



Date: Thursday, 11 April 2019

**Annual Budget 2019/2020
Ōrākei Local Board**

**WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1
(29 – 1056)**

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Apr 2019 15:32

Attachment:

Language:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available

- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Support

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#)

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 08:45

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

They wouldn't be necessary if the council were more efficient. Rates should *definitely* be charged on all land - religion should have no special privileges in a secular society.

2. What is important to you?**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Keeping rates down.

3. Local Board information**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?****Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:06:28 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:04

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Why should there be an increase in Resource Consent Fee's?! They are already far too high and Council takes so long to process requests!.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I feel that the Sewage problem at some Beaches is a disgrace and should be fixed ASAP. The current system is old and we should not have to be unable to swim at Beaches on Tamaki Drive!!!.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

seem to be doing a good job.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

As long as it doesn't affect the people who live there too much!.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:25:21 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:23

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Nothing specific on the above, as increased costs need to be recovered. However, with regard to the food scraps programme mentioned above, I am totally opposed to the wholesale implementation of this across Auckland City, and if it is instituted it will be a total misuse of ratepayers funds for those such as ourselves who compost.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

I am happy with the aims and plans of my local board.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

If it can reduce costs that can only be a good thing.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:29:27 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:28

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Rates should be charged to religious organisations in the same way as any other business.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:31:18 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:30

Attachment:

Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The increase to the annual waste management seems fair but the food scraps so be targeted at all urban Auckland residences, particularly in central Auckland where residents have limited access to garden and home composting systems.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Reducing the cost of public transport to encourage people to use them. Better efficient and timely public transport. Clean waterways and beaches. Access to clean water fountains for schools, in parks, in central Auckland to encourage people to refill their bottles and reducing waste. More support to encourage residents to reduce their waste.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

We need more support to reduce food scrap waste, more native planting. Support of local iwi to push for these mentioned targets. Better sewage and clean water. There needs to be some effort in fixing the water waste system on Okahu bay, has been an issues for so long, so shameful !

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:33:08 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:31

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

20 years ago we were told property developers were getting charged more for water/ sewerage connections because the council was separating the storm water/ waste water, this was to be completed within 20years. That time is up, the storm water/ waste water has not been completely separated and we are still getting sewerage overflows because of it. I do not want rates increased due to the council's poor/ wasteful management. No Resource Consent increases. Everything else sounds fine.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The most important thing to me is maintaining the character of the suburbs around the waterfront. We had people stay with us from Japan who were told 'must visit Mission Bay' their friends had been to Auckland and loved it's low rise, cafes, beach and community. While all the suburbs - Kohi, Mission Bay and St Heliers all need development PLEASE nothing over 4 stories and PLEASE get more parking as well as separated bike, e scooter/ bike lanes.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

The Orakei board is brilliant, can't praise them highly enough.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

These assets should be independently managed, when governments and councils get involved things fail because of personal agenda and ideologies, just look at the current government. Of course the management company should be fully transparent and have clear KPI's and accountability.

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:35:48 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:34

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

No one likes increases, but if they are necessary and appropriate then ok

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

That rates are fair and equitable and reflect the services delivered and that user pays is appropriately implementation

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

I support the focus on pedestrian and cycling links - they are essentially to link our communities. I totally support a bylaw to eliminate heavy trucks from using local roads instead of motorway access to the port - this has been going on for years and is an unnecessary impact on our roads and communities. I would like to also see advocacy for the use of quieter road surfaces in residential areas - our road was resealed by AT using coarse chip and the road noise has increased dramatically. I would also like thee board to see what can be done about the noise from the trains and access to the Orakei Train Station from Orakei suburb. Orakei residents suffer the noise from the trains, which has increased dramatically, and additional traffic from the free park and ride. I totally support more frequent trains, but the noise is becoming a significant issue. It would also be good if there was pedestrian access down the hill from Ngaio Street/Awarua Creascent to Kepa Road to enable better access to the train station.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:52:08 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:48

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Disagree with increasing the annual waste management charge. Disagree with not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations - they are businesses that need to pay their way same as everyone else.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

What is important to me is that you stop increasing our rates every year and stop wasting rate payer money on ATTEED and Americas Cup extravagances. You also need to get Auckland Transport under control = they are simply wasting money on their fanatical pursuit of cycling and bus lanes destroying our roading network which was already inadequate.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

They need to show some benefits for this area - they do nothing!!

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Only support if you promise not to sell the assets and prove you can administer and maintain at a lower cost - frankly I don't trust this present Council to do either based on their track record of lies, secrecy, mismanagement and fiscal irresponsibility.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:54:08 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:52

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

1. I have no problem with the annual waste charge increased. 2. I think the food scraps targeted rate is ridiculous. I compost and have a worm farm so would be really annoyed about being charged for something I don't use. 3. That seems fair now that all the infrastructure is in place. 4. Again, common sense. 5. Seems ridiculous for religious organisations not to pay rates. 6. The cost of regulatory fees is already stifling development, are you sure it is wise to increase costs further? How have the costs increased?

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Clean beaches Rubbish collection Safe streets Libraries Sports fields Pest control

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

I am pretty happy with what I see done in the area

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

it is silly to have governance duplication, waste of ratepayers money



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:55:48 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:53

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Religious groups should pay rates like everyone else.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Reducing costs.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Local Board should be doing more to press for reduced costs all across Council

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:00:19 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 09:58

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Land is land in a modern society, so not charging religious organizations is an archaic law that needs to be revised. If we can charge entities such as google, amazon and other internet giants for operating here but have no physical presence, why should churches be different? Their 'Boss has no physical presence either. Pay for the land they use, or free it up

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

A city in good order

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Is it a governing decision or a tax dodge? More information at public level please, as what I have heard is only thats in response to potential CGT



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
20 February 2019 10:02:49 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 10:01

Attachment:

to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Fees are already excessively high and I do not feel that an increase can be justified when the quality of service is poor at Auckland Council. Building consents etc are overpriced and water rates are already substantial. Rates based on property value are unfair anyway.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Rates should be based on the services received rather than the property value.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Council already seems to be struggling to manage their own assets so adding additional ones may not be a good idea



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#211_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:07:30 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 10:06

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Why not charging rates for religious organisations? Some of these have organisations are highly controversial.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Bring back the old inorganic collection. They were great because most items I put out were upcycled (i.e. someone would pick them up and use them again). I decked out half my old house from stuff picked up for free from the neighbours in those collections. The new ones result in everything being destroyed. It's a terrible waste.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:32:12 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 10:30

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Annual Waste Management charge: Since we won't be getting rubbish pick up from some point in the next couple years I don't see why waste management needs to get more money or why we have to pay for it, especially once we have to pay for the bins to be collected ourselves. Complete waste of money. Go and find a company that will do it for cheaper if you are actually serious. Food scraps - give out free compost bins (50L)... Religious organisations - charge them all at business rates... No increase in regulatory fees. You lot already get way to much in rates and still charge an arm and a leg for regulatory shit that isn't needed... should be free.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Less bureaucracy is always good, didn't think it was possible with Auckland Council.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:51:42 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 10:49

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Quite obviously the increase to regulatory fees such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees are outrageous. Paperwork and processes should be simplified instead, and staffing levels and pay reviewed to contain costs.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

(1) I have a great deal of concern about Auck Council continually trying to expand their area of business into what are clearly National (Central Govt) responsibilities - instead of efficiently managing core services. Some examples are: social housing, red light camera enforcement and other traffic behaviour enforcement, tourism promotion. Matters that are looked after by Central Govt DO NOT require Auck Council to meddle in. This duplicates governmental functions and escalates rates. (2) Too many overpaid staff. There are now over 1500 Auck Council employees with salaries over \$100,00 per annum costing an estimated \$195 Million per annum. This is outrageous. To have a wage over \$100,000 implies these people are at least at middle management level and how is it even possible to need so many managers? Totally disapprove. This is an enormous burden for the rate payer. There MUST be cuts to both numbers of staff and wages to make the Auck Council costs more sustainable.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Of prime concern to me is that there is still no plan to four lane Kepa Road. It is completely clear this needs to happen to cope with increased traffic flow and to keep some traffic OFF the waterfront which is a public amenity area NOT a motorway.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

So long as none of this implies social housing which is a Central Govt matter NOT council

business. Why should rate payers subsidise other's housing? These properties MUST be charged at market rates.



Auckland Council



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Apr 2019 15:35

Attachment:

Language:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available

- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Do not support

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Apr 2019 15:36

Attachment:

Language:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available

- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

1.1 Agree 1.2 Agree 1.3 Agree 1.4 Agree 1.5 Disagree, should charge the same rate to them 1.6 Agree

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 11:41:23 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 11:40

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Rates are increasing every year and sometimes by a large margin. This is already an expensive city to live in. What evidence is there that the revenue from rates is being well spent? The council has a poor reputation of being over spenders.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Living in a clean city where we can swim in the water. Have roads that we can drive on without having to fight to whole time.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 11:42:42 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 11:41

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The annual charge is unfair to low use pensioners like myself, who only puts out a red bin once every 4 or 6 weeks, and a blue bin every two or three months.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The charge should be more tailored to user pays.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

On the basis the costs will be lower.



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 12:22:53 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 12:21

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Port needs to move elsewhere to build parks, apartments and office. It's a very ugly feature, must disappoint tourists on daily basis. I really don't like the idea of a stadium in the city centre. It's already dysfunctional and it wont make it any better.



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#364_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 1:00:20 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 12:59

Attachment:

Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Building consent costs are OUTRAGEOUS. You should be ashamed of yourselves. I hope you're not going to include Sanitarium and other commercial organisations in rates exemption. Food scraps collection is dumb in some areas (central city apartments)

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No off street parking for new builds is turning our streets into the world's most expensive rate payer funded car park - just Dumb Dominion Road tram is a stupid idea.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

good idea- how much of my money will you save



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#37
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 1:12:51 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 13:11

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I feel if all of Akld had a food scraps bin, similar to our other bins would 1/2 or rubbish amount overnight. Or bring down cost of compost bins. Building Consents chargers already expensive, if too much will limit new development.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Too much money spent lately on reinstalling new kerbs, where they didn't really need it. Atkin Avenue reserve, up the top of Paterson seriously needs some drainage improvement, as floods neighbouring properties. It would be used more if it was flattened.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Sounds like a doubling up of staff administrating the same things. I thought they were already owed by Akld council. Never heard of Punuku?



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#380_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 1:30:21 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 13:29

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 1:41:01 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 13:39

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

They all sound reasonable to me. I have doubts about the exclusion from rates of religious bodies but that is presumably a central government matter

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Council land should be owned and controlled by the Council



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 2:05:47 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Food scraps collection and targeted rate needs to be opt in/out. I.e. If someone already composts all food waste they should be able to opt out of the service/collection and hence not have the targeted rate.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

As long as book keeping entry only and to avoid potential tax/fees later.



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#422_
20 February 2019 2:47:00 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 14:43

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Would prefer not to subsidise religious groups as this seems like discrimination and I don't agree with most of their beliefs. They own some incredibly valuable land and if they can't afford the rates, they can sell it.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

My key feedback is on two issues: - our growth is still far too fast. To July 2018, NZ had 15 net immigrants per 1000 people; half to Auckland. Australia had 10, other countries had 5 or less. We should pick a number below 10 and stick to it, then we may be able to provide the services we need. - I would like residential ratepayers to be consulted and for their views to get priority over tourists or businesses. I would prefer all decisions to be driven by this, rather than singling out issues on the basis that they are good for tourism or business, no matter what form that business or tourism takes, e.g. brothels, which are just awful and appear to have grown incredibly, or freedom camping, which leaves ratepayers struggling in an unaffordable city subsidising people on holiday.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

It reflects feedback from locals and provides some local value for rates

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Why not just get rid of all these CCOs? I can't see what value they add (except to Board members). I think the Council should sell the port. It is trying to compete with commercial activities at e.g. Port of Tauranga (and maybe Napier shortly), but the Council is not commercial enough to do this successfully.

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 4:12:40 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 16:11

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The idea of not charging rates for some parts of land to religious organisations is not a good idea. Why specifically religious organisations? How do you define a religious organisation? Will this apply to all organisations no matter what they preach?

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Comments

No idea.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#470_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 4:14:50 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 16:12

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

No Comment

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Concerned at the power Auckland Transport have

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Maungakiekie-Tamaki

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Ownership should not go the council as down the road they then have the power to sell the assets with consultation



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#500_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 5:25:33 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 17:18

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I am against not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations: they make huge profits and do not pay taxes. I am against any increase which inflicts pains on the most vulnerable people.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The clear trend is to increase fees and scale down services. Expect strong opposition, even revolt.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Is it more balanced the Auckland city priorities and delivers better results.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

I Too many agencies with duplicates/triplicates in Auckland city agencies. Auckland not Panuku should manage the properties.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 5:55:14 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 17:53

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The food scraps targeted rate should be scrapped as food scraps should be collected separately and turned into saleable compost for the good of the environment. This would significantly reduce the amount of rubbish going to land fill.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

The halls etc provided by the previous boroughs are getting harder and more expensive for local groups to access

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

They should never have gone out of council ownership.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 6:41:34 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 18:39

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Scrap annual waste increase and charge more in fines for illegal dumping. No increase to resource consent or building. Instead place council staff on time management courses.

2. What is important to you?**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Bring in permit parking in central city areas, eg Parnell, Grey Lynn, Ponsonby, Ellerslie. Also, where are the bus loops to transport hubs? Discourage the park and ride way of commuting.

3. Local Board information**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

The beaches, reserves and green areas in the Orakei ward get used by many more people than just those that live in the area...one example is Round the Bays.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

I think this property needs to be owned by a more transparent enterprise than the council.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#529_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 6:51:36 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 18:50

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

resource consent already extremely high for the level of service

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Is there supposed to be information related to 2019/20 priorities posted under each local board? All documents relate to 2018/19 so assume that these outcomes (are these the same as 'priorities'?) are the same for 2019/20. Strongly agree with 'parks and open spaces are valued and enjoyed', we are 'proud of community facilities and public spaces' and 'the natural environment is valued' however being in an area where the local outdoor spaces are so intensively used by Aucklanders from all over the city, many of whom do not share these values, the local board is struggling to prevent the local area from drowning in rubbish.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Only if this actually leads to real savings

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#532_
20 February 2019 7:01:24 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 19:00

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

\$20.67 is not unreasonable to those who gets inorganic collection annually . Urban rating boundary increase is a good idea. Free rating for some religious organisations is unwarranted a lot of these organisation take a percentage of their parishioners income the should pay rates on owned land like everyone else - maybe free rates for an organisation such as Auckland City Mission although I doubt they own land. What increased cost is there in mooring - most moorings a rented and cost the council nothing to maintain.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Transport and traffic

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

I have only recently moved to the area and so far I have not come across any issues that need to be prioritised

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Should be a long term cost saving



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#536_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 7:19:44 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 19:18

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Majority fine. I believe rates should be charged on all land owned being religious organisations or not.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

I'm for streaming of services and reduction of overheads. I am opposed to selling off of assets.



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
20 February 2019 7:23:46 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 19:22

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Are you kidding about resource consents?? And you want the housing crisis solved??

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I hate that water readings are taken bi- monthly but we're invoiced monthly. Can't have it both ways

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Panuku seem to be doing a better job than council.



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#579_
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 10:16:15 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 22:15

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

All sound sensible, apart from the religious organisations. Unless is the case for all charities, I am against making an exception.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Should be clearly with council



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 11:11:56 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 20 Feb 2019 23:09

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I think religious organisations should only be exempt rates if they are performing social services

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 2:11:57 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 02:06

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Will everyone be able to afford some of the increased rates?

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Not sure.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

I think it could mean running things more efficiently, by restructuring some organisations.



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 8:47:59 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 08:44

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

No to the annual increase of waste management. The present across the board system is grossly unfair as I as a Superannuatant am subsidising my two income neighbours with families for their service. I do not place my bins out each week but every alternate week. Why should I be doing this? IT SHOULD BE A 'USER PAYS' SERVICE.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

All religious institutions should pay their Rates. Many masquerade as 'Do Gooders' anyway! Continue your good work on Walk and Cycleways as especially the latter it is a key to our future transport mode.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

As stated above re refuse collection.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 9:35:00 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 09:33

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Increasing all waste rates to transfer historic cost / charging scheme is flawed and penalises general rate payers. Fee increases need to reflect real costs and more information to prove why you need this spend / revenue is required - feels like we may be paying for historic inefficiency & under investment.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Focusing on the core services of council in terms of transport links and facilities seems appropriate. The spend level looks too low compared to the rates take from our local board area - more cross subsidisation from high property value areas to low. Property value rates are inherently unfair.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Less duplication needs to be key focus - too many officers in too many organisations.



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 1:08:55 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 13:04

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

It is not appropriate for religious organisations to be subsidised by ratepayers - if any organisation is relieved of their rates obligation they should be required to demonstrate and report on the social/environmental good they provide to the community/ecosystem.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

More resources need to be committed to supporting safe active-mode transportation (shared paths, traffic calming, lighting, way finding) - this will help alleviate congestion, address rampant obesity and reduce carbon emissions. The Glen-Innes to CBD shared path is taking much to long to deliver - stage 2 (Orakei Basin width expansion) is way over schedule and the balustrade debacle demonstrates poor design and Project Management.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#673_
21 February 2019 1:46:11 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 13:44

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Increasing the waste water charge is starting to really annoy me. The entire 'fixed waste water' approach is middle age and should be stopped! I've been living in many countries and never, ever had to pay a fixed fee. This needs to be done on a 'what you use' approach, not a 'everyone pays something'. I've been overseas for a few months and had to pay for using NOTHING water related. My flat was empty. Mooring fees are already high and hit the wrong people. If you want to cover funding the salvage and disposal of abandoned, derelict and wrecked boats you should really treat it like a speeding fine to the owners of those boats and make them pay that way also. "not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations" - PLEASE TREAT THESE THIEVES THE SAME AS EVERYONE ELSE!!! Religious organisations are mostly, if not all, wealthy enough to cover these costs. If they can't then they need to pass the cost onto their 'believers'.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Waitemata

Waitemata Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#698_
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 3:50:23 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 15:48

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The election promise was 2.5%. But with all these TARGETED rates it is much more. Be honest. The election promise could not be kept. Using fluffy words of targeted rates is a joke. Do these targeted rates cost more to administer. Why not just admit failure and put the rates up.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Panuku is an organisation with NO governance it seems so this is a good idea. As long as Council listens to ALL the people not just those that agree with any proposal. A prime example is removal of lanes along Quay Street without any thought as to how people would get from one side of town to the other. All this has done is created larger traffic jams. And don't say public transport is answer when for many there is none.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#700_
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 3:52:23 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 15:51

Attachment:

Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Mostly agree, except increase to regulatory fees. Reduce your costs, don't increase the charges.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Council has too many managers, costing the rate payers too much. Phil Goof should be in jail for the rate payer money he has stolen and spent on the consultants report into stadiums.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Council spends too much time and money on non-core activities.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Support provided council doesn't push through a water front stadium

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#707_
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 3:56:03 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 15:54

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

More effective to have campaigns that target the 3 R: Reduce, Reuse and Recycle. Reduce: Greater awareness of the lifecycle of goods into trash. Starts from schooling. Reuse: Why buy new when there are many op-shops with quality useful life balanced.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The annual waste management charge will continue to increase unless we are able to have a strategic action plan that addressed the amount of trash produced/collected at the root.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

The annual waste management charge will continue to increase unless we are able to have a strategic action plan that addressed the amount of trash produced/collected at the root.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

There should be separation of duties. Focus on core business and produce the desired results.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:03:23 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 16:00

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

There is no need to increase rates and fees to the residents of Auckland. Council needs to get bureaucrats to spend money more wisely and efficiently. For example, using helicopters to remove trees from Mt Wellington, is an absolute waste of money when old pines could be dropped on site and quickly rot providing a great compost for the native trees that will be planted there.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

COST CONTROL VALUE FOR MONEY A COUNCIL THAT IS NOT OUT OF CONTROL

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

This is only being done to increase the asset base of Auckland city so that the out of control council can borrow more!

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:11:25 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 16:09

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Don't increase anything until you've demonstrated the Council is efficient

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Make savings on the waste in Council

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

"future flexibility" are weasel words for allowing you to do things without the need to get support



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:47:04 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 16:45

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Rates SHOULD be levied on religious organisations as they are really a business selling an unproven product.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Lime and or all powered vehicles bikes should be speed regulated and removed from footpaths because as they name suggests they are FOOT PATHS - no mention there of powered.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

All council sub operations are duplicating services and costs and waste money and time on these duplications.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:57:35 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 16:54

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

It is time that ACC looked at ways of reducing waste instead of just pushing up the cost to ratepayers every year. For example I notice neighbours putting out overflowing rubbish bins week after week: even though I put my bin out less than monthly I cannot find any way to fill it.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Heavy trucks carting containers back and forth between the Port and the large storage area near Mt Wellington should be stopped. Both Port and storage areas are next to the railway line so that should be used for such movements. Great to see priority being given to help local volunteer groups making the environment better: I am impressed at what volunteers have been doing to rid Pourewa valley of rats and possums, etc.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

The elected councillors should have more control over the City's assets.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:59:35 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 16:58

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Will Panuku still have creative control over the proposal for new urban spaces?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#752_
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 5:06:55 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 17:04

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Agree.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Safety on our roads. Creation Outcome Consulting more on-road cyclelanes.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

More focus on road safety and cycling is needed.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Much more if the waterfront shouldn't be available to the public as open space. NO large residential if commercial developments should be permitted along the waterfront. NO sports stadium should be built on the waterfront.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 7:20:28 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 19:18

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I have no problem with the \$20.67 for the annual waste management fee however I have a big problem with the \$80 increase as it is unjustified and makes it even more expensive to live in Auckland. Stop the waste and excessive salaries paid to a number of council members this was promised by Phil Goff and like everything else he has been involved in nothing ever happens whether its council enforcing the unitary plan regulations or improving public transport developers just do their own thing perfect example is the proposed development of mission bay where the height of the building rules are ignored by the developer and the destruction of an iconic public area into a concrete monstrosity which will only benefit a few wealthy people and take away the enjoyment of many people.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The affordability of our rates for pensioners which are already high when you have retired and still have to work to make ends meet

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Because they consult local members in regards a number issues while ignoring others

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 8:45:28 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 20:44

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I'm against any increases. The Council spends way too much on itself, any increases should be offset buy cost cutting internally. One place to start saving - get rid of Phil Goff

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The council in loaded full of time wasting oxygen thieves. Swing the axe and get rid of the majority of council.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

I agree with cleaning up the place. I agree with improving transport. But the local board does what? They are ineffective and nothing as changed for years.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

anything that reduces the council wasting money is a good thing. However I don't trust them, especially with assets. Get rid of Phil Goff



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 10:50:01 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 10:47

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

All I know is we were promised that forming the Super City would result in rate reductions, the reverse was the case, so promise was never delivered, and each year my rates have continued to increase. Last week I read ACC indicated a rate increase of 2.5%, but I note my increase is in fact 3.104% ?????? I'm retired but had I run my business the way ACC does, I would have been bankrupted and unemployed.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

I suspect additional admin costs will occur !



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#878_
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 11:39:14 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 11:37

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

It is time for religious groups to pay their fair share with regard to rates. Some of course operate community based community services on behalf of Council for which they are rewarded, but that no longer obviates the need for them to pay rates

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Poor maintenance standards now prevail at the Tahuna Torea native reserve, where we once were very active in pest control but also weed elimination and control. It is so sad to see how those weeds are now back because of some avoidance it appears of a budgeted programme that works.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Take some interest in Tahuna Torea, which seems to have fallen through the cracks.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

If Panuku shows a real commitment to preserving and increasing public access to the actual waterfront and does not support an increase in commercialisation and extension out into our harbour



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 1:56:41 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 13:55

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Collecting food scraps is a waste of time. Virtue signalling at best. Charging churches rates???
Seriously?

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The Waitemata is Aucklands toilet. clean it up!!

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

No idea what the priorities are. I can guess though. Bike lanes and Zero waste schemes.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

You lost me at Panuku

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 8:11:20 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 20:09

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I am concerned about any proposal to charge religious organisations rates for their properties. Churches provide a significant community resource - renting at low cost to other organisations spaces for them to meet etc, and often not charging at all. If churches were charged rates they would have to increase their rents and many small community groups would be unable to afford these charges. Churches also provide significant community assistance through their staff and programmes that they run, usually at no cost to the people using these services. If the churches have to pay rates they will be unable to provide these community services at all, or at no cost. Most churches main source of income is donations from church members. Many churches would be unable to afford to pay rates as many church members are older people on fixed incomes who are unable to increase their donations. Churches must remain exempt from rates.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Creating welcoming and healthy communities. Churches have always been a part of this, through not just their religious work but also the provision of buildings for use by the community and the services that they provide. Churches need to be supported by being exempt from rates.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#974_
24 February 2019 8:34:03 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 24 Feb 2019 08:32

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Too much expenditure

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#981_
Date: Sunday, 24 February 2019 11:30:24 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 24 Feb 2019 11:29

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Regulatory fees are already too high - you need to focus on reducing costs. The council seems unable to do this.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

But only if reduces costs

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To:

Date: Monday, 25 February 2019 12:01:27 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 25 Feb 2019 11:59

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

NO MORE Regulatory fees - they are high already DO charge Religious Organisations rates. NO MORE increases in waste management - figure out where to cut costs elsewhere - eg get rid of the AK car fleet and use public transport instead.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

NUMBER ONE priority in AK must be waste water/sewage. NUMBER TWO priority in AK is Free Flow cars/commuting. STOP BLOCKING STREETS and ROADS! NUMBER THREE priority in AK FREE PUBLIC TRANSPORT ie Buses. If it's free, or \$1 anywhere, everyone will use it. Do build cycleways and walkways - But ONLY AROUND the city/parks/waterways for leisure. Few people want to bike to work!! DO NOT BUILD LIGHT RAIL - it is EXPENSIVE and INFLEXIBLE. Get rid of ALL AK Council Cars - use public transport instead. Keep our beautiful parks and reserves well maintained - they are so important to the well being of all who live in the city.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 25 February 2019 2:41:44 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 25 Feb 2019 14:20

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I agree with "not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations". Churches provide vital community facilities. Treating churches as "commercial" under their rates assessments would have a significant impact on their ability to continue to provide venues for our community groups and clubs. If churches were forced to stop making their facilities available to the community or running programmes because of being treated like a "for profit" organisation, who would fill the gap? Would the Council be able to provide new community facilities, do they have the land or the money to do this? I think the Auckland Council and the churches should be working in partnership and the Council forcing excessive rates on churches doesn't demonstrate partnership. Auckland Council should view churches as an extension of the community facilities they provide. The Auckland Council needs to work in partnership with the churches and other religious organisations - this will benefit all Aucklanders. I also agree with "extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available". I wish this was available everywhere.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,032_
Date: Monday, 25 February 2019 4:25:06 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 25 Feb 2019 16:23

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Seems appropriate

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,035_
Date: Monday, 25 February 2019 5:24:38 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 25 Feb 2019 17:22

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support not charging rates on some parts of land owned by religious organisations

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



Auckland Council

