

Date: Thursday, 11 April 2019

Annual Budget 2019/2020

Ōrākei Local Board

**WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 2
(1064 – 2046)**

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,044_
Date: Monday, 25 February 2019 9:37:18 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 25 Feb 2019 21:35

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 26 February 2019 12:37:51 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 26 Feb 2019 12:35

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I'm OK with all proposed changed, but would like to see Council offer (subsidised) bokashi systems/composting that are part of the first two bullet points.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Greater oversight, and control of what's happening to/for waterfront properties. Hopefully it will also mean that Council is open to feedback from ratepayers (and not) about the best use of the area(s).

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,072_
Date: Tuesday, 26 February 2019 2:49:20 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 26 Feb 2019 14:47

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

These will happen no matter what people say

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Please confirm, That Westhaven will not be handed over to any Maori interest, after the Americas Cup or any plans to do so in the Future. Await your honest reply with interest,

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,074_
Date: Tuesday, 26 February 2019 3:25:24 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 26 Feb 2019 15:23

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,077
Date: Tuesday, 26 February 2019 4:16:34 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 26 Feb 2019 16:14

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,087
Date: Tuesday, 26 February 2019 6:08:46 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 26 Feb 2019 18:06

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I disagree with the proposed changes to sewage in the Waitakere area e.g. Piha3

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

It is a fairness issue

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 26 February 2019 9:40:21 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 26 Feb 2019 21:38

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Seems reasonable

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 27 February 2019 9:51:49 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 27 Feb 2019 09:50

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Land owned by religious organisations is free from rates under LGA so proposals are just following the law, and what has been for many years. Rating churches and halls is wrong, and made worse because many cannot be sold or modified because of historic classifications.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

We need much more Park and Ride like Perth and North Shore. This should not be free, but run with costs recovered from users.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Too much on cycle and walk ways which are hardly used

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 27 February 2019 12:01:34 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 27 Feb 2019 12:00

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 27 February 2019 7:09:22 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 27 Feb 2019 19:07

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support the proposal of not changing rates on some part of the land owned by religious organisations, in particular halls and dwelling of ministers on site. Religion organisation help build up community and keep people together.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From:
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Increased mooring fees, ... NO
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 4:28:42 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

As a mooring owner in Okahu Bay, I face being moved compulsorily to Hobson Bay which is totally unsuitable and at the moment has no dinghy access whatsoever. Whatever is decided as dinghy access will arrive too late and still make life very difficult. It is a dangerous and unseaworthy solution to force-move people. I am also being forced to pay for the mooring to be lifted and moved. I have paid mooring fees for the last 24 years and have had little for it. To be treated like this is and asked to approve an increase in fees is astonishing.

I totally disagree with any increase.

This electronic transmission and any documents accompanying this electronic transmission contain confidential information belonging to the sender. This information may be legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on or regarding the contents of this electronically transmitted information is strictly prohibited.

To ensure compliance with legal requirements and to maintain cyber security standards, our IT systems are subject to ongoing monitoring, activity logging and auditing. This monitoring and auditing service may be provided by third parties. Such third parties can access information transmitted to, processed by and stored on our IT systems.

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Sunday, 24 February 2019 4:50:27 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 24 Feb 2019 16:49

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

My wife and I believe where churches are serving their community they should not be charged rates.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

A Church's property should not be taxed when it is serving a community. Somervell Church in Remuera is a community centre in a location short of such services.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 28 February 2019 12:28:17 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 28 Feb 2019 12:26

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

I fully support Orakei Board advocating to Auckland Transport to pass a by-law prohibiting heavy truck/trailer vehicles (container trucks) from using our roadways as access to the Port. They damage roading, are horrendously noisy and pollute our area.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
28 February 2019 9:51:23 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 28 Feb 2019 21:49

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I don't believe that religious churches Should be expected to pay additional rates.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Additional charges to churches are wrong. These institutions offer support to their community and do not have the resources to pay.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,200_
Date: Friday, 1 March 2019 12:17:46 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 1 Mar 2019 12:15

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Totally agree as places like St Mathews in the city provide incredible community opportunities. From burial services for deceased homeless to orchestras, choirs, fashion shows, media events and a peaceful haven for locals & tourists. I wrote and rehearsed a successful Herald theatre show there with the City Mission drama group backed by Auckland Live. It has a small congregation so this change supports its survival as I'd imagine it would in smaller churches.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:14:43 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 2 Mar 2019 15:11

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Targeted rates are a con. One rate should be charged and the Council has to live within its income. I don't get to sell my time at \$x plus a targeted levy of \$y for beer money. No increase in resource consent fees is justified - current level of charges are exorbitant and inhibits housing development.

2. What is important to you?**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Important that council live within their rates budget. Auckland Transport is out of control and should be reined in by the council. The 30 kph speed limit is an example of a Communist planning approach - "the transport system doesn't work so people won't use it so we will make all other methods of transport impossible so that the people have to use public transport". People are already staying out of the city and going to places with carparking like Sylvia Park and AT is going to kill the city.

3. Local Board information**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?****Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment**

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

They are enthusiastic amateurs who are just mucking around and spending Ratepayer's money. eg money wasted on "Shared spaces" so that they can rent the footpath to cafes. O'Connell St is a soulless wasteland with only 2 Retailers on it. Freyberg place had \$19m spent on it when it needed a bit of TLC and now less people use the space because AC cut down the established deciduous trees and put in politically correct trees. Please don't waste any more ratepayer money on them.

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Saturday, March 2, 2019 9:44:06 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 2 Mar 2019 21:41

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support the proposal to not charge rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations. These organisation's are focused on providing services that directly support their communities in a number of positive ways and this cost would place a burden on these religious organisation's reducing the funding available for this support within the community or in some instances result in closure.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Sunday, March 3, 2019 6:40:46 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 3 Mar 2019 18:38

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Re rates for religious organisations. Given the community value these are they should be free of general rates for properties including church use housing. Should be rated for genuine commercial use on that part of relevant property.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Some of the priorities seem to depend on Council-wide budget approvals and level of rate increase.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Even with Council ownership poor decisions can be made eg previous decisions re Princes Wharf and the blot this causes on the waterfront experience.

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:39:46 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 14:37

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support bullet point 5 e.g. the suggested rateable changes for churches..

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:49:16 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 14:47

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

We cannot have the Auckland we all want by artificially limiting the rates collected from the ratepayers. The Council needs to collect enough rates to do a proper job of running and looking after our city. I support this modest increase

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Two things are really important 1) That the Council supports the City Mission Home Ground project 2) That the Council continues to support the Auckland Art Gallery with the promised \$20m over 10 years

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Council needs to be in control of its assets, not some anonymous CCO.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,267_
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 3:22:10 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 15:20

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Those being charged for food waste collection should also see a reduction in regular waste charges due to the reduced volume of regular waste.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Extend food waste collection to everyone

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 7:04:02 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 19:02

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I am aware that there is potential funding for the Auckland City Mission. For the last few years, I have tried to donate as much as I can possibly can to the Mission. However, I am aware that not everyone is able to do as I have. They provide an essential service for some of the most vulnerable in our city and from what I have seen when I used to pass the old Mission building on, their service is always needed. As our city grows, so too does the inequality and those needing help. As a city, we must ensure that we help the vulnerable, which is why I emlore the Council to help provide some financial service to this truly wonderful charity.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 5 March 2019 8:13:28 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Mar 2019 08:12

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

we support the changes related to not charging rates on land owned by religious organisations.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

They support the community

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 27 February 2019 11:51:04 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 27 Feb 2019 11:50

Attachment:

Language: Chinese

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

About wastewater pipeline 12 James Cook Cres, Remuera, Auckland The wastewater pipeline built by the initial developer crosses through my house, so part of the land cannot be used to build houses. My house is on the side of a road. I would like to ask if the pipeline can be transferred to the road nearby. (a small alley) P.S. If Council develops public wastewater pipeline inside my private property, how can I complain about this issue?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback_ID#
Date: Thursday, 28 February 2019 10:58:00 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 28 Feb 2019 10:57

Attachment:

Language: Chinese

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Agree with these changes

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback_ID#
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 9:23:14 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 09:22

Attachment:

Language: Chinese

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 5 March 2019 1:45:45 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Mar 2019 13:44

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

1) What is the business case for introducing Double Decker buses on route 75? If it does stack up, why did it get priority in expenditure over all the other projects in the 10 year transport plan - a plan funded by the additional petrol tax. The project costs apparently, to date, well exceed the cost of the work presented to us for consultation on this change. Costs not only in Remuera, but extensive in Newmarket as well - in fact all along the route. Why not a few additional buses at peak times for the next 5 years? Missing from Consultation documents - veranda reduction, pole relocation (lighting, traffic signal), traffic lane changes (outside fish shop, Remuera Rd, east of Victoria Ave). Disclosed in the consultation documents was a 50% reduction in casual parking on Remuera Rd West of Victoria Avenue Remuera. There has been a noticeable drop off in retail activation in Remuera over the last 6 months - this is not helping. 2) Future of parking building in Clonbern Rd - decision making appears stalled. There is a fund of approx \$3m held by AT to assist with development. 3) Probably time to get user feedback on the "New Bus Network". Would be good to provide this in an open forum discussion - looking for positives, as well as pinch points from a user perspective. Residents have noted that feedback has either not been responded to, or replied to by a justification. "Feedback" means "listening". 4) Lack of park 'n' ride capacity - around train stations Greenlane, Remuera, Orakei. 5) Commuter parking filling local streets - impacting casual and residents parking during day, creating problems/hazards near corners. 6) Traffic safety island on Remuera Rd outside library.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 5 March 2019 1:57:45 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Mar 2019 13:56

Attachment: [Meadowbank.pdf](#)

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The overarching transport priority for the Meadowbank & St Johns Residents Association can be described as 'advocating for improvements to pedestrian and cycling safety on our roads and for greater access to affordable and efficient public transport'. On the specifics of what we have and are advocating for, we would include: Supporting the successful petition to have a bus service to the Meadowbank Train station. Supporting the successful petition to have the bus service to the train station continue to Selwyn College and St Thomas's school and Mission bay. Reducing the speed limit to 30Km through the Meadowbank Town centre in the shopping precinct (i.e. between the Meadowbank Rd/Remuera Rd intersection and the St Johns Rd/Gerard Way intersection) and that might include some of the supporting roads as well like Fancourt St, Meadowbank Rd and Gowing Drive. Improving safety for children and parents to walk to/from local schools, with particular attention to Gowing Drive, Temple St and Grand Drive. Returning the bus service to St Johns park in such a way that this area is linked to the new 782 service to provide better access for the area to the Meadowbank shopping centrem the Meadowbank Train station, Selwyn College and St Thomas's school and Mission Bay, Supporting the OLB's proposal to improve pedestrian safety on the Bonnie Brae/Meadowbank Road intersection. Supporting the OLB's proposal to make additional safety features to the Kelvin Road pedestrian crossing, used by students and parents getting to and from Meadowbank primary. Supporting AT's proposal for a new bus stop and shelter to be installed adjacent to 148 Meadowbank Road, close to the intersection of Manapau and Meadowbank Roads. Supporting the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive shared path and the local connections to it.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



OLB Transport Stakeholder Event 21/02/19

The overarching transport priority for the Meadowbank & St Johns Residents Association can be described as 'advocating for improvements to pedestrian and cycling safety on our roads and for greater access to affordable and efficient public transport'

On the specifics of what we have and are advocating for, we would include:

- supporting the successful petition to have a bus service to the Meadowbank Train Station
- supporting the successful petition to have the bus service to the train station continue to Selwyn College and St Thomas's school and Mission Bay
- reducing the speed limit to 30km through the Meadowbank Town Centre in the shopping precinct (i.e. between the Meadowbank Rd/Remuera Rd intersection and the St Johns Rd/Gerard Way intersection) and that might include some of the supporting roads as well like Fancourt St, Meadowbank Rd and Gowing Drive
- improving safety for children and parents to walk to/from local schools, with particular attention to Gowing Drive, Temple St and Grand Drive
- returning the bus service to St Johns Park in such a way that this area is linked to the new 782 service to provide better access for the area to the Meadowbank Shopping Centre, the Meadowbank Train Station, Selwyn College and St Thomas's school and Mission Bay
- supporting the OLB's proposal to improve pedestrian safety on the Bonnie Brae/Meadowbank Road intersection
- supporting the OLB's proposal to make additional safety features to the Kelvin Road pedestrian crossing, used by students and parents getting to and from Meadowbank Primary

- supporting AT's proposal for a new bus stop and shelter to be installed adjacent to 148 Meadowbank Road, close to the intersection of Manapau and Meadowbank Roads
- supporting the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive Shared Path and the local connections to it

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1
Date: Tuesday, 5 March 2019 8:33:36 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Mar 2019 20:32

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Auckland City Mission provides a much-needed service in this city, one in which so many people are without a secure place to call home. Please ensure that Mayor Phil Goff's proposal to contribute \$5 million to the Auckland City Mission HomeGround project via the Mayors Annual Budget Fund goes ahead.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,344_
Date: Wednesday, 6 March 2019 4:33:33 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 6 Mar 2019 16:25

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I whole-heartedly and unequivocally support the change to not charge rates on land owned by religious organisations and regard this as a voting issue. Such organisations provide vital depth to the life and well-being of the community at large yet are generally not formed as profitable organisations and are ill-equipped to cope with commercial levels of rates. Imposition of draconian increases in rates would force religious organisations to either cease their operations or charge commercial rates for their services both at great detriment to the community Council is supposed to serve. This would appear to be incompatible with Council's raison d'être and so rates increases on land owned by religious organisations must be comprehensively rejected.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,3
Date: Wednesday, 6 March 2019 6:20:26 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 6 Mar 2019 18:18

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Sounds reasonable. I'm not concerned about any of the proposals and if they eliminate different treatments for essentially the same type of ratepayer - its a good idea.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I am very concerned about water quality at Auckland's beaches. As a regular swimmer at Kohi and Herne Bay, I feel it can sometimes be a case of "Russian roulette" healthwise - especially at Herne Bay. I do applaud the Council's Safeswim website initiative. However the Council's real job is to prevent pollution - not report how bad it is! I'm also concerned about the overcrowded public swimming pools in Central Auckland. It's bad over summer. However it gets worse in winter (ironically) as the outdoor pools all close and regular swimmers are crammed into fewer heated facilities. Covering and heating the outdoor pool at Lagoon Panmure would be an excellent idea. The pool is unused for 65% of the year - a real waste of an existing Auckland asset. (Disclosure: please note I'm on the Committee at the Mt Wellington Swimming Club)

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

I remember reading about the Orakei board priorities and generally agreed with them.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

I'm all in favour of reducing duplication of administration and bureaucracy. I'd prefer council money is spent on new assets and proper maintenance of existing assets.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 6 March 2019 6:58:45 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 6 Mar 2019 18:56

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I do not agree with point 5, not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations. I agree with all other points

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Agree: Develop a new and improved community centre - so overdue. And it would be nice to know what plans there are for its programming once it's developed. Tamaki Link Bus Clean Okahu Bay Bylaw about heavy trucks and trailer units Disagree The local board supporting information does not mention important areas such as arts and culture. The cultural offer in the local board is very limited and uninteresting. Provide more support (in the form of training or actual tools) for the creation of community gardens Advocate for businesses to offer a better shopping/overall experience in Mission Bay, Kohimarama and St Heliers.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 7 March 2019 9:35:16 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 7 Mar 2019 09:33

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Rates relief land owned by religious organisations should be retained (including offices and carparks) These organisations provide a valuable community resources at low cost. These irreplaceable, limited resources could easily be lost to the community as many operate on a marginal cost using a large volunteer base.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 7 March 2019 10:10:50 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 7 Mar 2019 10:08

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I would not like to charge rates on any land (including that set aside for parking, surrounding tree lined park etc.) owned by religious organisations, as they provide free service to all ratepayers!

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Adjusring the foodscraps targeted rates, as I was not aware of any rates about that!

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 7 March 2019 11:09:52 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 7 Mar 2019 11:07

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support 'not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisation'. Such organisations are 'not for profit', providing a vital spiritual anchor, communal support, and point of stability for members of society. At a time when 'wellness' and mental health are recognised areas needing greater support in society it is entirely inappropriate to burden these organisations with additional costs. Their only income is from donations.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 5 March 2019 4:42:27 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Mar 2019 16:41

Attachment:

Language: Chinese

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Support "user pays" for rates and fees increase, e.g. food scraps targeted rate and regulatory fees.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 6 March 2019 4:21:53 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 6 Mar 2019 16:20

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support the proposal of NOT charging rates on parts of the land owned by religious organizations.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 6 March 2019 4:39:24 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 6 Mar 2019 16:38

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Increase to annual waste charges prob required anyway. *Extending food-scrap area also. Do not fully understand the rural sewerage service question? Adjustment of Rating boundary sounded fair. Not sure about removing or adjusting rates re Religious organisations.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 15 March 2019 9:13:04 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 15 Mar 2019 09:11

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

That sounds okay.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Churches not having to pay rates on their land.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

I don't know as I refuse to struggle to read it. Make it easier for pity's sake. I am not struggling to find page 18 to 333, where is it ?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

I don't think much of Panuku at all. Far too much power in a few people's hands.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,398_
Date: Thursday, 7 March 2019 3:09:21 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 7 Mar 2019 15:07

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I am concerned about the proposal to charge church organisations rates. I have recently taken a vestry position at our local Anglican Church in Selwyn Avenue, Mission Bay and have learned more about the internal running of the church affairs. Whilst this is an affluent area the church runs on a very tight budget due mainly to low and ageing attendees. However there are several excellent community programmes which the church runs on a non-profit basis apart the the usual counselling and pastoral care provided free of charge. These include a kids club, line dancing, AA meetings, pre-school music group, mothers union (all well attended), various other practical missions activities including for the Auckland City Mission, and also the church provides free public parking on their premises. The parking is regularly used by neighbours, visitors to the adjacent park reserve and by the Council. The church runs very close to breakeven and we currently have significant deferred maintenance that is awaiting some legacy funds that promise to assist with this. But there is no spare cash. This is not an American super-church with loads of money and where the leaders living like kings! If any additional rates are charged to us it will create a major cashflow problem with the potential of the valuable community services we provide having to be withdrawn. Please understand the implications of charging rates over and above current levels will have a major impact on many church organisations that do great work in the community and can only operate on the basis of donations and fund raising activities like any worthwhile charity. They need all the breaks they get! It's a fragile balance that if tampered with may cause widespread closures of churches that provide important community assistance in many forms. The view that churches are wealthy and can afford to pay more is just not the case with the vast majority. Huge mistake - with potential large unintended consequences through likely withdrawal of valuable community services, let alone the damage for those who take much solace from being able to attend their local churches, which may have to close.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Albert-Eden, Devonport-Takapuna, Franklin, Great Barrier, Henderson-Massey, Hibiscus and Bays, Howick, Kaipatiki, Mangere-Otahuhu, Manurewa, Maungakiekie-Tamaki, Orakei, Otara-Papatoetoe, Papakura, Puketapapa, Rodney, Upper Harbour, Waiheke, Waitakere Ranges, Waitemata and Whau

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

I'm all for consolidation of services to provide efficiencies, however I'm really not sure that Auckland Council should be taking on more (of anything) whilst they can't handle current workloads and the wait for services regularly goes beyond statutory requirements. I think much

more should be out-sourced so that competitive tension can provide for improved customer service, which is currently severely lacking and the poor customer just has to suck it up as there's no alternative.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 8 March 2019 3:31:27 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 8 Mar 2019 15:29

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

It will be damaging for the sense of community in Auckland if religious organisations suffer additional rates charges and either have to close as consequence, or have to significant reduce their community well-being activities. I support the proposal to not charge rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations'.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 8 March 2019 5:11:50 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 8 Mar 2019 17:09

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

No good reason is given for any of the increases in charges. Budgets should be held steady to encourage innovation within the relevant areas rather than just passing on costs which does not force people's hands.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Infill building in older areas is misconceived because the infrastructure is not there to support it. In fact, the infill building is placing additional costs on current home owners as pipe's burst, services curtailed, amenities eroded, etc due to the increased demand. Some areas should be redeveloped to handle this and medium to high density housing used to infill properly serviced areas. e.g. Newton and Ponsonby would be good target areas.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Random things happen that do not obviously appear logical e.g. extra road islands constricting flows in the wrong place, etc.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Councillors will try to sell off crown jewels to support short term initiatives



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Sunday, March 10, 2019 11:39:52 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 10 Mar 2019 11:39

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support NOT charging rates on some land owned by religious organisations because charging rates would undermine the vast not-for-profit support they provide to local communities that is not covered by current existing social services, eg, after school child care, community social programmes for the elderly and other at-risk groups, non-commercial op-shops etc. If rates were charged on land owned by religious organisations, it would most likely result in the closure of many of these organisations and therefore a loss of a vast range of services and support to their local communities, on which many people depend to help alleviate stress in their day to day lives.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

To reduce duplication and to provide better focus and accountability by separating functions of strategic management (Auckland Council) and day to day management (Panuku).

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 8 March 2019 3:55:08 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 8 Mar 2019 15:52

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Drop all these "Targeted" levies/rates - just put everything into a single rate. Having charges for this and charges for that is not fooling anyone! Yes only 2.5% rise last year but with all the other bits significantly more. Households just pay an amount - they dont care what.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Use of sports fields. Every year almost ALL fields are not available for weeks due to a fictitious move from winter to summer sport. But most sports actually play all year round or have seasons that do not fit in to this simplistic view. Rotate the shut down and change over maintenance to allow a majority of fields to stay open for sport.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Albert-Eden and Orakei

Albert-Eden Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Maintaining the limited parks and fields is imperative.

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

There seems to be NO governance over Panuku. They do not seem to take the views of Aucklanders into consideration. If the council takes over will this change??



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, March 11, 2019 8:47:00 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 11 Mar 2019 08:46

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Religious organisations are not able to pay rates due to their financial position and the considerable seven fits they provide to the community without any charges. In this respect they are quite different to sports clubs and other community organisations. I support this change.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-202
Date: Monday, 11 March 2019

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 11 Mar 2019 09:17

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The proposed increases to mooring fees as described in the following paragraph is nothing short of ridiculous. "Our proposal is to increase mooring permit fees by \$57.50 (inc GST). This increase is on top of the annual inflationary adjustment. This adjustment is to help fund the salvage and disposal of abandoned, derelict and wrecked boats from moorings. The number of vessels on moorings that are becoming unseaworthy is increasing and it is becoming a major issue for the Harbourmaster." To charge reliable and regular fee paying mooring owners to remove vessels from other moorings is almost offensive. How long have those vessels been present on those moorings? Has the mooring been surveyed as required? If annual fees have been paid on those moorings what has been done to force the owners to deal with their boats? If fees have not been paid why were the boats not impounded immediately to force action by the owners including recovery of all costs (fees and impound costs)? Our council is making a sad habit of taking the easy dollar from those that do pay their way and their fare share. I would put it to you that if you have a derelict boat issue then it is an issue of your own making. Years of neglect to what is going on in our harbour is your problem not mine. If I find a boat on my mooring there is nothing that the harbour master is really prepared to do about it. So what exactly am I paying for? Not so long ago the annual mooring fess was around \$75.00. The increases since have been horrendous without any more service being offered. If you want to generate revenue to cover harbour master activities then get it from all boaties. Introduce a boat registration system and ideally a skipper licence requirement. Spread the cost to all users. Stop picking on easy targets.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 11 March 2019 12:30:12 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 11 Mar 2019 12:29

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I agree to all proposals but do think that rating of religious properties is properly policed as not to give them an unfair (financial) advantage against other non-religious community groups.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Disappointed not to see more focus on the area of shops at the junction of Meadowbank Rd and Remuera/St John's Rd. Also, for how many years has the upgrading of the community centre been talked about? From talking to friends and local families, I get the impression that the community has lost faith.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

I support the full transfer (inc marina). Why should future generation of Aucklanders be faced with a tax bill that can easily be managed now? It sounds like no-brainer to me.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 11 March 2019 12:30:22 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 11 Mar 2019 12:28

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Do not agree with increasing in annual waste management charge

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

- More accountability from Council officers on the management and financial decisions made on behalf of the residents and ratepayers. Our debt level appears to be out of control. Who is governing this city? - Fairness in allocation of rates - eg. targeted rates should be applied uniformly across all ratepayers not just those in so-called wealthier suburbs. Any targeted rates set must be FAIR as it supposedly applies to "improvements" for all. Targeted Rates must have accountability measures built in - how is this money being spent? - CVs are no longer current, these were set at the peak of house sales in the region. The setting of rates is a blunt instrument on perceived capital value & often has no correlation to the actual value of a property. - Too many assumptions made on household's ability to pay more and more in rates, especially for those on fixed incomes. - Rates keep going up but there is no improvement in services. - AT is out of control with its ideological stance to get people out of cars, they are part of the problem and not the solution - Council needs to step back and look at the impact of new (often not consulted) changes, from bus and lane changes, road closures, to speed limitation under the guise of 'safety', public transport is neither good nor reliable enough to randomly impinge on residents ability to go about their lives and business.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Very limited to locational interests of the local board members. There has been little interest in addressing the concerns of Board's largest suburb (Remuera). Failure to consult or disclose outcomes fully, loss of our community house, issues in the Remuera town centre, Wilson's Beach wharf, Orakei Basin walkway environmental concerns....

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Panuku has been making decisions that may seem economically sound in terms of their mandate but are often detrimental to the community interests of residents and ratepayers. Increased density in inner city suburbs requires community assets to be built, not sold. Believe there will be more management accountability when the city centre waterfront properties are managed by Auckland Council.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 11 March 2019 1:58:26 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 11 Mar 2019 13:53

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I am in favour of not charging rates on some land owned by religious org. I am familiar with the necessary change to Auckland Council policy which will allow this to happen and whole heartedly support the move.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

It is of concern that the proposal to proceed with changes to charging rates on church properties was carried by only TWO votes when we live in a Christisn Society.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

No comment

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 11 March 2019 9:53:23 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 11 Mar 2019 09:52

Attachment:

Language: English

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The proposed change I strongly object to is to change rates on land owned by religious organisations. I am a member of St Philips Anglican Church & we do extensive work for the poor - City Mission, Anglican Trust for Women + Children, CAB in Glen Innes & Kiwi Harvest. We have many community groups using the hall & associated facilities e.g. CAPs, budgeting, ballet, Manly Music etc. This rating proposal should be rejected.

2. What is important to you?**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

I see the Budget has \$5m earmarked for the City Mission, Mission Home Ground project. This proposed funding is very worthwhile and is supported. If anything the contribution from ACC to MH6 should be much greater. The project to build 80 apartments with wrap around services (including Detox health, counselling stc.) should be much more heavily supported by ACC as it will help transform Auck. by starting the process of enduring chronic homelessness. I believe ACC has not done enough in the homelessness space.

3. Local Board information**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Waitemata

Waitemata Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

As stated more should go to homelessness & poverty. The Brisbane Common Ground project (of 126 apts) shows how accommodation (plus wrap around services) can achieve massive savings in Police, medical, health, emergency & other services.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 11 March 2019 10:34:22 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 11 Mar 2019 10:34

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The religious organisations, especially the established traditional churches provide significant community support & services at reduced or no cost. The warrant rate abatement for these organisations should be maintained.

2. What is important to you?**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

While recognition that Council carries a massive workload in relation to building & resource consents, the time taken since unreasonably long.

3. Local Board information**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Greater emphasis on improving smoke free in public assets.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Sounds good

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2019 11:09:41 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 11:09

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I agree with Councillor Simpson's proposal that religious organisations should not be rated on land used primarily for religious purposes or for religious support fund-raising purposes. Such exempted land should include the site of churches and ministers'/priests' housing, halls used for non-profit purposes, and ancillary uses such as carparking, child-care, offices or op-shops and libraries or church food distribution points

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

More attention needs to be paid to park/reserve maintenance and road and drain cleaning

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 12 March 2019 4:33:44 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 16:33

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Land rates should be paid by all including religious organizations. They own so much property, they should pay their fair share of tax. They are definitely not helping with the accommodation shortage.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Auckland transport to consider two mobility parking schemes. As our population ages more people get mobility permits for age related disabilities. However, younger more active disabled miss out on car parks. Suggest a blue and red system, blue for permanent disability and red for elderly and temp disabled. Blue can park anywhere.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Need to think about the wider orakei ward. Many are mobility or aged and therefore many projects do not take mobility users into consideration. Ie many parks and walking tracks.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Move the port. Just get on with it.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,582_
Date: Tuesday, 12 March 2019 5:49:51 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 17:48

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support NOT charging rates on some land owned by religious organisations, particularly when income they derive contributes to their spiritual, pastoral, counselling, community and social work. The well-being of society is enhanced by these kind of activities and we are impoverished if rates are imposed which undermine their viability.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#1,591_
Date: Tuesday, 12 March 2019 9:24:18 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 21:22

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support the non charging of rates on parts of the land owned by religious organisations and the increase in some regulatory fees

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Religious organisations provide a great facility for the community and should not be penalised by having to pay substantial rates.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback_ID#226_
Date: Tuesday, 12 March 2019 2:38:13 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 14:37

Attachment:

Language: English

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I support all the suggested church ratable changes. Our facilities at St Aidan's Remuera are used for many community functions and events. It would be ridiculous to increase the ratable charges.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback_ID#227_
Date: Tuesday, 12 March 2019 2:48:25 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 14:48

Attachment:

Language: English

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

This does not seem to be a small number of proposed changes. an increase of regulatory fees in the building sector is of particular concern. I am not sure that increased fees will do much to sort out the appalling standards of building construction.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I am particaly concerned at the proposal by some councilors to increase the rating burden for churches/religious organizations. the new prosed changes which would allow for these organizations not to be burden further, has my total approval and support as a parishioner of a historic church In a high value area of Auckland the original proposal would be a disaster for us. we struggle to keep up with repairs and maintenance while trying to offer a meeting place for local community groups children and the disabled members of our community.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei and Waitemata

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

[Comments](#)

Waitemata Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

[Comments](#)

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback_ID#228_
Date: Tuesday, 12 March 2019 3:29:09 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 15:28

Attachment:

Language: English

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I agree with all of thee increases except the last "an increase to some regulatory fees" etc. Not charging rates owned by religious organizations - strongly agree. Our church is non profit from all it earns giving back to the community.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

To continue to help Auckland community locally at mission and south Auckland. Clean waterways and beaches. Clean water.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

sell 49% waterfront use for infrastructure. Sell 49%of air NZ shares use for infrastructure or housing.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback_
Date: Tuesday, 12 March 2019 4:10:01 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 16:09

Attachment:

Language: English

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Land owned by religious organizations should not be charged rates

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback_ID#241_
Date: Tuesday, 12 March 2019 4:14:25 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 16:14

Attachment:

Language: English

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

land owned by religious org. should not be charged rates

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

thank you for your contributions

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback_ID
Date: Tuesday, 12 March 2019 4:17:27 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 16:16

Attachment:

Language: English

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Land owned by religious organization's shouldn't be charged rates as they are a vital part of the community. Their social impact and help to the community are extensive and should be recognized by council

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback_ID
Date: Tuesday, 12 March 2019 4:28:33 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 16:27

Attachment:

Language: English

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I agree with the proposal that rates should not be charged on some parts of land owned by churches

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 HARD COPY feedback_ID#245_
Date: Wednesday, 13 March 2019 8:18:41 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 12 Mar 2019 16:30

Attachment:

Language: English

Gender: **Age:** **Ethnicity:**

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I agree with the proposal that rates should not be charged on some parts of land owned by churches

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_
Date: Wednesday, 13 March 2019 10:39:42 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 13 Mar 2019 10:39

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

If Auckland Council is not charging rates on some parts of landed owned by religious organizations what policy will you put in place to ensure facilities at religious organizations are made available to the wider community (other than congregation) for community use such as fairs etc?

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Orakei

Orakei Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 13 March 2019 8:42:51 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 13 Mar 2019 08:39

Attachment:

Language: English

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I agree with the proposal that rates should not be charged on some parts of land owned by churches

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council

