

**Date:** **Tuesday, 9 April 2019**

---

**Annual Budget 2019/2020**  
**Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board**  
**WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1**  
**(9 – 3920)**

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Feb 2019 12:08

**Attachment:**

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

why can't we extend the food scraps targeted rate to South Auckland???

## 2. What is important to you?

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Yes, We need more quality parks in South Auckland!

## 3. Local Board information

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

### Comments

Our community needs improvement on our Otara Town Centre big time!, M.I.T is phasing out in the next two years and our community wants and needs a proper supermarket but we are hearing things about panuku building residential units etc. We need more investments in local infrastructure, our parks, town centres.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Do not support

Panuku is already in the minds of the community and have a good rapport when having to do things. Leave it as it is.

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 February 2019 8:48:

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Feb 2019 08:47

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I do not think it is fair to increase to \$20.67 for the annual waste management charge. The standard bin of 120L is quite small and most people do not fill the bins to capacity. Extending the food scraps service is a good move - hope to see it in south auckland soon too. Rates should be charge on all parts of land owned by religious organisations. They get their money from tithes or the governing church, so why should they not pay. Increasing regulatory fees just makes it hard for people to build. I thought Auckland was screaming out for more houses - this will not make it any easier (as it is now).

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Our streets in South Auckland look terrible with litter and gutters filled with debris. What has happened to our regular sweeping/vacuum trucks in our areas. Also the berms of Council land in South Auckland are a disgrace - why are they not mowed more regularly?

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:51:18 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Feb 2019 09:50

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I think \$21 would Be Better to cover some of these costs, should look at Better ways of doing these things, Government should look at other areas to focus on.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:54:08 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Feb 2019 09:52

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I am born and bred in Auckland I don't think in all my aged years I have ever seen such a leaderless shambles that the city is today. Decisions that appear to be beyond belief regarding issues such as stadiums, traffic, housing and poverty where individuals pursue their personal agendas confound and disappoint. Obviously immigration unbridled and uncontrolled is the core of many issues but does not appear to be addressed,

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support  
out of control

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:04:29 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Feb 2019 10:03

**Attachment:**

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

All seem reasonable

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Expanding the food scraps disposal service

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

We have too much duplication within local governance and need to consolidate.

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:12:21 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Feb 2019 10:09

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I think we need to decrease the rate for annual waste management charge.

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Yes because most of the people living in our area are low income families.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

We need some new changes

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#225\_  
20 February 2019 10:20:01 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Feb 2019 10:17

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I agree with all of these. The Food Scraps initiative I'd like to see extended to areas of greater population density, where lack of space discourages composting.

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Transport and the continual state of catch-up we are in (not looking to blame council for this as it is a legacy of previous administrations and short-sighted rate payers). Fly-tipping and the seeming lack of prosecution of offenders. Do we need to encourage a form of Neighbourhood Watch in the worst-affected areas? Lack of management of new plantings in council parks. I see many trees that have simply died through lack of care, and therefore a waste to plant them in the first place.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

### Comments

I think the priorities are realistic. Not everything we might want but the pot is only so big.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Anything that removes a layer of bureaucracy is a good thing, as long as the governance has sound oversight and long-term goals for the assets.



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 February 2019 12:46:25 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Feb 2019 12:45

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.



**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Happy with this •not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations,  
Why isn't food scrap pushed out Auckland Wide? Why is there another added waste cost? too high

**2. What is important to you?****Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Dumping of rubbish in South Auckland areas is a HUG issue Poor parks and recreational areas in Papatoetoe, Mangere Run down shopping centres that use roll up doors GET rid of them tell the shops owners to clean up outside their area of business such a Pig sty

**3. Local Board information****Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Mangere-Otahuhu and Otara-Papatoetoe

**Mangere-Otahuhu Local Board feedback**In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Your included changes I can't see the benefit in my area

What youth initiatives would you like to see take place in our local board area?

ANYTHING you have NOTHING! I have moved and brought to this area from Central Auckland you have nothing for YOUTH to do, Just look at the following parks we go to and out of way to enjoy our time there, Grey Lynn Park Skate and Bike ramp, upgrade Basketball and Volleyball, Picnic Areas, More rubbish bins and a free paddle pool and more. Victoria Park an upgrade kids playground, way advanced basketball and hoop area also Bike and Skate park Better public toilets, I can name 5 parks in Papatoetoe and Mangere that have NONE of these! they don't even have a public rest room to use? No Dog bin for dog litter, barely any bins so that's why you see rubbish everywhere and the lawns barely mowed the parks are not kept up to standards

What support would you like to see to reduce local waste and increase recycling?

FINES! you need to go through bins and FINE residence you need to educate the difference in Recycle and Rubbish Putting a sticker on the bin is not enough you need to get out there and show people a dirty nappy in a Recycle bin yeah right its about educating people and name and shame send the landlords and tenants fines until they get it right!!!

**Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback**In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

#### Comments

Same as what I said about Mangere above, Kohuora Park Papatoetoe is a total dump and waste not looked after and NOTHING to do waste of land space better used for more recreational use, Rubbish and Dumping is a serious issue and the Shop owners need to take responsibility the shops areas outside is littered with rubbish they need to be fine for being lazy

### Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

#### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

10 year budget your problem is you all move to SLOW focus on POOR public transport, poor park and beach facilities, rubbish dumping and poor quality repair stores that look so run down

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 February 2019 4:38:42 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Feb 2019 16:37

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Yes I agree with an increase to the annual waste management charge and would oppose user charges in this arena.

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Agree with Freedom Campers Policy, Agree with Council extending the Contributions Policy, Agree with no Sunday trading on Easter, Agree with the facility for Flatbush, agree with Otara Papatoetoe Green ways plan. More emphasis by ATEED to look at Maori Tourism and helping Develop Maori Economic Plan to get more Maori involved in Tourism by supporting Maori Small Businesses. Whaka eke noa should be given more relevance in the issuing of Contracts and a special emphasis should be given to Maori Businesses. Maori Social Procurement should be fully supported by organisations like ATEED, AT, Watercare and Regional Facility Organisation. By Supporting Maori Businesses you directly affect Maori negative Statistics. I would like the opportunity to speak to Councillors during this process.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 February 2019 4:55:13 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Feb 2019 16:53

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Nice changes. Beneficial for the residents.

**2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

No

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

**Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Almost all the priorities included.

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#555\_  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 February 2019 8:36:55 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Feb 2019 20:35

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Not keen on more rates increases but accept that costs for services also increase. Keep the overall rate increase to 2.5% and apply targeted rates where extra services are required. Targeted rates seem to be what the 1990's 'user pays' has evolved into.

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Getting 'value for money' on rates spend.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe and Rodney

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

### Comments

Free access to swimming pools for kids (in the holidays) and disabled people like me (during school time).

## Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

There needs to be more strict enforcement of fire bans (and private fireworks ban) especially over the dry summer period.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



I hate redundant bureaucracy!

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 21 February 2019 12:13:06 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 21 Feb 2019 12:10

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

it depends how this is performed but I feel some areas do need this but most areas people in this situation will struggle

**2. What is important to you?****Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

the rates are to high specially our arear

**3. Local Board information****Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

**Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

I feel that otara -Papatoetoe area are struggling with there rates

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

I feel that the council needs to monitor all this program for a better prospect on running this situation its great that panuku will still manage this, the council need legally own this so it can be for the future

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#  
**Date:** Thursday, 21 February 2019 3:58:43 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 21 Feb 2019 15:57

**Attachment:**

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:36:45 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 21 Feb 2019 16:34

**Attachment:**

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I agree that the rates be fairly distributed to all living in Auckland City. Resource consent, building control needs to be better policed right through to the completion of projects. The Council constantly resetting the clock is also annoying and costing the ratepayer more.

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

How about a garden waste bin, to encourage residents to remain in control of noxious and invasive weeds ie the moth plant, privet.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

### Comments

Old Papatoetoe is already being revamped with the re-build of New World and street beautification project. It is now Otara's turn, to encourage visitors to Otara town centre, the pools & gym.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Would improve communication, avoiding confusion

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#755\_  
**Date:** Thursday, 21 February 2019 5:12:54 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 21 Feb 2019 17:10

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



Assume if I am using a private waste management company (Waste Management) then this charge is NOT levied.

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Rates indexed to property values. How does this reconcile with costs to provide services, or the services themselves. When property values decline (e.g. as is occurring in Australia) do rates come down. Suspect we have a one-way rates rort system in play here.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

I would like to see the debate around Eden Park and a waterfront stadium resolved one way or the other NOW. We have been procrastinating and blowing hot air on this issue for years with no change in status. Includes relocating ports debate etc. Time to put a stake in the ground once and for all. Eden Park is leaking money, in large part due to farcical restrictions on use and onerous consenting process which renders Eden Park unworkable.

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**

**Date:** Friday, 22 February 2019 5:54:02 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 22 Feb 2019 05:52

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Fine

## 2. What is important to you?

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

We have one the best run companies running water for Auckland, compared to other regions, and need to NOT screw it up . Watercare should be praised for putting together the Super City's water system - bringing the pieces into a whole. Not easy.

## 3. Local Board information

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

More education efforts aimed at conservation and pollution

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

The entire Ports of Auckland should be moved out to reclaim this beautiful area for people and recreation and tourist activities - like Sydney. Move shipping to Tauranga for goodness sake. And why have big trucks drive through Parnell. Rediculous

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#1,287  
**Date:** Monday, 4 March 2019 7:58:44 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 4 Mar 2019 19:57

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Ensuring the Auckland city mission receives adequate funding in order to help those who are in need of their services.

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 7:38:40 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 19:37

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I think the Council is dishonest by announcing a 2.5% average rates increase and then loading us with targeted rates. Nobody is fooled by this but the integrity of Council is greatly diminished.

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

ALL assets should be owned by the Council, not by other non-elected bodies who seem to be immune from Council control.

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 8:28:40 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 20:27

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



Why discount for religious organizations ?? None of them deserve any discount whatever. What have they done to deserve it??

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

You are proposing to increase waste management charges. What about penalising those who throw their rubbish on road every day? I am sick of walking on footpaths full of broken glass, garbage and every kind of rubbish.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

There is no proposal no punish those who break the rules.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 8:36:20 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 20:35

**Attachment:**

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

All good

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Panuku is a development agent not a land owner. Waterfront land development is well under control now

Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 14:51

**Attachment:**

**Language:** English

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I do not agree in a change in charging church land as it would or could put the church organization etc. in problems.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Friday, 15 March 2019 9:29:36 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 09:28

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Im NOT in favour of the \$20.67 annual waste management. I pay rates and feel that rates are too high, especially in AUCKLAND. Instead of building more homes in Auckland the council needs to stand firm and PRESSURE THE GOVERNMENT to build on the OUTSKIRTS of AK get more businesses, out that way so people dont have to commute back into AK. Pressure Government to build outside Auckland as the population and waste management is critical and no amount of \$\$\$ will make Auckland landscape larger..

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Whats wrong with North Shore's current rural sewerage. If theres nothing wrong with it don't waste money on it.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

The need to move onto getting the structure at Ngati Otara up and going. This park services a wide community in Otara, and we don't have anything else available e.g sporting complex similar to Papatoetoe.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

If its saving money for the tax payer in some way then yes. If Panuku urban development agency are not managing this properly then yes. but if all is going well don't! - Auckland council have enough on its plate without having to manage yet another complex project/area. You need to deal with the people sleeping on the street, help businesses but dealing with this issue.

**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Friday, 15 March 2019 10:06:58 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 10:03

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_ID#  
**Date:** Friday, 15 March 2019 10:52:11 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 10:51

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Does Not charging religious organisation increase everyone else rate if so then i dont agree with it.

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

**Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Friday, 15 March 2019 11:29:42 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 11:28

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

fair increase

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

pollution from plastic

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

resources spent on crime prevention

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

waterfront is showcase to any city , council should be the ones controlling it.

Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 12:20

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

You just want money. You raised petrol prices with Fuel tax and congestion isn't any better, in fact worse. Repeal fuel tax because it hasn't made anything better.

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Auckland. Auckland Council should only be concerned with Auckland city, not the whole country. Bring back Manukau city Council. Auckland Council is inherently ineffective wasting time, money and resources

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

### Comments

Why is Hayman park Otara's concern? We need to reramp Otara's shopping centre. We need to spend money on educating people to keep footpaths clear! Cars parked on footpaths is not allowed according to the Road Code. I love hitting bins onto the road.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Transfer properties so you can screw them up like you do with everything you own, manage and oversee?



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 15 Mar 2019 22:36

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



The government should maintain its expenditure, Why do ,we suffer for everything? Why can't,the wages of the minister be cut down , Every day living cost is getting higher ,the wages are low and benefits to refugees n migrants are mounting up - Why do you bring religion in ,these places have so much of money- why should'nt they be treated like us

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The funding given for 10 Diwali's/Id WHY It's all wasted and goes in the pockets of an individual,rather spend this money on the youth programs,provide extra help for special need children ,have neighbourhood day in the park . Stop wasting money on these individual community,rather arrange some events with humanity or awareness workshops

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

### In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

### Comments

Too much funding is wasted in the useless events ,which is not needed. Council should do the home work ,where are the gaps ? They need to be thoughtful about tax payers money Involve the youth in shaping up Auckland , We spend millions to buy a house,suddenly we see the housing New Zealand as our neighbors,who make noise,play loud music ,do the lawn mowing at 7p.m - 9 in the evening - if we complain,people think,we are not human OMG

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback\_  
**Date:** Saturday, 16 March 2019 12:08:40 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 16 Mar 2019 12:07

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Food scrapes might suit some people BUT I do NOT need this service as I compost my own waste. I have read that if this service is expanded to all of Auckland that there will be no opt out which I WILL NOT accept. I WILL then be forced to cancel my Direct debit to Auckland Council and pay my rates WITHOUT this unwanted extra cost.

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

I have NO idea what thier priorities are such is thier low level of information.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Saturday, 16 March 2019 4:54:47 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 16 Mar 2019 16:53

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Religious organization should be charged rates, as they are financially very viable. So they should at least pay a nominal amount. Resource consents are already very expensive.

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Dog control. Why do we pay high dog registration fees when many dogs are unregistered., and end up in the pound. We need more off leash areas for dogs to be safe.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

### Comments

We have no off leash area for dogs here. also many dogs are unregistered, so registered owners are bearing the brunt of costs.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Saturday, 16 March 2019 5:51:29 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 16 Mar 2019 17:48

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Fine with all except the North Shore and Waitakere items should be directed through localised engagement.

## 2. What is important to you?

### Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The engagement should have been localised to those who directly affected more so than in truly regional annual budget engagement.

## 3. Local Board information

### Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

### Comments

A good focus across the full local board area. Good to see destination playground at Hayman Park and continued LB support to Transform Manukau with a push on community facilities.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

### What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Makes fiscal sense from what I have read.

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**

**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 8:45:17 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 08:44

**Attachment:** [1.pdf](#)

**Language:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees)



and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

re 5th charge - not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations.  
Please see attached sheet

**2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council



# AK HAVE YOUR SAY – Church Rates Issue

**Feedback from \_\_\_\_\_, on behalf of  
Wesley Methodist Church,**

I would like to give feedback in favour of the proposal “**to not charge rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations**”.

Central government, since 1876, has recognised the contribution the Christian Churches have played in providing for the spiritual, social, educational and physical needs of not only their own parishioners, but all members of society willing to receive their assistance, by giving exemption from rates. In the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 (the Act), central government again included non-rating of religious properties. I strongly believe that the Auckland Council should comply with the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002

I represent the Papatoetoe Wesley Methodist Church in the Auckland Council area. We have a Church and Hall that are used by an English speaking congregation as well as a separate Samoan Congregation. Our facilities are also made available for community use. We have a weekly Soup Kitchen providing meals to those who need it and companionship to those living on their own. Our facilities are used by the community and for the good of the community – e.g. for Girls Brigade, Communicare, Bowls club, Ezi-exercise, Floral Art groups and Probus. This is all part of being the Church in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century.

For most Churches, no private pecuniary profit is derived from any activities undertaken by the Church. This is certainly the case for Wesley Methodist Church.

Our church has a small office where a part time administrator plays a vital role in enabling the church activities to take place. This area should be non-rated as it serves no commercial purpose. Our church was alarmed that the council made an arbitrary decision, without consultation, to rate us 7% for an office that was only 1% of the area, was only staffed 15 hours a week, and was only there to serve the ongoing ministry of the church. We feel this approach, without proper consultation, was unacceptable. We believe the Council needs to consult with local church’s leadership before determining any charge of partial rates, to ensure that the facts are correct.

I strongly support the council's plan to exempt religious organisations from rates, but reiterate my belief that religious organisations are already exempt from rates on most of their activities under the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002, and as the government interpreted it for over 100 years prior to that.

**Refer: Local Government (Rating) Act 2002**

## **Part I of Schedule I**

*Categories of non-ratable land.* These include

Clause 9: *Land used solely or principally –*

- (a) *As a place of religious worship:*
- (b) *For a Sunday or Sabbath school or other form of religious education and not used for private pecuniary profit.*

Clause 21: *Land used or occupied by or for the purposes of.....(providing) free maintenance or relief of persons in need.....*

**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Monday, 18 March 2019 10:58:00 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 18 Mar 2019 10:57

**Attachment:** [StPaulsOtarasubmission.pdf](#)

**Language:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

see attachment for more info

## **2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

## **3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

## **Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council





# St Pauls Methodist Church Otara Samoan Parish

---

#3059



Auckland Council  
Freepost Authority 182382  
Private Bag 92 300  
Auckland 1142

7 March 2019

To the Auckland Council,

Re: AK Have Your Say

We would like to comment in favour of the proposal to not charge rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations. While we consider that religious organisations already have these exemptions under the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002, nevertheless council officers have determined that their legal advice states otherwise.

We represent the St Pauls Otara Methodist Church in the Auckland Council area. Christian churches in our communities provide for the spiritual, social, educational and physical needs of not only their own parishioners but all members of society willing to receive their assistance. This has been consistently recognised by central government, since 1876, by way of an exemption from rates for properties by churches for the furtherance of their religious worship.

It needs to be stressed that the religious worship of a modern church involves far more than Sunday services and the observance of communion and baptism at ceremonies in the so called "sanctuary". A modern church is first and foremost founded upon the concept of community, a place where people can gather, to worship, fellowship, learn and support others. A modern church building will reflect these various aspects of modern living, often incorporating spaces for fellowship around a meal. A large community church will naturally require a sizeable auditorium, supported by public meeting spaces, possibly a hall for kids programmes and Sunday school, offices for staff and substantial parking spaces (as required by council). To suggest that these are not all integral to the activities of the church, making some of them rateable, is to lack understanding of how a modern church functions.

Our facilities are also made available for community use, covering a wide range of activities such as Weddings, Funerals, Birthdays, Family gatherings, community fundraisings, car wash and other fundraisings. These uses are often not charged for or if they are they are not charged at commercial rates and provide a valuable community service. The unintended consequence of rating any of these activities will be that either the charges will need to be increased significantly, or churches will need to move out of the central city where they can occupy cheaper land. This will result in a decrease in churches in the very location that council is trying to re-populate.

We strongly support the council's plan in relation to exemptions from rates for religious organisations.

**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Tuesday, 2 April 2019 1:28:10 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 2 Apr 2019 13:27

**Attachment:**

**Language:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I would instead decrease the frequency of rubbish collection instead in order to not increase the cost. maybe collect every 10 days instead of every 7 days. People are already struggling to pay bills.

**2. What is important to you?****Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Foot path repair and pedestrian crossing safety is very poor in my area, there needs to be additional focus on these health and safety issues.

**3. Local Board information****Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

**Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

i dont think swimming pools need to be subsidized as it is attracting people from other districts who do not pay for it in their rates.

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

provided it does not increase auckland rates in order to maintain them i guess i support it. if it does increase the resources required to own them then i donot support it



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 14 March 2019 9:47:51 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 14 Mar 2019 21:46

**Attachment:**

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**



I do agree

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 09:39

**Attachment:**

**Language:** English

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I agree with number 2

**2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

**Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support



Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 09:46

**Attachment:**

**Language:** English

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I Do not agree to all of them because they cost to much

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

**Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback**

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support



Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 09:54

**Attachment:**

**Language:** English

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I agree with all charges.

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

[Comments](#)

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 9:59:41 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 09:59

**Attachment:**

**Language:** English

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.



**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I agree with all of these changes/ideas.

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:**  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 10:08:41 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 10:08

**Attachment:**

**Language:** English

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

WASTE MANAGEMENT: I don't agree If you increase the annual waste management charge there will be more pollution. FOOD SCRAPS: However I agree with extending the Food Scraps targeted rate to 2000 properties to compost the Scraps for Cheaper and healthier environment

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Tuesday, 19 March 2019 10:11:49 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 19 Mar 2019 10:11

**Attachment:**

**Language:** English

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Nil-I agree with the charges

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Mar 2019 09:35

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Chinese

### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Disagree

## **2. What is important to you?**

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

## **3. Local Board information**

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

[Comments](#)

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 March 2019 9:57:17 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Mar 2019 09:56

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Chinese

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.



**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Disagree

## 2. What is important to you?

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

## 3. Local Board information

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Improve transportation. There are not enough intermediate and high schools in the area.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 March 2019 11:54:19 A

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Howick

## Howick Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY REDACTED feedback\_ID#1,019\_  
**Date:** Thursday, 21 March 2019 10:34:47 AM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

## Annual Budget feedback



### Feedback

**Date received:** 21 Mar 2019 10:34

**Attachment:**

**Language:**

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

Support the proposal for church offices, halls, gyms etc to be 'non rateable'. Support for church ministers house to be 'non rateable' regardless of distance from main church and owned by the church. Tread carefully on commercial definition

#### 2. What is important to you?

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

#### 3. Local Board information

### Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about

\$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**



Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Wednesday, 20 March 2019 1:22:43 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 20 Mar 2019 13:22

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Chinese

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

## 2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

## 3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Howick

## Howick Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

[Comments](#)

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Partially support

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Thursday, 21 March 2019 2:23:56 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 21 Mar 2019 14:23

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Korean

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.



**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

I agree with the following proposals: - extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available - adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

**2. What is important to you?**

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

**3. Local Board information**

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Otara-Papatoetoe

**Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

I believe the property transfer of waterfront properties is needed to maximise future flexibility.

Auckland Council



**From:** [Auckland Council](#)  
**To:** [AKHaveYourSay](#)  
**Subject:**  
**Date:** Friday, 22 March 2019 8:14:14 PM

---

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

## Annual Budget feedback



### Submitter details

**Date received:** 22 Mar 2019 20:13

**Attachment:**

**Language:** Chinese

### Feedback

#### 1. Changes to rates and fees

**We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.**

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

**Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:**

agree

## 2. What is important to you?

**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

## 3. Local Board information

**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Otara-Papatoetoe

## Otara-Papatoetoe Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

### Comments

Establish more intermediate schools and high schools in Manukau, improve the transport and park facilities.

## Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

**What is your opinion about this proposal?**

Support

Auckland Council

