

Date: Thursday, 4 April 2019

Annual Budget 2019/2020

Rodney Local Board

**WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 2
(854– 1485)**

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 10:48:39 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 22:47

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

That is a very significant hike in mooring permit fees - in the order of 35%. I think it is reasonable to expect all mooring permit holders to contribute to keeping the waterways clear but I do not think we should have to contribute any more than anyone else that uses the waters around Auckland. I think this should be a contribution from ALL ratepayers in the greater Auckland area. Everyone benefits who uses the waters around Auckland - whether they be swimmers, beach lovers, moored boaties, or people with runabouts, and I do not believe it is reasonable to expect only a small proportion of them ie mooring permit holders, to pick up the tab for dealing with derelict, abandoned or wrecked boats on moorings. Such a suggestion flies in the face of the ethical principle of justice or reasonableness.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 11:12:30 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 21 Feb 2019 23:10

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

We have our own modern water/sewage system which is regularly serviced twice per year. We are fully independent of any water/ sewage from the council We had a 62% increase in our rates from last year You need to give us a break !

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Appear to be ineffective when dealing with the Auckland council on Rodney matters.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 12:23:09 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 00:21

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

X

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

X

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Rubbish

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

You just don't have any idea of what is important



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 4:43:51 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 04:42

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

such high value assets are strategic and must be managed by local government



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 5:57:11 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 05:55

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I do not support fee increases to moorings as the maintenance of keeping a mooring to comply with council regulations has more than doubled the annual cost of having one- my last bill \$1600 previous \$800- ordinary hard working boaties cannot bear any more costs

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Increasing cost of resource consent makes it more prohibitive for getting projects achieved- Consents costs for simple projects should not be more than the cost of the project itself Eg. a carport Rural properties with covenanted (protective) bush should not pay the same rates as a productive farming block- no extra income can be gained but environmentally these blocks are contributing greatly to reducing the impact of climate change.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Would like to see a greater emphasis on core business eg replacing infrastructure eg ensuring sewage & storm water is designed & working to cope with the population growth in the Rodney region

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 8:40:09 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 08:38

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

What I would like to know is the justification for a Water Quality Targeted Rate of \$141.27 when our property has its own water supply?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Because it appears that no account has been taken of dwellings that supply their own water



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 9:04:51 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 09:02

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

These changes do not enhance the services we receive from council in the Warkworth area

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

We are on tank water here, an increase in rates for water quality is unfair

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

We are neglected here in Rodney, particularly our roading

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Council sub operations appear out of control with their spending. Council needs to reduce it's spending to repay debt.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 10:54:22 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 10:52

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Target only the ratepayers that make up this proposed increase . Not a general or overall rise !

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Water ...water ! Water ... please think about issuing every new build with a consent to install a 25000 L fresh water tank & use RAINWATER from thier roof. At very least for showeing washin & toilet flushing.! Common sense & just plain dumb from Council perspective to let it run down the gutter!

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

More common sense strategies required. I mean fancy closing down 2 lanes on Quay st to aid traffic flow... this was only 4 laned anout 18 yrs ago to aid traffi flow & ease congestion to ports & Business in lower Auckland city tourist areas what a shocking cost to ratepayers !

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 11:09:53 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 11:08

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I think religious groups should pay their share of rates

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Very concerned to have the possibility of a mega dump in the Dome Valley. This would be a disasters for the Kaipara harbour.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 11:18:33 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 11:14

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

As a rural resident, nothing relates to me.

2. What is important to you?**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

Yes, yes, yes..... Our rural roads are disgusting and dangerous. Our cars and motorcycles are being wrecked and our lives are put at risk, with regular accidents occurring. Dust (poisonous) on our roofs (rooves) gets into tank water affecting our health. This was not such an issue when traffic was light, but more and more houses are being built, leading to more cars and heavy trucks on the roads. We have many blind and narrow corners made more dangerous by clouds of dust and year by year it just gets worse! Our area is served by Haruru road and residents are so upset they have a Facebook site called "Haruru action". Council has had traffic counts but refuses to give results and we have dropped down the priority list twice now! Before people get militant, please act on this issue!

3. Local Board information**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

I have lived with dangerous roads for 19 years with NO improvement, in fact it's much worse! Haruru road qualifies on all points (school bus route, business use, steep incline, more than 500 traffic movements etc. etc.) We feel we are giving money for city projects and council ignores rural needs.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Transferral of assets happens far too often and allows assets that are paid for by ratepayers to be "manipulated". Rural people have seen their services run down and neglected in order to pay for "super city" schemes and this seems to serve no benefit to the vast majority of rate payers.

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 11:57:05 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 11:55

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

· I support all these (e.g. if those NS properties get an extra services, the property-owner should pay for it, whether on not they use it). · However I know nothing about the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate, and won't try to find out. · I especially agree with adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours).

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

· Sustainable living (by individuals, households, neighbourhoods, towns and cities, and the nation), as measured by their Ecological Footprint - see the Wikipedia article and: https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_Footprint_Network - has been my main concern since the early 1990s, both for myself and for those I can influence (family and online).

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

· It has done its job moderately well, including improving the bus service in the Warkworth area. However, I live on Kawau Island and used to walk 40 minutes up the hill to catch the bus at the junction of Sandspit and Mahurangi East roads, but there is no bus stop near that junction in spite of there being a suitable lay-by on the SW side of the road, so after the recent upgrade, which changed the route so the Snells Beach bus no longer comes to that junction from Matakana, the fact that there's no bus stop within more than two kilometres of the junction means that I, an 82yo, can no longer get to Warkworth without someone driving me. (I am very much against fossil-fuelled cars, for ecological and sustainability reasons.)

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

· The plus is that its current closed-door Board meeting policy will change to the Auckland Council

policy, which should increase transparency. · The minus is that I trust the previous and current Mayors and Council to get a balance between Auckland's sustainability goals and economic viability goals, but that balance would change to economic viability only if someone like John Banks and supporters get elected.



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 1:33:02 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 13:31

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Why should religious organisations not pay waste management rates on their land? They already don't pay tax.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Resource consent fees are already high, a breakdown is given to explain where the money goes. If fees are to increase, they need to be justifiable, not to cover an increase in the number of consents processed - have costs actually increased? People already pay for building control, and again an increase MUST equal the actual time taken by an officer of the council

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Waterways is important, keep up with that work. We have all been paying our targeted transport rate, but what has this given us? Why, with all the sections for houses put in in the Riverhead, Kumeu, Huapai and Waimauku areas, is more future planning not being looked into for roading and infrastructure? Our roads are ruined daily with the number of trucks on our roads carrying dirty to and fro. Surely the trucking companies and/or developers pay the council somewhere along the way. Fix our roads, and make them safe again. Why put in a roundabout at Waimauku and not zebra crossing for the elderly and the school children? How many more need to be injured and die before this is looked at?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Friday, 22 February 2019 6:32:20 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 22 Feb 2019 18:30

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I do not think there should be an increase to the annual waste management charge because we already pay for disposal of our own waste. We were forced by the council to have the recycling bin. If you have not organized a comprehensive treatment plant to deal with the waste , what is the point? Expecting in the past to ship our waste off to China was short sighted and does not make us 'clean and green' . other cities around the world have a plant that deals with waste properly. Storing it in Thames! what are you really thinking of??

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Perhaps you should ask people if they have a 'garbage disposal unit' before you go supplying food waste bins they don't want and haven't asked for. I cannot think that you are asking for an increased cost on resource consent. have you not HEARD the people that the council costs on consents are far too high. And I want to know when an inspector passes a plan, why the tax payer ends up footing the bill for things like 'leaky homes' when someone has not been doing their job properly. where does personal responsibility come into it? Your employees wages are high enough for them to be able to do the job they are employed for.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Saturday, 23 February 2019 8:14:36 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 23 Feb 2019 08:12

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Any increase of regulatory charges has an impact on building affordable homes. Any increase of charges should be for resource consents. Water charges are just going to go up all the time. Too many staff at the department This Auckland City is no cheaper for people north of the bridge. .

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Regarding mooring fees. At Algies Bay we now have people living on their boats. I am told it is in the too hard basket at the council. What about the testing of the water re sewerage from these boats. We want clean water on the coastline. They were removed from Scotts landing because of oyster business. I wonder why.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Comments

Not sure of their plan at present.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Seems sensible if affordable.

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Saturday, 23 February 2019 2:29:17 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 23 Feb 2019 14:26

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

what really happens to the waste management & food scraps. Surely if people had to put food scraps in their rubbish bins they would be less likely to waste food. Certainly it should not be compulsory & food scrap management should CERTAINLY NOT apply to rural properties. So what is going to happen in the Waitakeries if their rural sewerage is targeted? many religious organisations are very well off & should certainly pay rates An increase to resource consent fees only IF the whole process is tidied up. having had experience of this in the last 2 years you need to revise all this & make sure the 'left hand knows what the right hand' is doing. Your departments are so overstaffed & many of them don't even know the basic rules

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Prune all staff & make sure "stuff" is not passed from 1 person to the next, to the next. Wastes huge time & resources & hold everything up. We had experience with this at the end of 2017, beg 2018.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

maintaining roads, footpaths kerbing get the REAL NECESSITIES done. even prune back verges & footpaths would help

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

we realise Auckland Council cannot live within a budget, but surely Panuku does NOT need to be a separate identity

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Saturday, 23 February 2019 6:26:52 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 23 Feb 2019 18:24

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Waitakere rural sewerage service should be retained. regulatory fees are excessive already and the basis for charging is extortionate. Cutbacks should be imposed on internal council waste and more efficiencies needed

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Council fees are excessive and a major driver for home improvement expenditure. Sealing of roads in Rodney! Hill street interchange needs action now . Better roading infrastructure for AT. The planning and vision is seriously lacking.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Road sealing is archaic still. No proper expenditure on infrastructure is being done for Warkworth with so many developments going through. Swimming pool is needed Warkworth now not in 10 years time

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Is this another layer of bureaucracy. Will action now be taken as promised 10 years ago on the waterfront or is this another white elephant?



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Saturday, 23 February 2019 8:39:02 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 23 Feb 2019 20:35

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Religious organisations should pay their rates the same as everybody else.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Water treatment plant a vast improvement on river supply previously. Welcome news that town centre improvements at Warkworth are to continue.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Reducing duplication and associated costs



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Saturday, 23 February 2019 10:30:12 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 23 Feb 2019 22:28

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

affordability for pensioners - means we HAVE to live within our means - we can't just put up our requests for more income and get it, like the Council does! We are not willing to go without sufficient food to enable us to pay rates, insurance, essential maintenance, dentists, medical, transport, electricity, phone, all basics, etc. Rates should be frozen for pensioners without other income. We are the highly skilled volunteers who assist and keep society running smoothly, but can't always keep our own finances running smoothly

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

affordability for pensioners - means we HAVE to live within our means - we can't just put up our requests for more income and get it, like the Council does! We are not willing to go without sufficient food to enable us to pay rates, insurance, essential maintenance, dentists, medical, transport, electricity, phone, all basics, etc. Rates should be frozen for pensioners without other income. We are the highly skilled volunteers who assist and keep society running smoothly, but can't always keep our own finances running smoothly

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Sunday, 24 February 2019 12:55:46 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 24 Feb 2019 12:53

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Sunday, 24 February 2019 9:35:30 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 24 Feb 2019 21:34

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

Road sealing, road maintenance and public transport are covered well

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Tax saving



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 25 February 2019 12:10:41 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 25 Feb 2019 00:09

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The increase in waste management is appalling. Where are all the current rates we pay going? Given that we live semi-rurally the only service we get is rubbish collection, we don't use town supply water and we don't use town septic as we are on an independent system, we compost and recycle and throw away as little as possible and for that the only service we get you are going to increase the cost to everyone. Even people like us who don't have buses, footpaths, sealed roads, inorganic collection or water or sewerage access. The nearest library we have floods every time it rains and we had to fundraise to fix it. So what the hell am I paying \$3k a year to council for? Now you want to add another rate increase because costs are rising on a service we don't use. So it's not us who are increasing your costs. Stick the costs on the areas that actually incur the cost.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Because they have no power to do any of the things that we as residents need and want. The council as a whole is creaming it from Rodney and putting nowhere near the amount of rates collected from our area back into our area. We don't have parks as that comes under cap ex and there is no cap ex budget, but other areas have dozens of parks made pre-merger meaning they get to open up to maintain them. It's inherently unfair as areas without can never get their hands on money to bring them up to the level of other areas. Then you charge us for things we can't use. Like the city rail loop. Out here in Kaukapakapa we work in Orewa when is that rail loop going to benefit us? Give the local boards money according to need to bring all areas in line. Say every area needs 40 parks (as an example) then if an area already has 40 parks they get no additional funding but areas that are behind are funded to catch up. I'm so over the council taking and not returning you just got a rates increase from this house of 24% plus the actual increase. Now you want to increase again. Seriously? What are you doing with my money!?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 25 February 2019 9:51:24 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 25 Feb 2019 09:50

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Religious organisations that make money off any part of their land should be charged rates. Unfair on all other organisations, especially not for profit

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The bed tax has been poorly thought through and implemented. Unfair that some people have been paying due to honesty and others have not. Also unfair that if one stops renting out, there is no way for the rates to reflect that for a whole year

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Nothing else as important as improving public transport route and general congestion on SH 16 between Kumeu and Brigham Creek Rd.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Panuku is a CCO, council should already own them?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 25 February 2019 10:53:57 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 25 Feb 2019 10:51

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

where an increase in fees is proposed, there should be an option that allows for decreases in fees and services, this survey is biased towards the council collecting more revenue. For example, why should people that compost at home or keep a worm farm/chickens etc have to fund an environmentally unsound truck driving around the neighbourhood collecting green waste (along with the rubbish trucks and recycling trucks that all run independently - there is no overarching strategy for council collection services.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

the council needs to be looking at ways to reduce rates, not increase them

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

the council needs to reduce costs to fund essential infrastructure, not keep raising rates. the core reason for the council is to make the funding of shared infrastructure cheaper than individuals doing things on their own, however organisations like water care are more expensive over 5 years to connect to that installing your own tanks and septic systems. Most services the council provides is outsourced, meaning that there are many people in a chain making money out of rate payers, and the value of each dollar is severely eroded, by the time it is actually spent getting anything done.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 26 February 2019 12:59:45 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 26 Feb 2019 12:58

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I either support or am neutral on all items except the last two listed. I disagree that religious organisations should be exempt from rates just because they are religious organisation. If they can prove they provide a valuable community service then that would be okay, but the basis should be something like a charity and not religious based. Having to increase costs for resource and building consents just shows that the process has become excessively beauracrtic for little gain. Council costs should be decreased instead of increasing fees.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

The general proposal is okay, however there should be a stronger focus (along with NZTA) on traffic problems in the Northwest Auckland (Waimauku to Westgate) roading

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Any reduction in overheads/duplication is a good thing

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Wednesday, 27 February 2019 11:57:24 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 27 Feb 2019 11:54

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

The city is constantly changing and you need to be able to adapt charges accordingly. I don't have any problem with these proposed changes.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

No.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Yes

Comments

I'm really impressed with the new bus services available in my home town of Warkworth/Snells. It has made a big difference and it's great to see young people starting to use them frequently. I would like to see the frequency of buses to Snells Beach increase as there is sometimes over two hours between buses which is not practical. Work on footpaths is important. I want to see the local board keep a focus on making our community better for walking and cycling, and move the emphasis away from cars cars cars trucks. Make the centre of Warkworth 20km and one way only around the triangle of Queen St, Neville St and Mill Lane. Give people room to walk and shop. Develop some facilities down by the riverside.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Sounds sensible.

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 28 February 2019 12:04:13 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 27 Feb 2019 23:58

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I agree with the increase to annual waste management charge ONLY where rubbish is collected. IE - not Wellsford where we have no AC bags taken from gate. Agree urban rating change where no longer reduced rural services apply. I agree resource consent increase fee.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Don't charge parking near park and ride albany !. That's so stupid. You'll only drive people back into their cars if they're charged an extra \$40-\$50 per week. Defeats your purpose, surely. DONT do it. Increase in bus fares, charge for parking, proposed fee to drive in CBD. Does NOT make you respected. Makes you hated for the callous greed and lack of thought. Increase fees to commercial rubbish users, so they'll consider not being as wasteful. Make them re-use the off-cuts (or sell them, give them away) Reduce the landfill needs is supposedly Govt and council's aim. Environmentally be strong and put your money where your mouth is . No landfill should be permitted in Dome Valley near waterways. Hoteo, Kaipara, Wellsford water. You'll never be forgiven if this gets the nod. NEVER

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

targeted rate was supposed to help seal our roads, as you well realize. Changing it was a lowdown dirty trick and you know it. Furthermore, we all know it (if you get my drift) will be remembered. Footpaths in Warkworth being done. Yeah right. This is shameful that they've been neglected. Harbour protection. Yes, I agree very much. This HAS to include not permitting any foreign company to risk our environment /waterways by putting a landfill in Dome Valley

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Do not support

It would also speed up any push for international cruise ship wharves to increase out into the

harbour. No thanks. Boaties notice the differences in the tides and flows there NOW. The cruise ships that are too big? - they would currently ferry folks ashore, let it continue. We dont have to change for them. Dont support the America's Cup syndicates having financial support for rates either. They're loaded \$\$\$\$. Let them pay. The benefits to Auckland are tiny and only help a miniscule percentage of the ratepayers

Auckland Council



From:

Dear Madam, dear Sir,

My feedback is simple: I live in _____, and I am sick of it that our combined rates and developer contributions are sucked into central Auckland. Our roads are still unsealed, which is a health hazard - apart from turning the slogan "Auckland the most liveable City" into a joke. Over the last two decades the number of houses in our no-exit road have increased from 6 to 38. Developer contributions are meant to mitigate the effects of that development. **WE WANT OUR MONEY BACK, AND USED TO SEAL THE ROAD!!!**

Regards,

From:
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: mooring fee increase
Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 12:37:42 PM

Hi

NO! I do not agree that responsible boaties should be footing the bill for the irresponsible ones!

We are currently paying over \$200 mooring fee per year, for what!?! There is no bus service to mooring area, no services of any kind. So you propose to rob even more money off us to be gobbled up in the 'super city'. Us fringe folk are sick of this sort of carry on.

We have had a mooring in this bay since, get this, 1866!

Only since the super-city was formed do we see more and more fees being attached to things without ANY return to our area. I've spent the morning driving out to a site on the most abysmal roads imaginable.

You have a register of all the moorings and the craft attached to them; it's simple. Unseaworthy craft are to be removed at the owners expense! Failing that it goes to the next of kin.

Regards,

From:

Date: Thursday, 21 February 2019 1:32:24 PM

We are totally opposed to this increase. It should be the owners of the abandoned vessels that should be paying for this not other responsible boat owners. As it is the fee is ridiculous for the small area of space the boat takes up. We also pay for the maintenance of the mooring so what do we get for the \$234.00 a year we pay. Since becoming part of the so called supercity from Rodney Council the mooring fee has almost doubled , and increased each year, and it seems that all the ratepayers north of Orewa are paying for services, parks cycleways and auckland transport fiasco that we will never use. So having got that out of the system we say no.

From:
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Mooring Charge Increases
Date: Tuesday, 26 February 2019 10:47:14 AM

”

I can see your problem in regards derelict vessels , but to be quite honest I do not see why those of us who do look after our boats and moorings should be liable for those who do not. If a person who loses interest in his boat it would be another incentive to just walk away and expect everybody else to clear up his mess.

As to a practical solution to find one is difficult. Maybe a person other than a family member should sign that he is willing to go as guarantor in case the boat owner tries to abscond his responsibilities. He would then be liable to any charges involved

From:
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Fwd: Mooring fees
Date: Saturday, 23 February 2019 6:13:15 PM

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From:]
Date: 21 February 2019 at 5:54:33 PM GMT+13
To: akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.co.nz
Subject: Mooring fees

Dear Andrew Hayton et al,
As a pile mooring holder at Sandspit I strongly object to your proposal to impose a large increase in mooring fees.
Under Rodney Council I paid a \$403 annual fee in the final year before amalgamation.
That was stated to be a break even cost plus. Immediately you took over this fee doubled, for no apparent reason.
There is not a large problem with derelict boats here.
Further there is no logical reason why mooring holders in outlying areas should pay the same fees as those in central places such as Westhaven. Our mooring is up a muddy creek some distance from open waters with some tidal restriction.
It is no doubt easy for your organization to standardize costs across the region without taking location and facility supply into account. Please change this practice immediately.
Along with other local people I object strongly to Auckland Council organizations treating outlying areas as cash cows and spending most of their income centrally. Your proposal is yet another example.
Yours etc

Sent from my iPad

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Thursday, 28 February 2019 6:22:47 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 28 Feb 2019 18:19

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

*You should charge producers for the \$20.67 increase to annual waste management as we at the household end are stuck with the packaging and plastic they push out onto us. Make them cleaner suppliers please. *dunno *dunno *Yes I agree *Yes I agree * Sick of the extensive costs of minor resource consent fees. Keep it to a minimum at the application level, please.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Sunday, March 3, 2019 12:55:53 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 3 Mar 2019 12:53

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

We support the proposed increase in annual waste management charge. We SUPPORT NOT charging rates to religious organizations. Most, if not all, are not-for-profit charitable organizations that support their local communities - and particularly the poor and in need. They support and provide aid to ethnic communities - particularly Pacifica and Maori. They often provide support to disadvantaged and at risk kids and families, organise food banks and distribution, care for the elderly, as well as many other invaluable community services. Typically on voluntary basis. Charging these organizations rates only diminishes their ability to support their communities.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

We live in Snells Beach and strongly support any efforts the Council and its subsidiary organization (AT) can do to address: 1. The appalling condition of Sandspit Rd in the vicinity of the road leading to Wyatt's Landscaping; 2. The regular long queues of traffic on this road leading into Warkworth & SH1. 3. The early construction of Matakana Link Rd; and 4. Encouraging Government and NZTA to progress the Warkworth to Welsford extension to SH1.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Council should own and direct Panuku to manage and develop these in line with the needs of all Aucklanders - and not for commercial reasons alone.



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Sunday, March 3, 2019 1:16:13 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 3 Mar 2019 13:12

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Rates should be charge for all organisations - not charging religious communities is discriminatory against others. Organic waste collection (a green bin) for food and grass etc. should be introduced for all Auckland - this would reduce landfill and Auckland Councils progress no this is shamefully slow. There is no plan to reduce landfill yet you are charging more for waste - not the answer.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

the initiative must reduce costs - operating costs not just governance costs. if it costs more to implement then should leave as is, otherwise it's change for change sake with no benefit for citizens

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:53:37 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 10:51

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised

areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

There should be no increase of waste management charges - work within your existing budgets and that includes food scraps. Regularity fees are expensive and prohibitive enough without increasing them further.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

That council focuses on core services rather than 'nice to have' and make some tough decisions about what they are not going to do rather than continued rates escalation and all these additional targeted rates - which all add up to making it unaffordable to live in Auckland.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

I understand the limitations of what the local boards can fund - however there is not much point in spending money on facilities and main streets if we are gridlocked and unable to get to work to pay for our rates.

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Of course they should be transferred to Auckland Council (the ratepayers). Another CCO that is

duplication of services and another layer of inefficiency as stated in the proposal above.



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, March 4, 2019 11:54:40 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here.](#)

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 11:53

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Resource and building consent fees are horrendously high already - no further increase please

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Look at public transport and train services servicing the northwest area Kumeu to helensville

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Public transport needs much more attention, also road maintenance and improvement through at

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:11:31 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 13:10

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)

- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

With the properties under control of the elected body hopefully their social as well as their economic value is considered

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 3:47:40 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 15:45

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Don't think rates need to go up again

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Yes

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

We desperately need trains to Huapai

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Don't put anymore concrete in our harbour



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 5:05:53 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 17:04

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Charge religious organizations rates, not for profits have to pay rates and rents

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I want the Rodney Local Board to continue to fund Kumeu Arts Centre. I want Auckland Council to provide asset based funding for Rodney's Arts Facilities equal to that other Wards in Auckland receive

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 5:15:12 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 17:13

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I want the Rodney Local Board to continue to fund Kumeu Arts Centre. I want Auckland Council to provide asset based funding for Rodney's Arts Facilities equal to that other Wards in Auckland receive. Rodney is a growing community and we should be receiving our fair share.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 5:27:42 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 17:25

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I want the Rodney Local Board to continue to fund Kumeu Arts Centre. I want Auckland Council to provide asset based funding for Rodney's Arts Facilities equal to that other Wards in Auckland receive. The creative arts are very important for good mental health - a major problem in NZ at this time. More funding for Kumeu Arts Centre will enable more people to access creative arts either as a participant or viewer of the arts.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 5:38:12 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 17:36

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

The increased infrastructure is having a huge negative effect on transport in the kumeu and huapai area. There is a bus service that only operates hourly. Traffic congestion is absolutely ridiculous. Trains to huapai would resolve so many problems and allow people to come and go to work easily and children would not be stuck on a bus for over an hour just to get to a local high school.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

So long as the tax payer was not having to foot any extra expense as we pay enough already

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Annual Budget 2019-2020 feedback_ID#
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 6:23:15 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 18:20

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I hope the Rodney Local Board will continue to fund the Kumeu Arts Centre. This is a very well used facility and it literally changed my life! Also with more local housing in the area the demand for a community arts space is only going to increase. I also want Auckland Council to provide asset based funding for Rodney's Arts Facilities equal to that received by Wards in Auckland.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 7:14:32 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 19:13

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Not a lot . .

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Yes

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

Partially

Comments

“I want the Rodney Local Board to continue to fund Kumeu Arts Centre. I want Auckland Council to provide asset based funding for Rodney’s Arts Facilities equal to that other Wards in Auckland receive.”

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?



Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 8:18:03 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 20:15

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

"I want the Rodney Local Board to continue to fund Kumeu Arts Centre. I want Auckland Council to provide asset based funding for Rodney's Arts Facilities equal to that other Wards in Auckland receive." I am a local artist and it gives an amazing focus for the community." Marj Mulholland Taupaki

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Monday, 4 March 2019 9:05:53 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 4 Mar 2019 21:04

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Charge all religious organisations, Do not increase building or consent processes Have an opt out arrangement for food scraps....you are encouraging filth and rats

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

Lots but not enough time or space to go into them here. You appear to not have a lot of people with common sense and you spend money unnecessarily on things that are not important. You should be converting the council buildings in Orewa into old aded accommodation. Not selling it for a song

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Rodney Local Board feedback

In your opinion, are the priorities right for this local board area in 2019/2020?

No

Comments

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Partially support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Tuesday, 5 March 2019 8:12:19 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Mar 2019 08:10

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

2. What is important to you?**Do you have any feedback on any other issues?**

I want the Rodney Local Board to continue to fund Kumeu Arts Centre. I want Auckland Council to provide asset based funding for Rodney's Arts Facilities equal to that other Wards in Auckland receive.

3. Local Board information**Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?**

Rodney

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Support

Auckland Council



From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 5 March 2019 8:13:28 AM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Mar 2019 08:11

Attachment:

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

Agree to all

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

I want the Rodney Local Board to continue to fund Kumeu Arts Centre. I want Auckland Council to provide asset based funding for Rodney's Arts Facilities equal to that other Wards in Auckland receive. Please. Communicates facilities are vitally important to women paparticularly for health and wellness, the life of a community and teaching. This is a successful centre full of dedicated people who deserve support.

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council



From:
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject: Mooring Permit Fee Increase
Date: Sunday, 24 February 2019 8:57:41 PM
Importance: High

Hi

I am a Mooring License holder at Algies Bay, Warkworth.

I strongly object to a Mooring Permit Fee Increase.

The cost of salvage and disposal of abandoned and wrecked boats should be charged direct to the person who holds the Mooring License, **not to all License holders collectively.**

Thanks

From: [Auckland Council](#)
To: [AKHaveYourSay](#)
Subject:
Date: Tuesday, 5 March 2019 1:02:11 PM

Having trouble viewing this email? [Click here](#).

Annual Budget feedback



Submitter details

Date received: 5 Mar 2019 13:00

Attachment:

Feedback

1. Changes to rates and fees

We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020.

These charges include:

- a \$20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs
- extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2,000 properties in the former North Shore trial area to whom the service is available
- phasing out the Waitakere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020 - 2020/2021)
- adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
- not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and
- an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control, mooring fees and animal management) to cover increased costs.

Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:

I strongly agree that religious organisations should not be rated for all the specific categories listed in Attachment C item 8. The church I am a part of uses the house as an office and for church meetings and it forms the central hub for food rescue drop offs and redistribution to the wider community. Our church worship area and hall are used for worship activities and also as storage for the local food bank. Community involvement is a key focus for our premises which aim to serve our wider community as we follow Christ's example in caring for those in need, material or spiritual in our area.

2. What is important to you?

Do you have any feedback on any other issues?

3. Local Board information

Which local board(s) do you want to provide feedback to?

Rodney

Proposed 10 Year Budget Amendment

Panuku is Auckland Council's urban development agency and currently owns and manages about \$790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

What is your opinion about this proposal?

Auckland Council

