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1 Welcome

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

The Auckland Council Code of Conduct for Elected Members (the code) requires elected members to fully acquaint themselves with, and strictly adhere to, the provisions of Auckland Council’s Conflicts of Interest Policy. The policy covers two classes of conflict of interest:

i. a financial conflict of interest, which is one where a decision or act of the local board could reasonably give rise to an expectation of financial gain or loss to an elected member

ii. a non-financial conflict interest, which does not have a direct personal financial component. It may arise, for example, from a personal relationship, or involvement with a non-profit organisation, or from conduct that indicates prejudice or predetermination.

The Office of the Auditor General has produced guidelines to help elected members understand the requirements of the Local Authority (Member’s Interest) Act 1968. The guidelines discuss both types of conflicts in more detail, and provide elected members with practical examples and advice around when they may (or may not) have a conflict of interest.

Copies of both the Auckland Council Code of Conduct for Elected Members and the Office of the Auditor General guidelines are available for inspection by members upon request.

Any questions relating to the code or the guidelines may be directed to the Relationship Manager in the first instance.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Upper Harbour Local Board Community Forum:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 7 March 2019, as a true and correct record.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.
8  Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Upper Harbour Local Board Community Forum. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

8.1  Upper Harbour Ecology Network update

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide members with an update and overview on the activities of various groups from the Upper Harbour Ecology Network.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Annette Mitchell from the Whenuapai Restoration Group, Janet Cole from the Kaipātiki Project, and Jenny Hanwell from Gecko Trust, will be in attendance to update members on various aspects of their activities over the past 12 months.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Upper Harbour Local Board Community Forum:

a) receive the deputation from Annette Mitchell from the Whenuapai Restoration Group, Janet Cole from the Kaipātiki Project, and Jenny Hanwell from Gecko Trust, and thank them for their attendance and presentation.

Attachments
A  Gecko Trust presentation.................................................................11

8.2  Metlifecare Group development in Hobsonville

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To discuss with members their plans for a new retirement village development at 155 Clark Road, Hobsonville.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Glen Sowry, Chief Executive, and Dylan Pell, Senior Development Manager, of Metlifecare, and Caroline Patton, Principle Landscape Architect of Boffa Miskell, will be in attendance to outline their plans for a new retirement village on the Orion Point peninsular in Hobsonville Point.
3. As part of this development, Metlifecare wants to better connect the Orion Point and Hobsonville Point coastal walkways by constructing a boardwalk through the coastal marine area.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s
That the Upper Harbour Local Board Community Forum:
a) receive the deputation from Glen Sowry and Dylan Pell from Metlifecare, and Caroline Patton from Boffa Miskell, and thank them for their attendance and presentation.

**Attachments**
A Metlifecare Orion Point development concept plan ................................ 13

**8.3 Hibiscus Coast Zero Waste**

**Te take mō te pūrongo**

**Purpose of the report**

1. To discuss with members the possibility of a community recycling centre in the Upper Harbour area.

**Whakarāpopototanga matua**

**Executive summary**

2. Betsy Kettle from Hibiscus Coast Zero Waste, will be in attendance to discuss with board members the potential benefits and job opportunities that a community recycling centre would offer to the Upper Harbour area.

**Ngā tūtohunga**

**Recommendation/s**

That the Upper Harbour Local Board Community Forum:

a) receive the deputation from Betsy Kettle of Hibiscus Coast Zero Waste and thank her for her attendance and presentation.

**Attachments**
A Upper Harbour community recycling centre presentation ................................29
B Upper Harbour community recycling centre notes .............................................41

**9 Public Forum**

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

At the close of the agenda no requests for public forum had been received.

**10 Extraordinary Business**

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,-

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a
subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting, -

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
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GECKO TRUST IN PARTNERSHIP
WITH UPPER HARBOUR
ECOLOGY NETWORK

Update July 2018 - February 2019

BUILDING CAPACITY & CAPABILITY
...by empowering community leaders and increasing knowledge and skills.

FOR EXAMPLE:

- Whenuapai Wine & Weeds workshop & Herald Island Weed It Out Workshop
- Pare-dise project Engagement Communications guide.
- Increasing capacity by boosting the number of people taking active roles in Herald Island
- Whenuapai Facebook and Canva training, new flyer and Do You Love Rats campaign, doubling the number of people engaged.
- Some next steps include a simple website, marketing templates & photo library.

People healing nature, healing people
BUILDING STRUCTURES FOR DELIVERY
...developing strategies to increase the growth and impact of the groups’ work.

FOR EXAMPLE:
- Developing the Greenhithe Environmental Strategy
- Defining a vision and refining outcomes via strategy workshops in Whenuapai
- Herald Island Weed and predator control engagement strategy development.
- Supporting neighbourhood group development in Pare-dise
- Working with EcoTrack on introducing a monitoring and mapping system suitable for the needs of the groups.

ADDED VALUE VIA THE GECKO TEAM
...Curious Tamariki project in Whenuapai, coming soon to Albany. Social marketing workshops.

Thank you to our partners and funders
COASTAL CONNECTION CONCEPT
METLIFECARE ORION POINT HOBSONVILLE

19 December 2018

Boffa Miskell
Item 8.2

Attachment A

The plan has been prepared by Boffa Miskell colleague on the specific instructions of Upper Harbour Local Board, in readiness for submission to Council for endorsement. The scale, orientation and description are consistent with the terms of reference and do not represent an endorsement of the project.

For further information, please contact the Client or the Boffa Miskell team. The Boffa Miskell team is not responsible for the accuracy of any information provided by the Client or any external sources.

Boffa Miskell

METUPECARE ORION POINT
Existing Site Character

Scale N:1:50
Date: 14 March 2019 | Revision: 01
This plan was prepared for METUPECARE by Boffa Miskell Limited
Project Manager: emma.todd@boffa.miskell.co.nz | Office: Whitianga | Chartered Otautau
Attachment A

Item 8.2

Section J: Coastal Walkway (West)

3m - 4m
Coastal Walkway - Grand path

Section K: Coastal Walkway

5m - 6m
Coastal Walkway - Grand path

Section L: Coastal Walkway (East)

3m
Coastal Walkway - Boardwalk

Section M: Shared Path

3m Shared Path
8m

METUPECARE ORION POINT
Boundary Sections

Scale N:1:50 | Date: 14 March 2019 | Revision 01

Prepared by: Boffa Miskell Limited

Project Manager: emma.boffa@boffamiskell.co.nz | Design: Milo | Client: City of Waitemata
Orion and the Keruru

To the ancient Greeks, the seven bright stars of Orion resembled a giant. The line of three stars was his belt, from which hung his sword. In the southern hemisphere, Orion’s belt is viewed either as the bottom of a pot or as a bird perch. Māori considered that Rigel, which they called Puanga, was a fruit, and birds would alight on the perch to feed.

Orion Point is a community connected to its landscape. The site is coastal to the north, and leverages off this aspect and amenity. Being at the terminus of a peninsula ‘point’ landform, the site is a destination and can enjoy the absence of through traffic to create an oasis community, with a strong framework of connected common open spaces.

**PERMEABILITY & CONNECTIONS**

**DENSIY & ACTIVE EDGES**

- Main visual and physical connections, key structural elements
- Secondary connection
- Highest density
- Medium density
- Lowest density
- Courtyards and communal open spaces
- Active built edge
- Concentration of communal facilities
- Communal focal point
- Coastal edge with recreational route

---

**ATTACHMENT A**

**Item 8.2**

---

---
**LANDSCAPE INTEGRATION**

Internalised common spaces for the village community are differentiated in their design narrative whilst connected in a complementary aesthetic language, associated with portraying breathing space and calmness to the garden courtyards. Design elements are drawn from the strong connections with the sky, land and sea, pulling together the history and environmental aspects of the site.

**DIVERSE VILLAGE CHARACTER**

Connected open space is structured around a central open space ‘heart’, with active functions focussed in the centre, and social open spaces located throughout. These are linked through shared laneways and garden walks, creating a connected pedestrian network.
Attachment A
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**METLIFECARE ORION POINT**

Existing Boardwalks in Auckland

Date: 23 December 2018 | Revision: 00

Project Manager: [Contact Information]

Upper Harbour Local Board Community Forum
04 April 2019
Is there a Place for an Upper Harbour CRC?

Betsy Kettle of The Sustainable North Trust
t/a Hibiscus Coast Zero Waste
Whangaparaoa Community Recycling Centre
The People of Auckland want a RRN
Does the Upper Harbour Community want a CRC?
The benefits of a CRC are many

local resources

local jobs

new local businesses
Three Sizes—

- Limited drop off
- Medium with More Drop Off Opportunities
- Drop off, repairs, sales, disassembly, workshops, education, environment centre
Upcycling
Does the UHLB have a potential site?
Transpower (green)

Vector Gas pressure pipeline (red)
How can we move forward?

Attachment A

Item 8.3
How can HCZW work with HBLB and UHLB to progress the next CRC?
The WMMP Action Plan states: Continue the roll-out of twelve Community Recycling Centres in accordance with the long-term strategy endorsed by council in October 2014 (REG/2014/121), including a review of priorities, budget (including alternate funding sources) and the role of the council and other partners in the delivery of CRCs.

It will mean by 2024 Auckland has 12 CRCs where residents can take their unwanted goods to be reused and recycled. Social enterprises can tender for contracts to operate these facilities and work with Council to create vibrant community hubs.

Funding: Private-good service, Commercial, revenue funding, and other mechanisms such as the Waste Minimisation Fund 2018-ongoing.

The WMMP Action Plan also states: Work with Community Recycling Centre operators to investigate the potential for delivering regional services locally. Facilities with discrete catchment areas like those in rural areas and the Hauraki Gulf Islands would be the first to consider. Services would be provided by operators under contract to council and could initially include inorganic collection and illegal dumping and litter control.

Community Recycling Centres with discrete catchment areas provide a wider range of services in their communities. Residents receive services that meet the same standards as the rest of the region. Community Recycling Centres can generate more income, and create local jobs and training opportunities.

Funding: Council contracts to roll out private-good service.

Local jobs-in customer service, and waste education. Jobs in marketing, refurbishing and repair, a place for craftpeople and artists to re-create—making new products and creating value out of discarded materials.

A CRC can start very small, and grow over time. It can be what the community wants—an environment centre with a wide perimeter buffer for wildlife habitat. It can be a place to drop off a wide range of goods to be repaired, refurbished or repurposed. It can respond and change to the changing needs of the community.
**Item 8.3**

They say that creativity is the only limit to what can be done with discarded goods.

Potential site at the corner of Jack Hilton Road and Rosedale Road is between 1.6 and 1.9 ha. It seems to have high power transmission lines and gas pressure lines on the west. It would be good to explore if this could become a wide native forest buffer between the site and the residential area. It also has good public access & good visibility along a well-travelled road. It is a great site for a CRC!

It would be important to understand any limitations imposed on the site by its surrounding land uses, utility easements, and planning restrictions. Planning Advice is needed.

There should be more than enough space for an environment centre, recycling drop off area, re-use, re-purposing and sales building and Mens Shed but a site plan needs to be prepared.

HCZW would like to know What is the next step to:
* express interest to both UH LB and Auckland Council that this could be explored as a CRC site?
* get an accurate base map that could be used to develop a site plan
* get advice from a Planner re: its suitability as a CRC (note—there needs to be a special designation for a CRC that the current Unitary Plan lacks. CRCs are not “waste facilities” as they do not accept mixed rubbish. CRCs only clean, sorted materials.
  - prepare a site plan for presentation to the wider community for consultation
  - Make sure Panuku doesn’t sell it