and faecal contamination.  [ ] Not at all  [ ] Somewhat  [ ] Very concerned
[b. Growth in the right places, thinking about the water and wastewater impacts of where and how we grow.  [ ] Not at all  [ ] Somewhat  [ ] Very concerned

c. Meeting future water needs, identifying how we will provide clean drinking water to a growing population, with a range of options to develop including more efficient water use, rainwater collection and storage, water re-use, or other water sources.  [ ] Not at all  [ ] Somewhat  [ ] Very concerned

d. Adapting to a changing water future, planning for changing water conditions, e.g., higher probabilities of droughts, flood, flooding and coastal inundation, and making sure our communities and our infrastructure are resilient to the changes.  [ ] Not at all  [ ] Somewhat  [ ] Very concerned

Please tell us why, and what you think we can do now to anticipate and adapt to the changes in our water future?

Don’t change the water

Question 3: Meeting our future water needs
As we develop options for meeting our future drinking water needs, we want to understand which criteria you think are most important.

Which of the following criteria are most important to you? (Select your top two)
[ ] Safety and quality of drinking water
[ ] Reliable supply of drinking water
[ ] Cost of infrastructure needed to provide drinking water
[ ] Environmental impacts of the infrastructure for supplying drinking water
[ ] Becoming less reliant on water sources outside the region by being more efficient and exploring other sources

Please tell us why these criteria matter to you, or if there are others you think are more important?

Thank you.
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We want your feedback

This feedback form contains questions relating to the:
- Annual Budget 2019/2020
- Proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers
- Our Water Future

Feedback must be received by Sunday 17 March 2019.
Please read the consultation document available at askhaveyoursay.govt.nz or at any library, service centre, local board office or by phoning 09 301 0101 before you give feedback. It has more information about the issues and choices that we want your feedback on.

All of the questions below are optional. We encourage you to give feedback online at askhaveyoursay.govt.nz, or you can complete this form and return it to us using one of the options below.

Email
Scan your completed form and email it to askhaveyoursay.govt.nz.

By post
Place your completed form in an envelope and send it to:
Ask Have Your Say
Auckland Council
Freepost Authority 182382
Private Bag 52 300, Auckland 1142

In person
Drop your completed form off at your local library, service centre or local board office.

Questions relating to the Annual Budget 2019/2020

To answer the following questions please read pages 16 and 17 of the consultation document.

Question 1: We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020

These changes include:
- A $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- Extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2000 properties in the North Shore former tribal area from whom the service is available
- Phasing out the Waitakere rural sewage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020-2020/2021)
- Adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours
- Not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations and
- An increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes.
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board
17 April 2019
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Question 2: Do you have any feedback on other issues?

Please comment:

Yes I'm concerned on some of the traffic lights we have to wait a very long time for it to go green and when it's green it is only one second then it changes back to red.

Question 3a:
Which local board does your feedback relate to?
Manukau

Questions 3b:
In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
You may also indicate that you are not sure.

Please comment:
I don't want any changes and some priorities don't work out!

Question relating to the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers

To answer the following question please read pages 34 to 45 of the consultation document.

Question 1:
Snuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency that currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would ease governance duplication, increase consistency with their development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets we want to know what you think of the proposal.

Is your opinion about this proposal?
Support ☐ Not support ☐ Partially support ☐

Our water future - consultation questions

To answer the following questions about our water future please view the full discussion document or executive summary at ahakeyeweraya.nz.

All of these questions are optional.

Question 1: Our values
People value water for different reasons. We have identified five broad categories that we will use to evaluate our progress on water issues.

Do these values match what you value about water?

☐ Not at all ☐ Somewhat ☐ Strongly

a. Ecosystems: Healthy water systems nourish the natural environment.

b. Water use: We can meet our everyday water needs safely, reliably and efficiently.

Accept or amenity: We enjoy being in, on and near the water at beaches, lakes and streams.

d. Culture: Water contributes to our identities and beliefs, as individuals and as part of communities.

e. Resilience: Our communities, catchments and coastlines are resilient to natural hazards and the impacts of climate change.

Please tell us why, and whether anything else you value about water.

Question 2: The big issues: what we need to work on

We have identified four issues that are at the heart of Auckland’s water future.

How concerned are you about these issues?

a. Cleaning up our waters, dealing with the pollution of our beaches and waterways, e.g. sediment, nutrients and contaminants from rural and urban activities and roads, litter, and faecal contamination.

b. Development in the right places, thinking about the water and wastewater impacts of urban and how we grow.

c. Meeting future water needs, identifying how we will provide clean drinking water to a growing population, with a range of options to develop including more efficient water use, rainwater collection and storage, water reuse, or other water sources.

d. Adapting to a changing water future, planning for changing water conditions, e.g. higher probabilities of droughts, flash flooding and coastal inundation, and making sure our communities and our infrastructure are resilient to the changes.

Please tell us why, and how you think we can do now to anticipate and adapt to the changes in our water future.

Question 3: Meeting our future water needs

As we develop options for meeting our future drinking water needs, we want to understand which criteria you think are most important.

Which of the following criteria are most important to you? (Select your top two)

☐ Safety and quality of drinking water ☐ Reliable supply of drinking water ☐ Cost of infrastructure needed to provide drinking water ☐ Environmental impacts of the infrastructure for providing drinking water ☐ Becoming less reliant on water sources outside the region by being more efficient and exploring other sources

Please tell us why these criteria matter to you, or if there are others you think are more important.
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board
17 April 2019
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WE WANT YOUR FEEDBACK

This feedback form contains questions relating to the:
- Annual Budget 2019/2020
- Proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018/2028 regarding property transfers
- Our Water Future

Feedback must be received by Sunday 17 March 2019.
Please read the consultation document available at akhaveyoursay.govt.nz or at any library, service centre, local board office or by phoning 09 301 0901 before you give feedback. It has more information about the issues and changes that we want your feedback on.

All of the questions below are optional. We encourage you to give feedback online at akhaveyoursay.govt.nz, or you can complete this form and return it to us using one of the options below.

Email
Scan your completed form and email it to akhaveyoursay@otakauri.council.govt.nz.

By post
Place your completed form in an envelope and send it to:
Akn Have Your Say
Auckland Council
Freepost Authority 162362
Private Bag 92 500, Auckland 1142

Questions relating to the Annual Budget, 2019/2020
To answer the following questions please read pages 16 and 17 of the consultation document.

Question 1: We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020. These changes include:
- a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs.
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 200 properties in the North Shore former trial area to whom the service is available.
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020-2020/2021).
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours).
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes.

I don't want change.
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MATU TE MANA'OMIA SOU FINAGALO FA'AALIAI

O lelei pepe fa'a aalai a o lelei se fa'asili i le aumalani i le aumalali. Ia fa'a lava fa'amahina i 'o le i leitekina fa'aalai i le aumalali.

O finagalo fa'a alia e tatu a o le aumalali 'o le aumalali i le aumalii vao.

Aso fa'a 17 Maitei 2019.

A o lelei se fa'aalai fa'aalai, fa'amolomole i lea laua i 'o le se fa'aalai i le aumalali. Ia fa'a lava fa'amahina i 'o le i leitekina fa'aalai i le aumalali.

O lelei se fa'aalai i le aumalali i le aumalali vao. Ia fa'a lava fa'amahina i 'o le i leitekina fa'aalai i le aumalali vao. Ia fa'a lava fa'amahina i 'o le i leitekina fa'aalai i le aumalali vao.

O lelei se fa'aalai fa'amahina i 'o le i leitekina fa'aalai i le aumalali vao. Ia fa'a lava fa'amahina i 'o le i leitekina fa'aalai i le aumalali vao. Ia fa'a lava fa'amahina i 'o le i leitekina fa'aalai i le aumalali vao.
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O finagalo fa’asila e tetau ona taumuu mai i le aso mulimu fia’atulagana i le Aso Sa 17 Mati 2019.

A o le’i sa fou sa mafia fa’asila, fia’anelemole i fa’aamua to le pape o feautaga fa’asila i le’i le’upega fa’atutufia akevavevonuva, moli i le’upega fa’atutufia, pe le pape o le’i sa fou sa mafia fa’atutufia fa’atutufia fa le’i sa fou sa fa’atutufia.

O le’i sa fou sa ma le fia’atutufia akevavevonuva, ma le’i le’upega fa’atutufia, ma fia’amua fa’atutufia fa’atutufia fa le’i sa fou sa fa’atutufia.

Fesili 6. Fa’atua e fa’atutu, i le’upega fa’atutu.

O le’i sa fou sa ma le pape o le’i sa fou sa fa’atutufia akevavevonuva, ma le’i sa fou sa fa’atutufia fa’atutufia fa le’i sa fou sa fa’atutufia.

Fesili 19: te fa’atutu, i le’upega fa’atutu.

O le’i sa fou sa, fa’aamua fa’atutufia, ma le’i sa fou sa fa’atutufia fa’atutufia fa le’i sa fou sa fa’atutufia.
O le fesili le aatatau e suiga taatui le Paket: 10 tausaga 2018-2028 e uiga i le fesulaiga o fanua
Me le fesili le aatatau i le aatatau e fanua a fesulaiga le suiga lea i le fesulaiga o fanua le Paket: 10 tausaga 2018-2028.

Fai mai sou manatu:

esiti:
1. Penelu o se ifai mo le atina’inaia o taulaga o le Pupele Aukilimini a se mo le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na feifaci’isia le fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na fei e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.
2. Penelu o se ifai mo le aatatau e manu a le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.

l. Penelu o se ifai mo le aatatau e fanua a le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.
2. Penelu o se ifai mo le aatatau e fanua a le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.

Fai mai sou manatu:

esiti:
1. Penelu o se ifai mo le atina’inaia o taulaga o le Pupele Aukilimini a se mo le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na feifaci’isia le fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.
2. Penelu o se ifai mo le aatatau e manu a le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.

l. Penelu o se ifai mo le aatatau e fanua a le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.
2. Penelu o se ifai mo le aatatau e fanua a le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.

Fai mai sou manatu:

esiti:
1. Penelu o se ifai mo le atina’inaia o taulaga o le Pupele Aukilimini a se mo le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na feifaci’isia le fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.
2. Penelu o se ifai mo le aatatau e manu a le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.

l. Penelu o se ifai mo le aatatau e fanua a le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua a le na le aatatau e fanua o lau e fanua.
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MATOU TE MANA’OIMOA SOU FINAGALO FA’ALAILA

O leite pepe mo finagalo fa’a’alilo o leite lilo te fai a leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite leite lei
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board
17 April 2019

Minute Attachments
Page 61

Attachment A
Item 19
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board
17 April 2019

Minute Attachments
Page 62

Attachment A
Item 19

Ko ho'ono ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17’ na Ma'asi. 3

Ko ha’ou ngaahi fokotu’a fakaakauka pe ko ha’ou lau ki he kaveinga ni ‘oku tonuton ki a’utaki mai ia kimu a he ‘aho Sapate 17’ na Ma’asi.

 Ko ha’ou ngaahi fokotu’a fakaakauka pe ko ha’ou lau ki he kaveinga ni ‘oku tonuton ki a’utaki mai ia kimu a he ‘aho Sapate 17’ na Ma’asi. 4

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu’a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.

Ko ha'ou ngaahi fokotu'a fakaakauka pe ko ha'ou lau ki he kaveinga ni 'oku tonuton ki a'utaki mai ia kimu a he 'aho Sapate 17' na Ma'asi.
Question relating to the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers

To answer the following question please read pages 34 to 45 of the consultation document.

Question 1:

Question 2: Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Please make sure to include your response in the proposal.

Local board information

To answer the following questions please read pages 38 to 39.

Question 3a:

Which local board does your feedback relate to?

Please comment:

Please state:

B.1.3 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:

Conclusion 3 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:

Conclusion 1 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:

Conclusion 2 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:

Conclusion 1 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:

Conclusion 2 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:

Conclusion 3 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:

Conclusion 1 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:

Conclusion 2 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:

Conclusion 3 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:

Conclusion 1 - Pakuranga

In your opinion, are the priorities for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes [ ] No [ ] Partially [ ]

Please comment:
Item 19

We want your feedback

This feedback form contains questions relating to:
- Annual Budget 2019/2020
- Proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers
- Our Water Future

Feedback must be received by Sunday 17 March 2019.
Please read the consultation document at akhaveyoursay.ax.govt.nz or at any library, service centre, local board office or by phone (09) 301 0101 before you give feedback. It has more information about the issues and changes that we want your feedback on.

All of the questions below are optional. We encourage you to give feedback online at akhaveyoursay.ax.govt.nz, or you can complete this form and return it to us using one of the options below.

Email
- Scan your completed form and email it to akhaveyoursay.ax@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

In person
- Drop your completed form off at your local library, service centre or local board office.

By post
- Place your completed form in an envelope and send it to:
  - Auckland Council
  - Free Post Authority 182982
  - Private Bag 3000, Auckland 1142

Questions relating to the Annual Budget, 2019/2020

To see how your rates may change go to our rates guide at akhaveyoursay.ax.govt.nz. All of these questions are optional.

Question 1: We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

- Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:
  - Increased costs for Ōhinehu
  - Increased costs for Ōhinehu
  - Increased costs for Ōhinehu

- Please tell us where you think these increased costs should be funded.
  - Increased costs for Ōhinehu

Thank you, we do not change rates for Ōhinehu, but this needs to be explained in all languages elsewhere. We will have some much needed assistance.

Auckland Council
Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board
17 April 2019
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Late Submissions – 23 in Total

Summary - 4 Samoan, 13 Tongan, 6 English

Youth
- Please make sure to consult with the schools during next consultation. We need to involve the Tamariki's
- Can we have water fountains at all parks - access water for all, free for our Tamariki's
- We need better parks in our local area, why do we have to travel for use nice parks. There are flash parks in wealthier areas. We need that in our area, it will encourage our Tamariki's to do physical activity
- Have more opportunities for our Tamariki - engage in STEM - increase capacity within our own whanau.

Are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?
- No – 3
- Yes – 2
- Partially – 15

Local Board Plan comments:
- Outcome 3 - Protect the environment - protect Ihumatao, a national treasure. Protect and hence Maori Mana. Maori needs to be involved at all levels of decision making.
- Outcome 1 - what about local business, support health and wellbeing of the community, providing healthier alternatives to decrease obesity

Regional Rates
- 15 do not support the proposed increase in rates and charges
- 4 supports
### Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Advocacy Initiatives – FY2019/20

#### Top three advocacy initiatives FY 2019/2020:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Māngere East Precinct: A priority focus and initiatives to enhance this centre as a thriving, liveable community (key advocacy project)** | The Māngere East area requires co-ordinated investment for planning and implementation. The local community facilities are run down, old or not fit-for-purpose. The business case development (One Local Initiative) must review the planned investment, include uses of council’s local assets to shape a high-quality built area for a liveable community.  
A community facility needs assessment was completed in 2015. The planning and business case must identify what next steps will look like to efficiently deliver this project.  
The board requests the Governing Body commit to capital funding through the 10-year budget for delivery of the Community Facilities Network Plan. | Governing Body  
Auckland Transport |
| **To reconsider and investigate heavy and light rapid rail integration for central city (CBD) to airport** | The airport to central city rapid transit project has long-term strategic importance for Auckland’s future commuter and freight needs.  
The selection of light rail between central Auckland and Māngere fails to consider major growth implications for areas in the south, north and west. Auckland’s strategic long-term transport security for both commuter and industrial heavy freight will not be well-served by current plans.  
The board urges that a mix of heavy and light rail from central city to the airport be reconsidered and requests the Governing Body and Auckland Transport to consider heavy rail integration. | Governing Body  
Auckland Transport  
New Zealand Transport Authority |
| **Waste management and our environment** | Implementing the city’s waste-minimisation plan requires communities to be prepared, equipped and supported to reach waste reduction targets.  
There is a need to get communities ready for change and to find opportunities in the way waste will be managed.  
Proactive support at this stage for the changes in how council manages waste is important. Multiple actions are required - communication, engagement, encouraging social enterprise and community-led initiatives.  
Ongoing work to develop local community recycling | Governing Body |
centres and waste reduction is a priority in the southern area. The board requests continued action for the regional scoping exercise to investigate regional resource recovery centre sites and to support local community recycling centres.

### Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Ongoing Advocacy Issues

| Boarding houses and high-quality neighbourhoods | The Māngere-Ōtāhuhu local board area has seen a gradual proliferation of boarding houses and council's investigations have confirmed poor standards and breaches of the building code in some instances.  

The board recognises the pressure on land, need for affordable housing and a range of provision for all. However, the concern is that this is at the cost of deteriorating neighbourhoods and poor living standards in the area.  

There is a need for balanced, planned growth that is monitored for environmental impacts such as traffic and noise, because there is also “intensification by stealth” taking place. Currently, council has limited means to assess or address the impacts of the proliferation of boarding houses. The board continues to advocate for better monitoring of boarding houses. Data from regular monitoring can improve conditions for tenants. Council must ensure building code standards and a decent standard of living is maintained. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Develop a Māngere-Ōtāhuhu tourism trail | Leverage key opportunities with major stakeholders like Auckland International Airport Limited (AIAL) and utilise the unique natural and cultural characteristics of Māngere-Ōtāhuhu to achieve economic outcomes. The airport is New Zealand's gateway to the world.  

The airport's longer-term plans to be a major hub connecting Asia and South America over the next 30 years, and to build a second runway, offer opportunities for partnerships and attracting tourism. Council's investment in Local Paths (formerly ‘Greenways’) can be leveraged for economic and community outcomes by aligning effort with stakeholders and benefiting youth and seniors from the local area.  

The board sees potential to achieve local as well as citywide aspirations of more jobs via new industry growth, support for Māori tourism and heritage |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development (ATEED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>tourism initiatives</strong> - such as the Ōtāhuhu Portage Crossing project at the narrowest part of the North Island.</td>
<td>The board requests that Auckland Tourism, Events and Economic Development (ATEED) prioritise a programme in the local board area to establish and grow Auckland's cultural and heritage tourism industry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fund the Ōtāhuhu Portage route project</strong></td>
<td>Allocating long-term funding for the Ōtāhuhu Portage route project is a priority. The site is of national significance in terms of history and culture, with huge potential to make the area accessible and connected for local and international visitors. The project is part of ‘Greenways’ Local Paths plan and funding must be prioritised for making progress in the medium to long term. The board advocates that this project is funded fully in the 10-year budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohol harm minimisation initiatives: supporting community voice and empowerment</strong></td>
<td>Reducing harm from alcohol in local communities is of high priority for the Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board. The Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act (the Act) came into effect in late 2013. The Local Alcohol Policy, a key instrument for addressing the negative impacts of alcohol on our communities, is yet to be finalised. The board will continue to support communities to have their say through the hearings processes afforded them by the legislation. There are challenges for the community in reducing harm from alcohol. Inaccessible language used by the District Licensing Committee (DLC) and inconsistency in decisions across the region are key examples. The board will continue to voice its concerns to ensure these issues are identified and addressed because it is critical to assess the overall success of the Act in achieving its intent. The board seeks a framework to monitor the success of the Act in practice and consideration of legislative change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governing Body</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governing Body</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Submission to the Department of Conservation

In the matter of the proposal on the revocation of certain delegations to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977

Auckland Council, (X) May – 2019
Mihimihhi

Ka mihi ake ai ki ngā maunga here kōrero,
ki ngā pari whakarongo tahi,
ki ngā awa tuku kiri o ōna manawhohua,
ōna mana ā-iwi taketake mai, tautui atu.
Tāmaki – makau a te rau, muroa a te tini, wenerau a te mano.
Kāhore tō rito i te ao.

I greet the mountains, repository of all that has been
said of this place,
there I greet the cliffs that have heard the ebb and
flow of the tides of time,
and the rivers that cleansed the forebears of all who
came those born of this land
and the newcomers among us all.
Auckland – beloved of hundreds, famed among the
multitude, envy of thousands.
You are unique in the world.
Ko te tāpaeanga o te Kaunihera o Tamaki Makaurau

Auckland Council Submission

(X) May 2019

Taitara/Title: Submission on the revocation of certain delegations to
Territorial Authorities under the Reserves Act 1977

Submission to the Department of Conservation.

1. This submission is from Auckland Council (the council) and has been approved by the
   Environment and Community Committee.

Key Points

2. The council does not support the proposal to revoke 44 of the 50 Ministerial powers within
   the Reserves Act 1977, delegated to local authorities. The reasons for this are outlined below:

3. Substantive justification for the proposed revocation of delegations has not been proved, and
   cannot be supported by Auckland Council. The council recommends that the Minister be
   advised that:
   - the Court of Appeal did not make a decision on the lawfulness of the Ministerial
     delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977
   - the Opua decision has been appealed to the Supreme Court
   - the leading court decision on Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the
     Reserves Act 1977 is the 2004 High Court decision of Gibbs v New Plymouth District
     Council CIV 2004-443-115
   - there is no justification to change the current Ministerial delegation as the leading court
     decision supports Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977
     as lawful.

4. A clear identification of the problems exercising dual delegations by councils under the Act is
   lacking and undermines local decision-making and community outcomes. The council
   recommends that the Minister be advised that:
   - Auckland Council, like other local authorities is very capable and experienced at
     differentiating and making decisions under the Act as both the administering body and
     under Ministerial delegation
   - Auckland Council has in the exercise of delegation fulfilled the supervisory intent of the
     Ministerial powers, to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Act
   - it would be a backwards step to undo decision-making at the local level for local
     communities. It will cause delay in delivering a range of health, wellbeing and social
     outcomes under the Auckland Plan for our communities
   - Auckland Council has robust processes and systems to appropriately manage potential or
     actual conflicts of interest when exercising dual decision-making, including having regard
     to the Reserves Act Guide 2004. This mitigates the risk raised by the judicial comments in
     the Opua decision
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- the most appropriate place to identify concerns and responses to specific practice by council when exercising dual decision-making (administering body and under Ministerial delegation) under the Act is through an update of the Reserves Act Guide 2004. A review that we note has been pending for several years.

5. Delays in Ministerial decision-making would be inevitable and would be at the expense of local communities. The council recommend that the Minister be advised that:
   - revoking Ministerial delegations will add significant delays to all Reserves Act 1977 processes
   - Auckland Council’s ability to be responsive to communities and the changing needs of customers will be severely impacted. This could lead to a decline in trust and confidence.

Ngā koringa ā-muri/Next Steps

6. Auckland Council is available to discuss and provide its view to the Minister of Conservation before a decision is made. This is essential given the number of reserves held under Reserves Act 1977 in Tāmaki Makaurau and the number of New Zealanders we serve.

7. We also strongly urges the Minister to talk to a range of local authorities, including Local Government New Zealand, before making a decision.

Horopaki/Context

8. This submission responds to correspondence from the Department of Conservation (the Department) to all Chief Executives of Territorial Authorities dated 14 March 2019.

9. The Department requested feedback on a proposal to revoke Ministerial powers under the Reserves Act 1977 (the Act) currently delegated to councils. It proposes to revoke 44 of the 50 Ministerial powers currently delegated to local authorities.

10. The Department also requested that territorial authorities identify any new delegation proposals that would improve efficiencies.

Tunga whānui/Overall Position

Substantive justification for the proposed revocation of delegations has not been proved, and cannot be supported by Auckland Council

11. The council does not support the proposal to revoke 44 of the 50 Ministerial powers, delegated to territorial authorities under the Reserves Act 1977.

12. The Department has provided no substantive justification for the proposal.

13. The Department is seeking to justify changes to Ministerial delegations based solely on comments made by the Court of Appeal in its decision of Opua Coastal Preservation Inc v Far North District Council [2018] NZCA 262. The Department has expressed a view of the case that:
   - some of the existing Ministerial powers delegated to a council could be potentially unlawful
14. We are concerned that the Department is seeking to take action in response to the Opua decision, yet the court did not reach a conclusion on the lawfulness of the dual decision-making process in this case. The Department, in undertaking this review, expressly acknowledges that the Court may have decided about the legality of the delegation of Ministerial powers had the Court considered it.

15. Auckland Council respectfully submits that the Department is erring in its advice to the Minister of Conservation regarding the voracity of the comments made by the Court of Appeal.

16. The Opua decision has been appealed to the Supreme Court, which could make any changes premature.

**The Department has overlooked case law on the lawfulness of Ministerial delegations**

17. The leading decision on Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Act, is the 2004 High Court decision of Gibbs v New Plymouth District Council CIV 2004-443-115.

18. This decision supports the Ministerial delegations to local authorities as lawful.

19. The council argues that this legal case should be relied on, rather than judicial comments on a case under appeal to the Supreme Court.

20. We recommend that the Minister be advised that:

- the Court of Appeal did not make a decision on the lawfulness of the Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977
- the Opua decision has been appealed to the Supreme Court
- the leading court decision on Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 is the 2004 High Court decision of Gibbs v New Plymouth District Council CIV 2004-443-115
- there is no justification to change the current Ministerial delegation as the leading court decision supports Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 as lawful.

**A clear identification of the problems exercising dual delegations by councils under the Act is lacking and undermines local decision-making and community outcomes**

21. Delegation of Ministerial powers to local authorities under the Reserves Act 1977 have taken place since 1999. This was intended to deliver three primary outcomes:

- devolution of a high level of decision-making to local authorities
- greater flexibility in the approach to reserves management
- standardisation and updating of process and terminology.
22. Further delegation of the Ministerial powers to local authorities in 2013 sought to allow for improved decision-making at a local level. It also recognised that the Ministerial powers were supervisory to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Act.

23. The council does not support any proposal that will undermine the primary outcomes sought from improved delegation under the Act. It would be a backwards step to unwind decision-making at the local level and to disempower local communities. There is also a risk that the proposed changes will reduce our ability to deliver a range of health, wellbeing and social outcomes under the Auckland Plan for our communities.

*Auckland Council has experience and clear systems and processes to exercise its responsibilities and delegations under the Act*

24. There is no clear and compelling problem definition to support the Department’s proposal. No evidence has been provided by the Department to illustrate unlawful or persistent problems by local authorities exercising dual decision-making under the Act. No evidence has also been provided about local authorities being unable to recognise and manage potential or actual conflict of interest in dual decision-making.

25. The council has more than 20-years’ experience exercising its responsibilities and delegations under the Act. We have invested in clear systems and processes to discharge our functions under the Act and to lawfully use Ministerial delegations.

26. Auckland Council, like other local authorities, is very capable of differentiating and making dual decisions under the Act as both the administering body and under Ministerial delegation.

27. The council has exercised these Ministerial delegations lawfully and responsibly in service to local communities. We have fulfilled the supervisory intent of the delegated Ministerial powers, to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Act.

28. Elected members across Auckland Council are experienced at making decisions in an independent and objective manner. This includes making many separate decisions with different legal requirements and acting in different statutory roles. This is business as usual for local authority decision-makers.

29. Auckland Council as a unitary authority frequently balances both territorial and regional responsibilities and the inherent conflicts of interest that some of these responsibilities pose. The dual governance structure made up of the Governing Body: Mayor and 20 councillors; and 21 local boards also provides an additional layer of challenge and scrutiny in decision-making.

30. Elected officials are supported in their decision-making capacity with formal training and staff advice.

31. We have processes and systems to manage any actual and potential conflicts of interest, particularly for dual decision-making situations: as an administering boy, under Ministerial delegation, in quasi-judicial circumstances and as a territorial authority with regional council oversight.

32. These dual roles are frequently implemented for the same proposal. Key examples include landowner and resource consent approval; asset-owner, service provider and environmental compliance, monitoring and enforcement.

---
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33. The council considers that the many processes, systems and practices we have in place allow legally robust dual decision-making while managing any potential or actual conflict of interest. This mitigates the risk raised by the judicial comments in the Opua decision.

34. We are also guided by the Reserves Act Guide 2004 and through sharing and debating practice with other local authorities and officials from the Department.

35. The council recommends that the Minister be advised that:

- Auckland Council, like other local authorities is very capable and experienced at differentiating and making decisions under the Act as both the administering body and under Ministerial delegation
- Auckland Council has in the exercise of delegation fulfilled the supervisory intent of the Ministerial powers, to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Act
- It would be a backwards step to undo decision-making at the local level for local communities. It will cause delay in delivering a range of health, wellbeing and social outcomes under the Auckland Plan for our communities
- Auckland Council has robust processes and systems to appropriately manage potential or actual conflicts of interest when exercising dual decision-making, including having regard to the Reserves Act Guide 2004. This mitigates the risk raised by the judicial comments in the Opua decision
- The most appropriate place to identify concerns and responses to specific practice by council when exercising dual decision-making (administering body and under Ministerial delegation) under the Act is through an update of the Reserves Act Guide 2004. A review that we note has been pending for several years.

Ngā whakaawae a Kaunihera/Impacts on council

36. The following section outlines the impact the revocation of delegations under the Reserves Act 1977 would have, should the proposal proceed.

Auckland is experiencing fast-paced growth and we need to empower and meet diverse community needs

37. Tāmaki Makaurau is the largest and fastest growing region in New Zealand.

38. Auckland’s parks and reserves provide critical social infrastructure that support and respond to this growth. They provide opportunities for all Aucklanders to participate in sport and recreation and for a range of social and environmental outcomes.

39. Tāmaki Makaurau is one of the most diverse cities in the world with over 180 ethnicities. 40 percent of the population was born overseas.

40. It is essential that council have the most efficient and effective decision-making processes. This enables the council to provide accessible services, social and cultural infrastructure that are responsive to Aucklanders diverse and evolving needs.

41. The Ministerial delegations are essential to manage the enormous scale and volume of decisions that are required for the effective and efficient administration of reserves, as required under the Reserves Act 1977.
42. Tāmaki Makaurau has over 4000 parks and reserves amounting to over 18,000 parcels of land. Auckland Council also has 792 leases that authorise sporting and community organisations to occupy recreation reserves and local purpose reserves.

43. The continually evolving processes and systems that council has had in place to implement the delegations since 1999 has ensured that council can deliver effective service for our local communities under the Reserves Act 1977.

**Delays in Ministerial decision-making would be inevitable and would be at the expense of local communities**

44. The number of reserves that we administer means council will likely request decisions from the Minister on weekly basis if the delegations were to be revoked. These decisions would relate to:
   - reserve management plans
   - classification (recreation, scenic, historic, local purpose) and reclassification
   - land exchanges
   - easements
   - leases and licences.

45. Seeking approval under the Reserves Act 1977 from the Department on any of the above matters would lead to significant delays. The council also seeks an understanding from the Department on how it proposes to resource the significant volume of work required to process these decisions.

46. These delays would have a pronounced impact on our ability to deploy and manage community infrastructure, programmes and activities that are core to our local government function. It would reduce our ability to effectively improve the quality of our environment and social wellbeing across our communities.

47. These issues would be exacerbated in Auckland because of growth and associated high-speed change in infrastructure and the tensions around the delivery of multiple outcomes from scarce resources managed under the Reserves Act 1977.

48. The council is concerned that the Ministerial decision-making would be undertaken with little understanding of the local issues. We do not see any benefit to decision-making from this perspective and we are concerned that this would ultimately cause unnecessary delay to delivering health and wellbeing benefits to our local communities. This could lead to a decline in trust and confidence in local and central government.

49. The proposed revocation of delegations will mean that the Minister (or delegate in the Department) will largely focus on whether procedural steps were followed.

50. The council recommend that the Minister be advised that:
   - revoking Ministerial delegations will add significant delays to all Reserves Act 1977 processes
   - council's ability to be responsive to communities and the changing needs of customers will be severely impacted. This could lead to a decline in trust and confidence.
Ngā koringa ā-muri/Next Steps

51. Auckland Council is available to discuss and provide its view to the Minister of Conservation before a decision is made. This is essential given the number of reserves held under Reserves Act 1977 and the number of New Zealanders they serve.

52. We also strongly urge the Minister to talk to a range of local authorities, including Local Government New Zealand, before making a decision.