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1 Welcome

Kua uru mai a hau kaha, a hau maia, a hau ora, a hau nui,
Ki runga, ki raro, ki roto, ki waho
Rire, rire hau…pai marire

Translation (non-literal) - Rama Ormsby
Let the winds bring us inspiration from beyond,
Invigorate us with determination and courage to achieve our aspirations for abundance and sustainability
Bring the calm, bring all things good, bring peace….good peace.

2 Apologies

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

3 Declaration of Interest

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

4 Confirmation of Minutes

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday, 28 March 2019, as true and correct.

5 Leave of Absence

At the close of the agenda no requests for leave of absence had been received.

6 Acknowledgements

At the close of the agenda no requests for acknowledgements had been received.

7 Petitions

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

8 Deputations

Standing Order 7.7 provides for deputations. Those applying for deputations are required to give seven working days notice of subject matter and applications are approved by the Chairperson of the Waiheke Local Board. This means that details relating to deputations can be included in the published agenda. Total speaking time per deputation is ten minutes or as resolved by the meeting.

At the close of the agenda no requests for deputations had been received.

9 Public Forum

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.
9.1 Palm Beach Dune Restoration Project - Andrew Barclay

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
1. Andrew Barclay will be in attendance to present to the board on the Palm Beach Dune Restoration Project what has been achieved.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) thank Andrew Barclay for his attendance and presentation.

Attachments
A Palm Beach Dune Restoration Project.................................................................245

10 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,

(i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

(ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting."

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

"Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,-

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

(i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

(ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion."
Councillor's update
File No.: CP2019/01653

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide Councillor Mike Lee with an opportunity to update the Waiheke Local Board on Governing Body issues.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note the verbal update from the Waitemata and Gulf Ward Councillor, Mike Lee.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Safia Cockerell - Democracy Advisor - Waiheke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Waiheke Pathways Plan
File No.: CP2019/05406

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To seek adoption of the Waiheke Pathways Plan.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Waiheke Pathways Plan is a 10-year plan to improve our footpaths, roads and trails so that it’s safe and easy for people to walk, bike or ride to where they want to go.
3. The plan sets out four key goals:
   • separate footpaths and cycle lanes alongside the main road through the island
   • a footpath alongside all feeder roads
   • natural traffic calming to slow speeds on back roads
   • shared paths away from the road where possible.
4. The Waiheke Pathways Plan should be used by the Waiheke Local Board to direct Auckland Transport work programmes, focussing on the priority and quick win projects identified in the plan.
5. The off-road elements will be delivered by Auckland Council’s community facilities team, subject to prioritisation and funding.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations
That the Waiheke Local Board:
   a) adopt the Waiheke Pathways Plan (Attachment A) to inform investment into walking and cycling on Waiheke Island.
   b) note that progress reports on the Waiheke Pathways Plan, coordinated across delivery entities, will be provided through the quarterly reports from Parks, Sport and Recreation.

Horopaki
Context
6. Pathways plans promote active transport connections for everyday journeys. They connect key destinations including schools, ferry terminals and commercial areas.
7. Waiheke can be a great place to get around on foot or by bike; distances are short, there is a footpath alongside the main road through the island, vehicle speeds are generally slow and electric bikes help flatten the hills.
8. However, Waiheke’s footpath and cycle lane network has been developed without a plan. Over the years we have responded to problems and have done what is feasible with the limited funding available. This has in some locations resulted in busy connecting roads
without footpaths, cycle lanes stopping suddenly with no warning, back roads being used by vehicles as short cuts and limited off-road linkages between villages.

9. The Waiheke Pathways Plan sets out a 10-year programme of upgrades, improvements, new paths and improved maintenance that should make Waiheke safe for anyone who wants to walk or bike on the island.

10. The plan describes four types of route: arterial (main) roads; feeder roads; back roads and off-road routes. It recommends the standard of walking and cycling path for each type of route and ranks priority locations and quick wins for each type of route.

11. The plan should be used by the local board to direct work programmes for Auckland Transport, Auckland Council and community partnerships; each of whom will have a role to play in delivering local board ‘Pathways Plan’ recommendations.

12. When the work identified in the plan is complete, it will be safe to choose whether to walk, bike or take the car for each trip.

**Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu**

**Analysis and advice**

13. The development of a safe and easy walking and cycling network on Waiheke is an opportunity to deliver environmental, lifestyle, health and wellbeing benefits for locals and visitors to the island.

14. With up to 1000 people commuting from Waiheke to Auckland each day and around 1.3 million visitors a year coming to the island, demand for transport on the main road between Matiatia, the schools and the villages is high. Cycle counters installed in Oneroa recorded over 40,000 journeys through the centre of the village in 2018.

15. Car use is restricted by limitations on parking at Matiatia, in village centres and at northern beaches. Buses are frequently overloaded at peak times and are unsuitable for many routes off the main road. Visitors, commuters and schoolchildren would like to walk and cycle around the island but are reluctant as they are concerned about safety where they have to share the road with faster moving traffic.

16. Analysis of proposed routes has considered the destination points along the route, opportunities to link with transport hubs and advice from Auckland Transport, Cycle Action Waiheke and likely user groups.

17. The priority locations for upgrade work have been chosen by identifying the most dangerous gaps in the footpath and cycle path networks. Quick wins are those which may not require extensive construction work to widen the road.

18. Completed routes can be celebrated by means of official openings, activation programmes and the promotion of active transport options via council and local board websites. Use of these facilities can be increased through creative marketing and innovative wayfinding options.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

19. The primary impact of the Waiheke Pathways Plan is on Auckland Transport. The plan was developed with input from Auckland Transport's walking and cycling team. Auckland Transport were consulted on the draft plan and had no adverse feedback on its contents.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

20. The 2017 Waiheke Local Board Plan includes the objective 'Increase active and safe transport options and facilities' through two initiatives:
• Scope and deliver Pathways (Greenways) Plan initiatives, including an eastern end loop pathway that is separate from the road.
• Connect cycleways and walkways to improve safety for our community and visitors.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
21. Mana whenua were consulted on the general principles in the draft plan as part of the formal consultation round. No issues were raised by mana whenua.
22. Mana whenua consultation will be included as part of the consent process as and when each project within the plan is funded.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
23. Where there are gaps in the footpath or cycle network on the island this is often due to the expense of widening the road to include footpaths and/or cycle paths. On Waiheke’s steep landscape this often requires expensive retaining work below or above the road surface. Despite the costs, these gaps have been addressed in the plan.
24. The cost to deliver the actions outlined in the plan will be significant and is likely to be over $10 million.
25. Funding will largely come from the Waiheke Local Board’s Transport Capital Fund.
26. Investigation and design will be progressed by Auckland Transport for all on road elements of the plan.
27. There will be additional maintenance costs for all new infrastructure and green assets.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
28. Not all of the projects identified in the Pathways Plan will be able to be funded from the board’s Transport Capital Fund. The board will need guidance on likely feasibility and cost of each project to enable prioritisation within Auckland Transport’s work programme.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
29. The Waiheke Local Board is working with Auckland Transport to develop a 10-year Transport Plan that will include direction from the Waiheke Pathway Plan on the walking and cycling elements of the transport network.
30. As part of that work the board should seek a Rough Order of Costs from Auckland Transport for those routes prioritised in the Pathways Plan, with a view to allocation of Transport Capital Funding to progress planning and delivery.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Waiheke Pathways Plan April 2019</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Ngā kaihaina**

**Signatories**
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Waiheke Island Pathways plan

The Waiheke Local Board’s 10 year plan to make it safe and easy for people to walk or bike on our island.

If we make it safe and easy we:
- enable kids to walk or bike to school, or to their mate’s place, just like we did
- encourage our visitors to discover the real Waiheke at their own pace
- make some short trips a walk in the park
- make it easy for people to include a bit of exercise in their everyday routine
- leave the car parks free for those who need them

Waiheke can be a great place to get around on foot or by bike. Distances are short, electric bikes flatten hills and you might even bump into some friends along the way. This plan sets out the steps we need to take to make walking or riding safe. That way we can choose to walk, bike or take the car every time we make a trip.

April 2019
What’s the problem?

Walker and bike rider numbers are steadily growing on Waiheke. That’s a good thing. But our roads are often not designed to accommodate them.

"I’d love my kids to walk or bike to school, but it’s just not safe."

It’s just not safe:

- It’s not safe to walk down Jellicoe Parade where you have to walk on a winding road that’s barely wide enough for two cars to pass. It’s not safe to walk up Waiheke Road to Onetangi when the footpath stops before a blind corner.
- We need footpaths (even if they’re just grass) alongside the roads that connect us to where we want to go.
- It’s not safe to cycle past parked cars at Mataatua with buses on your shoulder.
- We need cycle lanes to move bikes off the main road from Mataatua to Onetangi and to our schools.

It’s not safe to have bikes using the footpaths when they are going so fast.
- We need to provide separate bike lanes or make it safer for bikes to use our quieter roads.
- It’s not safe when footpaths and cycle lanes just stop without explanation and you’re suddenly forced to share our main roads with cars and trucks.
- We need to prioritise fixing these pinch points so that people are safe for their whole journey, not just part of it.

A bit of a hotch-potch

Waiheke’s footpath and cycle lane network is a bit of a hotch-potch. Over the years we have responded to problems and have done what we can, in the best way we can find.

Haven’t done the hard bits

There’s no plan. Some things work – there’s footpath (of sorts) on the main road all the way from Mataatua to Onetangi, but the cycle lanes stop suddenly at the difficult to build bits.

There are some footpaths on our connecting roads, but other key roads (like Pacific Parade, Queens Drive, Jellicoe Parade, Goodwin Avenue and Waiheke Road are patchy, pitching people onto narrow roads with blind corners.

Let’s plan properly

The Waiheke Pathways Plan sets out a ten year programme of upgrades, improvements, new paths and improved maintenance that should make Waiheke safe for anyone who wants to walk, bike or ride a horse.

It’s a shopping list for Auckland Transport, Auckland Council, the local board and community groups to pick from.

When it’s complete, we’ll be able to choose whether we walk, bike or take the car, every time we take a trip, or take the car for every trip.

* more than 48,000 separate bicycle movements through Oneroa measured in one year
1 - Arterial roads

Make our main roads safer - get walkers and cyclists off the main road by providing separate footpaths and cycleways.

Details

Use the width of our biggest, fastest roads to keep everyone safe. Avoid two-way cycling on the same lane and avoid bikes sharing footpaths. Bike lanes and footpaths should be a different colour to the road to make it clear that they are not to be driven on. Ideally all bike lanes will be green.

Advantages of this solution:

- Easy to understand
- Safe for walkers
- Safe for cyclists
- Flat kerb gives cyclists an ‘escape route’
- Easy to maintain
- Physical barrier between cycle lane and road where space allows
- Can add path on both sides if there is space
- Horses straddle path/bike lane

The Causeway road could have a clearly marked cycle lane on the southern side and a separate cycle lane and footpath on the northern side, without losing space for cars. The different lanes are marked by white lines or flash concrete beams, without further physical boundaries, allowing cyclists to pull over if necessary, and to enable proper maintenance (sweeping gravel). So all road users can use the road safely. Loose gravel and closely spaced barrier bollard striper are the main reasons cyclists end up riding on the main road.
1b - Arterial road projects

Make our main roads safer by providing separate footpaths and cycleways along their whole length. Prioritise the area near the schools, safety issues and the quick wins (highlighted in yellow), that are easy to complete. Where cycle lane exists on only one side of the road use sharrow markings on other side.

- Surfside Road by shops
  Design and build separate cycle lanes on both side of road.

- Surfside Road
  Create separate cycle lane on each side of road from Surfdale to Hamilton junctions. On uphill side first.

- Donald Bruce Road
  Priority: complete separate cycle lane in uphill section from Wharf. When possible, complete separate cycle lane in downhill sections.

- Onetangi Road
  Extend existing cycle lane from Onetangi Straight to corner before Trig Hill Road. Create cycle lane from Trig Hill Road to existing cycle lane on Onetangi Straight towards Ostend.

- 4th Avenue
  Create cycle lane from Trig Hill Road to The Strand.
2 - Feeder roads

Make our connecting roads safer - get walkers off the road, slow down vehicles to 30km/h and remind drivers that they share the road with bikes. Start with quick wins highlighted in yellow, particularly where grass footpaths can be easily created.

Details
These are our ‘connecting roads’ - how we get to the main road and to the shops and services. These roads are not as busy as the arterial route, but busier than the smaller back roads. We especially need to keep walkers safe on these roads.

These roads are not raceways - their layout and width must encourage cars to take care and keep to speed limits which may be lowered, but they also must be more convenient to drive than our back roads.

Separate walkers from vehicles by providing a path, in some cases this may be grass. Cyclists share the road with cars - the roads are marked to make drivers aware of this.

Special care is needed to make sure cyclists have the option to over onto the footpath or the grass verge in an emergency. This can be achieved by installing flat or angled concrete edges on the grass verge or on the edge of footpaths.

Advantages of this solution:
- Safe for walkers
- Flat kerb gives cyclists an ‘escape route’
- Easy maintenance
- ‘Sharrow’ makes presence of cyclists obvious.
3 - Low speed residential back roads

This is where most of us live. Generally these roads are only used by residents and their visitors. We share these quiet back roads with care - slow vehicles to 30km/h discourage drivers from short-cutting through these roads.

Details
The back roads are simple, single lane roads with no bells and whistles. Their layout encourages all users to slow down and be aware of their environment. These roads do not need separate footpaths or cycleways. Where possible, grass verges need to be kept weed free to allow walkers a place to step off the road when a vehicle passes by.

Advantages of this solution:
- Rural look and feel
- Limits speeding and increases safety
- Low impact design
- We get our streets back.
- Street parties facilitated by experts to look at how people would like to make their road safer. Cul de sacs, planting, natural calming etc

Left: The connection between Surfside and Blackpool could be made in the dead end at Blackpool, retaining it as a road but stopping traffic as a short cut. Framed traffic makes it a safe demarcation to the main road for walkers and cyclists.
4 - Create off-road routes / greenways

Auckland has come a long way in the development of off-road cycle and walkways. The expertise and experience gained from these projects can be combined with local knowledge to create exciting new connections on the island.

**Details**

Greenways are shared off-road routes (mostly in parks) for walkers and cyclists. They are generous in size (usually at least 3m wide) and are usable in all weather conditions. These are possible new off-road shared paths for walkers, recreational cyclists and in some cases horse riders.

These routes offer opportunities to lead walkers, horse riders and cyclists away from the arterial and feeder routes and to create appealing, new connections. This is why greenways are often made out of concrete - this is the most durable and low-maintenance solution, but the least 'rural'. Concrete paths are recommended where paths are shared by bikes, horses and walkers or other solutions are not practical due to high use or drainage issues.

Boardwalks are a relatively expensive option. They can however provide access to areas that are otherwise out of bounds.

Gravel pathways are viable in bush sections, where overhanging trees prevent weed growth, and grass pathways are sometimes viable in well-drained and low-use areas.

**Advantages of this solution:**

- Keep walkers and cyclists away from roads
- Improve safe access to popular destinations
- Encourage visitors to walk and ride
- Scenic routes bypass sections of busy main road giving safer, more enjoyable experience for those not in a hurry.

---

Concrete pathway [Park in pathway - Waiheke]
Boardwalk [Beachlands/Manetia]
Gravel pathway [Beresford Track, Waitakere ranges]
Grass pathway [Beresford Track, Waitakere ranges]
Concrete pathway [Grafton pathway - Central Auckland]
Boardwalk [Key Clements Freeway, Mt Albert]
Gravel pathway [Beresford Track, Waitakere ranges]
Grass pathway [Headland walkway, Waiheke Island]
4b - Off-road routes, possible projects

Selection of possible projects to create safe, off-road routes for walkers, cyclists and in some cases horse riders. They are shared paths that need to be designed to slow cyclists to the speed of other users. Some of these involve upgrading existing walkways or creating all-tide boardwalks, others require negotiation with owners of private properties.

Water-right gully
Upgrade to concrete 3m wide, easy ride and shortcut between terraces and Church Bay vineyards.

Fisher Road
Upgraded to compacted gravel with separate bridle path and appropriate Kari Onbekken Design Protection. Flat link from Big Hill to Crapau Road removing need to bike or ride up/down Trig Hill and Whangarei Road.

Stony Ridge tracks
New connection. Grassed recreation trail in partnership with landowners.

Church Bay to Marae
Upgrade to concrete 3m wide and re-gravel existing farm track from Marae. Negotiate cycle access from Church Bay Road to Hihahia Reserve. Direct route from Church Bay vineyards to bedrock.

Esplanade to Te Huruhuru School
Boardwalk at low tide, easterly and access over school grounds.

High route past Church
Possible park, take-off point alternative to footpath between Little and BigChurch bay beaches.

Owhanake treatment plant and Valley of Tranquillity
Upgrade to concrete. Forms circuit in Cinema and alternative recreational route to and from ferry.

Central Track to Rocky Bay
Upgrade shared 2m gravel path from Rocky Bay to Whakarewareha will open up Whakarewareha walkers, cyclists and riders.
4c - Horse trails

Many of the proposed greenways connections can also be made available for people on horseback.

Existing routes and trails
Horses can legally be ridden on the road or in any park (as long as they do not damage the park or represent a safety risk to other users).
Horse riding routes within parks should either be on concrete or robust gravel trails which are shared with other users or on a separate grass route which is not used by others.

New trails
Where new off-road routes are created the aim will be, where possible, to provide either a concrete or a separate grass surface for horse riders to use the route.
Given the additional cost of widening and strengthening boardwalks or coastal wooden walkways to accommodate horses it is unlikely that any boardwalk pathways (if progressed) would be suitable for horse riding use.

Priorities
Priority could be given to quick wins identified and enabled by the island’s horse riding community.
The off-road routes detailed above could be constructed to accommodate horse riders as well as other users.
5 - Additional proposals

We intend to take three additional measures to improve walking and cycling around the island.

Access to the eastern end

Safe walking and cycling around Eastern Waiheke
Create grass verges and safe bays so that walkers and cyclists can get off the road when vehicles pass. Link Onerangi Road with Man O’War Bay by establishing a direct off-road linkage over the Puke Range.

A helping hand at junctions

Clear and consistent directions for walkers and cyclists
Comprehensive wayfinding, including bollards, finger boards, similar for bikes and on-road markings to help visitors choose the safest and best route.

Recommended cycle routes

Direct cyclists away from hotspots
Mark and promote safe cycling routes that direct visiting cyclists away from difficult or busy sections of road. This will enhance the experience of visiting cyclists and reduce the pressure on our daily commuter and business routes.
1B - Additional proposals - village centres

Consider making village centres in Oneroa, Surfdale, Ostend and The Strand in Onetangi shared spaces to slow all traffic to a safe speed for these busy areas.

Advantages of this solution:
- Suits areas with high visitor numbers
- Safe for walkers
- Safe for cyclists

Key:
- Land
- Village
- Shop/cm store
- Beach/park/pavements
- Road
- Walking track
- Village centre

Reduce speed
- Maximum 40km/h speed limit on these roads, maximum 30km/h on feeder and back roads.

No passing
- No passing, regulation, road marking, signage and education to minimise inappropriate passing of cyclists where it is not safe.

Keep off the grass
- Some kind of angled berm or barrier (see Oneroa beach cycleway) to stop people parking on grass footpaths.

Educate all users
- General education of all road users that bikes are free to 'take the lane' and drivers must wait for a safe chance to pass.
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To consider the recommendations of the Waiheke Transport Forum held on 4 April 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. The Waiheke Transport Forum (which will subsequently be referred to as “the forum”) was established by the Waiheke Local Board on 22 November 2018 as detailed in the resolution which is included in the agenda report as Attachment A.

3. The forum is a formal subcommittee of the board which considers proposals, and makes recommendations, on transport matters.

4. At its meeting on 4 April 2019, the forum considered an agenda which is included as Attachment B and which contained the following items:
   a) deputations from Cycle Action Waiheke, Be Accessible and Te Huruhi School
   b) forum member reports from Grant Crawford and Sergeant Martin Felton
   c) an agenda report on the process for nominating and electing a permanent chairperson.

5. The minutes of the forum are attached as Attachment C and include several requests to the board as follows:
   a) a request to the Waiheke Local Board to review and update the Be Accessible Waiheke 2013 report
   b) a request that the relevant project teams provide information on all current and future maritime infrastructure projects planned for Waiheke Island
   c) a request that the Matiatia project and Kennedy Point rebuild project, both formally consult maritime users to ensure their needs are considered
   d) a request to local board staff to advise what alternative parking areas may be available for the Ostend Markets to alleviate congestion and safety concerns
   e) a request to committee member Jonathan Anyon to investigate and report on safety options for the Saturday market
   f) a request for nominations for the role of chairperson from committee members be put forward prior to the next scheduled meeting of 30 May 2019 for voting and appointment purposes.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendations
That the Waiheke Local Board:
   a) request that the Be Accessible Waiheke 2013 report be reviewed and updated
   b) request that the relevant project teams provide information on all current and future maritime infrastructure projects planned for Waiheke Island
   c) request that the Matiatia project and Kennedy Point rebuild project both formally consult maritime users to ensure their needs are considered
Recommendations of the Waiheke Transport Forum

Waiheke Local Board
18 April 2019

- **d)** request local board staff to advise what alternative parking areas may be available for the Ostend Markets to alleviate congestion and safety concerns

- **e)** request committee member Jonathan Anyon to investigate and report on safety options for the Saturday market

- **f)** request nominations for the role of chairperson from committee members be put forward prior to the next scheduled meeting of 30 May 2019 for voting and appointment purposes.

**Ngā ūpirihanga**

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Resolution establishing the Waiheke Transport Forum</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Agenda Waiheke Transport Forum 4 April 2019 including attachments</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Minutes Waiheke Transport Forum 4 April 2019</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā kaihaina**

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Mark Inglis - Local Board Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Attachment A – Waiheke Local Board Resolution Establishing the Waiheke Transport Forum

Appointment of members of the Waiheke Transport Forum

Resolution number WHK/2018/239

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Deputy Chairperson P Walden:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) appoint the chair and Member S Brown as local board members of the Waiheke Transport Forum.

b) authorise the appointment of Member B Upchurch as the alternate local board member to attend the Waiheke Transport Forum when an appointed member is sick or on leave.

c) appoint Tony King Turner, Michael Cox, Linda Simpson, Andrew Ison, Christopher Howard and Grant Crawford as community representatives of the Waiheke Transport Forum.

d) appoint Auckland Transport’s Elected Member Relationship Manager as the Auckland Transport representative of the Waiheke Transport Forum.

e) appoint the Officer-in-Charge, Waiheke Island, New Zealand Police as the New Zealand Police representative of the Waiheke Transport Forum.

f) authorise the appointment of alternate representatives by Auckland Transport and the New Zealand Police to attend the Waiheke Transport Forum when the appointed representative is sick or on leave.

g) decline any late member applications for the Waiheke Transport Forum.

h) note that the report and resolutions become public information upon the closing of November 2018 business meeting of the board, however the attachment will remain confidential to protect the privacy of natural persons.

CARRIED
I hereby give notice that an ordinary meeting of the Waiheke Transport Forum will be held on:

Date: Thursday, 4 April 2019
Time: 5:00PM
Meeting Room: Waiheke Local Board Office
Venue: 10 Belgium Street
        Ostend
        Waiheke

Waiheke Transport Forum
OPEN AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

Chairperson
Cath Handley

Members
Shirin Brown
Michael Cox
Grant Crawford
Martin Felton
Chris Howard
Andrew Ison
Tony King Turner
Linda Simpson
Don McKenzie

(Quorum 5 members)

Lisa Young
Local Board PA Liaison

1 April 2019

Contact Telephone: 09 980 5328
Email lisa.young@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
Website: www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Note: The reports contained within this agenda are for consideration and should not be construed as Council policy unless and until adopted. Should Members require further information relating to any reports, please contact the relevant manager Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>TABLE OF CONTENTS</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Apologies</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Declaration of Interest</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Confirmation of Minutes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Petitions</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Public Input</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Cycle Action Waiheke Vision and Priorities, Concept Plan for Surfdale from Burrell Road to Hamilton Road</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Reactivation of the Be Accessible Waiheke Report 2013</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Sealing of Gordon's Road, Woodside Bay, Waiheke Island</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Issues for school children crossing Allison Road</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Extraordinary Business</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Forum Member Reports</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Forum Member Report - Maritime Infrastructure, Waiheke Island</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Forum Member Report - Parking for Ostend Markets</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Discussion on process for nominating and appointing a permanent Chairperson</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Consideration of Extraordinary Items</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 **Apologies**

At the close of the agenda no apologies had been received.

2 **Declaration of Interest**

Members are reminded of the need to be vigilant to stand aside from decision making when a conflict arises between their role as a member and any private or other external interest they might have.

3 **Confirmation of Minutes**

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Wednesday, 20 February 2019, including the confidential section, as a true and correct record.

4 **Petitions**

At the close of the agenda no requests to present petitions had been received.

5 **Public Input**

A period of time (approximately 30 minutes) is set aside for members of the public to address the meeting on matters within its delegated authority. A maximum of 3 minutes per item is allowed, following which there may be questions from members.

5.1 **Cycle Action Waiheke Vision and Priorities, Concept Plan for Surfdale from Burrell Road to Hamilton Road**

*Te te kōrero* *Te kōrero o te purongo*

**Purpose of the report**

1. To receive the information provided by Cycle Action Waiheke.

*Whakarāpopototanga matua* *Whakarāpopototanga matua*  

**Executive summary**

2. Cycle Action Waiheke will present to the Waiheke Transport Forum its vision and priorities and a suggested concept plan of the main road from Burrell Road to Hamilton Road showing dedicated cycle lanes on both sides of the road and repositioned footpaths in certain areas where needed.

**Ngā tūtohunga**  

**Recommendation**

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) thank Cycle Action Waiheke for their attendance and presentation.

**Attachments**

A Cycle Action Waiheke Presentation Summary ..................................................27  
B Cycle Action Waiheke Vision and Priorities......................................................29
5.2 Reactivation of the Be Accessible Waiheke Report 2013

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive the information provided by Megan Barclay of Be Accessible.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. In 2013, The Waiheke Local Board commissioned a report to take action on the barriers on Waiheke to those with accessibility challenges.
3. With the development of the Waiheke 10 Year Transport Plan, the opportunity has arisen for a renewed focus on the completion of the accessibility actions which are still outstanding.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
a) thank Megan Barclay of Be Accessible for her attendance and presentation.

Attachments
A Be Accessible Waiheke Report 2013 .......................................................... 31

5.3 Sealing of Gordon’s Road, Woodside Bay, Waiheke Island

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive the information provided by Steve Edwards of Woodside Bay in relation to the recommendation of sealing Gordon’s Road.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. It is requested that consideration be given to increasing the priority of sealing Gordon’s Road based on the reasoning provided in the attachment.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
a) thank Steve Edwards of Woodside Bay for his attendance.

Attachments
A Sealing of Gordon’s Road Presentation Summary ................................. 57
5.4 Issues for school children crossing Alison Road

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive the information provided by Tess Parlane of Te Huruhi School.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Tess Parlane of Te Huruhi School will be outlining safety issues in conjunction with school children crossing Alison Road as per the attachment.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) thank Tess Parlane of Te Huruhi School for her attendance.

Attachments
A  Tess Parlane, Te Huruhi School Presentation Summary..........................59

6 Extraordinary Business

Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“An item that is not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at that meeting if-

(a) The local authority by resolution so decides; and

(b) The presiding member explains at the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public,

   (i) The reason why the item is not on the agenda; and

   (ii) The reason why the discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.”

Section 46A(7A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (as amended) states:

“Where an item is not on the agenda for a meeting,

(a) That item may be discussed at that meeting if-

   (i) That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and

   (ii) the presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting, but

(b) no resolution, decision or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.”
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Recommendations of the Waiheke Transport Forum
Recommendations of the Waiheke Transport Forum

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To request further information on current and future maritime infrastructure projects on Waiheke Island.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Waiheke Transport Forum Committee member Grant Crawford is requesting further information be provided on all current and future maritime infrastructure projects as per the attachments.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) request the relevant project teams to provide information on all current and future maritime infrastructure projects planned for Waiheke Island.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Forum Member Report - Maritime Infrastructure Presentation Summary</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Request for Information - Boat Ramps on Waiheke Island</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Request for Information - Wharf Upgrades</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Lisa Young - Local Board PA Liaison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendations of the Waiheke Transport Forum

Attachment B

Item 13

Transport Forum – Presentation Summary

Name: Grant Crawford

Organisation (if applicable):

Phone: Mobile: 021 704011

Email: grant@tourism.waiheke.co.nz

Topic of Presentation: State the title or subject of the presentation being submitted to the Transport Forum

Maritime Infrastructure, Waiheke Island

Executive Summary
Provide a summary of your presentation highlighting key points such as any relevant health & safety issues, financial implications, possible benefits to the community and existing infrastructure, etc.

A) Matiatia Old Wharf current works
   - where to find scope & timelines

B) Matiatia Wharf upgrade – future proj
   - Coastguard pontoon
   - Recreational boating ramps & parking
   - Fuel provisions, fuel
   - Black water pumping facilities
   - Charter & Tour operators

C) Kennedy Point Wharf
   - where to find scope & timelines

Attachments
Select all documents attached to your submission

- Executive Summary
- A3 Site Plan
- Letters of Support
- Other

- Project Report
- Consultants Reports
- PowerPoint Presentation
Grant Crawford <waihekesailing@gmail.com>

Matiatia boat ramp
1 message

Brendan Demchy <b.demchy@gmail.com>
To: Grant Crawford <waihekesailing@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 25, 2019 at 9:12 AM

Hi Grant,

My name is Brendan Demchy, I am a local boaty on the island.

I have heard that you are part of the transport forum.

I was wondering if you would be able to ask the question about boat ramps on the island. I understand that the Matiatia public ramp is potentially going to be closed for use after the Matiatia car parking upgrades have been completed. This due to health and safety concerns about trailers backing in and out of a pedestrian area which is far enough.

This then brings into question of a new northern side boat ramp as there would be no northern side slip way on the island.

So should we now be planning for a northern side boat ramp, parking area and roading that support heavy trailers boats.

Many thanks
Item 13
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Hi Grant,

Waiheke Coastguard are going through the process with Waiheke council and AT to sort out a safer berth for the Coastguard vessel. At present the removal of the old wharf pylons, which were part of our mooring system, has been remedied by a temporary solution.

Longer term, during the main wharf upgrade, we are going through the process with Council and AT, of putting in a safer ramp and pontoon to replace the old ladder access.

If you would like more information, or someone to come to your meeting, please ask.

On Fri, 22 Mar 2019 at 2:46 PM, Grant Crawford <waihekesailing@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Waiheke Coastguard,

Do you have any matters relating to your operation that need extra highlighting with the Waiheke Local Board?

I am the maritime representative on the recently formed Transport Forum - I'm a yachtsman, charter boat operator and Commodore of Waiheke Boating club. I'm in a good position to understand any challenges you face with topics such as wharf infrastructure etc.

The agenda for our monthly meeting closes on 25th March so if there is anything topical, please contact me prior.

Best Regards,

Grant Crawford

ph: 021 704011

e-mail: waihekesailing@gmail.com

Nga mihi

Maria Heer

Sea Sports Academy Tutor

Viticulture Teacher

Waiheke High School

This e-mail is only intended to be read by the named recipient(s). Information contained in this e-mail is confidential unless otherwise stated. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies of this e-mail including any attachments and notify the sender immediately. Views expressed in this e-mail are not necessarily those of Waiheke High School. Any unauthorised copying, disclosure or distribution of the material in this e-mail is strictly forbidden.
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To review the current parking situation for the Ostend Markets and discuss alternative options to alleviate congestion.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Waiheke Transport Forum Member Sergeant Martin Felton advised that there is currently a lack of organised parking available for the Ostend Markets which often results in numerous close calls. It is suggested that a review of alternative parking areas be conducted to alleviate congestion and safety concerns.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) request relevant departments to advise what alternative parking areas may be available for the Ostend Markets to alleviate congestion and safety concerns.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Parking for Ostend Markets Summary</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Lisa Young - Local Board PA Liaison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TRANSPORT FORUM – PRESENTATION SUMMARY

**Name:** Sergeant Martin Felton  
**Organisation (if applicable):** NZ Police  
**Phone:** (09) 362 7603  
**Mobile:**  
**Email:** martin.felton@police.govt.nz

**Topic of Presentation**  
State the title or subject of the presentation being submitted to the Transport Forum  
Parking for Ostend Market

### Executive Summary

Provide a summary of your presentation highlighting key points such as any relevant health & safety issues, financial implications, possible benefits to the community and existing infrastructure, etc.

I would like to have a discussion about the lack of organized parking for the Ostend Market on Saturday mornings.  
I attend every Saturday and I have witnessed numerous close calls.  
I think there is sufficient area close by to alleviate a lot of the congestion. It would be good to establish what ground is available.

### Attachments

Select all documents that you have attached to your submission (NB: There is no requirement to provide all of the listed documents – just any that support your presentation)

- [ ] Executive Summary  
- [ ] Project Report  
- [ ] A3 Site Plan  
- [ ] Consultants Reports  
- [ ] Letters of Support  
- [ ] PowerPoint Presentation  
- [ ] Other _______________________________
Discussion on process for nominating and appointing a permanent Chairperson

File No.: CP2019/04497

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To discuss the process for nominating and appointing a permanent Chairperson for the Waiheke Transport Forum.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. At its inaugural meeting on 6 December 2018, the Waiheke Local Board Chair, Cath Handley was appointed the interim chairperson for the Waiheke Transport Forum to allow the new committee members to familiarise themselves with the committee’s meeting procedures in accordance with the Standing Orders.

3. The nomination and appointment of a Chairperson for the Waiheke Transport Forum is required to be made in accordance with the Waiheke Local Board Standing Orders December 2017, clause 1.11.1 provisions for election or appointment of chairperson and deputy chairperson of the local board and committees and representatives of the local board, as per the attached extract.

4. It is intended that nominations for the role of chairperson be received from committee members by the next scheduled meeting of the Forum being held on 30 May 2019 to allow an appointment to be made.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) note the process and nomination of a chairperson.

b) request nominations for the role of chairperson from committee members be put forward prior to the next scheduled meeting of 30 May 2019 for voting and appointment purposes.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Extract of Waiheke Local Board Standing Orders</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

Authors Lisa Young - Local Board PA Liaison

Authorisers Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke
Exceptions are where, in the opinion of the chairperson:
   a) the practical effect of delaying actions under the resolution would be the same as if the resolution had been revoked
   b) by reason of repetitive notices, the effect of the notice is an attempt by a minority to frustrate the will of the local board or the committee or sub-committee that made the previous resolution.

In either of these situations, action may be taken under the resolution as though no notice of motion had been given to the chief executive.

1.10.3 Revocation or alteration by resolution at same meeting

A meeting may revoke or alter a previous resolution made at the same meeting where, during the course of the meeting, it receives fresh facts or information concerning the resolution. In this situation, 75 per cent of the members present and voting must agree to the revocation or alteration.

1.10.4 Revocation or alteration by recommendation in report

The local board or one of its committees may, on a recommendation in a report by the chairperson or chief executive, or the report of any committee or sub-committee, revoke or alter all or part of a resolution passed by a previous meeting.

The chief executive must give at least two clear working days' notice of any meeting that will consider such a proposal, accompanied by details of the proposal.

1.11 Voting systems for appointments

1.11.1 Provisions for election or appointment of chairperson and deputy chairperson of the local board and committees and representatives of the local board

In the case of elections or appointments to positions, the local board (or a committee, if so directed by the local board) must determine by resolution that a person be elected or appointed by using one of the following systems of voting:

System A
   a) requires that a person is elected or appointed if they receive the votes of a majority of the members of the local board or committee present and voting
   b) has the following characteristics:
      i. there is a first round of voting for all candidates
      ii. if no candidate is successful in that round there is a second round of voting from which the candidate with the fewest votes in the first round is excluded
      iii. if no candidate is successful in the second round there is a third and if necessary subsequent round of voting from which, each time, the candidate with the fewest votes in the previous round is excluded
      iv. in any round of voting, if two or more candidates tie for the lowest number of votes, the person excluded from the next round is resolved by lot

System B
   a) requires that a person is elected or appointed if they receive more votes than any other candidate
   b) has the following characteristics:
      i. there is only one round of voting
      ii. if two or more candidates tie for the most votes, the tie is resolved by lot.

[fsl 25, sch 7, LGA 2002]
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## TRANSPORT FORUM – PRESENTATION SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Kathy Voyles and Tony King-Turner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organisation (if applicable):</td>
<td>Cycle Action Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile:</td>
<td>021 242 1549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kathyvoyles@gmail.com">kathyvoyles@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Topic of Presentation** State the title or subject of the presentation being submitted to the Transport Forum

Cycle Action Waiheke Vision and Priorities, Concept Plan for Surfside from Burrell Road to Hamilton Road.

**Executive Summary**

Provide a summary of your presentation highlighting key points such as any relevant health & safety issues, financial implications, possible benefits to the community and existing infrastructure, etc.

1. We will introduce CAW Vision and Priorities as a one paper document.
2. Introduce a concept plan of the main road from Burrell Road to Hamilton Road showing dedicated cycle lanes on both sides of the road and repositioned footpaths in certain areas where needed.
3. Please note a Powerpoint presentation will be provided early next week.

**Attachments** Select all documents attached to your submission

- [ ] Executive Summary
- [ ] A3 Site Plan
- [ ] Letters of Support
- [x] PowerPoint Presentation
- [ ] Other

- [ ] Project Report
- [ ] Consultants Reports
CYCLE ACTION WAIHEKE VISION AND PRIORITIES

Mission Statement

To make cycling on Waiheke a safe and fun experience, thereby increasing the number of people on the motu cycling both recreationally and as a primary transport option.

We firmly believe that transport infrastructure needs to take into account the hierarchy of vulnerability. Pedestrians need to come first, then cyclists, then cars, then larger vehicles. Traffic planning needs to happen with the safety and protection of the most vulnerable in mind first. Our tamariki are our first priority.

We work to make our roads a safer place for all road users.

How this will be achieved

- By working with Local Board, Auckland Transport and other advocacy/interest groups/local businesses to increase the cycling infrastructure on the Island.
- By registering as stakeholder for any developments/working groups that impact upon or might increase cycling options.
- By raising the visibility of cycling on the motu through public events and advocacy.
- By drawing upon existing models of best practice, both in Aotearoa and overseas.

Current list of our priorities for cycling infrastructure on Waiheke

- Making walking and cycling to schools on Waiheke safe for tamariki and their whanau.
- Making cycling on our arterial route safer with designated demarcations for cycling on both sides of the road.

Main Road Projects in order of our priority:

1. Surdale both sides Laman to shops
2. Surdale shops both sides to DB Road
3. Causeway from Donald Bruce road roundabout to Wharf/Beijing Street corner
4. Matatia to Onoroa Library
5. Belgium Street (Wharf road to Seaview Road)
6. Ostend Road (Seaview Road to Erua Road) pest Placemakers
7. Erua Road corner to O'Brien Road
8. Onoroa Village - from Library to Goodwin Ave
9. Onetangi Road - extend cycle path down to beach, on both sides of road.
10. Donald Bruce Road - complete cycle route from roundabout to wharf.
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CYCLE ACTION WAIHEKE VISION AND PRIORITIES
Waiheke Island

Your accessibility journey Report

Be. Institute
PO Box 5614, Wellesley Street, Auckland 1141, New Zealand
p: 0800 Be in touch (234 686) or 09 309 8966 e: info@beaccessible
To the Waiheke Local Board

Thank you for embarking on this accessibility journey, we are pleased to provide an assessment review of Waiheke Island for the production of an Accessible Map. This report will assist the Waiheke Local Board in promoting Waiheke Island as an accessible destination for all visitors regardless of their age, ability or nationality.

In this report you will find:

1. An Accessibility Report
   An overview of the current accessibility

2. An Accessibility Development Plan
   A list of recommendations for your consideration

3. Appendix
   Helpful Resources
   Environmental Resources
   Be. Accessible Disclaimer

This report is intended to be a reference guide as your accessibility journey continues. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you need further clarification or explanation of anything in this report.

Kind Regards,

Megan Barclay
Be. Welcome Programme Director
Introduction continued

Background

In September 2013, Auckland Council, on behalf of the Waiheke Local Board, commissioned Be Accessible to perform an assessment of Waiheke Island with the purpose of advising on accessibility for all visitors to the Island and to provide information for recommendations on creating a more accessible infrastructure across Waiheke.

Approach

Steve Taylor was the Be Coach who ‘reviewed and completed’ your Accessibility Assessment. This is a holistic assessment, taking into account the physical, sensory and cognitive aspects of the space.
Your Accessibility Report

This report is split into sections outlining suitability of access around the townships of Oneroa, Palm Beach, Onerangi, Oistung, and Surfside as well as assessments of the ferry terminals at Matiatia Bay, Kennedy Point and Auckland CBD terminal.

General

The maximum usable grade for access customers is generally 1 in 12 (8.3%), although if installing new grades, the preferred is 1 in 14 (7.1%). Due to nature of the topography, Waiheke Island requires vehicle transport to access the various points of interest the island has to offer.

Public transport is available on the island with a comprehensive bus service covering the main service centres and beaches. Full cover bus shelters are provided at most bus stops. All buses observed during the assessment have steps at the entrance so are not considered accessible. The bus shelters are not accessible due to either steps into the shelters, no provision for wheelchairs to park, and/or no armrests on the seats. There are currently no promoted mobility taxis available on the island.

There are limited mobility parking spaces on the island for the access customer. Excluding the carparking at the ferry terminals and at the Countdown/Placemakers complex, there are eight in total.

Access to reserves and beaches is difficult due to the topography of the island. The walkways are not suitable for the access customer with mobility or sight impairments. Be welcome assessments are required to promote more detailed access. (For example – Alison Park has steep grades into the reserve but is then flat in the gully).

www.beaccessible.org.nz

Be accessible
Be welcome
There is plenty of good public seating and picnic tables around the island — especially at the beaches. While access to the beach itself is difficult, most beaches have seating and picnic tables on the grassed areas nearby. The majority of public seating had back support.

The public toilets assessed are of a very high standard in terms of cleanliness. The Navaloos tend to be more suited as Accessible toilets due to the unisex nature of the toilets.

The playgrounds on the island are also of a very high standard, situated near carparks and picnic areas. They provide safe facilities for the younger tourist.

**Maitiata Ferry Terminal**

The Maitiata Ferry Terminal was assessed using the Be. Welcome Programme and has achieved the rating of Gold.

A full Be. Welcome report for the Terminal with recommendations will be supplied separate to this report.

The Ferry Terminal is a modern and well equipped facility that provides a good level of service for the access customer. As the rating of gold suggests, it caters well for the access customer. The staff interviewed on site were very friendly and appear willing to help customers with any requirements.

Signage is a key tool to improving accessibility. The ramp onto the ferry was greater than the recommended 1 in 12 grade while the exit ramp grade was 1 in 12. A sign informing the access customer of the grade onto the ferry with the alternative of using the exit ramp instead will solve the issue of the steep grade effectively and cost efficiently.

www.beaccessible.org.nz
Similarly, contrasting guidelines on the ground/floor for visually impaired users will assist them using the facility.

It is also recommended the main business of the terminal, Fullers, is assessed under the Be. Welcome programme to complete the package.

**Maitiatia Ferry Terminal to Onoera**

The distance from the Ferry Terminal to Onoera is 1.5km, or approx. 30 minutes' walk. There is one section of this road that is steeper than the recommended 1 in 12, located west of the intersection of Oceanview Road and Mako Street.

The footpath is of good width in the shared cycle/pedestrian path and is in good condition. In other areas the footpath is narrow (less than 1.5m).

**Onoera Township**

Onoera Township is the main centre for Waiheke Island. It is also the closest township to the main ferry terminal at Maitiatia Bay.

There are a variety of shops that cater for the tourist market. Some are accessible and some are not. It is advisable that these businesses undertake a Be. Welcome assessment to promote accessibility to their business. Access to April Arcade from Oceanview Road is very steep (1 in 5.8) and has uneven surface (old bricks). Access to the carpark is through Deux, and stairs are only available at the carpark entrance.

Mobility parking spaces are located on Oceanview Road outside the Post Office and Four Square Supermarket. Two mobility spaces are located outside ANZ bank on One Street. One mobility parking space is available at car park at rear of buildings with access from One Street.

There are four ATM machines available – ANZ, ASB, BNZ and Kiwibank.
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The footpaths are in good condition and are clear of loose furniture and temporary signage. Access to and from the mid-block pedestrian crossing on the south/western side is via steps or a footpath from the Cyster Inn to the east.

While access to Oneroa Beach is not accessible (grades steeper than 1 in 12), there is an ideal lookout between Body & Soles and Harcourts. Access to the beach is available by vehicle from Puriri Road.

The public toilets at Oneroa are not accessible for the access customer. There is a grade of 1 in 7 to the toilet block and is gender specific (male only and female only).

The Museum/Art Gallery/Library was not assessed due to re-construction of the buildings.

**Oneroa Beach**

Oneroa Beach is located on Beach Parade. For the access customer, this area is accessed by vehicle from Puriri Road.

There is a steep bank down to the beach from a grassed area which separates the road from the beach. There is plenty of public seating and picnic tables on the grassed area. The grassed area is at road level so are very well situated for the access customer to still enjoy the beach front.

The Toilets at the beach are gender specific, located inside the male and female changing rooms. These are of a good clean standard.
Little Oneroa Beach

Little Oneroa Beach is located approx. 1km east of Oneroa Township along Oceanview Road. Facilities available include a modern playground next to a sealed carpark.

There is a steep grade to the beach (approx. 1 in 7) and access to the Little Oneroa Beach Store is not achievable for the access customer due to a step into the shop from the footpath.

Blackpool Park and Te Huruhi Reserve

Blackpool Park is situated between Nikau Road and Rata Street. It is accessible by vehicle from Oneroa along Tui Street or from Little Oneroa Beach along Moa Avenue.

The toilets at Blackpool Park are maintained to a very high standard. The toilet block is situated behind the hall and can be accessed along a concrete path from the sealed car park.

Te Huruhi Reserve, which is accessed from Tahatapu Road, is similar to all the beaches on Waiheke Island. There is good public seating available on the grassed area which is level with the metal car park.
Palm Beach

Palm Beach is located approx. 6km east of Maitiatia Ferry Terminal and 5.5km north of Kennedy Point Ferry Terminal. The route to Palm Beach consists of a number of steep grades (greater than 1 in 12) and is only accessible by vehicle.

There are two small reserves at Palm Beach – one on Palm Road, opposite Tiri View Road; the other, Matapana Reserve, is located at the intersection of Palm Road and Hill Road.

A playground is situated in the reserve opposite Tiri View Road. The sand pit that the playground is surrounded by is cleverly shaped as a boat. Toilets are situated near the playground. These toilets are not considered to be accessible due to the gender specific nature and steep grades into the toilets (grade to the female toilets is 1 in 11, and the grade to the male toilets is 1 in 11.5).

Due to the small size of the reserve, on street parking is only available with good access into the reserve. There is a mixture of formal and informal parking (some marked, some not).

Matapana Reserve is located near the local store and Clubhouse Bar and Bistro with good level access to these facilities. A newly constructed BBQ and picnic area is available with a Novaloo toilet nearby. Access is available to the toilet by a concrete path from the sealed carpark, however the path was blocked at the time of inspection by a vehicle.

Museum of Waiheke

The Museum of Waiheke is situated 2.1kms from Onetangi on Onetangi Road. A visual glance suggests the Museum is not accessible, however a Be. Welcome assessment will confirm accessibility.
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Ostend

Ostend is the second major settlement on Waiheke Island. The township contains most of the service facilities for residents on the island. Countdown and Placemakers are situated between the small retail centre at Belgium Street and the industrial/retail area of Tahi Road.

The shops, Silk & Silver, Belgium St Cafe, Lotto, Out There and True Blueon Belgium Street, appear accessible. The carpark directly outside the shops is uneven and has a steep crossfall. The footpath that links the shops has a step between Lotto and Out There. Entrance to these shops for the access customer would need to be from each end of the shopping centre.

A large metal carpark is situated to the west of the above mentioned shops. The surface is quite uneven and parking is informal.

The pedestrian crossing is of a high standard. Tactile paving has been installed correctly, and a refuge island is located between traffic lanes.

The footpath on the southern side, towards the RSA currently uses a kerb cutdown that force the access customer into the carpark, before crossing the entrance. Kerb cutdowns are missing at the carpark entrance to the east of the shops.

Consistent with the rest of the island, the footpaths are quite narrow, particularly at the parking bay outside The Barn Super Liquor. There are inconsistent connections of the footpaths on Tahi Road.

Six mobility spaces are available at the Countdown/Placemakers complex while one mobility space is located in Ostend, situated behind the RSA on Ostend Road. A NZ ATM is also located at the RSA.
Tawaipareira Reserve

Tawaipareira Reserve is situated between The Countdown /Placemakers Complex and Tahi Road. The carpark is a metal surface and the toilets are currently being upgraded to the Novaloo type.

Ostend Reserve & Domain

The reserve and domain at Ostend are split by Causeway Road.

At the domain, a mobile coffee shop and mobile Burger bar are located in the metal carpark, while across the road is the reserve with a grassed area and playground, as well as a boat storage area.

The playground is a modern facility, similar to the other playgrounds on the island.

The toilets are situated nearby on Wharf Road with a bus stop adjacent. There is good level access to the toilets, however they are gender specific.

Onetangi

Onetangi is the most eastern beach assessed for this report. The area consists of an approx. 1.5km beach, restaurant and bars, and a public toilet west of the intersection of Fourth Avenue.

At first glance, the restaurants appear accessible.
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is available on the beach side of the intersection, while formal parking is available on Third Avenue. The formal parking includes two mobility parking spaces.

Toilets are situated across the road from a BBQ and Picnic Platform. A separate accessibility toilet is on the eastern side of the Ablution Block. At the time of the inspection, the door could not lock.

Surfdale

Surfdale is the closest settlement to Kennedy Point, approx. 2.5kms south. A small settlement, Surfdale has a bar that appears accessible, and a local store that has ramp access. A public toilet is available at the intersection of Hamilton Road and Miami Avenue.

The location of the toilet is quite exposed, being at the intersection, but this provides good security. The toilet is a Novato type, maintained to a high clean standard, and is level with the surrounding footpaths making this toilet accessible.

Surfdale to Kennedy Point

The road to/from Kennedy Point serves as access from the Vehicular Ferry at Kennedy Point. Donald Bruce Road is steep in various places along the route with grades greater than 1 in 12.

Waheke High School and Te Huruhu Primary School is located midway along Donald Bruce Road.

The footpath is narrow along this road, and is missing kerb cut downs at the entrances to the schools.
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Kennedy Point Ferry Terminal

The Kennedy Point Ferry Terminal was assessed using the Be. Welcome Programme and has achieved the rating of Gold.

A full Be. Welcome report for the Terminal with recommendations will be supplied separate to this report.

The Ferry Terminal is a basic but well equipped facility that provides a good level of service for the access customer. As the rating of gold suggests, it caters well for the access customer. The staff interviewed on site was very friendly and appear willing to help any customers with requirements.

Signage and guidelines is a key tool to improving accessibility. Contrasting guidelines on the ground/floor for visually impaired users will assist them using the facility.

It is also recommended the main business of the terminal, Sealink, is assessed under the Be. Welcome programme to complete the package.

Public toilets are also available near the carpark approx. 250m along Donald Bruce Road. These are not accessible as the path to the toilets has a grade of 1 in 7.3 and are gender specific.

Auckland Ferry Terminal

The Auckland Waiheke Ferry Terminal was assessed using the Be. Welcome Programme and has achieved the rating of Silver.
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A full Be. Welcome report for the Terminal with recommendations will be supplied separate to this report.

The positive aspects of the Ferry Terminal in the CBD location are the responsiveness of the Fullers staff to support all travelers, regardless of ability, age, awareness of surroundings.

The high level of service of the staff compensates for the limited accessibility that exists in a number of areas at the Waiheke Terminal.

Some work needs to be done in the provision of greater accessibility in the area of sensory access, as well as in providing better communication methods for all travelers, e.g. signage and visual/auditory notification of sailings and direction to travel.

www.beaccessible.org.nz
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Recommendations

This section contains some recommendations that can support improved access on Waiheke Island. A more detailed review of the island would provide a thorough recommendation list. Be. Welcome audits on businesses, reserves etc is recommended to complete a detailed review.

As the three ferry terminals were assessed under the Be. Welcome programme, recommendations are listed in the separate reports, however a summary of those recommendations are included at the end of this section.

General

- Replace/enhance current bus fleet with fully accessible buses;
- Upgrade all bus shelters to have a level access;
- Reduce the length of seating in bus shelters to provide for wheelchair/pushchair parking;
- Provide a Mobility Taxi service for access customers who do not want to take their vehicle over to the island;
- Widen footpaths to be at least 1.5m wide;
- Signage on the island should provide directional information on accessible facilities;
- Signage at each reserve, beach, public space should provide an access map of the area indicating the accessible facilities present, included in accessible formats at local i-site and terminal;
- Carpark and open space at Ostend should be redeveloped to allow for a more accessible surface and accessible access to all shops.
- Undertake Be. Welcome assessments of reserves and walkways for detailed recommendations.
- Build the installation of tactile indicators into all major changes at road and public walkways intersections.

Oneroa Library

A discussion was held with Kim Martinengo, Project Coordinator of Public Art for Auckland Council, and Kazu Nakugawa, resident artist on Waiheke Island. The proposed artwork that will be used as windshields at the
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Waiheke Library site was discussed as well as access to the building and toilets inside the Library.

The proposed artwork that will be used as windshields will maintain access under the canopy for the access customer with the proposed design. Recommendations to the design in the installation of the artwork include:

- 1200mm distance should be maintained between the screens and any other canopy elements to create an accessible path through the artwork;
- A pathway featuring a complete contrast of colour and appropriate tactile markers should be included in the landscape design to indicate the accessible path through the canopy space and artworks; and
- A clear path of at least 1200mm should be maintained where the al-fresco dining is to occur. A preferred 1700mm is preferred.

Key recommendations for access to the building and toilets include:

- Extending the kerb cut down curb along the side of the 2 mobility access car parks on Ocean View Road to span the full length of these;
- Ensure the grade for the wheelchair access ramps is no more than 1 in 14; and
- Install unisex mobility toilets in the library.

Maitiatia Ferry Terminal to Oneroa

- Widen footpath on the northern side of Oceanview Road to a minimum 1.8m; and
- Create a ‘scissor’ ramp of no more than 1 in 14 to eliminate the steep grade on Oceanview Road, west of Mako Street.

Oneroa

- Encourage businesses in Oneroa to undertake a Be. Welcome assessment;
- Improve kerb cut downs at the intersection of Oceanview Road and Tui Street to have grades of no more than 1 in 14 (currently 1 in 10 east, 1 in 10.5 west).
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- Remove lip in kerbs at the crossing of Oceanview Road at the bus stop and at the intersection of Oceanview Road and Weka Street (outside NZ Post);
- Improve kerb cut down at the intersection of Oceanview Road and Weka Street to have a grade of no more than 1 in 14 (currently 1 in 7 west);
- Improve ramp access to April Arcade to have a grade of no more than 1 in 14 (currently 1 in 6);
- Replace ‘old bricks’ at April Arcade with a sealed surface;
- Install a ramp and provide level access from carpark to Deux;
- Remove lip in kerb at the intersection of Oceanview Road and Oue Street (outside real estate agent);
- Remove crossing point on south side between pedestrian crossing and Oue Street;
- Remove the bus shelter at the intersection of Oceanview Road and Oue Street to improve sight visibility;
- Improve kerb cut downs at the intersection of Oceanview Road and Waiheke Road to have grades of no more than 1 in 14 (currently crossing Oceanview outside real estate 1 in 8.5, crossing Waiheke 1 in 8.2);
- Remove planting to improve sight visibility at the intersection of Oceanview Road and Waiheke Road outside Real Estate;
- Promote lookout between Body & Soles and Harcourts;
- Provide more mobility spaces to meet NZS4121 standards;
- Provide full length kerb cut downs at mobility spaces on Oceanview Road; and
- Install unisex toilets at a location where ramp access is no greater than 1 in 14.

Oneroa Beach

- Install unisex accessible toilets.

Blackpool Park

- Install a hand towel dispenser;
- Install a baby change table;
- Widen approach to be at least 1200mm;
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- Install an ISA approved sign;
- Install kickplates on each side of the door;
- Install a grab rail on inside of door; and
- Raise toilet pan seat to be 460mm from the floor.

Palm Beach

At the unisex toilet at Matapana Reserve:
- Formalise parking spaces in car park to discourage parking at the path entrance to carpark;
- Install baby change table;
- Install grab bar on inside of toilet door;
- Raise toilet pan seat to be 460mm from the floor.

Museum of Waiheke

- Undertake a Be. Welcome assessment of facilities.

Ostend

- Install signage guiding the access customer to the block of shops containing Silk & Silver, Belgium Street Café, Lotto, Out There, and True Blue.
- Install kerb cut on footpaths at entrances to car parks;
- Re-locate street signs that narrow the footpath;
- Widen footpath to be at least 1.5m wide;
- Improve kerb cut downs at crossing point opposite the Rhum Bar to have a grade of no more than 1 in 14 (currently the grade is 1 in 7);
- Complete footpath on Tahi Road to improve linkage; and
- Install mobility spaces to meet NZS 4121 standards.

Tawaipareira Reserve

- Ensure the newly constructed unisex toilet is level with car park surface.
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Ostend Reserve & Domain
- Install a crossing point to provide link from Domain to Reserve;
  and
- Install unisex toilets at reserve.

Onetangi
- Improve ramp grade to have a grade of no more than 1 in 14 (currently the ramp grade is 1 in 6);
- Install Feminine Sanitary Disposal Unit in accessible toilet;
- Install baby change table;
- Install an ISA Sign on toilet door with directional signage at road front;
- Install a grab bar on inside of toilet door; and
- Adjust lock on door so the door will lock.

Surfdale
- Install mobility spaces to meet NZS4121 standards;
- Install a Feminine Sanitary Disposal Unit in accessible toilet;
- Install baby changing table; and
- Install kickplates on each side of the door.

Kennedy Point Terminal
- Install directional signage to Waiheke attractions with distances
- Install signage notifying alternate public toilet
- Upgrade public toilet to be accessible
- Provide Waiheke Island Access Map to ticketing office for distribution
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Matiatia Terminal

- Install scissor ramp to terminal from ferry landing
- Install audio/visual notifications of sailings
- Install directional signage for all Terminal facilities
- Install directional signage with distances to Waiheke’s attractions
- Provide Waiheke Access Map at i-site and on wall of terminal with online option that can be downloaded to smartphones.

Auckland Ferry Terminal

- Install better signage for mobility parking at Terminal building
- Install colour contrasted/tactile directional signage on walkways to indicate ticketing office and pier access.
- Improve mixed use of drop off zone, mobility parking and bus stop area outside Ferry Terminal
- Council to install directional signage to Ferry Terminal from main access points – Britomart, Downtown carpark, Customs Street intersection.
- Install directional for all facilities on ferry wharfs/piers
- Install auditory/visual indicators of sailings
- Install auditory ticket vending machines at terminal
- Provide Waiheke Access Map at Auckland Ferry Terminal ticket office and on boats.
Appendix

This appendix contains the following:

A. Helpful Resources
B. Environmental Resources
C. Be. Disclaimer

A. Helpful Resources

At Your Service
Free online course in welcoming customers with disabilities
www.wiawebcourse.org

NZ Disability Strategy Training Resource
Learn about disability in New Zealand
www.adpn.org.nz/resources/kia-rangatanga

Independent Living Services
Generic information and advice about support options available through organisations and equipment solutions.
http://www.ilanz.org/

CCS Disability Action
Disability training throughout New Zealand
www.ccsdisabilityaction.org.nz

ASNZ Standard 1428.4 and RTSS14
Tactile ground surface indicators
http://www.standards.co.nz/

Royal New Zealand Foundation of the Blind
To ensure the above is installed correctly
www.rnzfb.org.nz

Office for Disability Issues
Discussion about universal design

www.beaccessible.org.nz
Web Accessibility Initiative
Accessible website design
www.w3.org/TR/WCAG20

Royal National Institute of Blind People – Web Accessibility
www.mib.org.uk/professional/webaccessibility

Low Visionary NZ
www.lowvisionary.com/?cat=3

Sight Loss Services
www.sightloss-services.com

Deaf Aotearoa
Information relating to deafness and hearing impairment, including deaf awareness and New Zealand Sign Language courses
www.deaf.co.nz

New Zealand Sign Language Week
www.nzsign.org.nz

National Foundation for the Deaf (NFD)
Resources, information and advice relating to hearing impairment including hearing aid equipment and repairs
www.nfd.org.nz

NZ Relay Telephone Service
www.nzrelay.co.nz

WEKA What everyone keeps asking about disability issues
Transport for people with disabilities
www.weka.net.nz/topics/transport

People First New Zealand Inc.
Information for people with a learning disability
www.peoplefirst.org.nz

Barrier Free New Zealand
Advice and support to help ensure built environments are accessible for everyone
www.beaccessible.org.nz
www.bARRIERFREe.org.nz

Standards New Zealand
Design guidance for access & mobility in buildings & associated facilities
www.standARDS.co.nz

B. Environmental Resources

Car parks
Accessible car parks
http://www.dbh.govt.nz/accessible-carparks

Car park marking services
http://kwiroadmarkers.co.nz Auckland
http://rossroadmarkers.y8.co.nz/services/ Wellington

Entrances
Video and Audio Intercoms
http://www.pivotalSolutions.co.nz

Ground tactile indicator markers
www.mobilityresearch.co.nz/standards
http://www.ghanz.co.nz/ctac.php
http://www.mobilityresearch.co.nz/products
http://www.ralenti.co.nz/products/barr001.html

Ramps
Permanent or temporary ramp installation
http://rampworx.co.nz

Signage
International Symbol of Access
http://www.demenefe.co.nz/content/product_categories/dp10- mandatoryworkplace.html

Accessible counters

www.beaccessible.org.nz
Controls
Lever action door handles
Hardware stores nationwide
http://csfordoors.co.nz/contact

Braille Products

Visual and Audio Devices
http://www.reidtechnology.co.nz
http://www.hearing.org.nz
http://wormald.co.nz
http://www.soundstore.co.nz

Grab rails
www.shop.disabilityresource.org.nz
Hardware stores nationwide

Visible Markings Height
Full length glazing has visibility marking (20 mm opaque band)
700-1000mm above level of floor.
C. Be. Accessible Disclaimer

Be. Accessible endeavours to ensure that the information provided is accurate, current and given in good faith. The information is provided on the basis that:

- Neither Be. Accessible nor its employees or contractors accept any liability for any error in or omission from the information provided whether negligent or otherwise.

- Neither Be. Accessible nor its employees or contractors accept responsibility or liability for how recipients utilise information received in this report and other Be. Accessible communications.

- Information received from Be. Accessible should not be relied upon for personal, building, legal or financial decisions. Advice specific for your situation should be sought from an appropriate professional.

- Be. Welcome Assessments are done visually and therefore not a replacement for any necessary legal/compliance reports necessary to comply with the New Zealand Building Code and other associated standards.

- Be. Accessible reserves the right to make changes to the information made available at any time and without notice.
TRANSPORT FORUM – PRESENTATION SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Steve Edwards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organisation (if applicable):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>Mobile: 0212567838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:steve@woodsidebay.nz">steve@woodsidebay.nz</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Topic of Presentation**
State the title or subject of the presentation being submitted to the Transport Forum.
Sealing of Gordons Road, Woodside Bay, Waiheke Island

**Executive Summary**
Provide a summary of your presentation highlighting key points such as any relevant health & safety issues, financial implications, possible benefits to the community and existing infrastructure, etc.

I would like to discuss the priority of the sealing of Gordons Road for the following reasons:

1. **Safety** – the road is consistently of bad quality, badly jutted etc. My Wife & I have very nearly had an accident on numerous occasions. As rate-payers we feel we should be able to drive to our own property in Safety.

2. **Cost** – The ongoing maintenance must be substantial. It is done numerous times throughout the year only for the road to be back in its original bad condition very quickly (within a week sometimes). Surely it would be more economical to seal the road at a higher initial cost which will reduce the need for such on-going maintenance???

3. **Use-ability** to run business – The state of the road is making it difficult for some of my guests in my accommodation business to drive up the road. I have paid substantial development contributions to the Council to allow for this extra usage but am not seeing the value in this. As rate-payers we feel we should be able to run a business in a way that is safe for our guests.

4. **Conformity with other roads on Waiheke** – There are other already sealed roads being re-sealed again and improved (at great expense) whilst we still have completely unsealed roads on Waiheke Island. E.g Musson Drive, Moa Ave. This seems unjust.

**Attachments**
Select all documents that you have attached to your submission (NB: There is no requirement to provide all of the listed documents – just any that support your presentation).

- [ ] Executive Summary
- [ ] Project Report
- [ ] A3 Site Plan
- [ ] Consultants Reports
- [ ] Letters of Support
- [ ] Powerpoint Presentation
- [ ] Other

---
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**Name:**  
Tess Parlane

**Organisation (if applicable):**  
Te Huruhi School

**Phone:**  
09 372 0200  
**Mobile:**  
021 145 3260

**Email:**  
tess.p@tehuruhi.school.nz

**Topic of Presentation**  
State the title or subject of the presentation being submitted to the Transport Forum.

**Issues for school children crossing Alison Road.**

**Executive Summary**  
Provide a summary of your presentation highlighting key points such as any relevant health & safety issues, financial implications, possible benefits to the community and existing infrastructure, etc.

1. Te Huruhi – a TravelWise School since 2012
2. Despite AT support, difficulties remain with THS sustainable transport practices
   a. Walking School Buses - funding provided but not for the right things like improving road safety.
   b. Ethical issue – encourage students to cycle, skate or scooter to school, and reduce cars on the road – while having huge concerns about their safety
3. Fundamental issues and dangers remain unaddressed by AT. These include:
   a. Dangerous kiaa crossing on the roundabout
   b. High traffic density outside school in the mornings and afternoons – includes parking issues.
   c. Increased numbers of large trucks off the boats using Donald Bruce Road and the roundabout.
   d. High traffic speed on the roundabout
   e. Too few dedicated cycle ways and safe crossings.
4. School community supportive of the TravelWise concept but only with the dangers addressed.

**Attachments**  
Select all documents attached to your submission

- [ ] Executive Summary  
- [ ] A3 Site Plan  
- [ ] Letters of Support  
- [ ] Other

- [ ] Project Report  
- [ ] Consultants Reports  
- [ ] PowerPoint Presentation
Waiheke Transport Forum

OPEN MINUTES

Minutes of a meeting of the Waiheke Transport Forum held in the Waiheke Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend, Waiheke on Thursday, 4 April 2019 at 5:00PM.

PRESENT

Chairperson
Cath Handley

Members
Michael Cox (Arrived 5.27pm)
Grant Crawford
Jonathan Anyon
Martin Felton
Chris Howard (Arrived 5.10pm)
Andrew Ison
Tony King Turner
Don McKenzie

ABSENT

Shirin Brown
Linda Simpson

ALSO PRESENT

IN ATTENDANCE
Presiding Member Cath Handley opened the meeting and welcomed everyone present. Member Cath Handley led the meeting with a karakia.

1 Apologies

Resolution number WAI/2019/5
MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member M Felton:

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
   a) accept the apologies from Shirin Brown and Linda Simpson.

CARRIED

2 Declaration of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

3 Confirmation of Minutes

Resolution number WAI/2019/6
MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member M Felton:

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
   a) confirm the ordinary minutes of its meeting, held on Thursday 6 December 2018, including the confidential section, as a true and correct record.

CARRIED

4 Petitions

There were no petitions.

5 Public Input

5.1 Cycle Action Waiheke Vision and Priorities, Cycling and soft transport for Waiheke: the future is here

Resolution number WAI/2019/7
MOVED by Member A Ison, seconded by Member G Crawford:

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
   a) thank Cycle Action Waiheke for their attendance and presentation.

CARRIED

5.2 Reactivation of the Be Accessible Waiheke Report 2013

Resolution number WAI/2019/8
MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member C Howard:

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
   a) thank Neville Pullman of Be Accessible for his attendance.
b) request the Waiheke Local Board review and update the Be Accessible Waiheke 2013 report.

CARRIED

5.3 Sealing of Gordon's Road, Woodside Bay, Waiheke Island
This item was withdrawn.

5.4 Issues for school children crossing Alison Road
Resolution number WAI/2019/9
MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member M Cox:
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
a) thank Tess Parlane of Te Huruhi School for her attendance.

CARRIED

5.5 Road conditions on the Island
Resolution number WAI/2019/10
MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member M Felton:
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
a) thank Ian Upton for his attendance.

CARRIED

6 Extraordinary Business
There was no extraordinary business.

7 Forum Member Reports

8 Forum Member Report - Maritime Infrastructure, Waiheke Island
Resolution number WAI/2019/11
MOVED by Member M Cox, seconded by Member T King Turner:
That the Waiheke Transport Forum:
a) request the relevant project teams to provide information on all current and future maritime infrastructure projects planned for Waiheke Island.
b) request that the Matiatia project and Kennedy Point rebuild project both formally consult maritime users to ensure their needs are considered.

CARRIED

9 Forum Member Report - Parking for Ostend Markets
Waiheke Transport Forum
04 April 2019

Resolution number WAi/2019/12

MOVED by Member C Howard, seconded by Member A Ison:

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) request local board staff to advise what alternative parking areas may be available for the Ostend Markets to alleviate congestion and safety concerns.

b) request committee member Jonathan Anyon to investigate and report on safety options for the Saturday market.

CARRIED

10 Discussion on process for nominating and appointing a permanent Chairperson

Resolution number WAi/2019/13

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Member Ison:

That the Waiheke Transport Forum:

a) note the process and nomination of a chairperson.

b) request nominations for the role of chairperson from committee members be put forward prior to the next scheduled meeting of 30 May 2019 for voting and appointment purposes.

CARRIED

11 Consideration of Extraordinary Items

There was no consideration of extraordinary items.

Member Cath Handley closed the meeting with a karakia.

7.12pm The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD AT A MEETING OF THE WAIHEKE TRANSPORT FORUM HELD ON

DATE:.................................................................

CHAIRPERSON:..............................................
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To provide an update to the Waiheke Local Board on transport related matters in their area including the Local Board Transport Capital Fund (LBTCF).

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The report focuses a number of current issues:
   • An update on the wharf maintenance at Matiatia
   • Downtown ferry basin redevelopment update
   • Answering questions around renewals work at Kennedy Point.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Local Board:
a) receive the Auckland Transport Waiheke Local Board update April 2019.

Horopaki
Context
3. This report addresses transport related matters on Waiheke and includes information on the status of the local board transport capital fund.

4. Auckland Transport is responsible for all of Auckland’s transport services, excluding state highways. They report on a monthly basis to local boards, as set out in their Local Board Engagement Plan. This monthly reporting commitment acknowledges the important engagement role local boards play within and on behalf of their local communities.

5. The LBTCF is a capital budget provided to all local boards by Auckland Council and delivered by Auckland Transport. Local boards can use this fund to deliver transport infrastructure projects that they believe are important but are not part of Auckland Transport’s work programme. Projects must also:
   • be safe
   • not impede network efficiency
   • be in the road corridor (although projects running through parks can be considered if there is a transport outcome).
6. Local Board Transport Capital Fund current status:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Funds Available in current political term</td>
<td>$1,214,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount committed to date on projects approved for design and/or construction</td>
<td>$153,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Budget left</td>
<td>$1,061,520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

Speed bylaw
7. The consultation period for the speed bylaw is now complete.
8. When submissions closed on 31 March, Auckland Transport had received 11,007 submissions.
9. At this stage, Auckland Transport is still collating and considering the information gathered during the consultation period.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

Waiheke New Network
10. Waiheke Island is getting a new bus network from Sunday 13 October.
11. Highlights of the Waiheke New Network include:
   • a bus every 15 minutes along the arterial road between Matiatia and Onetangi
   • services timed to meet the Matiatia ferry and to leave the terminal earlier
   • new buses arriving in 2020
   • new service for Kennedy Point in 2020.

Planned Renewal Works at Matiatia
12. The rebuild of the old wharf at Matiatia is progressing well, with a good portion of new deck installed. The redundant tidal stairs on the northern side of the old wharf have been removed due to significant structural deterioration and will not be replaced. While the current works are compromising the use of the pontoon on the southern side, this will be back in action as soon as the renewal works are complete.

Planned Renewal Works at Kennedy Point
13. The new ramp is in place and enabling works for the seawall renewal are underway. The works have raised a couple of questions from the community.
14. Regarding access for mobility impaired ferry users. This has been maintained with two spaces situated between the site works cabins and the new ramp.
15. Non-mobility impaired ferry users are requested to use the car park drop-off area and walk down to the ferry, for the duration of the renewal works.
16. A question was also asked about the siting of the mooring dolphin for the new ramp and its relationship to the recreational pontoon. The mooring dolphin is there to protect the recreational pontoon by ensuring that the vessels using the new ramp cannot swing into the area of the recreational pontoon. The dolphin is situated 12 metres from the recreational pontoon and this provides adequate room for recreational vessels to maneuverer safely.
Downtown Ferry Terminal Redevelopment

17. Hearings for the redevelopment were held for three days in the last week of March. The major issues raised were around: cruise ship servicing, weather cover, public transport connections and concerns about the overall re-development of the waterfront in and around ferry operations.

10-year transport Strategy

18. Auckland Transport has been working with the board and the transport forum on establishing a prioritised plan of projects for Waiheke over the next 10 years.

19. A recent workshop confirmed content priorities within the plan and Auckland Transport is working to finalise the details, ahead presenting the draft plan to the local board, ahead of public consultation.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

20. Interactions with mana whenua is done on a project specific basis. There is no direct impact as a result of this report.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

21. There are no financial implications by receiving this report.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

22. The proposed decision of receiving the report has no risks. Auckland Transport has risk management strategies in place for the transport projects undertaken in the local board area.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

23. Auckland Transport will provide another update report to the local board in May 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Authoriser</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Anyon - Manager Elected Member Relationship Unit, Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Jonathan Anyon - Manager Elected Member Relationship Unit, Auckland Transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Land owner approval for the installation of a digital Safeswim sign at Little Oneroa Reserve

File No.: CP2019/05025

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To obtain land owner approval for the installation of a Safeswim digital sign at Little Oneroa Reserve.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. Safeswim is Auckland Council’s programme for providing the public with up to date advice on swimming conditions and risks to health and safety. As part of a 2017/2018 pilot of on-beach communication methods, digital signs were identified as effective in getting public attention and acted as a focal point for public and media discussion on water quality issues at Auckland’s beaches. The digital signs also ensured that people had easy access to up-to-date information on public health and safety issues.

3. A digital sign is proposed to be installed at Little Oneroa Reserve as part of the Safeswim programme and will provide the public with information on water quality and swimming conditions for this location. Little Oneroa Beach is known to experience poor water quality that periodically exceeds New Zealand contact recreation guidelines after rainfall.

4. The proposal to install a digital sign at the location outlined in Attachment A has been assessed by Auckland Council’s Land Advisory team and parks staff, who have endorsed the location and design of the sign.

5. The installation of digital Safeswim sign at Little Oneroa will increase public awareness of water quality issues in the Little Oneroa catchment and gives effect to the Waiheke Local Board Plan objective to ‘improve the conservation of our coastal environment.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendation

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) approve the land owner application from Healthy Waters for the installation of a digital Safeswim sign at Little Oneroa Beach in the location shown in Attachment A of the agenda report.

Horopaki

Context

Auckland Council’s Safeswim programme

6. Safeswim is Auckland Council’s programme for providing the public with up to date advice on swimming conditions and risks to health and safety. This can include notifications around dangerous wave conditions or poor water quality.

7. Safeswim’s water quality forecasts take account of rainfall, wind, tide, sunlight and beach type. Safeswim’s water quality forecasts are overridden if faults on the network causing wastewater overflows are detected by sensors, council staff or the public.
8. Safeswim is overseen by an independent panel of public health and water quality experts and is required to meet international benchmarks for accuracy and reliability.

**Signage options and Little Oneroa digital signage proposal**

9. In the 2017/2018 summer season Auckland Council trialled three types of signs at Safeswim beaches:
   - standard council signs at the main approaches to beaches advising people of the programme and directing them to the website
   - mobile 'on-beach' signs with a movable arrow for signalling current water quality status at the beaches patrolled by surf lifesavers
   - digital signs at three locations (Mission Bay, Takapuna Beach and St Mary’s Bay) providing real-time updates of critical information as well as messages on tsunami preparedness.

10. The signage aimed to increase awareness of the Safeswim programme and encourage people to use the website. Prior to the upgrade, the Safeswim page on the council website attracted between 10,000 and 14,000 sessions a year. In its first year of operation the upgraded Safeswim website has attracted more than 310,000 sessions and has had over 150,000 unique users.

11. An independent review of the programme conducted by the council’s social science research unit, indicated that the standard signs had little impact, the mobile signs were more effective but prone to user-error and tampering, and the digital signs had the most impact and potential but suffered from technical and design faults that reduced their effectiveness.

12. In response to these findings:
   - the Safeswim team and the Auckland Regional Public Health Service have developed signage for installation at stormwater outfalls (i.e. stormwater pipe outlets) of particular concern. The signs have been installed at Takapuna Beach and will be rolled out to other beaches around the region, where there is evidence of high public health risk
   - the Safeswim team and Surf Life Saving Northern Region (SLSNR) have revised the design for the mobile 'on-beach' signs. These signs will be provided to SLSNR for use at beaches patrolled by surf lifesavers.
   - Healthy Waters, Auckland Emergency Management, Parks, Community Facilities and ICT have gone through a procurement process and confirmed a supplier to install an improved digital sign system at a small number of Safeswim beaches around the region, along with a combined sign and tsunami warning system (siren) at one location.

13. A single digital sign is proposed to be installed at Little Oneroa Reserve as part of the Safeswim programme. The sign will provide the public with information on water quality, which is an issue at Little Oneroa Beach. It will also provide information on swimming conditions, including hazards and any current risks to swimmers such as dangerous wind or wave conditions, stinging jellyfish or sharks. The sign proposed for Little Oneroa will be 200 centimetres high, 85.5 centimetres wide and 15 centimetres deep.

**Land status and local board decision-making**

14. Little Oneroa Beach Reserve is legally described as Lot 343 DP 22849 and comprises of 2.5116 hectares, all contained in CIR 632272. This parcel of land is held by the Crown through the Department of Conservation, and is subject to the Reserves Act 1977 as a classified recreation reserve vested in trust in Auckland Council.

15. Auckland Council delegations require that the local boards are consulted on land owner approval applications prior to tier 5 staff exercising delegation to make a decision. At the request of the local board, this report seeks the board’s formal land owner approval for a digital Safeswim sign at Little Oneroa.
The rationale for installing the digital Safeswim sign at the location proposed in Attachment A is as follows:

- the power supply is close by (from the toilet block);
- the sign will face away from the beach towards the carpark and playground area;
- the proposed location is directly next to the main carpark area and most foot traffic and vehicles will pass the sign at arrival;
- the proposed location will not block the view of the beach;
- the proposed location is on the edge of the main picnic area, rather than the middle where it may disrupt the use of this space;
- the proposed location is away from the area of the reserve frequented by drinkers to minimise the chance of it being vandalised;
- there are no technical issues that would make installation at the site technically challenging or prohibitively costly; and
- the proposed location is close to the lagoon and creek, so the sign can refer to the recommendation not to swim in these areas.

The local board has two options to consider, as detailed in Table 1 below. It is recommended that the Waiheke Local Board proceed with option A, to provide landowner approval for the installation of the digital Safeswim sign at this site.

Table 1. Options regarding Little Oneroa Safeswim signage installation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option A – provide landowner approval to install the digital signage at the site outlined in Attachment A</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will provide the public with dynamic, up-to-date information on the changing state of water quality and health and safety issues relating to the recreational use of Little Oneroa Beach.</td>
<td>• Digital signage can be used to convey other public information (e.g. bylaws controlling liquor consumption, fires, dog-walking). This could reduce sign clutter, which has been identified as an issue at Little Oneroa.</td>
<td>Short period of earthworks at the Little Oneroa Reserve – minor impact on usage for approximately one week in winter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Risk of vandalism.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option B – do not provide landowner approval</td>
<td>• Avoid installation of additional hardware at the beach and any disruption to access during a short period of earthworks.</td>
<td>• Little Oneroa Beach users will be less likely to be aware of any risks associated to the water.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
18. Static signs were trialled in summer 2017/2018 as part of the expansion of the regional Safeswim programme. The signs were found to be resource intensive, as they have to be manually adjusted each time the water conditions change. These signs also carry a risk of public tampering and there is a high potential for error. For these reasons, static signs were not considered as part of the analysis of options for the Little Oneroa site.

19. There is no plan to install commercial advertising on either digital or static Safeswim signage. As well as this, Auckland Council’s Signage Bylaw 2015 states that there is to be no commercial advertising in open spaces without land owner approval being granted first.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

20. Auckland Council’s Parks and Places Specialist team have provided feedback on the proposed location and endorse the suggested placement. Land Use Advisory also support the proposed location and the proposal in general.

21. Healthy Waters has established a team of contractors to install, test and commission the Safeswim digital signs. This team will continue to operate and maintain the signs for a six month period after commissioning. Once the signs have been operating to council specifications for six months, the responsibility for maintenance will be transferred to Community Facilities.

22. Other members of the council family including council controlled organisations may be able to utilise the signage in the future for their messaging, if deemed appropriate by staff and the board. Examples may include assisting Auckland Emergency Management with advice on tsunami readiness, and Community Facilities with advice on dog walking, liquor bans, and fire bans. This will help reduce sign clutter at the reserve.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

23. The Waiheke Local Board received a memo outlining the proposal to install a digital Safeswim sign at Little Oneroa on 5 September 2018 and was discussed with the board at a subsequent workshop.

24. The installation of Safeswim digital sign aligns with the Waiheke Local Board Plan objective to ‘improve the conservation of our coastal environment’. The sign will make water quality information for Little Oneroa Beach more accessible, thereby raising public awareness and engagement in water quality issues. The water quality issues at this location in particular are directly related to the Little Oneroa Stream catchment, which is a focus of the board’s current local environment work programme, and compliance activities for onsite wastewater systems being undertaken by the Targeted Initiatives team, within Auckland Council’s Licensing and Compliance department.

25. The sign will also contribute towards the local board’s monitoring and educational aspirations in relation to the marine environment.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

26. Auckland Council acknowledges that water is taonga and of great significance to Māori as kaitiaki. The Safeswim programme and proposed digital signage are expected to contribute to the awareness of water quality issues and engagement in programmes to improve the water quality on Waiheke, specifically in the Oneroa catchment. The Safeswim team has provided regular briefings to the Infrastructure and Environmental Services mana whenua hui and Mana Whenua Kaitiaki Forum on the programme’s aims and workstreams, including digital signage. On the basis of these discussions, staff are confident that the goals and aspirations of the Safeswim programme with respect to water health and safety align well with those of mana whenua.
Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
27. The sign will cost approximately $25,000 to install. This includes installation costs, engineering, and electrical and network connection. Costs will be accommodated within existing regional Healthy Waters budgets. No local board funding is required.
28. In the future, the cost of digital signs is expected to reduce as the technology becomes more established and as larger orders reduce per-unit costs.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
29. It is recommended that the local board approve this land owner application, as the installation of a digital Safeswim sign at Little Oneroa will increase the public’s awareness of water quality issues and potential health and safety issues relating to the recreational use of Little Oneroa Beach.
30. If the application for land owner approval is declined, then Little Oneroa Beach users will be less likely to be aware of any risks associated to the water and the signage at this location will be left as is.
31. If the proposal is declined, staff will find an alternate location for a digital sign elsewhere in Auckland.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
32. If the land owner approval is granted, Auckland Council’s Land Advisory Services team will provide a letter of approval outlining the conditions of the installation of the sign. Subject to land owner approval being granted, installation is expected to take place in winter 2019, ahead of the 2019/2020 swimming season.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Proposed digital Safeswim sign location at Little Oneroa Reserve</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Devin Grant-Miles – Land Use Advisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Craig Mcilroy – General Manager Healthy Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rod Sheridan – General Manager Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment A – Proposed Safeswim digital sign location at Little Oneroa Reserve

Figure 1: Aerial photo of proposed location (shown by the yellow and red cross)

Figure 2: Photomontage of proposed site at Little Oneroa Reserve

Note, the actual sign will differ in appearance slightly from the one shown in this photo.
Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. To approve additional funding to expand the Waiheke Community Pool Feasibility Study to include investigation of two additional sites and to cover future cost contingencies.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

a) In September 2018, the Waiheke Local Board allocated $50,000 from its Locally Driven Initiatives Opex budget for a feasibility study to investigate the development of a community pool on land at Te Huruhi Primary and/or Waiheke High School land. Visitor Solutions was contracted to undertake this work.

2. The feasibility study is now well advanced and discussions have been held with the Waiheke Pool Society and both schools on its findings. The study has identified constraints with the preferred school site which will impact costs and logistics. The Pool Society continues to support a pool being located at Onetangi Sports Park. In addition, the council owned land at 6 Belgium Street and 7 Waitai Road is already formally registered as a pool site option.

3. Given these factors, it is considered prudent that the feasibility study be extended to include these two sites. Visitor Solutions has advised that this work will cost an additional $18,000 and given the current budget allocation and Visitor Solutions existing contract, an additional $12,000 needs to be budgeted for this purpose. It is suggested that the board increase the total additional allocation to $20,000 to accommodate future contingencies.

4. At the same time, it is suggested that the board delegate authority for further expenditure up to this total to the chair in discussion with other board members. This avoids the need for further formal reporting on a relatively minor matter.

5. Further workshops will be held with the board as this work progresses and a comprehensive report on the feasibility study will be presented to a future Waiheke Local Board business meeting. This additional work will extend the delivery date of the feasibility study and staff are currently working with Visitor Solutions to confirm the timeline.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendations

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) allocate $20,000 from its Locally Driven Initiatives Swimming Pool Opex budget to fund further work on the community pool feasibility study, including $12,000 to Visitor Solutions to investigate the feasibility of locating a community pool at Onetangi Sports Park and council owned land at Belgium St/Waitai Road in addition to the current investigation at Te Huruhi and Waiheke High schools.

b) delegate to the chair, in discussion with other board members, authority to allocate any remaining funds as appropriate for any other aspects of the feasibility study that might be needed prior to project completion.
Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>John Nash - Programme Manager, Waiheke &amp; Gulf Islands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Non-financial conflict of interest and pre-determination advice regarding the Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park Development Committee

File No.: CP2019/05297

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report
1. To advise board members of the conflicts of interest provisions in the Auckland Council Code of Conduct for Elected Members (Code of Conduct) and Waiheke Local Board Standing Orders (Standing Orders) for members who are part of the decision making of the Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park Development Committee (development committee).

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary
2. The Reserves Act 1977 requires all reserves to have a reserve management plan.

3. On 27 September 2018 the Waiheke Local Board resolved as follows:

Retiming the Waiheke Local Board role in the Development and Finalisation of the Rangihoua and Onetangi Sports Park Management Plan

Resolution number WHK/2018/190

MOVED by Chairperson C Handley, seconded by Deputy Chairperson J Meeuwsen:

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) agree that resolution WHK/2018/153 pertaining to Item 12: Development of a local park management plan for Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park from the 26 July 2018 meeting, be rescinded:

Resolution number WHK/2018/153

MOVED by Deputy Chairperson P Walden, seconded by Member J Meeuwsen:

b) approve option (a) appointment of all local board members as the Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park management plan committee to hear objections and comments from submitters, consider the extent to which they have been allowed or accepted or disallowed or not accepted and approve the management plan.

and replaced with:

c) appoint Chairperson C Handley (as chair), Members S Brown and J Meeuwsen and the Great Barrier Local Board chair as a committee to develop the draft Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park management plan including decisions on:

i) the scope of the management plan

ii) inclusion of Rangihoua maunga and landscape amenity area following consultation with Ngati Paoa and/or decisions by the Rangihoua and Tawaipareira Committee

iii) whether to declare and classify any part of Rangihoua Reserve following consultation with Ngati Paoa and/or decisions by the Rangihoua and Tawaipareira Committee
Item 17

iv) publicly notifying the intention to prepare the plan and seek comments to inform the preparation of the plan

v) publicly notifying the draft plan and seeking comments and objections on the draft plan.

d) appoint Chairperson C Handley (as chair), Members S Brown and J Meeuwsen, the Great Barrier Local Board chair and an independent commissioner experienced in Reserves Act matters as a committee to:

i) hear objections and comments from submitters

ii) consider the extent to which they would be allowed or accepted or disallowed or not accepted

iii) seek, where required, the Minister of Conservation's comments and/or approval of the management plan

iv) approve the Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park management plan.

CARRIED

Note: Under Standing Order 1.9.7 Member P Walden requested that his vote against be recorded.

4. Notice was given of the intent to prepare a reserve management plan for Rangihoua Onetangi Sports Park pursuant to section 41(5) of the Reserves Act 1977.

5. The reserve management plan for Rangihoua Onetangi Sports Park will guide the Waiheke Local Board’s decision making on the park. It will provide an integrated framework for the range of activities, development and protection of important sites and features on the park.

6. The first phase of consultation – the notification of the intention to prepare a reserve management plan – was notified and the public was invited to provide written suggestions from December 2018 to February 2019. The next step is for staff to develop a draft reserve management plan for the Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park Development Committee’s approval for public consultation.

7. The final plan will be approved by a hearings committee consisting of the same members that constitute the development committee with the addition of an independent commissioner experienced in Reserves Act matters.

8. Staff have now been asked to provide the Waiheke Local Board with advice on elected member obligations regarding conflicts of interest, as well as options for membership of the development committee.

Advice on pre-determination and conflicts of interest by committee members

9. As with all elected members, members of the development committee involved in the decisions on a management plan for Rangihoua Reserve need to manage any potential conflicts of interest carefully, consistent with the Auckland Council Code of Conduct for Elected Members (Code of Conduct).

10. If a development committee member fails to manage conflicts of interest, decisions relating to the reserve management plan could be challenged in the High Court through judicial review.

11. Elected Member obligations relating to conflicts of interest and pre-determination are set out in the Code of Conduct, Conflicts of Interest Policy and the Waiheke Local Board Standing Orders.

12. Specifically, under the Code of Conduct, elected members must “declare any private interests or personal benefits relating to their public duties and take steps to resolve any conflicts in such a way that protects the public interest.” Elected members must also fully
acquaint themselves with, and adhere strictly to, the requirements of the Conflicts of Interest Policy.

13. The Conflicts of Interest Policy covers both financial and non-financial conflicts of interest:
   - Under cl 10.9, elected members must not participate in any Council discussion or voting on any matter in which they have a financial interest;
   - Under cl 10.10(a) in relation to non-financial interests, elected members should evaluate the Office of the Auditor General Guidelines, and/or seek advice before determining whether they should declare an interest and stand aside from decision-making; and
   - Under cl 10.10(b), a member must not deliberate on a public consultation process where that member has made a personal submission; a member must not participate in a hearing or decision that has a regulatory effect if the member's statements or conduct indicate that he or she has predetermined the matter before hearing all relevant information; and on other matters where there are potential issues of predetermination, the member should refer to the Office of the Auditor General Guidelines, and/or seek advice before deciding whether or not to participate in decision-making.

14. Under cl 1.3.7 of the Waiheke Local Board Standing Orders, no member may vote on, or take part in a discussion about, any matter in which they have a direct or indirect financial interest. Cl 1.3.8 provides that if a member considers there is a non-financial conflict of interest (which predetermination is characterised as in the Conflicts of Interest Policy), a member may not take part in discussions about or vote on that matter.

15. Under the Conflicts of Interest Policy and the Waiheke Local Board Standing Orders, ultimately it is for the elected member themselves to determine whether they have an interest which requires them to stand aside from decision-making.

16. This means that in the normal course of events, there is no mechanism for a Local Board to require another elected member to recuse themselves from decision-making because of a conflict of interest.

Committee Membership

17. At its September 2018 meeting, the Waiheke Local Board resolved to establish a committee to develop the draft Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park management plan, and a further committee to hear objections on the plan and approve it. The report noted that the local board had asked for a revised approach which “is most likely to be supported by submitters and interested parties” to be put to it for consideration. The report noted that the revised approach would see some members step aside from proceedings due to perceptions of conflicts of interest.

18. This report followed a July 2018 report to the local board on options for independent decision-making, which were provided at the request of the local board.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note that on the Waiheke Local Board’s business meeting dated 27 September 2018, (resolution number WHK 2018/190) Chairperson C Handley (as chair), Members S Brown and J Meeuwsen and the Great Barrier Local Board chair were appointed as a committee to develop the draft Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park management plan.

b) note the advice on conflicts of interest provisions in the Auckland Council Code of
Conduct for Elected Members and Waiheke Local Board Standing Orders for members who are part of the decision making of the Rangihoua Reserve and Onetangi Sports Park Development Committee.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
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Classification of Waiheke Local Parks

File No.: CP2019/05050

Te take mō te pūrongo

Purpose of the report

1. The purpose of this report is to:
   - approve the final classification actions under the Reserves Act 1977 following the close of public submissions
   - rescind the Auckland City Council Arts, Culture and Recreation Committee (ACRC) resolution dated 12 November 2008, for a parcel of park land in Ostend Domain incorrectly classified.
   - approve the correct classification of this reserve land in Ostend Domain.

Whakarāpopototanga matua

Executive summary

2. Classification of reserve land is an essential step in the preparation of the Waiheke Local Parks Management Plan and a statutory requirement under the Reserves Act 1977.

3. A report to the local board on 13 December 2018 covered the declaration and classification, classification or reclassification of approximately 141 parcels of park land on Waiheke Island.

4. Five of the parcels, the local board resolved to continue to hold under the Local Government Act 2002. On the remaining 136 parcels of park land, the local board resolved that the classification proposals be publicly notified (Resolution number WHK/2018/251).

5. The period for public submissions has closed and no submissions were received. A further resolution of the local board is now required to confirm the classification actions for these parcels of park land (Attachments A, B, C and D).

6. In addition, a resolution of the local board is required to rescind an erroneous Auckland City Council ACRC resolution dated 12 November 2008 which declared a parcel of park land in Ostend Domain (Section 1 SO 55851) as recreation reserve using the incorrect section of the Reserves Act (section 14 instead of 16(2A) of the Act).

7. Once the Auckland City Council ACRC resolution dated 12 November 2008 has been rescinded, the land parcel in Ostend Domain will be deemed an unclassified reserve under the Reserves Act.

8. Consistent with the existing activity and adjoining park land, it is proposed that the parcel in Ostend Domain (Section 1 SO 55851) be classified as a recreation reserve pursuant to section 16(2A) of the Act.

Ngā tūtohunga

Recommendations

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) approve the declaration and classification of the parcels of land, pursuant to section 14(1) of the Reserves Act 1977, as described in Attachment A of the agenda report (dated 18 April 2019).

b) approve the classification of the parcels of reserve land, pursuant to section 16(2A) of the Reserves Act 1977, as described in Attachment B of the agenda report
18 April 2019).

c) approve the classification of the parcels of reserve land, pursuant to section 16(1) of the Reserves Act 1977, as described in Attachment C of the agenda report (dated 18 April 2019).

d) approve the reclassification of the parcels of reserve land, pursuant to section 24(1) of the Reserves Act 1977, as described in Attachment D of the agenda report (dated 18 April 2019).

e) rescind the Auckland City Council Arts, Culture and Recreation Committee resolution dated 12 November 2008 declaring Section 1 SO Plan 55851 of Ostend Domain as recreation reserve under section 14 of the Reserves Act 1977.

f) approve the classification of 2940 square metres of Ostend Domain, being Section 1 SO Plan 55851, as recreation reserve pursuant to Section 16(2A) of the Reserves Act 1977.

Horopaki
Context

Classification programme

9. A review of the status and classification of local parks on Waiheke Island has been undertaken as part of the wider local parks management planning programme and was covered in the report to the local board on 13 December 2018 (CP2018/23924).

10. Section 16 of the Reserves Act requires all land held as reserve to be classified appropriately. The land must be classified prior to the notification of the draft local parks management plan for it to be included in the plan.

11. Classification involves assigning a reserve (or part of a reserve) a primary purpose, as defined in section 17 to 23 of the Reserves Act that aligns with its present values. Consideration is also given to future values and possible future activities and uses.

12. The 13 December 2018 report covered approximately 141 land parcels with the options of:

- Option A: holding the land under the Local Government Act 2002
- Option B: declaring land as reserves and classifying this in accordance with Section 14 of the Reserves Act
- Option C: classifying existing unclassified reserves in accordance with Section 16 of the Reserves Act, or
- Option D: reclassifying classified reserves in accordance with Section 24 of the Reserves Act.

13. The local board resolved that five land parcels continue to be held under the Local Government Act (Option A).

14. The remaining 136 land parcels to be classified (Options B, C or D), were resolved to be publicly notified (Resolution number WHK/2018/251).

15. These parcels are outlined in Attachments A, B, C and D.

Ostend Domain

16. Auckland City Council ACRC resolved on 12 November 2008 to declare a parcel in Ostend Domain (Section 1 SO 55851) recreation reserve under Section 14 of the Reserves Act.
17. This has been identified by staff as erroneous as the parcel, being held as stopped road, had automatically vested in Auckland City as an unclassified local purpose (esplanade) reserve by way of Section 345(3) of the Local Government Act 1974.

18. Land vested by way of Section 345(3) of the Local Government Act 1974 is subject to Section 16(2A) of the Reserves Act. The declaration of the parcel under Section 14 was incorrect, as the wrong section of the Reserves Act was used in the resolution.

19. Attachment E includes the survey plan and aerial photograph of the parcel in Ostend Domain.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Completing classification of publicly notified parcels

20. Public notices were placed in the Gulf News on 31 January 2019 in accordance with the requirements outlined in Section 119 (1b) of the Reserves Act.

21. The submissions period closed on 8 March 2019. No submissions supporting or opposing the proposed classification actions were received.

22. A further resolution of the local board is required to confirm the classification actions now the public notification period has closed.

Ostend Domain classification

23. The local board is required to rescind the resolution dated 12 November 2008 for a parcel of park land in Ostend Domain (Section 1 SO 55851) to enable the correct classification of land under the appropriate section of the Reserves Act.

24. The parcel in Ostend Domain (Section 1 SO 55851) will be deemed an unclassified reserve under the Reserves Act once the resolution has been rescinded by the local board.

25. The local board has three options for dealing with the unclassified reserve land (Section 1 SO 55851).
   - Option 1: classify the land according to its primary purpose in accordance with the Reserves Act.
   - Option 2: revoke the reserve status and hold the land under the Local Government Act 2002.
   - Option 3: continue to hold the land as an unclassified reserve under the Reserves Act (status quo).

26. Option 3 has been discounted as it would mean that the local parks management plan would not comply with the Reserves Act. The council would not be meeting its statutory obligations under the Act, and staff would not be able to recommend public notification of the draft local parks management plan.

27. In considering whether to proceed with Option 1 or 2, staff have considered:
   i) the intended purpose of the land when it was acquired
   ii) the benefits of unified and integrated management of an individual park
   iii) the long-term protection that the Act provides from inappropriate use and development
   iv) whether statutory processes and future decision-making will be streamlined
   v) the need for greater flexibility and choice in how local parks are used by the public, and
   vi) whether revoking the reserve status of a particular land parcel would materially lead to a greater range of park activities being able to occur.
28. With regard to Section 1 SO 55851, staff have more specifically considered that classifying as recreation reserve would be consistent with:
   the land’s current use
   the other land parcel that makes up Ostend Domain.

29. Option 2 (revocation and holding the land under the Local Government Act) would not materially lead to greater flexibility in the way the park is able to be used as most of the land parcel is occupied by the Waiheke Boating Club.

30. It is recommended that Section 1 SO 55851 be classified as a recreation reserve pursuant to Section 16 (2A) of the Reserves Act (option 1). Public notification is not required under the Act.

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**

**Council group impacts and views**

31. Appropriately classifying land parcels subject to the Reserves Act enables council to meet its statutory obligations under the Act.

32. Classification also enables land held under the Reserves Act to be formally incorporated into the Waiheke Local Parks Management Plan.

33. Community Services, Community Facilities, Infrastructure and Environmental Services and Legal Services have provided information and technical advice that has helped inform reserve classification proposals.

34. Classification of the Section 1 SO Plan 55851 at Ostend Domain will allow the council to legally issue a new lease to the Waiheke Boating Club under the Reserves Act.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

35. The local board reviewed all parcels of land in Attachments A, B, C and D prior to approving public notification at their 13 December 2018 meeting.


37. At the workshop held on 21 March 2019, local board members indicated their support to classify Section 1 SO 55851 at Ostend Domain.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

38. Prior to reporting to the local board on the Waiheke classification programme and the public notification, engagement was undertaken with interested mana whenua, being: Ngāti Paoa (both Ngāti Paoa Trust Board and Ngāti Paoa Iwi Trust), Ngāti Tai ki Tāmaki, Ngāti Maru and Ngāti Whanaungaa. Mana whenua supported all proposals that required public notification.

39. Mana whenua also supported the proposal to classify the land at Ostend Domain as a recreation reserve.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

40. Reserves will be formally classified under the Reserves Act when a gazette notice describing each land parcel has been published in the New Zealand Gazette. Costs associated with this process will be funded through internal statutory land management budgets.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

41. No risks have been identified with completing the classification actions as:
   • no submissions were received on the land parcels notified, and
   • classification of Ostend Domain is a mandatory action under the Reserves Act if the
     local board choses to continue to hold the land under the Reserves Act.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

42. Once the local board has approved the classification actions, they must be included in a
gazette notice published in the New Zealand Gazette.

43. Approval of the gazette notice has been delegated from the Minister of Conservation to the
    General Manager Community Facilities. A report will be prepared seeking their signature to
    the gazette notice.

44. The land at Ostend Domain to be classified by the local board under Section 16 (2A) of the
    Reserves Act, will be included in the same gazette notice.

Ngā tāpirihanga
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Attachment A: Parcels to be declared a reserve and classified in accordance with section 14(1) of Reserves Act 1977 (public notification completed), 18 April 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reserve Name</th>
<th>Physical Address</th>
<th>Appellation</th>
<th>Survey Area</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Applicable Section of the Act</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citrus Corner</td>
<td>1 Miami Avenue</td>
<td>Part Lot 146 DP</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16354</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuakarau Bay Forest</td>
<td>Te Whau Drive</td>
<td>Lot 56 DP</td>
<td>39759</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>156422</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilson Reserve</td>
<td>30 Valley Road</td>
<td>Lot 71 DP 19224</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilson Reserve</td>
<td>30 Valley Road</td>
<td>Lot 79 DP 19224</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilson Reserve</td>
<td>30 Valley Road</td>
<td>Lot 75 DP 19224</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilson Reserve</td>
<td>30 Valley Road</td>
<td>Lot 76 DP 19224</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilson Reserve</td>
<td>30 Valley Road</td>
<td>Lot 72 DP 19224</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilson Reserve</td>
<td>30 Valley Road</td>
<td>Lot 73 DP 19224</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilson Reserve</td>
<td>30 Valley Road</td>
<td>Lot 80 DP 19224</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilson Reserve</td>
<td>30 Valley Road</td>
<td>Lot 74 DP 19224</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilson Reserve</td>
<td>30 Valley Road</td>
<td>Lot 77 DP 19224</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Wilson Reserve</td>
<td>30 Valley Road</td>
<td>Lot 78 DP 19224</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Matuku Bay Esplanade Res 1</td>
<td>516 Orapiu Road</td>
<td>Closed Road SO</td>
<td>3283</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upland Road Walkway</td>
<td>46 Omiha Road</td>
<td>Lot 489 DP</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s14 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Name</td>
<td>Physical Address</td>
<td>Appellation</td>
<td>Survey Area (in sqm)</td>
<td>Classification</td>
<td>Applicable section of the Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anzac Bay Reserve</td>
<td>306 Calais Terrace</td>
<td>Lot 6 DP 173104</td>
<td>3790</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anzac Bay Reserve</td>
<td>37 Calais Terrace</td>
<td>Lot 5 DP 174122</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anzac Bay Reserve</td>
<td>Calais Terrace</td>
<td>Lot 4 DP 201403</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anzac Bay Reserve</td>
<td>29D Calais Terrace</td>
<td>Lot 4 DP 360116</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arran Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>192B Cowes Bay Road</td>
<td>Lot 6 DP 168989</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade)</td>
<td>Reserve s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awaawaroa Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>215 Awaawaroa Road</td>
<td>Lot 12 DP 313056</td>
<td>3713</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awaawaroa Wetland Reserve</td>
<td>176 Awaawaroa Road</td>
<td>Lot 7 DP 165463</td>
<td>8060</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awaawaroa Wetland Reserve</td>
<td>176 Awaawaroa Road</td>
<td>Lot 8 DP 165463</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awaawaroa Wetland Reserve</td>
<td>176 Awaawaroa Road</td>
<td>Lot 4 DP 210617</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awaawaroa Wetland Reserve</td>
<td>176 Awaawaroa Road</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 384887</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belle Terrace Foreshore Reserve</td>
<td>57 Belle Terrace</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 154034</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Mitchell Reserve</td>
<td>417 – 427 Sea View Road</td>
<td>Lot 5 DP 142106</td>
<td>4988</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>4 Ocean View Road</td>
<td>Lot 11 DP 146325</td>
<td>61600</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>4 Ocean View Road</td>
<td>Lot 12 DP 146325</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>4 Ocean View Road</td>
<td>Lot 9 DP 146325</td>
<td>14900</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>4 Ocean View Road</td>
<td>Lot 10 DP 146325</td>
<td>36400</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>4 Ocean View Road</td>
<td>Lot 4 DP 154784</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>4 Ocean View Road</td>
<td>Lot 5 DP 154784</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway)</td>
<td>Reserve s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>135 Nick Johnstone Drive</td>
<td>Lot 58 DP 169718</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>4 Ocean View Road</td>
<td>Part Lot 15 DP 146325</td>
<td>22906</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>4 Ocean View Road</td>
<td>Lot 59 DP 177117</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway)</td>
<td>Reserve s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Brook Reserve</td>
<td>33 Glen Brook Road</td>
<td>Lot 489 DP 19224</td>
<td>44642</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Brook Reserve</td>
<td>33 Glen Brook Road</td>
<td>Lot 186 DP 19224</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Brook Reserve</td>
<td>77 O'Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 164 DP 19224</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Barrier Foreshore Reserve</td>
<td>51A Great Barrier Road</td>
<td>Lot 4 DP 161612</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Barrier Foreshore Reserve</td>
<td>51A Great Barrier Road</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 93989</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Barrier Road Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>878 Great Barrier Road</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 175402</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hekerau Bay Esplanade Reserve 1</td>
<td>6 Newton Road</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 370053</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Road Crescent Road East</td>
<td>77 Crescent Road East</td>
<td>Lot 4 DP 168995</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway)</td>
<td>Reserve s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homersham Reserve</td>
<td>131 The Strand</td>
<td>Lot 1 DP 44564</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiwi Moa Accessway</td>
<td>26A Moa Avenue</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 54943</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway)</td>
<td>Reserve s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuakarau Bay Forest</td>
<td>Te Whau Drive</td>
<td>Lot 57 DP 152097</td>
<td>21452</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Name</td>
<td>Physical Address</td>
<td>Appellation</td>
<td>Survey Area (in sqm)</td>
<td>Classification</td>
<td>Applicable section of the Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuakarau Bay Forest</td>
<td>Te Whau Drive</td>
<td>Lot 54 DP 15642</td>
<td>56183</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makara South Reserve</td>
<td>60 The Esplanade</td>
<td>Lot 2 DP 54940</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matapana Reserve</td>
<td>5 The Esplanade</td>
<td>Lot 296 DP 16816</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matapana Reserve</td>
<td>5 The Esplanade</td>
<td>Lot 445 DP 16816</td>
<td>10218</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matapana Reserve</td>
<td>5 The Esplanade</td>
<td>Lot 294 DP 16816</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matapana Reserve</td>
<td>5 The Esplanade</td>
<td>Lot 293 DP 16816</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matapana Reserve</td>
<td>5 The Esplanade</td>
<td>Section 2 Block II Waiheke SD 116</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matapana Reserve</td>
<td>5 The Esplanade</td>
<td>Lot 295 DP 16816</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mataroa Owhanake Walkway Link</td>
<td>10 Ocean View Road</td>
<td>Lot 51 DP 183455</td>
<td>2641</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mawhitipana Reserve</td>
<td>55 Cory Road</td>
<td>Lot 444 DP 16816</td>
<td>22814</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell Reserve</td>
<td>Mitchell Road</td>
<td>Lot 145 DP 16354</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onetangi Sports Park (Rangihoua)</td>
<td>133-165 O'Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 17 DP 184090</td>
<td>6850</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onetangi Sports Park (Rangihoua)</td>
<td>133-165 O'Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 19 DP 184090</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onetangi Sports Park (Rangihoua)</td>
<td>133-165 O'Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 6 DP 204906</td>
<td>18860</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owhanake Mataroa Walkway</td>
<td>66 Korora Road</td>
<td>Lot 40 DP 183454</td>
<td>25280</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owhanake Mataroa Walkway</td>
<td>66 Korora Road</td>
<td>Lot 9 DP 169561</td>
<td>25327</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owhanake Mataroa Walkway</td>
<td>66 Korora Road</td>
<td>Lot 44 DP 183456</td>
<td>2060</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owhanake Mataroa Walkway</td>
<td>66 Korora Road</td>
<td>Lot 43 DP 183454</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owhanake Reserve</td>
<td>69 Korora Road</td>
<td>Lot 42 DP 183454</td>
<td>19267</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach Reserve</td>
<td>53 Palm Road</td>
<td>Lot 180 DP 16816</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach Reserve</td>
<td>53 Palm Road</td>
<td>Lot 196 DP 16816</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach Reserve</td>
<td>53 Palm Road</td>
<td>Lot 182 DP 16816</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach Reserve</td>
<td>53 Palm Road</td>
<td>Lot 195 DP 16816</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach Reserve</td>
<td>53 Palm Road</td>
<td>Lot 179 DP 16816</td>
<td>1619</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach Reserve</td>
<td>53 Palm Road</td>
<td>Lot 194 DP 16816</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach Reserve</td>
<td>53 Palm Road</td>
<td>Lot 183 DP 16816</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Point Walkway</td>
<td>Cable Bay Lane</td>
<td>Lot 42 DP 331964</td>
<td>30038</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Road Reserve</td>
<td>24 Moana Avenue</td>
<td>Part Lot 13 DP 16354</td>
<td>18127</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkland at Fourth Avenue</td>
<td>5 Fourth Avenue</td>
<td>Lot 6 DP 348635</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Carparking) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piritaha Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>88G Church Bay Road</td>
<td>Lot 8 DP 193750</td>
<td>3324</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohutukawa Reserve</td>
<td>22 Pohutukawa Avenue</td>
<td>Lot 18 DP 19224</td>
<td>3971</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohutukawa Reserve Onetangi</td>
<td>11 Third Avenue</td>
<td>Allot 130 PSH OF Waiheke 40638</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putiki Reserve</td>
<td>14 Shelly Beach Road</td>
<td>Part Lot 23 DP 20734</td>
<td>11761</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putiki Reserve</td>
<td>14 Shelly Beach Road</td>
<td>Part Lot 13 DP 33007</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Bay Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>119 Great Barrier Road</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 97658</td>
<td>1776</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea View Esplanade Reserve A</td>
<td>322B Sea View Road</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 182519</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea View Esplanade Reserve A</td>
<td>322B Sea View</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 903</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve Name</td>
<td>Physical Address</td>
<td>Appellation</td>
<td>Survey Area (in sqm)</td>
<td>Classification</td>
<td>Applicable section of the Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squirells Reserve</td>
<td>616 Orapiu Road</td>
<td>Lot 5 DP 323898</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfdale Hall Reserve &amp; Foreshore</td>
<td>4 Hamilton Road</td>
<td>Section 1 SO 64396</td>
<td>1497</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surfdale Reserve Accessway</td>
<td>46B Lannan Road</td>
<td>Lot 5 DP 319092</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Aroha Reserve Accessway</td>
<td>Te Aroha Avenue</td>
<td>Lot 2 DP 58070</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Aroha Reserve Accessway</td>
<td>Te Aroha Avenue</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 362120</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Awaawa O Makoha</td>
<td>53 Korora Road</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 406036</td>
<td>6577</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Matuku Bay Esplanade Res 1</td>
<td>516 Orapiu Road</td>
<td>Lot 2 DP 124361</td>
<td>58750</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Matuku Stockyard Reserve</td>
<td>516 Orapiu Road</td>
<td>Lot 12 DP 180595</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Matuku Stockyard Reserve</td>
<td>516 Orapiu Road</td>
<td>Lot 10 DP 180595</td>
<td>2693</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Uri Karaka Te Waera Reserve</td>
<td>150 Church Bay Road</td>
<td>Lot 6 DP 146325</td>
<td>162886</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Whau Esplanade Reserve 1</td>
<td>O’Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 75 DP 185011</td>
<td>10650</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Whau Esplanade Reserve 1</td>
<td>O’Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 63 DP 152097</td>
<td>63100</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Whau Esplanade Reserve 1</td>
<td>O’Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 73 DP 160901</td>
<td>5817</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Whau Esplanade Reserve 1</td>
<td>O’Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 62 DP 152097</td>
<td>6750</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Whau Esplanade Reserve 1</td>
<td>O’Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 71 DP 156422</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Whau Esplanade Reserve 1</td>
<td>O’Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 61 DP 152097</td>
<td>58700</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Whau Esplanade Reserve 1</td>
<td>O’Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 72 DP 156422</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Whau Esplanade Reserve 1</td>
<td>O’Brien Road</td>
<td>Lot 70 DP 156422</td>
<td>19596</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Reserve</td>
<td>26 Third Avenue</td>
<td>Allot 129 PSH OF Waiheke</td>
<td>11140</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin Boat Reserve</td>
<td>16 Fourth Avenue</td>
<td>Lot 5 DP 21862</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upland Road Walkway</td>
<td>46 Omaha Road</td>
<td>Part Whakanewha Block</td>
<td>2087</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharf Road Esplanade Reserve</td>
<td>Wharf Road</td>
<td>Section 1 SO 64395</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilma Foreshore Reserve</td>
<td>1B Wilma Road</td>
<td>Lot 4 DP 30841</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodside Bay Esplanade Walkway</td>
<td>Woodside Bay Road</td>
<td>Lot 11 DP 186680</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(2A)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment C: Parcels of land to be classified in accordance with section 16(1) of the Reserves Act 1977 (public notification completed)
18 April 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reserve Name</th>
<th>Physical Address</th>
<th>Appellation</th>
<th>Survey Area (in sqm)</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Applicable section of the Act</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citizens Advice Bureau</td>
<td>141 Ocean View Road</td>
<td>Part Lot 128 DP 22848</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Community Use) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwin North Reserve</td>
<td>37B Goodwin Avenue</td>
<td>Lot 3 DP 82447</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Road Crescent Road East</td>
<td>77 Crescent Road East</td>
<td>Lot 425 DP 16816</td>
<td>3536</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Accessway) Reserve</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuakarau Bay Forest</td>
<td>40 Te Whau Drive</td>
<td>Lot 55 DP 156422</td>
<td>31745</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuakarau Bay Forest</td>
<td>40 Te Whau Drive</td>
<td>Section 1 SO 360896</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuakarau Bay Forest</td>
<td>40 Te Whau Drive</td>
<td>Section 2 SO 360896</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Reserve</td>
<td>29 Kennedy Road</td>
<td>Lot 648 DP 16353</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Reserve</td>
<td>29 Kennedy Road</td>
<td>Lot 647 DP 16353</td>
<td>1022</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Reserve</td>
<td>29 Kennedy Road</td>
<td>Lot 650 DP 16353</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Reserve</td>
<td>29 Kennedy Road</td>
<td>Lot 651 DP 16353</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Reserve</td>
<td>29 Kennedy Road</td>
<td>Lot 652 DP 16353</td>
<td>1518</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Reserve</td>
<td>29 Kennedy Road</td>
<td>Lot 649 DP 16353</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b)</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omiha Beach Reserve</td>
<td>2A Glen Brook Road</td>
<td>Lot 465 DP 7765</td>
<td>Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve</td>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tin Boat Reserve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>19224</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part Lot 105 DP 11377</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tin Boat Reserve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>19224</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot 85 DP 11377</td>
<td>8559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Reserve</td>
<td>s16(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Reserve Name | Physical Address | Appellation | Survey Area (in sqm) | Classification | Current Reserve Classification
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Anzac Bay Reserve | 29A Calais Terrace | Lot 8 DP 73804 | 4680 | Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b) | Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve
Anzac Reserve - Waiheke Island | 76 Ostend Road | Lot 35 DP 11378 | 1545 | Local Purpose (Community Use) Reserve | Recreation reserve
Anzac Reserve - Waiheke Island | 76 Ostend Road | Lot 32 DP 11378 | 1032 | Local Purpose (Community Use) Reserve | Recreation reserve
Anzac Reserve - Waiheke Island | 76 Ostend Road | Lot 34 DP 11378 | 1576 | Local Purpose (Community Use) Reserve | Recreation reserve
Anzac Reserve - Waiheke Island | 76 Ostend Road | Lot 33 DP 11378 | 862 | Local Purpose (Community Use) Reserve | Recreation reserve
Anzac Reserve - Waiheke Island | 76 Ostend Road | Lot 29 DP 11378 | 1619 | Local Purpose (Community Use) Reserve | Recreation reserve
Belle Terrace Foreshore Reserve | 57 Belle Terrace | Lot 170 DP 17146 | 31313 | Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b) | Recreation reserve
Glen Brook Reserve | 33 Glen Brook Road | Lot 185 DP 19224 | 1186 | Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b) | Recreation reserve
Hekerua Bay Reserve | Te Aroha Avenue | Lot 1079 DP 16962 | 7461 | Scenic Reserve 19(1)(b) | Recreation reserve
Putiki Reserve | 14 Shelly Beach Road | Lot 192 DP 24255 | 2623 | Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve | Foreshore reserve
Putiki Reserve | 14 Shelly Beach Road | Lot 95 DP 29741 | 253 | Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve | Foreshore reserve
Putiki Reserve | 14 Shelly Beach Road | Lot 22 DP 29734 | 1265 | Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve | Foreshore reserve
Surfdale Hall Reserve & Foreshore | 4 Hamilton Road | Lot 117 DP 16354 | 822 | Recreation Reserve | Local purpose reserve (community building)
Surfdale Hall Reserve & Foreshore | 4 Hamilton Road | Lot 116 DP 16354 | 812 | Recreation Reserve | Local purpose reserve (community building)
Attachment E: Ostend Domain requiring classification
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To present to the Waiheke Local Board with recommendations for consideration as the current facilities maintenance contracts expire on 30 June 2019.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. Maintenance contracts for electrical services, plumbing, waterblasting and building services, which are currently supplied by local suppliers on Waiheke Island, are ending on 30 June 2019. The local board is requested to approve the preferred operating model so that the procurement process can be initiated and a Request for Pricing can be issued in late April, in time for the new maintenance agreements to commence 1 July 2019.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) approve the preferred operating model option for the new maintenance agreements commencing on 1 July 2019.

b) if option 2 or 4 is selected as the preferred option, then a second operating model option for the new maintenance agreements commencing on 1 July 2019 must be selected, in order to ensure the progression of the maintenance agreements should the preferred option not be successful.

Horopaki
Context
3. On 1 July 2017, the facilities maintenance contracts commenced with local suppliers for scheduled / planned, or reactive services on Waiheke and Rakino. These contracts are set to expire on 30 June 2019.

4. These contracts were created outside of the Full Facilities model and were initially created for a two-year period during which time performance and service offerings were to be reviewed and assessed in terms of efficiencies and effectiveness.

5. Contracts were initially awarded to:

- Waiheke Contracting Services for electrical services
- Systematic Building Management for building services e.g. carpentry, roofing, painting, etc
- Natural Water and Waste - Plumbing
- Absolute Waterblasting - Waterblasting

6. During the original Project 17 procurement process, each participant was invited to price for either a single service or multiple services, depending on the participant’s capacity to provide the required service activities.
7. The contract model has a set of high level service outcomes that are to be delivered through a combined model of output and prescriptive specifications. Potential service providers were required to provide a delivery methodology as part of their response to the original Request for Pricing. The specifications document contained minimum standards and certain mandatory requirements in relation to Auckland Council policy and working practices.

8. Towards the end of 2018, Waiheke Contracting Services terminated their agreement with Community Facilities and AIM Services (AIMS), who currently provide other maintenance services as per the Full Facilities contract for Rua, was offered the electrical works as a stop-gap while the replacement procurement was finalised.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

9. Meetings with the current facilities maintenance contract service providers have taken place, with the following findings:

• Waiheke Contracting Services advised that the termination of the contract was due to core electrical work not being their specialist field, and their sub-contractor travelled from Whangarei to Waiheke three times a week. This did not necessarily meet the requirements for providing prompt service, particularly for jobs that were seemingly critical in nature.

• Albeit at the beginning of the two year contract, all suppliers found the council’s systems and processes cumbersome, they have become streamlined and it has now become part of their day to day business. However, in some instances, it still remains an onerous task.

• The local suppliers found the Health and Safety Prequalification very expensive both financially and from a time perspective i.e. suppliers are paid by the hour for work and the prequalification took many weeks to complete.

• All local suppliers enjoy the flexibility of work within the current environment as they are able to earn additional income via private jobs. Depending on the supplier, council work makes up from between 20 per cent to 60 per cent of their workload.

• Generally, there are challenges finding reliable trade suppliers.

• One supplier, Systematic Building Supplies, has good networks and access to multiple tradespeople (more than one electrician and plumber) which he relies on to do council and private work.

• The plumbing services supplier, Natural Water and Waste, has challenges with the clarity of processes between Healthy Waters services (supply of water and septic tank servicing and maintenance) and Community Facilities (plumbing). I.e jobs are incorrectly logged by the Service Centre and the plumber is called out for a blocked toilet, when the issue is that there is no water so the job must be raised with Healthy Waters.

• To date, Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and performance, with specific criteria, have not been applied to these local contracts but will need to be done in the future.

10. The following provides four options from which to select for procurement purposes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPTION</th>
<th>ADVANTAGES</th>
<th>DISADVANTAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Independent Local suppliers' model (status quo) with a new procurement process</td>
<td>• The council can test the market to assess if there are any new, interested and reliable local suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provides flexibility for suppliers so that they can also conduct private business to supplement their income</td>
<td>placing more pressure on local suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suppliers have to do the Health and Safety Prequalification which is expensive, and time consuming.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Each supplier is required to use council’s systems for reporting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individual KPIs and resulting performance management criteria, including environmental and Smart procurement, will need to be applied and managed, which will be a new process for the local suppliers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 2 | Seek one local supplier to manage a pool of other local sub-contractors | Good access to a local pool of sub-contractors which will enable critical jobs to be done |
|   |   | Administration done by one entity - if they had more council work, there is a possibility that the supplier would be more likely to employ administrative staff. |
|   |   | Private work for the main local supplier is depleted (less flexibility) |
|   |   | During the Project 17 procurement process, no local suppliers came forward to manage this (this may have changed over the last two years) |
|   |   | High administration impact on the local supplier as they will be expected to use the more sophisticated council system (currently a simplified process is used) |
|   |   | Due to the higher volume of administration, the local supplier will need to hire more resources e.g. administrative staff, operations, etc |
|   |   | The pool of local suppliers will have to do the Health and Safety Prequalification which is expensive, and time consuming. |
|   |   | KPIs and resulting performance |
### Item 19

**Waiheke Local Board**  
**18 April 2019**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **3** | AIMS manages a pool of local suppliers on Waiheke | • Administration support in place due to the Full Facilities contract  
• KPIs will be applied, with which AIMS is very familiar  
• AIMS is already on the island and is familiar with the environment, terrain and the requirements of the local board  
|  |  | • AIMS may not necessarily have the right access to the right networks  
• AIMS would need more staff and resources  
• Local suppliers have to do the Health and Safety Prequalification which is expensive, and time consuming.  |
| **4** | Main local supplier with a pool (option 2) but AIMS manages the administration | • ‘Making our size work’ - administrative support is easy and cost effective and AIMS already have systems and reporting in place  
• KPIs will be applied, with which AIMS is very familiar  
• Main local supplier has easy access to a local pool of sub-contractors  
|  |  | • Potential impact on Community Facilities resources  
• Local suppliers have to do the Health and Safety Prequalification which is expensive, and time consuming.  
• Higher administrative costs due to the main local supplier being the ‘middle man’ – extra level of commission mark-ups with potentially three levels of contractors  |

---

**Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera**  
**Council group impacts and views**

11. Community Facilities is committed to delivering world-class service to its customers, making great places Aucklanders love.

12. As with the Full Facilities Agreements on the mainland, Community Facilities remains committed to ensuring that KPIs and performance is managed, particularly around environmental factors and social outcomes.

13. All supplier arrangements Community Facilities enters into have to deliver on these, thereby ensuring that service quality is achieved.
14. Community Facilities remains committed to ensuring that service agreements remain flexible to meet customers’ needs.

15. To ensure sustainability, Community Facilities proposes that the future facilities maintenance contracts be for a three year tenure.

16. All suppliers are the eyes and ears of the maintenance services and should advise when or if assets are not up to standard.

17. All suppliers are the extended brand of the council and are therefore expected to be co-branded.

**Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe**

**Local impacts and local board views**

18. The views of the Waiheke Local Board are of utmost importance. Hence, this report is submitted seeking the local board’s preference in terms of which model should be utilised to seek suppliers to provide services pertaining to electrical, plumbing, water blasting and general building i.e. a person in the community for the community.

19. Regardless of the preferred option, Community Facilities is committed to using local suppliers thereby delivering upon the Smart procurement principles of social development, and ensuring economic stability for the island.

**Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori**

**Māori impact statement**

20. Community Facilities will ensure that all identified assets continue to be well-maintained, including assets that benefit the local community, including Māori. Where any aspects of maintenance are anticipated to have a significant impact on sites of importance to mana whenua, then appropriate engagement will be undertaken.

21. Diversity is a Smart procurement outcome and will be tracked and reported.

**Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea**

**Financial implications**

22. Budgets have been allocated to maintenance services on Waiheke from the Long-term Plan 2015-2025.

**Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga**

**Risks and mitigations**

23. Risks are associated with each of the options, however, local Community Facilities staff have done well to mitigate these, and have depth of experience in terms of what works successfully. For example:

   a. Due to the current model utilising the services of single suppliers, risks emerge when suppliers go on holiday leaving a potential gap in service delivery. In this instance, Community Facilities ensures the other maintenance suppliers are on standby to step in and manage critical items

   b. As single local suppliers have other work commitments, they are not necessarily available to address critical issues. Community Facilities has a process of substituting one supplier for another and AIM Services can always be called in, as a last resort, to fix, for example, electrical services.

24. A key risk is that of the Health and Safety Prequalification, which is compulsory for all suppliers, regardless of their size.

25. Should a local supplier not be available to provide services, finding a substitute that has the Health and Safety Prequalification is challenging, which is a pre-requisite in working for council. In this instance, AIM Services might be called in to render the service.
26. KPIs and performance measures will be applied to local suppliers. This will be new and may mean that local suppliers will experience more administration due to increased reporting.

Ngā koringa ā-muri

Next steps

27. Upon the Waiheke Local Board providing their feedback, a procurement process can commence immediately, enabling the new contracts to potentially commence on 1 July 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga

Attachments

There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina

Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Geoff Pitman – Head of Contracts and Supplier Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Rod Sheridan - General Manager Community Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Department of Conservation proposal to revoke Reserves Act 1977 delegations

File No.: CP2019/05534

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. This report provides the local board with an opportunity to formally resolve its feedback to the proposal by the Department of Conservation to revoke powers delegated to territorial authorities by the Minister of Conservation under the Reserves Act 1977.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

2. The Department of Conservation has written to all territorial authorities (refer Attachment A) advising that due to a recent Court of Appeal decision (Opua Coastal Preservation Inc v Far North District Council) that the current Minister of Conservation, Hon Eugenie Sage, is considering revoking powers delegated to territorial authorities by the former Minister, Hon Dr Nick Smith in 2013 (refer Attachment B).

3. The reason provided for the majority of the proposed revocation is that under the current delegation, the council makes its decision as the territorial authority and then reviews that decision under ministerial delegation. This is viewed as potentially putting the council in a conflict of interest situation, as it is effectively reviewing its own decision(s).

4. This proposal has been reviewed by staff and a short assessment presentation has been prepared (refer Attachment C). A briefing was provided for local board members on Monday 8 April 2019 on the proposal. A draft submission will be prepared for feedback and circulated based on feedback and input from council departments and local boards. This draft will be circulated to members of the Environment and Community Committee and local board members for review and comment on 15 April 2019. This draft submission was not available at time of agenda production and will be tabled at the board meeting. The preparation of the draft will be followed by two drop-in sessions for elected members to provide in-person feedback.

5. A final draft submission will be prepared for the Chairperson of the Environment and Community Committee approval prior to the due date of 26 April 2019. This draft response will need to be retrospectively adopted by the Environment and Community Committee on 14 May 2019.

6. Local board feedback must be prepared by 18 April 2018. This will allow the feedback to inform Auckland Council’s submission and to be attached to the Auckland Council submission, which will be lodged on behalf of the Environment and Community Committee.
**Ngā tūtohunga**

**Recommendation**

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) consider providing feedback on the Department of Conservation proposal to revoke current ministerial delegations to Auckland Council under the Reserves Act 1977, noting that feedback is required by 18 April 2019.

**Ngā tāpirihanga**

**Attachments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Letter and attachment from Department of Conservation to Chief Executive’s territorial authorities</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Reserves Act powers delegated to Auckland Council</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Department of Conservation proposal to revoke Reserves Act delegations presentation to Elected Members</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ngā kaihaina**

**Signatories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Janine Geddes - Senior Local Board Advisor Waiheke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authoriser</td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ref: DOC 5730277

14 March 2019

Attn: Chief Executive
All Territorial Authorities

Tēnā koe

Subject: The revocation of certain delegations to Territorial Authorities under the Reserves Act 1977

In June 2013, the Minister of Conservation delegated certain powers under the Reserves Act 1977 (the 2013 delegations) to Territorial Authorities. Over the past year or so there have been instances where Territorial Authorities have relied on the delegations to exercise the prior consent role of the Minister in circumstances where they have also made the initial decision to grant the instrument or activity applied for.

In a recent Court of Appeal decision, (Opua Coastal Preservation Inc v Far North District Council)\(^1\) the Court considered a delegation of the Minister’s prior consent role under s 48 of the Reserves Act 1977 to be “highly unusual”. The Court commented that it could be argued that it replaced a two-step process involving two separate decision makers (the Council and the Minister) with a single-step process and a single decision maker. The Court noted that the effect of the delegation was that the Council made both decisions contemplated by s 48(1)(f) of the Reserves Act and observed that “[I]t might be thought that in these circumstances any difference of view between the two decision makers is unlikely”. The Court did not rule on the legality of the delegation because the Appellant had not pursued the matter. Nevertheless, it seems that had the lawfulness of the delegation been in issue the Court would have ruled it to be unlawful.

In light of the above, we have undertaken a review of the 2013 delegations was undertaken, it would appear some are potentially unlawful and would put Councils in a position of conflict of interest, for example;

a) Those where a Council makes its own decision and then acts under delegation to exercise the prior consent role of the Minister;

b) Those where the Minister’s power to carry out certain actions has been delegated to Councils, including a requirement for the Minister to consult with a Council before exercising the power. In this situation, a Council would end up consulting with itself.

---


Department of Conservation Te Puna Ataahai
Whare Kaupapa Atawhai / Conservation House
PO Box 10420, Wellington 6143,
www.doc.govt.nz
In view of the above, the Minister of Conservation is considering a proposal whether to revoke certain delegations and has requested that the Department seek your comments before the Minister makes a decision. Attachment 1 to this letter sets out the delegations proposed to be revoked and contains a column for you to insert your comments/views.

We also take this opportunity to invite you to identify any new delegation proposals that you believe would improve efficiencies for Councils and that you would like the Minister to consider. We ask that you use Attachment 2 for this purpose.

A table of the sections, the power delegated and the reasoning for the revocation is attached, along with a table for any new items you may want considered.

Please forward your responses no later than 26 April 2019 to Sheryll Johnson, sjohnson@doc.govt.nz. All comments will be collated and forwarded to the Minister for a final decision.

Nāku non, nā

Marie Long
Director, Planning, Permissions and Land
### Attachment 1 – Proposed Delegations for Revocation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Heading</th>
<th>Power Delegated</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 14</td>
<td>Section 14(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Council would be double dipping – i.e. making a resolution and then considering it again in the shoes of the Minister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authority may declare land vested in it to be a reserve for certain purposes</td>
<td>Minister must consider resolution and cause it to be gazetted or refuse to do so</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 15</td>
<td>Section 15(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>The delegation enables the Council to control the outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister may authorise exchange of reserves for other land</td>
<td>Minister may authorise exchange provided that Minister not exercise power in respect of a reserve vested in an administering body except pursuant to a resolution of that body requesting exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 15(2)</td>
<td>Section 15(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>This delegation is not necessary as s15(3) already authorises the administering body to do these things</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Minister or the administering body, as the case may require, may do all things necessary to effect any exchange, including the payment of money</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 24</td>
<td>Section 24(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>The delegation enables the local authority to make the resolution seeking the changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change of classification or purpose or revocation of reserve</td>
<td>If Minister considers the change of classification or purpose advisable or if the local authority notifies Commissioner that pursuant to a resolution of the local authority of proposed changes, Minister may make changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 24(2)(a)</td>
<td>Before classification or purpose is changed or reservation revoked, the Minister must consider proposal and, in the case of objections made to an administering body, the administering body’s resolution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 41</td>
<td>Section 41(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>The delegation seems inappropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Plans</td>
<td>Administering body must prepare and submit to Minister a management for approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 42</td>
<td>Section 42(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>As noted below it would not be appropriate to delegate to administering bodies the Minister’s power under s 48A(3) to impose conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservation of trees and bush</td>
<td>The destruction of trees and bush on any historic, scenic, nature or scientific reserve may not occur without a permit granted under s 48A or with the express consent of the Minister</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 45</th>
<th>Erection of shelters, cabins and lodges</th>
<th>Section 45(1) The administering body may with the Minister’s prior consent approve certain things</th>
<th>The delegation is inappropriate. The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 48</td>
<td>Grants of rights of way and other easements</td>
<td>Section 48(1) Where reserve vested in administering body, it may with the consent of the Minister grant rights of ways and easements</td>
<td>The delegation is inappropriate. The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 48A</td>
<td>Use of reserve for communication station</td>
<td>Section 48A(1) The administering body of a reserve vested in it acting with the consent of the Minister may grant a licence for certain things. Section 48A(3) A licence issued under s 48A(1) must be subject to such terms and conditions as the administering body imposes with the approval of the Minister.</td>
<td>The delegation is inappropriate. The administering body can give itself consent by exercising the delegation. The delegation is inappropriate. The administering body makes the initial decision on terms and conditions and can then ratify it by exercising the delegated power.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 51</td>
<td>Introduction of flora and fauna</td>
<td>Section 51(1) For the purpose of restoring, promoting or developing certain reserves, the Minister may authorise the administering body to introduce flora or fauna.</td>
<td>The delegation is inappropriate. In exercising the power of the Minister, the administering body is able to act in its own interests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 53</td>
<td>Powers (other than leasing) in respect of recreation reserves</td>
<td>Section 53(1)(d) The administering body may prescribe not more than 40 days in any year that the public shall not be entitled to have admission to reserve unless on payment of charges provided that with the Minister’s prior consent the number of days may be increased. Section 53(1)(e) The administering body may grant exclusive use of reserve but not for more than 6 consecutive days, with power for licensee to charge admission fees provided that the Minister may consent to an increase in the number of consecutive days.</td>
<td>The delegation is inappropriate. The administering body is able to increase the maximum number of days to exclude the public from a reserve unless they pay money, and then confirm the decision by exercising the delegated power. The delegation is inappropriate. The administering body makes the initial decision on closure and can then increase the period by exercising the Minister’s powers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 54</td>
<td>Leasing powers in respect of recreation reserves (except farming, grazing, or afforestation leases)</td>
<td>Section 54(1) With the prior consent of the Minister the administering body in which a reserve is vested may lease parts of a reserve to a third party.</td>
<td>The delegation is inappropriate. The administering body makes an initial decision to lease and then exercises the Minister’s powers to grant prior consent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 55</td>
<td>Powers (other than leasing) in respect of reserves</td>
<td>Section 55(2)(a) The administering body of a scenic reserve may.</td>
<td>The delegation is inappropriate. The administering body makes both</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with the prior consent of the Minister, enclose open parts of the reserve.

Section 55(2)(d)
The administering body of a scenic reserve may, with the prior consent of the Minister, set apart areas for gardens, baths, picnic grounds etc for the public.

Section 55(2)(e)
The administering body of the scenic reserve may, with the Minister’s prior consent, erect buildings on the reserve.

Section 55(2)(f)
The administering body of the scenic reserve may, with the prior consent of the Minister, do such things as it considers necessary, including the erection of buildings and structures for public use to obtain the enjoyment of the sea, lake, river or stream.

Section 55(2)(g)
The administering body of a scenic reserve may, with the prior consent of the Minister, set apart and use part of the reserves as sites for residences etc for the proper and beneficial management and administration of the reserve.

the initial decision and the Minister’s decision
The delegation is inappropriate
The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision
The delegation is inappropriate
The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision
The delegation is inappropriate
The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision
The delegation is inappropriate
The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 56</th>
<th>Leasing powers in respect of scenic reserves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 56(1)</td>
<td>With prior consent of the Minister, the administering body in the case of a scenic reserve may grant leases or licences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 56(2)</td>
<td>Before granting a lease, the administering body must give public notice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision
This delegation is not necessary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 58</th>
<th>Powers in respect of historic reserves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 58(b)</td>
<td>With prior consent of the Minister, the administering body may set apart and use part of an historic reserve for residences for officers and staff.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section 58A</th>
<th>Leasing powers in respect of historic reserves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 58A(1)</td>
<td>With prior consent of the Minister, the administering body of an historic reserve may grant leases or licences.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision
| Section 59A | Granting of concessions on reserves administered by Crown | Section 59A(1) | This seems inappropriate.
If administering bodies of vested reserves need the prior consent to Minister to grant leases and licences, why should administering bodies of controlled and managed reserves be able to grant concessions? |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 67</td>
<td>Leasing</td>
<td>Section 67(1)(b)</td>
<td>The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 72</td>
<td>Farming by another person or body</td>
<td>Section 72(1)</td>
<td>The delegation is inappropriate as the administering body would end up entering into an agreement with itself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 73</td>
<td>Leasing of recreation reserves for purposes of farming, grazing, afforestation or other purposes</td>
<td>Section 73(1)</td>
<td>The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 73(2)</td>
<td>The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 73(3)</td>
<td>The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 73(5)</td>
<td>Delegation is inappropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 73(6)</td>
<td>Delegation is unnecessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 74 Licences to occupy reserves temporarily</td>
<td>Section 74(1)(b)(i) Licences may be granted in the case of any reserve except a nature reserve by the Commissioner</td>
<td>This delegation is misconceived. This power relates to Crown vested reserves managed by the Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 75 Afforestation by administering body</td>
<td>Section 75(1) With prior consent of the Minister an administering body of a recreation reserve may afforest it. Section 75(2) Minister may refuse to give consent</td>
<td>The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision. The administering body makes both the initial decision and the Minister’s decision.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 16 Classification or reserves</td>
<td>Section 16(1) Minister must by GN classify reserves according to their primary purpose provided that where reserves are controlled or managed by a Council the Minister must not classify without consulting it. Section 16(4) Before classifying a reserve, the Minister must give public notice</td>
<td>The delegation effectively means the Council consults with itself. If the previous delegation is revoked this will need to be revoked as well</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 18 Historic reserves</td>
<td>Section 18(2)(a) Except where the Minister otherwise determines, the indigenous flora and fauna and natural environment of an historic reserve shall as far as possible be preserved</td>
<td>The Minister may wish to maintain control of these decisions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 19 Scenic reserves</td>
<td>Section 19(2)(a) Except where the Minister otherwise determines, the indigenous flora and fauna and natural environment of a scenic reserve classified for its scenic values shall as far as possible be preserved and exotic fauna and flora shall be exterminated. Section 19(3)(a) Except where the Minister otherwise determines, the flora and fauna, ecological associations and natural environment and beauty of a scenic reserve classified for the purpose of providing suitable areas to develop for purposes of generating scenic beauty or interest, shall as far as possible be preserved.</td>
<td>The Minister may wish to maintain control of these decisions. The Minister may wish to maintain control of these decisions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 24</td>
<td>Change of classification or purpose or revocation of reserve</td>
<td>Section 24(3)</td>
<td>No change of classification or purpose of a scenic, nature or scientific reserve to a recreation, historic, government purpose or local purpose should be made except where the Minister considers the purpose etc no longer appropriate because of destruction of bush or natural features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section 24(5)</td>
<td>The Minister may wish to maintain control of these decisions given the importance of the type of reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Minister may wish to maintain control of these decisions given the relative importance of historic reserves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 42</td>
<td>Preservation of trees and bush</td>
<td>Section 42(1)</td>
<td>Minister must consent to cutting or destruction of bush on any historic, scenic, nature or scientific reserve except in accordance with a permit under s 48A or with the express consent of the Minister and subject to any terms and conditions the Minister chooses to impose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The section 48A permit issue has been dealt with in the table above. The Minister may wish to maintain control over the circumstances of providing express consent to destroying or cutting down bush.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 50</td>
<td>Taking or killing of fauna</td>
<td>Section 50(1)</td>
<td>The Minister in the case of a scenic, historic, nature or scientific reserve and the administering body of any recreation, government purpose or local purpose reserve may grant any qualified person authorisation to take and kill any specified type of fauna and authorise the use of firearms etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Minister may wish to maintain control over authorisations on the killing etc of fauna on scenic, historic, nature and scientific reserves</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Attachment 2 – Proposals to amend / expand delegations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Heading</th>
<th>Power Delegated</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are you making a decision on a local board matter? Check the current versions of the local board delegations and local board protocols to make sure you have authority to act.

RESERVES ACT 1977

INSTRUMENT OF DELEGATION FOR TERRITORIAL AUTHORITIES

1. PURSUANT to section 10 of the Reserves Act 1977 I, NICK SMITH Minister of Conservation, DELEGATE to all territorial authorities (as defined in this Instrument of Delegation) such of my powers, functions and duties under the Reserves Act 1977 as are set out in the following Schedule subject to the Limitation of Powers in the Schedule and to the conditions in paragraph 2 of this Instrument.

2. The delegations in this Instrument apply only where the territorial authority is the administering body of the relevant reserve (i.e. affected by the decision to be made) by virtue of a vesting or an appointment to control and manage.

3. This Instrument replaces the previous Instrument of Delegation dated 10 March 2004, which is hereby revoked.

Definitions:

“Administering body” – means an administering body under the Reserves Act 1977.

“Territorial authority” – means a local authority and a unitary authority as defined in section 5 Local Government Act 2002.

“Vested reserve” – means a reserve vested in a territorial authority (not in the Crown).

SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF POWERS</th>
<th>LIMITATION OF POWERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6(3)</td>
<td>Revoke a Gazette notice and issue a fresh notice or amend the original notice.</td>
<td>Only applies to notices in the Gazette given by the territorial authority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14(4)</td>
<td>Gazette resolution to declare vested land to be reserve.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Note: it is, therefore, no longer necessary to consult the Commissioner in terms of sec 14(3) of the Act.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF POWERS</th>
<th>LIMITATION OF POWERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15(1)</td>
<td>Authorise or decline to authorise, by Gazette notice, the exchange of land in any reserve or any part(s) of a reserve for any other land to be held for purposes of that reserve.</td>
<td>Only to be exercised where the territorial authority did not derive title from the Crown, or title would be deemed not to be derived from the Crown if the reserve was going through a revocation process (s.25). The territorial authority must consult with the Crown before making a decision under s.15(1) if the land it proposes to grant in exchange was purchased with funds provided either wholly or partly by the Crown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15(2)</td>
<td>To do all things necessary to effect any exchange authorised by the local authority under Section 15(1) of the Act, or by the Crown in the case of vested reserves derived from the Crown, including the payment or receipt of any money by way of equality of exchange in the case of non-Crown derived reserves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16(1)</td>
<td>Classify, by Gazette notice, according to their principal or primary purpose all reserves.</td>
<td>[Note this delegation does not affect sections 16(2) and 16(2A) Reserves Act]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16(4)</td>
<td>To advertise the intention to classify a reserve in accordance with sec 16(1).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18(2)(c)</td>
<td>Determine in which cases exceptions can be made to the preservation of flora and fauna and the natural environment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19(2)(a)</td>
<td>Change the classification or purpose of a reserve by notice in the Gazette.</td>
<td>Does not apply to the revocation of reserves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24(2)</td>
<td>To consider all objections received to a proposed change of classification or purpose.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24(3)</td>
<td>To form an opinion that the change of classification or purpose of a scenic, nature or scientific reserve is justified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF POWERS</th>
<th>LIMITATION OF POWERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24(5)</td>
<td>To form an opinion that the change in the classification of a historic reserve is justified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25(1)</td>
<td>Upon revocation of the reservation of any public reserve (or part of one) pursuant to section 24 Reserves Act, dispose of that land in such manner and for such purpose as the Minister specifies.</td>
<td>The delegation only applies where the title to the reserve was not derived from the Crown, or is deemed not to be derived from the Crown in terms of s.25(4) or (5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41(1)</td>
<td>To approve reserve management plans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42(1)</td>
<td>Give or decline to give express written consent to the cutting or destruction of trees and bush on any historic, scenic, nature, or scientific reserve.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44(1)</td>
<td>Determine terms and conditions subject to which written consent is given.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44(2)</td>
<td>To consent to the use of a reserve for temporary or permanent personal accommodation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>To consent to any vehicle caravan, tent or removable structure remaining on a reserve during the period 1 November to 31 March.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Give or decline to give prior approval to administering body to erect, or authorise any voluntary organisation or educational institution to erect shelters, huts, cabins, lodges etc., on any recreation or scenic reserve.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECTON</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF POWERS</td>
<td>LIMITATION OF POWERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48(1)</td>
<td>Consent or refuse consent to administering body granting rights of way and other easements over any part of a vested reserve for any of the purposes specified in section 48(1). Impose such conditions as it thinks fit in giving the consent.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48A(1)</td>
<td>Consent or refuse consent to administering body granting a licence over a vested reserve to any person or department of State -&lt;br&gt;a) To erect, maintain and use buildings, dwellings, masts and other structures, and plant and machinery; and &lt;br&gt;b) To construct, maintain, and use tracks and engage in other works - for any of the purposes specified in section 48A(1).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48A(2)</td>
<td>Approve terms and conditions determined by the administering body.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Grant or decline to grant in writing any qualified person a right to take specified specimens of flora or fauna or rock mineral or soil from a reserve for scientific or educational purposes. Form opinion as to whether qualified person has the necessary credentials. Impose conditions on the grant in writing.</td>
<td>With regard to fauna, the delegation is for exotic fauna which are not protected under the Wildlife Act 1953.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50(1)</td>
<td>Authorise or decline to authorise any person to take and kill any specified kind of fauna that may be found in any scenic, historic, nature or scientific reserve. Authorise or decline to authorise the use of firearms, traps, nets or other like objects within reserve for the foregoing purposes.</td>
<td>The delegation is for non-protected exotic fauna only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECTION</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF POWERS</td>
<td>LIMITATION OF POWERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51(c)</td>
<td>Authorise or decline to authorise in writing an administering body to introduce indigenous flora or fauna or exotic flora into any scenic reserve for any of the purposes referred to in section 51(i). Imose conditions on the giving of the authorisation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52(i)</td>
<td>Declare by Gazette notice that any 2 or more reserves, or parts of 2 or more reserves, or parts of one or more reserves and the whole of one or more other reserves, are to be united to form one reserve.</td>
<td>All affected reserves or parts of reserves must have the same administering body and must all either be vested in that body or all held under an appointment to control and manage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 (i)(d)</td>
<td>To consent to an increase in the number days the public shall not be entitled to have admission to a reserve.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 (i)(e)</td>
<td>To approve the fixing of charges generally or with respect to any specified occasion or event.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54(i)</td>
<td>Give or decline to give prior consent to administering body, in the case of a recreation reserve vested in it, to grant leases for any of the purposes specified in paragraphs (a), (b), (c) and to grant a lease or licence for any of the purposes specified in paragraph (d) and to exercise all powers of the Minister referred to in the First Schedule that pertain to leases under s54(i)(a), (b), (c) and (d).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SECTION SUMMARY OF POWERS**

Give or decline prior consent to administering body permitting, in a lease, the erection of buildings and structures for sports, games or public recreation not directly associated with outdoor recreation.

Consent or decline consent to variations or amendments to leases and consent to the carrying out of any other necessary actions arising out of the leases consistent with the First Schedule, Reserves Act.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>56(b)(3)(c) (g), (e) (f) and (g)</th>
<th>In the case of a scenic reserve to give or decline to give consent to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- the enclosure and grassing or grazing of open parts of the reserve;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- the setting apart of areas for other purposes;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- the erection of buildings and other structures and amenities;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- such things considered necessary for the public to obtain the benefit of the reserve;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- the setting apart of sites for residences and other buildings and structures necessary for the management of the reserve.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LIMITATION OF POWERS**

Must be satisfied that the facilities, amenities, buildings or structures are necessary and cannot readily be provided outside or in close proximity to the reserve.
### Summary of Powers

**Section 56(1)**
- Give or decline prior consent to administering body, in the case of a scenic reserve vested in it, to grant leases or licences for the purposes set out in s.56(1) and to exercise all powers of the Minister referred to in the First Schedule that pertain to leases under s.56(3)(a) and (b).

Consent or decline consent to variations or amendments to leases and licences, and consent to the carrying out of any other necessary actions arising out of the leases and licences consistent with the First Schedule, Reserves Act.

**Section 56(2)**
- Give public notice in accordance with section 119 of the Reserves Act and give full consideration in accordance with section 120 to all objections and submissions.

**Section 58(3)**
- Set apart and use part of a reserve as a site for residences and other buildings.

**Section 58A(1)**
- Give or decline prior consent to administering body, in the case of an historic reserve vested in it, to grant leases or licences for any of the purposes specified in that subsection.

Consent or decline consent to variations or amendments to leases and licences and consent to the carrying out of any other necessary actions arising out of the leases and licences, consistent with the First Schedule, Reserves Act.
## Attachment B

### Item 20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF POWERS</th>
<th>LIMITATION OF POWERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s58A(c)</td>
<td>In accordance with Part IIIIB Conservation Act 1987, grant or refuse a concession in respect of any reserve controlled or managed by an administering body under s.28 Reserves Act so that the administering body may apply Part IIIIB as if references in that Part to a conservation area were references to such a reserve and references to the Minister of Conservation and to the Director-General of Conservation are references to an administering body.</td>
<td>§ Note sec 72(3) applies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67(1)(b)</td>
<td>Consent or decline consent to lease of recreation reserve set apart for race course purposes, to a racing club.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72(1)</td>
<td>To enter into and agree the terms of a lease or other agreement for the farming of a recreation or local purpose reserve.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72(1)</td>
<td>Consent or decline prior consent to an administering body granting a lease of recreation reserve in the circumstances specified in s.72(1), where the reserve is vested in the administering body, and consent or decline prior consent to an administering body granting a lease in the circumstances specified in section 72(1) in all other cases.</td>
<td>Exercise all powers of the Minister referred to in the First Schedule that pertain to leases under s.72(1).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF POWERS</th>
<th>LIMITATION OF POWERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72(2)</td>
<td>Consent or decline prior consent to an administering body granting a lease of recreation reserve for afforestation where the reserve is vested in the administering body, and consent or decline prior consent to an administering body granting a lease of recreation reserve for afforestation purposes in all other cases.</td>
<td>Exercise all powers of the Minister referred to in the First Schedule that pertain to leases under s.72(2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73(3)</td>
<td>Form opinion as to whether recreation reserve is not likely to be used for purposes of a recreation reserve. Consent or decline consent to administering body granting leases of whole or part of reserve vested in administering body. Grant or decline to grant leases of whole or part of a reserve held under an appointment to control and manage. Exercise all powers of the Minister referred to in the First Schedule that pertain to leases under s.72(3).</td>
<td>Note: The provisions of Part IIIB Conservation Act apply (s.73(3A)(b)) Note: s.73(3A) (a) applies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73(4)</td>
<td>Consent or decline consent in writing to a member of an administering body becoming the lessee of any land under the control of that body.</td>
<td>Only exercisable where the original approval for the lease was given by the territorial authority under this delegation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73(6)</td>
<td>Consent or decline consent to surrender of lease.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74(1)(6)(ii) (proviso)</td>
<td>Consent or decline consent to granting of a licence to occupy a historic, scenic or scientific reserve.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Are you making a decision on a local board matter? Check the current versions of the local board delegations and local board protocols to make sure you have authority to act.
SECTION 75(1) and (2) Consent or decline to consent to the afforestation of a recreation or local purpose reserve.

121 Where under the provisions of the Reserves Act consent or approval is required, give consent or approval subject to such conditions as are thought fit.

LIMITATION OF POWERS

Only exercisable in respect of matters delegated under this Instrument of Delegation.

SIGNED at Wellington this 13th day of Jul. 2013

by NICK SMITH
Minister of Conservation
DOC proposal to revoke Reserves Act delegations

Kim Bellingham, Legal Services
Purpose

- To brief elected members on DOC proposal to revoke most Ministerial delegations to local authorities under the Reserves Act
  - In order to obtain feedback for 26 April deadline, pending confirmation from DOC of an extension to 17 May
  - Draft submission circulated 15 April
  - Feedback required from you by 18 April
The DOC proposal

• Letter to all local authorities proposing to revoke 44 of the 50 Reserves Act delegated Ministerial powers and seeking comment
  – Reasoning less than clear
  – Letter refers to comments on the delegations made by Court of Appeal in its July 2018 decision Opua Coastal Preservation Inc v Far North District Council, recently appealed to the Supreme Court
  – DOC view on lawfulness inferred from Opua
  – Different reasoning in table, eg re management planning; desire to retain control of decisions on “important” types of reserves
Context

- Reserves Act s 10 provides for delegation of Ministerial powers to local authorities
- Current delegations to local authorities since 2013
  - To better enable local authorities to make decisions affecting reserves: “conservation with communities”
- Delegations primarily exercised by Governing Body, sub-delegated to staff
- Many reserve decisions require both administering body (ie local board) decision and Ministerial decision
- Approx 80% reserves owned by Auckland Council
- Approx 20% Crown reserves administered by council
Key decisions proposed to be revoked:

- Classification (eg recreation, scenic, historic, local purpose)
- Reclassification
- Exchanges
- Management plans
- Easements
- Leases and licences
- Concessions for Crown-owned reserves administered by council
- Vegetation/flora and fauna decisions
Implications

- Impact on local governance of local reserves
  - Reserve decisions currently made within the Auckland Council dual governance structure would need to be approved by DOC officers in Hamilton or Wellington
  - Cuts across intent of better enabling local authorities to make decisions affecting local reserves
  - Implications for local park management planning (omnibus plan) process underway
Department of Conservation proposal to revoke Reserves Act 1977 delegations

- DOC cost-recoveries (based on recent examples could be $2,000-$3,000 per decision)
- Cost
  - Based on volume of decisions
  - Year not unusual

- Based on evidence of current timing of DOC (eg 1 delay)

- E.g. Tūpuna Manaūgā Authority
- Treaty breach re settlement commitments

Implications cont'd.
Next steps

- Draft submission circulated 15 April for elected member feedback by 18 April
- Feedback incorporated into Auckland Council submission to DOC for 26 April deadline
- Retrospective approval by Environment and Community Committee 14 May
- Participation in LNZ-led submission
- Consideration of options, should the proposal to revoke the delegations proceed
Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028

File No.: CP2019/04687

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To approve local financial matters for the local board agreement 2019/2020, which need to be considered by the Governing Body in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 process.

2. To seek feedback on regional topics in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

3. Auckland Council’s Annual Budget contains 21 local board agreements which are the responsibility of local boards. These agreements set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures. This report seeks decisions on local financial matters for the local board agreement, including:
   - any new/amended local targeted rate proposals
   - proposed locally driven initiative (LDI) capital projects outside local boards’ decision-making responsibility
   - release of local board specific reserve funds
   - any advocacy initiatives (to be included in the appendix).

4. Auckland Council consulted with the public from 17 February to 17 March 2019 to seek community views on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, and local board priorities to be included in the local board agreements. This report seeks local board views on both of these plans:
   - regional annual budget topics: including changes to rates and fees, the draft Tūpuna Maunga o Tamaki Makaurau Authority – Operational Plan 2019/2020, and other budget information
   - the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers.

5. Auckland Council also consulted on the Our Water Future discussion document. A draft strategy from the Our Water Future discussion document will be developed. Local boards will have the opportunity to provide input into this in early 2020.

6. Local board views on these regional plans will be considered by the Governing Body (or relevant committee) before making final decisions on the plans.
Recommendations

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) receive consultation feedback on the Waiheke Local Board priorities for 2019/2020.

b) recommend any new or amended local targeted rate proposals to the Governing Body.

c) recommend that the Governing Body approves any proposed locally driven initiative capital projects, which are outside local boards’ decision-making responsibility.

d) approve its advocacy initiatives for inclusion (as an appendix) to its 2019/2020 Local Board Agreement.

e) receive consultation feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers from people or organisations based in the Waiheke Local Board area.

f) provide feedback on the Annual Budget 2019/2020.

g) provide feedback on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028.

Horopaki

Context

7. Local board agreements form part of the Auckland Council’s annual budget and set out local funding priorities, budgets, levels of service and performance measures. This report details local board decisions and recommendations that need to be made in April/early-May to allow them to be considered by the Governing Body in the annual budget process.

8. Local boards also advocate to the Governing Body for funding for projects that cannot be accommodated within their local budgets. These advocacy initiatives are attached as an appendix to the local board agreement.

9. Local boards are responsible for providing local input into regional strategies, policies and plans. Local board plans reflect community priorities and preferences and are key documents that guide both the development of local board agreements and input into regional plans.

10. Auckland Council publicly consulted on the following two plans from 17 February to 17 March 2019:

   • annual budget (which includes both regional issues and local board key priorities)
   • the proposed amendment to the 10-year budget.

11. Across the region, 2278 people attended 65 engagement events, including two in the Waiheke Local Board area. Feedback was received through written, event and social media channels.

12. Consultation feedback on the Waiheke Local Board priorities for 2019/2020 and on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year budget regarding property transfers from people or organisations based in the Waiheke Local Board area are set out in Attachment A. The feedback on local board priorities will be considered by the local board before they agree their local board agreement in early June 2019.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

Local financial matters for the local board agreement
13. This report allows the local board to agree its input and recommend other local financial matters to the Governing Body in early May 2019. This is to allow time for the Governing Body to consider these items in the annual budget process (decisions made in June 2019).

Local targeted rate and business improvement district (BID) targeted rate proposals
14. Local boards are required to endorse any new locally targeted rate proposals or BID targeted rate proposals in their local board area (noting that any new local targeted rates and/or BIDs must have been consulted on before they can be implemented).

Funding for locally driven initiatives (LDI)
15. Local boards are allocated funding annually to spend on local projects or programmes that are important to their communities. This funding is for ‘locally driven initiatives’ or LDI. Local boards can approve LDI capital projects up to $1 million; projects over that amount need approval from the Governing Body.
16. Local boards can recommend to the Governing Body to convert LDI operational funding to capital expenditure for 2019/2020 if there is a specific need to do so, or Governing Body approval may be needed for the release of local board specific reserve funds, which are funds being held by the council for a specific purpose.

Local board advocacy
17. Local boards are requested to approve any advocacy initiatives for consideration by the Governing Body and inclusion (as an appendix) to the 2019/2020 Local Board Agreement, noting that in this triennium, a longer-term approach has been taken to progress initiatives that are unable to be funded by local board budgets. The approach used the annual budget, 10-year budget and local board plan processes to progress and advise on a narrower range of local board initiatives in a more comprehensive way.
18. As part of the 10-year Budget 2018-2028, additional funding was provided to progress the priority advocacy initiative of each local board (the one local initiative (OLI)). All OLIs are progressing with funding either allocated or earmarked in the 10-year budget.

Local board input on regional plans
19. Local boards have a statutory responsibility for identifying and communicating the interests and preferences of the people in its local board area in relation to the context of the strategies, policies, plans, and bylaws of Auckland Council. This report provides an opportunity for the local board to provide input on two plans, the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers.

Regional issues in the Annual Budget 2019/2020
20. The annual budget sets out Auckland Council priorities and how it is going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed annual budget focused on two topics:
   - changes to rates and fees
     - annual waste management changes
     - food scraps targeted rate
     - Waitākere rural sewerage targeted rate
     - urban boundary rating
21. The consultation on the annual budget also included key priorities for each local board area. Decisions on local board priorities will be made when local board agreements are considered in June 2019.

22. The feedback form contained one question relating to changes to rates and fees. Consultation feedback received from the Waiheke Local Board area on key regional issues in the annual budget are summarised in Attachment A, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

23. Local boards may wish to provide feedback on these regional issues for consideration by the Governing Body.

The proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers

24. The regional consultation on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 focused on a proposal to transfer the legal ownership of $790 million of city centre waterfront properties from Panuku to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility.

25. The feedback form contained one question relating to this proposed amendment. Consultation feedback received from the Waiheke Local Board area on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers is summarised in Attachment A.

26. Local boards may wish to provide feedback on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers for consideration by the Governing Body.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera

27. The Annual Budget 2019/2020 is an Auckland Council group document and will include budgets at a consolidated group level. Consultation items and updates to budgets to reflect decisions and new information may include items from across the group.

28. The key impact of the proposed amendment to the 10-year budget regarding property transfers on the group is the potential impact on Panuku. Panuku staff and board have been engaged in the development of these options. Governing Body will make their decision regarding this on 20 June 2019.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe

Local impacts and local board views

29. Local board decisions and feedback are being sought in this report. Local boards have a statutory role in providing local board feedback on regional plans.

30. Local boards play an important role in the development of the annual budget and local board agreements form part of the annual budget. Local board nominees have also attended Finance and Performance Committee workshops on the annual budget, and a special briefing was arranged on the proposed amendment to the 10-year budget regarding property transfers.
Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

31. Many local board decisions are of importance to and impact on Māori. Local board agreements and the annual budget are important tools that enable and can demonstrate council’s responsiveness to Māori.

32. Local board plans, which were developed in 2017 through engagement with the community including Māori, form the basis of local priorities. There is a need to continue to build relationships between local boards and iwi, and where relevant the wider Māori community.

33. Attachment A includes analysis of submissions made by mana whenua and mataawaka entities who have interests in the rohe/local board area.

34. Ongoing conversations will assist local boards and Māori to understand each other’s priorities and issues. This in turn can influence and encourage Māori participation in council’s decision-making processes.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

35. This report is asking for local board decisions on financial matters in local board agreements that need to then be considered by the Governing Body.

36. Local boards are also providing input to regional plans. There is information in the consultation material for each plan with the financial implications of different options.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

37. Local boards need to make recommendations on these local financial matters for the Annual Budget 2019/2020 by 8 May 2019, in order for the Governing Body to be able to make decisions on them when considering the annual budget in May 2019.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

38. Local boards will approve their local board agreements and corresponding work programmes in June.

39. Recommendations and feedback from local boards will be provided to the relevant Governing Body committees for consideration during decision-making, as outlined in the table below:

Decision dates for regional plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Decision-maker</th>
<th>Scheduled meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Budget 2019/2020</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>22 May 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>22 May 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
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Annual Budget 2019/2020 consultation feedback report for Waiheke Local Board

1. Purpose

This report summarises feedback relating to the Waiheke Local Board received through the Annual Budget 2019/2020 consultation. This includes:

- Feedback on the Waiheke Local Board priorities for 2019/2020
- Feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 from people or organisations based in the Waiheke local board area

The feedback received will inform the Waiheke Local Board decisions on allocation of their local budgets in their local board agreement for 2019/2020. It will also inform the Waiheke Local Board input and advocacy on regional budgets and proposals that will be agreed at their business meeting on 18 April and subsequently discussed with the Finance and Performance Committee on 8 May.
2. Executive Summary

This report summarises consultation feedback on the Annual Budget 2019/2020 (including on local board priorities for 2019/2020).

Council received feedback in person at community engagement events, through written forms (including online and hard copy forms, emails and letters) and through social media.

Feedback on Waiheke Local Board priorities for 2019/2020

The local board consulted on the following priorities:

- Priority 1: Progressing the Matiatia Masterplan
- Priority 2: Continuing ecological restoration, improving the quality of our waterways and predator management
- Priority 3: Staged implementation of the Waiheke Paths (Greenways) Plan including safe walking access, particularly to and from schools
- Priority 4: Completion of management plans for our local parks
- Priority 5: Improvements to Tawaipareira Reserve including skatepark renewal
- Priority 6: Progressing a community-managed swimming pool
- Priority 7: Continuing the investigation of a visitor levy to enable us to afford to protect and enhance the island’s amenities and ecosystems
- Priority 8: Encouraging and supporting carbon reduction initiatives
- Priority 9: Redesigning our waste stream to ensure we reduce our costs and usage over time
- Priority 10: Continuing to implement actions identified in our new Housing Strategy

23 submissions were received on Waiheke local board priorities for 2019/2020, showing that most people either partially support (38 per cent) or support (29 per cent) the local board’s priorities.

Feedback on regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020 from the Waiheke local board area

Out of the 5250 submissions received on the regional proposals in the Annual Budget 2019/2020, 36 submissions were from people living in the Waiheke local board area. Below is a summary of their feedback.

Changes to rates and fees

1. A $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs

   Feedback was largely not supportive with comments noting that costs should be covered by those who create excess waste, and that any increase in rates should be used to improve the management of Auckland’s waste, for example improved recycling programmes.

2. Extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2000 properties in the North Shore former trial area to whom the service is available

   Feedback was mixed with a few commenting that people living on Waiheke already compost their food scraps. However, there was general support that the council should support all initiatives which reduce waste.
3. Phasing out the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020-2020/2021)
   Feedback was received from one person who didn’t support the phasing out of the service as the current regime ensured compliance.

4. Adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)
   Feedback was supportive of urban rates for these properties.

5. Not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations
   Feedback was predominantly not supportive of this proposal.

6. An increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs
   
   **Resource consent and building fees:**
   Feedback was not supportive of the increased charges due to the high cost already, and that it will make it harder for people to do minor improvements.

   **Harbourmaster fees:**
   Feedback was generally not supportive. Comments were made that current support received by the Harbourmaster was minimal and that responsible boat owners shouldn’t have to pay for those that leave boats damaged or abandoned.

**Feedback on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers**

Out of the 2450 submissions received on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers, 20 submissions were from people living in the Waiheke local board area.

Feedback was predominantly supportive of this proposal.

3. **Context**

Auckland Council consulted on its Annual Budget 2019/2020 and a proposed Amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers between 17 February and 17 March 2019.

The Annual Budget 2019/2020 must include a Local Board Agreement for the Waiheke Local Board which sets out our priorities and how we’re going to pay for them.

Auckland Council also consulted on the Our Water Future discussion document at the same time. The feedback received on this discussion document will be presented at a later date.

**Types of feedback**

Overall Auckland Council received feedback from 8496 people in the consultation period. This feedback was received through:

- **Written feedback** – 5250 hard copy and online forms, emails and letters
- **In person** – feedback from 1246 people was received through 14 have your say events and 51 community events.
- **Social media** – 25 comments were received through Facebook and Twitter.
4. Feedback received on Waiheke Local Board priorities for 2019/2020

The Waiheke Local Board consulted on the following priorities:

- Priority 1: Progressing the Matiatia Masterplan
- Priority 2: Continuing ecological restoration, improving the quality of our waterways and predator management
- Priority 3: Staged implementation of the Waiheke Paths (Greenways) Plan including safe walking access, particularly to and from schools
- Priority 4: Completion of management plans for our local parks
- Priority 5: Improvements to Tawaipareira Reserve including skatepark renewal
- Priority 6: Progressing a community-managed swimming pool
- Priority 7: Continuing the investigation of a visitor levy to enable us to afford to protect and enhance the island’s amenities and ecosystems
- Priority 8: Encouraging and supporting carbon reduction initiatives
- Priority 9: Redesigning our waste stream to ensure we reduce our costs and usage over time
- Priority 10: Continuing to implement actions identified in our new Housing Strategy

Key themes across all feedback received (through written, event and social media channels) were:

- Rates – the council should address efficiency rather than increase rates.
- Waste / Recycling – concerns about waste management and recycling in general, and support for investigating innovative ways to reduce waste.
- Transport – concerns about public transport and parking costs.

A summary of the feedback received through each channel is provided below.

Feedback received through written submissions

23 submissions were received on Waiheke Local Board priorities for 2019/2020, showing that the majority of people either partially support (38 per cent) or support (29 per cent) the local board’s priorities.

Of those submissions that either did not support or partially supported, 40 per cent related to issues outside the local board decision-making responsibility and are current local board advocacy areas to the Governing Body.

These included:

- Rates – the council should address efficiency rather than increase rates.
- Waste / Recycling – concerns about waste management and recycling in general, and support for investigating innovative ways to reduce waste.
- Transport – concerns about public transport and parking costs, and support for better footpaths and cycleways.
- Visitors – concerns about the impact on infrastructure and the environment.
Accommodation provider targeted rates (APTR): A submission was received from the Waiheke Accommodation Providers group, on behalf of the 400 home owners managed by their organisation, raising concerns about the APTR. They are requesting the council move Waiheke from zone B to zone C, therefore becoming exempt from the rate, alongside Franklin, Great Barrier, Papakura, Rodney and the Waitakere ranges. A petition has been presented to a Parliament Select Committee about this matter.

**Information on submitters**

The tables and graphs below indicate what demographic categories people identified with. This information only relates to those submitters who provided demographic information.
5. Overview of feedback received on the Annual Budget from Waiheke Local Board area

The Annual Budget 2019/2020 sets out our priorities and how we’re going to pay for them. The regional consultation on the proposed Annual Budget focused on changes to rates and fees:

- Changes to rates and fees
  - Annual waste management changes
  - Food scraps targeted rate
  - Waitākere rural sewerage targeted rate
  - Urban boundary rating
  - Religious properties rates
  - Regulatory fees

The submissions received from the Waiheke Local Board area on these key issues is summarised below, along with an overview of any other areas of feedback on regional proposals with a local impact.

**Changes to rates and fees**

Auckanders were asked about a proposed small number of rating and fee changes.

| Question 1: We are proposing a small number of rating and fee changes for 2019/2020 |
| These changes include: |
| • a $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs |
| • extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2000 properties in the North Shore former trial area to whom the service is available |
| • phasing out the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020-2020/2021) |
| • adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours) |
| • not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations, and |
| • an increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs. |
| Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes |

The graphs below give an overview of the responses from the Waiheke Local Board area.
Changes to rates and fees

- 2 (Support), 1 (Partially), 7 (Do not support)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>Not Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Changes to rates and fees (generally)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste management targeted rate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food scraps collection targeted rate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(North Shore trial area)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitakere rural sewerage service</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban rating area</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Rating of religious use properties</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes to regulatory fees

- 1 (Support), 2 (Partially), 8 (Do not support)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>Not Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory fees (generally)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource consent fees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building consent fees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbour master - Mooring fees</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbour master - Foreign vessel...</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal management fees</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WAIHEKE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changes to rates and fees</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>Do not support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Changes to rates and fees (generally)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste management targeted rate</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food scraps collection targeted rate (North Sh)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitakere rural sewerage service</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban rating area</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating of religious use properties</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory fees (generally)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource consent fees</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building consent fees</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbour master fees</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbour master - Mooring fees</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbour master - Foreign vessel charges</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal management fees</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes to regulatory fees (generally)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Common themes that arose from feedback are summarised below.

1. **A $20.67 increase to the annual waste management charge to cover increased costs**
   Feedback was largely not supportive with comments noting that costs should be covered by those who create excess waste, and that any increase in rates should be used to improve the management of Auckland’s waste, for example improved recycling programmes.

2. **Extending the food scraps targeted rate to 2000 properties in the North Shore former trial area to whom the service is available**
   Feedback was mixed with a few commenting that people living on Waiheke already compost their food scraps. However, there was general support that the council should support all initiatives which reduce waste.

3. **Phasing out the Waitākere rural sewerage service and targeted rate over a two-year period (2019/2020-2020/2021)**
   Feedback was received from one person who didn’t support the phasing out of the service as the current regime ensured compliance.

4. **Adjusting the urban rating boundary to apply urban rates to 400 properties in recently urbanised areas (that receive the same services as their adjacent urban neighbours)**
   Feedback was supportive of urban rates for these properties.

5. **Not charging rates on some parts of the land owned by religious organisations**
   Feedback was predominantly not supportive of this proposal.

6. **An increase to some regulatory fees (such as resource consent, building control and mooring fees) to cover increased costs**
   **Resource consent and building fees:**
   Feedback was not supportive of the increased charges due to the high cost already, and that it will make it harder for people to do minor improvements.
   **Harbourmaster fees:**
   Feedback was generally not supportive. Comments were made that current support received by the Harbourmaster was minimal and that responsible boat owners shouldn’t have to pay for those that leave boats damaged or abandoned.

7. **Additional themes**
   Further feedback was provided about the following themes.
   - Rates – the council should address efficiency rather than increase rates.
   - Waste / Recycling – concerns about waste management and recycling in general, and support for investigating innovative ways to reduce waste.
   - Auckland City Mission – support for HomeGround proposal.
   - Transport – concerns about public transport and parking costs.
6. Overview of feedback received on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers from Waiheke Local Board area

The question and text below is directly from the feedback form. The feedback from the Waiheke Local Board area is set out under the question.

**Question 1:**

Panuku is Auckland Council’s urban development agency and currently owns and manages about $790 million of city centre waterfront properties. We are proposing to transfer the legal ownership of those properties to Auckland Council. Panuku would continue to manage the properties. The resulting ownership structure would reduce governance duplication, increase consistency with other development areas and maximise future flexibility. The city centre waterfront properties are strategic assets so we want to know what you think of the proposal.

*What is your opinion about this proposal?*

Out of the 2422 submissions received on the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028 regarding property transfers, 20 submissions were from people living in the Waiheke local board area.

Feedback was predominantly supportive of this proposal. The graph below gives an overview of the responses from the Waiheke Local Board area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Sub #</th>
<th>Home board</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sub category</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>The priorities proposed would not help the local population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Am not aware of proposed priorities for Waiheke.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Live on Waiheke Island. What do my rates cover?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Guaranteed supply of fresh water? No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Efficient waste treatment? No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate public transport? No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Once a week a collection of a red plastic rubbish bag? Yes. Big deal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stop pushing your city—based cost model onto those that can not access it. It’s illegal to contract for something you know you can not provide so why does the council get away with it?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>The Board is ineffectual and achieves sod all for Waiheke residents. How about Council spending some of the $ millions they get from ferry levies to improve parking and the wharfs areas and foster competition. How comes the rest of Auckland gets free park and ride and we get to pay and sail... and no subsidies on our ticket prices... where is the local board on these issues... absent as usual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>More money for roads and a bicycle network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>We need regulated competitive ferry services. Waiheke gets more visitors a year than Israel yet why do we have a monopoly passenger service? Parking at Matiatia is inadequate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>They have totally lost the residents needs. Like Auckland City they think the island is a tourist centre only. We desperately need drainage issues sorted on the island something no one is paying heed to yet go on about clean waterways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>AA dock costs from central Government need to be made.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Few foreshore making walking dangerous.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of parking Onetangi beach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Discourage traffic via main street Onetangi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>The current chairman campaigns vigorously promoting to locals come here... The board has set up and watched commuters expenses rise by up to $1500 per year in some cases. The Board did not support local accommodation providers in their bid to replicate the increase in rates for accommodation providers. There has been little done to improve amenities and roads on the island.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>The Waiheke local board puts too much priority on tourism and business and not enough on the locals. Older and young people are driven away by rising rates and too high rental costs, while most houses along the beaches stand empty for most of the year. It’s outrageous where the Council allows for building new houses, which will then stand empty for most of the year. All for the rich people who can pay, no consideration for our environment and nature!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>See comments above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>See comments above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3016</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>See comments above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>See comments above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Partially</td>
<td>THERE'S MORE TO DO THAN WEED — A WRITERS RESIDENCE(?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>A BUS SERVICE WOULD BE GOOD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I agree with the Local board that the island is seriously affected by the huge numbers of visitors who make no contribution and clog up roads and cause deterioration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If the Local Board is given the authority and the money to do the things that islanders want that would be an improvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Seem reasonable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1877</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3113</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3787</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>4272</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>4669</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>3-O3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>in your opinion, have we got our priorities right for -</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Waikato</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td>Waikato</td>
<td>3-G3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for 10-year Budget 2018 - 2028?</td>
<td>No response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3070</td>
<td>Waikato</td>
<td>3-G3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>In your opinion, have we got our priorities right for 10-year Budget 2018 - 2028?</td>
<td>No response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>Waikato</td>
<td>3-G3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>Any Other Feedback</td>
<td>LB Local governance &amp; support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>Waikato</td>
<td>3-G3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>Any Other Feedback</td>
<td>LB Local governance &amp; support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>Waikato</td>
<td>3-G3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>Any Other Feedback</td>
<td>LB Local governance &amp; support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>Waikato</td>
<td>3-G3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>Any Other Feedback</td>
<td>LB Local parks, sport &amp; recreation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td>Waikato</td>
<td>3-G3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>Any Other Feedback</td>
<td>LB Local parks, sport &amp; recreation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>Waikato</td>
<td>3-G3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>Any Other Feedback</td>
<td>LB Local planning; LB Local economic development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>Waikato</td>
<td>3-G3 - Local Board Priorities</td>
<td>Any Other Feedback</td>
<td>LB Other comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Matiatia master plan. This should have been solved twenty years ago so let's do something practical this year instead of the empty promises made by Auckland Council, Auckland Transport, Panuku and ATED to make Matiatia a practical working transport hub for the Waiheke community, tourists and travelers.

Our parks and reserves have been poorly administered by the council for many years and ongoing problems have escalated. If these problems had been sorted in the first place then we would have a swimming pool by now.

Tawapiwai needs a large payment for infrastructure failures not skatepark renewals if the whole catchment and its aquifer are not to be permanently compromised.

I agree with the Local Board that the island is seriously affected by the huge numbers of visitors who make no contribution and clog up roads and cause deterioration. If the Local Board is given the authority and the money to do the things that islanders want that would be an improvement.

Rates should not go up. Now on Waiheke you make street parking a charge. Not good on an island. We are not a city Too many over 100000 paid staff. Need to cut back.

The board did not support local accommodation providers in their bid to repeal the increase in rates for accommodation providers.

No concern for the state of the Hauraki Gulf as a whole. Major reports are belatedly attracting financial help for a few problems that have compounded over the years with more attention paid to the governance of the gulf rather than solving the problems.

Our parks and reserves have been poorly administered by the council for many years and ongoing problems have escalated. If these problems had been sorted in the first place then we would have a swimming pool by now. Tawapiwai needs a large payment for infrastructure failures not skatepark renewals if the whole catchment and its aquifer are not to be permanently compromised.

The Waiheke local board puts much priority on tourism and business and not enough on the locals. Older and young people are driven away by rising rates and too high rental costs, while most houses along the beaches stand empty for most of the year. It’s outrageous where the Council allows for building new houses, which will then stand empty for most of the year! All for the rich people who can pay, no consideration for our environment and nature!

I am not aware of proposed priorities for Waiheke.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In</th>
<th>Sub #</th>
<th>Home Local Category</th>
<th>Sub-category 1</th>
<th>Sub-category 2</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Selected Option</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rating &amp; Funding</td>
<td>Expenditure/debt</td>
<td>Council should be budgeting for 10% reduction in operating expenditure. Council spending is out of control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rating &amp; Funding</td>
<td>Focus on core services; rating policy</td>
<td>Why do ratepayers consistently year after year pay more and more rates — which is becoming extortion. We only have limited income and we manage on no increases each year, but with less money to assign to other aspects of our lives. WHY DO YOU NEED TO KEEP DOING THIS!!!???? Are you wanting people to move out of this city forever and put a delightful experience behind them? That's what it's leading to. Stop increasing costs to taxpayers and start trimming back your wastefulness. We are not an endless pit of money. Accordingly, I am not going to agree to any increases. Start better managing your resources — I have.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rating &amp; Funding</td>
<td>Grants and subsidies</td>
<td>I would like to see the proposed $55M grant to the Auckland City Mission go ahead. I fully support the Council in funding project Mission HomeGround.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rating &amp; Funding</td>
<td>Rating policy</td>
<td>Council must find other ways of saving significant amounts of money other than just raising rates all the time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Waste management is a country issue the businesses producing or selling goods are responsible for the waste they generate. The tax must target the one that originate it. For our rivers, streams contaminated by the cows farming industry such as by the products used to grow grass. The ideal will be to produce biochar from cows manure after collecting manure from the field and turn it into bio gas to run vehicles on the farm. Save our water from toxic contamination. As we in India cows deposition are their main source of energy for cooking. Make New Zealand a better space. — the food scraps target should be based on a voluntary model. Some people already have a compost bin or worm farm and this service is not needed for them — how much good religion does? This will encourage not so genuine practices. — the regulatory fees are already too high, as part of the young generation feeling all the rategrowing up are not helping by any means to access own property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Why increase the Annual waste Management Charge? Some people make effort to reduce their waste. Surely it should only apply to those who Create More Waste than those who create Less Waste. Reward those who reduce Waste Charge the ones who are lazy or create excessive amounts of waste.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not enough research organisations is there. Do you agree to the same service to all charities? Resource consent and building consent is already enough for the services offered. Grit will just make it harder for Kiwis to get things done. This is a backwards step.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On Waiheke I am personally seeing no benefits to the extra cost.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Many of these changes seem sensible. I think the increase in rates for Water Quality when no water is supplied is wrong.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not interested in food scale collection. Do our own. As a pensioner why increase waste water charge. Resource consents dear enough now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Why should religious organisations be exempt. Must have seldom used buildings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do not agree with the proposed increase in the storm waste management charge. We do not use our street collection as it does not recycle items. Every 3—4 weeks we take our rubbish to the tip and recycle items personally. There should be some financial support/incentive for such actions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Religious organisations should be paying rates just like everyone else.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>10-Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regulatory fees are already outrageous high and should not be increased. Additional cost to the price of building council must find other ways of saving money.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sub-category 1</th>
<th>Sub-category 2</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Selected Option</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>L-Q1 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please tell us what you think about some or all of these charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td>This increase to Mooring fees is acceptable. However, AT, and or the Harbour Master needs to ensure that my Vessel moored in Matiatia is safe. Visiting boats, generally out of Auckland, continually anchor in the Matiatia Mooring Field. This is particularly poor seamanship as the anchored vessel will behave differently when acted on by the wind and tide. This result in the moored vessels being damaged, usually with the offending vessel being identified. The crew do not know, with any accuracy, as to where the mooring weights are situated on the sea floor. This result in the anchored vessel feeling the mooring weights. Larger vessels have dragged the moorings out of position trying to get their ground tackle free. Visiting vessels also continually pick up unoccupied Moorings. This is also poor seamanship, as the crew do not know the suitability and viability of the mooring they have attached to. This practice results in the mooring owner arriving in Matiatia with nowhere to safely moor their vessel. I have bought this problem to the attention of AT and the Harbour Master on previous occasions without any result. AT, and or, the Harbour Master need to mitigate this source of constant frustration to mooring owners. You are increasing your charges while failing to provide a reasonable service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>L-Q1 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please tell us what you think about some or all of these charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td>only have limited income and we manage on no increases each year, but with fees money to assign to other aspects of our lives. WHY DO YOU NEED TO KEEP DOING THIS???????? Are you wanting people to move out of this city forever and put a distasteful experience behind them? That's what it's leading to. Stop increasing costs to ratepayers and start trimming back your wastefulness. We are not an endless pit of money. Accordingly, I am not going to agree to any increases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>L-Q1 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please tell us what you think about some or all of these charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td>I would be okay with waste management charge increasing, if the waste really would be managed properly, i.e. recycled. Food scraps should be collected everywhere, not just at 2000 properties on the North Shore. Supermarkets should be obliged to hand out food to those in the waste to people who want them!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>L-Q1 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please tell us what you think about some or all of these charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td>From a landowner on Waiheke's perspective, waste management is a high priority. The problem is that fellow citizens are not doing their bit in ensuring materials are appropriately re-cycled or junked. The understanding of categories of waste is low. Whether this is an education issue or a failure of will is difficult to know. If poor separation of types of waste is increasing costs to responsible citizens, that would be unfair.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>L-Q1 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please tell us what you think about some or all of these charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Resource consent already over-ignored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9019</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>L-Q1 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please tell us what you think about some or all of these charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Waste management is expensive. Not sure if recycled etc working well either.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>L-Q1 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please tell us what you think about some or all of these charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Responsible mooring owner be-charged for people who abandon their boats and I also don't see why this 'snooze' is considered to be something which only benefits mooring holders. We have already faced huge increases in our mooring charges over the last few years and we just seem to be treated as a cash cow for very little benefit to us.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>L-Q1 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please tell us what you think about some or all of these charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Council should be budgeting for 10% reduction in operating expenditure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>L-Q1 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Please tell us what you think about some or all of these charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td>I would like to see some of the waste management fees used to improve Auckland's waste management systems. Auckland Council could be creating better infrastructure &amp; systems to encourage better recycling; industrial composting; green &amp; food waste options for households &amp; businesses. I think religious organisations should pay rates on all land they own, even if it is a nominal charge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Regional submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Sub #</th>
<th>Home Loc</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sub-category 1</th>
<th>Sub-category 2</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Selected/Option</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 24   | 3831  | Waiheke | L-01     | Rating & Fee Changes |                | Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes: | Re proposed mooring fee increase is it worth noting the following:—  
1/ The actual mooring is owned and maintained by me personally and consequently is NO costs to the council.  
2/ The piece of water that it occupies also does not have any incremental rising costs associated with it. The only increase that affects it is the daily rate (and corresponding fall) in the tide. How has it increased in value when it is a very fluid medium?  
3/ Therefore as I actually own the mooring and the council has no input into the approximately 3 square metre that it occupies, it is difficult to accept the need to increase the already debatable fee. |
| 25   | 3743  | Waiheke | L-01     | Rating & Fee Changes |                | Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes: | I strongly object the increase of mooring fees as proposed by the Harbourmaster (email of 21 February, 2019).  
Since the council took over the management of the moorings on Waiheke rates have been constantly increased without having a correspondent improvement of services back to the users. When things went wrong on my mooring the council declined any responsibility and I had to personally cover all related costs; presently the management appears to be a little more than a bureaucratic system without real benefit for users. I do not see why mooring owners who pay and regularly maintain their mooring should be financially responsible for those who don't and possibly abandon their boats. To notice we have just recently been required to upgrade our moorings and that was at a cost of over NZD 2,000. To notice also that most marine insurances do not insure boats on a Waiheke meetings as they are deemed at high risk. |
| 26   | 3872  | Waiheke | L-01     | Rating & Fee Changes |                | Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes: | Object to an increase in the annual waste management charge. We already see increases in rates, rubbish used to and should be part of a core service. Stop this adding extra levies and charges. As hiring costs and council costs continue to escalate out of control, object to any group having no rates charged on their land. |
| 27   | 3948  | Waiheke | L-01     | Rating & Fee Changes |                | Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes: | 3/ LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE OF HUA! THE PETITION SIGNED BY 10% OF RESIDENTS WERE 100% FOR REMAINING THE CURRENT PUMP OUT PROCEDURE BECAUSE IT'S EFFICIENT. NOTE ONLY 10% OF RESIDENTS WERE AWARE OF THIS RUSHED PUBLIC MEETING. COUNCIL ASSUMES WE ALL HAVE COMPUTERS AND ARE ON FACEBOOK! RUBBISH, HENCE ONLY 10% TURNED  
5/ RELIGIOUS ORGANISATIONS SHOULD NOT HAVE PREPARENTIAL TREATMENT, THEY SHOULD PAY THERE AWAY AND PAY TAX.  
6/ STOP THE RANDYNAGOS OF CONSULTANTS/PERMITS/PAPERWORK. GET SOME EFFICIENCY IN COUNCIL ACTIVITIES AS PROMISED BY GOFF. |
<p>| 28   | 2936  | Waiheke | L-01     | Rating &amp; Fee Changes |                | Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes: | GET AT MORE ORGANISED BE fix SIPS. NOT MONITORING THEM |
| 29   | 2341  | Waiheke | L-01     | Rating &amp; Fee Changes |                | Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes: | We were the property owners and because we served targets that were met in ten-year septic pump out, we were able to retain the rate. If the present council — imposed septic tank pump out was to coexist and instead it beased and almost voluntary do it yourself regime — it is difficult to see how the present unsatisfactory water quality in the stream and lagoon would improve. Many residents would be reluctant to have their tanks serviced and the council follow up monitoring would be a new cost to be budgeted for. An unpredictable effort compared with the present excellent service |
| 30   | 2623  | Waiheke | L-01     | Rating &amp; Fee Changes |                | Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes: | In my opinion the proposed $23 per m³. Per day charge on vessels over 50m is short sighted and without justification. It will drive away large private vessels from visiting Auckland which will therefore miss out on the income that these vessels bring to the city and the local building industry. Chris Darby is quoted as saying the fee is reimburse the Harbour Master from the extra work that these vessels bring. I cannot understand this view! Precisely what extra work do these vessels bring? I believe this proposal gave be viewed as yet another example of gouging by our ever greedy Council. |
| 31   | 3070  | Waiheke | L-01     | Rating &amp; Fee Changes |                | Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes: | This is just tinkering with minor changes to council selected changes and appeasing a small number of locals in a few areas. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 21</th>
<th>Attachment C</th>
<th>Local board decisions and input into the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and the proposed amendment to the 10-year Budget 2018-2028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ine Sub #</td>
<td>Home Local Category</td>
<td>Sub-category 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>3133 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>3596 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>3787 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>3799 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3870 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>720 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>767 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>934 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>34 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>39 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>942 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1365 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>3787 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>34 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>726 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>934 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>3797 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>34 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>726 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>934 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>34 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>34 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>767 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>3787 Waiheke</td>
<td>I-1.Q Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Sub-#</td>
<td>Home Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>2623</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>3787</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>19550</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>19554</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>3096</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>3117</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>3576</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>1743</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1401</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Home Local Category</td>
<td>Sub-category 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>1.0 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>1.0 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>1.0 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>1.0 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>1.0 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>1.0 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>1.0 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>1.0 - Rating &amp; Fee Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>2.0 - Other Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>2.0 - Other Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>2.0 - Other Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>2.0 - Other Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>2.0 - Other Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>2.0 - Other Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>2.0 - Other Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>2.0 - Other Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
<td>2.0 - Other Feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Sub #</td>
<td>Home Loc Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>1551</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>3056</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>3767</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>3767</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>3870</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Sub Category</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>536 Waiheke 4.10YB Amendment</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>726 Waiheke 4.10YB Amendment</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>707 Waiheke 4.10YB Amendment</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>908 Waiheke 4.10YB Amendment</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1872 Waiheke 4.10YB Amendment</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>720 Waiheke 5 Transport</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>940 Waiheke 5 Transport</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2336 Waiheke 5 Transport</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3056 Waiheke 5 Transport</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>708 Waiheke 5 Transport</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>796 Waiheke 5 Transport</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>800 Waiheke 5 Transport</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>890 Waiheke 5 Transport</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>39 Waiheke 6 Water</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3551 Waiheke 7 Regional Planning</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>34 Waiheke 9 Other Regional Services</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2336 Waiheke 8 Other Regional Services</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>111 Waiheke 8 Other Regional Services</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>934 Waiheke 9 Other Regional Services</td>
<td>What is your opinion about this？</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lne</td>
<td>Sub #</td>
<td>Home Local Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>Waiheke 9-Other Regional Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>1019</td>
<td>Waiheke 9-Other Regional Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>Waiheke 9-Other Regional Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/19 Waiheke Roundtable HYSE</td>
<td>Fully support halls going to community groups for management i.e. Surfside. Raungihoua SPMP is very important. Little Oneroa has been going on too long, lots of other areas experiencing similar issues. Should have been done years ago. Housing - sh*t for tradesmen etc. Affordability. Oretangi beach has a lot of vacant houses - number of long term residents are dropping. Capex - toilet provision - more money to be spent on them. Obviously needs doing particularly in Otehei where there are no public toilets. Cleaner does a great job. Otehei Domain is just coming good now. Managed well. With the right people involved shows what can be done.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/19 Waiheke Roundtable HYSE</td>
<td>Council Plans don’t fit - things aren’t lined up. Boards put in their own plans. Doesn’t work. Failure - community engagement, Community empowerment doesn’t work.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/19 Waiheke Roundtable HYSE</td>
<td>Waste Management - Do not agree with increase - should decrease and put the service back into community.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/19 Waiheke Roundtable HYSE</td>
<td>Food scraps - already trialled on Waiheke for 1 year. A grant was issued to a community group and it only lasted a year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/19 Waiheke Roundtable HYSE</td>
<td>Religious ratings - should include other not-for-profit organisations regardless if religious or not.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/19 Waiheke Roundtable HYSE</td>
<td>Fees and charges - whole thing is bureaucratic overcharge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/19 Waiheke Roundtable HYSE</td>
<td>Panuku - Don’t manage properties well at all. In favour of disestablishing Panuku &amp; transferring back to council.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/19 Waiheke Roundtable HYSE</td>
<td>Transport - ferry situation in town. Set up transport groups but stop at Matiatia. One good come back if police want to cut speed more double deckers. AT decisions affect Matiatia. Consult with public again.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/19 Waiheke Roundtable HYSE</td>
<td>Transport system is a farce. More people are concerned about Transport as a whole than anything else. e.g. state of the Hauraki Gulf. All nightclub goers Quay Street - Deaconot tenera arriving at Auckland. No new ferry berths - replacing existing, need a further 6. It’s too much traffic going in there. Carparking is shocking - sailors on main road. Money comes in for this - plus of money which should be come back to the island. Look at whole transport management. Trying to deal with it via downtown reconfiguration. In weight - AT said not their problem which is appalling, Belgium Street - parking spaces not installed for business or public buildings. Oretangi beach parking is horrendous.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Subtopic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>Waiheke Local Board</td>
<td><em>31.1</em> Q3 WHK Local priorities</td>
<td><em>31.1.3</em> WHK Priorities - partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>Waiheke Local Board</td>
<td><em>31.1</em> Q3 WHK Local priorities</td>
<td><em>31.1.4</em> WHK Priorities - other comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>Regional planning</td>
<td><em>38.7</em> Other planning</td>
<td>No subtopics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Q1 Changes to rates and fees</td>
<td><em>1.1</em> Q1 Annual waste management changes</td>
<td><em>1.1.2</em> Changes to rate and fees - Do not support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Q1 Changes to rates and fees</td>
<td><em>1.2</em> Q1 Food scraps targeted rate</td>
<td><em>1.2.3</em> Food scraps targeted rate - Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Q1 Changes to rates and fees</td>
<td><em>1.5</em> Q1 Religious properties rates</td>
<td><em>1.5.3</em> Religious properties rates - Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Q1 Changes to rates and fees</td>
<td><em>1.6</em> Q1 Regulatory fees</td>
<td><em>1.6.2</em> Regulatory fees - Do not support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Q2 10%YB amendment</td>
<td><em>2.1</em> Q2 10%YB amendment - Support</td>
<td>No subtopics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td><em>36.11</em> Other transport comments</td>
<td>No subtopics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td><em>36.11</em> Other transport comments</td>
<td>No subtopics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Advocacy initiatives

A key role of the local board is to advocate for initiatives that the local board may not have decision-making responsibilities or funding for this annual plan, but recognise the value it will add to the local community. Key advocacy areas for this local board include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Why is it a priority?</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matiatia Strategic Plan</td>
<td>The board is progressing a strategic plan for council owned land at Matiatia and requests that any future development is guided by this plan and appropriate funding is allocated within the Long-term Plan 2018-2028 (LTP) for both transport and non-transport infrastructure related priorities.</td>
<td>Governing Body Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway /Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Reduction</td>
<td>The effect of climate change on our environment is becoming more obvious and the board encourage and support carbon reduction initiatives. Electric Island Waiheke aims for an electric vehicle-only island by 2030. In support, the board is advocating for the electrification of Waiheke's public transport and the establishment of clean fuel ferry services, alongside provision of appropriate footpaths and cycleways.</td>
<td>Governing Body Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway /Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality road and infrastructure that supports Waiheke's character and water management needs</td>
<td>The board requests appropriate funding to deliver quality road, footpaths and a cycling network to a standard consistent with the rest of Auckland (taking into account Waiheke character). This includes effective water management using water sensitive design techniques.</td>
<td>Governing Body Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway /Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catchment management planning</td>
<td>Funding for the development and implementation of Watershed / Catchment managements plans and progressive upgrade of stormwater infrastructure is essential. This includes flooding and stormwater management, ensuring there is adequate water supply within the aquifer, monitoring of the drainage network and appropriate maintenance and infrastructure development using low impact design.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway /Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor Impact</td>
<td>The board seeks funding for increased services, infrastructure maintenance and improvement projects which address the impact of tourism while also providing local benefit. This could include implementing a visitor levy or advocating to the Governing Body for visitor growth funding.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway /Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Swimming Pool</td>
<td>The board requests provision for funding of a Community Swimming Pool within the Long-term Plan 2018-2028 (LTP) as this is a local priority and an identified gap within the Community Facilities Network Plan.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway /Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine protected areas</td>
<td>The board supports the creation of a network of marine reserves and other protected areas linking the islands in its area of jurisdiction.</td>
<td>Governing Body Department of Conservation</td>
<td>Underway /Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td>Why is it a priority?</td>
<td>Advocating to</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devolution of decision-making to Local Boards</td>
<td>The board seeks active support from the Governing Body, Auckland Transport and Panuku for the agreed Waiheke Pilot to provide an increased level of decision-making and greater flexibility on matters such as transport and placemaking projects; particularly for decisions within the road corridor and with regards to local acquisition and divestment of Panuku property in the local area, such as at Ostend. The pilot will also provide an opportunity for local policy and planning issues to be advanced and for increased local operations leadership.</td>
<td>Governing Body&lt;br&gt;Auckland Transport&lt;br&gt;Panuku Development Auckland</td>
<td>Underway / Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenways / Pathways Plan</td>
<td>The board wish to secure a regional capital fund to further develop a world class destination greenway network on Waiheke.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway / Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauri Preservation</td>
<td>The board will continue to advocate for Kauri preservation on the island and seek the establishment of Kauri Sanctuary status for Waiheke.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway / Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Restoration and environmental programmes</td>
<td>Waiheke has many unique natural environments which have been neglected over time. Local budgets will not sufficiently enable the continuation of staged ecological restoration and weed management programmes. There needs to be an integrated approach to these programmes across the council, community groups and private landowners. This includes predator and pest management.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway / Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant pest management</td>
<td>Invasive weeds are a major threat to Waiheke and other islands’ ecosystems. There needs to be greater support for community/council programmes and partnerships which aim to eradicate moth plant, rhannus and pampas grass from the island, and control pest plants on land buffering Whakanewha Regional Park and other ecologically significant sites such as Te Matukia and Motukia.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway / Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pest Plant management in road corridors</td>
<td>Road corridors are major pathways for weeds and failure to manage this issue creates a disincentive for adjoining landowners to manage pest plants on their properties. Auckland Council needs to set an example of good management practice using a ‘minimum herbicide’ approach and working with volunteers and adjoining landowners.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway / Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior and community housing</td>
<td>The number of Waiheke residents aged 65-plus has increased by 53.7 per cent since 2006 and there is a lack of residential care and respite facilities to meet the needs of this aging population. There is also a lack of community housing options. The board are investigating utilising non-service council property at Belgium Street and Waitai Road (managed by Panuku) for this purpose.</td>
<td>Governing Body&lt;br&gt;Panuku Development Auckland</td>
<td>Underway / Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artworks complex upgrade</td>
<td>The Artworks complex provides a valuable arts and culture facility for the community and for visitors to the island. The Artworks Needs Assessment report identified a number of areas requiring attention including building condition issues. Governing Body support is required to progress future upgrades of the complex. The board also request the Governing Body address the inequity of legacy funding for art galleries, and develop a policy framework for determining the funding of asset based services which include community art galleries as a priority.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway / Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Why is it a priority?</th>
<th>Advocating to</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable safe transport options including development of cycleways</td>
<td>Safe active transport options such as pedestrian, cycling and bridle path networks are a priority as many Waiheke roads are steep, narrow and not well suited to walking and cycling. Investment will provide low impact tourism options, support an active lifestyle and provide safe options for our community and school children.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wharf tax</td>
<td>Wharf tax collected from Waiheke ferry services should be transparently allocated to Waiheke facilities</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste management</td>
<td>That as part of the Waiheke Local Board pilot project, delegations be provided to the board to give effect to The Tikapa Moana Hauraki Gulf Islands Draft Waste Plan (WNIMP) to ensure on-island control of the full waste stream with community participation, including the development of on-island Community Resource Recovery Park within the next tier of funding, a Zero Waste Waiheke strategy and a visitor impact strategy. Commercial waste management including construction and road-building waste is now recognised as a region-wide challenge and is also a focus for us on Waiheke Island.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ongoing advocacy areas:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Advocate to</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of more park land to extend the regional network and to provide additional camping facilities for visitors to Waiheke Island.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support local contractors for council projects on the island to improve the community’s capacity, and assist with the health, resilience and sustainability of the local economy.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of catchment management plans for all catchments on Waiheke, with Little Oneroa, Tawaipereira and Blackpool being the first priorities.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic tanks retrofit scheme – expansion to the rest of the island.</td>
<td>Governing Body</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that both Matiatia and Kennedy Point are preserved as transport terminal’s hubs.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prioritise safe walking and cycling to schools and supporting the Travelwise programme for Waiheke’s schools.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of cycleways on Waiheke and support for the New Zealand Cycle Trail.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiheke accessible transport plan is developed and implemented.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consult, review and advocate to Auckland Transport for implementation of changes to ensure The Esplanade is a useable space which meets community and tourist aspirations.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimise bus routes, including more bus routes and more frequent bus services, as per the high frequency networks on the isthmus, with Park and Ride facilities at Orewa, Rocky Bay, and Ostend and Surfside, and a bus shuttle from Matiatia to Oneroa via Owhana carpark.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocate to Auckland Transport to support modern and more environmentally-friendly and accessible buses and bus stops, including kneeling buses and capacity to carry bikes, wheelchairs, pushchairs and luggage.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td>Advocate to</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement an integrated ticketing system for Waiheke ferry and bus services, a subsidy or equity on routes, and to maintain off-island bus travel for Fullers monthly pass holders at no additional cost.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport to support ferries from both wharves being incorporated into the Public Transport Operation Model (PTOM) system.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure any regional cycle training programmes/initiatives are to be included on Waiheke.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland Transport to support a Rakino and inner island ferry from Matiatia.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure the board are provided with all roading projects and footpath design plans and projects, containing sufficient detail and with enough time to provide for meaningful consultation to prioritise the safety of our active transport users and the protection of our waterways.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Install more scooter/motorbike parking, including charging stations for electric bikes at Matiatia and tyre inflation facilities.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Install a covered bike shelter and more parking for bikes at Matiatia.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocate for marine sewerage pump out facilities at Matiatia, Kennedy Point and Half Moon Bay.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigate contouring at the Owaharoa carpark to ensure maximum capacity is achieved.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure the board receives prior notification of roading projects on Waiheke, detailing the proposed works, the rationale for these works, the timeframe and consideration of such issues as &quot;low impact&quot; solutions rather than kerb and channeling.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved ferry passenger facilities, in terms of comfort, safety and flow, to meet demands of visitors, commuters and occasional users including those with accessibility issues. This includes enclosed gangways on the Matiatia wharf.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport/ Panuku Development/Auckland</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure continued accessibility and connectivity from lower Queen Street and Quay Street to the Central Area Wharves, including during major events, with a primary emphasis on seamless connectivity for ferry users to Hospitals and the airport.</td>
<td>Auckland Transport/ Panuku Development/Auckland/ATEED</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work with local businesses and community groups to encourage visitors in the shoulder and low seasons.</td>
<td>ATEED</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that council owned properties are utilised as effectively as possible by the community.</td>
<td>Governing Body/ Panuku Development/Auckland</td>
<td>Underway/Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal resolution from Governing Body

File No.: CP2019/03695

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive a resolution from the Governing Body and provide feedback on the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary

Resolution number GB/2019/10

MOVED by Cr L Cooper, seconded by Deputy Mayor BC Cashmore:

That the Governing Body:

a) adopt the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report for public consultation, as amended, and confirms that the draft bylaw:
   i) is the most appropriate and proportionate way to implement aspects of the policy
   ii) is not inconsistent with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990.

b) forward to local boards and advisory panels:
   i) the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report for their views
   ii) this agenda report and attachments for their information.

c) note delegated authority to the chair of the Regulatory Committee to make replacement appointments to the panel if a member of the panel is unavailable.

d) note delegated authority through the chief executive to staff approved by a manager responsible for bylaws to receive public feedback at ‘Have Your Say’ events.

e) note delegated authority through the chief executive to a manager responsible for bylaws to make any amendments to the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report to correct errors, omissions or to reflect decisions made by the Regulatory Committee or the Governing Body.

f) note the Regulatory Committee’s agreement that the statement of proposal be amended to include an option outlining the ability for local boards to determine the time and season provisions for their local board areas.

3. The Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal is included as Attachment B.

4. The Hearings Panel will meet on 3 May 2019 and local boards will have an opportunity to present views.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations
That the Waiheke Local Board:


b) consider whether to provide views on the Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal to the hearings panel on the 3 May 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>28 February 2019 - Governing Body report</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Statement of Proposal Auckland Council’s new policy on dogs and dog management bylaw <em>(Under Separate Cover)</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sarndra O’Toole - Team Leader Governance Advisors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Marguerite Delbet - General Manager Democracy Services Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Auckland Council Policy on Dogs and Dog Management Bylaw Statement of Proposal resolution from Governing Body
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report
1. To receive the recommendation from the Regulatory Committee and adopt the statement of proposal.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. At its meeting of 14 February 2019, the Regulatory Committee considered the attached report and resolved as follows:

   Resolution number REG/2019/1

   MOVED by Deputy Chairperson BC Cashmore, seconded by IMSB Chair D Taipari:

   That the Regulatory Committee:

   a) recommend that the Governing Body adopt the statement of proposal in Attachment A of the agenda report for public consultation and confirms that the draft bylaw:

      i) is the most appropriate and proportionate way to implement aspects of the policy

      ii) is not inconsistent with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990.

   b) recommend that the Governing Body forwards to local boards and advisory panels:

      i) the statement of proposal in Attachment A of the agenda report for their views

      ii) this agenda report and attachments for their information.

   c) appoint a minimum of three panel members, including a chair Cr Cooper and Cr Casey, Cr Wayne Walker, from councillors and the Independent Maori Statutory Board Member Glenn Wilcox to:

      i) attend ‘Have Your Say’ events

      ii) deliberate and make recommendations to the Governing Body based on public feedback on the statement of proposal in Attachment A of the agenda report.

   d) delegate authority to the chair of the Regulatory Committee to make replacement appointments to the panel if a member of the panel is unavailable.

   e) delegate authority through the chief executive to staff approved by a manager responsible for bylaws to receive public feedback at ‘Have Your Say’ events.

   f) delegate authority through the chief executive to a manager responsible for bylaws to make any amendments to the statement of proposal in Attachment A of the agenda report to correct errors, omissions or to reflect decisions made by the Regulatory Committee or the Governing Body.

   g) rescind the previous direction to “apply dog access rules that protect wildlife in Mahurangi Regional Park to allow dogs under control on-leash on Mita Bay Loop Track and prohibited from Cudlip Point Loop Track, and that a dog-friendly campground be created” [REG/2018/79] and instead retain the status-quo rules for the Mahurangi Regional Park.
h) agree that the statement of proposal be amended to include an option outlining the ability for local boards to determine the time and season provisions for their local board areas.

3. The original report only to the 14 February 2019 Regulatory Committee is appended at Attachment A.

4. The Regulatory Committee requested changes to the Statement of Proposal prior to it being presented to the Governing Body. Those changes have been made and an amended Statement of Proposal with changes highlighted is appended at Attachment B.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation/s

That the Governing Body:

a) adopt the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report for public consultation and confirms that the draft bylaw:
   i) is the most appropriate and proportionate way to implement aspects of the policy
   ii) is not inconsistent with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990.

b) forward to local boards and advisory panels:
   i) the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report for their views
   ii) this agenda report and attachments for their information.

c) note delegated authority to the chair of the Regulatory Committee to make replacement appointments to the panel if a member of the panel is unavailable.

d) note delegated authority through the chief executive to staff approved by a manager responsible for bylaws to receive public feedback at ‘Have Your Say’ events.

e) note delegated authority through the chief executive to a manager responsible for bylaws to make any amendments to the statement of proposal in Attachment B of the agenda report to correct errors, omissions or to reflect decisions made by the Regulatory Committee or the Governing Body.

f) note the Regulatory Committee’s agreement that the statement of proposal be amended to include an option outlining the ability for local boards to determine the time and season provisions for their local board areas.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>14 February 2018 - Original Agenda Report to the Regulatory Committee</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>Amended Statement of Proposal with changes highlighted</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Samdra O’Toole - Team Leader Governance Advisors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Stephen Town - Chief Executive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chairperson's report

File No.: CP2019/04739

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To provide Chairperson Cath Handley with an opportunity to update the local board on the projects and issues she has been involved with and to draw the board’s attention to any other matters of interest.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note the report from Chairperson Cath Handley.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>Chair's report April 2019</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Authorisers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safia Cockerell - Democracy Advisor - Waiheke</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chair’s report: Waiheke Local Board

21 Mar 2019 – 9 April 2019

Ngā mihi Waiheke

Transport

1. The Transport Forum met on 4 March. It was pleasing to see a growing agenda of issues being brought by both forum members and members of the community for the forum’s consideration.

2. A memorandum of understanding between the board and Auckland Transport (AT) is under negotiation.

3. Man of War Bay Road and Cowes Bay Road are the source of continual complaints by landowners who have borne the brunt of the many unreported accidents experienced on the loop road by both visiting drivers and locals, and reports of many more near-misses. Some taxi companies are anecdotally refusing fares to the far end because of the risks to their drivers, passengers and vehicles. A transparent review of the maintenance schedule and safety of that road is required. There are other unsealed roads that are also featuring in repeated advocacy to the board chair and other members.

By-laws

Two by-laws are currently under review and are in various stages of consultation/sign-off by the governing body of council. Because of the failure of these processes to take account of local boards’ accountabilities all 21 local board chairs have signed a letter addressed to the mayor and governing body councillors. The chairs seek urgency to address the increasing marginalisation of local boards’ input on behalf of their constituents on new and reviewed by-laws.

The approach being taken by council’s Community and Social Policy Department has not allowed for time or a mechanism for the public’s feedback to be collated and considered by the local boards prior to the boards presenting to hearings on behalf of their communities.

1. **Dog by-law** Council’s regulatory committee has been considering a one-size fits all approach to time and season rules for dogs on public reserves/beaches. The Waiheke Local Board has submitted against any changes affecting the current Waiheke situation which was community-consulted and ultimately determined by the local board. A council-led ‘Have Your Say’ public meeting is planned for Waiheke on Sunday 14 April 2.00-4.00pm at the Ostend Memorial Hall to hear residents’ feedback on the council’s proposals.

2. **Freedom Camping** After extensive consultation with the local board, council staff recommended no freedom camping sites be designated for Waiheke Island. This is consistent with the board’s view that our infrastructure is not suitable for camper vans and they would not add value to our local economy because they are largely self-contained. Once councils have designated freedom camping areas they can also
designate all other areas as prohibited for freedom camping which is the boards’ preference for Waiheke. To date it is not clear if the governing body will take that step. Cr Lee has been briefed on the boards’ position which is now also apparently supported by the majority of residents from the public who submitted on the subject.

3. **Waste services** Tenders will be called for by council in the forthcoming week for reconfigured waste services for Waiheke Island. The board’s input has been well received by council staff over the past months and is reflected in the services being tendered.

4. **5G network** In response to community-raised concerns of potential health risks from the proposed roll-out of a 5G network the board has written to the chair of the governing body’s Environment and Community Committee seeking its involvement in enlisting expert opinion to inform a regional response and policy led by the committee.

nākū noa

Cath Handley

Chair
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
2. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy guides the allocation of local, multi-board and regional grant programmes to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders.
3. The Community Grants Policy supports each local board to review and adopt their own local grants programme for the next financial year.
4. This report presents the Waiheke Grants Programme 2019/2020 for adoption (see Attachment A).

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Local Board:
a) adopt the Waiheke Grant Programme 2019/2020.

Horopaki
Context
5. The Auckland Council Community Grants Policy guides the allocation of local, multi-board and regional grant programmes to groups and organisations delivering projects, activities and services that benefit Aucklanders.
6. The Community Grants Policy supports each local board to review and adopt their own local grants programme for the next financial year. The local board grants programme guides community groups and individuals when making applications to the local board.
7. The local board community grants programme includes:
   • outcomes as identified in the local board plan
   • specific local board grant priorities
   • which grant types will operate, the number of grant rounds and opening and closing dates
   • any additional criteria or exclusions that will apply
   • other factors the local board consider to be significant to their decision-making.
8. Once the local board grants programme 2019/2020 has been adopted, the types of grants, grant rounds, criteria and eligibility will be advertised through an integrated communication and marketing approach which includes utilising the local board channels.
Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice

9. The aim of the local board grant programme is to deliver projects and activities which align with the outcomes identified in the local board plan. The new Waiheke Grants Programme has been workshops with the local board and feedback incorporated into the grants programme for 2019/2020.

10. The new grant programme includes:
   
   - grant round dates which exclude decision dates coinciding with the local government elections in October 2019
   
   - includes the addition of a Waiheke environmental grants round for 2019/2020.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views

11. The grants programme has no identified impacts on council-controlled organisations and therefore their views are not required.

12. Based on the main focus of an application, a subject matter expert from the relevant council unit will provide input and advice. The main focus of an application is identified as arts, community, events, sport and recreation, environment or heritage.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views

13. The grants programme has been developed by the local board to set the direction of their grants programme. This programme is reviewed on an annual basis.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement

14. All grant programmes respond to Auckland Council’s commitment to improving Māori wellbeing by providing grants to organisations delivering positive outcomes for Māori. Applicants are asked how their project aims to increase Māori outcomes in the application process.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications

15. The allocation of grants to community groups is within the adopted Long-term Plan 2018 - 2028 and local board agreements.

Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations

16. The allocation of grants occurs within the guidelines and criteria of the Community Grants Policy. Therefore, there is minimal risk associated with the adoption of the grants programme.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps

17. An implementation plan is underway and the local board grants programme will be locally advertised through the local board and council channels, including the council website, local board facebook page and communication with past recipients of grants.
Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>Waiheke Grants Programme 2019/2020</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Marion Davies - Grant Operations Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Shane King - Head of Operations Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Waiheke Local Board – Local Grants Programme 2019/2020

Our Local Grants Programme aims to provide contestable and discretionary community grants to local communities.

Outcomes sought from the local grants programme

The Waiheke Local Board recognises the vital role that community groups and organisations play in developing diverse, strong, inclusive, connected and sustainable communities.

Our grants programme will be targeted towards supporting the priorities in our Local Board Plan – such as environmental, cultural, arts, community development, recreational and heritage initiatives, and supporting our youth.

We aim to assist groups to provide activities, projects, programmes, initiatives, and events that make a positive contribution within our local board area.

Our priorities for grants

The Waiheke Local Board welcomes grant applications that align with the following local board plan priorities:

- young people – supporting youth-centred initiatives that build engagement, resiliency and transitions to adulthood
- community elders – meeting the needs of the ageing population
- the environment – restoring and protecting our natural environment
- culture and arts – creating a sense of identity and cohesion that reflects the island’s identity
- social cohesion – ensuring a resilient and connected community
- recreation and sport – helping our communities lead active and healthy lifestyles
- heritage – protection and conservation.

Higher Priorities for grants

- collaboration with other community organisations
- gaining other sources of funding for a project or event, from businesses or community
- local procurement

Lower Priorities for Local and Quick Response Grants

We will also consider applications for other services, projects, events and activities.

However, these may be considered a lower priority.

The Waiheke Local Board has identified the following activities as lower priorities:

- commercial entities and promotion of commercial entities
- ticketed events
- activities that primarily benefit communities outside the Waiheke Local Board area
- activities that primarily benefit a third party (e.g. activity to gain money for an organisation)
- grants to support the purchase of or maintenance associated with motor vehicles
- wages or operational costs
- individuals with projects on private land
- individuals applying without nominating an umbrella organisation

In addition to the eligibility criteria outlined the Community Grants Policy, the Waiheke Local Board will not fund:
- Exclusion One: alcohol
- Exclusion Two: applications for liquor licenses

Criteria for Local and Quick Response Grants

Grants applications need to meet the following criteria:
- the project is working towards zero waste
- has a level of interaction with local business
- includes support for local procurement
- promotes Waiheke as a destination
- brings together community groups
- collaborating with other events/working in conjunction with other groups
- supports community-led activities using local facilities
- captures a wide demographic and encourages intergenerational diversity
- accessible to the community and/or schools (e.g. early bird specials)
- delivery of a smoke-free programme
- encourages active transport
- collaborates with mana whenua and mataawaka

Waiheke Environmental Grants:

The local board aims to support and grow community and landowner conservation efforts and environmental initiatives within the Waiheke Local Board area.

Grant outcomes:

Outcome 1. Islands, coastlines, forests, wetlands and marine areas are flourishing and protected.
Outcome 2. Our community is engaged with their natural environment and is actively involved in the care, protection and restoration of their environment.
Outcome 3. Community projects follow good practice and achieve long term benefits.
Outcome 4. Contributes towards resolving global environmental issues.

Who can apply?

Grants are available to all community groups, environmental groups and landowners operating within the Waiheke Local Board area.

Applications are accepted for work on private land or public land.
In addition to the higher priorities for grants, the environmental grant round will prioritise material costs associated with environmental projects (e.g. plants, fencing material, and equipment) and for projects which enhance sites with high biodiversity values.

Investment approach

The Waiheke Local Board has allocated budgets to support the local grants programme as follows:

- **Quick Response Grants:**
  - minimum amount per grant: $500
  - maximum amount per grant: $2000

- **Local Grants:**
  - minimum amount per grant: $2,000
  - maximum, generally up to $4,000

- **Environmental Grant:**
  - minimum amount per grant: $2,000
  - maximum amount generally up to $10,000 per grant
  - includes a requirement for applicant contribution (financial, in-kind or voluntary labour) towards project costs

Accountability measures

The Waiheke Local Board requires that all successful applicants provide:

- Accountability measure one: Accountability forms must be completed and submitted by the due date, proving that grants have been used for the right purpose.
- Accountability measure two: Any grant money that is unspent and not used for the project must be returned to Council.
- Accountability measure three: Recognition of the Waiheke Local Board’s support of your initiative.
- Accountability measure four: An invitation to the funded project, programme, activity or event should be extended to the members of the board, where appropriate.

Application dates

Grant rounds for 2019/2020 will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quick response grants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Local grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>24 June 2019</td>
<td>2 August 2019</td>
<td>26 September 2019</td>
<td>1 October 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>10 February 2020</td>
<td>20 March 2020</td>
<td>28 May 2020</td>
<td>1 June 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Multi-board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>3 June 2019</td>
<td>19 July 2019</td>
<td>26 September 2019</td>
<td>1 October 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>10 February 2020</td>
<td>27 March 2020</td>
<td>28 May 2020</td>
<td>1 June 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Waiheke environmental grant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Opens</th>
<th>Closes</th>
<th>Decision made</th>
<th>Projects to occur after</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>24 June 2019</td>
<td>2 August 2019</td>
<td>26 September 2019</td>
<td>1 October 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additions to the 2016-2019 Waiheke Local Board meeting schedule

File No.: CP2019/04414

Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. To seek approval for one meeting date to be added to the 2016-2019 Waiheke Local Board meeting schedule in order to accommodate the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and proposed amendment to 10-Year Budget 2018-2028 timeframes.

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary


3. At that time the specific times and dates for meetings for local board decision making in relation to the local board agreement as part of the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and proposed amendment to 10-Year Budget 2018-2028 were unknown.

4. The board is being asked to approve one meeting date as an addition to the Waiheke Local Board meeting schedule so that the Annual Budget 2019/2020 timeframes can be met.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendations

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) approve one meeting date to be added to the 2016-2019 Waiheke Local Board meeting schedule to accommodate the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and proposed amendment to 10-Year Budget 2018-2028 timeframes as follows:
   • Thursday 6 June 2019, at 5:15pm.

b) note the venue for this meeting will be the Waiheke Local Board office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend.

Horopaki
Context

5. The Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) and the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (LGOIMA) have requirements regarding local board meeting schedules.

6. In summary, adopting a meeting schedule helps meet the requirements of:
   • Schedule 7, clause 19 of the LGA on general provisions for meetings, which requires the chief executive to give notice in writing to each local board member of the time and place of meetings. Such notification may be provided by the adoption of a schedule of business meetings.
   • Part 7, sections 46, 46(A) and 47 of the LGOIMA, which requires that meetings are publicly notified, agendas and reports are available at least two working days before a meeting and that local board meetings are open to the public.

7. The Waiheke Local Board adopted its business meeting schedule at its 24 November 2016 business meeting.
8. The timeframes for local board decision making in relation to the local board agreement which is part of the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and proposed amendment to 10-Year Budget 2018-2028 were unavailable when the meeting schedule was originally adopted.

9. The board is being asked to make decisions in early June to feed into the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and proposed amendment to 10-Year Budget 2018-2028 process. This timeframe is outside the board’s normal meeting cycle.

Tātaritanga me ngā tohutohu
Analysis and advice
10. The board has two options:

   i) Add the meeting as an addition to the meeting schedule.
   
   or
   
   ii) Add the meeting as an extraordinary meeting.

11. For option one, statutory requirements allow enough time for this meeting to be scheduled as an addition to the meeting schedule and other topics may be considered as per any other ordinary meeting. However there is a risk that if the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and proposed amendment to 10-Year Budget 2018-2028 timeframes change or the information is not ready for the meeting there would need to be an additional extraordinary meeting scheduled anyway.

12. For option two, only the specific topic Annual Budget 2019/2020 and proposed amendment to 10-Year Budget 2018-2028 may be considered for which the meeting is being held. There is a risk that no other policies or plans with similar timeframes or running in relation to the Annual Budget 2019/2020 and proposed amendment to 10-Year Budget 2018-2028 process could be considered at this meeting.

13. Since there is enough time to meet statutory requirements, staff recommend approving these meetings as additions to the meeting schedule as it allows more flexibility for the board to consider a range of issues.

Ngā whakaaweawe me ngā tirohanga a te rōpū Kaunihera
Council group impacts and views
14. There is no specific impact for the council group from this report.

Ngā whakaaweawe ā-rohe me ngā tirohanga a te poari ā-rohe
Local impacts and local board views
15. This report requests the board’s decision to schedule an additional meeting and consider whether to approve this as an extraordinary meeting or an addition to the meeting schedule.

Tauākī whakaaweawe Māori
Māori impact statement
16. There is no specific impact for Māori arising from this report. Local boards work with Māori on projects and initiatives of shared interest.

Ngā ritenga ā-pūtea
Financial implications
17. There are no financial implications in relation to this report apart from the standard costs associated with servicing a business meeting.
Ngā raru tūpono me ngā whakamaurutanga
Risks and mitigations
18. There are no significant risks associated with this report.

Ngā koringa ā-muri
Next steps
19. Implement the processes associated with preparing for business meetings.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments
There are no attachments for this report.

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Beth Corlett - Advisor Plans &amp; Programmes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Te take mō te pūrongo
Purpose of the report

1. Attached is a copy of the record of proceedings of the Waiheke Local Board workshop held on 21 March, 28 March and 4 April 2019.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation

That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note the record of proceedings of the Waiheke Local Board workshop held on 21 March, 28 March and 4 April 2019.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>20190321 Waiheke Local Board Workshop proceedings</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>20190328 Waiheke Local Board Workshop proceedings</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>20190404 Waiheke Local Board Workshop proceedings</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

Author: Safia Cockerell - Democracy Advisor - Waiheke

Authorisers:
Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services
Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke
Waiheke Local Board Workshop proceedings

Workshop record of the Waiheke Local Board held in the Waiheke Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend on Thursday 28 March 2019, commencing at 9.00am.

**PRESENT**

Chairperson: Cath Handley  
Members: John Meeuwsen  
Shirin Brown (until 12:15pm)  
Bob Upchurch  
Apology: Paul Walden  
Also present: Janine Geddes, Helgard Wagener, Mark Inglis, Safia Cockerell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member Discussions</td>
<td>Local Initiatives/Specific Decisions</td>
<td>Members used this time to discuss a number of local issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Work Programme Workshop 2</td>
<td>Local Initiatives/Specific Decisions</td>
<td>Presented the draft work programmes to the board for feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janine Geddes (Local Board Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jestine Joseph (Financial Lead)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pippa Sommerville (PSR Portfolio Manager)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodney Klaassen (Stakeholder Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katrina Morgan (Work Programme Lead)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maddison Little (I&amp;ES Relationship Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicki Malone (Service and Asset Planner)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendees</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gert van Staden</td>
<td>(Community Lease Specialist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skype - Ian Milnes</td>
<td>(Manager Work Programme Development)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridget Velvin</td>
<td>(Senior Maintenance Delivery Coordinator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jody Morley</td>
<td>(Manager Project Delivery)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Parks Management Plan Committee workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicki Malone</td>
<td>(Service and Asset Planner)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helaina Farthing</td>
<td>(Service and Asset Planner)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Initiatives/Specific Decisions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gave a summary of feedback from first round of consultation for the Waiheke Local Parks Management Plan.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 1:37pm
Waiheke Local Board Workshop proceedings

Workshop record of the Waiheke Local Board held in the Waiheke Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend on Thursday 28 March 2019, commencing at 9.00am.

PRESENT

Acting Chairperson: John Meeuwsen
Members: Shirin Brown
Bob Upchurch
Apologies: Cath Handley, Paul Walden
Also present: Janine Geddes, Helgard Wagener, Mark Inglis, Safia Cockerell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Member Discussions</td>
<td>Local Initiatives/Specific Decisions</td>
<td>Members used this to discuss a number of local issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion Davies (Grants Operations Manager)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fran Hayton (Principal Grants Advisor &amp; Incentives TL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agus Castro Pons (Grants Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving the Process to Develop Regional Policy</td>
<td>Input to regional decision-making</td>
<td>The board gave feedback on a discussion paper that considers how the process to develop regional policy could be improved to ensure effective local board input.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Stewart (Senior Policy Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 12:03pm
Workshop record of the Waiheke Local Board held in the Waiheke Local Board Office, 10 Belgium Street, Ostend on Thursday 4 April 2019, commencing at 9.00am.

**PRESENT**

**Chairperson:** Cath Handley  
**Members:** John Meeuwsen (Until 1:35pm)  
Shirin Brown  
Bob Upchurch  
**Apology:** Paul Walden  
**Also present:** Janine Geddes, Helgard Wagener, Mark Inglis, Safia Cockerell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Item</th>
<th>Governance role</th>
<th>Summary of Discussions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Member Discussions</strong></td>
<td>Local Initiatives/Specific Decisions</td>
<td>Members used this time to discuss a number of local issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waiheke waste services</strong></td>
<td>Local Initiatives/Specific Decisions</td>
<td>Provided an update to the board on the Waiheke waste services procurement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Understanding how local rates would work and implications at a local level (a Governance Framework Review initiative)</th>
<th>Keeping informed</th>
<th>Gave the board an awareness and understanding about local rates – how it works, likely issues and how these could be addressed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theresa Stratton (GFR Projects)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic broker catchup</td>
<td>Keeping informed</td>
<td>Monthly update with Strategic Broker.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiona Gregory (Strategic Broker)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mel Lielo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecological / Environmental grant guidelines</td>
<td>Local Initiatives/Specific Decisions</td>
<td>Reviewed the FY20 Ecological Grant guidelines and criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaimee Maha (Team Leader)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Bergquist (Senior Ecologist)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fran Hayton (Grants advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agus Castro Pons (Grands advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Board Agreement advocacy</td>
<td>Local Initiatives/Specific Decisions</td>
<td>Reviewed the Local Board’s advocacy areas for the FY20 Local Board Agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janine Geddes (Senior Local Board Advisor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The workshop concluded at 2:27pm
Governance Forward Work Programme

File No.: CP2019/04741

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
1. Attached is a copy of the Governance Forward Work Programme for Waiheke which is a schedule of items that will come before the board at business meetings and workshops over the next 12 months.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Local Board:

a) note the Governance Forward Work Programme.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Governance Forward Work Programme</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Safia Cockerell - Democracy Advisor - Waiheke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting (workshop or business meeting)</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>2 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>9 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>16 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>12:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>12:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>1:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>2:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>23 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>11:30am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>23 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>30 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>30 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>6 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>6 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>6 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>6 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>11:30am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>12:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>13 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>13 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>13 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>12:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>20 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>20 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>20 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>12:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>20 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>20 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>27 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>27 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>27 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>27 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business Meeting</strong></td>
<td>5:15pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business Meeting</strong></td>
<td>5:15pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td>4 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td>11 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td>18 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td>25 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business Meeting</strong></td>
<td>25 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business Meeting</strong></td>
<td>5:15pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td>1 August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>8 August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>11:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>12:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>12:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>15 August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>12:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>12:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>1:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>2:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>3:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>22 August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td>10:00am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td>22 August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of resource consents
File No.: CP2019/04744

Whakarāpopototanga matua
Executive summary
1. Attached are the lists of resource consent applications related to Waiheke Island received from 17 to 23 March, 24 to 30 March and 31 March to 6 April 2019.

Ngā tūtohunga
Recommendation
That the Waiheke Local Board:
a) note the lists of resource consents lodged related to Waiheke Island from 17 to 23 March, 24 to 30 March and 31 March to 6 April.

Ngā tāpirihanga
Attachments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Resource consent applications received from 17 to 23 March 2019</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Resource consent applications received from 24 to 30 March 2019</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Resource consent applications received from 31 March to 6 April 2019</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ngā kaihaina
Signatories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Safia Cockerell - Democracy Advisor - Waiheke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authorisers</td>
<td>Louise Mason - GM Local Board Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Helgard Wagener - Relshp Mgr - Great Barrier and Waiheke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## List of resource consents

### Resource consent applications received from 17 to 23 March 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Application Type</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LUC60335976</td>
<td>Mar 19, 2019</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Graham Alan Peel</td>
<td>4 The Esplanade Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Replace existing dwelling with a new single storey two bedroom residential dwelling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRE60335919</td>
<td>Mar 19, 2019</td>
<td>Tree Consent Application</td>
<td>Brian Patrick Algar</td>
<td>76 Fairview Crescent Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Removal of two kanuka trees on boundary. One completely and one to approximately 2.5 meters to keep the stag fern growing on the trunk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRE60335929</td>
<td>Mar 19, 2019</td>
<td>Tree Consent Application</td>
<td>Laurel Meredith Hibbert</td>
<td>55 Trig Hill Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Pruning back of vegetation very near dwelling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRE60336000</td>
<td>Mar 19, 2019</td>
<td>Tree Consent Application</td>
<td>Angela May Hayes</td>
<td>74 Fairview Crescent Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Removal of two kanuka trees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60336089</td>
<td>Mar 22, 2019</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Ventura Enterprises Limited c/- Floyd Hamuck</td>
<td>13 Hamilton Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Additions and alterations to an existing dwelling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC80336163</td>
<td>Mar 22, 2019</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>David Jack</td>
<td>7 Hekera Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Construction of residential site access including a vehicle driveway, pedestrian path and associated works (retrospective) all within the road reserve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC80336173</td>
<td>Mar 22, 2019</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Judith And Lynne Madarasz And Gilmore</td>
<td>39 Te Whau Drive Waiheke Island Auckland 1971</td>
<td>Convert the existing visitor unit to a small dwelling, constituting a second dwelling on the rural site.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Resource consent applications received from 24 to 30 March 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Application Type</th>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIS60336207</td>
<td>Mar 25, 2019</td>
<td>Discharge Consent Application</td>
<td>Marion Jane Power</td>
<td>55 Makora Avenue Waiheke Island</td>
<td>New wastewater treatment and disposal system, SMAF associated with a new</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland 1081</td>
<td>dwelling (main consent is BUN60336205).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60336206</td>
<td>Mar 25, 2019</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Marion Jane Power</td>
<td>55 Makora Avenue Waiheke Island</td>
<td>New two story three-bedroom residential dwelling, servicing and parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland 1081</td>
<td>platform (main consent is BUN60336205).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRE60336204</td>
<td>Mar 25, 2019</td>
<td>Tree Consent Application</td>
<td>Evelyn Gordon Stuart</td>
<td>24 Bella Vista Road Waiheke Island</td>
<td>Pruning of nine trees and removal of 11 trees within a QE11 Trust property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harrison</td>
<td>Auckland 1081</td>
<td>and mitigation replanting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUB60336315</td>
<td>Mar 26, 2019</td>
<td>Subdivision Consent Application</td>
<td>Simon Robert Saunders</td>
<td>105 Great Barrier Road Waiheke</td>
<td>Boundary adjustment on 105, 103A and 101 Great Barrier Road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blair</td>
<td>Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC60336430</td>
<td>Mar 27, 2019</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Graeme Victor Anderson</td>
<td>45 Sea View Road Waiheke Island</td>
<td>Relocate a dwelling, construct a garage, and associated works including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Auckland 1081</td>
<td>earthworks and stormwater and wastewater servicing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application No.</td>
<td>Date Lodged</td>
<td>Application Type</td>
<td>Applicant Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC0036697</td>
<td>Apr 2, 2019</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Simon Edward Deighton</td>
<td>43 Kennedy Point Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Additions and alterations to existing dwelling on site, including addition of decking to rear and altering parking arrangement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC0036924</td>
<td>Apr 5, 2019</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Patricia June Newham</td>
<td>19 Eden Terrace Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>Consent to construct a new driveway across Lot 217 DP 16850 (19 Eden Terrace) which will provide access to Lot 218 DP 16850 (21 Eden Terrace) (Associated with ROW70014911).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUC0036914</td>
<td>Apr 6, 2019</td>
<td>Land Use Consent Application</td>
<td>Richard And Trudy Gardner</td>
<td>41 Marine View Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>The proposal seeks approval for a boundary adjustment subdivision, shifting the internal boundary between 41 and 43 Marine View Road. Based upon the new boundary alignment, the applicant then seeks to remove and demolish the existing building, in order to construct a new dwelling and garage upon 41 Marine View Road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUB0036915</td>
<td>Apr 6, 2019</td>
<td>Subdivision Consent Application</td>
<td>Richard And Trudy Gardner</td>
<td>41 Marine View Road Waiheke Island Auckland 1081</td>
<td>The proposal seeks approval for a boundary adjustment subdivision, shifting the internal boundary between 41 and 43 Marine View Road. Based upon the new boundary alignment, the applicant then seeks to remove and demolish the existing building, in order to construct a new dwelling and garage upon 41 Marine View Road.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Palm Beach Preservation Society

A resident and community initiative to protect and enhance the beach, flora and fauna at Palm Beach

Storm of January 2018 caused substantial erosion damage to sand dunes and beach at Palm Beach

Storm surge combined with king tide:
- exposed rocks and boulders and deposited other inorganic debris on beach
- eroded sand (dunes and beach) up to several meters in places (red lines indicate pre storm dune toe)

Sand washed from dunes into intertidal zone below high water mark. But within a month more gentle wave and tide action had created a visible sand bar at the high water mark.

A Palm Beach residents initiative (Palm Beach Preservation Society), in consultation with Auckland Council and Palm Beach dune restoration planting project took the initiative to:
- clear beach of organic and inorganic debris
- scrape and move sand back up the beach to restore dunes; and
- expand the dune planting program with native species
Sand dunes restored to about 80% of historic dimensions

Auckland Council made available an existing sand scraping permit, previously granted for purpose of maintaining sand dunes.

Residents met the cost of Auckland Council approved contractors to scrape and move sand.

Sand scraped from a 10m wide strip, just below high water mark, and deposited above high water mark enabled restoration of original dune line:

- scrape depth of about 0.2m and proximity to high water line ensured no ecological disturbance
- minimal disturbance to public, scraping undertaken in 50m sections, total of 7 hours work, across 2 days

Dunes restored to about 80% of historic dimensions and ready for native planting.

In one year the amenity value of beach has been restored

Plantings of natives dune plants have taken hold!

- Phorium tenax flax
- Wiwi
- Spinifex
- Muehlenbeckia

Very constructive Community / Resident / Auckland Council working collaboration

Uniformly positive feedback from beach goers on a pre and post restoration perspective. Many ask when Onetangi is going to be done @ !

Opportunity remains to continue to protect & enhance the beach and surrounds. But risk remains it can happen again.
2019 and beyond presents the opportunity to further enhance the beach, flora and fauna

- Further planting of native dune plants
  - Auckland Council providing several thousand "island sourced" native dune plants for Waiheke beaches incl Palm Beach
  - Enthusiastic community and off island volunteer groups for autumn planting program. Organized by Margaret Peacock and others - thank you!
- Additional minor sand scraping and repositioning in selected areas to restore dunes to original dimensions now initial sand has settled and compacted
- More significant sand scraping, hopefully not to the scale required in 2018, in the event of future storm events
- Working with Auckland Council coastal, parks and consents team to obtain permanent resource consent to, when necessary, permit sand scraping, importation and placement on upper dune system to maintain dunes and support flora.

How can you help

- Formal support for project will enhance community awareness
  - Volunteer participation for planting
  - Awareness to be careful not to walk on new plants and give plants space to grow
- Assistance with working with Parks, Coastal and Consent teams for any future sand scraping and moving
  - Ongoing very constructive engagement with Bridget Velvin (Parks) and Matt McNiel (Coastal Specialist)
  - Starting dialogue with Consent team. Support always welcome in the consent process!
  - Hopefully consent can be obtained before winter 2019 to enable small volumes of sand to be moved to support planting
- Grants or funding to fund costs
  - Council already providing some native dune plants. Some additional plant purchases necessary
  - Cost of contractors to move sand funded by residents and community creating and contributing to a charitable foundation
  - Additional grants would be helpful to support activity