

Line	Sub #	Home Loca	Category	Sub-category 1	Sub-category 2	Question	SelectedOption	Comments
1	1334	Waiheke	10-Rating & Funding			Rating & Funding	Expenditure/debt	Council should be budgeting for 10% reduction in operating expenditure Council spending is out of control
2	905	Waiheke	10-Rating & Funding			Rating & Funding	Focus on core services; Rating policy	Why do ratepayers consistently year after year pay more and more rates — which is becoming extortion. We only have limited income and we manage on no increases each year, but with less money to assign to other aspects of our lives. WHY DO YOU NEED TO KEEP DOING THIS!!!!!!!!!!!! Are you wanting people to move out of this city forever and put a distasteful experience behind them? That's what it's leading to. Stop increasing costs to ratepayers and start trimming back your wastefulness. We are not an endless pit of money. Accordingly, I am not going to agree to any increases. Start better managing your resources — I have. Ratepayers should not foot the bill for everything. There are people within the city who don't own property yet pay nothing directly towards you lot for city services. Also, Council was, as per my understanding, to provide and maintain essential facilities and services. So, why all the excessive spending on other non—essential areas?
3	1365	Waiheke	10-Rating & Funding			Rating & Funding	Grants and subsidies	I would like to see the proposed \$5M grant to the Auckland City Mission go ahead. I fully support the Council in funding project Mission HomeGround.
4	800	Waiheke	10-Rating & Funding			Rating & Funding	Rating policy	Council must find other ways of saving significant amounts of money other than just taxing rate payers all the time.
5	34	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Waste management is a country issue the businesses producing or selling goods are responsible for the waste they emanate. The tax must target the one that originate it. For our rivers, streams contaminated by the cows farming industry such as by the products used to grow grass. The ideal will be to produce biochar from cows manure after collecting manure from the field and turn it into bio gaz to run vehicles on the farm. Save our water from toxic contamination. As e i : in India cows dejection are their main source of energy for cooking. Make New Zealand a better space. —the food scraps target should be base on a voluntary motive. Some people already have a compost bin or worm farm and this service is not needed for them —how much good religion does? this will encourage not so genuine practices. —the regulatory fees are already really high, as part of the young generation finding all the rates going up are not helping by any means to access ownership.
6	39	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Why Increase the Annual waste Management Charge if some people make the effort to reduce their waste .Surely it should only apply to those who Create More Waste than those who create Less waste. Reward those who reduce Waste Charge the ones who are lazy or create excessive amounts of waste
7	111	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Not charging religious organisations is silly. Do you extend the same service to all charities? Resource consent and building consent is already exorbitant for the services offered so it will just make it harder for Kiwis to get things done. This is a backwards step.
8	111	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		On Waiheke I am personally seeing no benefits to the extra cost.
9	536	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Many of these changes seem sensible. I think the increase in rates for Water Quality when no water is supplied is wrong.
10	726	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Not interested in food scape collection. Do our own As a pensioner why increase waste water charge. Resource consents dear enough now Why should religious organisations be exempt. Most have seldom used buildings
11	767	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		I do not agree with the proposed increase in the annual waste management charge. We do not use our street collection as it does not recycle items. Every 3—4 weeks we take our rubbish to the tip and recycle items personally. There should be some financial support/incentive for such actions.
12	798	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Ok; but what are you proposing for Waiheke? Religious organisations should be paying rates just like everyone else.
13	800	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Regulatory fees are already outrageously high and should not be increased. Another added cost to the price of building.council must find other ways of saving money I live on Waiheke Island. What do my rates cover?
14	872	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Guaranteed supply of fresh water? No. Efficient waste treatment? No. Adequate public transport? No. Once a week a collection of a red plastic rubbish bag? Yes. Big deal. Stop pushing your city—based cost model onto those that can not access it. Itâ€™s illegal to contract for something you know you can not provide so why does the council get away with it?

Line	Sub #	Home Loca	Category	Sub-category 1	Sub-category 2	Question	SelectedOption	Comments
15	904	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		<p>The increase to Mooring fees is acceptable. However AT. and or the Harbour Master needs to ensure that my Vessel moored in Matiatia is safe. Visiting boats, generally out of Auckland, continually anchor in the Matiatia Mooring Field. This is particularly poor seamanship as the anchored vessel will behave differently when acted on by the wind and tide. This result in the moored vessels being damaged, usually with out the offending vessel being identified. The crew do not know, with any accuracy, as to where the mooring weights are situated on the sea floor. This results in the anchored vessel fouling the mooring weights. Larger vessels have dragged the moorings out of position trying to get their ground tackle free. Visiting vessels also continually pick up unoccupied Moorings. This is also poor seamanship, as the crew do not know the suitability and viability of the mooring they have attached to. This practise results in the Mooring owner arriving in Matiatia with nowhere to safely moor their vessel. I have bought this problem to the attention of AT and the Harbour master on previous occasions without any result. AT. and or, the Harbour Master need to mitigate this source of constant frustration to mooring owners. You are increasing your charges while failing to provide a reasonable service.</p>
16	905	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		<p>only have limited income and we manage on no increases each year, but with less money to assign to other aspects of our lives. WHY DO YOU NEED TO KEEP DOING THIS!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Are you wanting people to move out of this city forever and put a distasteful experience behind them? That's what it's leading to.</p> <p>Stop increasing costs to ratepayers and start trimming back your wastefulness. We are not an endless pit of money. Accordingly, I am not going to agree to any increases.</p> <p>Start better managing your resources — I have.</p>
17	934	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		<p>I would be okay with waste management charge increasing, if the waste really would be managed properly, i.e. recycled. Food scraps should be collected everywhere, not just at 2000 properties on the North Shore. Supermarkets should be obliged to hand out foods they put in the waste to people who want them !</p>
18	942	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		<p>From a land—owner on Waiheke's perspective, waste management is a high priority. The problem is that fellow citizens are not doing their bit in ensuring materials are appropriately re—cycled or junked. The understanding of categories of waste is low. Whether this is an education issue or a failure of will is difficulty to know. If poor separation of types of waste is increasing costs to responsible citizens, that would be unfair.</p>
19	946	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Resource consent already overpriced
20	1019	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Waste management is excessive. Not sure if recycled etc working well either.
21	1036	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		<p>responsible mooring owner be charged for people who abandon their boats and I also don't see why this 'service' is considered to be something which only benefits mooring holders. We have already faced huge increases in our mooring charges over the last few years and we just seem to be treated as a cash cow for very little benefit to us.</p> <p>Paul Lovell</p> <p>Mooring owner Matiatia, Waiheke Island.</p>
22	1334	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Council should be budgeting for 10% reduction in operating expenditure
23	1365	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		<p>I would like to see some of the waste management fee used to improve Auckland's waste management systems. Auckland Council could be creating better infrastructure & systems to encourage better recycling; industrial composting; green & food waste options for households & businesses.</p> <p>I think religious organisations should pay rates on all land they own, even if it is a nominal charge.</p>

Line	Sub #	Home Loca	Category	Sub-category 1	Sub-category 2	Question	SelectedOption	Comments
24	1401	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Re proposed mooring fee increase it is worth noting the following:— 1/ The actual mooring is owned and maintained by me personally and consequently is NO costs to the council. 2/ The piece of water that it occupies also does not have any incremental rising costs associated with it. The only increase that effects it is the daily rise (and corresponding fall) in the tide. How has it increased in value when it is a very fluid medium? 3/ Therefore as I actually own the mooring and the council has no input into the approximatley 1 square metre that it occupies, it is difficult to accept the need to increase the already debatable fee.
25	1743	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		I strongly object the increase of mooring fees as proposed by the Harbourmaster (email of 21 February, 2019) Since the council took over the management of the moorings on Waiheke rates have been constantly increased without having a correspondent improvement of services back to the users. When things went wrong on my mooring the council declined any responsibility and I had to personally cover all related costs; presently the management appears to be a little more than a bureaucratic system without real benefit for users. I do not see why mooring owners who pay and regularly maintain their mooring should be financially responsible for those who don't and possibly abandon their boats. To notice we have just recently been required to upgrade our moorings and that was at a cost of over NZD 2,000. To notice also that most marine insurances do not insure boats on a Waiheke moorings as they are deemed at to high risk.
26	1872	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		They are good
27	1948	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Object to an increase in the annual waste management charge. We already face increases in rates, rubbish used to and should be part of a core service. Stop this adding extra levies and charges. As living costs and council costs continue to explode out of control, object to any group having no rates charged on their land. My biggest objection is to the proposed increases on mooring fees by the \$57.50. This is just a rip off. You have a register of mooring holders, hence you should be able to trace the owner of a vessel and they should incur any costs. Why should the responsible owners be made to cover for the few irresponsible ones. Rules as to the condition of vessels and the holders obligations are clearly stated in the mooring certificate. Suggest there be a better watch on the problem areas. Seems you are targeting one sector when rate payers funds are used to subsidised all sorts of things that are questionable at best.
28	2336	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		3/ LISTEN TO THE POEPL E OF HUIA/ THE PETION SIGNED BY 10% OF RESIDENTS WERE 100% FOR REMAINING THE CUURRENT PUMP OUT PROCEDURE BECAUSE ITS EFFICIENT. NOTE ONLY 10% OF RESIDENTS WERE AWARE OF THIS RUSHED PUBLIC MEETING. COUNCIL ASSUMES WE ALL HAVE COMPUTERS AND ARE ON FACEBOOK! RUBBISH. HENCE ONLY 10% TURNED 5/ RELIGIOUS ORGANISATIONS SHOULD NOT HAVE PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT. THEY SHOULD PAY THERE AWAY AND PAY TAX. 6/STOP THE BANDWAGON OF CONSULTANTS/PERMITS/PAPERWORK. GET SOME EFFICIENCY IN COUNCILS ACTIVETIES AS PROMISED BY GOFF. GET AT MORE ORGANISED RE FIXING SLIPS, NOT MONITORING THEM
29	2341	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		we own the property. we pay the rural sewerage service targeted rate and have the three year septic pump out. we wish to retain the rate. If the present council — imposed septic tank pump out was to cease and instead it became and almost voluntary 'do it yourself' regime — it is difficult to see how the present unsatisfactory water quality in the stream and lagoon would improve. Many residents would be reluctant to have their tanks serviced and the council follow up monitoring would be a new cost to be budgeted for. An unproductive effort compared with the present excellent service
30	2623	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		In my opinion the proposed \$23 per m. Per day charge on vessels over 40m. Is short sighted and without justification . It will drive away large private vessels from visiting Auckland which will therefore miss out on the income that these vessels bring to the city and the boat building industry . Chris Darby is quoted as saying the fee is reimburse the Harbour Master from the extra work that these vessels bring . I cannot understand this view ! Precisely what extra work do these vessels bring ? I believe this proposal gave be viewed as yet another example of gouging by our ever greedy Council .
31	3056	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		This is just tinkering with minor changes to council selected charges and appeasing a small number of locals in a few areas.

Line	Sub #	Home Loca	Category	Sub-category 1	Sub-category 2	Question	SelectedOption	Comments
32	3113	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		I don't believe that additional regulatory costs should be charged. Resource consent and building control fees are already too high, particularly for small renovations. Mooring fees are also too high for the level of service/facility provided.
33	3505	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		If you charge rates on our churches we will no longer be able to do all the good things in the community. Everyone will be the poorer.
34	3787	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		Waste management — Invest in research to improve methods Food scraps — yes Urban boundary — Yes Religious orgs — No — they should be changed, a religion is like a club and clubs would be changed. reg fees — More efficient handling by less staff to offset cost.
35	3793	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		See attachment for more info
36	3870	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes			Please tell us what you think about some or all of these changes:		See attachment for more info
37	726	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.1-Waste Management Charges		Waste Management Charges	Do Not Support	As a pensioner why increase waste water charge
38	767	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.1-Waste Management Charges		Waste Management Charges	Do Not Support	I do not agree with the proposed increase in the annual waste management charge. We do not use our street collection as it does not recycle items. Every 3—4 weeks we take our rubbish to the tip and recycle items personally. There should be some financial support/incentive for such actions.
39	1019	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.1-Waste Management Charges		Waste Management Charges	Do Not Support	Waste management is excessive.
40	934	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.1-Waste Management Charges		Waste Management Charges	Partially Support	I would be okay with waste management charge increasing, if the waste really would be managed properly, i.e. recycled.
41	34	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.1-Waste Management Charges		Waste Management Charges		Waste management is a country issue the businesses producing or selling goods are responsible for the waste they emanate. The tax must target the one that originate it.
42	39	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.1-Waste Management Charges		Waste Management Charges		Why Increase the Annual waste Management Charge if some people make the effort to reduce their waste .Surely it should only apply to those who Create More Waste than those who create Less waste. Reward those who reduce Waste Charge the ones who are lazy or create excessive amounts of waste.
43	942	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.1-Waste Management Charges		Waste Management Charges		If poor separation of types of waste is increasing costs to responsible citizens, that would be unfair.
44	1365	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.1-Waste Management Charges		Waste Management Charges		I would like to see some of the waste management fee used to improve Auckland's waste management systems.
45	3787	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.1-Waste Management Charges		Waste Management Charges		Waste management — Invest in research to improve methods Food scraps — yes
46	34	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.2-Food Scraps Targeted Rate		Food Scraps Targeted Rate	Do not support	the food scraps target should be base on a voluntary motive. Some people already have a compost bin or worm farm and this service is not needed for them
47	726	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.2-Food Scraps Targeted Rate		Food Scraps Targeted Rate	Do not support	Not interested in food scape collection. Do our own
48	934	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.2-Food Scraps Targeted Rate		Food Scraps Targeted Rate		Food scraps should be collected everywhere, not just at 2000 properties on the North Shore.
49	3787	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.2-Food Scraps Targeted Rate		Food Scraps Targeted Rate		Waste management — Invest in research to improve methods Food scraps — yes
50	34	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.2-Food Scraps Targeted Rate	1.2.1-Q1 Food scraps t	Why do you feel that way about	Generally do not support	the food scraps target should be base on a voluntary motive. Some people already have a compost bin or worm farm and this service is not needed for them.
51	726	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.2-Food Scraps Targeted Rate	1.2.1-Q1 Food scraps t	Why do you feel that way about food scraps charges?		Not interested in food scape collection. Do our own
52	934	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.2-Food Scraps Targeted Rate	1.2.1-Q1 Food scraps t	Why do you feel that way about food scraps charges?		Food scraps should be collected everywhere, not just at 2000 properties on the North Shore.
53	2341	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.3-Waitakere Rural Sewerage Service		Waitakere Rural Sewerage Serv	Do not support	we own the property. we pay the rural sewerage service targeted rate and have the three year septic pump out. we wish to retain the rate. If the present council — imposed septic tank pump out was to cease and instead it became and almost voluntary 'do it yourself' regime — it is difficult to see how the present unsatisfactory water quality in the stream and lagoon would improve. Many residents would be reluctant to have their tanks serviced and the council follow up monitoring would be a new cost to be budgeted for. An unproductive effort compared with the present excellent service
54	2341	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.3-Waitakere Rural Sewerage S	1.3.1-Q1 Rural sewerage	Why do you feel that way about	Generally do not support	we own the property. we pay the rural sewerage service targeted rate and have the three year septic pump out. we wish to retain the rate. If the present council — imposed septic tank pump out was to cease and instead it became and almost voluntary 'do it yourself' regime — it is difficult to see how the present unsatisfactory water quality in the stream and lagoon would improve. Many residents would be reluctant to have their tanks serviced and the council follow up monitoring would be a new cost to be budgeted for. An unproductive effort compared with the present excellent service
55	767	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.4-Urban Rating Boundary		Urban Rating Boundary	Partially support	If the 400 properties mentioned have been re—zoned urban then urban rates should apply. If not, they should remain as at present.
56	3787	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.4-Urban Rating Boundary		Urban Rating Boundary	Support	Urban boundary — Yes

Line	Sub #	Home Loca	Category	Sub-category 1	Sub-category 2	Question	SelectedOption	Comments
57	2623	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.4-Urban Rating Boundary		Urban Rating Boundary		In my opinion the proposed \$23 per m. Per day charge on vessels over 40m. Is short sighted and without justification . It will drive away large private vessels from visiting Auckland which will therefore miss out on the income that these vessels bring to the city and the boat building industry . Chris Darby is quoted as saying the fee is reimburse the Harbour Master from the extra work that these vessels bring . I cannot understand this view ! Precisely what extra work do these vessels bring ? I believe this proposal gave be viewed as yet another example of gouging by our ever greedy Council .
58	34	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.5-Religious Organisation Land		Religious Organisation Land	Do not support	this will encourage not so genuine practices.
59	111	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.5-Religious Organisation Land		Religious Organisation Land	Do not support	Not charging religious organisations is silly. Do you extend the same service to all charities?
60	726	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.5-Religious Organisation Land		Religious Organisation Land	Do not support	Why should religious organisations be exempt. Most have seldom used buildings
61	800	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.5-Religious Organisation Land		Religious Organisation Land	Do not support	Religious organisations should be paying rates just like everyone else.
62	1365	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.5-Religious Organisation Land		Religious Organisation Land	Do not support	I think religious organisations should pay rates on all land they own, even if it is a nominal charge.
63	3787	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.5-Religious Organisation Land		Religious Organisation Land	Do not support	Religious orgs — No — they should be changed, a religion is like a club and clubs would be changed
64	3505	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.5-Religious Organisation Land		Religious Organisation Land	Support	If you charge rates on our churches we will no longer be able to do all the good things in the community.
65	34	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.5-Religious Organisation Land		Religious Organisation Land		how much good religion does?
66	3505	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.5-Religious Organisation Land	1.5.1-Q1 Religious land	Why do you feel that away abo	Generally agree	If you charge rates on our churches we will no longer be able to do all the good things in the community.
67	2336	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.5-Religious Organisation Land	1.5.1-Q1 Religious land	Why do you feel that away abo	Generally disagree	RELIGIOUS ORGANISATIONS SHOULD NOT HAVE PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT. THEY SHOULD PAY THERE AWAY AND PAY TAX.
68	34	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase		Regulatory Fees Increase	Do not support	the regulatory fees are already really high, as part of the young generation finding all the rates going up are not helping by any means to access ownership.
69	800	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase		Regulatory Fees Increase	Do not support	Regulatory fees are already outrageously high and should not be increased. Another added cost to the price of building.council must find other ways of saving money
70	1036	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase		Regulatory Fees Increase	Do not support	I do not believe the proposed additional mooring on top of the already large increases is fair, why should I as a responsible mooring owner be charged for people who abandon their boats and I also don't see why this 'service' is considered to be something which only benefits mooring holders. We have already faced huge increases in our mooring charges over the last few years and we just seem to be treated as a cash cow for very little benefit to us.
71	536	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase		Regulatory Fees Increase	Partially support	Many of these changes seem sensible. I think the increase in rates for Water Quality when no water is supplied is wrong.
72	3113	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase		Regulatory Fees Increase		I don't believe that additional regulatory costs should be charged. Resource consent and building control fees are already too high, particularly for small renovations. Mooring fees are also too high for the level of service/facility provided.
73	3787	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase		Regulatory Fees Increase		reg fees — More efficient handling by less staff to offset cost.
74	726	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase	1.6.1-Q1 Resource con	Why do you feel that way about	Generally do not support; Too expensive	Resource consents dear enough now
75	946	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase	1.6.1-Q1 Resource con	Why do you feel that way about	Generally do not support; Too expensive	Resource consent already overpriced
76	111	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase	1.6.1-Q1 Resource con	Why do you feel that way about	Too expensive; Discourages building/co	Resource consent and building consent is already exorbitant for the services offered so it will just make it harder for Kiwis to get things done. This is a backwards step.
77	800	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase	1.6.2-Q1 Building cons	Why do you feel that way about	Generally do not support; Too expensive	Regulatory fees are already outrageously high and should not be increased. Another added cost to the price of building.council must find other ways of saving money
78	111	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase	1.6.2-Q1 Building cons	Why do you feel that way about	Too expensive; Discourages building/co	Resource consent and building consent is already exorbitant for the services offered so it will just make it harder for Kiwis to get things done. This is a backwards step.
79	1743	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase	1.6.3-Q1 Harbour mas	Why do you feel that way about	Generally do not support; Charge the ve	I strongly object the increase of mooring fees as proposed by the Harbourmaster (email of 21 February, 2019) Since the council took over the management of the moorings on Waiheke rates have been constantly increased without having a correspondent improvement of services back to the users. When things went wrong on my mooring the council declined any responsibility and I had to personally cover all related costs; presently the management appears to be a little more than a bureaucratic system without real benefit for users. I do not see why mooring owners who pay and regularly maintain their mooring should be financially responsible for those who don't and possibly abandon their boats. To notice we have just recently been required to upgrade our moorings and that was at a cost of over NZD 2,000. To notice also that most marine insurances do not insure boats on a Waiheke moorings as they are deemed at to high risk.
80	1401	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase	1.6.3-Q1 Harbour mas	Why do you feel that way about	Generally do not support; Costs too high	Re proposed mooring fee increase it is worth noting the following:— 1/ The actual mooring is owned and maintained by me personally and consequently is NO costs to the council. 2/ The piece of water that it occupies also does not have any incremental rising costs associated with it. The only increase that effects it is the daily rise (and corresponding fall) in the tide. How has it increased in value when it is a very fluid medium? 3/ Therefore as I actually own the mooring and the council has no input into the approximatley 1 square metre that it occupies, it is difficult to accept the need to increase the already debatable fee.

Line	Sub #	Home Loca	Category	Sub-category 1	Sub-category 2	Question	SelectedOption	Comments
81	1036	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase	1.6.3-Q1 Harbour mas	Why do you feel that way about	Generally do not support; Costs too high	I do not believe the proposed additional mooring on top of the already large increases is fair, why should I as a responsible mooring owner be charged for people who abandon their boats and I also don't see why this 'service' is considered to be something which only benefits mooring holders. We have already faced huge increases in our mooring charges over the last few years and we just seem to be treated as a cash cow for very little benefit to us.
82	904	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase	1.6.3-Q1 Harbour mas	Why do you feel that way about	Generally support; Costs too high; Othe	The increase to Mooring fees is acceptable. However AT and or the Harbour Master needs to ensure that my Vessel moored in Matiatia is safe. Visiting boats, generally out of Auckland, continually anchor in the Matiatia Mooring Field. This is particularly poor seamanship as the anchored vessel will behave differently when acted on by the wind and tide. This result in the moored vessels being damaged, usually with out the offending vessel being identified. The crew do not know, with any accuracy, as to where the mooring weights are situated on the sea floor. This results in the anchored vessel fouling the mooring weights. Larger vessels have dragged the moorings out of position trying to get their ground tackle free. Visiting vessels also continually pick up unoccupied Moorings. This is also poor seamanship, as the crew do not know the suitability and viability of the mooring they have attached to. This practise results in the Mooring owner arriving in Matiatia with nowhere to safely moor their vessel. I have bought this problem to the attention of AT and the Harbour master on previous occasions without any result. AT. and or, the Harbour Master need to mitigate this source of constant frustration to mooring owners. You are increasing your charges while failing to provide a reasonable service.
83	3793	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.6-Regulatory Fees Increase	1.6.3-Q1 Harbour mas	Why do you feel that way about harbor master fees?		There is no benefit to complying mooring license holders as claimed in this proposal ad there should be no increase in fees. The harbourmaster has sufficient resources now to deal with abandoned/ sinking vessels on moorings if action is taken in a timely manner. (Please refer to the attachment).
84	34	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.8-General		General	Do not support	The priorities proposed would not help the local population. The environnement and well being of the population. Both are linked.
85	872	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.8-General		General	Do not support	I live on Waiheke Island. What do my rates cover? Guaranteed supply of fresh water? No. Efficient waste treatment? No. Adequate public transport? No. Once a week a collection of a red plastic rubbish bag? Yes. Big deal. Stop pushing your city—based cost model onto those that can not access it. Its illegal to contract for something you know you can not provide so why does the council get away with it?
86	798	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.8-General		General	Support	Ok; but what are you proposing for Waiheke?
87	1872	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.8-General		General	Support	They are good
88	3056	Waiheke	1-Q1 - Rating & Fee Changes	1.8-General	1.8.1-Q1 general them	Why do you feel that way?	Generally do not support	This is just tinkering with minor changes to council selected charges and appeasing a small number of locals in a few areas.
89	34	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		The environnement and well being of the population. Both are linked.
90	39	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		On Waiheke Island and other Hauraki Gulf Islands we Collect Rain Water from the Roof and Only Buy water if we run low So Encourage Home owners to install Rainwater tanks. to Collect water for Gardens ,Lawns, Swimming Pools, and by Using a water Filter for Drinking Water. Even though on the Islands we Do not use water Filters and live well.
91	111	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		Recycling, especially soft plastics.
92	726	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		Rates should not go up. Now on Waiheke you make street parking a charge. Not good on an island. We are not a city Too many over 100000 paid staff. Need to cut back
93	767	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		If the 400 properties mentioned have been re—zoned urban then urban rates should apply. If not, they should remain as at present.
94	798	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		Waiheke urgently needs improved reading and especially kerbing and footpaths. The current infrastructure can't cope with the estimated 1 million visitors per year!
95	800	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		Council must find other ways of saving significant amounts of money other than just taxing rate payers all the time.
96	905	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		Ratepayers should not foot the bill for everything. There are people within the city who don't own property yet pay nothing directly towards you lot for city services. Also, Council was, as per my understanding, to provide and maintain essential facilities and services. So, why all the excessive spending on other non—essential areas?
97	934	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		I'm highly suspicious when I observe recycling rubbish trucks putting all the recycling waste in the same container. I can't imagine it's going to be sorted and assume it probably gets dumped all together in a landfill anyway. Waste management in this country is appalling !

Line	Sub #	Home Loca	Category	Sub-category 1	Sub-category 2	Question	SelectedOption	Comments
98	942	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		In Switzerland, citizens are encouraged to separate their waste into glass, plastic, paper/cardboard, aluminium/tin, biodegradable organic waster and inorganic/non—recyclable waste not covered by the other categories. People get into the habit of proper disposal because there is no physical scope for placing everything into the one repository. You bag the individual categories and thereby increase the chance that things won't get mixed together and simply junked rather than recycled. Your bags correspond to labelled skips at the tip.
99	946	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		No they seem fair
100	1019	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		No
101	1334	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		Council spending is out of control
102	1365	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		I would like to see the proposed \$5M grant to the Auckland City Mission go ahead. I fully support the Council in funding project Mission HomeGround.
103	1551	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		I ask you to kindly support the Auckland City Mission HomeGround project. I am acutely aware of the role the Mission plays to care for the people who are struggling in our city and ask you to commit the \$5 million you have proposed to fund this Auckland legacy project.
104	1948	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		The whole council needs to start looking at itself and questioning why costs and borrowing are so out of hand. Many of the rate payers are on fixed incomes and you can't keep digging into their pockets for out of hand expenditure.
105	3056	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		The glossy booklets on water issues show no concern for the state of the Hauraki Gulf as a whole. Major reports are belatedly attracting financial help for a few problems that have compounded over the years with more attention paid to the governance of the gulf rather than solving the problems.
106	3787	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		I am very concerned about rubbish and waste problem. What about some kind of competition for universities / or others to come up with new ways to use recyclable materials and/or cost effective way to use some defunct industrial buildings to create a smokeless burning of rubbish that cannot be recycled and create energy/electricity? I am sure this is used in Switzerland for example. I was horrified to read that the "new" opaque milk bottles are not recyclable — why is this permitted when the other see through type are recyclable? Scandalous!
107	3787	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		I am very concerned about rubbish and waste problem. What about some kind of competition for universities / or others to come up with new ways to use recyclable materials and/or cost effective way to use some defunct industrial buildings to create a smokeless burning of rubbish that cannot be recycled and create energy/electricity? I am sure this is used in Switzerland for example. I was horrified to read that the "new" opaque milk bottles are not recyclable — why is this permitted when the other see through type are recyclable? Scandalous!
108	3870	Waiheke	2-Q2- Other Feedback			Do you have any feedback on any other issues?		See attachment for more info
109	798	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Do not support	
110	872	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Do not support	Five on Waiheke Island. What do my rates cover: Guaranteed supply of fresh water? No. Efficient waste treatment? No. Adequate public transport? No. Once a week a collection of a red plastic rubbish bag? Yes. Big deal. Stop pushing your city—based cost model onto those that can not access it. It's illegal to contract for something you know you can not provide so why does the council get away with it?
111	34	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Partially support	The transition can be smooth and made beneficial for both part
112	800	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Partially support	I have no faith in Auckland Councils ability to manage this transfer well
113	942	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Partially support	What is meant by "strategic asset"? This is a highly relative term. What we hope it means, especially in NZ, is that the sea and the land (ie, the sea—shore) are prized NOT for their commercial use but as recreational assets for the populace. The notion of a seaside stadium that could be situated anywhere on land is not the kind of recreational use we mean, UNLESS its use relates to its marine proximity. Further depredations of the inner harbour (whether their commercial use) would be a tragedy.
114	946	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Partially support	
115	1019	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Partially support	
116	1365	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Partially support	
117	1948	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Partially support	Because so far, none of the changes made by this so called super city have resulted in reduced costs or reduction in governance duplication Most times the rate payer is left with higher and more staff costs
118	111	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Support	
119	111	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Support	

Line	Sub #	Home Loca	Category	Sub-category 1	Sub-category 2	Question	SelectedOption	Comments
120	536	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Support	Central city amenities for the public would be good. The ports of Auckland area is an eyesore.
121	726	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Support	Pan ukal out of control body
122	767	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Support	Anything to reduce unnecessary governance costs. At the present time there are too many chiefs on high salaries and too few people doing the actual work.
123	904	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Support	
124	1872	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Support	
125	3113	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Support	The people of Auckland are appalled by the continued use/expansion of land/reclaimed land in the harbour by commercial operations (such as Panuku and the Port) which supposedly 'belong' to the people of Auckland but we appear to have no control or say over how they operate nor on how they wish to restrict.
126	3787	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this	Support	
127	934	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this proposal?		I don't know enough about Panuku and what it stands for
128	3056	Waiheke	4-10YB Amendment			What is your opinion about this proposal?		Panuku is too concerned with its big dreams to find time for local properties. E.g. Commercial Bayâ€™s shopping and commercial complex (NZ Herald, Business 14 March 2019) which seems to forget this is part of the cityâ€™s transport hub.
129	726	Waiheke	5-Transport				Parking & enforcement	Rates should not go up. Now on Waiheke you make street parking a charge. Not good on an island.
130	726	Waiheke	5-Transport				Parking & enforcement	Lack of parking Onetangi beach. Discourage traffic via main street Oneroa
131	940	Waiheke	5-Transport				Parking & enforcement	The Board is ineffectual and achieves sod all for Waiheke residents. How about Council spending some of the \$ millions they get from ferry levies to improve parking and the wharf areas and foster competition. How come the rest of Auckland gets free park and ride and we get to pay and sail ... and no subsidies on our ticket prices ..where is the local board on these issues ..absent as usual
132	2336	Waiheke	5-Transport				Public transport services - existing	A BUS SERVICE WOULD BE GOOD.
133	3056	Waiheke	5-Transport				Public transport services - existing	Auckland Transport, Panuku and ATEED to make Matiatia a practical working transport hub for the Waiheke community, tourists and travelers.
134	798	Waiheke	5-Transport				Roads and footpaths - existing	Waiheke urgently needs improved reading and especially kerbing and footpaths. The current infrastructure can't cope with the estimated 1 million visitors per year!
135	800	Waiheke	5-Transport				Roads and footpaths - existing; Public tr	The current chairman campaigned vigorously promising to assist commuters. The Board has sat by and watched commuters expenses rise by up to \$1500 per year in some cases.
136	946	Waiheke	5-Transport				Roads and footpaths - new; Walking and	There has been little done to improve ammenities and roads on the island
137	39	Waiheke	6-Water			Water	Water supply	More money for roads and a bicycle network On Waiheke Island and other Hauraki Gulf Islands we Collect Rain Water from the Roof and Only Buy water if we run low So Encourage Home owners to install Rainwater tanks. to Collect water for Gardens ,Lawns, Swimming Pools, and by Using a water Filter for Drinking Water. Even though on the Islands we Do not use water Filters and live well.
138	1551	Waiheke	7-Regional Planning			Regional planning	Homelessness	I ask you to kindly support the Auckland City Mission HomeGround project. I am acutely aware of the role the Mission plays to care for the people who are struggling in our city and ask you to commit the \$5 million you have proposed to fund this Auckland legacy project.
139	34	Waiheke	9-Other Regional Services			Other Regional Services	Environmental services	For our rivers, streams contaminated by the cows farming industry such as by the products used to grow grass. The ideal will be to produce biochar from cows manure after collecting manure from the field and turn it into bio gaz to run vehicles on the farm. Save our water from toxic contamination. As e i : in India cows dejection are their main source of energy for cooking. Make New Zealand a better space.
140	2336	Waiheke	9-Other Regional Services			Other Regional Services	Governance and support	LISTEN TO THE POEPL E OF HUIA/ THE PETION SIGNED BY 10% OF RESIDENTS WERE 100% FOR REMAINING THE CUURRENT PUMP OUT PROCEDURE BECAUSE ITS EFFICIENT. NOTE ONLY 10% OF RESIDENTS WERE AWARE OF THIS RUSHED PUBLIC MEETING. COUNCIL ASSUMES WE ALL HAVE COMPUTERS AND ARE ON FACEBOOK! RUBBISH. HENCE ONLY 10% TURNED STOP THE BANDWAGON OF CONSULTANTS/PERMITS/PAPERWORK. GET SOME EFFICIENCY IN COUNCILS ACTIVETIES AS PROMISED BY GOFF. GET AT MORE ORGANISED RE FIXING SLIPS, NOT MONITORING THEM
141	111	Waiheke	9-Other Regional Services			Other Regional Services	Solid waste services	Recycling, especially soft plastics.
142	934	Waiheke	9-Other Regional Services			Other Regional Services	Solid waste services	Supermarkets should be obliged to hand out roods they put in the waste to people who want them ! I m highly suspicious when I observe recycling rubbish trucks putting all the recycling waste in the same container. I can't imagine it's going to be sorted and assume it probably gets dumped all together in a landfill anyway. Waste management in this country is appalling !

Line	Sub #	Home Loca	Category	Sub-category 1	Sub-category 2	Question	SelectedOption	Comments
143	942	Waiheke	9-Other Regional Services			Other Regional Services	Solid waste services	fellow citizens are not doing their bit in ensuring materials are appropriately re—cycled or junked. The understanding of categories of waste is low. Whether this is an education issue or a failure of will is difficult to know. In Switzerland, citizens are encouraged to separate their waste into glass, plastic, paper/cardboard, aluminium/tin, biodegradable organic waster and inorganic/non—recyclable waste not covered by the other categories. People get into the habit of proper disposal because there is no physical scope for placing everything into the one repository. You bag the individual categories and thereby increase the chance that things won't get mixed together and simply junked rather than recycled. Your bags correspond to labelled skips at the tip.
144	1019	Waiheke	9-Other Regional Services			Other Regional Services	Solid waste services	Not sure if recycled etc working well either.
145	1365	Waiheke	9-Other Regional Services			Other Regional Services	Solid waste services	Auckland Council could be creating better infrastructure & systems to encourage better recycling; industrial composting; green & food waste options for households & businesses.